

Structure studies of ^{13}Be from the $^{12}\text{Be}(d, p)$ reaction in inverse kinematics on a solid deuteron target

J. Kovoort,¹ K. L. Jones,^{1,*} J. Hooker,¹ M. Vostinar,¹ R. Kanungo,^{2,3} S. D. Pain,⁴ M. Alcorta,³ J. Allen,⁵ C. Andreoiu,⁶ L. Atar,⁷ D. W. Bardayan,⁵ S. S. Bhattacharjee,³ D. Blankstein,⁵ C. Burbadge,^{7,†} S. Burcher,¹ W. N. Catford,⁸ S. Cha,⁹ K. Chae,⁹ D. Connolly,³ B. Davids,³ N. E. Esker,³ F. H. Garcia,^{6,‡} S. Gillespie,³ R. Ghimire,¹ A. Gula,⁵ G. Hackman,³ S. Hallam,⁸ M. Hellmich,² J. Henderson,³ M. Holl,^{2,3} P. Jassal,² S. King,⁵ T. Knight,² R. Kruecken,³ A. Lepailleur,¹⁰ J. Liang,¹¹ L. Morrison,⁸ P. D. O'Malley,⁵ X. Pereira-Lopez,¹ A. Psaltis,^{11,§} A. Radich,⁷ J. Refsgaard,^{2,3} A. C. Shotton,¹² M. Williams,³ and O. Workman^{2,3}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

²Astronomy and Physics Department, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3C3, Canada

³TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 4A3, Canada

⁴Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

⁵Physics Department, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA

⁶Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada

⁷Department of Physics, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1, Canada

⁸Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

⁹Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, 2066 Seobu-ro, Jangnan-gu, Suwon, Korea

¹⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA

¹¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University, 1280 Main St. W, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4M1, Canada

¹²School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, JCMB, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom



(Received 20 February 2023; revised 27 July 2023; accepted 4 August 2023; published 1 September 2023)

The low-lying structure of ^{13}Be has remained an enigma for decades. Despite numerous experimental and theoretical studies, large inconsistencies remain. Being both unbound and one neutron away from ^{14}Be , the heaviest bound beryllium nucleus, ^{13}Be is difficult to study through simple reactions with weak radioactive-ion beams or more complex reactions with stable-ion beams. Here, we present the results of a study using the $^{12}\text{Be}(d, p)^{13}\text{Be}$ reaction in inverse kinematics using a 9.5 MeV per nucleon ^{12}Be beam from the ISAC-II facility. The solid deuteron target of IRIS was used to achieve an increased areal thickness compared to conventional deuterated polyethylene targets. The Q -value spectrum below -4.4 MeV was analyzed using a Bayesian method with GEANT4 simulations. A three-point angular distribution with the same Q -value gate was fit with a mixture of s - and p -wave, s - and d -wave, or pure p -wave transfer. The Q -value spectrum was also compared with GEANT4 simulations obtained using the energies and widths of states reported in four previous works. It was found that our results are incompatible with works that revealed a wide $5/2^+$ resonance but shows better agreement with ones that reported a narrower width.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.108.034601

I. INTRODUCTION

The neutron-rich beryllium isotopes provide a case study for studying the effects of weak binding and the continuum on the structure of the nucleus. Alpha clustering in ^8Be leads to molecular structures when the neutrons are added to produce ^9Be and ^{10}Be [1], and halo structures close to the drip line, notably the one-neutron halo in ^{11}Be [2], and two-neutron halo in ^{14}Be [3,4]. The one-neutron subsystem of ^{14}Be , ^{13}Be , is unbound, making it a Borromean nucleus. The continuum structures of this unbound subsystem are essential to the understanding of the two-neutron halo nucleus.

As the neutron drip line is approached, it is usual to find an unbound odd- N nucleus followed by a bound even- N nucleus, owing to the pairing force. In the case of ^{13}Be , the shell gap breakdown at $N = 8$ for neutron-rich nuclei might also contribute to the instability of ^{13}Be . This breakdown in $N = 8$ results in the ground state of ^{12}Be being composed of 70% sd -shell intruder configuration with a p -shell configuration dominating the 0_2^+ state [5]. Experiments by Kanungo, Chen, and Johansen [6–8] have also reported the presence of a weak s wave in the 0_1^+ and 0_2^+ states of ^{12}Be . The resulting low-lying $1/2^+$, $1/2^-$, and $5/2^+$ states in ^{13}Be have been reported at different energies and orders in experimental studies, as summarized in Table I. Similarly, theoretical studies are not in agreement over the low-lying structure of this unbound nucleus.

In an experiment published in 1973 [9], Bowman confirmed that ^{13}Be is unbound, as indicated in a 1966 isotope search [10]. Since then different experimental techniques

*kgrzywac@utk.edu

†Deceased

‡Present address: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA.

§Present address: Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt 64289, Germany.

TABLE I. Previous studies of the low-lying structure of ^{13}Be , up to around 2 MeV. A strength is defined as a single resonance, or a virtual state, or a mixture of resonances, or a virtual state and a resonance. Strengths 1a and 1b are those closest to threshold and cannot be resolved in this work. Strength 2 is the well-known d -wave resonance at around 2 MeV. Where the literature reports scattering length instead of energy this is quoted in parentheses.

Author (year)	Reaction	Beam energy (A MeV)	Energy above the threshold (MeV) or (a_s) and J^π		
			Strength 1a	Strength 1b	Strength 2
Aleksandrov <i>et al.</i> (1983) [20]	$^{14}\text{C} + ^7\text{Li}$	5.86			1.8
Ostrowski <i>et al.</i> (1992) [21]	$^{13}\text{C} + ^{14}\text{C}$	24.09			$2.01(\frac{5}{2}^+ \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}^-)$
Korshennikov <i>et al.</i> (1995) [18]	$^{12}\text{Be} + ^2\text{H}$	55			2.0
Von Oertzen <i>et al.</i> (1995) [22]	$^{13}\text{C} + ^{14}\text{C}$	24			$2.01(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Belozorov <i>et al.</i> (1998) [23]	$^{14}\text{C} + ^{11}\text{B}$	17.27		0.80	2.02
Thoenessen <i>et al.</i> (2000) [11]	$^9\text{Be} + ^{18}\text{O}$	80	$0.20(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$0.80(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	$2.02(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Lecouey <i>et al.</i> (2004) [16]	$^{14}\text{B} + \text{C}$	41		$0.7(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$2.4(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Simon <i>et al.</i> (2007) [13]	$^{14}\text{Be} + \text{C}$	287	$(-3.2 \text{ fm}^{-1})(\frac{1}{2}^+)$		$2.00(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Kondo <i>et al.</i> (2010) [14]	$^{14}\text{Be} + p$	69	$(-3.4 \text{ fm}^{-1})(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$0.51(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	$2.39(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Aksyutina <i>et al.</i> (2013) [15]	$^{14}\text{Be} + p$	304	$0.46(\frac{1}{2}^+)$		$1.95(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Randisi <i>et al.</i> (2014) [17]	$^{14,15}\text{B} + \text{natC}$	35	$0.40(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$0.85(\frac{5}{2}^+)$	$2.35(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Marks <i>et al.</i> (2015) [24]	$^{13}\text{B} + ^9\text{Be}$	71		$0.73(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$2.56(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Ribeiro <i>et al.</i> (2018) [25]	$^{14}\text{B} + \text{CH}_2$	400	$0.44(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	$0.86(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$2.11(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
Corsi <i>et al.</i> (2019) [19]	$^{14}\text{Be} + p$	265	$(-9.2 \text{ fm}^{-1})(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$0.48(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	$2.30(\frac{5}{2}^+)$

have been used to study ^{13}Be including fragmentation reactions [11,12], neutron knockout from ^{14}Be [13–15], proton knockout from ^{14}B [16], breakup [17], and transfer reactions [18,19]. The most consistent finding, independent of the reaction mechanism, is a resonance at around 2–2.4 MeV above the $^{12}\text{Be} + n$ threshold. There have been reports of a virtual s -wave state or resonance close to the threshold [13–15,17]. The location of the expected p -wave strength is more uncertain.

The first observation of a resonance in ^{13}Be was in 1983 [20], at 1.8 MeV above the neutron threshold. Multinucleon transfer studies [21] reported resonances at 2.01 and 3.12 MeV. Analysis of the transfer reaction using a ^{12}Be beam with a CD_2 target [18] revealed resonances at approximately 2, 5, 7, and 10 MeV above the threshold.

Neutron knockout studies [13–15,19] using the invariant mass technique agree on a resonance around 2 MeV. After reconstructing the energy levels from the transverse momentum distribution of $^{12}\text{Be} + n$, Kondo *et al.* [14] reported a virtual s -wave state with a scattering length of -3.4 fm , a p -wave resonance at 0.51 MeV, and a broad resonance at 2.39 MeV. The low-lying p -wave can be interpreted as the quenching of the $N = 8$ shell gap. Simon *et al.* performed knockout from a ^{14}Be beam on a carbon target and reported a p -wave resonance at 3.04 MeV, a d -wave resonance at 2.0 MeV, and a weak virtual s -wave state with a scattering length of -3.2 fm [13]. Using data from ^{14}Be impinging on a liquid hydrogen target, combined with results from earlier studies, Aksyutina *et al.* reported an s -wave resonance at 0.45 MeV and d -wave resonance at 1.95 MeV, along with higher-lying states [15]. Using a similar experimental method, Corsi *et al.* [19] reported a virtual s -wave with a scattering length of -9.2 fm , as well as p -, and d - wave resonances at 0.48 and 2.3 MeV, respectively.

A proton knockout study by Lecouey *et al.* revealed a structure around 0.7 MeV above the threshold, which was assigned as an s wave, and a d -wave resonance at 2.4 MeV [16]. Randisi *et al.* used the coincident detection of neutrons and ^{12}Be from the breakup of ^{14}B and ^{15}B on a carbon target, and reconstructed the invariant masses of the $^{12}\text{Be} + n$ and $^{12}\text{Be} + n + n$ systems [17]. They reported s -, d -, and d -wave resonances at 0.40, 0.85, and 2.35 MeV, respectively. Results of a proton knockout experiment from ^{14}B using a polyethylene target by Ribeiro *et al.* [25] were interpreted as revealing p -, s -, and d -wave resonances in ^{13}Be at 0.44, 0.86, and 2.11 MeV, respectively.

Marks *et al.* [24] performed a charge exchange reaction on ^{13}B and reported a low-lying s -wave resonance and a d -wave resonance at 2.56 MeV.

Various theoretical calculations including the shell model [26,27], the Nilsson model [28], and the relativistic mean field theory [29] have been used to study the ^{13}Be structure. Except for the agreement on a $5/2^+$ resonance, around 2 MeV above the neutron threshold, these studies do not agree on the position and parity of the low-lying states. There are large discrepancies in the width of the states between theoretically calculated and experimentally measured values. Using a microscopic cluster model, Descouvemont [30] inferred a $1/2^+$ ground state, close to the threshold, and a d -wave resonance slightly above 2 MeV. Antisymmetrized molecular dynamics calculations by Kanada-En'yo [31] do not favor a $1/2^+$ ground state.

Here, we present the results from the first measurement of the $^{12}\text{Be}(d, p)$ reaction to study the low-lying states of ^{13}Be . The Q -value spectrum of ^{13}Be from the data was fit with a response function obtained from GEANT4 simulations using a Bayesian optimization procedure.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experiment was performed at the IRIS facility [32] at TRIUMF, Canada. The radioactive ^{12}Be ion beam, produced by the isotope separation online (ISOL) method after bombarding a tantalum target with a 500 MeV proton beam [33], was delivered by the ISAC-II superconducting linear accelerator. The secondary beam was accelerated to 9.5A MeV with an average total intensity of 800 pps. The main components of IRIS are a low-pressure ionization chamber (IC) to provide initial beam particle identification to remove isobaric contaminants, a novel solid deuterium target, and charged particle detectors to detect the projectiles and recoils [32]. The entire assembly was cooled to a temperature of 4 K and deuterium gas was sprayed onto a 4.64 μm thick silver foil to give the desired thickness of deuterium, 52 μm for this experiment.

A 500 μm thick, annular YY1 (Yu) type (MICRON Semiconductors [34]) silicon detector was placed 80.8 mm upstream of the target to detect the protons from the (d, p) reaction and covered a laboratory angular range of 122° – 148° . The 16 concentric rings were used to determine the scattering angle and the energy deposited in the strip was used to find the kinetic energy of the proton. The angles covered by the Yu detector correspond to forward angles in the center of mass, where low-lying levels with low orbital angular momentum transfer are expected to peak in cross section. Eight of these azimuthal detector sectors were joined together to form a complete annular ring. Low-energy, backward scattered protons were completely stopped in the detector, making it possible to reconstruct the reaction kinematics.

A similar YY1 detector (Yd) was mounted 86 mm downstream of the target to measure other reaction channels (d, d) and (d, t) that are mostly restricted to forward angles in inverse kinematics. The Yd silicon detector was backed by a CsI detector in a telescopic configuration for particle identification and to measure the full energy of higher-energy, forward scattered particles. The scattered beam was detected in the S3-style annular silicon detectors [35] placed 600 and 690 mm downstream of the target in a telescopic fashion to give particle identification of the recoils from the reaction. All the silicon detector energies were calibrated using a triple alpha source (^{239}Pu , ^{241}Am , and ^{244}Cm) giving α -particle energies of 5.156, 5.486, and 5.805 MeV respectively.

A ^{12}C beam impinging on the solid deuterium target was used to benchmark the experimental setup since the levels in ^{13}C are well known; the results from the ^{12}C beam data are published in Hooker *et al.* [36]. The ^{12}C and ^{12}Be beams were run for 3.6 and 4.2 days respectively. Runs without the target were used with both the ^{12}C and ^{12}Be beams to characterize the target and the background from the silver foil backing and other nontarget sources.

III. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the energies of the protons measured in the upstream (Yu) detector, corrected for the energy loss at the center of the target, as a function of the angle. The data are gated on both a ^{12}Be beam particle, identified in the upstream ionization chamber, and a ^{12}Be residue identified in the




FIG. 1. Energy versus angle of protons in the laboratory frame for the $^{12}\text{Be} + d$ reaction, gated on a ^{12}Be ion detected in both the upstream ionization chamber and the downstream S3 telescope. The red curve shows the threshold for the ground state proton.

downstream S3 telescope. Loci from neutron transfer can be discerned in the kinematics plot shown in Fig. 1. In particular, it is possible to trace a low-energy locus corresponding to the highest Q values populated in the reaction, and the spectrum is clean above this line. The clean area below 0.8 MeV at 122° tracing down to 0.6 MeV at 150° is due to the low-energy threshold imposed via software.

The Q value obtained on an event-by-event basis is shown in Fig. 2 as data points with statistical error bars. There is a broad structure between $Q = -3.2$ and -2.2 MeV, which could also be interpreted as two peaks, and a clear increase in intensity below $Q = -3.4$ MeV. To interpret the data further, it was necessary to use a GEANT4 simulation that accounted for the energy loss of the beam in the ionization chamber, the




FIG. 2. ^{13}Be Q -value spectrum obtained from the $^{12}\text{Be} + d$ reaction. The data is shown as black points with statistical error bars. A fit to the data obtained using Bayesian optimization is shown as a red line. Low-lying strength lying at 0.55 MeV above the threshold is denoted as a blue dashed line. Strength at 2.2 MeV above the threshold is shown as a green dot-dashed line. The nonresonant background is shown as a black dotted line.

energy loss of the beam and the reaction protons in the solid deuterium target, the resolution of the Yu detector, and a non-resonant continuum. After analyzing the data without the solid deuterium target, it was found that there was no background present in the angular region covered by the upstream YY1 detector resulting from the silver foil backing. Therefore, this did not need to be included in the simulation.

The energy loss in the IC was simulated using the known thicknesses of the windows and the pressure of the isobutane gas, which was 19.5 Torr. The thickness of the solid deuteron target was found by comparing the energy of the beam as measured in the downstream S3 telescope with the solid deuterium target in and out. The analysis procedure assumes that the reaction happened at the center of the target. The energy loss of the protons exiting the target was calculated and added back to the measured energy. The detector resolution was characterized using data from the alpha calibrations. The low-lying resonances of unbound nuclei will sit on the background from the nonresonant continuum. This background was modeled using the fifth power of the energy above the neutron threshold, to reflect the increase in phase space. Each resonance was parameterized in the GEANT4 simulation as a Breit-Wigner line shape. For consistency, GEANT4 energy loss tables were used to calculate the energy losses of the ^{12}Be beam, protons, and other charged particles throughout the experimental data analysis and the simulations.

In this study, we used Bayesian optimization in combination with GEANT4 simulations to better understand the Q -value spectrum of ^{13}Be . This spectrum was fitted using GEANT4 simulations described above, and a Bayesian optimization procedure as described and demonstrated for the ^{12}C beam data in Hooker *et al.* [36]. Bayesian Optimization (BAYESOPT) is a tool to find the global extrema of arbitrary functions especially when it is computationally very expensive to evaluate these functions.

In this procedure, the positions, widths, and the intensity of the states along with the amplitude of the nonresonant background were given as free parameters. The best fit was defined as that which minimized the χ^2 value between the measured and simulated spectra. Local minima were encountered when all parameters were allowed to vary without any bounds. Therefore, a random search was used, and the energies and the amplitudes of the states were found to produce the most sensitivity. Bounds for these parameters were found by using an iterative search and χ^2 minimization.

Two strengths were used in the fit, as shown in Fig. 2. The resolution of the data was dominated by the energy loss and straggling of the emergent protons. As the Q value gets more negative, we can see that the peak gets broader. This is because the protons with the lowest energies lose proportionally more of their energy exiting the target. At the limit of the most negative Q value, the protons deposit all their energy in the target and cannot be detected. These combined effects explain the asymmetric, non-Lorentzian shape of the resonances. The data in Fig. 2 are shown as black circles with statistical error bars. The red line shows the total fit of the data. The black dotted line depicts the nonresonant background, the blue dashed line denotes the lowest-lying strength, and the green dot-dashed line shows the well-known resonance which

TABLE II. The Q values and the widths of the states were obtained from the fit. Energies are in MeV.

Strength	Energy above threshold	Q value	Width
1	$0.55^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$-2.75^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$0.11^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$
2	$2.22^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	$-4.42^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	$0.40^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$

is around 2 MeV above the neutron threshold. At very negative Q values, below -4.4 MeV, the fit does not well reproduce the straggling effects of the lowest energy protons emerging from the target and the proximity of the proton energies to the detector thresholds.

The error bars for the centroids in energy for each strength were found by varying the energy in small steps until a χ^2 value of $\chi^2_{\min} + 1$ was found for both the upper and lower bounds. These were taken as the 1σ error bars. For the strength close to the threshold, the Q value was found to be -2.75 MeV with a width of 0.11 MeV and the Q value of the higher-lying resonance was -4.42 MeV. The energies and widths with error bars are listed in Table II.

It was observed that, when a third strength was added to the simulation, the fit did not improve and the intensity of this third strength was minimal. Using only one strength reproduces the d -wave resonance and misses the data points above -3.25 MeV in Q value. The χ^2/N above -4.44 MeV in Q value including all the data in the fit and using only one strength is 3.5, compared with 2.9 for two strengths.

The angular distributions of the outgoing protons from the $^{12}\text{Be}(d, p)$ reaction give information about the spin and parity of the energy level of the transferred neutron. Owing to the low number of reaction protons measured, the angular distribution consists of three angular bins ranging from 121.8° to 131.8° , 131.8° to 138.9° , and 138.9° to 148° , in the laboratory frame.

The reaction was analyzed in the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA) framework, using the FRESKO code [37]. The optical potential parameters were obtained from FRONT [38]. This method is sensitive to the specific optical potentials used. To account for this ambiguity, we used different optical potentials and studied the sensitivity of the fit to the data.

Optical model calculations for the transfer reaction were calculated using Lohr-Haeberli (LH) [39] and Perey-Perey (PP) [40] potentials for the deuteron-nucleus vertex, and for the proton-nucleus vertex the Koning-Delaroche [41] potential was used. The parameters used for the LH potential were radius, $r = 1.29$ fm, diffuseness, $a = 0.860$ fm, and a spin-orbit term, $V_{\text{SO}} = 3.5$ MeV; and, for PP, $r = 1.29$ fm and $a = 0.810$ fm. The Perey-Perey potential does not include a spin-orbit term. For the lowest-lying strength (the blue dashed line in Fig. 2), the angular distribution was evaluated by dividing the data into the three angular bins and the number of integrated counts was obtained for each bin. The nonresonant continuum was subtracted from the data. After computing the ratio between the counts and the solid angle coverage for each bin and converting it into the center-of-mass frame, the resulting distribution was compared to the FRESKO calculations. The




FIG. 3. Three-point angular distribution of protons in the center-of-mass frame in the Q -value range from -3.2 to -2.2 MeV, for proton events with 0.55 MeV energy threshold. The lines show DWBA fits to the data. The calculations were performed using FRESKO using the Perey-Perey potential for the deuteron vertex and the Koning-Delaroche potential for the proton vertex. Panel (a) shows data fitted assuming only one resonance is present and (b) assuming two unresolved resonances. The uncertainties in the counts and the angular range for the bins are denoted using the error bars.

angular distributions for the $^{12}\text{Be}(d, p)^{13}\text{Be}$ reaction were fitted with pure s -, p -, and d -wave transfer as well as for two unresolved resonances with different amounts of transferred angular momentum, namely s - and p -wave, s - and d -wave, and p - and d -wave transfer mixtures. The fit was performed using orthogonal distance regression (ODR) to account for the error in both the counts and the angular bins. The fits using the Perey-Perey and the Koning-Delaroche potentials are shown in Fig. 3.

As shown in Table III, a mixture of s - and p -wave transfers provided the lowest χ^2/N value for both sets of calculations. Here, N is the degrees of freedom; $N = 2$ for single wave fits and $N = 1$ for fits using mixtures. With the Perey-Perey potential, the best fit gave $65\%_{-6\%}^{+9\%}$ s wave and $35\%_{-9\%}^{+6\%}$ p wave, with a χ^2/N of 3.3. For the Lohr-Haeblerli potential, the best fit gave a combination of $70\%_{-6\%}^{+8\%}$ s wave and $30\%_{-8\%}^{+6\%}$ p wave, and the χ^2/N was very similar to the PP case at 3.32. The next best fit was with a mixture of s - and d -wave transfers.

TABLE III. s -, p -, and d -wave components of the lowest-lying strength (strength 1) extracted from χ^2 fitting of DWBA calculations, using Lohr-Haeblerli and Perey-Perey deuteron optical potentials, to the experimental angular distributions. Koning-Delaroche proton optical potentials were used in all cases. Fractions 1 and 2 stand for the first and second wave components in the mixture.

Mixture	LH + KD			PP + KD		
	Fraction 1	Fraction 2	χ^2/N	Fraction 1	Fraction 2	χ^2/N
s	1.00	N/A	5.66	1.00	N/A	8.97
p	1.00	N/A	5.66	1.00	N/A	5.41
d	1.00	N/A	13.64	1.00	N/A	12.59
sp	$0.70_{-0.06}^{+0.08}$	$0.30_{-0.08}^{+0.06}$	3.32	$0.65_{-0.06}^{+0.09}$	$0.35_{-0.09}^{+0.06}$	3.3
sd	$0.93_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	$0.07_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	3.87	$0.92_{-0.025}^{+0.015}$	$0.08_{-0.015}^{+0.025}$	4.01
pd	$1.00_{-0.035}$	$0.00_{+0.035}$	11.32	$1.00_{-0.025}$	$0.00_{+0.025}$	10.82

The Perey-Perey potential gave $92\%_{-2.5\%}^{+1.5\%}$ s wave and $8\%_{-1.5\%}^{+2.5\%}$ d wave, while the Lohr-Haeblerli potential gave a mixture of $93\%_{-2\%}^{+2\%}$ s wave and $7\%_{-2\%}^{+2\%}$ d wave. The χ^2/N values were 4.01 and 3.87 for the LH and PP potentials respectively. The 1σ error bars were calculated by varying the fit parameters, which produced a χ^2 value of $\chi_{\min}^2 + 1$.

The fits assuming a single virtual state or resonance gave somewhat higher χ^2/N values compared to the assumption of two unresolved virtual states or resonances. The pure p -wave transfer fitted the data better than either pure s -wave, or d -wave transfer with the PP potential; but with the LH potential, both p and s waves produced identical χ^2 values.

The interpretations of the low-lying structure of ^{13}Be from four recent experiments were compared with the Q -value spectrum from the current data. The four experiments chosen for the comparison were Kondo *et al.* [14], Corsi *et al.* [19], Ribeiro *et al.* [25], and Randisi *et al.* [17]. The first two used neutron knockout reactions with 69A and 265A MeV ^{14}Be beams, respectively, impinging on cryogenic hydrogen targets at RIKEN. Ribeiro performed a proton knockout reaction from a 400A MeV ^{14}B beam at GSI, and Randisi *et al.* used the same beam-target combination, but at a lower beam energy, 35A MeV at GANIL. The Randisi experiment also used the breakup of a ^{15}B beam. The reactions with a ^{14}Be beam and a proton target provide a clean, simple reaction mechanism, but can suffer from the issue of identifying the neutron associated with ^{13}Be from the one removed in the reaction in cases where both neutrons exit the target. The experiments performed by both Kondo *et al.* [14] and Corsi *et al.* [19] included γ -ray detection, and the interpretations include the effects of an excited ^{12}Be component to the ground-state wave function of ^{14}Be . Proton removal in ^{14}B allows the unambiguous identification of the neutron from ^{13}Be . However, the reaction mechanism is less well described by the sudden approximation owing to the extra binding of the last proton in ^{14}B .

Figure 4 illustrates interpretations from the four previous measurements compared with that from the fit to the current data assuming strength 1 comes from a single p -wave resonance. The lower χ^2 fits with two states in strength 1 cannot uniquely locate the centroids of the two states and




FIG. 4. Schematics showing the comparison between the results of previous works and the current work. In the current work, only the case with a pure p -wave is shown as the position of the waves in the mixtures could not be resolved. Comparisons are made with results from Kondo *et al.* and Corsi *et al.*, who both reported a virtual s -wave state and p - and d -wave resonances, and with those from Randisi *et al.* and Ribeiro *et al.* showing s -, p -, and d -wave resonances. Red, blue, and green lines depict s -, p -, and d -waves respectively. The red-dashed lines show the threshold. The gray shaded region shows the presence of a virtual state.

are therefore not useful in this comparison. The position and width of the d -wave resonance at 2.22 MeV from the current analysis is similar to that found by both Corsi *et al.* [19] and Ribeiro *et al.* [25]. Kondo *et al.* [14] and Randisi *et al.* [17] found a larger width for this resonance. Furthermore, Kondo *et al.* [14], Corsi *et al.* [19], and Ribeiro *et al.* [25] all place a $\frac{1}{2}^-$ resonance between 0.44 and 0.51 MeV, with s -wave strength closer to threshold, and Randisi *et al.* [17] interpreted the near-threshold structure as a narrow d -wave resonance and a broader s -wave level.

To further these comparisons, our data were fit assuming strength 1 was an unresolved combination of two resonances,

or of a resonance and a virtual state. This was necessary as all four of the previous measurements in the comparison are interpreted as having two resonances or a resonance and a virtual state below ≈ 2 MeV. These were named strengths 1a and 1b. The positions and widths for these states used in this set of simulations came from the four previous experiments discussed above. The relative amplitudes of the states and resonances were constrained in the GEANT4 simulations using the values in Table III from the angular distribution fit using Perey-Perey and Koning-Delaroche potentials. The simulated spectrum was then scaled to the data. Bayesian optimization was not carried out in this part of the analysis. The results from




FIG. 5. Data fitted with GEANT4 simulations with energy and widths obtained from (a) Kondo *et al.* [14], (b) Corsi *et al.* [19], (c) Randisi *et al.* [17], and (d) Ribeiro *et al.* [25]. The amplitudes of the states were used from the angular distributions. The global fit is shown as the red line and the background is denoted as black dots. The lowest-lying strength is shown as blue-dashed line irrespective of its nature. The higher lying states are depicted as solid brown and green dot-dashed lines.

the fits using the positions and widths of states from literature are shown in Fig. 5. The fits using values from both Ribeiro *et al.* and Corsi *et al.* give similar χ^2/N values, 2.77 and 2.62, respectively, and they are the lowest of all the four. The widths reported by Kondo *et al.* and Randisi *et al.* for the $\frac{5}{2}^+$, ≈ 2 MeV resonance are 2.4 MeV and 1.5 MeV, respectively. They are too large to agree with our data, thus giving higher χ^2/N values, 5.51 and 3.47, respectively.

IV. DISCUSSION

Neutron transfer on a ^{12}Be beam provides a clean probe of the structure of ^{13}Be . The energy loss of protons exiting the solid deuterium target limited the resolution in this measurement, necessitating the use of GEANT4 simulations as inputs into a Bayesian optimization to interpret the data. Fitting a three-point angular distribution gated on the first 0.55 MeV above threshold in the Q -value spectrum revealed three possible interpretations of the low-lying strength: (1) a single p -wave resonance, (2) a strong s -wave state and a weaker p -wave resonance, or (3) a strong s -wave state with a weaker d -wave resonance. In cases (2) and (3) the s wave accounted for more than 60% of the strength below 2 MeV.

Comparing the results from the current data in isolation with previous results showed agreement over the location of the higher-lying d -wave resonance. However, two (Refs. [14] and [17]) of the four previous measurements used in the comparison indicate a much broader d -wave structure than found in our work.

To make a complete comparison with previous results, the interpretations from the four measurements were used as inputs in the GEANT4 simulation of our experiment. The Q -value spectra from those simulations were compared with the measured Q -value spectrum. The best agreement was found for results from Corsi *et al.* and Ribeiro *et al.*, despite

having different centroids and widths for the lowest s -wave state. The much broader d -wave resonances used to interpret the results from Kondo and Randisi are incompatible with our measurement.

This measurement, combined with those from Corsi *et al.* and Ribeiro *et al.*, strongly suggest that, in addition to the d -wave resonance between 2.11 and 2.3 MeV, there is a p -wave resonance between 0.44 and 0.55 MeV, and an additional less understood s -wave strength. A future neutron-transfer measurement at a higher beam energy could provide the better resolution in Q value required to constrain the nature of this low-lying s -wave strength.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Contracts No. DE-FG02-96ER40963 (UTK) and No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 (ORNL), and by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Awards No. PHY-1404218 (Rutgers) and No. PHY-2011890 (Notre Dame). The authors are grateful for the support from NSERC, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, and the Nova Scotia Research and Innovation Trust. The authors gratefully acknowledge support from RCNP, a grant-in-aid program of the Japanese government. TRIUMF is supported by a contribution through the National Research Council, Canada. The authors are thankful to the TRIUMF ISAC beam delivery team for providing the ^{12}Be beam. This work was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Korea government (MSIT), Grants No. 2020R1A2C1005981 and No. 2016R1A5A1013277. This work was partially supported by Science and Technologies Facilities Council (STFC) Grant No. ST/L005743/1 (Surrey).

- [1] K. Kravvaris and A. Volya, Study of clustering in isotopes of beryllium, in *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on "State of the Art in Nuclear Cluster Physics" (SOTANCP4), 13–18 May 2018, Texas*, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 2038 (AIP, New York, 2018), p. 020026.
- [2] I. Tanihata, Neutron halos and exotic modes, *Nucl. Phys. A* **520**, c411 (1990).
- [3] T. Tarutina, I. Thompson, and J. Tostevin, Study of ^{14}Be with core excitation, *Nucl. Phys. A* **733**, 53 (2004).
- [4] P. Descouvemont, Halo structure of ^{14}Be in a microscopic $^{12}\text{Be} + n + n$ cluster model, *Phys. Rev. C* **52**, 704 (1995).
- [5] S. D. Pain, W. N. Catford, N. A. Orr, J. C. Angélique, N. I. Ashwood, V. Bouchat, N. M. Clarke, N. Curtis, M. Freer, B. R. Fulton, F. Hanappe, M. Labiche, J. L. Lecouey, R. C. Lemmon, D. Mahboub, A. Ninane, G. Normand, N. Soić, L. Stuttge, C. N. Timis *et al.*, Structure of ^{12}Be : Intruder d -Wave Strength at $N = 8$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 032502 (2006).
- [6] R. Kanungo, A. Gallant, M. Uchida, C. Andreoiu, R. Austin, D. Bandyopadhyay, G. Ball, J. Becker, A. Boston, H. Boston, B. Brown, L. Buchmann, S. Colosimo, R. Clark, D. Cline, D. Cross, H. Dare, B. Davids, T. Drake, M. Djongolov *et al.*, Structure of states in ^{12}Be via the $^{11}\text{Be}(d, p)$ reaction, *Phys. Lett. B* **682**, 391 (2010).
- [7] J. Chen, J. Lou, Y. Ye, Z. Li, D. Pang, C. Yuan, Y. Ge, Q. Li, H. Hua, D. Jiang, X. Yang, F. Xu, J. Pei, J. Li, W. Jiang, Y. Sun, H. Zang, Y. Zhang, N. Aoi, E. Ideguchi *et al.*, A new measurement of the intruder configuration in ^{12}Be , *Phys. Lett. B* **781**, 412 (2018).
- [8] J. G. Johansen, V. Bildstein, M. J. G. Borge, M. Cubero, J. Diriken, J. Elseviers, L. M. Fraile, H. O. U. Fynbo, L. P. Gaffney, R. Gernhäuser, B. Jonson, G. T. Koldste, J. Konki, T. Kröll, R. Krücken, D. Mücher, T. Nilsson, K. Nowak, J. Pakarinen, V. Pesudo *et al.*, Experimental study of bound states in ^{12}Be through low-energy $^{11}\text{Be}(d, p)$ -transfer reactions, *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 044619 (2013).
- [9] J. D. Bowman, A. M. Poskanzer, R. G. Korteling, and G. W. Butler, Discovery of Two Isotopes, ^{14}Be and ^{17}B , at the Limits of Particle Stability, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **31**, 614 (1973).
- [10] A. M. Poskanzer, S. W. Cospers, E. K. Hyde, and J. Cerny, New Isotopes: ^{11}Li , ^{14}B , and ^{15}B , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1271 (1966).
- [11] M. Thoennessen, S. Yokoyama, and P. G. Hansen, First evidence for low lying s -wave strength in ^{13}Be , *Phys. Rev. C* **63**, 014308 (2000).
- [12] G. Christian, W. Peters, D. Absalon, D. Albertson, T. Baumann, D. Bazin, E. Breitbach, J. Brown, P. Cole, D. Denby, P. DeYoung, J. Finck, N. Frank, A. Fritsch, C. Hall, A. Hayes,

- J. Hinnefeld, C. Hoffman, R. Howes, B. Luther *et al.*, Production of nuclei in neutron unbound states via primary fragmentation of ^{48}Ca , *Nucl. Phys. A* **801**, 101 (2008).
- [13] H. Simon, M. Meister, T. Aumann, M. Borge, L. Chulkov, U. D. Pramanik, T. Elze, H. Emling, C. Forssén, H. Geissel, M. Hellström, B. Jonson, J. Kratz, R. Kulesa, Y. Leifels, K. Markenroth, G. Münzenberg, F. Nickel, T. Nilsson, G. Nyman *et al.*, Systematic investigation of the drip-line nuclei ^{11}Li and ^{14}Be and their unbound subsystems ^{10}Li and ^{13}Be , *Nucl. Phys. A* **791**, 267 (2007).
- [14] Y. Kondo, T. Nakamura, Y. Satou, T. Matsumoto, N. Aoi, N. Endo, N. Fukuda, T. Gomi, Y. Hashimoto, M. Ishihara, S. Kawai, M. Kitayama, T. Kobayashi, Y. Matsuda, N. Matsui, T. Motobayashi, T. Nakabayashi, T. Okumura, H. Ong, T. Onishi *et al.*, Low-lying intruder state of the unbound nucleus ^{13}Be , *Phys. Lett. B* **690**, 245 (2010).
- [15] Y. Aksyutina, T. Aumann, K. Boretzky, M. J. G. Borge, C. Caesar, A. Chatillon, L. V. Chulkov, D. Cortina-Gil, U. Datta Pramanik, H. Emling, H. O. U. Fynbo, H. Geissel, G. Ickert, H. T. Johansson, B. Jonson, R. Kulesa, C. Langer, T. LeBlais, K. Mahata, G. Münzenberg *et al.*, Structure of the unbound nucleus ^{13}Be : One-neutron knockout reaction data from ^{14}Be analyzed in a holistic approach, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 064316 (2013).
- [16] J. L. Lecouey, Experimental studies of unbound neutron-rich nuclei, *Few-Body Syst.* **34**, 21 (2004).
- [17] G. Randisi, A. Leprince, H. Al Falou, N. A. Orr, F. M. Marqués, N. L. Achouri, J.-C. Angélique, N. Ashwood, B. Bastin, T. Bloxham, B. A. Brown, W. N. Catford, N. Curtis, F. Delaunay, M. Freer, E. de Góes Brennand, P. Haigh, F. Hanappe, C. Harlin, B. Laurent *et al.*, Structure of ^{13}Be probed via secondary-beam reactions, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 034320 (2014).
- [18] A. Korshennikov, E. Nikolskii, T. Kobayashi, D. Aleksandrov, M. Fujimaki, H. Kumagai, A. Ogloblin, A. Ozawa, I. Tanihata, Y. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida, Spectroscopy of ^{12}Be and ^{13}Be using a ^{12}Be radioactive beam, *Phys. Lett. B* **343**, 53 (1995).
- [19] A. Corsi, Y. Kubota, J. Casal, M. Gómez-Ramos, A. Moro, G. Authalet, H. Baba, C. Caesar, D. Calvet, A. Delbart, M. Dozono, J. Feng, F. Flavigny, J.-M. Gheller, J. Gibelin, A. Giganon, A. Gillibert, K. Hasegawa, T. Isobe, Y. Kanaya *et al.*, Structure of ^{13}Be probed via quasi-free scattering, *Phys. Lett. B* **797**, 134843 (2019).
- [20] D. V. Aleksandrov, E. A. Ganza, and Yu. A. Glukhov, Observation of the isotope ^{13}Be in the reaction $^{14}\text{C}(^7\text{Li}, ^8\text{B})$, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **37**, 474 (1983).
- [21] A. N. Ostrowski, H. G. Bohlen, A. S. Demyanova, B. Gebauer, R. Kalpakchieva, C. Langner, H. Lenske, M. von Lucke-Petsch, W. von Oertzen, A. A. Ogloblin, Y. E. Penionzhkevich, M. Wilpert, and T. Wilpert, Mass spectroscopy of ^{13}Be , *Z. Phys. A* **343**, 489 (1992).
- [22] W. von Oertzen, H. Bohlen, B. Gebauer, M. von Lucke-Petsch, A. Ostrowski, C. Seyfert, T. Stolla, M. Wilpert, T. Wilpert, D. Alexandrov, A. Korshennikov, I. Mukha, A. Ogloblin, R. Kalpakchieva, Y. Penionzhkevich, S. Piskor, S. Grimes, and T. Massey, Nuclear structure studies of very neutron-rich isotopes of ^{7-10}He , ^{9-11}Li , and $^{12-14}\text{Be}$ via two-body reactions, *Nucl. Phys. A* **588**, c129 (1995).
- [23] A. Belozyorov, R. Kalpakchieva, Y. Penionzhkevich, Z. Dlouhý, S. Piskor, J. Vincour, H. Bohlen, M. von Lucke-Petsch, A. Ostrowski, D. Alexandrov, E. Nikolskii, B. Novatskii, and D. Stepanov, Spectroscopy of ^{13}Be , *Nucl. Phys. A* **636**, 419 (1998).
- [24] B. R. Marks, P. A. DeYoung, J. K. Smith, T. Baumann, J. Brown, N. Frank, J. Hinnefeld, M. Hoffman, M. D. Jones, Z. Kohley, A. N. Kuchera, B. Luther, A. Spyrou, S. Stephenson, C. Sullivan, M. Thoennessen, N. Viscariello, and S. J. Williams, Population of ^{13}Be in a nucleon exchange reaction, *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, 054320 (2015).
- [25] G. Ribeiro, E. Nácher, O. Tengblad, P. Díaz Fernández, Y. Aksyutina, H. Alvarez-Pol, L. Atar, T. Aumann, V. Avdeichikov, S. Beceiro-Novo, D. Bemmerer, J. Benlliure, C. A. Bertulani, J. M. Boillos, K. Boretzky, M. J. G. Borge, M. Caamano, C. Caesar, E. Casarejos, W. Catford *et al.* (R³B Collaboration), Structure of ^{13}Be studied in proton knockout from ^{14}B , *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, 024603 (2018).
- [26] N. Poppelier, L. Wood, and P. Glaudemans, Properties of exotic p-shell nuclei, *Phys. Lett. B* **157**, 120 (1985).
- [27] H. T. Fortune, Unraveling the structure of ^{13}Be , *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 014305 (2013).
- [28] A. O. Macchiavelli, H. L. Crawford, C. M. Campbell, R. M. Clark, M. Cromaz, P. Fallon, M. D. Jones, I. Y. Lee, and M. Salathe, Analysis of spectroscopic factors in ^{11}Be and ^{12}Be in the Nilsson strong-coupling limit, *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 011302(R) (2018).
- [29] Z. Ren, B. Chen, Z. Ma, and G. Xu, Level inversion of $N=9$ isotones in the relativistic mean-field theory, *Z. Phys. A* **357**, 137 (1997).
- [30] P. Descouvemont, Evidence for particle stability of ^{13}Be in a microscopic cluster model, *Phys. Lett. B* **331**, 271 (1994).
- [31] Y. Kanada-En'yo, Breaking of $N=8$ magicity in ^{13}Be , *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 044320 (2012).
- [32] R. Kanungo, IRIS: The ISAC charged particle reaction spectroscopy facility for reaccelerated high-energy ISOL beams, *Hyperfine Interact.* **225**, 235 (2014).
- [33] J. Dilling, R. Krücken, and G. Ball, ISAC overview, in *ISAC and ARIEL: The TRIUMF Radioactive Beam Facilities and the Scientific Program*, edited by J. Dilling, R. Krücken, and L. Merminga (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2014), pp. 1–8.
- [34] YY1, Micron Semiconductors, <http://www.micronsemiconductor.co.uk/product/yy1/>.
- [35] S3, Micron Semiconductors, <http://www.micronsemiconductor.co.uk/product/s3/>.
- [36] J. Hooker, J. Kovoov, K. Jones, R. Kanungo, M. Alcorta, J. Allen, C. Andreoiu, L. Atar, D. Bardayan, S. Bhattacharjee, D. Blankstein, C. Burbadge, S. Burcher, W. Catford, S. Cha, K. Chae, D. Connolly, B. Davids, N. Esker, F. Garcia *et al.*, Use of Bayesian Optimization to understand the structure of nuclei, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* **512**, 6 (2022).
- [37] I. J. Thompson, Coupled reaction channels calculations in nuclear physics, *Comput. Phys. Rep.* **7**, 167 (1988).
- [38] J. A. Tostevin, University of Surrey version of the code TWOFNR (of M. Toyama, M. Igarashi, and N. Kishida) and code FRONT (private communication).
- [39] J. Lohr and W. Haeberli, Elastic scattering of 9–13 MeV vector polarized deuterons, *Nucl. Phys. A* **232**, 381 (1974).
- [40] C. Perey and F. Perey, Compilation of phenomenological optical-model parameters 1954–1975, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **17**, 1 (1976).
- [41] A. Koning and J. Delaroche, Local and global nucleon optical models from 1 keV to 200 MeV, *Nucl. Phys. A* **713**, 231 (2003).