

Geophysical Research Letters®



RESEARCH LETTER

10.1029/2022GL102689

Special Section:

The Arctic: An AGU Joint
Special Collection

Key Points:

- We use buoyant plume theory to model subglacial discharge-driven nitrate fluxes across Greenland's largest marine-terminating glaciers
- The largest positive nitrate flux anomalies are concentrated in a few major systems with the largest subglacial discharge fluxes
- Runoff and modeled nitrate upwelling can explain temporal variability in surface chlorophyll in some coastal areas in west Greenland

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

H. Oliver,
holiver@whoi.edu

Citation:

Oliver, H., Slater, D., Carroll, D., Wood, M., Morlighem, M., & Hopwood, M. J. (2023). Greenland subglacial discharge as a driver of hotspots of increasing coastal chlorophyll since the early 2000s. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50, e2022GL102689. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL102689>

Received 6 JAN 2023

Accepted 1 MAY 2023

Greenland Subglacial Discharge as a Driver of Hotspots of Increasing Coastal Chlorophyll Since the Early 2000s

Hilde Oliver¹ , Donald Slater^{2,3} , Dustin Carroll^{4,5} , Michael Wood⁴ , Mathieu Morlighem⁶ , and Mark J. Hopwood⁷ 

¹Department of Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA, ²School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK, ³School of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK, ⁴Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, San José State University, Moss Landing, CA, USA, ⁵Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, ⁶Department of Earth Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA, ⁷Department of Ocean Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China

Abstract Subglacial discharge emerging from the base of Greenland's marine-terminating glaciers drives upwelling of nutrient-rich bottom waters to the euphotic zone, which can fuel nitrate-limited phytoplankton growth. Here, we use buoyant plume theory to quantify this subglacial discharge-driven nutrient supply on a pan-Greenland scale. The modeled nitrate fluxes were concentrated in a few critical systems, with half of the total modeled nitrate flux anomaly occurring at just 14% of marine-terminating glaciers. Increasing subglacial discharge fluxes results in elevated nitrate fluxes, with the largest flux occurring at Jakobshavn Isbræ in Disko Bay, where subglacial discharge is largest. Subglacial discharge and nitrate flux anomaly also account for significant temporal variability in summer satellite chlorophyll *a* (Chl) within 50 km of Greenland's coast, particularly in some regions in central west and northwest Greenland.

Plain Language Summary Greenland ice-sheet runoff is often discharged into fjords at the base of marine-terminating glaciers as subglacial discharge, which can drive buoyant upwelling of nutrient-rich bottom waters and fuel phytoplankton growth in the upper ocean. We combine a buoyant plume model with updated estimates of glacier depth, runoff rates, and profiles of temperature, salinity, and nitrate to estimate nutrient upwelling on a pan-Greenland scale. The modeled nutrient upwelling is concentrated in a few major glacier systems with the largest subglacial discharge fluxes, and increasing runoff drives substantially increased modeled nutrient fluxes. We find that modeled nutrient upwelling can explain temporal variability in coastal surface chlorophyll *a* in some areas, particularly in west Greenland, which hosts the island's most exported fish catches by volume and value.

1. Introduction

The Greenland Ice Sheet is losing mass at an increasing rate (The IMBIE Team, 2020). Ice is lost to the surrounding ocean in the form of submarine melting of marine-terminating glaciers, calving of icebergs, and the coastal release of *runoff*, the meltwater discharge from surface melting. Runoff constitutes a large proportion of ice sheet mass loss (Enderlin et al., 2014; Mouginit et al., 2019) and is known to influence a number of aspects of the marine environment that affect biota, including phytoplankton bloom timing and magnitude (Arrigo et al., 2017; Holding et al., 2019), microbial community composition (Arendt et al., 2010; Cauvy-Fraunié & Dangles, 2019; Sejr et al., 2022), and resource availability to primary producers (Bhatia et al., 2021; Meire et al., 2016).

The extent to which runoff from the Greenland Ice Sheet exerts a control on coastal biogeochemistry is strongly influenced by (a) the depth at which it is injected into surrounding fjords and, if released below the sea surface, (b) the depth at which its plume subsequently attains neutral buoyancy after rising from the grounding line (De Andrés et al., 2020; Halbach et al., 2019; Meire et al., 2017). While a large proportion of runoff is released at the surface by land-terminating glaciers, surface melt draining to the base of the ice sheet is also released into fjords at the grounding lines of marine-terminating glaciers (Chu, 2014). This buoyant *subglacial discharge* drives upwelling plumes that entrain fjord bottom waters (Carroll et al., 2015; Jenkins, 2011) and, if the deep waters are rich with nutrients, generate positive nutrient anomalies in the upper water column (Cape et al., 2019; Kanna et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2021). If the plume has sufficient vertical momentum to reach the sunlit euphotic zone, these upwelled nutrients can support locally enhanced primary productivity (Kanna et al., 2018; Meire

© 2023. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

et al., 2017). The estimated upwelling flux of nitrate, a nutrient that limits primary production in most Arctic systems (Codispoti et al., 2013), though not southeast and south of Greenland (Garcia et al., 2014), theoretically increases nonlinearly with a deeper grounding line and increasing subglacial discharge (Hopwood et al., 2018). This upwelling mechanism has been linked to higher productivity and Halibut catches within fjords containing marine-terminating glaciers along west Greenland (Meire et al., 2017). Additionally, some proportion of these upwelled nutrients could be exported to the shelf (Oliver et al., 2020), potentially leading to broader-scale fertilization effects.

Though the physical oceanography of only around a dozen glacier fjord systems has been characterized around Greenland, hundreds of marine-terminating glaciers surround Greenland's coastline (Morlighem et al., 2017) and therefore significant buoyant upwelling is likely to be occurring on a pan-Greenland scale. The spatial extent of the downstream biogeochemical signature of subglacial discharge in the ocean is challenging to assess on a pan-Greenlandic scale, however, due to the limited number of case studies and the broad variability in glacier and fjord properties (Morlighem et al., 2017; Straneo & Cenedese, 2015). Further difficulties arise when distinguishing local processes driven by runoff from broader-scale changes, such as sea-ice loss, which also drive shifts in phytoplankton growth across the high-latitude oceans (Arrigo & van Dijken, 2015; Lewis et al., 2020).

Satellite work in the Labrador Sea and Baffin Bay has shown increasing primary production in September across most of the region, a shift towards a later spring bloom, and correlation between bloom timing and modelled runoff arrival in the southeast Labrador Sea (Arrigo et al., 2017; York et al., 2020). Concerning the potential impact of increasing Greenland subglacial discharge on marine primary production, several critical questions remain: (a) how do subglacial discharge-driven nutrient fluxes vary around Greenland; (b) to what extent does increasing subglacial discharge impact upwelled nutrient fluxes; and (c) on what scale does subglacial discharge have a detectable influence on productivity?

To address these questions, we use buoyant plume theory to model nitrate upwelling from marine-terminating glaciers on a pan-Greenland scale. We compile a suite of nitrate profiles from the Greenland shelf and pair them with newly available data products, including high-resolution Greenland bathymetry, modeled runoff estimates, and fjord properties from the NASA Oceans Melting Greenland project (OMG; Fenty et al., 2016). We then compare model results with satellite-based estimates of Chl to assess the degree to which subglacial discharge corresponds to surface-ocean productivity across sectors of Greenland's shelf.

2. Materials and Methods

We used a buoyant plume model combined with runoff estimates from Mankoff et al. (2020), temperature-salinity profiles from OMG, bathymetry from BedMachinev4 (Morlighem, 2021), and shelf nitrate profiles to estimate the vertical flux of nitrate adjacent to marine-terminating glaciers around Greenland. The physics of the plume model and the physical datasets used are the same as in Slater et al. (2022), which enables us to consider 136 of Greenland's largest marine-terminating glaciers.

The relevance of the nitrate flux to changing nitrate distribution within the water column depends on the depth of the nitracline and the distribution of nitrate in fjord source waters (Cape et al., 2019). We compiled a suite of nitrate observations into seven mean regional spring-summer shelf profiles (April–September) (Figure 1): north (NO), northwest (NW), northeast (NE), central west (CW); central east (CE), southwest (SW), and southeast (SE) (Text S1 in Supporting Information S1). There is a notable large spread in NE and CE profiles which may reflect a combination of factors, including the broad width of these shelf regions and variability in the influence of sea-ice cover which influences near-surface nutrient drawdown (Michel et al., 2015).

The plume model solves for plume volume, momentum, heat and salt fluxes as the plume rises due to its buoyancy while being diluted by entrainment of fjord waters (for a full description of the model see Slater et al., 2016). We add an evolution equation for nitrate concentration (supporting information), enabling us in this study to focus additionally on nitrate fluxes. Two critical inputs to the plume model are the subglacial discharge flux and the plume width. We input mean, minimum, and maximum monthly subglacial discharge estimates averaged over the melting season (year days 146–255), and over years 2010–2019; our results should be interpreted as broadly representative of recent summers. Plume volume flux is sensitive to the assumed plume width for a line plume—idealized theory suggests flux scales as plume width raised to the power $2/3$ (e.g., Jenkins, 2011)—yet, few observations are available to constrain plume width. Here we assume a line plume width of 200 m following




Figure 1. Greenland marine-terminating glaciers and regional nitrate profiles. (a) Circles show the locations of the 136 Greenland marine-terminating glaciers where upwelled nitrate flux is modeled, colored by their nitrate region. Xs show locations of shelf (depth <600 m) nitrate profiles used to construct regional smoothed means. (b) Regional nitrate profiles. Colored lines show smoothed regional mean values, with the concentrations used to create the regional means shown by gray circles.

the observational constraints of Jackson et al., 2017, but also probe the sensitivity of our results by considering plume widths of 100 and 300 m. Note that for simplicity and given the scale of the study, we treat any glaciers with floating ice shelves as approximately vertical front tidewater glaciers.

As a plume rises from the grounding line of a glacier, its density increases due to entrainment of ambient fjord waters. At some depth the density of the plume will be equal to the density of the fjord; this is the neutral buoyancy depth. We assume the plume intrudes into the fjord at this depth, transporting a flux equal to the upwelling flux at this depth. In a homogenous water column, the vertical nitrate flux would not impact nitrate distribution; to account for this, we use the nitrate flux anomaly (NFA). For each glacier, we calculate the NFA (in mol s⁻¹) from the plume model at each glacier as:

$$\text{NFA} = \underbrace{(N_{\text{NB}} - N_{\text{SP}})}_{\text{Nitrate anomaly}} \times Q_{\text{NB}} \quad (1)$$

where the nitrate anomaly is computed as the difference of N_{NB} (modeled nitrate concentration at the neutral buoyancy depth) and N_{SP} (nitrate concentration at the neutral buoyancy depth in the regional shelf profile), and Q_{NB} is the volume flux of the plume at neutral buoyancy.

We also assess whether glacier-driven nutrient fluxes may be sufficient to drive temporal variability in local phytoplankton biomass by examining how well runoff (which includes both surface runoff and subglacial discharge from Mankoff et al. (2020)), subglacial discharge, and modeled NFAs correspond to local surface Chl. As surface runoff can also affect phytoplankton growth potential (e.g., Oliver et al., 2018), we include it in our analysis to explore whether there is a Chl response in proximity to land-terminating glaciers without turbulent buoyant plumes.

For the Chl analysis, we used published estimates of Arctic surface-ocean Chl concentrations from 2003–2018 (Lewis & Arrigo, 2020). While satellite-derived estimates of coastal Chl concentrations can be subject to large uncertainties (Zheng & DiGiacomo, 2017), high turbidity has not been found to impact Greenland coastal fluorescence line height over the Greenland shelf offshore of fjord waters, so estimates of Chl using the MODIS algorithm are likely accurate (Arrigo et al., 2017). Chl concentrations were calculated over two shelf masks: a near-coastal mask (defined as 50 km from the nearest land pixel) and over the entire shelf (defined at the 600-m isobath).

We calculated the early summer (June–July), late summer (August–September), and seasonal (June–September) mean Chl within 1-degree latitude bands for both E (from 60° to 80°N) and W (from 60° to 76°N) Greenland (36 masks total). We then paired each resulting Chl estimate with mean runoff, subglacial discharge, and NFA from all glaciers within its corresponding latitude band. We use mixed layer depths from ECCO LLC270 for comparison with Chl (Text S3 in the Supporting Information).

3. Results

3.1. Modeling Pan-Greenland Nitrate Upwelling Variability

The largest modeled increases in nitrate flux (Figure 2a) were associated with glaciers with the largest subglacial discharge fluxes (Figure 2b), with a strong relationship between NFA and subglacial discharge flux ($R^2 = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$, for a 200-m line plume and mean 2010–2019 subglacial discharge). Nitrate concentrations had a smaller effect on NFA; NFAs were not necessarily pronounced where nitrate concentrations or nitrate anomalies at neutral buoyancy were largest (Figures 2c and 2d), and had a weaker relationship with sill depth ($R^2 = 0.33$, $p < 0.001$) and grounding line nitrate concentration ($R^2 = 0.18$, $p < 0.001$). Deep grounding line depths did not always correspond to large NFAs ($R^2 = 0.16$, $p < 0.001$), with several deeply grounded glaciers in SE Greenland having low NFAs (Figure 2e). Modeled NFAs were also less sensitive to plume width than subglacial discharge rate; increasing the plume width by 100 m resulted in a 4% increase in the totaled pan-Greenland NFA; decreasing the width by 100 m resulted in a 10% decrease (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1).

Systems with large nitrate upwelling were present across most coastal sectors, except SE Greenland, which had very low NFAs relative to all other sectors (Figure 2a). Low SE NFAs result from both comparatively low subglacial discharge fluxes and low nitrate anomalies at the neutral buoyancy depth, as near-surface nitrate in the SE profile is not as depleted compared to other regions (Figure 1). Model estimates of nitrate, nitrate anomaly, and nitrate fluxes are in general agreement with estimates calculated from data collected from well-sampled Greenland fjord systems (Text S4 and Table S1 in Supporting Information S1). Notably, while basal melt is significant at the 79°N Glacier, a floating ice shelf, our entrainment estimates are similar to those made with observations (Krisch et al., 2021).

The 19 largest upwelling systems (14% of the studied glaciers) accounted for over 50% of the total NFA summed over all 136 glaciers (Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1), with the largest NFAs corresponding to glaciers with large subglacial discharge fluxes. Jakobshavn Isbræ, which flows into Ilulissat Icefjord and Disko Bay in CW Greenland, is the largest upwelling system and is responsible for 6%–8% of the total subglacial discharge and 6%–14% of the total modeled NFA, with the proportion decreasing with increasing subglacial discharge. At Jakobshavn Isbræ, increasing discharge by a factor of 2.2 increases the modeled NFA at the glacier by 50%, from 297 mol s⁻¹ to 445 mol s⁻¹. Most of the pan-Greenland NFA is concentrated in W Greenland (SW + CW + NW, 69%–76%). In contrast, only 13%–17% of subglacial discharge is released from the 27 SE Greenland glaciers combined, which constitute 11%–12% of the total pan-Greenland NFA.

We also consider the modeled plume neutral buoyancy depth (Figure 2e), which affects (a) the bioaccessibility of upwelled nitrate, which depends on the plume position relative to the euphotic zone depth, and (b) the nitrate anomaly at neutral buoyancy, which depends on the plume position relative to the nitracline. With the mean subglacial discharge, only 26% (most in W Greenland) of plumes reach a modeled neutral buoyancy depth shallower than 40 m, which is roughly the maximum euphotic depth estimated for Greenland fjord systems (Holding et al., 2019; Holinde & Zielinski, 2016; Mascarenhas & Zielinski, 2019; Murray et al., 2015). This result does not discount the possibility that short periods of high subglacial discharge fluxes could still drive plumes into the photic zone, however: with the maximum monthly subglacial discharge rates, 59 plumes (43%) achieved a modeled neutral buoyancy depth shallower than 40 m.




Figure 2. Modeled pan-Greenland nitrate fluxes. (a) The modeled NFA; (b) mean summer subglacial discharge rates; (c) modeled nitrate at neutral buoyancy; (d) nitrate anomaly at neutral buoyancy; (e) modeled neutral buoyancy depths, with grounding line depths, sill depths, and an estimated 40 m photic depth. Glaciers are ordered counterclockwise around Greenland, starting from the north (left). Model results for a 200-m wide line plume using mean 2010–2019 seasonal subglacial discharge rates are plotted in black; gray shading shows spread when applying the maximum or minimum summer monthly subglacial discharge rates from 2010 to 2019. Bowdoin Glacier (BG), Jakobshavn Isbræ (JI), Kangerlussuaq Glacier (KNS) glacier, Helheim Glacier (HH), Kangerlussuaq Glacier (KG) and Nioghalvfjærdsbræ (79°N) glacier are highlighted by dashed lines.

3.2. Comparing Plume Model to Satellite Chl

Variability in subglacial discharge and NFA can broadly account for interannual (Figure 3) variability in near-coastal Chl. Over annual timescales, a statistically significant proportion of the variability in late summer coastal Chl along CW and NW Greenland can be accounted for by variability in late summer runoff (Figure 3a) and modeled NFA (Figure 3b). Though runoff fluxes are largest in SW Greenland, where most runoff is from land-terminating glaciers, the relationships between total discharge and Chl in this region are weak. There were also few masks with statistically significant relationships between Chl and runoff/NFA along E Greenland, where total seasonal runoff is 23% lower, neutral buoyancy depths are 53% deeper (Slater et al., 2022), and nitrate anomalies at neutral buoyancy are 63% lower (Figure 2). While runoff and NFAs are greatest in early summer, stratification is also strongest during this period, and the early summer Chl-runoff and Chl-NFA relationships were statistically significant in only a few masks. Over the entire summer, strong interannual relationships between Chl and runoff are mainly found in CW Greenland and are




Figure 3. Relationship between interannual Chl variability and (a) runoff and (b) NFA for early summer (left), late summer (center) and full summer (right). The size and color of the triangles indicates the magnitude of runoff from glaciers (a) and modeled NFA from marine-terminating glaciers (b). Green circles indicate the proportion of interannual Chl variability in each latitude band that is explainable by interannual variability in runoff or NFA. Red outlines in the top row indicate latitude bands having no marine-terminating glaciers, while white stars indicate statistically significant relationships.

limited to the Disko Bay/Uummannaq Fjord region when considering NFA. These relationships lose statistical significance when expanding from 50-km to over the entire continental shelf (Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1).

With the large NFA predicted at Jakobshavn Isbræ, the plume model suggests that Disko Bay would be the coastal region most susceptible to subglacial discharge-fueled increases in primary production, particularly the northern part of the bay given the inferred pathway for export of waters from Ilulissat Icefjord (Beaird et al., 2017). There is some evidence of this already occurring in satellite Chl data from 2003 to 2018, with seasonal Chl across northern Disko Bay positively correlated with subglacial discharge, nitrate anomaly, and NFA over this period (Figure 4). Chl similarly correlates to subglacial discharge in Uummannaq Fjord, just north of Disko Bay, but the slope of the relationship is much steeper here. While large NFAs are also predicted for Kangerlussuaq Fjord and Sermilik Fjord in E Greenland, coastal Chl does not have statistically significant correlations with subglacial discharge in these systems. There is no interannual relationship exists between mixed layer depth and Chl in any of these systems (Figure 4b).

4. Discussion

We demonstrate that (a) large NFAs estimated from buoyant plume theory are limited to a few major upwelling systems around Greenland and (b) that these subglacial discharge-driven anomalies explains can significant




Figure 4. Relationship between Chl and metrics of nutrient upwelling for selected regions. We plot June–September Chl versus (a) subglacial discharge, (b) mixed layer depth, (c) modeled nitrate anomaly, and (d) modeled NFA for 4 major upwelling systems: two in west Greenland (Disko Bay and Uummannaq Fjord) and two in east Greenland (Kangerlussuaq Fjord and Sermilik Fjord). The gray dashed lines showing least-squares fits. Regional boundaries for each upwelling system are shown on the map.

interannual variability in coastal surface-ocean Chl, in certain regions downstream of W Greenland glaciers. While the plume model is highly idealized and does not account for complex fjord hydrodynamics or higher-frequency variability in computed subglacial discharge fluxes, it generally agrees with estimates of plume nitrate concentration and nitrate fluxes made near well-sampled marine-terminating glacial fjord systems. Furthermore, a strong correlation between NFAs and Chl in specific cases increases confidence in the underlying plume theory because these two variables are determined independently. Given the significant relationships between Chl and NFA, the proposed buoyant plume mechanism appears to drive a significant proportion of interannual variability in late summer CW and NW Chl on a scale of ~ 50 km from the coast and regionally within Disko Bay and Uummannaq Fjord. These regions in CW Greenland both host large inshore fisheries of Greenland halibut and northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*), Greenland's two most exported catches by volume and value (Berthelsen, 2014; Jørgensen & Arboe, 2013).

It is important to note that increased runoff can also drive increased stratification, shallower mixed layer depths, and increased turbidity (Bhatia et al., 2021). After particles sink, this could increase light availability for phytoplankton in late summer (Oliver et al., 2018), presenting another mechanism that could explain correlation between Chl and subglacial discharge on approximately the same spatial scale. However, modeled mixed layer depths do not explain variation in Chl near high NFA systems in W Greenland (Figure 4b). Such a stratifying effect would also be expected to occur broadly across most of the Greenland shelf, yet no evidence is found herein for a broad-scale Chl-runoff relationship. As the impact of runoff on shelf mixed layer depths occurs mainly after the peak meltwater season (Oliver et al., 2018), this stratifying mechanism appears to be at most a minor contributing factor to the observed interannual variability in seasonal Chl.

Furthermore, summertime phytoplankton growth is generally limited by nitrate availability rather than light, as evidenced by consistently low surface nitrate in all coastal sectors except the SE (Figure 1). Strong stratification in an inner-fjord environment has been demonstrated to lead to low summertime primary production via reduced nitrate supply (Holding et al., 2019). Increased stratification can suppress turbulent nitrate fluxes into the upper mixed layer (Randelhoff et al., 2020), which may explain why runoff and NFA explains little interannual variability in coastal Chl in early summer—the peak melting season.

In our study, modeled NFAs correspond more strongly to nearby seasonal Chl along W Greenland than E Greenland. Differences in the response between W and E Greenland glaciers may be attributable to nitrate not being limiting in SE Greenland waters, and E Greenland glaciers generally having deeper modeled neutral buoyancy depths (Slater et al., 2022), which may have little immediate impact on surface-ocean Chl if the outflowing plume lies below the euphotic zone. E Greenland runoff may still have a downstream biological effect, however, because our method only assess the near-glacier effects on coastal Chl and does not account for the effects of advection.

Our choice of using a uniform coastal distance for Chl processing will also result in differing influences of boundary currents between systems and the coverage of fjord and coastal waters depending on local fjord geometry. For example, SE and CE Greenland glaciers are characterized by relatively long fjords, while Baffin Bay (NW) glaciers often terminate in shorter fjords (Slater et al., 2022). Subsurface subglacial plumes can also result in subsurface Chl maxima, as observed in Godthåbsfjord (Meire et al., 2017), and so subglacial discharge-driven subsurface maxima may not be detectable to the same extent on a pan-Greenland scale when using satellite-derived Chl.

If subglacial discharge continues to increase in coming decades, more fjords with deep glaciers may emerge from background variability as regional drivers of summertime phytoplankton blooms around Greenland. Totaled across all modeled glaciers, the modeled subglacial discharge-driven upwelling supplies 448 Gg additional N year⁻¹ at the neutral buoyancy depth (using the mean 2010–2019 discharge), with the 10 largest systems out of the 136 considered herein accounting for 33% of this additional supply. 340 Gg of this additional yearly N supply is delivered shallower than 100 m. Using the maximum monthly subglacial discharge rates across all glaciers, the upwelled nitrate supply doubles (899 Gg additional N year⁻¹).

It is possible to calculate the buoyant plume modeled nitrate flux at neutral buoyancy ($R^2 = 0.99$) using only the subglacial discharge rate, grounding line depth, and the nitrate concentration at the grounding line depth, with a power law function (Figure S4 in Supporting Information S1). It could therefore be included in coarser-scale models (Carroll et al., 2020) without explicitly running an online plume model, to compute estimated changes in nutrient availability from ocean-glacier interactions, though these estimates would be sensitive to uncertainties in discharge rates and plume widths. This is also practical from a spatial perspective, as buoyant plumes alter nutrient distributions on sub-grid scales even in higher-resolution ocean simulations.

5. Conclusion

We used buoyant plume theory to model subglacial-discharge driven nutrient upwelling on a pan-Greenland scale and find that most of the total upwelled nitrate flux is concentrated in just a few key systems. Subglacial discharge and modeled NFA can account for significant temporal variability in seasonal satellite Chl near the studied glaciers in some areas, with the slopes of those relationships sensitive to the coastal sector and the grounding line depth. These results suggest that subglacial discharge may drive increased coastal primary production in certain high-runoff sectors of the Greenland Ice Sheet, including Disko Bay and Unmannaq Fjord.

Data Availability Statement

BedMachine v4 data are available at <https://doi.org/10.5067/GMEVBWFLWA7X>. OMG temperature and salinity data are available <https://doi.org/10.5067/OMGEV-CTDS1>. The Greenland Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) Database is available at: <https://doi.org/10.17897/3NQX-FA50>. Runoff data is available at <https://doi.org/10.22008/promice/freshwater>. Code and data required to run the plume model are available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6498180>.

References

- Arendt, K. E., Nielsen, T. G., Rysgaard, S. S., & Tønnesson, K. (2010). Differences in plankton community structure along the Godthåbsfjord, from the Greenland Ice Sheet to offshore waters. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 401, 49–62. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08368>
- Arrigo, K. R., & van Dijken, G. L. (2015). Continued increases in Arctic Ocean primary production. *Progress in Oceanography*, 136, 60–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.002>
- Arrigo, K. R., van Dijken, G. L., Castelain, R. M., Luo, H., Rennermalm, Å. K., Tedesco, M., et al. (2017). Melting glaciers stimulate large summer phytoplankton blooms in southwest Greenland waters. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(12), 6278–6285. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073583>
- Beird, N., Straneo, F., & Jenkins, W. (2017). Characteristics of meltwater export from Jakobshavn Isbræ and Ilulissat Icefjord. *Annals of Glaciology*, 58(74), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2017.19>

Acknowledgments

Support for this work was provided by the WHOI Postdoctoral Scholar Program (HO), the WHOI Innovation Month (HO), National Science Foundation Grant OCE-2212654 (HO), and NERC Grant NE/T011920/1 (DS).

- Berthelsen, T. (2014). *Coastal fisheries in Greenland*. KNAFK Report.
- Bhatia, M. P., Waterman, S., Burgess, D. O., Williams, P. L., Bundy, R. M., Mellett, T., et al. (2021). Glaciers and nutrients in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago marine system. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 35(8). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB006976>
- Cape, M. R., Straneo, F., Beaird, N., Bundy, R. M., & Charette, M. A. (2019). Nutrient release to oceans from buoyancy-driven upwelling at Greenland tidewater glaciers. *Nature Geoscience*, 12(1), 34–39. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0268-4>
- Carroll, D., Menemenlis, D., Adkins, J. F., Bowman, K. W., Brix, H., Dutkiewicz, S., et al. (2020). The ECCO-darwin data-assimilative global ocean biogeochemistry model: Estimates of seasonal to multidecadal surface ocean pCO₂ and air-sea CO₂ flux. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12(10), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001888>
- Carroll, D., Sutherland, D. A., Shroyer, E. L., Nash, J. D., Catania, G. A., & Stearns, L. A. (2015). Modeling turbulent subglacial meltwater plumes: Implications for fjord-scale buoyancy-driven circulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 45(8), 2169–2185. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0033.1>
- Cauvy-Fraunié, S., & Dangles, O. (2019). A global synthesis of biodiversity responses to glacier retreat. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 3(12), 1675–1685. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-1042-8>
- Chu, V. W. (2014). Greenland ice sheet hydrology: A review. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 38(1), 19–54. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133313507075>
- Codispoti, L. A., Kelly, V., Thessen, A., Matrai, P., Suttles, S., Hill, V., et al. (2013). Synthesis of primary production in the Arctic Ocean: III. Nitrate and phosphate based estimates of net community production. *Progress in Oceanography*, 110(December 2012), 126–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.11.006>
- De Andrés, E., Slater, D., Straneo, F., Otero, J., Das, S., & Navarro, F. (2020). Surface emergence of glacial plumes determined by fjord stratification. *The Cryosphere*, 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2019-264>
- Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Jeong, S., Noh, M. J., Van Angelen, J. H., & Van Den Broeke, M. R. (2014). An improved mass budget for the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(3), 866–872. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL059010>
- Fenty, I., Willis, J. K., Khazendar, A., Dinardo, S., Forsberg, R., Fukumori, I., et al. (2016). Oceans melting Greenland: Early results from NASA's ocean-ice mission in Greenland. *Oceanography*, 29(4), 72–83. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.100>
- Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A., Boyer, T. P., Antonov, J. I., Baranova, O. K., Zweng, M. M., et al. (2014). World Ocean Atlas 2013. *NOAA Atlas NESDIS*, 76, 25. <https://doi.org/10.7289/V5F769GT>
- Halbach, L., Vihtakari, M., Duarte, P., Everett, A., Granskog, M. A., Hop, H., et al. (2019). Tidewater glaciers and bedrock characteristics control the phytoplankton growth environment in a fjord in the Arctic. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6(May), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00254>
- Holding, J. M., Markager, S., Juul-Pedersen, T., Paulsen, M. L., Møller, E. F., Meire, L., & Sejr, M. K. (2019). Seasonal and spatial patterns of primary production in a high-latitude fjord affected by Greenland Ice Sheet run-off. *Biogeosciences*, 16(19), 3777–3792. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-3777-2019>
- Holinde, L., & Zielinski, O. (2016). Bio-optical characterization and light availability parameterization in Uummannaq Fjord and Vaigat-Disko Bay (West Greenland). *Ocean Science*, 12(1), 117–128. <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-12-117-2016>
- Hopwood, M. J., Carroll, D., Browning, T. J., Meire, L., Mortensen, J., Krisch, S., & Achterberg, E. P. (2018). Non-linear response of summertime marine productivity to increased meltwater discharge around Greenland. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 3256. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05488-8>
- Jackson, R. H., Shroyer, E. L., Nash, J. D., Sutherland, D. A., Carroll, D., Fried, M. J., et al. (2017). Near-glacier surveying of a subglacial discharge plume: Implications for plume parameterizations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(13), 6886–6894. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073602>
- Jenkins, A. (2011). Convection-driven melting near the grounding lines of ice shelves and tidewater glaciers. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 41(12), 2279–2294. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-11-03.1>
- Jørgensen, O. A., & Arboe, N. H. (2013). *Distribution of the commercial fishery for Greenland halibut and Northern shrimp in Baffin Bay*. Greenland Institute of Natural Resources.
- Kanna, N., Sugiyama, S., Ohashi, Y., Sakakibara, D., Fukamachi, Y., & Nomura, D. (2018). Upwelling of macronutrients and dissolved inorganic carbon by a subglacial freshwater driven plume in Bowdoin Fjord, Northwestern Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 123(5), 1666–1682. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JG004248>
- Krisch, S., Hopwood, M. J., Schaffer, J., Al-Hashem, A., Höfer, J., Rutgers van der Loeff, M. M., et al. (2021). The 79°N glacier cavity modulates subglacial iron export to the NE Greenland Shelf. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 3030. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23093-0>
- Lewis, K. M., & Arrigo, K. R. (2020). Ocean color algorithms for estimating chlorophyll a, CDOM Absorption, and particle backscattering in the Arctic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 125(6), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015706>
- Lewis, K. M., Van Dijken, G. L., & Arrigo, K. R. (2020). Changes in phytoplankton concentration now drive increased Arctic Ocean primary production. *Science*, 369(6500), 198–202. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay8380>
- Mankoff, K. D., Noël, B., Fettweis, X., Ahlström, A. P., Colgan, W., Kondo, K., et al. (2020). Greenland liquid water discharge from 1958 through 2019. *Earth System Science Data*, 12(4), 2811–2841. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2811-2020>
- Mascarenhas, V. J., & Zielinski, O. (2019). Hydrography-driven optical domains in the Vaigat-Disko Bay and Godthabsfjord: Effects of glacial meltwater discharge. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 6(JUN), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00335>
- Meire, L., Meire, P., Struyf, E., Krawczyk, D. W., Arendt, K. E., Yde, J. C., et al. (2016). High export of dissolved silica from the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(17), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070191>
- Meire, L., Mortensen, J., Meire, P., Juul-Pedersen, T., Sejr, M. K., Rysgaard, S., et al. (2017). Marine-terminating glaciers sustain high productivity in Greenland fjords. *Global Change Biology*, 23(12), 5344–5357. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13801>
- Michel, C., Hamilton, J., Hansen, E., Barber, D., Reigstad, M., Iacozza, J., et al. (2015). Arctic Ocean outflow shelves in the changing Arctic: A review and perspectives. *Progress in Oceanography*, 139, 66–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.08.007>
- Morlighem, M. (2021). IceBridge BedMachine Greenland, version 4 [Dataset]. NASA National Snow and ice data center DAAC. <https://doi.org/10.5067/VLJ5YXKCNGXO>
- Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., et al. (2017). BedMachine v3: Complete bed topography and ocean bathymetry mapping of Greenland from multibeam echo sounding combined with mass conservation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(21), 11051–11061. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954>
- Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Björk, A. A., van den Broeke, M., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., et al. (2019). Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 201904242. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904242116>
- Murray, C., Markager, S., Stedmon, C. A., Juul-Pedersen, T., Sejr, M. K., & Bruhn, A. (2015). The influence of glacial melt water on bio-optical properties in two contrasting Greenlandic fjords. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 163, 72–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.05.041>

- Oliver, H., Castelain, R. M., Wang, C., & Yager, P. L. (2020). Meltwater-enhanced nutrient export from Greenland's glacial fjords: A sensitivity analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 125(7), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JC016185>
- Oliver, H., Luo, H., Castelain, R. M., van Dijken, G. L., Mattingly, K. S., Rosen, J. J., et al. (2018). Exploring the potential impact of Greenland meltwater on stratification, photosynthetically active radiation, and primary production in the Labrador Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 123(4), 2570–2591. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2018JC013802>
- Randelhoff, A., Holding, J., Janout, M., Sejr, M. K., Babin, M., Tremblay, J. É., & Alkire, M. B. (2020). Pan-arctic ocean primary production constrained by turbulent nitrate fluxes. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00150>
- Sejr, M. K., Bruhn, A., Dalsgaard, T., Juul-Pedersen, T., Stedmon, C. A., Blicher, M., et al. (2022). Glacial meltwater determines the balance between autotrophic and heterotrophic processes in a Greenland fjord. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(52), e2207024119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2207024119>
- Slater, D. A., Carroll, D., Oliver, H., Hopwood, M. J., Straneo, F., Wood, M., et al. (2022). Characteristic depths, fluxes, and timescales for Greenland's tidewater glacier fjords from subglacial discharge-driven upwelling during summer. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(10). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gl097081>
- Slater, D. A., Goldberg, D. N., Nienow, P. W., & Cowton, T. R. (2016). Scalings for submarine melting at tidewater glaciers from buoyant plume theory. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 46(6), 1839–1855. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0132.1>
- Straneo, F., & Cenedese, C. (2015). The dynamics of Greenland's glacial fjords and their role in climate. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 7(1), 89–112. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135133>
- The IMBIE Team. (2020). Mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2018. *Nature*, 579(7798), 233–239. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2>
- Williams, P. L., Burgess, D. O., Waterman, S., Roberts, M., Bertrand, E. M., & Bhatia, M. P. (2021). Nutrient and carbon export from a tide-water glacier to the coastal ocean in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 126(9). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jg006289>
- York, A. V., Frey, K. E., & Young, L. N. C. (2020). Changes at the edge: Trends in sea ice, ocean temperature and ocean color at the Northwest Atlantic/Southern Arctic interface. *Annals of Glaciology*, 1(83), 426–440. –15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2020.66>
- Zheng, G., & DiGiacomo, P. M. (2017). Uncertainties and applications of satellite-derived coastal water quality products. *Progress in Oceanography*, 159, 45–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocan.2017.08.007>

References From the Supporting Information

- Achterberg, E. P., Steigenberger, S., Marsay, C. M., Lemoigne, F. A. C., Painter, S. C., Baker, A. R., et al. (2018). Iron biogeochemistry in the high latitude North Atlantic Ocean. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1283. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19472-1>
- Azetsu-Scott, K., Petrie, B., Yeats, P., & Lee, C. (2012). Composition and fluxes of freshwater through Davis Strait using multiple chemical tracers. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117(12), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008172>
- de Boyer Montégut, C. (2004). Mixed layer depth over the global ocean: An examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109(C12), C12003. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002378>
- Devine, L., Kennedy, M. K., St-Pierre, I., Laflour, C., Ouellet, M., & Bond, S. (2014). BioChem: The fisheries and oceans Canada database for biological and chemical data. *Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 3073(iv), 40.
- Graeve, M., & Ludwischowski, K.-U. (2017). *Inorganic nutrients measured on water bottle samples from CTD/Large volume water-sampler-system during POLARSTERN cruise PS100 (ARK-XXX/2)*. Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research. <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.879197>
- Graeve, M., Ludwischowski, K.-U., & Krisch, S. (2019). *Inorganic nutrients measured on water bottle samples from ultra clean CTD/Water sampler-system during POLARSTERN cruise PS100 (ARK-XXX/2), version 2*. Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research. <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.905347>
- Hendry, K. R. (2018). North Atlantic seawater bottle data collected during RRS discovery cruise DY081, Supplement to: Hendry, Katharine R; Huvenne, Veerle A I; Robinson, Laura F; Annett, Amber; Badger, Marcus P S; Jacobel, Allison W; Ng, Hong Chin; Opher, Jacob; Pickering, Reb. *PANGAEA*. <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.896544>
- Lund-Hansen, L. C., Hawes, I., Holtegaard Nielsen, M., Dahllöf, I., & Sorrell, B. K. (2018). Summer meltwater and spring sea ice primary production, light climate and nutrients in an Arctic estuary, Kangerlussuaq, west Greenland. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research*, 50(1), S100025. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2017.1414468>
- Olsen, A., Lange, N., Key, R. M., Tanhua, T., Bittig, H. C., Kozyr, A., et al. (2020). An updated version of the global interior ocean biogeochemical data product, GLODAPv2.2020. *Earth System Science Data*, 12(4), 3653–3678. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3653-2020>
- Paulsen, M. L., Nielsen, S. E. B., Müller, O., Möller, E. F., Stedmon, C. A., Juul-Pedersen, T., et al. (2017). Carbon bioavailability in a high Arctic fjord influenced by glacial meltwater, NE Greenland. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00176>
- Schlitzer, R., Anderson, R. F., Dodas, E. M., Lohan, M., Geibert, W., Tagliabue, A., et al. (2018). The GEOTRACES intermediate data product 2017. *Chemical Geology*, 493(June), 210–223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.05.040>
- Zhang, H., Menemenlis, D., & Fenty, I. (2018). ECCO LLC270 ocean-ice state estimate. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/119821>
- Zielinski, O., Voß, D., Meier, D., Henkel, R., Holinde, L., Garaba, S. P., & Cembella, A. (2013). *Total suspended matter, particulate organic matter, and particulate inorganic matter during Maria S. Merian cruise MSM21/3 (ARCHEMAB)*. Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, Carl-von-Ossietzky University of Oldenburg, Germany. <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.810708>