

Piebaldism and chromatophore development in reptiles is linked to the *tfec* gene

Authors: Alan Garcia-Elfring¹, Christina E. Sabin^{2,3}, Anna L. Iouchmanov², Heather L. Roffey⁴,
Sukhada P. Samudra², Aaron J. Alcala², Rida S. Osman², James D. Lauderdale^{3,5}, Andrew P.
Hendry¹, Douglas B. Menke², and Rowan D. H. Barrett¹.

¹Department of Biology, Redpath Museum, McGill University, Montreal, QC, H3A 0G4, Canada

²Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

³Neuroscience Division of the Biomedical and Translational Sciences Institute, University of
Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

⁴Biology Department, Vanier College, Montreal, QC, H4L 3X9, Canada

⁵Department of Cellular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

SUMMARY

Reptiles display great diversity in color and pattern; yet much of what we know about vertebrate coloration comes from classic model species such as the mouse and zebrafish. Captive-bred ball pythons (*Python regius*) exhibit a remarkable degree of color and pattern variation. A wide range of Mendelian color phenotypes are found in the pet trade, yet ball pythons remain an overlooked species in pigmentation research. Here, we investigate the genetic basis of the recessive piebald phenotype, a pattern defect characterized by patches of unpigmented skin (leucoderma). We performed whole-genome sequencing and used a case-control approach to discover a nonsense mutation in the gene encoding the transcription factor *tfec*, implicating this gene in the leucodermic patches in ball pythons. We functionally validated *tfec* in a lizard model (*Anolis sagrei*) using the gene editing CRISPR/Cas9 system and TEM imaging of skin. Our findings show that reading frame mutations in *tfec* affect coloration and lead to a loss of iridophores in *Anolis*, indicating that *tfec* is required for chromatophore development. This study highlights the value of captive-bred ball pythons as a model species for accelerating discoveries on the genetic basis of vertebrate coloration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Color variation is one of the most visually striking forms of biodiversity and has a long history of study in evolutionary biology, as it is easily observed and is often important for survival (1-3). Vertebrate color arises from pigments, structural coloration, and cell-cell interactions of three types of cells called chromatophores (4, 5). The skin of mammals and birds have only a single type of chromatophore, the melanocyte, which produces the brown pigment melanin. In contrast, reptiles and other poikilothermic vertebrates have melanophores which produce melanin, but also xanthophores and iridophores. Xanthophores contain yellow to orange pteridine pigments (6, 7), are called leucophores when they show a white color and erythrophores if they contain red carotenoid pigments (8, 9). Iridophores do not contain pigment, but instead have guanine crystals that act as reflective platelets to produce structural coloration (10). To date, the study of melanin-based pigmentation pathways has contributed the most to our understanding of pigmentation evolution and development in vertebrates (11). Moreover, a limited number of classic model species like the mouse and zebrafish dominate the literature on pigmentation biology (6, 12-25). Importantly, however, the knowledge gained from these models might not translate to other vertebrate groups like reptiles, which remain less studied (26 reviewed by 27). Ball pythons (*Python regius*), native to western sub-Saharan Africa and a popular snake in the international pet trade, present an excellent opportunity to study the genetic basis of vertebrate coloration in an emerging reptile model (28-30). Many Mendelian phenotypes ('base morphs'), representing rare, aberrant colorations (31-33), have been discovered in nature and propagated in captivity. Although ball python breeders have crossed these (inferred) single-gene color morphs to produce many more (inferred) multi-locus phenotypes ('designer morphs', Figure 1), the actual genetic basis of these phenotypes remains largely unknown (see references 28 and 29 for exceptions).

We investigated the genetic basis for a classic color morph found in the pet trade and common across a wide range of vertebrate taxa, the piebald. This phenotype is characterized by leucodermic patches and has been described by commercial breeders as recessive (34). Here, we analyze publicly available clutch data to investigate the mode of inheritance of the piebald

phenotype in ball pythons and use whole-genome sequencing and population genomics to identify the genomic region likely containing the causal mutation. Through the annotation of genetic variants (SNPs and indels), we identified a candidate causal mutation in a gene coding for a transcription factor. We functionally validated this locus in a squamate model using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing and confirmed an effect on chromatophore development by TEM imaging.

Mode of inheritance and delineation of genomic region of interest

To test whether the piebald phenotype segregates as a simple Mendelian factor, we compiled 10 years' worth of clutch data from a commercial breeder (*KINOVA*). Consistent with the knowledge among commercial breeders, the proportion of piebald hatchlings indicates the piebald phenotype is inherited as a recessive Mendelian factor (Figure 2A). We applied whole-genome pool-seq to two sets of individuals, one set having the piebald phenotype and another set inferred by commercial breeders through pedigree analysis to not have the piebald mutation or mutations. We obtained an average read coverage of 50.5 and 52.6 for the piebald and non-piebald pools, respectively. To map SNPs showing high differentiation between pools to genes, we aligned reads to the annotated Burmese python (*Python bivittatus*) draft genome (Pmo2.0), from which we obtained 3,095,304 SNPs after filtering. Across all SNPs, we found an average F_{ST} of 0.03456 – indicating that population structure was successfully minimized. To delineate the genomic region of interest, we also mapped reads to a chromosome-length assembly. Using the draft assembly, we identified 129 fixed SNPs ($F_{ST} = 1.0$) and 369 SNPs with $F_{ST} > 0.9$ (Table S1). Indeed, the chromosome-length assembly shows a single region of high differentiation 8 Mb long on scaffold seven (7: 49526089– 57612101), clearly delineating a genomic region of interest (Figure 2B).

Candidate genes and causal mutation

To obtain a list of candidate genes, we determined the gene annotations of variants with $F_{ST} > 0.90$ (Table S2). We used $F_{ST} > 0.90$ (rather than $F_{ST} = 1$) to account for factors that might preclude finding a fixed causal mutation (e.g., sequencing error, misidentification of a sample, or minor sample contamination, as multiple snakes are often housed together by commercial

breeders during breeding). We annotated SNPs for predicted loss-of-function to identify candidate causal mutations for the piebald phenotype. We found variants that mapped to the protein-coding sequences of 32 different genes. Most of the variants do not have a predicted effect on proteins, instead mapping to intronic and intergenic regions (344 ‘modifier’ variants) and including one synonymous SNP (one ‘low’ impact mutation). The sole exception was a nonsense SNP (i.e., stop-gained mutation, ‘high’ impact) with $F_{ST} = 0.96$ located within the fifth coding exon of the *tfec* gene (NW_006534020.1 160458). On the chromosome length assembly, exon five spans 7: 52856864-52856924. This variant consists of a c.493C>T (p.Arg165*) mutation, resulting in a premature opal termination codon. This mutation is expected to result in a truncated protein with functional domains missing (e.g., basic helix-loop-helix on exon 7). The coverage of the reference and alternative alleles are 1X and 47X in the piebald pool and 46X and 0X non-piebald pool, respectively. The single read for the reference allele sequenced in the piebald pool resulted in a F_{ST} below 1.00, potentially due to sample misidentification or minor contamination from co-housed animals. Among non-piebald samples, the reference allele is fixed.

Deletion of a splice acceptor site in snakes

To examine sequence conservation around the candidate variant, we generated a multispecies sequence alignment of the *tfec* coding exon five and flanking intronic sequence. This alignment revealed the presence of a 4 bp deletion in snakes at an intron-exon junction relative to other vertebrates (Figure S2). Our analyses of RNA-seq data from the brown anole lizard (*Anolis sagrei*) demonstrate that this snake-specific deletion removes one of two alternative splice acceptor sites (i.e., 3’ splice sites) that appear to be used in other squamates (Figure S3). We further note that certain other vertebrate species have single base pair changes that remove either splice site acceptor 1 (seen in some turtles) or splice site acceptor 2 (seen in some mammals, including humans). The use of splice acceptor 1 results in the inclusion of two additional codons relative to transcripts generated using splice acceptor 2. The functional differences, if any, between *tfec* proteins generated by the two different splice acceptors are unknown. However, we infer that ball pythons likely use the second acceptor site, which is

intact in ball pythons and other snakes. The stop codon mutation identified in piebald ball pythons occurs 6 bp from splice acceptor 2.

Targeted mutation of *tfec* in *Anolis* lizards

Protocols for genome editing in reptiles have been slow to develop because microinjection of single-cell embryos (zygotes) is difficult. To date, the brown anole lizard is the only squamate in which CRISPR/Cas9 has been successfully applied (35). Therefore, to functionally validate *tfec* as a gene with a role in reptile coloration, we generated lizards with reading frame disrupting mutations in *tfec* coding exon 5, successfully producing four F0 mutant individuals. *Mutant 1* carried one allele with a 56bp deletion and a second allele with a 1bp deletion, *Mutant 2* carried a 190 bp inversion and a 295 bp deletion, *Mutant 3* had a 4bp deletion, and *Mutant 4* had a 13bp insertion (Figure S4). These lizards all exhibited altered pigmentation phenotypes (Figure S5A-D).

Relative to lizards with normal pigmentation (Figure 3A), the four F0 *tfec* mutants showed reduced coloration, particularly in the snout, arms, and legs (Figure 3B; Figure S5). In this respect, the mutant phenotype is like the reduced pigmentation observed in piebald ball pythons. However, in contrast to ball pythons, the anole mutants have black eyes and lack the leucodermic patches characteristic of the piebald phenotype. Lateral and ventral views also revealed that the skin of *tfec* mutants is translucent, allowing the internal organs and ribs to become more visible. We noted that *Mutant 1* displayed small patches of skin on its head that were wild type in appearance, suggesting the possibility of mosaicism this gene edited animal (Figure S5A). Therefore, we generated F1 lizards to examine pigmentation patterns *tfec* mutants that lack mosaicism. Crossbreeding mutant F0s together demonstrated that, just as in ball pythons, *tfec* is not required for viability or fertility in brown anoles in captivity. All F1 progeny (n=33) recapitulated the pigmentation phenotypes observed in the original F0 *tfec* mutants with no evidence of skin patches with wild type pigmentation (Figure S5E).

To further understand the phenotype caused by our induced mutations, we examined the eyes and skin of *tfec* and *tyrosinase* (*tyr*) brown anole mutants and compared them to wild-type individuals. The gene *tyrosinase* was chosen as an additional control for these comparisons

because of its role in melanin production. Through CRISPR/Cas9 editing we targeted *tyr* and generated a line of *tyr* mutants that carry an 8bp deletion in exon 2 of this gene. We dissected the eyes and skin from hatchlings homozygous for reading frame disrupting mutations in *tfec* or *tyr* (Figure 4, Figure S7). External examination of the eyes and skin revealed a loss of iridophores and presence of melanophores in *tfec*^{-/-} F1 hatchlings. The loss of the iridescent iridophores make the eyes appear dark, much like the eyes of zebrafish that carry *tfec* mutations (36). In contrast, *tyr*^{-/-} hatchlings retained iridophores but have an absence of melanophores. These changes in pigmentation were confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on skin samples. In wild-type skin, TEM readily detected melanosomes and guanine crystals, which are characteristic features of melanophores and iridophores, respectively. In contrast, melanosomes were absent from *tyr*^{-/-} skin and guanine crystals were absent from the skin of *tfec*^{-/-} hatchlings.

A MiTF/TFE transcription factor linked to reptile coloration

The *tfec* gene encodes a transcription factor from the MiT-family of genes, which includes *mitf*, *tfe3*, *tfeb*, and *tfec*. These genes encode basic helix-loop-helix and leucine zipper functional domains and have important roles in lysosomal signaling, metabolism, and pigmentation (37, 38). *TFE3* and *TFEB* have pivotal roles lysosomal acidification and autophagy (39, 40), while *MITF*, *TFE3*, and *TFEB*, have all been linked to the development of cancer (37, 41, 42). *MITF* is also considered a master regulator of melanocyte development (41) and was first discovered through its association with Waardenburg syndrome type II (43), which is characterised by deafness, hypopigmentation, and microphthalmia (44). Mutations to *mitf* in mammals have been shown to affect melanocyte differentiation, resulting in apoptosis (45) and leucodermic patches (46, 47). One of the few studies investigating snake pigmentation identified a mutation in *mitf* in leucistic Texas rat snakes (33); this mutation, which results in an all-white phenotype, causes the loss of melanophores and xanthophores, but not iridophores.

Of the genes in the MiT-family, the function of *tfec* is the least well understood (48,49), but studies have shown that it is expressed, like *mitf*, in neural crest cells and retinal pigment epithelium of fish and mammals (50-52). In mouse and zebrafish models, *mitf* and *tfec* are

required for normal eye development (49, 50). Both *tfec* and *mitf* encode proteins with very similar helix-loop-helix domains (51), and it has been proposed that these two transcription factors regulate gene expression together as heterodimers (52). Kuiper et al. (2004) studied the expression patterns of MiT genes in human tissues and showed that *tfec* and *mitf* have multiple promoter regions and alternative splicing of functional domains, which may modulate target gene regulation (48). Interestingly, they found that *tfec* displays the broadest variety of functionally distinct isoforms, with differential spatiotemporal tissue distribution (e.g., spleen, kidney, bone marrow and small intestine). Although this study did not investigate the expression pattern in skin, the premature stop codon in the fifth exon of *tfec* found in piebald ball pythons is expected to result in a protein with missing basic helix-loop-helix and leucine zipper functional domains, likely disrupting target gene regulation (53). Our study adds *tfec* to the list of genes implicated in white spotting and pattern formation (54, 55).

***tfec* phenotypes in reptiles and other vertebrates**

Identifying genes that affect color across a wide range of vertebrate species can lead to a deeper understanding of the mechanisms that underlie variation in color and pattern. Given the absence of iridophore and xanthophore cell types in mammals, it is particularly important to expand functional genetic studies of pigmentation beyond mice to better understand the biology of these chromatophore cell types. For example, while we found that *tfec* affects reptile color, a mouse study showed that *tfec* mutants have normal coat pigmentation (56), highlighting the need to study a wider range of taxa than traditional model organisms. Indeed, *tfec* was not included in a recent curated list of genes known to affect pigmentation (16). However, more recent work on zebrafish has shown that *tfec* is required for iridophore cell fate specification (64). Zebrafish *tfec* mutants also display delayed development of melanophores and xanthophores, but these chromatophores recover by day 4 post-fertilization. The *tfec*-associated phenotypes in reptiles and zebrafish, contrast with reported *mitf* phenotypes in these species. In zebrafish, mutations to *mitf* result in a loss of melanophores, a reduction in xanthophores, and an increase in iridophore density (57). In contrast, Texas rat snakes with a mutated *mitf* gene are leucistic (i.e., all-white), lacking melanophores and xanthophores but

showing no difference in iridophore density (33). Therefore, mutations in *tfec* and *mitf* produce distinct pigmentation phenotypes, with *tfec* being essential for iridophore development.

Our results support the conclusion that mutations to *tfec* in ball pythons cause piebaldism or white spotting, whereas in the brown anole they result in hypopigmentation and lack of iridophores. However, three main points remain unresolved. First is the question of what accounts for the species-specific differences in pigmentation phenotypes. It is known that ball pythons and lizards likely acquire their adult color pattern by different mechanisms (5). Ball pythons have a fixed pattern specified in the embryo, prior to hatching and scale development, and as adults they do not show scale-by-scale coloration. In contrast, many lizards exhibit scale-by-scale coloration that is specified between the juvenile and adult stage. Thus, differences in the timing of gene expression of chromatophores may play a role in the species-specific differences in pigmentation phenotypes. Also unresolved is whether piebald ball pythons have iridophores in either pigmented or white skin. In the Texas rat snake, white coloration arises with iridophores present (33). In the leopard gecko, skin from the ventral side is white but features a complete absence of all chromatophores (58). Since *tfec* is required for iridophore development in both the brown anole and zebrafish, the white patches in piebald ball pythons may lack all chromatophores. However, TEM imaging will be needed to confirm the chromatophore content of piebald skin in ball pythons. A third point that requires further study is the role of the splice site deletion we detected in snakes and the function of different TFEC protein isoforms across reptile taxa. The splice site deletion itself does not cause piebaldism, since it is present in wild type ball pythons and other snake species. However, the presence of two distinct splice acceptor sites is deeply conserved across many squamate reptiles, and our data demonstrate that both acceptor sites are used in anoles. Whether the ability to produce different TFEC isoforms contributes to species-specific differences in *tfec* function remains to be tested.

In summary, the finding of a nonsense mutation associated with the piebald phenotype in ball pythons in combination with targeted mutation and TEM imaging in a brown anole model shows *tfec* has an important role in reptile coloration. Mutations to *tfec* lead to

hypopigmentation and a loss of iridophores in the skin and eyes of brown anoles. In snakes, *tfec* is likely to be required for the development of chromatophores migrating to body regions that correspond to leucodermic patches observed in piebald ball pythons. Our work highlights the advantages of using ball pythons as a model organism and working with non-academic communities like reptile breeders to accelerate discoveries in pigmentation research in an under-studied class of vertebrates.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper.

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

- Lead contact
- Materials availability
- Data and code availability

- **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

- **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

- **METHOD DETAILS**

- Analysis of clutch data, sample collection, DNA extraction and sequencing
- Bioinformatics
- Functional validation of the putative piebald mutation in *Anolis sagrei*
- Dissection of eyes and TEM imaging of skin
- Gene nomenclature

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at: <https://doi.org/>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

APH and RDHB were supported by NSERC Discovery Grants and Canada Research Chairs. ALI and CES were supported by NIH training grant T32GM007103. Additional support came from an NSF EDGE Program grant (#1827647) awarded to DBM and JDL. We thank Jose Avila-Cervantes for his assistance with PCR.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AGE, APH, and RDHB conceived the study and its design, with contributions from JDL and DBM. HLR collected and catalogued shed skin samples. AGE performed DNA extractions and bioinformatics to analyze whole-genome data. DBM and JDL carried-out CRISPR/Cas9 project oversight. CES performed in vitro test of *tfec* CRISPR gRNA, preparation of *tfec* RNP, *tfec* surgeries/microinjection, breeding of *tfec*^{-/-} lizards, eye & skin dissections and stereomicroscope images, preparation of skin samples for TEM imaging and working with TEM microscopy technician. ALI performed egg collection, egg care, screening hatchlings for phenotypes, documentation, and initial analysis of *tfec* phenotypes, genotyping, raising hatchlings, and breeding *tfec*^{-/-} lizards. SPS performed *tfec* surgeries/microinjections. AJA documented *tfec* phenotypes. RSO was instrumental in the creation of the *tyrosinase* mutant line. JDL contributed with the analysis of *tfec* phenotypes, project oversight, project funding (NSF EDGE grant). DBM performed *tfec* gene annotation, gRNA design, genotyping design, analysis of *tfec* phenotypes, project oversight, project funding (NSF EDGE grant). AGE wrote the original draft with all authors contributing to review and editing.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

INCLUSION AND DIVERSITY

One or more of the authors of this paper self-identifies as an underrepresented ethnic minority in science. We also actively worked to promote gender balance in the list of references cited in this work. In our list of potential referees, we promoted diversity in scientific expertise, geographic location, career-stage, and gender.

REFERENCES

1. Caro, T. (2017). Wallace on Coloration: Contemporary Perspective and Unresolved Insights. Trends Ecol Evol 32, 23-30. 10.1016/j.tree.2016.10.003.

296 2. Endler, J.A., and Mappes, J. (2017). The current and future state of animal coloration research. *Philos*
297 *Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 372. 10.1098/rstb.2016.0352.

298 3. Davison, A., Jackson, H.J., Murphy, E.W., and Reader, T. (2019). Discrete or indiscrete? Redefining the
299 colour polymorphism of the land snail *Cepaea nemoralis*. *Heredity* 123, 162-175.

300 4. Patterson, L.B., and Parichy, D.M. (2013). Interactions with iridophores and the tissue environment
301 required for patterning melanophores and xanthophores during zebrafish adult pigment stripe
302 formation. *PLoS genetics* 9, e1003561.

303 5. Jahanbakhsh, E., and Milinkovitch, M.C. (2022). Modeling convergent scale-by-scale skin color
304 patterning in multiple species of lizards. *Current Biology* 32, 5069-5082. e5013.

305 6. Ziegler, I. (2003). The pteridine pathway in zebrafish: regulation and specification during the
306 determination of neural crest cell-fate. *Pigment Cell Res* 16, 172-182. 10.1034/j.1600-
307 0749.2003.00044.x.

308 7. Andrade, P., and Carneiro, M. (2021). Pterin-based pigmentation in animals. *Biol Lett* 17, 20210221.
309 10.1098/rsbl.2021.0221.

310 8. Fang, W., Huang, J., Li, S., and Lu, J. (2022). Identification of pigment genes (melanin, carotenoid and
311 pteridine) associated with skin color variant in red tilapia using transcriptome analysis. *Aquaculture* 547,
312 737429.

313 9. Huang, D., Lewis, V.M., Foster, T.N., Toomey, M.B., Corbo, J.C., and Parichy, D.M. (2021).
314 Development and genetics of red coloration in the zebrafish relative *Danio albolineatus*. *Elife* 10.
315 10.7554/eLife.70253.

316 10. Nicolaï, M.P., D'Alba, L., Goldenberg, J., Gansemans, Y., Van Nieuwerburgh, F., Clusella - Trullas, S.,
317 and Shawkey, M.D. (2021). Untangling the structural and molecular mechanisms underlying colour and
318 rapid colour change in a lizard, *Agama atra*. *Molecular Ecology* 30, 2262-2284.

319 11. McNamara, M.E., Rossi, V., Slater, T.S., Rogers, C.S., Ducrest, A.L., Dubey, S., and Roulin, A. (2021).
320 Decoding the Evolution of Melanin in Vertebrates. *Trends Ecol Evol* 36, 430-443.
321 10.1016/j.tree.2020.12.012.

322 12. Ishikawa, A., Sugiyama, M., Hondo, E., Kinoshita, K., and Yamagishi, Y. (2015). Development of a
323 novel pink-eyed dilution mouse model showing progressive darkening of the eyes and coat hair with
324 aging. *Exp Anim* 64, 207-220. 10.1538/expanim.14-0101.

325 13. Jackson, I.J. (1997). Homologous pigmentation mutations in human, mouse and other model
326 organisms. *Hum Mol Genet* 6, 1613-1624. 10.1093/hmg/6.10.1613.

327 14. Sturm, R.A. (2006). A golden age of human pigmentation genetics. *Trends Genet* 22, 464-468.
328 10.1016/j.tig.2006.06.010.

329 15. Tsetskhladze, Z.R., Canfield, V.A., Ang, K.C., Wentzel, S.M., Reid, K.P., Berg, A.S., Johnson, S.L.,
330 Kawakami, K., and Cheng, K.C. (2012). Functional assessment of human coding mutations affecting skin
331 pigmentation using zebrafish.

332 16. Baxter, L.L., Watkins-Chow, D.E., Pavan, W.J., and Loftus, S.K. (2019). A curated gene list for
333 expanding the horizons of pigmentation biology. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* 32, 348-358.
334 10.1111/pcmr.12743.

335 17. Feng, Y., McQuillan, M.A., and Tishkoff, S.A. (2021). Evolutionary genetics of skin pigmentation in
336 African populations. *Hum Mol Genet* 30, R88-R97. 10.1093/hmg/ddab007.

337 18. Ito, S., and Wakamatsu, K. (2011). Human hair melanins: what we have learned and have not learned
338 from mouse coat color pigmentation. *Pigment cell & melanoma research* 24, 63-74.

339 19. Sturm, R.A. (2009). Molecular genetics of human pigmentation diversity. *Human molecular genetics*
340 18, R9-R17.

341 20. Adhikari, K., Mendoza-Revilla, J., Sohail, A., Fuentes-Guajardo, M., Lampert, J., Chacón-Duque, J.C.,
342 Hurtado, M., Villegas, V., Granja, V., and Acuña-Alonzo, V. (2019). A GWAS in Latin Americans highlights
343 the convergent evolution of lighter skin pigmentation in Eurasia. *Nature communications* 10, 1-16.

344 21. Phelps, G.B., Hagen, H.R., Amsterdam, A., and Lees, J.A. (2022). MITF deficiency accelerates GNAQ-
345 driven uveal melanoma. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119, e2107006119.

346 22. Irion, U., and Nüsslein-Volhard, C. (2022). Developmental genetics with model organisms.
347 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119, e2122148119.

348 23. Seruggia, D., Josa, S., Fernández, A., and Montoliu, L. (2021). The structure and function of the
349 mouse tyrosinase locus. *Pigment Cell & Melanoma Research* 34, 212-221.

350 24. Logan, D.W., Burn, S.F., and Jackson, I.J. (2006). Regulation of pigmentation in zebrafish
351 melanophores. *Pigment cell research* 19, 206-213.

352 25. Neuffer, S.J., and Cooper, C.D. (2022). Zebrafish Syndromic Albinism Models as Tools for
353 Understanding and Treating Pigment Cell Disease in Humans. *Cancers* 14, 1752.

354 26. Kuriyama, T., and Hasegawa, M. (2017). Embryonic developmental process governing the
355 conspicuousness of body stripes and blue tail coloration in the lizard *Plestiodon latiscutatus*. *Evolution &*
356 *development* 19, 29-39.

357 27. Hasegawa, M., Kuriyama, T., Brandley, M., and Murakami, A. (2020). Blue, black, and stripes:
358 evolution and development of color production and pattern formation in lizards and snakes. *Frontiers in*
359 *Ecology and Evolution* 8, 232.

360 28. Brown, A.R., Comai, K., Mannino, D., McCullough, H., Donekal, Y., Meyers, H.C., Graves, C.W., Seidel,
361 H.S., and Consortium, B.W. (2022). A community-science approach identifies genetic variants associated
362 with three color morphs in ball pythons (*Python regius*). *Plos one* 17, e0276376.

363 29. Dao, U.M., Lederer, I., Tabor, R.L., Shahid, B., Graves, C.W., and Seidel, H.S. (2022). Leucism and
364 stripe formation in ball pythons (*Python regius*) are associated with variants affecting endothelin
365 signaling. *bioRxiv*

366 30. Irizarry, K.J., and Bryden, R.L. (2016). In silico analysis of gene expression network components
367 underlying pigmentation phenotypes in the Python identified evolutionarily conserved clusters of
368 transcription factor binding sites. *Advances in bioinformatics* 2016.

369 31. Borteiro, C., Diesel Abegg, A., Hirouki Oda, F., Cardozo, D.E., Kolenc, F., Etchandy, I., Bisaiz, I.,
370 Prigioni, C., and Baldo, J.D. (2021). Aberrant colouration in wild snakes: case study in Neotropical taxa
371 and a review of terminology.

372 32. Iwanishi, S., Zaitso, S., Shibata, H., and Nitasaka, E. (2018). An albino mutant of the Japanese rat
373 snake (*Elaphe climacophora*) carries a nonsense mutation in the tyrosinase gene. *Genes & Genetic*
374 *Systems*, 18-00021.

375 33. Ullate-Agote, A., and Tzika, A.C. (2021). Characterization of the leucistic Texas rat snake
376 *Pantherophis obsoletus*. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 9, 583136.

377 34. Barker, D.G., and Barker, T.M. (2006). Ball pythons: the history, natural history, care and breeding
378 (VPI Library).

379 35. Rasys, A.M., Park, S., Ball, R.E., Alcalá, A.J., Lauderdale, J.D., and Menke, D.B. (2019). CRISPR-Cas9
380 gene editing in lizards through microinjection of unfertilized oocytes. *Cell reports* 28, 2288-2292. e2283.

381 36. Petratou, K., Spencer, S.A., Kelsh, R.N., and Lister, J.A. (2021). The MITF paralog tfec is required in
382 neural crest development for fate specification of the iridophore lineage from a multipotent pigment
383 cell progenitor. *Plos one* 16, e0244794.

384 37. Slade, L., and Pulinilkunnil, T. (2017). The MITF/TFE family of transcription factors: master regulators
385 of organelle signaling, metabolism, and stress adaptation. *Molecular Cancer Research* 15, 1637-1643.

386 38. Hejna, M., Moon, W.M., Cheng, J., Kawakami, A., Fisher, D.E., and Song, J.S. (2019). Local genomic
387 features predict the distinct and overlapping binding patterns of the bHLH - Zip family oncoproteins
388 MITF and MYC - MAX. *Pigment cell & melanoma research* 32, 500-509.

389 39. Settembre, C., Di Malta, C., Polito, V.A., Arencibia, M.G., Vetrini, F., Erdin, S., Erdin, S.U., Huynh, T.,
390 Medina, D., and Colella, P. (2011). TFEB links autophagy to lysosomal biogenesis. *science* 332, 1429-
391 1433.

392 40. Martina, J.A., and Puertollano, R. (2017). TFEB and TFE3: the art of multi-tasking under stress
393 conditions. *Transcription* 8, 48-54.

394 41. Levy, C., Khaled, M., and Fisher, D.E. (2006). MITF: master regulator of melanocyte development and
395 melanoma oncogene. *Trends in molecular medicine* 12, 406-414.

396 42. Goding, C.R., and Arnheiter, H. (2019). MITF—the first 25 years. *Genes & development* 33, 983-1007.

397 43. Tassabehji, M., Newton, V.E., and Read, A.P. (1994). Waardenburg syndrome type 2 caused by
398 mutations in the human microphthalmia (MITF) gene. *Nature genetics* 8, 251-255.

399 44. Steingrímsson, E., Moore, K.J., Lamoreux, M.L., Ferré-D'Amaré, A.R., Burley, S.K., Zimring, D.C.S.,
400 Skow, L.C., Hodgkinson, C.A., Arnheiter, H., and Copeland, N.G. (1994). Molecular basis of mouse

401 microphthalmia (mi) mutations helps explain their developmental and phenotypic consequences.
 402 Nature genetics 8, 256-263.

403 45. Hu, S., Bai, S., Dai, Y., Yang, N., Li, J., Zhang, X., Wang, F., Zhao, B., Bao, G., and Chen, Y. (2021).
 404 Deubiquitination of MITF-M Regulates Melanocytes Proliferation and Apoptosis. Frontiers in Molecular
 405 Biosciences 8, 566.

406 46. Hauswirth, R., Haase, B., Blatter, M., Brooks, S.A., Burger, D., Drögemüller, C., Gerber, V., Henke, D.,
 407 Janda, J., and Jude, R. (2019). Correction: Mutations in MITF and PAX3 Cause “SplashedWhite” and
 408 Other White Spotting Phenotypes in Horses. PLoS genetics 15, e1008321.

409 47. Baranowska Körberg, I., Sundström, E., Meadows, J.R., Rosengren Pielberg, G., Gustafson, U.,
 410 Hedhammar, Å., Karlsson, E.K., Seddon, J., Söderberg, A., and Vilà, C. (2014). A simple repeat
 411 polymorphism in the MITF-M promoter is a key regulator of white spotting in dogs. PLoS One 9,
 412 e104363.

413 48. Lister, J.A., Lane, B.M., Nguyen, A., and Lunney, K. (2011). Embryonic expression of zebrafish Mit
 414 family genes tfe3b, tfec, and tfec. Developmental Dynamics 240, 2529-2538.

415 49. Agostini, F., Agostinis, R., Medina, D.L., Bisaglia, M., Greggio, E., and Plotegher, N. (2022). The
 416 Regulation of MiTF/TFE Transcription Factors Across Model Organisms: from Brain Physiology to
 417 Implication for Neurodegeneration. Molecular Neurobiology, 1-24.

418 50. George, A., Zand, D.J., Hufnagel, R.B., Sharma, R., Sergeev, Y.V., Legare, J.M., Rice, G.M., Schwoerer,
 419 J.A.S., Rius, M., and Tetri, L. (2016). Biallelic mutations in MITF cause coloboma, osteopetrosis,
 420 microphthalmia, macrocephaly, albinism, and deafness. The American Journal of Human Genetics 99,
 421 1388-1394.

422 51. Rowan, S., Chen, C.-M.A., Young, T.L., Fisher, D.E., and Cepko, C.L. (2004). Transdifferentiation of the
 423 retina into pigmented cells in ocular retardation mice defines a new function of the homeodomain gene
 424 Chx10.

425 52. Bharti, K., Gasper, M., Ou, J., Brucato, M., Clore-Gronenborn, K., Pickel, J., and Arnheiter, H. (2012). A
 426 regulatory loop involving PAX6, MITF, and WNT signaling controls retinal pigment epithelium
 427 development. PLoS genetics 8, e1002757.

428 53. Kuiper, R.P., Schepens, M., Thijssen, J., Schoenmakers, E.F., and van Kessel, A.G. (2004). Regulation
429 of the Mitf/TFE bHLH - LZ transcription factors through restricted spatial expression and alternative
430 splicing of functional domains. *Nucleic acids research* 32, 2315-2322.

431 54. Ahi, E.P., and Sefc, K.M. (2017). A gene expression study of dorso-ventrally restricted pigment
432 pattern in adult fins of *Neolamprologus meeli*, an African cichlid species. *PeerJ* 5, e2843.

433 55. Baxter, L.L., Hou, L., Loftus, S.K., and Pavan, W.J. (2004). Spotlight on spotted mice: a review of white
434 spotting mouse mutants and associated human pigmentation disorders. *Pigment Cell Research* 17, 215-
435 224.

436 56. Steingrímsson, E., Tessarollo, L., Pathak, B., Hou, L., Arnheiter, H., Copeland, N.G., and Jenkins, N.A.
437 (2002). Mitf and Tfe3, two members of the Mitf-Tfe family of bHLH-Zip transcription factors, have
438 important but functionally redundant roles in osteoclast development. *Proceedings of the National*
439 *Academy of Sciences* 99, 4477-4482.

440 57. Lister, J.A., Robertson, C.P., Lepage, T., Johnson, S.L., and Raible, D.W. (1999). Nacre encodes a
441 zebrafish microphthalmia-related protein that regulates neural-crest-derived pigment cell fate.
442 *Development* 126, 3757-3767.

443 58. Szydlowski, P., Madej, J.P., and Mazurkiewicz - Kania, M. (2016). Ultrastructure and distribution of
444 chromatophores in the skin of the leopard gecko (*Eublepharis macularius*). *Acta Zoologica* 97, 370-375.

445 59. Kofler, R., Orozco-terWengel, P., De Maio, N., Pandey, R.V., Nolte, V., Futschik, A., Kosiol, C., and
446 Schlötterer, C. (2011). PoPoolation: a toolbox for population genetic analysis of next generation
447 sequencing data from pooled individuals. *PloS one* 6, e15925.

448 60. Castoe, T.A., De Koning, A.J., Hall, K.T., Card, D.C., Schield, D.R., Fujita, M.K., Ruggiero, R.P., Degner,
449 J.F., Daza, J.M., and Gu, W. (2013). The Burmese python genome reveals the molecular basis for extreme
450 adaptation in snakes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110, 20645-20650.

451 61. Sedlazeck, F.J., Rescheneder, P., and Von Haeseler, A. (2013). NextGenMap: fast and accurate read
452 mapping in highly polymorphic genomes. *Bioinformatics* 29, 2790-2791.

453 62. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and
454 Durbin, R. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078-2079.

455 63. Wysocker, A., Tibbetts, K., and Fennell, T. (2013). Picard tools version 1.90. Available online at picard.
456 sourceforge. net.

457 64. Kofler, R., Pandey, R.V., and Schlötterer, C. (2011). PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between
458 populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics* 27, 3435-3436.

459 65. Dudchenko, O., Batra, S.S., Omer, A.D., Nyquist, S.K., Hoeger, M., Durand, N.C., Shamim, M.S.,
460 Machol, I., Lander, E.S., and Aiden, A.P. (2017). De novo assembly of the *Aedes aegypti* genome using Hi-
461 C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science* 356, 92-95.

462 66. Dudchenko, O., Shamim, M.S., Batra, S.S., Durand, N.C., Musial, N.T., Mostofa, R., Pham, M., St
463 Hilaire, B.G., Yao, W., and Stamenova, E. (2018). The Juicebox Assembly Tools module facilitates de novo
464 assembly of mammalian genomes with chromosome-length scaffolds for under \$1000. *BioRxiv*, 254797.

465 67. Chong, J.X., Buckingham, K.J., Jhangiani, S.N., Boehm, C., Sobreira, N., Smith, J.D., Harrell, T.M.,
466 McMillin, M.J., Wiszniewski, W., and Gambin, T. (2015). The genetic basis of Mendelian phenotypes:
467 discoveries, challenges, and opportunities. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 97, 199-215.

468 68. Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang, L.L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., Land, S.J., Lu, X., and Ruden, D.M.
469 (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff:
470 SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly* 6, 80-92.

471 69. Geneva, A.J., Park, S., Bock, D., de Mello, P., Sarigol, F., Tollis, M., Donihue, C., Reynolds, R.G., Feiner,
472 N., and Rasys, A. (2021). Chromosome-scale genome assembly of the brown anole (*Anolis sagrei*), a
473 model species for evolution and ecology. *bioRxiv*.

474 70. Concordet, J.-P., and Haeussler, M. (2018). CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9
475 genome editing experiments and screens. *Nucleic acids research* 46, W242-W245.

476 71. Mehravar, M., Shirazi, A., Nazari, M., and Banan, M. (2019). Mosaicism in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated
477 genome editing. *Developmental biology* 445, 156-162.

478 72. Lewis, A.C., Rankin, K.J., Pask, A.J., and Stuart - Fox, D. (2017). Stress - induced changes in color
479 expression mediated by iridophores in a polymorphic lizard. *Ecology and Evolution* 7, 8262-8272.

480 73. Reynolds, E.S. (1963). The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in electron
481 microscopy. *The Journal of cell biology* 17, 208.

482

483 **STAR*METHODS**

484 **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

485 **Lead contact**

486 Further information should be directed to lead contact Alan Garcia-Elfring (alan.garcia-
487 elfring@mail.mcgill.ca) or corresponding author Rowan Barrett (rowan.barrett@mcgill.ca).

488 **Data and code Availability**

489 Raw sequence data will be deposited in the sequence read archive (SRA) and processed data to
490 Dryad. All the code will be made available in a repository that mints DOIs or included in the
491 supplemental information.

492

493 **METHOD DETAILS**

494 **Analysis of clutch data, sample collection, DNA extraction and sequencing**

495 To test whether the piebald phenotype segregates as a simple Mendelian factor, we compiled
496 10 years' worth of clutch data available online data from a commercial breeder (*KINOVA*). We
497 included data from piebald relevant crosses
498 (https://kinovareptiles.com/incubator/?clutch_id=piebald): piebald vs. inferred non piebald,
499 piebald vs. inferred heterozygotes, and crosses between inferred heterozygotes. We obtained
500 ball python samples (shed skin) by appealing to commercial breeders from Canada (Mutation
501 Creation, T. Dot Exotics, The Ball Room Canada, Designing Morphs). We used a case-control
502 approach, using shed skin samples from 47 piebald individuals (inferred to be homozygous for
503 the piebald variant; Table S3) and 52 non-piebald individuals (inferred to be homozygous wild-
504 type from pedigrees; Table S4). Although individuals from both sets of samples contained
505 additional mutations (i.e., other base morphs), the only consistent difference between the two
506 pools was the piebald versus non-piebald phenotype difference. We attempted to maximize the

number of individuals that came from different families to minimize the effects of population structure, although there were some exceptions (Table S4). From each sample, we used approximately 0.1 g of shed skin, cut to small pieces using scissors, for DNA extraction. We extracted DNA following a standard phenol-chloroform procedure, with the modification of a 24-hour proteinase-K incubation time at 37 °C. Piebald and non-piebald samples were prepared on different working days to avoid contamination. We quantified all samples using a Picogreen® ds DNA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) on an Infinite® 200 Nanoquant (Tecan Group Ltd. Männedorf, Switzerland). After DNA extraction, we mixed DNA of individuals (according to phenotype) in equimolar amounts to obtain a single pool for each phenotype, 'piebald' and 'non-piebald.' Because extracted DNA from shed skin was degraded, we used PCR-based whole-genome libraries for both pools. We sequenced 150 bp pair-end reads on two lanes of Illumina HiSeqX. Library preparation and DNA sequencing were done at the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Center in Montreal, Canada. The locus of interest was validated with PCR and Sanger sequencing.

Bioinformatics

We processed raw reads by filtering for read quality and length with the program *Popoolation* (59). We kept reads with a minimum quality of 20 (`--quality-threshold 20`) and a length of 50 bp (`--min-length 50`). We then aligned processed reads to the Burmese python (*Python bivittatus*) draft assembly Pmo2.0 (60) using the program *NextGenMap* (61). *NextGenMap* was designed for aligning reads to highly polymorphic genomes or genomes of closely related species. We used *SAMtools* (62) to convert SAM files to BAM format and remove reads with mapping quality below 20 (`samtools view -q 20`). PCR duplicates were removed with the program *MarkDuplicates* of *Picard Tools* (63). We used the *Popoolation2* (64) protocol to produce a sync file, which contains read counts for all nucleotides sequenced in the genome and used this for subsequent downstream analyses (e.g., F_{ST} scan). In a separate analysis, we applied the same protocol as above but instead aligned reads to the chromosome-length Burmese python reference genome, *Python_molurus_bivittatus-5.0.2_HiC.assembly* (65, 66).

We applied a genome-wide F_{ST} scan to search for SNPs showing high differentiation between the two pools. For this procedure, we used the *fst-sliding.pl* script of *Popoolation2* (--min-count 10, --min-coverage 20, --max-coverage 500, --min-covered-fraction 0, --window-size 1, --step-size 1, --pool-size 47:52, --suppress-noninformative). We then identified SNPs with high F_{ST} estimates ($F_{ST} = 0.9-1.0$) and mapped them to genes. We used a custom script to map SNPs with high differentiation to genes in the gene annotation file using the scaffold name and SNP position. Because the draft assembly of the Burmese python is highly fragmented (60), we also applied the same F_{ST} scan on data aligned to the chromosome-length genome assembly (65, 66) – thus obtaining better delineation of the genomic region of interest. However, this latter assembly is not annotated with genetic features, hence necessitating the use of both assemblies.

Mendelian phenotypes arise predominately due to mutations to the protein-coding sequences of genes (67). We thus annotated variants (SNPs and indels) with the software *snpEff* (68) to aid in identifying the putative causal mutation for the piebald phenotype within protein-coding genes. *SnpEff* was designed for annotating and predicting loss or reduced function effects of variants on gene protein-products, such as amino acid changes. This program provides an assessment of the impact of a variant, including ‘HIGH’ (e.g., stop codon), ‘MODERATE’ (e.g., non-synonymous change), ‘LOW’ (e.g., synonymous change), or ‘MODIFIER’ (change in an intergenic area).

Functional validation of the putative piebald mutation in *Anolis sagrei*

Gene editing was performed on wild-caught brown anole females under the approval and oversight of the University of Georgia Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (A2019 07-016-Y3-A3). All experiments followed the National Research Council’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing was carried out as previously reported (35) with the following modifications: For analgesia, rimadyl (4µg/g) was substituted for meloxicam, and the Cas9 RNP concentration was increased to 10 µM. Cas9 RNP was produced by mixing SpCas9 2NLS with sgRNA (Synthego Corp, Menlo Park, CA) in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. In addition, Cas9 RNP was injected into a maximum of three follicles per ovary, prioritizing the

largest follicles. The size of follicles injected ranged from 1mm to 10mm in diameter, and included both previtellogenic and large, yolk follicles. Potential guide sites were obtained using *tfec* coding exon 5 from the *A. sagrei* AnoSag2.1 assembly (69), and targets were chosen using CRISPOR 4.4 (70), selecting targets with Fusi-Scores of 50% or greater. Before performing oocyte injections, we tested the ability of the Cas9 RNP to digest a PCR product than spans the target site. An equal mixture of two sgRNA was used to create *tfec* Cas9 RNP: Targets sites 5' AGAAACAGATACACGAGCAA 3' and 5' AGATACACGAGCAATGGCAA 3'. A total of 44 follicles in 12 adult females were injected to generate four *tfec* mutants. For the production of the *tyr* mutant line, a single sgRNA directed against *tyr* exon 2 was used to create *tyr* Cas9 RNP: Target site 5'ATGATAAAGGGAGGACACCT.

Eggs from CRISPR injected females were collected and incubated at 29°C. Upon hatching, lizard tail clips were collected, and genomic DNA prepared. Hatchlings were screened for mutations in *tfec* coding exon 5 by performing Sanger Sequencing on two different PCR amplicons: 466bp *tfec* amplicon (Tfec-F3: 5'-AAGGGCACATGGCTTGGAAG-3' and Tfec-R3: 5'-CAGTGGGTCTATACTAAACCTGA-3'); 1595bp *tfec* amplicon (Tfec-468-F: 5'-CCATGTACCATTATCAATGCTATGC-3' and Tfec-1121-R: 5'-CATCGAATTGTTGCCAATCTGTG-3'). Sanger sequencing revealed mutations in two male (*Mutant 1* and *Mutant 3*) and two female (*Mutant 2* and *Mutant 4*) hatchlings. *Mutant 1* and *mutant 2* carried mutant alleles with large size differences that allowed us to gel purify two distinct PCR bands of different sizes from each lizard. We sequenced these gel purified bands to obtain clean chromatograms and verify the sequence of the mutant alleles. All mutations shifted the *tfec* reading frame and no evidence of wild-type alleles was detected in the mutants. To test for germline transmission, *Mutant 1* was crossed with *Mutant 2* and *Mutant 4*. Mutations in *tyr* were identified as previously described (35). We note that in many vertebrates, F0 genome edited individuals are highly mosaic (71). Injecting Cas9 RNP into immature lizard oocytes that are not fertilized for days to weeks allows for an extended period for Cas9 RNP to enter the nucleus and cut the target site on the maternal allele (and upon fertilization) the paternal allele. We speculate that may account for the low mosaicism that we observed in the F0 mutants.

Dissection of eyes and TEM imaging of skin

F0 *tfec* mutants were crossed to generate F1 *tfec*^{-/-} progeny that we used for more detailed analyses of eyes and skin. An F0 *tyr* mutant male heterozygous for an 8bp deletion in *tyr* exon 2 was crossed to produce heterozygous F1 lizards; F1 *tyr*^{-/+} lizards were then intercrossed to produce F2 *tyr*^{-/-} lizards. Wild-type, *tyr*^{-/-}, and *tfec*^{-/-} hatchlings were euthanized, and their eyes and skin from the trunk were collected immediately. The freshly dissected tissue was imaged using a ZEISS Discovery V12 SterEO microscope, AxioCam (MRc5), and Axio Vision 4.8.2 (release 06-2010). Electron microscopy was performed following the protocol of Lewis et al. (2017, reference 72) with modification. Samples were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight at room temperature. Fixed tissue samples were rinsed three times in PBS for 10 min each, before being dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol consisting of 25%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 100%, and 100% anhydrous ethanol for 60 min each. Following dehydration, the cells were infiltrated with increasing concentrations of LR White resin in ethanol consisting of 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% resin for 6 hr each step. After a second change of 100% resin, the samples were embedded in fresh resin in gelatin capsules. The gelatin capsules were capped to exclude air and the resin polymerized in an oven at 60°C for 24 h. The embedded tissues in resin blocks were sectioned with a diamond knife on a Leica Ultracut S microtome and ultrathin sections (60-70 nm) were collected onto formvar-coated 100 mesh hexagonal copper grids. The sections on grids were sequentially stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate for 30 min and Reynolds Lead Citrate for 8 min (73) and viewed in JEOL JEM-1011 transmission electron microscope at 80-100 kV. Images were captured with an AMT XR80M Wide-Angle Multi-Discipline Mid-Mount CCD digital camera, at a resolution of 3296 x 2460 pixels.

Gene nomenclature

Throughout this article, we follow gene nomenclature established in humans and zebrafish. In humans, gene names are capitalized (e.g., *TFEC* and *MITF*), whereas when referring to genes in

616 other model organisms (e.g., zebrafish, mouse, and reptiles) the gene names are presented in
617 lowercase letters (e.g., *tfec* and *mitf*) for simplicity.

618

FIGURES






Figure 1. A small sample of the phenotypic variation found in captive-bred ball pythons (*Python regius*). (A) wild type, (B) piebald, (C) banana piebald, (D) pastel piebald, (E) pastel HRA enhancer, (F) ultramel clown, (G) banana champagne. Photo credit: pethelpful.com (A) and *Designing Morphs* (B-G).

A

Piebald X Non-Piebald Crosses



Het. Piebald X Het. Piebald Crosses



Piebald X Het. Piebald Crosses



**B**

Figure 2. (A) Clutch records (2008-2018) from a commercial breeder (*K/NOVA*) indicates piebald has a recessive mode of inheritance. Piebald vs. inferred non-piebald crosses: zero piebald hatchlings (P) and 311 non-piebald hatchlings (NP); Inferred heterozygote crosses: 60 P and 170 NP; Piebald vs. inferred heterozygote crosses: 238 P and 253 NP. (B) F_{ST} plot between piebald and non-piebald samples using a chromosome-length genome assembly. The F_{ST} peak on chromosome 7 delineates the region of interest containing the putative causal gene for the piebald phenotype.




Figure 3. Phenotypic comparison between *A. sagrei* wild type (A) and F0 *tfec* mutant (B).




Figure 4. *Tfec* is required for iridophore development in *Anolis sagrei*. Presented are eye and skin samples from individuals having (a, d, g, j) wild-type alleles and F0 mutants with reading frame mutations in (b, e, h, k) *tyr* and (c, f, i, l) *tfec*. (a-c) anterior view of hatchling eyes. (d-f) dissected skin from trunk of hatchlings. For these panels, anterior surface is up, and the posterior surface is down. Ventral surface is on the left side of the image and the dorsal surface is on the right. The dorsal stripe can be seen on panels (d) and (e) while (f) exhibits a lack of this back pattern. (g-l) transmission electron microscopy images of individual dorsal scales (g-i) and higher magnification images of melanophores and iridophores (j-l). Melanophores hold pigmented melanosomes while iridophores reflectiveness comes from guanine crystals. For *tyr*^{-/-} note the absence of melanosomes and the presence of guanine crystals. For *tfec*^{-/-} note the presence of melanosomes and the absence of guanine crystals. Asterisks show melanosomes while arrowheads point to guanine crystals. Scale bars: (a-f) 500 μ m, (g-i) 6 μ m, (j-l) 2 μ m.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES




Figure S1. F_{ST} plot of piebald vs. non-piebald comparison using the fragmented draft assembly.




Figure S3. Alternative splicing of *tfec* exon 5 in *Anolis sagrei*. RNA-seq reads from embryonic (limbs and eyes) and adult (brain, liver, and skin) tissues of *A. sagrei* demonstrate the presence of two alternative splice acceptor sites at the 5' end of *tfec* exon 5. Blue = *tfec* exon 5, gray = individual RNA-seq reads, A1 = Acceptor site 1, A2 = Acceptor site 2.




Figure S4. Chromatograms of *ttec* exon 5 from mutant *A. sagrei*. (A) Mutant 1: Overlapping signal indicates presence of multiple alleles (top panel). 56bp deletion shown in bottom panel. 1bp deletion not shown. (B) Mutant 2: Two mutant alleles detected, a local ~190bp inversion (middle panel) and a 295bp deletion (bottom panel). (C) Mutant 3: 4bp deletion. (D) Mutant 4: 13bp insertion.




Figure S5. Mutant *A. sagrei* phenotypes. F0 generation: (A) Mutant 1 (male). (B) Mutant 2 (female). (C) Mutant 3 (male). (D) Mutant 4 (female). Phenotype successfully transmitted to the F1 generation.




Figure S6. (top panel) Phenotypes of *A. sagrei* with normal pigmentation: wild type 1 (male, left) and wild type 2 (male, right). (bottom panel) Chromatograms: wild type 1 (bottom) and wild type 2 (top).




Figure S7. Close up views of *A. sagrei* skin from wild type, *tyr*^{-/-}, and *tfec*^{-/-} hatchlings. Panels display (a,d,g) wild type, (b, e, h) tyrosinase and (c, f, i) *tfec* mutants. (a-c) scales along the dorsal midline. (d-f) scales along the side of the lizard. (g-i) scales along the belly of the lizard. Scale bar for all panels, 250 μ m. Reading frame mutations in *tyr* and *tfec* do not affect scale patterning or size but do impact coloration.