

Reifications in Disease Ecology 2: Towards a Decolonized Pedagogy Enabling Science by, and for, the People

Luis Fernando Chaves, Nicole L. Gottdenker, Julie Velasquez Runk & Luke R. Bergmann

To cite this article: Luis Fernando Chaves, Nicole L. Gottdenker, Julie Velasquez Runk & Luke R. Bergmann (2023) Reifications in Disease Ecology 2: Towards a Decolonized Pedagogy Enabling Science by, and for, the People, *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, 34:3, 48-65, DOI: [10.1080/10455752.2022.2152065](https://doi.org/10.1080/10455752.2022.2152065)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10455752.2022.2152065>



© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 03 Jan 2023.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 1021



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Reifications in Disease Ecology 2: Towards a Decolonized Pedagogy Enabling Science by, and for, the People

Luis Fernando Chaves ^{a,b}, Nicole L. Gottdenker ^{c,d},
Julie Velasquez Runk^e and Luke R. Bergmann^f

^aInstituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, Ciudad de Panamá, Panamá;

^bDepartment of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Public Health, Indiana

University, Bloomington, IN, USA; ^cDepartment of Veterinary Pathology, University of

Georgia, Athens, GA, USA; ^dCenter for the Ecology of Infectious Diseases, University of

Georgia, Athens, GA, USA; ^eDepartment of Anthropology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA,

USA; ^fDepartment of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

ABSTRACT

In the second half of this essay about reifications in disease ecology, drawing upon our experience, we propose ideas and practices for invigorating a disease ecology by, and for, the people, guided by pedagogical principles and experiences that do not separate subjects and objects of study into roles and categories. Rather, we envision a disease ecology that seeks to understand relations, processes and contexts driving complex ecological phenomena like disease emergence. In doing so, we examine how science, when brought into a political pedagogy of context and relation, may become surprisingly helpful in moving beyond the *false erudition* that José Martí critiqued at the start of our colonial capitalist modernity.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 28 February 2022; Accepted 15 July 2022

KEYWORDS Colonialism; ecology; pedagogy of the oppressed; BLIPP (blame local indigenous and peasant populations) fables

For What Kind of Education?

The Cuban intellectual and national hero José Martí reflected extensively on the epistemological legacy of colonialism within Latin America. *Nuestra America* is one of his key texts, an essay that is widely studied in Latin America. Poetic fragments are included in elementary school Spanish textbooks by the craft of the language. Beyond its form, the essay also proposes ideas relevant to change the current epistemology of disease ecology. When

CONTACT Luke R. Bergmann  luke.bergmann@gmail.com  Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, Canada

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

discussing how Latin America should shape its identity, Martí asserted that “There is no fight between civilization and barbarism, rather between false erudition and nature” (Martí 1978). Disease ecology must reject the *false erudition* of the colonizer that sees the world in terms of civilization versus barbarism, and thus at the same time, transform its understanding of nature to encompass the decolonized human as well. A disease ecology that seeks to understand the ecology of pathogen transmission needs to demystify BLIPP (Blame Local Indigenous and Peasant Populations) fables within the historical context they emerged (Chaves et al. 2022b). In doing so, a radical disease ecology should be able to dialectically understand nature, untangling what we believe we understand from that which we socially construct, and vice-versa (Levins 1998). However, to achieve the necessary change, educational systems must have their colonialist roots removed, the grounding of Martí’s “false erudition” or “societal fascism” as defined by Boaventura De Sousa Santos (2015) when describing current global hegemonic epistemic neocolonialism.

Walter Rodney (1981) documented that the reasons for African underdevelopment are related to the European-led colonialist removal of material resources and human lives, through resource extraction in African lands and enslavement abroad. To ease the plundering, it was key for European powers to eliminate local educational systems in Africa. Rodney, for example, commented how Bemba children from Zimbabwe were able to identify up to sixty tree species as part of their engagement in swidden agriculture. That agriculture, with a strong understanding of biodiversity and ecological management was the basis for the Bemba survival. However, Bemba children had little knowledge about ornamental flowers, as that was less relevant within the context of their society. But in reducing the capacity for self-government and increasing the relevance of education for integration into the lower rungs of an increasingly global capitalist division of labor, it was helpful to devalue and undermine the transmission of traditional knowledge that emerged from peoples’ millennia of working across these lands, of interacting with, and shaping, lands. Indeed, Rodney asserts that as part of colonial domination, it was key to remove any education that was relevant for Africans. Part of it was done through the introduction of institutions that replaced what was present in Africa, but also through the introduction of values and practices that served the colonial masters.

This kind of persistent colonization is also present in Latin America, as described by Venezuelan historian Vladimir Acosta (2020), where an earlier drive toward independent nations has been constrained to continue allowing colonial extractivism. Acosta specifically identifies that colonialism persists through the engagement in economic development models and programs that are generated in the Global North. These programs are

unquestioned in educational systems that want to mimic those of former colonial masters, systems that de-emphasize important ongoing questions about identity in nations that have been created through a process of creative destruction, “mestizaje.” However, the deeply ingrained colonial mentality interferes with the realization of this new identity by negating its existence and sticking to class structures that work for the interests of stakeholders in the Global North engaged in extracting wealth from the Global South.

Some of the structural issues described by Rodney and Acosta could be used to help understand the difference between a “citizen science” for the people or communities, as suggested by the work of the Detroit Geographical Expedition and Institute discussed in the first half of this essay, and contemporary “citizen science.” The latter is still too often a means for data collection and not education; it is a process that separates the roles of scientists framing the inquiry and analyzing the data from citizens collecting the data. Too often, the process of citizen science, together with other forms of knowledge claims generated outside the academy, are increasingly serving neoliberal agendas that ultimately seek to appropriate, privatize and commodify common natural resources (Lave 2012), and do so by either externalizing research costs or creating “civil society” stakeholders that ultimately make governance increasingly less democratic under a simulated umbrella of representation that conflicts with the abilities of peoples, communities, and nations to participate in the re-appropriation and use of knowledge (Carroll et al. 2020; Latulippe and Klenk 2020).

Colonialist models of education can explain both the generation and adherence to fables like BLIPP, which are widespread among scientists—and not only among scientists—of the global North. The more alienated scholars become from the phenomena they study, the easier to control them from the perspective of a master that justifies its monopolization of power (political, economic, and ideational) via Western fables of the current ordering of the world.

In Latin America, we see both colonial and liberatory pedagogies of science. Our research has brought us to Panama, where we have learned about, participated in, and ultimately sought to co-develop scientific practices that structurally differ from mainstream disease ecology in the Global North. We have participated in research and training programs at Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, where the structure is not the pyramidal hierarchy of Principal Investigators having a battalion of minions to generate knowledge and train less experienced scientists. As we referred to in the first part of this essay, technicians and field assistants get engaged in the process of study design, site selection and sampling dynamics, in a dynamic process that also commonly involves the rural communities where our studies have taken place. In the process of knowledge generation and training all members of the parasitology department – the unit with

which we work more closely – get involved in most tasks, from sampling in the field, laboratory processing of samples, data analysis and interpretation as well as results reporting. This experience, we think, enriches both the individual and group perspective on the knowledge that is being generated. This dynamic also creates an environment of mutual respect and appreciation for complementary skills across team members and establishes protective barriers that impede the colonization of a research agenda that ultimately is crafted towards responding to Panamá's health problems. Similarly, the system is open to the promotion of young researchers through an evaluation system that evaluates their skills not only by papers produced and diplomas, but also by their constructive participation in group dynamics. Research papers tend to include all researchers and technicians involved in knowledge generation, with a golden rule where everyone involved in the process is invited to co-author manuscripts. This occurs against a background where the national research agenda of Panamá ultimately seeks the development of a national scientific cadre able to tackle the challenges of the country. Research funding for what are locally large-scale grants is on the scale of what would in the US be medium-scale science grants. As such, domestic opportunities often represent more financial resources than what would have been gathered through a subcontract from a large-scale grant (such as an R01 from the US NIH). The choice to participate in an international collaboration is thus taken more on the intellectual terms of the researcher. The Gorgas Institute offers an important example that many of us may wish to learn from and with.

We agree that education is a key component for a radical solution to social conditions leading to disease emergence and spillover. But not all education is created equal, either in terms of how it changes disease ecologies or our abilities to know them. A critical education becomes an imperative; we need education that allows individuals to understand their own problems in their local contexts, then propose, implement, and iterate on solutions. To explore how a critical education can change how we understand and respond to our disease ecologies and their wider social and ecological relations, we first highlight more examples of how critical education has been developed in contexts within the Global South.

José "Pepe" Mujica, the former president of Uruguay, who frequently is asked if his frugality is poverty, quotes Seneca: "It is not the man who has too little, but the man who craves more, that is poor" (Sarasqueta 2017). We are aware that by no means Mujica implies that we should live a life of deprivation; certainly, his government reduced poverty and advanced social reforms in Uruguay (Piñeiro and Cardeillac 2017; Leite 2020). Instead, with an open and generous heart, Mujica teaches us that a system that justifies grotesque inequality, through the accumulation of goods as a measure of success, is wrong. The position of Mujica, as a political leader

from the Global South, reflects what emerges when ideas about a critical education that changes people take a hold on a society (Leite 2019, 2020).

Mujica reflects the pedagogical insights of Paulo Freire, an innovative and revolutionary educator from Brazil, in his classical book *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (Freire [1970] 2014). In his book, whose arguments are built upon his first-hand experience as an educator, Freire untangles how mainstream educational systems have been designed for the purpose of creating a labor force dedicated to satisfy the purposes of the ruling class. Opposing the *tabula rasa* description of a student, where a passive reception of fixed knowledge is assumed for the person learning, Freire invites us to learn through a dialogue between people from different routes and at different stages in the path of life, creating the critical thinkers that we need for a better world:

... the more radical the person is, the more fully he or she enters into reality so that, knowing it better, he or she can transform it. This individual is not afraid to confront, to listen, to see the world unveiled. This person is not afraid to meet the people or to enter into a dialogue with them. This person does not consider himself or herself the proprietor of history or of all people, or the liberator of the oppressed; but he or she does commit himself or herself, within history, to fight at their side. (Freire 2014)

It is easy to imagine that if emphasis was to be put on increasing access to education, which is associated with reduced fertility, the demographic pressure on natural resources might decrease (Cohen 1997, 2005). But what if, as advocated and practiced in the aforementioned contexts, education in the South is repurposed to foster critical thinkers? Disease ecology would itself not be immune to transformation under such contexts. A disease ecology for, by, and of the South would likely foster resistance to the pervasive unequal development of the planet, relations and processes which are not only within-place but across very much between South and North. Disease ecology would affirm agricultural ecologies and human health as did Amílcar Cabral (Cohen 1998; César 2018), Ernesto “Che” Guevara (Guevara 2003, 2009) and many others whose names are yet lesser known to us (see, *inter alia*, Bellamy 2021; Laterra, Elisosoff, and Costantino 2021) who fought and still fight colonialism.

In the Global North, a push for a radical pedagogy is also necessary. First Nations philosopher Leanne Betasamosake Simpson has articulated engaged learning through land (Simpson 2017). For many peoples (foremost among them, Indigenous peoples), land is pedagogy, as process and context of intelligence. Simpson advocates learning through the land, through the interdependent relationships of many beings, or relationality, the skills, knowledge, and values, that we rebuild. In other efforts, curricula with ecosocialist pedagogic approaches are starting to take ground (Chattopadhyay, Gahman, and

Watson 2019); so is the teaching and contextualization of quantitative methods within the colonial framework where they were conceived (Zwiener-Collins et al. 2021). Still, currently, they are often siloed in particular disciplines within Anglophone academic spaces (Watson 2019). However, recent pedagogical movements such as the Poverty Initiative movements focus on activities such as collective self-study, music and art, leadership, and critical evaluation of the root causes of poverty (Baptist and Theoharis 2011).

From our experience, a more radical pedagogy for disease ecology has been brewing across the global South, probably favored by a more fluid intellectual dynamic unwilling to silo knowledge or alienate scientific practice from the many forms of learning. In Venezuela, well before the advent of “disease ecology,” training in “parasite ecology” was established at the most important higher education institutions in the country. Efforts were visibly led by José Vicente Scorza (1924–2016). Scorza was a school teacher also trained in biology and parasitology, a guerilla leader during the 1960s armed conflict in Venezuela, and a key player in the administration and founding of faculties, schools and programs for the study of the sciences, especially those regarding infectious diseases (Camacaro 2005). Jailed by his revolutionary struggle, Scorza spent time at “Carcel Modelo,” a major prison still existing in Venezuela. During that time, he organized fellow inmates to run the kitchen, improving nutrition and even saving money for the state that jailed him, while also teaching his fellow inmates that didn’t know how to read and write basic literacy, while also performing research on the behavior and bionomics of *Culex quinquefasciatus*, a major nuisance mosquito pest common at that prison (Scorza 1972) and everywhere across the tropics. These activities are thoroughly exemplary of the shape his effort took when able to found or lead many science and/or biology programs at higher education institutions in Venezuela.

Scorza’s achievements reflected the “ideological inclusiveness” of Venezuela, as this happened during governments that were not identified with the “left” and while the country was welcoming émigrés from the “left” cleansing happening in the southern Cone, with the arrival of Pinochet in Chile and the military dictatorships in Argentina, Uruguay and Brazil in the 1970s. An important aspect of this “ideological inclusiveness” of Venezuela was the appreciation for science as an abstract means for knowledge and the importance of critical education. Scorza, for example, had a mutually respectful relationship with Arnaldo Gabaldón, a pioneer in the science of malaria control and elimination (Gabaldón 1949). Gabaldón was part of the ruling establishment of Venezuela who had major ideological differences with Scorza, yet was simultaneously committed to using science to improve people’s health and well-being. Gabaldón had the critical capacities to understand Scorza’s work and to support it. Gabaldón was self-critical (e.g.

Gabaldón 1978) of the limitations of the approach he himself championed for malaria elimination. According to Scorza, a major source of frustration for Gabaldón was witnessing how Gabaldón's own successful efforts for malaria elimination, instead of helping to solve structural issues that might improve health in other ways, ended up producing the most benefits for those who were already rich, favoring the exacerbation of socioeconomic inequities and the emergence of new diseases (Camacaro 2005). A degree of critical education let Gabaldón see past some aspects of his own ideology and social position. Gabaldón was key in facilitating Scorza's successful return after self-imposed exile following Scorza's prison term in the 1960s and Scorza's successful engagement in the development of higher education in Venezuela (Camacaro 2005).

As the first elected dean at Universidad de Los Andes Faculty of Sciences, Scorza created a graduate program and undergraduate minor in parasitology. He also supported similar programs at Universidad Central de Venezuela and Universidad de Carabobo. The latter was led by Witremundo Torrealba and with Leonidas and Maria Deanne among the faculty (Brazilian exiles who lost their jobs after the intensification of Brazil's military dictatorship in the 1970s, despite being top parasitological researchers). In his position as an academic administrator, Scorza promoted inclusive environments for the incorporation of women in science – the parasitology group and the larger academic units he led had a 1:1 ratio between women and men – and a major tradition of naming health and academic institutions after scientists that were historically discriminated against by virtue of their race or beliefs. For instance, Scorza advocated naming a satellite campus of Universidad de Los Andes in honor of Rafael Rangel, a scientist who ended his life due to the continued discrimination he suffered by having African descent; Scorza also named his laboratory at the main campus in honor of Jose Francisco Torrealba, an infectious disease researcher who chose to live in rural Venezuela to better understand the socioecological relations of the diseases he studied while serving people suffering from these diseases (Camacaro 2005). Torrealba showed what is possible when one is less alienated from the field conditions of scientific work and from the ecological relations under study.

Central to Scorza's pedagogical school is the understanding that diseases are more than the expression of an infection by a pathogen; they are the expression of larger social inequities and problems. Thus, excellent research on the mere biology of pathogens is not enough to improve people's health and well-being. On top of this wider – and more dialectical – understanding of disease, Scorza was always a schoolteacher at heart. He preferred to be addressed as “maestro” (the title for school teachers in Venezuela) than “doctor” or “professor” (titles he said were preferred by people unsure of their own experience and that were not engaged in making people learn;

Añez 2016). And this philosophy proved essential for some of the impactful “disease ecology” that Scorza developed. For example, Scorza was the first to describe Leishmaniasis as an occupational disease of coffee collectors in Venezuela (Scorza et al. 1983; Scorza and Rojas 1988) and was a major advocate for the development of safe and cheap drugs for what he called diseases of poverty and social exclusion (Scorza-Dagert et al. 2006), diseases which have been rebranded as neglected tropical diseases over recent years in the global north (Hotez et al. 2006).

Another example worthy of study is Maria Carlota Monroy’s (1954–) school of disease ecology. Carlota’s research has revolutionized the understanding of Chagas disease, developing impactful multidisciplinary research headquartered at Universidad San Carlos, the main and most socially inclusive university in Guatemala. Carlota’s research has been evolving through the years from looking at parasitological aspects of onchocerciasis (Monroy 1979) and basic ecological aspects of *Triatoma dimidiata* (Monroy et al. 2003), the kissing bug that across most of Mesoamerica is the main vector of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the parasite causing Chagas disease, to a fully articulated research and intervention agenda that bit by bit helped to reduce Chagas disease burden in the remote villages of Guatemala where Carlota’s group has focused their research (Monroy et al. 2022).

From Carlota, we have learned that the problem of house infestation by kissing bugs should not be merely seen as an expression of the bug’s dispersal ability, but, taking a dialectical approach, also demands examining housing quality as a factor that modulates the interaction between bugs, parasites and humans. From this point, her research has involved civil and environmental engineers that have helped with a local and sustainable housing design while promoting local economies that use local resources that are not dependent on larger circuits of trade. And as someone whose research is primarily concerned with people’s health and well-being, her research advocates improving housing quality not solely to interrupt Chagas’ disease transmission but also to improve health via access to quality water as well as developing and implementing technologies in the remote communities where they are needed (Monroy et al. 2009; Lucero et al. 2013). Another dialectical dimension of Carlota’s research, where Hegel’s “the truth is the whole” is practiced, incorporates critical social scientists and their interpretative frameworks when crafting alternatives that reduce Chagas’ disease transmission risk. These approaches improve the process and interpretation of community engagement, using communitarian framings as opposed to default individualistic assumptions often held by western academics not trained in critical social science (López et al. 2019).

Regarding our own work in Panamá, part of the process and contribution has been supporting the decolonization of the biomedical research agenda, which was conceived and shaped to satisfy health needs to run the

Panamá Canal Zone, a colonial outpost created by the US to control international trade (Sutter 2007), a creation with a narrow conception of the populations that deserved an environment of health and wellbeing (Gorgas 1909; Chaves 2018). In that sense, a constant struggle has been doing research outside of the historical Canal Zone, where little is known about the local ecology of endemic zoonotic diseases such as Chagas disease (Rodríguez et al. 2018; Pineda et al. 2022) and leishmaniasis (Calzada et al. 2013; Saldaña et al. 2013; González et al. 2015; Rigg et al. 2021). We also have tried to understand how to render malaria elimination feasible, both looking at vectors, particularly their ecology under changing environments (Hurtado et al. 2018, 2020; Rigg et al. 2019a, 2019b), and parasite circulation and genetic structure (Santamaría et al. 2020, 2021). In these efforts, we have tried to examine diseases relationally within wider socioecological relations, emphasizing that disease ecology is not only ecological but also social in nature (e.g. Fung et al. 2014; Yamada et al. 2016; Hurtado et al. 2020). Yet we think there is space for more relational research, which we explore and advocate for in the following sections.

Blaming Neocolonial Relations from Global Uneven Development, Not the Victims

The greater the attention to relations offered by education, the less natural the BLIPP fable will seem, whether to disease ecologists or to others. Instead, especially as (neo-)colonialism is called into question, disease emergence as an expression of uneven geographical development (Amin 1973; Bunge 1977; Harvey 2006) and associated unequal global relations (Mies 2014) comes into focus.

Questioning “the causes of the causes” of disease and its emergence suddenly appears more urgent; we might ask questions about how political economy and imperialism can drive land use change through population growth. This is the argument of Benjamin White (1973) about Java island, where taxation pressures from Dutch colonial rulers were met by increasing the labor force biologically. Unused land needed to be productive, generating wealth for the colonial masters, and generating latifundia that destroyed biodiversity. We can ask how a colonialist legacy still produces laws that support land appropriation, or expropriation, for the sake of not underutilizing land in places far apart like Indonesia (Peluso and Vandergeest 2001; Kelley et al. 2020) and Costa Rica (Rosero-Bixby and Palloni 1998; León Araya 2015). Is it mere coincidence or do we find the same stakeholders benefit from a legal framework crafted by uneven power relationships?

Theories about uneven development have been used to study several environmental issues. It has been shown that deforestation follows an unequal ecological exchange (Hornborg 2022) where richer nations get

wood from poorer nations, externalizing environmental degradation to poorer nations (Jorgenson 2006). Similar patterns have been documented for carbon emissions (Bergmann 2013, 2017) and more generally for the trade of commodities as major drivers for land use change (Bergmann and Holmberg 2016), which can include deforestation (Curtis et al. 2018). Indeed, as recently shown, increased profits for commodity crop investors are connected with forest loss (Ceddia 2020). Even patterns of COVID-19 spread can be more comprehensively understood as an expression of uneven development. For example, in Mesoamerica and the Caribbean, nations more engaged in free trade were more likely to have an exacerbated burden of disease during the first one hundred days of transmission as measured by diverse epidemiological parameters, including cases, deaths, and exponential growth patterns in the number of new infections (Chaves et al. 2022a).

Similarly, in Costa Rica, malaria is not always present in areas where its mosquito vector, *Anopheles albimanus*, is likely present, but is restricted to areas where the disease co-occurs with labor relations that are characteristic of the Plantationocene (Rhodes et al. 2022) – landscapes where degraded environmental, health and socioeconomic conditions result from commoditization of crops that severely undermine local food sovereignty to feed delicacies to more affluent global markets (Wolford 2021). The new stage in the Costa Rican Plantationocene repeats malaria's history in Costa Rica, where uninterrupted development of a plantation economy, currently driven by pineapples, the main commodity produced in Costa Rica (CANAPEP 2021), took the role that banana plantations historically had in generating malaria transmission (Chaves et al. 2020) and snakebite niches as described in the novels *Mamita Yunai* by Carlos Luis Fallas ([1941] 2013) and *Puerto Limón* by Joaquín Gutiérrez Mangel ([1950] 1977). These works of literature depict the feudal-like relationships between plantation workers, who were treated like *serfs de la glèbe* (Santos 2000), and the plantation company masters, who, like feudal lords, had no major interest in the wellbeing of landless people generating the company surplus capital while working the land. Both *Mamita Yunai* and *Puerto Limón* also depict the major role that the organizing of labor unions had in improving the living conditions of workers. Organizing around solidarity principles was critical to the social reforms that resulted in a public and universal healthcare system that historically made Costa Rica a positive outlier of population health and wellbeing within Latin America (Unger et al. 2008). Unfortunately, these public health achievements are disappearing (Badilla Solano 2021; Chaves et al. 2021) as Costa Rica increasingly engages in the neoliberal gospel of public health austerity (Wallace et al. 2018).

While dispossessed groups raise their voice with concern about the concentration of land in few hands (Wright and Wolford 2003), the BLIPP fable

leads our attention elsewhere, helping us ignore a major root cause for many environmental and social problems. The roles of colonial land relations and land tenure were extensively documented even by Alexander Humboldt when denouncing the deplorable conditions of Indigenous populations and enslaved Africans in the latifundia of the tropical regions he visited (Foner 1983) or 100 years ago by Alfred Russell Wallace (1906), the commonly unaccredited father of organismal evolutionary theory (Wallace 2016), who advocated for reforms in land tenure as a major need for biological species conservation. More needs to be done to address how inequities in land are major drivers of disease emergence and persistence. The evidence keeps accumulating, from early insightful studies (Celli 1908, 1933), to recent mathematical modeling (Chaves 2013) and observations about the emergence and encroachment of malaria and other tropical diseases in areas where land use is dominated by latifundia and the poverty they foster, as observed in Costa Rica (Chaves et al. 2008, 2015, 2020), Panamá (Fung et al. 2014; Yamada et al. 2016; Hurtado et al. 2020) and distant places like Alabama (McKenna et al. 2017) and Texas (Hotez 2018).

Radical Science with An Open Heart

A different kind of science is possible. Its origins do not need to be found in the latest statistical techniques or in “global” syntheses somehow disconnected from “local” knowledge and experience. With the right conceptual adjustments, the new techniques can help us answer pressing questions instead of feeding BLIPP fables and other modern myths.

In Japan, in a manner not assimilated by the hegemony of western capitalist ideology, humans are seen as an essential part of the natural landscape. The sentiment is instilled early on. In the popular animated film, “My Neighbor Totoro,” sisters Satsuki and Mei enjoy their time in their field house with Totoro and other Shintoist spirits. The grace of nature arrives as the children interact with beings of the forest: spirits, animals, and plants. Beyond the esthetic beauty of enjoying nature on its own terms, we are asked to understand that there is a struggle between humans and other species as a dialectical process with contradictions back and forth (Napier 2000). Cultures elsewhere have similar views about nature. The Wounaan from Panamá have an everyday relationality where transference and transformation with animals and other beings is foundational for their morality and co-existence, as a unity, with the environment (Runk, Peña Ismare, and Peña Conquista 2019). In contrast to fundamentalist Darwinism that was recruited to justify capitalism from the nineteenth century on, interactions between different species aren’t always matters of unequal competition and exclusion and so need not serve as the basis of a voracious extractivism (Kropotkin

1939; Sheppard 2016). Ecological relationships are often also directly mutually beneficial or in their emergent complexity more broadly enriching. Indeed, humanity depends on these relationships, operating far beyond our command and control, for its very existence (Levins 2008).

The Japanese expression “kokoro no semai hito” literally translates as a “person with a narrow heart.” It is often translated in English as a “person with a narrow mind,” lacking an understanding common to the Japanese and other languages where the mind and the heart are a unity. As we have argued up to this point, having a narrow approach will unlikely help the world prevent the emergence of new diseases. In fact, it may make matters worse through the path dependence of entrenchment in ideas and institutional arrangements: efforts to scientifically describe disease emergence without reference to more sociopolitical circumstances exclude attempts to include them. But that’s only half the battle. Changing the world also requires action. Some of that work includes scholarly research, yes. However, the emergence of political organization that rejects the expectation that land is a source of commodities and a commodity itself is also needed.

As argued by Milton Santos and others, a different world is possible (Santos 2000; Saed 2016). When workers, farmers, Indigenous, scientists, and many other people come together to tackle Richard Levins’s question, “Where is the rest of the world?” The answer for emerging diseases finds prophylaxes far beyond innovative vaccines, antibiotics and antivirals. They extend to housing improvement, land reform, public health and healthcare for all, and ending the vicious cycle of unequal development, commoditization, and colonization driving the worst of the new pathogens.

We can take the stand of Ashitaka in “Princess Mononoke,” Hayao Miyazaki’s masterpiece about humanized environmental conservation (Napier 2000). In the face of the destruction of the forest and its spiritual poisoning, Ashitaka and San, the Princess Mononoke, come to terms helping the forest spirit to recover its head and rest in peace. Ashitaka commits to help Lady Eboshi in building a new human settlement that improves the lives of people but is also no longer a threat to the forest. Ashitaka promises to visit his beloved San among the trees he will always fight to protect and preserve. We can make better cities in the tradition of Lady Eboshi and Ashitaka. With an open heart, we can live and learn from the spirits in the forests upon which we depend.

Acknowledgements

This essay is an alternative elaboration upon an initial draft previously published as a Pandemic REsearch for the People (PREP) dispatch. The authors thank all members of the PREP Agroecology group, especially Rob Wallace and Tammi Jonas, for their encouragement to put together ideas about land use change and disease emergence.

We also thank our many friends and colleagues at the Instituto Conmemorativo Gorgas de Estudios de la Salud, in Panamá, for many shared experiences that served as a basis for the thoughts presented here. Mariel Dalmi Friberg, Ivette Perfecto, Chystrie Rigg, Lisbeth Hurtado and José Calzada kindly read an earlier draft of this manuscript. This work was funded by NSF CNH2-1924200, Indiana University, the University of Georgia and the University of British Columbia. This research was also undertaken, in part, thanks to support from the Canada Research Chairs Program.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by the United States National Science Foundation grant CNH2-1924200; the Canada Research Chairs Program; the University of Georgia Indiana University; and the University of British Columbia.

ORCID

Luis Fernando Chaves  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5301-2764>

Nicole L. Gottdenker  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3499-2410>

References

- Acosta, Vladimir. 2020. *Salir de la Colonia*. Caracas: Editorial Galac.
- Amin, Samir. 1973. *Le développement inégal. Essai sur les formations sociales du capitalisme périphérique*. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit.
- Añez, Néstor. 2016. "Un Adiós a José Vicente Scorza (1924-2016)." *Boletín de Malariología y Salud Ambiental* 56: 248–250.
- Badilla Solano, A. 2021. "La Seguridad Social costarricense frente el COVID-19: cuando la incertidumbre económica es peor que la incertidumbre epidemiológica." *Comunitania. Revista Internacional de Trabajo Social y Ciencias Sociales* 22: 49–64.
- Baptist, Willie, and Liz Theoharis. 2011. "Teach as we Fight, Learn as we Lead: Lessons in Pedagogy and the Poverty Initiative Model.." In *Pedagogy of the Poor: Building the Movement to end Poverty*, 160–177. New York City: Teachers College Press.
- Bellamy, Clara. 2021. "Insurgency, Land Rights and Feminism: Zapatista Women Building Themselves as Political Subjects." *Agrarian South: Journal of Political Economy* 10: 86–109.
- Bergmann, Luke. 2013. "Bound by Chains of Carbon: Ecological-Economic Geographies of Globalization." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 103: 1348–1370.
- Bergmann, Luke. 2017. "Towards Economic Geographies Beyond the Nature-Society Divide." *Geoforum* 85: 324–335.

- Bergmann, Luke, and Mollie Holmberg. 2016. "Land in Motion." *Annals of the American Association of Geographers* 106: 932–956.
- Bunge, William. 1977. "The Point of Reproduction: A Second Front." *Antipode* 9: 60–76.
- Calzada, J. E., A. Saldaña, C. Rigg, A. Valderrama, L. Romero, and L. F. Chaves. 2013. "Changes in Phlebotomine Sand fly Species Composition Following Insecticide Thermal Fogging in a Rural Setting of Western Panamá." *PLoS One* 8: e53289.
- Camacaro, D. 2005. *José Vicente Scorza: "La Paradoja como Vida"*. Valencia, Venezuela: Instituto de Altos Estudios de Salud Pública "Dr. Arnoldo Gabaldon".
- CANAEPE. 2021. "Estadísticas." Accessed December 14, 2021. <https://canaep.com/estadisticas/>.
- Carroll, S. R., I. Garba, O. L. Figueroa-Rodríguez, J. Holbrook, R. Lovett, S. Materechera, M. Parsons, et al. 2020. "The CARE Principles for Indigenous Data Governance." *Data Science Journal* 19: 43.
- Ceddia, M. G. 2020. "The Super-Rich and Cropland Expansion via Direct Investments in Agriculture." *Nature Sustainability* 3: 312–318.
- Celli, A. 1908. "La Malaria in Italia Durante il 1907. Ricerche Epidemiologiche e Profilattiche." *Atti Della Società per gli Studi Della Malaria* 9: 675–729.
- Celli, A. 1933. *The History of Malaria in the Roman Campagna from Ancient Times*. London: John Bale, Sons & Danielsson, Ltd.
- César, F. 2018. "Meteorisations: Reading Amílcar Cabral's Agronomy of Liberation." *Third Text* 32: 254–272.
- Chattopadhyay, S., L. Gahman, and J. Watson. 2019. "Ecosocialist Pedagogies: Introduction." *Capitalism Nature Socialism* 30: 26–30.
- Chaves, L. F. 2013. "The Dynamics of Latifundia Formation." *PLoS One* 8: e82863.
- Chaves, L. F. 2018. "The Dialectics of Malaria Bednet use in Sub-Saharan Africa." In *The Whole is the Truth: Essays in Honor of Richard Levins*, edited by T. Awerbuch, M. S. Clark, and P. J. Taylor, 56–80. Arlington, MA: The Pumping Station.
- Chaves, L. F., T.-W. Chuang, M. Sasa, and J. M. Gutiérrez. 2015. "Snakebites are Associated with Poverty, Weather Fluctuations, and El Niño." *Science Advances* 1: e1500249.
- Chaves, L. F., J. M. Cohen, M. Pascual, and M. I. Wilson. 2008. "Social Exclusion Modifies Climate and Deforestation Impacts on a Vector-Borne Disease." *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2: e176.
- Chaves, L. F., M. D. Friberg, L. A. Hurtado, R. Marín Rodríguez, D. O'Sullivan, and L. R. Bergmann. 2022a. "Trade, Uneven Development and People in Motion: Used Territories and the Initial Spread of COVID-19 in Mesoamerica and the Caribbean." *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences* 76: 101161.
- Chaves, L. F., M. Ramírez Rojas, S. Delgado Jiménez, M. Prado, and R. Marín Rodríguez. 2021. "Housing Quality Improvement is Associated with Malaria Transmission Reduction in Costa Rica." *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences* 74: 100951.
- Chaves, L. F., M. Ramírez Rojas, M. Prado, J. L. Garcés, D. Salas Peraza, and R. Marín Rodríguez. 2020. "Health Policy Impacts on Malaria Transmission in Costa Rica." *Parasitology* 147: 999–1007.
- Chaves, L. F., J. V. Runk, L. R. Bergmann, and N. Gottdenker. 2022b. "Reifications in Disease Ecology 1: Demystifying Land use Change in Pathogen Emergence." *Capitalism Nature Socialism*. doi:10.1080/10455752.2022.2144397.
- Cohen, J. E. 1997. "Population, Economics, Environment and Culture: An Introduction to Human Carrying Capacity." *Journal of Applied Ecology* 34: 1325–1333.

- Cohen, S. 1998. "Amílcar Cabral: An Extraction from the Literature." *Monthly Review* 50: 39–48.
- Cohen, J. E. 2005. "Human Population Grows up." *Scientific American* 293: 48–55.
- Curtis, P. G., C. M. Slay, N. L. Harris, A. Tyukavina, and M. C. Hansen. 2018. "Classifying Drivers of Global Forest Loss." *Science* 361: 1108–1111.
- De Sousa Santos, B. 2015. *Epistemologies of the South: Justice Against Epistemicide*. New York: Routledge.
- Fallas, C. L. 2013. *Mamita yunai*. San José, Costa Rica: Edit.
- Foner, P. S. 1983. "Alexander Von Humboldt on Slavery in America." *Science & Society* 47: 330–342.
- Freire, P. 2014. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. New York: Bloomsbury.
- Fung, H. L., J. Calzada, A. Saldaña, A. M. Santamaria, V. Pineda, K. Gonzalez, L. F. Chaves, B. Garner, and N. Gottdenker. 2014. "Domestic dog Health Worsens with Socio-Economic Deprivation of Their Home Communities." *Acta Tropica* 135: 67–74.
- Gabaldón, A. 1949. "The Nation-Wide Campaign Against Malaria in Venezuela." *Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 43: 113–132.
- Gabaldón, A. 1978. "What Can and Cannot Be Achieved with Conventional Anti-Malaria Measures." *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 27: 653–658.
- González, K., J. E. Calzada, A. Saldaña, C. Rigg, G. Alvarado, B. Rodríguez-Herrera, U. D. Kitron, et al. 2015. "Survey of Wild Mammal Hosts of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Parasites in Panamá and Costa Rica." *Tropical Medicine and Health* 43: 75–78.
- Gorgas, W. C. 1909. "The Conquest of the Tropics for the White Race." *JAMA* 52: 1967–1969.
- Guevara, E. C. 2003. *Che Guevara Reader: Writings on Politics and Revolution*. North Melbourne: Ocean Press.
- Guevara, E. C. 2009. *Che: The Diaries of Ernesto Che Guevara*. North Melbourne: Ocean Press.
- Gutiérrez, M. J. 1977. *Puerto Limón*. San José, Costa Rica: Edit.
- Harvey, D. 2006. *Spaces of Global Capitalism*. New York: Verso.
- Hornborg, A. 2022. "Ecologically Unequal Exchange Theory as Genuine Materialism: A Response to Somerville." *Capitalism Nature Socialism* 33 (2): 79–84.
- Hotez, P. J. 2018. "The Rise of Neglected Tropical Diseases in the 'new Texas'." *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 12: e0005581.
- Hotez, P. J., D. H. Molyneux, A. Fenwick, E. Ottesen, S. Ehrlich Sachs, and J. D. Sachs. 2006. "Incorporating a Rapid-Impact Package for Neglected Tropical Diseases with Programs for HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria." *PLoS Medicine* 3: e102.
- Hurtado, L., A. Cumbreña, C. Rigg, M. Perea, A. M. Santamaria, L. F. Chaves, D. Moreno, et al. 2020. "Long-term Transmission Patterns and Public Health Policies Leading to Malaria Elimination in Panamá." *Malaria Journal* 19: 265.
- Hurtado, L. A., C. A. Rigg, J. E. Calzada, S. Dutary, D. Bernal, S. I. Koo, and L. F. Chaves. 2018. "Population Dynamics of *Anopheles albimanus* (Diptera: Culicidae) at Ipetí-Guna, a Village in a Region Targeted for Malaria Elimination in Panamá." *Insects* 9: 164.
- Jorgenson, A. K. 2006. "Unequal Ecological Exchange and Environmental Degradation: A Theoretical Proposition and Cross-National Study of Deforestation, 1990–2000." *Rural Sociology* 71: 685–712.
- Kelley, L. C., N. L. Peluso, K. M. Carlson, and S. Afiff. 2020. "Circular Labor Migration and Land-Livelihood Dynamics in Southeast Asia's Concession Landscapes." *Journal of Rural Studies* 73: 21–33.

- Kropotkin, P. A. 1939. *Mutual Aid: A Factor of Evolution*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books Ltd.
- Lattera, P., M. J. Eliaoff, and A. Costantino. 2021. "Austerity Programs in Argentina and the Structural Continuity of Extractivism: A Feminist Perspective." *Agrarian South: Journal of Political Economy* 10: 110–138.
- Latulippe, N., and N. Klenk. 2020. "Making Room and Moving Over: Knowledge co-Production, Indigenous Knowledge Sovereignty and the Politics of Global Environmental Change Decision-Making." *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 42: 7–14.
- Lave, R. 2012. "Neoliberalism and the Production of Environmental Knowledge." *Environment and Society* 3: 19–38.
- Leite, I. 2019. "Innovation in Uruguay: New Perspectives on Public Policies and Education." *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences* 7: 1.
- Leite, I. 2020. "Society, Public Policies and Education: Alternative Approaches in Uruguay." *Journal for Critical Education Policy Studies (JCEPS)* 18: 1.
- León Araya, A. 2015. *Desarrollo geográfico desigual en Costa Rica. El ajuste estructural visto desde la región Huélar Norte (1985-2005)*. San José, Costa Rica: Editorial Universidad de Costa Rica.
- Levins, R. 1998. "The Internal and External in Explanatory Theories." *Science as Culture* 7: 557–582.
- Levins, R. 2008. "Living the 11th Thesis." *Monthly Review* 59: 29–37.
- López, J. D. S., M. C. Monroy, P. Dorn, S. Castellanos, R. Lima, and A. Rodas. 2019. "Effect of Community Education in an Integrate Control for *Triatoma dimidiata* (Hemiptera: Reduviidae)." *Revista Cubana de Medicina Tropical* 71: 1–18.
- Lucero, D. E., L. A. Morrissey, D. M. Rizzo, A. Rodas, R. Garnica, L. Stevens, D. M. Bustamante, and M. C. Monroy. 2013. "Ecohealth Interventions Limit *Triatomine* Reinfestation Following Insecticide Spraying in La Brea, Guatemala." *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 88: 630–637.
- Martí, J. 1978. *Nuestra América*. Caracas: Fundación Biblioteca Ayacucho.
- McKenna, M. L., S. McAtee, P. E. Bryan, R. Jeun, T. Ward, J. Kraus, M. E. Bottazzi, P. J. Hotez, C. C. Flowers, and R. Mejia. 2017. "Human Intestinal Parasite Burden and Poor Sanitation in Rural Alabama." *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 97: 1623–1628.
- Mies, M. 2014. *Patriarchy and Accumulation on a World Scale: Women in the International Division of Labour*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Monroy, M. C. 1979. *Infección experimental de Simulium ochraceum con microfilarias de Onchocerca volvulus*. Universidad San Carlos de Guatemala, Tesis de Licenciatura.
- Monroy, C., D. M. Bustamante, S. Pineda, A. Rodas, X. Castro, V. Ayala, J. Quiñones, and B. Moguel. 2009. "House Improvements and Community Participation in the Control of *Triatoma dimidiata* re-Infestation in Jutiapa, Guatemala." *Cadernos de Saúde Pública* 25: S168–S178.
- Monroy, M. C., D. M. Bustamante, A. G. Rodas, M. E. Enriquez, and R. G. Rosales. 2003. "Habitats, Dispersion and Invasion of Sylvatic *Triatoma dimidiata* (Hemiptera: Reduviidae: Triatominae) in Petén, Guatemala." *Journal of Medical Entomology* 40: 800–806.
- Monroy, M. C., D. Penados, J. Pineda, E. L. Ruiz, E. O. Agreda, B. Alcantara, A. Rodas, et al. 2022. "A Multidisciplinary, Collaborative, Inter-Agency and Comprehensive Approach for the Control of Chagas Disease as a Public Health Problem in Guatemala." *Acta Tropica* 225: 106157.

- Napier, S. J. 2000. *Anime from Akira to Princess Mononoke: Experiencing Contemporary Japanese Animation*. New York: Palgrave.
- Peluso, N. L., and P. Vandergest. 2001. "Genealogies of the Political Forest and Customary Rights in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand." *The Journal of Asian Studies* 60: 761–812.
- Pineda, V. J., K. A. González, M. Perea, C. Rigg, J. E. Calzada, L. F. Chaves, V. Vásquez, F. Samudio, N. Gottdenker, and A. Saldaña. 2022. "Surveillance and Genotype Characterization of Zoonotic Trypanosomatidae in *Didelphis marsupialis* in two Endemic Sites of Rural Panama." *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife* 17: 20–25.
- Piñero, D. E., and J. Cardeillac. 2017. "The Frente Amplio and Agrarian Policy in Uruguay." *Journal of Agrarian Change* 17: 365–380.
- Rhodes, C. G., J. R. Loaiza, L. M. Romero, J. M. Gutiérrez Alvarado, G. Delgado, O. Rojas Salas, M. Ramírez Rojas, et al. 2022. "Anopheles albimanus (Diptera: Culicidae) Ensemble Distribution Modeling: Applications for Malaria Elimination." *Insects* 13: 221.
- Rigg, C. A., J. E. Calzada, A. Saldaña, M. Perea, L. F. Chaves, and A. Valderrama. 2019a. "Leishmania spp. Infection Rate and Feeding Patterns of Sand Flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) from a Hyperendemic Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Community in Panamá." *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 100: 798–807.
- Rigg, C. A., L. A. Hurtado, J. E. Calzada, and L. F. Chaves. 2019b. "Malaria Infection Rates in *Anopheles albimanus* (Diptera: Culicidae) at Ipetí-Guna, a Village Within a Region Targeted for Malaria Elimination in Panamá." *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 69: 216–223.
- Rigg, C. A., M. Perea, K. González, A. Saldaña, J. E. Calzada, Y. Gao, N. L. Gottdenker, and L. F. Chaves. 2021. "Diversity, Co-Occurrence, and Nestedness Patterns of Sand Fly Species (Diptera: Psychodidae) in Two Rural Areas of Western Panamá." *Insects* 12: 113.
- Rodney, W. 1981. *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa*. Washington, DC: Howard University Press.
- Rodríguez, I. G., A. Saldaña, K. González, V. Pineda, M. Perea, A. M. Santamaría, C. C. de Junca, L. F. Chaves, and J. E. Calzada. 2018. "Trypanosoma cruzi Infection in *Rhodnius pallescens* (Heteroptera: Reduviidae) Infesting Coyol Palms in the Dry Arch of Panamá." *Journal of Medical Entomology* 55: 691–700.
- Rosero-Bixby, L., and A. Palloni. 1998. "Population and Deforestation in Costa Rica." *Population and Environment* 20: 149–185.
- Runk, J. V., C. Peña Ismare, and T. Peña Conquista. 2019. "Animal Transference and Transformation Among Wounaan." *The Journal of Latin American and Caribbean Anthropology* 24: 32–51.
- Saed. 2016. "The Enduring Relevance of State-Socialism." *Capitalism Nature Socialism* 27: 1–15.
- Saldaña, A., L. F. Chaves, C. A. Rigg, C. Wald, J. E. Smucker, and J. E. Calzada. 2013. "Clinical Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Rates Are Associated with Household *Lutzomyia gomezi*, *Lu. panamensis*, and *Lu. trapidoi* Abundance in Trinidad de Las Minas, Western Panama." *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 88: 572–574.
- Santamaría, A. M., V. Vásquez, C. Rigg, D. Moreno, L. Romero, C. Justo, L. F. Chaves, A. Saldaña, and J. E. Calzada. 2020. "Plasmodium falciparum Genetic Diversity in Panamá Based on *Glurp*, *msp-1* and *msp-2* Genes: Implications for Malaria Elimination in Mesoamerica." *Life (Chicago, Ill)* 10: 319.

- Santamaría, A. M., V. Vásquez, C. Rigg, F. Samudio, D. Moreno, L. Romero, A. Saldaña, L. F. Chaves, and J. E. Calzada. 2021. "Plasmodium vivax Genetic Diversity in Panama: Challenges for Malaria Elimination in Mesoamerica." *Pathogens* (Basel, Switzerland) 10: 989.
- Santos, M. 2000. *Por uma Outra Globalização: Do Pensamento Único à Consciência Universal*. Rio de Janeiro: Record.
- Sarasqueta, G. 2017. "El Mayo latinoamericano: José Mujica, Rafael Correa y los relatos de la nueva izquierda." *Question* 1: 338–358.
- Scorza-Dagert, J. V., C. Morales, Y. Petit de Peña, L. Vásquez, E. Rojas, and J. V. Scorza. 2006. "Synthesis of a Pentavalent Antimonial Complex (Ulamina) and its Experimental Application for Treatment of Localized Cutaneous Leishmaniasis in Venezuela." *Boletín de Malariología y Salud Ambiental* 46: 59–65.
- Scorza, J. V. 1972. *Observaciones Bionómicas sobre Culex pipiens fatigans Wied, 1821 de Venezuela*. Mérida: Universidad de Los Andes.
- Scorza, J. V., and E. Rojas. 1988. "Caficultura y leishmaniasis tegumentaria en Venezuela." *Boletín de la Dirección de Malariología y Saneamiento Ambiental* 28: 114–127.
- Scorza, J. V., M. Valera, E. Moreno, and R. Jaimes. 1983. "Epidemiologic Survey of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis: An Experience in Mérida, Venezuela." *Bulletin of the Pan American Health Organization* 17: 361–374.
- Sheppard, E. 2016. *Limits to Globalization: Disruptive Geographies of Capitalist Development*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Simpson, L. B. 2017. *As We Have Always Done: Indigenous Freedom Through Radical Resistance*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Sutter, P. S. 2007. "Nature's Agents or Agents of Empire? Entomological Workers and Environmental Change During the Construction of the Panama Canal." *Isis* 98: 724–754.
- Unger, J.-P., P. De Paepe, R. Buitrón, and W. Soors. 2008. "Costa Rica: Achievements of a Heterodox Health Policy." *American Journal of Public Health* 98: 636–643.
- Wallace, A. R. 1906. *Land Nationalisation: Its Necessity and Its Aims*. London: Swan Sonnenschein & Co, Lim.
- Wallace, R. G. 2016. *Big Farms Make Big Flu: Dispatches on Influenza, Agribusiness, and the Nature of Science*. New York City: Monthly Review Press.
- Wallace, R., L. F. Chaves, L. R. Bergmann, C. Ayres, L. Hogerwerf, R. Kock, and R. G. Wallace. 2018. *Clear-Cutting Disease Control: Capital-Led Deforestation, Public Health Austerity, and Vector-Borne Infection*. New York: Springer.
- Watson, J. 2019. "On the Postcapitalist Nature of Learning Spaces." *Capitalism Nature Socialism* 30: 108–119.
- White, B. 1973. "Demand for Labor and Population Growth in Colonial Java." *Human Ecology* 1: 217–236.
- Wolford, W. 2021. "The Plantationocene: A Lusotropical Contribution to the Theory." *Annals of the American Association of Geographers* 111: 1622–1639.
- Wright, A. L., and W. Wolford. 2003. *To Inherit the Earth: The Landless Movement and the Struggle for a New Brazil*. Oakland, CA: Food First Books.
- Yamada, K., A. Valderrama, N. Gottdenker, L. Cerezo, N. Minakawa, A. Saldaña, J. E. Calzada, and L. F. Chaves. 2016. "Macroecological Patterns of American Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Transmission Across the Health Areas of Panamá (1980–2012)." *Parasite Epidemiology and Control* 1: 42–55.
- Zwiener-Collins, N., J. Jafri, R. Saini, and T. Poulter. 2021. "Decolonising Quantitative Research Methods Pedagogy: Teaching Contemporary Politics to Challenge Hierarchies from Data." *Politics*. In press.