



Characterizing covers via simple closed curves

Tarik Aougab¹ · Max Lahn² · Marissa Loving³ · Yang Xiao⁴

Received: 7 October 2020 / Accepted: 13 December 2022 / Published online: 18 January 2023
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

Abstract

Given two finite covers $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ of a connected, oriented, closed surface S of genus at least 2, we attempt to characterize the equivalence of p and q in terms of which curves lift to simple curves. Using Teichmüller theory and the complex of curves, we show that two regular covers p and q are equivalent if for any closed curve $\gamma \subset S$, γ lifts to a simple closed curve on X if and only if it does to Y . When the covers are abelian, we also give a characterization of equivalence in terms of which powers of simple closed curves lift to closed curves.

Keywords Curve complex · Teichmüller theory · Topology of surfaces

Mathematics Subject Classification 57M07 · 57M10 · 57M50

1 Introduction

It is a corollary of a renowned theorem of Scott [16] that every closed curve on a hyperbolic surface S lifts to a simple closed curve on some finite cover of S . This result was made effective by Patel [13], and more work has been done since then to improve the bound on the degree of the required cover, as well as to study the connection between this degree and the self intersection number of the curve (see Gupta–Kapovich [6], Gaster [5], Aougab–Gaster–Patel–Sapir [1], and Arenas–Neumann–Coto [2]). In the spirit of this work, it is natural to ask the following question, which motivates the main result of this paper.

Question 1 *What information about $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ can be derived from understanding how curves on S lift simply to X and Y ?*

✉ Tarik Aougab
taougab@haverford.edu

Max Lahn
maxlahn@umich.edu

¹ Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, USA

² University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

³ University of Wisconsin, Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁴ Brown University, Mountain View, California, USA

Our first result addresses this question in the setting of regular finite covers of a closed surface S with genus at least 2. In particular, we characterize when two regular finite covers are equivalent in terms of which closed curves have simple elevations, where an *elevation* of a closed curve $\alpha \subset S$ along a covering map $f : \Sigma \rightarrow S$ is a closed curve on Σ that projects to α under f .

Theorem 1.1 *If $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ are two regular covers so that given any closed curve $\gamma \subset S$, there exists simple elevations of γ to X if and only if there exists simple elevations of γ to Y , then p and q are equivalent covers.*

We prove a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to non-simple curves, which is stated precisely at the end of Sect. 1.1 (see Theorem 1.5). Another variation of Question 1 would be to consider lifts of simple curves rather than curves that lift simply. This leads us to ask the following.

Question 2 *What information about $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ can be derived from understanding how simple curves on S lift to X and Y ?*

Our second result answers this question in the setting of abelian covers. Given a cover $f : \Sigma \rightarrow S$ and a simple closed curve $\gamma \subset S$, we let $n_f(\gamma)$ denote the minimum positive integer k such that γ admits an elevation of degree k along f .

Theorem 1.2 *Let $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ be finite-degree abelian covers of S . If $n_p(\gamma) = n_q(\gamma)$ for all simple closed curves $\gamma \subset S$, then p and q are equivalent covers.*

1.1 Motivation, Sunada's construction, and non-simple curves

The authors arrived at Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 while studying *isospectral* hyperbolic surfaces: hyperbolic surfaces that have the same unmarked length spectra but which are not necessarily isometric. Almost all known examples of isospectral pairs come from a construction (or some variant thereof) due to Sunada [17] which we briefly summarize here. See [4] for a more in depth introduction.

Let G be a finite group containing a pair of *almost conjugate* subgroups H, K , meaning that the cardinality of the intersection of H with any conjugacy class $[g]$ in G agrees with that of K :

$$|H \cap [g]| = |K \cap [g]|.$$

Let S be an orientable surface of finite type so that $\pi_1(S)$ admits a surjective homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow G$. Then if one equips S with a hyperbolic metric, the pullbacks of that metric to the covers corresponding to the subgroups $\rho^{-1}(K), \rho^{-1}(H) < \pi_1(S)$ will be isospectral. So long as H, K are not conjugate in G , for a generic choice of the initial metric, these hyperbolic surfaces will not be isometric.

An interesting open question is whether or not there exist non-isometric surfaces which are *simple length isospectral*, meaning that the multi-set of lengths corresponding only to simple closed geodesics coincide. Sunada's construction provides a natural way to test this question. Indeed, letting S_H and S_K denote the isospectral covers associated to $\rho^{-1}(H)$ and $\rho^{-1}(K)$ as above, Sunada's construction yields a length-preserving bijection ϕ between the sets of closed geodesics on S_H and S_K . If for any such S_H and S_K where H and K are not conjugate, ϕ happens to send the lengths corresponding to *simple* closed geodesics on one surface to the simple closed geodesics on the other, one immediately obtains an answer to

the question: such an example would imply that simple length isospectrality need not imply an isometry.

Along these lines, Maungchang [12] explored the example $G = (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^\times \ltimes \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$, where the semi-direct product is with respect to the standard action of the group of multiplicative units, and where

$$H = \{(1, 0), (3, 0), (5, 0), (7, 0)\}, \quad K = \{(1, 0), (3, 4), (5, 4), (7, 0)\}$$

Letting S be the closed surface of genus 2 and $\pi_1(S) = \langle a, b, c, d \mid [a, b][c, d] = 1 \rangle$ be the standard presentation of its fundamental group, one obtains the homomorphism $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$\rho(a) = (3, 0) \quad \rho(b) = (5, 0) \quad \rho(c) = (1, 0) \quad \rho(d) = (1, 1)$$

Then Maungchang directly demonstrates that for a generic choice of initial metric on the genus 2 surface, the hyperbolic surfaces S_H, S_K are *not* simple length isospectral. His strategy is as follows:

- (1) Exhibit a closed curve γ on S that admits a different number of simple elevations to S_H than it does to S_K . The length-preserving bijection ϕ coming from Sunada's construction has the property that for any closed curve on S , ϕ relates its set of elevations on S_H to those on S_K . Thus, the existence of γ implies that if S_H and S_K are simple length isospectral, then any length-preserving bijection between the sets of simple closed geodesics is not induced by ϕ .
- (2) A bound on the degree of the covering spaces precludes all but finitely many curves on S from having simple elevations that could possibly make up for the discrepancy coming from simple elevations of γ established by (1). By checking these finitely many possibilities and verifying for a fixed hyperbolic metric that the lengths do not make up for this discrepancy, Maungchang obtains the desired result by appealing to real-analyticity of length functions over Teichmüller space (if lengths disagree on one metric, they disagree for almost all metrics).

The authors conjecture that simple length isospectrality implies isometry for hyperbolic surfaces:

Conjecture 1.3 *Hyperbolic surfaces with the same multi-set of simple lengths are isometric.*

A reasonable approach to Conjecture 1.3 would be to first establish it for pairs of isospectral surfaces arising from Sunada's construction, since these pairs are natural candidates for counterexamples. One could hope that Maungchang's strategy outlined above is generalizable to any pair of isospectral surfaces arising from Sunada's construction.

A first step towards achieving such a generalization would be to address step (1) above, and to show that if two covers of a surface are not equivalent, there is some closed curve γ on the base surface admitting a different number of simple elevations to the two covers. In the setting where the covers are regular, if one elevation is simple, all must be. This motivates Theorem 1.1, since "admitting a different number of simple elevations to the two covers" reduces to "admitting a simple elevation to one cover but not the other".

Unfortunately, the covering spaces that arise in Sunada's construction are necessarily never regular, as almost conjugate subgroups must be conjugate (and in fact, equal) when one is normal. The authors conjecture that the assumption of regularity can be dropped in Theorem 1.1.

Conjecture 1.4 *Theorem 1.1 holds without the assumption that the covers are regular.*

While it is not yet clear how our arguments can be promoted to prove Conjecture 1.4, we note that they can be used to show the following generalization of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.5 *Let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $p : X \rightarrow S, q : Y \rightarrow S$ be regular finite covers of a closed orientable surface S with genus at least 2. Suppose that for any essential closed curve $\gamma \subset S$, γ admits an elevation to X with at most N self intersections if and only if it admits an elevation to Y with at most M self intersections. Then p and q are equivalent covers.*

2 Preliminaries

We devote this section to recalling concepts and results that are used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.1 Hyperbolic spaces and Gromov boundaries

A (not necessarily proper) geodesic metric space (X, d) is called *Gromov hyperbolic* if there is a positive real number $\delta > 0$ such that for every geodesic triangle in X , the δ -neighborhood of the union of any two sides contains the third side.

Any Gromov hyperbolic space admits a *Gromov boundary*, ∂X , generalizing the boundary sphere at infinity of hyperbolic space. To define ∂X , one first fixes a basepoint $p \in X$; then given two points $x, y \in X$, their *Gromov product* with respect to p is

$$(x, y)_p = \frac{d(x, p) + d(y, p) - d(x, y)}{2}.$$

A sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ of points in X is said to be *admissible* if $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} (x_i, x_j)_p = \infty$, and two admissible sequences x_i, y_i are said to be *equivalent* if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_i, y_i)_p = \infty$. Then as a set, ∂X is the set of equivalence classes of admissible sequences. Given $K > 0$ and $b \in \partial X$, define

$$U_K(b) = \left\{ [(x_i)] \in \partial X \mid \liminf_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} (x_i, y_j)_p \geq K \text{ for some } (y_j) \in b \right\}.$$

These subsets form a basis for a topology on ∂X with respect to which isometries of X induce homeomorphisms. Moreover, a quasi-isometric embedding $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ between two Gromov hyperbolic metric spaces induces a map from the set of admissible sequences in X to the set of admissible sequences in Y , and this map descends to a continuous embedding $\partial\varphi : \partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$.

2.2 Teichmüller space and geodesic laminations

Let S be a connected surface with negative Euler characteristic. The *Teichmüller space* of S , denoted $\mathcal{T}(S)$, can be defined as the space of equivalence classes of marked complete hyperbolic structures on S , where two hyperbolic structures $f : S \rightarrow X$ and $g : S \rightarrow Y$ are said to be equivalent if $f \circ g^{-1}$ is isotopic to an isometry. An equivalent characterization of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is as the space of $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ conjugacy classes of discrete faithful representations of $\pi_1(S)$ into $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. We will make use of both of these perspectives throughout Sect. 3.

Abusing notation slightly, let S now be a complete hyperbolic surface of finite type and without boundary. A *geodesic lamination* of S is a compact subset of S consisting of a disjoint union of simple geodesics, each of which is called a leaf. A geodesic lamination λ is said to

be *minimal* if every leaf is dense in λ , and *filling* if it intersects every simple closed geodesic. Laminations with both of these properties are called *ending laminations*.

A *measured geodesic lamination* is a geodesic lamination λ equipped with a positive Borel measure μ on the set of arcs transverse to λ , which is invariant under transverse homotopy. The set of all measured geodesic laminations, denoted $\mathcal{ML}(S)$, admits a natural topology for which two points are close together if they induce approximately the same measure on sets of finitely many transverse arcs. This topology does not depend on the initial choice of hyperbolic metric and thus we can associate $\mathcal{ML}(S)$ to the underlying topological surface.

Two measured geodesic laminations are *projectively equivalent* if they have the same underlying geodesic lamination and the transverse measures differ only by a scaling. Thurston showed that, equipped with the quotient topology, the space $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ of projectively measured laminations is homeomorphic to a sphere of dimension $\dim(\mathcal{T}(S)) - 1$, and that this construction yields a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{T}(S)} = \mathcal{T}(S) \cup \mathcal{PML}(S)$ of the Teichmüller space called the Thurston compactification.

By $\mathcal{PME}\mathcal{L}(S)$, we will mean the subset of $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ consisting of points whose underlying lamination is ending, and we will denote by $\mathcal{EL}(S)$ the image of $\mathcal{PME}\mathcal{L}(S)$ under the quotient map sending a projective measured lamination to its underlying geodesic lamination. A geodesic lamination λ is called *uniquely ergodic* if there is only one projective equivalence class of transverse measures it supports.

We conclude this subsection with several useful results about $\mathcal{T}(S)$, $\mathcal{ML}(S)$, and their behaviors under covering maps between surfaces. The first such result can be applied to show that the set of uniquely ergodic ending laminations are dense in the space of measured laminations. Let $\text{Mod}(S)$ denote the group of homotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of S . Note that there is a natural action of $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\mathcal{ML}(S)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Lindenstrauss–Mirzakhani, Theorem 1.2 in [8]) *The orbit of a measured lamination λ on S under the action of the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$ is dense in $\mathcal{ML}(S)$ if and only if its support does not contain any simple closed curves.*

The next result states that covering maps induce isometric embeddings between Teichmüller spaces. A proof of Theorem 2.2 can be found on page 2153 of [15].

Theorem 2.2 (Folklore) *A finite covering map $p : X \rightarrow S$ induces an isometric embedding $\tilde{p} : \mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X)$.*

Building on Theorem 2.2, the following result of Biswas–Mj–Nag allows for the extension of any map between Teichmüller spaces induced by a finite cover to a map between spaces of projective measured laminations, interpreted as boundaries at infinity $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$ of the corresponding Teichmüller spaces. In particular, given a finite covering map $p : X \rightarrow S$ there is a natural map $\partial\mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{T}(X)$.

Theorem 2.3 (Biswas–Mj–Nag, [3]) *A finite covering map $p : X \rightarrow S$ between closed, oriented hyperbolic surfaces induces a natural continuous injection $\tilde{p} : \overline{\mathcal{T}(S)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}(X)}$ between the corresponding Thurston compactified Teichmüller spaces. Furthermore, this map is the continuous extension of the holomorphic embedding from $\mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(X)$ induced by p .*

A consequence of Theorem 2.3 is that $\tilde{p}$ is defined on $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$ as follows: given a measured geodesic lamination λ on S its image under $\tilde{p}$ is the measured geodesic lamination $p^{-1}(\lambda)$ on X obtained as the inverse image of λ under p .

There is a Finsler metric on $\mathcal{T}(S)$, called the *Teichmüller metric*, in which the distance between two points is the logarithm of the infimal dilatation of quasiconformal homeomorphisms from one marked surface to the other, taken over all such homeomorphisms isotopic to the identity. A result of Masur demonstrates the abundance of geodesic rays in the Teichmüller metric with endpoints on $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$.

Theorem 2.4 (Masur, [10]) *At every point $x \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ and in almost every direction, a Teichmüller geodesic ray based at x has a limit on the Thurston boundary of $\mathcal{T}(S)$.*

2.3 The complex of curves

Given an orientable surface S with negative Euler characteristic, the *curve complex*, $\mathcal{C}(S)$, is a flag simplicial complex whose vertices correspond to isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves and whose edges represent pairs of such classes that can be realized disjointly on S . By identifying each simplex with a standard simplex with unit length edges in the appropriate Euclidean space, $\mathcal{C}(S)$ becomes a metric space.

A germinal result of Masur–Minsky [11] states that the curve complex is δ -hyperbolic. It follows that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ admits a Gromov boundary, which was characterized by Klarreich as follows: Define $\text{sys} : \mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ by sending $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ to its *systole*, a simple closed curve admitting the shortest geodesic representative on X .

Remark 2.5 Note that sys is technically not well-defined since the systole need not be unique. However, if two simple closed geodesics are simultaneously shortest, they can intersect at most once. It follows that the set of systoles for any $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ represents a subset of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ with diameter at most 2. Thus, sys is said to be *coarsely well-defined*.

Klarreich shows that sys induces a map between $\mathcal{PMEL}(S)$ and $\partial\mathcal{C}(S)$ which allows for a characterization of the latter in terms of the former:

Theorem 2.6 (Klarreich, [7]) *The map sys extends to a continuous map*

$$\text{sys}_* : \mathcal{PMEL}(S) \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{C}(S),$$

factoring through the quotient map between $\mathcal{PMEL}(S)$ and $\mathcal{EL}(S)$, and inducing a homeomorphism between $\mathcal{EL}(S)$ and $\partial\mathcal{C}(S)$.

We will need the following two important results regarding the coarse geometry of the curve complex. The first result gives a relation between the curve complex of a surface S and the curve complex of a cover Σ of S . Note that Π is not well-defined since the pre-image of a simple closed curve may very well be a multi-curve, but it is coarsely well-defined.

Theorem 2.7 (Rafi–Schleimer, [15]) *Let $P : \Sigma \rightarrow S$ be a covering map and $\Pi : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ be the covering relation where $b \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ is related to $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ if $P(\beta) = b$. The map $\Pi : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is a Q -quasi-isometric embedding, with Q depending only on the topology of S and the degree of P .*

A closed curve γ on S is formally a map $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow S$, but we will sometimes blur the distinction between a closed curve and its image on the surface. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by γ^n , we will mean the curve obtained by iterating γ n -times: γ^n traces over the image of γ n -times as fast as γ in the interval $[0, 1/n]$, and repeats this another $n - 1$ times.

Definition 2.8 For $p : X \rightarrow S$ a cover and $\gamma \subset S$ a homotopically non-trivial closed curve, an *elevation* of γ is a closed curve $\tilde{\gamma} \subset X$ so that $p(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$, and so that for some natural number $n \geq 1$ and any $b \in S$, the conjugacy classes of $\pi_1(S, b)$ determined by $p \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ and by γ^n coincide.

Example 2.9 Suppose that γ, ρ, δ are closed curves on S with the same image and so that γ, δ^5 , and ρ^{10} define the same conjugacy class of $\pi_1(S)$. If $p : X \rightarrow S$ is a cover and $\tilde{\gamma} \subset X$ satisfies $p(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma = \rho = \delta$ (as sets on S), and $\tilde{\gamma}$ covers ρ with degree 2, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is an elevation of both δ and ρ , but is not an elevation of γ .

The second result establishes the relationship between the topology of two surfaces based on the coarse geometry of their respective curve complexes.

Theorem 2.10 (Rafi-Schleimer, [14]) *Let S and Σ be closed, orientable surfaces of genus at least 2. A quasi-isometry $\Psi : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is uniformly bounded distance from an isometry induced by a homeomorphism $\psi : S \rightarrow \Sigma$.*

3 Regular covers and simple curves

Throughout this section, fix finite regular covers $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ of S . The only place in the proof of Theorem 1.1 where we will invoke the regularity of p and q is in establishing the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that for any closed curve $\gamma \subset S$, there exists a simple elevation of γ to X if and only if there exists a simple elevation of γ to Y . Then $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ are quasi-isometric, and hence X and Y are homeomorphic.*

Proof Let W be the regular cover of X, Y , and S that corresponds to $\pi_1(X) \cap \pi_1(Y) \subset \pi_1(S)$. This gives a diamond of regular covers of S , which is shown on the left of Fig. 2. In particular, we have $\pi = p \circ p' = q \circ q'$. We will use W to define a quasi-isometry from $\mathcal{C}(X)$ to $\mathcal{C}(Y)$.

Let $\alpha_X \subset X$ be an essential simple closed curve and let A_W be the multi-curve consisting of all elevations of α_X to W . Now consider the image $A_Y := q'(A_W)$ of A_W on Y under the regular cover $q' : W \rightarrow Y$ shown in Fig. 2.

We claim that A_Y is a union of simple closed curves on Y . To see this, let $\alpha_Y = q'(\alpha_W)$ for some simple closed curve $\alpha_W \subset A_W$. Let $\alpha_S \subset S$ denote the projection of α_W to S under the regular cover $\pi : W \rightarrow S$. By the assumptions,

$$p(\alpha_X) = p(p'(\alpha_W)) = \pi(\alpha_W) = \alpha_S = \pi(\alpha_W) = q(q'(\alpha_W)) = q(\alpha_Y).$$

Hence α_X and α_Y are elevations of α_S . Since α_X is simple, our assumptions imply that α_S has some simple elevation to Y . Since $q : Y \rightarrow S$ is regular, this implies that all elevations of α_S to Y are simple, and so α_Y is simple.¹ It follows that A_Y is a union of simple closed curves, though not necessarily pairwise disjoint ones.

We next claim that the curves in A_Y constitute a bounded diameter subset of $\mathcal{C}(Y)$, with the bound depending only on the covering maps p, q and not on α_X . Let Γ denote the set of elevations of the curves in A_Y to W , and note that $A_W \subset \Gamma$. Note also that Γ is the union of all multicurves $\tilde{\gamma}$ that arise as the set of elevations of some $\gamma \in A_Y$, of the components of those multi-curves. Moreover, $\tilde{\gamma} \cap A_W \neq \emptyset$ for any $\gamma \in A_Y$. Using this fact we argue that Γ

¹ Notice our use of the regularity of q .

has diameter at most 3 in $\mathcal{C}(W)$: given any two distinct simple closed curves $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Gamma$, they each belong to some multicurve $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ coming from the elevations of $\gamma_i \in A_Y$, where $i = 1, 2$. If $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$, then β_1 and β_2 are distance 1 apart. Otherwise, there exists $\alpha_i \in \tilde{\gamma}_i \cap A_W$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $\{\beta_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ is a path of length at most 3 in $\mathcal{C}(W)$.

By Theorem 2.7, $\Pi : \mathcal{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(W)$ is a quasi-isometric embedding. Since $\Pi(A_Y) = \Gamma$, the curves in A_Y give a bounded diameter subset of $\mathcal{C}(Y)$. Thus the assignment $\alpha_X \mapsto A_Y$ gives a coarsely well-defined map $\Psi : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$. In fact, Ψ is coarsely Lipschitz by the same argument: given two disjoint simple closed curves on X , the union of their pre-images will be a multi-curve on W .

By symmetry, there exists a coarsely well-defined Lipschitz map $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$. We now show that Φ is a coarse inverse to Ψ . It is clear that $\Phi(\Psi(\alpha_X)) = \Phi(A_Y)$. Letting A_{YW} denote the full pre-image of A_Y under q' , we have that $\Phi(\Psi(\alpha_X)) = p'(A_{YW})$.

Since $\Psi(\alpha_X) = A_Y$ has bounded diameter in $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ (bounded independently of α_X) and Φ is coarsely Lipschitz, then $p'(A_{YW})$ has bounded diameter (also independent of α_X) in $\mathcal{C}(X)$, and it contains α_X . It follows that $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is uniformly bounded distance from the identity on $\mathcal{C}(X)$, and a completely analogous argument proves the same for $\Psi \circ \Phi$ on $\mathcal{C}(Y)$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ are quasi-isometric and by Theorem 2.10, X and Y are homeomorphic. $\square$

We can now consider two covering maps from Y to S : one is the regular covering map $q : Y \rightarrow S$; the other one comes from the composition of $p : X \rightarrow S$ with the homeomorphism between X and Y coming from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.10, which we name as g .

Note that Theorem 2.7 gives us quasi-isometric embeddings of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ into both $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(Y)$. In fact, we have two different quasi-isometric embeddings of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ into $\mathcal{C}(Y)$, one coming from $q : Y \rightarrow S$ and the other from $g : Y \rightarrow S$.

Remark 3.2 We briefly remark on the necessity of the regularity assumption. If we do not assume that p, q are regular covers, a curve γ with one simple elevation may have many other elevations to either X or Y which are not simple. In this case, the map Ψ defined in Lemma 3.1 may associate a simple closed curve on X with a collection of non-simple closed curves on Y —see Fig. 1 for an illustration of this possibility.

One might hope to circumvent this issue by simply defining $\Psi(\gamma_X)$ to be the *full* projection of $\pi_S^{-1}(\gamma)$ to Y under π_Y , which is guaranteed to contain a simple closed curve. However, it is easy to see that this collection of simple closed curves can have arbitrarily large diameter in $\mathcal{C}(Y)$. Indeed, choose a simple closed curve α on Y whose orbit under the group of deck transformations for the cover $Y \rightarrow S$ is very large; the projection of this curve to S will have the property that its full pre-image on Y contains a sub-collection of simple closed curves that are very far apart in $\mathcal{C}(Y)$.

Lemma 3.3 *The quasi-isometric embeddings $q^*, g^* : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ induce the same map on $\partial\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{C}(Y)$.*

Proof It suffices to show that q^* and g^* are within bounded distance of each other; the lemma will then follow by the basic properties of hyperbolicity and Gromov boundaries outlined in Sect. 2.1.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ and let A_X, A_Y , and A_W be the collections of elevations of α to X, Y , and W , respectively (note that A_Y is defined slightly differently here than in Lemma 3.1). We will show that $q^*(\alpha)$ and $g^*(\alpha)$ are contained in A_Y . Recall from Theorem 2.7 that $q^*(\alpha) \subset A_Y$ by definition. Let $p^* : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ be the map induced by $p : X \rightarrow S$ given by Theorem 2.7. Then $g^* : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ is the composition of p^* with the map $\Psi : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ from the proof of Lemma 3.1.




Fig. 1 A non-simple curve γ is pictured on S , and γ admits a simple elevation, γ_X , to the cover, X . Without the regularity assumption, many of the elevations to either X or Y can be non-simple. It is then possible that $\Psi(\gamma_X)$, the collection of curves obtained by first taking the full pre-image of γ_X to W and then projecting to Y , does not in fact contain a simple closed curve. That is, there is no guarantee that γ_Y , the simple elevation of γ to Y , is contained in $\pi_Y(\pi_X^{-1}(\gamma_X))$




Fig. 2 A diamond of regular covers along with its corresponding diamond of curve complexes and quasi-isometric embeddings

Note that the elevations of A_X (resp. A_Y) to W under the cover $W \rightarrow X$ (resp. $W \rightarrow Y$) is exactly A_W . Since $\Psi : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ factors through $\mathcal{C}(W)$ in its definition, we have $\Psi(A_X) = A_Y$. It follows that $g^*(\alpha) = \Psi(p^*(\alpha)) \subset \Psi(A_X) = A_Y$. Since A_Y is a diameter one subset of $\mathcal{C}(Y)$, the claim follows immediately. $\square$

With Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 in hand, we are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1, which we restate here for convenience.

Theorem 1.1 If $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ are two regular covers so that given any closed curve $\gamma \subset S$, there exists simple elevations of γ to X if and only if there exists simple elevations of γ to Y , then p and q are equivalent covers.

Before beginning the proof, we will give a brief overview of our strategy. First we show that the map on $\partial\mathcal{C}(S)$ induced by the quasi-isometric embeddings q^* , g^* commutes with the

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{PMEL}(S) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & \mathcal{PMEL}(Y) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}} & \mathcal{PMEL}(S) \\
 \pi_S \downarrow & & \pi_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_S \\
 \partial\mathcal{C}(S) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & \partial\mathcal{C}(Y) & \xleftarrow{g^*} & \partial\mathcal{C}(S)
 \end{array}$$

Fig. 3 The induced maps on boundaries are compatible with the projection from Teichmüller space to the curve complex

maps on $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$ induced by the isometric embeddings $\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}$ coming from Theorem 2.2, with respect to the natural projection from $\mathcal{PMEL}(S)$ to $\partial\mathcal{C}(S)$. We then show that $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}$ agree on $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$, which allows us to argue that $\tilde{q}, \tilde{g}$ agree on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ setwise. Finally, we leverage the description of $\mathcal{T}(S)$ as the space of conjugacy classes of discrete, faithful representations $[\rho] : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ to conclude that p and q are equivalent covers.

Proof By Lemma 3.3, the quasi-isometric embeddings $q^*, g^* : \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ defined above induce the same map from $\partial\mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{C}(Y)$. Thus, by Theorem 2.6, q^* and g^* induce the same map from the space of ending laminations of S to those of Y .

By Theorem 2.2, the covering maps $q : Y \rightarrow S$ and $g : Y \rightarrow S$ induce two isometric embeddings $\tilde{q}, \tilde{g} : \mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(Y)$ which by Theorem 2.3 admit natural extensions to the Thurston boundary.

Consider the diagram in Fig. 3, where π_S is the natural projection (given by forgetting the measure) from $\mathcal{PMEL}(S)$ to $\mathcal{EL}(S)$; π_Y is defined similarly. $\square$

Proposition 3.4 *The diagram in Fig. 3 commutes.*

Proof Let $[\lambda] \in \mathcal{PMEL}(S)$ be a projective measured ending lamination with λ as a representative. Consider the pullback $q^{-1}(\lambda)$ of λ to Y under the covering map $q : Y \rightarrow S$. Note that the projective measured lamination $[q^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is precisely the image of $[\lambda]$ under $\tilde{q}$ by Theorem 2.3. Subsequently, $\pi_Y(\tilde{q}([\lambda])) = \pi_Y([q^{-1}(\lambda)])$ is the underlying geodesic lamination $q^{-1}(\lambda)_{top}$ of $[q^{-1}(\lambda)]$ obtained by forgetting the measure.

On the other hand, $\pi_S([\lambda]) = \lambda_{top}$ is the underlying geodesic lamination of $[\lambda]$. Fix an arbitrary hyperbolic metric on S . Since $\lambda_{top} \in \mathcal{EL}(S) = \partial\mathcal{C}(S)$, we may choose a quasi-geodesic ray $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple closed geodesics on S such that $\{\alpha_i\}$ converge to λ_{top} as laminations. Consider the sequence of simple closed multi-geodesics $\{q^*(\alpha_i)\}$ in Y equipped with the hyperbolic metric induced by $q : Y \rightarrow S$. Since q^* is a quasi-isometry that extends continuously to the boundary of $\mathcal{C}(S)$, $\{q^*(\alpha_i)\}$ is a quasi-geodesic ray in $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ and therefore converges to some (minimal) geodesic lamination $q^*(\lambda_{top}) = z \in \partial\mathcal{C}(Y)$. We claim that this limit is exactly $q^{-1}(\lambda_{top})$.

To see this, note that the image of $q^*(\alpha_i)$ under $(q^*)^{-1}$ is $q(q^*(\alpha_i)) = \alpha_i$. Since $\{\alpha_i\}$ converges to λ_{top} , by continuity, $q(q^*(\lambda_{top})) = q(z)$ must be λ_{top} . Hence z is contained in the pre-image $q^{-1}(\lambda_{top})$. Since z and $q^{-1}(\lambda_{top})$ are both minimal geodesic laminations on Y , they must be equal. Therefore $q^*(\pi_S([\lambda])) = q^{-1}(\lambda_{top})$.

Finally, observe that $q^{-1}(\lambda_{top}) = q^{-1}(\lambda)_{top}$ since the lifting map q^{-1} commutes with the measure-forgetting map. A similar argument holds for $\tilde{g}$ and g^* . This concludes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that the set of uniquely ergodic ending measured laminations $\mathcal{EL}_{ue}$ are dense in the space of measured laminations $\mathcal{ML}$. By unique ergodicity, each $\lambda \in$

$\mathcal{EL}_{ue}$ has a unique lift to $\mathcal{PML}$ under the natural projection $\pi : \partial\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{C}$. So the preimage $\pi_S^{-1}(\mathcal{EL}_{ue}(S))$ is dense in $\mathcal{PML}(S)$. Since q^* and g^* induce the same map from $\mathcal{EL}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{EL}(Y)$ and the diagram in Fig. 3 commutes, $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}$ agree on $\pi_S^{-1}(\mathcal{EL}_{ue}(S))$ pointwise and hence on $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ pointwise by continuity. Therefore $\tilde{q}|_{\partial\mathcal{T}(S)} = \tilde{g}|_{\partial\mathcal{T}(S)}$.

Next we will show that $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}$ have the same image in $\mathcal{T}(Y)$. Let $y \in \tilde{q}(\mathcal{T}(S)) \subset \mathcal{T}(Y)$, and consider its preimage $x = \tilde{q}^{-1}(y) \in \mathcal{T}(S)$. Then x lies on a bi-infinite Teichmüller geodesic γ_x which has endpoints in $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$, by Theorem 2.4. By Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, the images $\tilde{q}(\gamma_x)$ and $\tilde{g}(\gamma_x)$ are also bi-infinite Teichmüller geodesics with well-defined endpoints in $\partial\mathcal{T}(Y)$. Since $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}$ agree pointwise on $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$ and any two distinct points in the boundary of Teichmüller space determine a unique Teichmüller geodesic, we have $\tilde{q}(\gamma_x) = \tilde{g}(\gamma_x)$. Therefore $y \in \tilde{g}(\gamma_x) \subset \tilde{g}(\mathcal{T}(S))$, which completes the proof that $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{q}$ have the same image.

It follows that $\tilde{g}^{-1} \circ \tilde{q}$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{T}(S)$, fixing $\partial\mathcal{T}(S)$. This implies that $\tilde{g}^{-1} \circ \tilde{q}$ is the identity on $\mathcal{T}(S)$ and thus that $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}$ agree pointwise on $\mathcal{T}(S)$.

Recall that $x \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ can be interpreted as a conjugacy class of a discrete, faithful representation $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Consider $\tilde{q}([\rho]), \tilde{g}([\rho]) \in \mathcal{T}(Y)$, which (up to conjugacy) are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{q}([\rho]) : \pi_1(Y) &\xrightarrow{q_*} \pi_1(S) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}), \\ \tilde{g}([\rho]) : \pi_1(Y) &\xrightarrow{\varphi_*} \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_1(S) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}).\end{aligned}$$

Here φ_* is the isomorphism between $\pi_1(X)$ and $\pi_1(Y)$ induced by the homeomorphism between X and Y given by Lemma 3.1. Since $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{g}$ agree on $\mathcal{T}(S)$, $\tilde{q}([\rho]) = \tilde{g}([\rho])$. This combined with the injectivity of ρ imply that $q_*(\pi_1(Y)) = p_*(\pi_1(X))$. So p and q are equivalent covers. $\square$

4 Regular covers and non-simple curves

We now prove Theorem 1.5, which is restated below for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 1.5 Let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $p : X \rightarrow S, q : Y \rightarrow S$ be regular finite covers of a closed orientable surface S with genus at least 2. Suppose that for any essential closed curve $\gamma \subset S$, γ admits an elevation to X with at most N self intersections if and only if it admits an elevation to Y with at most M self intersections. Then p and q are equivalent covers.

Let $k = \max(N, M)$, and given $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Gamma_{k,j}(S)$ to be the graph whose vertices correspond to isotopy classes of closed curves γ on S with self intersection number at most k , and so that two such vertices are connected by an edge exactly when the corresponding curves have geometric intersection at most j . Given a fixed k , there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for all $j \geq r$, every vertex of $\Gamma_{k,j}(S)$ is adjacent to a simple closed curve and thus in particular, the graph is connected since $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is connected. Indeed, there are only finitely many extended mapping class group orbits of isotopy classes of closed curves with at most k self-intersections; one can then choose r to be the maximum, over all such orbits, of the minimum intersection number between a representative of that orbit and a simple closed curve.

We will then choose j so that

$$j \geq r \cdot [\pi_1(S) : \pi_1(X)] \cdot [\pi_1(S) : \pi_1(Y)].$$

Proposition 4.1 $\Gamma_{0,j}(S)$ is totally geodesic inside $\Gamma_{k,j}(S)$, and $\Gamma_{k,j}(S)$ lies in its 1-neighborhood (and hence also in the 1-neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}(S) = \Gamma_{0,0}(S)$).

Proof The fact that $\Gamma_{k,j}(S)$ lies in the one-neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ follows immediately from the choice of j . To see that $\Gamma_{0,j}(S)$ is totally geodesic, consider the map

$$\tau : \Gamma_{k,j}(S) \rightarrow \Gamma_{0,j}(S),$$

defined as follows. For each vertex $v \in \Gamma_{k,j}(S)$, choose a preferred minimal position representative in that isotopy class (which by abuse of notation we refer to as v), a choice of orientation, and a choice of starting point which we will denote by $i(v)$. Starting at $i(v)$, traverse v in the forward direction until first reaching a point that has already been visited. This defines a sub-curve v_s (which may not necessarily contain $i(v)$) which is necessarily simple and which is essential since v was in minimal position. Note that we are using the fact that S is closed here. Then define τ by $\tau(v) = v_s$.

Note that $v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma_{k,j}(S)$ are adjacent if and only if they intersect at most j times. If this occurs, we obviously also have that $(v_1)_s, (v_2)_s$ intersect at most j times and so it follows that τ is a 1-Lipschitz retraction of $\Gamma_{k,j}(S)$ on to $\Gamma_{0,j}(S)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.2 The inclusion of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ into $\Gamma_{k,j}(S)$ is a quasi-isometry.

Proof It suffices to show that $\mathcal{C}(S) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{0,j}(S)$ is a quasi-isometry. This follows from standard arguments; in particular, if α, β are simple closed curves intersecting at most j times, their distance in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ can be at most $2 \log_2(j) + 2$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 We will begin by establishing a quasi-isometry $\Omega : \Gamma_{k,j}(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_{k,j}(Y)$ which is defined in precisely the same way as Ψ in the proof of Theorem 1.1: given $\alpha \in \Gamma_{k,j}(X)$ a closed curve, first take its pre-image under the cover from W to obtain the curve collection A_W and then project to Y under $q' : W \rightarrow Y$.

This yields a collection of curves $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ on Y satisfying $i(\alpha_l, \alpha_l) \leq M \leq k, 1 \leq l \leq n$. The value of j was chosen to ensure that A_W has diameter 1 in $\Gamma_{k,j}(W)$, and so it follows by the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ has diameter bounded above independent of α in $\Gamma_{k,j}(Y)$. Just as in Theorem 1.1, this establishes the well-definedness of Ω and that it is coarsely Lipschitz; interchanging the roles of Y and X give that Ω is a quasi-isometry.

Pre- and post-composing Ω with the quasi-isometries $\mathcal{C}(X) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{k,j}(X)$ and $\Gamma_{k,j}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ from Corollary 4.2 yields a quasi-isometry $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$. Once $\Psi : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ is replaced with $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$ (just defined) in the proof of Lemma 3.3, then the remainder of the argument is identical to the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

5 Abelian covers and simple curves

Let $p : X \rightarrow S$ be a finite cover. A curve $\tilde{\alpha} \subset X$ is an elevation of a curve $\alpha \subset S$ of degree k if $\tilde{\alpha}$ covers α with degree k . We will consider the (integral) simple curve homology $H_1^{\text{sc}}(X) = H_1^{\text{sc}}(X; \mathbb{Z})$, which is the subgroup of $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ generated by the (integral homology classes of) elevations of simple closed curves on S . Fixing basepoints (X, x_0) and (S, s_0) such that $p(x_0) = s_0$, we can find a generating set G_p for $H_1^{\text{sc}}(X)$ in terms of the fundamental groups of X and S .

Specifically, call an element of $\pi_1(S, s_0)$ simple if it is represented by a curve which is freely homotopic to a simple closed curve on S . We will denote the collection of all powers

of simple elements by $P \subset \pi_1(S, s_0)$. Observe that

$$G_p := \{[\alpha] \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \alpha \in \pi_1(X, x_0) \text{ and } p_*\alpha \in P\}$$

is a generating set for $H_1^{\text{sc}}(X)$.

Proposition 5.1 [Looijenga, [9]] $H_1^{\text{sc}}(X) = H_1(X)$ for a finite-degree abelian cover $p : X \rightarrow S$.

Given two finite-degree abelian covers $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ and a curve $\gamma \subset S$, we will let $n_p(\gamma)$ (resp. $n_q(\gamma)$) denote the minimum positive integer k such that γ admits an elevation of degree k along p to X (resp. along q to Y).

Theorem 1.2 Let $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ be finite-degree abelian covers of S . If $n_p(\gamma) = n_q(\gamma)$ for all simple closed curves $\gamma \subset S$, then p and q are equivalent covers.

Proof Fix basepoints $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$, and $s_0 \in S$ such that $p(x_0) = q(y_0) = s_0$. By Proposition 5.1, G_p and G_q are generating sets for $H_1^{\text{sc}}(X) = H_1(X)$ and $H_1^{\text{sc}}(Y) = H_1(Y)$, respectively, and so p_*G_p and q_*G_q are generating sets for $p_*H_1(X)$ and $q_*H_1(Y)$, respectively.

Note that for an element $\gamma \in \pi_1(S, s_0)$, $n_p(\gamma)$ is the minimum positive integer k such that $\gamma^k \in p_*\pi_1(X, x_0)$, and likewise $n_q(\gamma)$ is the minimum positive integer k such that $\gamma^k \in q_*\pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Therefore, our assumptions on n_p and n_q imply that $P \cap p_*\pi_1(X, x_0) = P \cap q_*\pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} p_*G_p &= \{p_*[\alpha] \in H_1(S) \mid \alpha \in \pi_1(X, x_0) \text{ and } p_*\alpha \in P\} \\ &= \{[\gamma] \in H_1(S) \mid \gamma \in P \cap p_*\pi_1(X, x_0)\} \\ &= \{[\gamma] \in H_1(S) \mid \gamma \in P \cap q_*\pi_1(Y, y_0)\} \\ &= \{q_*[\beta] \in H_1(S) \mid \beta \in \pi_1(Y, y_0) \text{ and } q_*\beta \in P\} = q_*G_q, \end{aligned}$$

and so $p_*H_1(X) = q_*H_1(Y)$. Since $p : X \rightarrow S$ and $q : Y \rightarrow S$ are abelian covers, this implies that they are equivalent. $\square$

Acknowledgements Aougab is supported by NSF grant DMS 1807319. Loving is supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship under Grant No. DMS 1902729. Thanks to Caglar Uyanik and Macarena Arenas for helpful comments on a draft of this paper.

Data availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

1. Aougab, T., Gaster, J., Patel, P., Sapir, J.: Building hyperbolic metrics suited to closed curves and applications to lifting simply. *Math. Res. Lett.* **24**, 03 (2016)
2. Arenas, M., Neumann-Coto, M.: Measuring complexity of curves on surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata*. **204**, 25–41 (2020)
3. Biswas, I., Mahan, M., Nag, S.: Thurston boundary of Teichmüller spaces and the commensurability modular group. *Conform. Geom. Dyn. Am. Math. Soc.* **3**, 50–66 (1999)
4. Buser, P.: *Geometry and spectra of compact riemann surfaces*. Birkhäuser, Basel (1992)
5. Gaster, J.: Lifting curves simply. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2016**(18), 5559–5568 (2016)
6. Gupta, N., Kapovich, I.: The primitivity index function for a free group, and untangling closed curves on hyperbolic surfaces. with the appendix by Khalid Bou-Rabee. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **166**(1), 83–121 (2019)

7. Klarreich, E.: The boundary at infinity of the curve complex and the relative Teichmüller space. [arXiv:1803.10339](https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.10339), 03 (2018)
8. Lindenstrauss, E., Mirzakhani, M.: Ergodic theory of the space of measured laminations. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2008**, 01 (2008)
9. Looijenga, E.: Prym representations of mapping class groups. *Geom. Dedicata.* **64**(1), 69–83 (1997)
10. Masur, H.: Two boundaries of Teichmüller space. *Duke Math. J.* **49**(1), 183–190 (1982)
11. Masur, H.A., Minsky, Y.N.: Geometry of the complex of curves I: hyperbolicity. *Invent. Math.* **138**, 103–149 (1999)
12. Maungchang, R.: The Sunada construction and the simple length spectrum. *Geom. Dedicata.* **163**(1), 349–360 (2013)
13. Patel, P.: On a theorem of Peter Scott. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **142**(8), 2891–2906 (2014)
14. Rafi, K., Schleimer, S.: Curve complexes are rigid. *Duke Math. J.* **158**, 10 (2007)
15. Rafi, K., Schleimer, S.: Covers and the curve complex. *Geom. Topol.* **13**(4), 2141–2162 (2009)
16. Scott, P.: Subgroups of surface groups are almost geometric. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **s2-17**(3), 555–565 (1978)
17. Sunada, T.: Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds. *Ann. Math.* **121**(1), 169–186 (1985)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.