

**Iron “Ore” Nothing: Benthic iron fluxes from the oxygen-deficient Santa Barbara Basin
enhance phytoplankton productivity in surface waters**

**De’Marcus Robinson^{1*}, Anh L.D. Pham¹, David J. Yousavich², Felix Janssen³, Frank
Wenzhöfer³, Eleanor C. Arrington⁴, Kelsey M. Gosselin⁵, Marco Sandoval-Belmar¹,
Matthew Mar¹, David L. Valentine⁴, Daniele Bianchi¹, Tina Treude^{1,2*}**

¹Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California Los Angeles, Los
Angeles, CA, USA

²Department of Earth, Planetary, and Space Sciences, University of California Los Angeles, Los
Angeles, CA, USA

³HGF-MPG Joint Research Group for Deep-Sea Ecology and Technology, Alfred Wegener
Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

⁴Department of Earth Science and Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa
Barbara, CA 93106, USA

⁵Interepartment Graduate Program in Marine Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA
93106, USA

*Correspondence: De’Marcus Robinson, demarcus1.robinson@atmos.ucla.edu; Tina Treude,
ttreude@g.ucla.edu

Abstract

The trace metal iron (Fe) is an essential micronutrient that controls phytoplankton productivity, which subsequently affects organic matter cycling with feedback on the cycling of macronutrients. Along the continental margin of the U.S. West Coast, high benthic Fe release has been documented, in particular from deep anoxic basins in the Southern California Borderland. However, the influence of this Fe release on surface primary production remains poorly understood. In the present study from the Santa Barbara Basin, in-situ benthic Fe fluxes were determined along a transect from shallow to deep sites in the basin. Fluxes ranged between 0.23 and 4.9 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, representing some of the highest benthic Fe fluxes reported to date. To investigate the influence of benthic Fe release from the oxygen-deficient deep basin on surface phytoplankton production, we combined benthic flux measurements with numerical simulations using the Regional Ocean Model System coupled to the Biogeochemical Elemental Cycling model (ROMS-BEC). For this purpose, we updated the model Fe flux parameterization to include the new benthic flux measurements from the Santa Barbara Basin. Our simulations suggest that benthic Fe fluxes enhance surface primary production, supporting a positive feedback on benthic Fe release by decreasing oxygen in bottom waters. However, a reduction of phytoplankton Fe limitation by enhanced benthic fluxes near the coast may be partially compensated by increased nitrogen limitation further offshore, limiting the efficacy of this positive feedback.

1. Introduction

The California Current System (CCS), located off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California, is a typical Eastern Boundary Upwelling System, where seasonal upwelling supports a highly diverse and productive marine ecosystem (Chavez and Messié, 2009; Carr and Kearns, 2003). The CCS can be split into three main parts: the main equatorward California Current offshore, a subsurface poleward undercurrent fringing the continental shelf, and a recirculation pattern known as the Southern California Eddy in the Southern California Bight.

In the CCS, both upwelling and large-scale circulation provide essential nutrients to the euphotic zone, where they fuel high rates of net primary production (NPP). While seasonal upwelling dominates north of Point Conception, advection by the CCS provides a major route for nutrient supply to the Santa Barbara Channel in the Southern California Bight (Bray et al., 1999). Following phytoplankton blooms, sinking and degradation of organic matter lead to oxygen consumption and widespread oxygen loss in subsurface waters (Brander et al., 2017; Chavez and Messié, 2009). Along the southern California coast, this oxygen depletion is exacerbated by regional circulation patterns that include transport of low-oxygen waters of tropical origin along the poleward undercurrent (Evans et al., 2020; Pozo Buil and Di Lorenzo, 2017). Oxygen decline is particularly apparent in deep, isolated basins such as those found in the Southern California continental borderland, where the presence of shallow sills limits ventilation of deep waters, and anoxic conditions are often encountered near the bottom (Reimers et al., 1990; Goericke et al., 2015; White et al., 2019).

In the CCS, the trace metal iron (Fe) has been identified as a limiting factor for the growth of phytoplankton (Hogle et al., 2018). Fe is an essential micronutrient that has also a considerable influence on the dynamics of phosphorus and nitrogen in the euphotic zone (Tagliabue et al., 2017). Similar to other nutrients, Fe is transported to the surface by upwelling and circulation. However, Fe supply is generally low in oxygenated environments relative to other macronutrients, reflecting rapid scavenging of insoluble iron-oxide minerals by sinking particles that eventually accumulate in the sediment (Bruland et al., 2001, 2014; Firme et al., 2003; Till et al., 2019). While early studies suggested that Fe inputs to the CCS are dominated by rivers and aeolian deposition (Biller and Bruland, 2013; Johnson et al., 2003), more recent work highlights a combination of sources,

including benthic fluxes (Severmann et al., 2010; Noffke et al., 2012; Tagliabue et al., 2017; Wallmann et al., 2022) and ocean currents, which help redistributing Fe in coastal waters (Bray et al., 1999; Boiteau et al., 2019; García-Reyes and Largier, 2010).

Benthic release of Fe(II), the reduced and soluble form of Fe, has been recognized as a potential source of Fe to the surface ocean along the continental shelf and slope of the CCS, including the deep basins of the California borderland (John et al., 2012; Severmann et al., 2010). Under hypoxic or anoxic bottom waters, Fe(II) produced in the sediment during microbial organic matter degradation coupled to Fe (III) reduction diffuses across the sediment-water interface and accumulates in the water column (Furrer and Wehrli, 1993; Dale et al., 2015; Severmann et al., 2010; Wallmann et al., 2022). In the CCS, this benthic Fe flux is likely to exceed atmospheric deposition (Deutsch et al., 2021), and may ultimately make its way to the surface by upwelling and vertical mixing, supporting high rates of photosynthesis.

The interaction between low bottom water oxygen, Fe(II) release, and transport by the ocean circulation is particularly important in the Santa Barbara Basin (SBB), an oxygen-deficient basin located between the Channel Islands and mainland California in the Southern California Bight. The SBB frequently experiences seasonal anoxia in the bottom water in fall, with irregular oxygen flushing of dense, hypoxic water below the western sill depth (470 m) during winter and spring (Goericke et al., 2015; Sholkovitz and Soutar, 1975; White et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2022). This seasonal flushing reflects either changes in upwelling strength and frequency, or changes in stratification at the sill depth, although the exact cause of the flushing is still unclear (Goericke et al., 2015; Sholkovitz and Gieskes, 1971; White et al., 2019). Lack of oxygen in the deeper parts of the basin supports anaerobic microbial processes in the bottom water and sediment (White et al., 2019), including benthic Fe reduction (Goericke et al., 2015), causing the release of Fe(II) into the water column (Severmann et al., 2010). Ventilation events that re-oxygenate the deep basin, as well as mixing by the vigorous submesoscale circulation (Kessouri et al., 2020), could allow upwelling of this Fe above the sill depth and ultimately to the surface, providing a linkage between benthic processes and upper water-column biogeochemistry. Increased surface primary production supported by this Fe source would in turn drive higher remineralization and oxygen loss in deep waters, thus providing a positive feedback to benthic Fe release. However, with a dearth of benthic Fe flux measurements in the SBB, gaps remain in our understanding of the dynamics and impact

102 of benthic Fe flux in the Southern California Borderland, particularly with respect to its magnitude,
103 dependence on bottom water oxygen, and ability to reach the euphotic zone and influence primary
104 production.

105 In this study, we explore the connection between benthic Fe flux and surface primary production
106 in the CCS, by investigating the influence of enhanced benthic Fe fluxes from low-oxygen waters
107 with a combination of field observations and experiments with a numerical model. We focus on
108 the SBB, where we provide a new set of benthic Fe flux estimates determined by in-situ benthic
109 flux chamber measurements. We combine these new observations with existing data (Severmann
110 et al., 2010) to revise the representation of benthic Fe fluxes in UCLA's Regional Ocean Modeling
111 System coupled to the Biogeochemical Elemental Cycling (ROMS-BEC) model (Deutsch et al.,
112 2021). We then use the model to evaluate the effect of benthic Fe fluxes on surface nutrient
113 consumption and NPP, and compare their impact to that of aeolian Fe deposition in the SBB and
114 beyond.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Site

Fieldwork in the SBB was conducted between Oct 29 and Nov 11, 2019, during the R/V Atlantis cruise AT42-19. Sampling occurred during the anoxic, non-upwelling season along one bimodal transect with six stations total at depths between 447 and 585 m (**Fig. 1, Table 1**).




Figure 1. Station locations in the SBB during the AT42-19 expedition with R/V Atlantis. NDT3 (with stations A, B, C) = Northern Depocenter Transect Three, NDRO = Northern Depocenter Radial Origin, SDRO = Southern Depocenter Radial Origin, SDT3 (with station D) = Southern Depocenter Transect Three. The small insert figure displays dissolved oxygen concentrations in the water column at the NDRO station profiled by an optical oxygen sensor attached to the AUV

Sentry. The profile was measured at the following position: Latitude 34.2618 N, Longitude - 120.0309 E. The map was created using ArcGIS Ocean Basemap, with bathymetric contour lines representing depth information taken from the General Bathymetric Chart of the Ocean (GEBCO) database.

Transects were divided into northern (NDT3 = Northern Depocenter Transect Three) and southern (SDT3 = Southern Depocenter Transect Three) sites based on basin geography (**Fig. 1**). Stations were labeled alphabetically from A (deepest) to D (shallowest) according to their location along the transect, except for the deepest stations at the bottom of the basin, which were labeled Northern Depocenter Radial Origin (NDRO) and Southern Depocenter Radial Origin (SDRO).

2.2 Benthic Flux Chambers

Custom-built cylindrical benthic flux chamber systems (Treude et al., 2009) were deployed by the ROV Jason at the six stations (**Fig. 1**). Polycarbonate chambers (19 cm inner diameter) were installed in a small lightweight frame made from fiber-reinforced plastics. A stirrer (Type K/MT 111, K.U.M. Umwelt- und Meerestechnik, Kiel, Germany) was used to keep the water overlying the sediment enclosed by the chamber well mixed. One or two replicate chamber systems were deployed at each site. Since sediment in the SBB is quite soft and poorly consolidated, especially towards the deeper stations, frames were fitted with platforms attached to the feet of the frame and with buoyant syntactic foam to reduce sinking into the sediment. A syringe sampler was equipped with 6 glass sampling syringes that were connected with 50 cm long plastic tubes (2.5mm inner diameter, Vygon, Aachen, Germany). Each sampling syringe withdrew 50 mL of the overlying seawater at pre-programmed times. A seventh syringe was used to inject 50 mL of de-ionized water shortly after chambers were deployed to calculate chamber volume from the salinity-drop recorded with a conductivity sensor (type 5860, Aanderaa Data Instruments, Bergen, NO) in the overlying water, following the approach described in (Kononets et al., 2021). Water samples were analyzed for Fe(II) on the ship using a Shimadzu UV-Spectrophotometer (UV-1800), equipped with a sipper unit, following the procedure of (Grasshoff and Ehrhardt, 1999). Fe fluxes were calculated from the slope of linear fits of Fe concentration time series vs. time (**Fig. S1**), multiplied by the chamber volume, and divided by the surface area of the sediment (Kononets et al., 2021).

2.3 Numerical model (ROMS-BEC)

To explore the impacts of benthic Fe fluxes on surface primary production, we used a well-established ocean biogeochemical model of the CCS (Renault et al., 2016; Deutsch et al., 2021). The physical model component consists of the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS), (Shchepetkin, 2015; Shchepetkin and McWilliams, 2005) a primitive-equation, hydrostatic, topography-following ocean model. As in prior work, the model domain spans the entire U.S. West Coast, from Baja California to Vancouver Island, with a horizontal resolution of 4 km, enough to resolve the mesoscale circulation (Capet et al., 2008). The baseline model configuration was run over the period 1995–2017 with interannually varying atmospheric forcings. We refer the reader to earlier publications (Renault et al., 2021; Deutsch et al., 2021) for a complete description of the model configuration, setup, forcings and boundary conditions used in this study.

ROMS is coupled online to the Biogeochemical Elemental Cycling (BEC) model (Moore et al., 2004), adapted for the U.S. West Coast by (Deutsch et al., 2021). BEC solves the equations for the evolution of six nutrients (nitrate (NO_3^-), ammonium (NH_4^+), nitrite (NO_2^-), silicate (SiO_2), phosphate (PO_4^{3-}), and iron (Fe)), three phytoplankton groups (small phytoplankton, diatoms, and diazotrophs), a single zooplankton group, inorganic carbon, oxygen (O_2), and dissolved organic matter (carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and iron). Nutrient and carbon cycles are coupled by a fixed stoichiometry, except for silica and Fe, which use variable stoichiometries (Deutsch et al., 2021; Moore et al., 2001, 2004). The Fe cycle in BEC includes four separate pools: dissolved inorganic Fe (dFe), dissolved and particulate organic Fe, and Fe associated with mineral dust. Of these, only dissolved organic and inorganic Fe are explicitly tracked as state variables, while particulate Fe is treated implicitly by resolving vertical sinking particle fluxes (Moore et al., 2001; Moore and Braucher, 2008). Four main processes control the cycle of Fe in the model: atmospheric deposition, biological uptake and remineralization, scavenging by sinking particles, and release by sediment. The atmospheric dFe deposition is based on the dust climatology of (Mahowald et al., 2006), and dissolution rates from (Moore and Braucher, 2008). Different from (Deutsch et al., 2021), we re-evaluated the dependence of benthic dFe fluxes on bottom water O_2 concentrations in the California margin based on a merged dataset that combines our measurements from the SCB, with those presented in (Severmann et al., 2010) (see Section 2.5). The model Fe scavenging scheme removes dFe from the water column at a rate proportional to sinking particle fluxes and dFe concentrations, assuming a uniform concentration of 0.6 nM of Fe-binding ligands (Moore et al., 2004; Moore and Braucher, 2008). Accordingly, scavenging rates increase strongly at dFe

concentrations greater than 0.6 nM, and vice versa rates decrease strongly below 0.5 nM (**Fig. S2**). Note that, while simplistic, this formulation is still widely adopted by global ocean biogeochemistry models (Tagliabue et al., 2014, 2016), although improvements have been proposed (Moore and Braucher, 2008; Aumont et al., 2015; Pham and Ito, 2019, 2018).

As shown in previous work, the model captures the main patterns of physical and biogeochemical variability in the CCS, providing a representation of nutrient cycles and NPP in good agreement with observations (Renault et al., 2021; Deutsch et al., 2021). We further evaluate the model against an extended set of dissolved Fe measurements for the CCS (see Sections 2.4 and 3.1).

2.4 Fe dataset along the U.S. West Coast

To assess the ability of the model to capture observed patterns in dFe along the U.S. West Coast, we gathered available dFe concentration measurements from published studies, including a global compilation (Tagliabue et al., 2016), regional programs such as CalCOFI, CCE-LTER, IRNBRU and MBARI cruises (Bundy et al., 2016; Hogle et al., 2018; Johnson et al., 2003; King and Barbeau, 2011), and other individual studies (Biller and Bruland, 2013; Boiteau et al., 2019; Bundy et al., 2014, 2015, 2016; Chappell et al., 2019; Chase, 2002; Chase et al., 2005; Firme et al., 2003; Hawco et al., 2021; John et al., 2012; Till et al., 2019). In the final compilation, we define dFe as the sum of the dissolved Fe and dissolvable Fe, based on the definitions used in each publication. Different studies used different filter sizes to define the dFe pool, most commonly 0.20, 0.40, and 0.45 μm , and different sampling methods, such as bottles, pump systems and/or surface tows. In some studies, samples were briefly acidified before being analyzed. Despite the differences in sampling and measurement approaches, we found that these datasets generally agreed with each other, suggesting that the final compilation accurately represents the dFe distribution along the U.S. West Coast. The final dataset includes observations from 1980 to 2021, with most samples collected between 1997 and 2015, and from the upper 100 m of the water column.

2.5 Experimental Design

To evaluate the impact of Fe fluxes from low- O_2 sediment in the SBB on surface biogeochemistry, we designed a suite of model sensitivity experiments with ROMS-BEC in which external sources

of Fe are modified relative to a baseline simulation. Accordingly, we run the following model experiments:

High-flux: This experiment is the baseline model simulation, using a Fe flux parameterization calculated as an exponential fit to a data set of benthic Fe fluxes consisting of the new benthic measurements from AT42-19 and previous observations from the U.S. West Coast (Severmann et al., 2010) (see Section 3.2), thus updating the parameterization by (Deutsch et al., 2021). Benthic Fe release follows the equation:

$$\log_{10}(\text{Fe}) = 2.86 - 0.01 \cdot \text{O}_2 \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

Where O_2 is the concentration of oxygen in mmol m^{-3} and (Fe) is the Fe flux in $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$. This revised formulation is only applied in the SBB where we performed our measurements, while a different formulation, solely based on data by (Severmann et al., 2010) is used outside of the SBB:

$$\log_{10}(\text{Fe}) = 2.6178 - 0.0128 \text{ O}_2 \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

For this parameterization, we corrected a model bias that resulted in modeled bottom O_2 concentrations greater than 30 mmol m^{-3} over most of the deep basins where observations indicated lower concentrations, down to oxygen-free conditions (**Fig. S3**). We therefore reduced modeled bottom water O_2 concentrations in the Southern California Borderland by 30 mmol m^{-3} , based on the average difference between model and observed O_2 in the region. This correction is crucial to producing realistic benthic Fe fluxes under the anoxic conditions observed in the SBB, rather than fluxes at O_2 concentrations of 30 mmol m^{-3} .

Hypoxia-off: The purpose of this experiment is to evaluate the importance of enhanced Fe fluxes under low- O_2 conditions in the SBB. Benthic Fe fluxes are calculated as in *High-flux* experiment (Equation 2), but they are capped at a constant value when O_2 decreased below a threshold of 65 mmol m^{-3} , which we chose as representative of hypoxic conditions (Deutsch et al., 2011). This change is applied only to in the SBB, and effectively bounds the benthic Fe release at $1.48 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ when O_2 drops below the threshold for hypoxia.

239 ***Dust-off***: The purpose of this experiment is to evaluate the importance of aeolian Fe deposition in
240 the CCS, and to compare it with the benthic Fe fluxes. In this experiment, the atmospheric Fe
241 deposition is set to zero; all other settings are identical to the *High-flux* experiment.

242 The baseline (*High-flux*) model simulation is run from 1995 to 2017. The other two model
243 sensitivity experiments (*Hypoxia-off* and *Dust-off*) are branched off from the *High-flux* simulation
244 in year 2008 and run separately for 10 additional years (2008-2017). All model experiments use
245 the same set of forcings and initial conditions. Results from the final 3 years (2015-2017) of the
246 *Hypoxia-off* and *Dust-off* simulations are averaged and analyzed by comparing differences in
247 biogeochemical fields (Fe, NO₃⁻, and NPP) to the final 3 years of the *High-flux* run.

3. Results

3.1 In-situ benthic Fe fluxes and model parameterization

Benthic Fe fluxes from in-situ benthic chamber measurements during the AT42-19 expedition are shown in **Table 1**. High Fe flux was recorded at the anoxic depocenter stations (4.90 and 3.92 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at SDRO and 3.49 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at NDRO). Fe fluxes at the shallower hypoxic stations (NDT3C, NDT3B, and SDT3D) were an order of magnitude lower. The Fe flux at the hypoxic NDT3A station between NDRO and NDT3B was approximately half the flux observed at the depocenter.

Table 1. Station details and geochemical parameters determined during the AT42-19 expedition. Benthic Fe fluxes were determined using in-situ benthic chambers. Dissolved O₂ concentrations were measured in the water column at 10 m above the seafloor using a Seabird optode sensor attached to the ROV Jason. At stations with two benthic chamber deployments (NDT3A and SDRO), O₂, geographical coordinates, and depth were averaged as there were only minimal differences between the two chamber deployments.

Station	Fe Flux [mmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹]	O ₂ [mmol m ⁻³]	Latitude [N]	Longitude [E]	Depth [m]
NDT3C	0.23 (n=1)	5.3	34.3526	-120.0160	499
NDT3B	0.36 (n=1)	6.8	34.3336	-120.0188	535
NDT3A	1.73; 1.20 (n=2)	9.6	34.2921	-120.0258	572
NDRO	3.49 (n=1)	0.0	34.2618	-120.0309	581
SDRO	4.90; 3.92 (n=2)	0.0	34.2011	-120.0446	586
SDT3D	0.58 (n=1)	9.6	34.1422	-120.0515	446

Trends in the Fe fluxes suggest modulation by O₂ concentration, water depth, and/or bathymetry. We also note that observed oxygen concentration represents a snapshot of bottom water conditions, while Fe fluxes likely reflect the oxygenation history at any given site. We observed a decrease in the Fe flux with a decrease in water depth (**Fig. 2**). There was also a slight trend of higher Fe fluxes with lower O₂ concentrations (most pronounced when O₂ reaches zero); however, since O₂

concentrations were relatively low at all stations ($<10 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$) it is difficult to distill a clear pattern based on the small dataset. Notably, the NDT3A station showed a high Fe flux despite exhibiting the same O_2 concentration as the shallower station SDT3D. Basin bathymetry may also contribute to observed differences in the flux. For instance, the deeper depocenter and A-station showed higher averaged fluxes than the B, C, and D stations. We further noticed differences between the north and south extension of the transect. The southern stations (SDRO and SDT3D) showed a higher Fe flux than the northern stations (NDRO and NDT3C).




Figure 2. Benthic in-situ Fe fluxes. A: Fluxes as a function of O_2 . B: Fluxes as a function of (station) water depth. Note that water depth is shown from deep to shallow depths. See Table 1 for station details.

We combined Fe fluxes determined during AT42-19 with previous estimates along the CCS, as compiled by (Severmann et al., 2010), and analyzed them as a function of bottom water O_2 (Fig. 3). Pooled together, the measurements can be described reasonably well by an exponential increase of Fe fluxes with declining bottom water O_2 (Severmann et al., 2010), although significant variability around an exponential fit remains. This relationship is consistent with the Fe flux parameterization adopted in the ROMS-BEC model (Deutsch et al., 2021). Several observations from the AT42-19 cruise (red dots in Fig. 3) exceed the range of previous measurements (blue dots in Fig. 3), likely owing to the anoxic or near-anoxic conditions in the water. Relative to the

exponential fit to the dataset by (Severmann et al., 2010) (yellow line in **Fig. 3**, see Equation 2)
the revised fit to the pooled data (purple line in **Fig. 3**, see Equation 1) expands Fe fluxes by
approximately a factor of two at O_2 concentrations close to zero, but decreases the magnitude of
the Fe fluxes at concentrations above approximately 130 mmol m^{-3} .

3.2 Model evaluation: High flux simulation

The *High-flux* simulation captures the magnitude and patterns of the observed dFe distribution in
the upper ocean (**Fig. 4**), consistently with our knowledge of the ocean Fe cycle. In both model
and observations, dFe concentrations are low at the surface, as a result of phytoplankton uptake,
and increase gradually in subsurface waters due to organic matter remineralization in the water
column and at the seafloor, and benthic Fe fluxes from the sediment (**Fig. S4**). The highest dFe
concentrations are found along the coast, likely related to high surface productivity and shallow
carbon export and remineralization, combined with basin bathymetry and O_2 deficiency. In the
open ocean, dFe concentrations are low in both model and observations, reflecting a combination
of phytoplankton uptake, scavenging by sinking particles, and low external inputs.





Figure 3. Combined benthic Fe flux data as a function of bottom oxygen. Blue dots show data
from the compilation by (Severmann et al., 2010); red dots measurements from the AT42-19
cruise. The yellow line shows an exponential fits to the dataset by (Severmann et al., 2010)

305 (Equation 2). The purple line shows an exponential fit to the combined dataset (Equation 1). Note
 306 the logarithmic scale used for the y-axis.



307
 308
 309 **Figure 4.** (a) Observed dFe concentrations (nM) from the U.S. West Coast compilation (see
 310 Section 2.4) averaged between 0 and 100 m depth. (b) Annual mean modeled dFe concentrations
 311 (nM) averaged between 0 and 100 m depth, sampled at the same locations as the observations in
 312 panel (a).

313 Observational limitations prevent a more detailed validation of subsurface dFe patterns.
 314 Measurements of dFe concentrations in subsurface and deep waters (> 100 m) are currently very
 315 sparse in the CCS region and Southern California Borderland. Most of the dFe measurements for
 316 the SBB come from limited sampling conducted quarterly as part of selected CalCOFI (California
 317 Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations) cruises (King and Barbeau, 2011). These samples
 318 mostly focus on the mixed layer and are too sparse in space and time to capture the effects of deep-


water renewal events that ventilate the anoxic basins and allow uplifting and transport of deep waters towards the surface.

The agreement of the model dFe with observations (correlation coefficient $R=0.22$, $p<0.01$) is similar to that of other ocean biogeochemical models (Tagliabue et al., 2016). The model tends to underestimate the sharp dFe gradient between coastal and open ocean waters, overestimating dFe in the open ocean and producing too uniform concentrations offshore and at depth (**Fig. 4**). These biases are likely related to the simple Fe scavenging scheme, which assumes a constant Fe-binding ligand concentration of 0.6 nM. The small number and episodic nature of in-situ measurements may also explain some of the mismatches between model and observations.

At the scale of the CCS, the *High-flux* simulation produces lower surface dFe in the southern part of the domain (33°N to 36°N), and higher surface concentration in the northern part (40°N to 45°N) and near the central coast (**Fig. 5a**). While these patterns reflect a combination of internal Fe cycling and external inputs, the elevated dFe in the northern CCS, in particular offshore, can be partly attributed to higher aeolian deposition in that region (**Fig. S5**) as well as coastal inputs from the Juan De Fuca strait (Deutsch et al., 2021).

The model reproduces the typical signature of coastal upwelling, with higher concentrations of NO_3^- nearshore in the central coast (36°N-40°N) and low concentrations in the Southern California Bight and offshore (**Fig. 5b**). Similarly, the model reproduces high values of NPP near the coast, in particular along the central coast, and rapidly decreasing values offshore (**Fig. 5c**). Relative to previous modeling work (Deutsch et al., 2021) our simulations generate somewhat lower surface NO_3^- concentrations close to the coast, and sharper NPP gradients between the nearshore and offshore regions, which are consistent with the rapid decrease in primary productivity and chlorophyll shown by both satellite-based estimates and *in situ* data (Deutsch et al., 2021). These changes likely reflect the higher benthic Fe fluxes in our simulations (Equation 1), which increase phytoplankton productivity and promote nutrient drawdown near the coast.

344



345

346 **Fig. 5.** (a) Surface dFe concentration, (b) surface NO₃⁻ concentration, and (c) vertically integrated
 347 net primary production (NPP) from the *High-flux* model simulation.

348

349 3.3 Hypoxia-off: Impact of benthic Fe flux from low-oxygen bottom water

350 We quantify the importance of benthic Fe fluxes from low-O₂ bottom waters in the Southern
 351 California Borderland by analyzing results from the *Hypoxia-off* experiment, in which we cap the
 352 high benthic Fe flux at a constant value (1.48 μmol m⁻² d⁻¹) when O₂ declines below hypoxic
 353 conditions (65 mmol m⁻³, see Section 2.5) (**Fig 6**).




Figure 6. (a) Surface dFe anomalies, (b) Surface NO₃⁻ anomalies, and (c) vertically integrated net primary production (NPP) from the *Hypoxia-off* model run relative to the *High-flux* model run. The maps focus on the region around the SBB.

As expected, a decrease in benthic Fe flux from the anoxic basins in the *Hypoxia-off* simulation leads to a decrease in the surface dFe concentration (**Fig. 6a**). This decrease is particularly significant along the coast of the SBB, but also extends slightly into the open ocean (**Fig S6**). This trend indicates that dFe released from low-O₂ sediment is effectively transported to the surface and offshore, where it can affect primary production. The decrease in surface dFe caused by reduced benthic release causes a decline in NPP near the coast (**Fig. 6c**), where phytoplankton rely the most on benthic-derived Fe. NPP also shows a patchy increase in some regions,

especially between 32°N and 33°N and between 34°N and 35°N. This patchy increase can be explained by the relative importance of Fe vs. N limitation along a cross-shore productivity gradient. While near the SBB coast, phytoplankton is frequently Fe limited (up to 50% of the time in the model), especially following upwelling events, it tends to be almost exclusively N-limited moving offshore (Deutsch et al., 2021). This limitation pattern is consistent with observations from (King and Barbeau, 2011), who show that N:Fe ratios decrease moving from the coast to the open ocean (i.e., N is likely more limiting than Fe offshore). As Fe limitation reduces NPP near the coast in the *Hypoxia-off* experiment, NO₃⁻ utilization also declines, so that more NO₃⁻ can accumulate in surface waters (**Fig. 6b**). Shallow transport of excess NO₃⁻ in mesoscale eddies can further fertilize offshore waters (Damien et al., 2023), releasing local N limitation and fueling an increase in NPP (**Fig. 6c**).

3.4 Dust-off: Role of atmospheric Fe deposition

We evaluate the importance of aeolian Fe sources in the *Dust-off* simulation, in which atmospheric Fe deposition is set to zero. In this experiment, surface dFe decreases everywhere in the CCS, but the decrease is particularly evident in the open ocean and the northern part of the domain (**Fig. 7a**). This dFe decrease leads to a widespread reduction in NPP in the northern CCS (40°N to 48°N, **Fig. 7c**), with stronger negative anomalies away from the coast. The decline in NPP is accompanied by a broad decrease in NO₃⁻ utilization, particularly evident offshore, where phytoplankton rely mostly on Fe delivery by dust. In contrast, we observe a broad increase in NPP in the southern CCS (south of 40°S) and in coastal areas, likely reflecting increased availability of NO₃⁻ transported southward by the broad California Current. The response of NPP in coastal areas and the southern CCS, when the dust deposition of Fe is set to zero, demonstrates that phytoplankton in those regions relies mostly on benthic Fe fluxes, rather than dust deposition, as the main source of Fe.




Figure 7. (a) Surface dissolved Fe, (b) surface NO_3^- anomalies, and (c) vertically integrated net primary production (NPP) from the *Dust-off* model run relative to the *High-flux* model run.

4. Discussion

4.1 Benthic Fe flux feedbacks on SBB biogeochemistry

The influence of bottom water O₂ concentration on the exchange of solutes between the sediment and the water column has been well documented (Soetaert et al., 2000; Sommer et al., 2016; Testa et al., 2013). Under hypoxic or anoxic bottom water conditions, organic matter sedimentation sustains anaerobic respiration at the sediment-water interface and in the sediment (Furrer and Wehrli, 1993; Middelburg and Levin, 2009a). Reduced compounds accumulate in pore waters forming chemical gradients (Widdows and Brinsley, 2002) that result in the flux of solutes such as Fe(II) out of the sediment, and their accumulation in bottom water (Jørgensen and Nelson, 2004; McMahon and Chapelle, 1991; Middelburg and Levin, 2009b; Yao et al., 2016). Similar conditions are observed in the SBB, where high sedimentation rates, water column denitrification below the sill depth, and high pore-water concentrations of sulfide and Fe(II) have been observed (Behl and Kennett, 1996; Bray et al., 1999; Goericke et al., 2015; Sholkovitz and Soutar, 1975; Sigman et al., 2003; White et al., 2019).




Figure 8. Schematic illustrating feedback loops between benthic Fe release, nutrient cycles, and productivity in the Santa Barbara Basin. (a) Positive feedback loop: 1. Benthic Fe is released into the oxygen-poor bottom water. 2. Upwelled Fe reaches the surface ocean increasing dissolved Fe concentrations. 3. Dissolved Fe is assimilated by phytoplankton, fueling blooms and production of organic matter and siderophores, i.e., ligands used to chelate ferric iron. 4. Organic matter is exported from the surface to the deep ocean. 5. Organic matter accumulates at the sediment-water interface. 6. During remineralization, iron-reducing bacteria reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II), increasing benthic dFe release. (b) Positive feedback loop – dampening: 1-3 (not including 3b and 3c) and 4-6 are identical to (a). Parts 3b and 3c illustrate the decline of NO_3^- at the surface caused by the reduction in Fe limitation, which together with increased denitrification in anoxic waters and sediment would limit the potential increase in primary production and export from the surface caused by Fe fertilization. Together with enhanced release of phosphate from anoxic sediment, a reduction in the available NO_3^- could also reduce the N:P ratio of phytoplankton. Ultimately, the effect of a decrease of NO_3^- on export and remineralization of organic matter would limit the increase of benthic Fe(II) fluxes, dampening the positive feedback.

The intense flux of dFe from the sediment suggests the potential for biogeochemical feedbacks in the SBB and more broadly in the CCS (as shown by Figs. 5 – 7). Under a positive feedback scenario (illustrated in Fig. 8a), anoxic and nearly anoxic bottom water conditions facilitate Fe(II) diffusion from the sediment into the bottom water. In the SBB, this Fe eventually reaches the surface via upwelling and mixing processes, which are likely enhanced in the presence of complex bathymetry and islands in the Southern California Bight (Kessouri et al., 2020). This additional dFe input fertilizes coastal waters and increases primary production. Newly formed organic matter eventually sinks towards the seafloor as a rain of organic particles, supporting low-oxygen concentrations in the bottom water, and fueling anaerobic respiration, including Fe reduction, in the sediment. This chain of processes thus represents a positive feedback loop that maintains high Fe(II) release from the sediment, as long as the bottom water remains hypoxic or anoxic (Mills et al., 2004; Noffke et al., 2012; Sañudo-Wilhelmy et al., 2001; Dale et al., 2015; Wallmann et al., 2022).

Our simulations also indicate the potential for complex biogeochemical responses between Fe, NO_3^- and NPP, which could limit the effects of these feedbacks. Specifically, the positive feedback loop is damped in our conceptual model by increased NO_3^- limitation and elevated N-loss in anoxic sediments under oxygen-deficient bottom waters at higher Fe supply (illustrated by Fig. 8b), which would in turn limit the increase in NPP. Transport of N-depleted coastal waters can further reduce NPP offshore, counteracting the positive feedback. In addition, the positive feedback would be also damped by Fe scavenging, which is magnified at high dissolved Fe concentrations, unless Fe-binding ligands also increase. This damping effect is particularly strong in our model, where a constant ligand concentration of 0.6 nM is used, above which scavenging rapidly increases (Section 2). Such a negative feedback between scavenging and benthic Fe fluxes is consistent with the global modeling study by Somes et al. (2021).

Additional processes may further modulate these feedback loops. Increased anoxia in bottom water and sediment favors the removal of fixed N by denitrification (Goericke et al., 2015; White et al., 2019). Upwelling of NO_3^- -depleted waters would then reduce surface productivity by increasing N limitation (Gruber and Deutsch, 2014). Release of Fe(II) from the sediment could also impact phosphate dynamics. Phosphate is scavenged by Fe during oxidation of Fe(II) in the water column and sediment because of the ability of Fe(III) minerals to bind it. After burial, phosphate is released

due to reduction of solid Fe(III) minerals to dissolved Fe(II), and diffuses upward to be either re-adsorbed by Fe(III) at the oxic sediment-water interface, or released to the bottom water under anoxic conditions (Dijkstra et al., 2014). The latter scenario is consistent with our in-situ benthic flux chamber measurements revealing increased phosphate release from the sediment with increased depth in the SBB (Yousavich et al., 2023). Higher release of phosphate into the water column, and transport to the surface, could decrease the N:P ratio of phytoplankton, especially downstream of waters where denitrification occurred (Deutsch et al., 2007). In the presence of N limitation, these conditions could favor the activity of nitrogen-fixing microorganisms (Mills et al., 2004; Noffke et al., 2012; Sañudo-Wilhelmy et al., 2001), which could further modulate surface NPP (Deutsch et al., 2007).

4.2 Contribution of physical transport on surface Fe

Our numerical experiments suggest that Fe released into the deep SBB can reach surface waters and fertilize them. This finding highlights the critical role of bottom water upwelling and mixing in the deep basins of the Southern California Borderland. There is ample literature describing seasonal surface circulation and bottom water renewal and their effect on nutrients in the SBB (Bray et al., 1999; Hendershott and Winant, 1996; Sholkovitz and Gieskes, 1971). However, the frequency and rate of seasonal bottom water flushing events, and the processes responsible for vertical mixing and upwelling across hundreds of meters remain poorly understood (Shiller et al., 1985; Sholkovitz and Gieskes, 1971; White et al., 2019). It is likely that interaction between wind-driven upwelling events and submesoscale eddies, which are particularly intense inside the Santa Barbara Channel (Kessouri et al., 2020), favors upward mixing of deep bottom water in the wake of flushing events, connecting deep bottom waters to the surface.

4.3 Quantifying expansion of anoxia in the SBB

Changes in source waters and global O₂ loss have contributed to decreasing O₂ levels throughout the Southern California Bight and the SBB (Zhou et al., 2022). With the outlook of a continuing decline in oceanic O₂ (Bopp et al., 2013; Kwiatkowski et al., 2020), quantifying the expansion of hypoxic and anoxic zones in the SBB is vital to understand the dynamics and fate of Fe(II) and other reduced compounds, such as ammonium and hydrogen sulfide, in deep low-oxygen waters. In the SBB, bottom water renewal events have experienced a decline in frequency and magnitude,

488 driving an expansion of hypoxic and anoxic conditions in deep waters (White et al., 2019). This
489 expansion has led to an increase in anaerobic reactions, such as denitrification in the water column
490 (White et al., 2019) as well as sulfur cycling in the sediment (Valentine et al., 2016). Expansion
491 of low O₂ waters could intensify the positive feedback loop between Fe release, NPP and O₂ loss
492 (**Fig. 8**). However, to date, despite growing evidence for more frequent anoxia, there is no clear
493 quantitative record of the vertical or horizontal expansions of oxygen-deficient waters in the SBB.

5. Conclusion

Our field campaign in the SBB measured a remarkably high flux of Fe(II) from the sediment ($0.23 - 4.9 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), greater than in previous studies from this region (Severmann et al., 2010) and from other oxygen minimum zones (Dale et al. 2015; Homoky et al. 2021). While these observations are based on snapshots of O_2 and Fe fluxes, they have implications for the temporal variability of Fe supply. High benthic Fe fluxes are observed during the anoxic fall season, while seasonal flushing in winter and spring likely decreases them by increasing bottom water O_2 and Fe oxidation and retention near the sediment.

Using a series of simulations with an ocean biogeochemical model, we show that this high Fe release from deep, low-oxygen sediment can reach the surface and impact nutrients and productivity in the SBB and the Southern California Bight, where Fe is often limiting (Hogle et al., 2018). We also highlight the impacts of coastal Fe inputs on waters further offshore. While phytoplankton in coastal areas directly benefits from Fe fertilization, increased NO_3^- utilization in coastal waters can increase N-limitation of phytoplankton further downstream in open-ocean areas. Thus, benthic Fe fluxes can modulate Fe and NO_3^- limitation in ways that partially counteract one another along the cross-shore productivity gradient of the CCS. Our simulations also suggest that Fe inputs from atmospheric deposition are mostly important in the open ocean north of 40°N , where phytoplankton rely on Fe delivery by dust. However, we also show that changes in atmospheric Fe deposition can affect ocean productivity in the southern CCS by altering NO_3^- utilization further downstream. Our results support the idea that benthic Fe fluxes are the major source of Fe in the southern CCS and are supplemented by atmospheric deposition in northwestern and offshore waters, leading to relatively high NPP coastwide.

We suggest that benthic Fe fluxes from deep anoxic basins reach the surface in the SBB, contributing to feedbacks between Fe and NO_3^- limitation and NPP. Specifically, high Fe fluxes from low-oxygen sediment support higher NPP near the coast, in turn leading to increased respiration and O_2 loss at depth, maintaining high Fe release. This positive feedback is damped by increased NO_3^- limitation, which reduces NPP downstream of coastal regions. This benthic-pelagic coupling demonstrates the importance of sediment-derived Fe fluxes on the coastal ecosystem of the CCS, and the role of vertical transport processes in connecting deep environments to surface

waters along continental margins. Our results are thus consistent with previous work from the Peruvian coastal upwelling (Wallmann et al., 2022), suggesting that oceanic O₂ loss could drive an increase in benthic Fe fluxes, enhancing local productivity and leading to further O₂ loss. This positive feedback could be stabilized by loss of fixed nitrogen under expanded anaerobic conditions.

It is likely that feedbacks of the type highlighted by (Wallmann et al., 2022) and our work in the SBB are at play more broadly along low-oxygen upwelling systems and coastal OMZ. Further studies should focus on the coupling between benthic processes and Fe and nutrient cycling in these regions. For example, fixed nitrogen loss by denitrification and enhanced release of phosphorous under low-oxygen bottom water are likely to further modulate these interactions. Seasonal studies based on stable isotope, radiotracer, and geochemical techniques are required to track the fate and transport of nutrients in low-O₂ coastal regions, clarifying the dynamics and sensitivities of the underlying microbial metabolisms. Ocean biogeochemical models for regional and global studies should incorporate new observations of benthic fluxes and their sensitivity to bottom O₂ and other environmental variables. This would expand the ability of models to better capture the effects of long-term oceanic O₂ loss, and the feedbacks between benthic nutrient fluxes and surface productivity.

Acknowledgements

We thank the captain and crew of R/V Atlantis, the crew of ROV Jason, the crew of AUV Sentry, and the science party of research cruise AT42-19 for their technical and logistical support. We thank Q. Qin, M. O’Beirne, A. Mazariegos, X. Moreno, and A. Eastman for assisting with shipboard analyses. Funding for this work was provided by the US National Science Foundation, NSF OCE-1829981 (to TT), OCE-1756947 and OCE-1830033 (to DLV), and OCE-2023493 (to DB and ALP). Computational resources were provided by the Expanse system at the San Diego Supercomputer Center through allocation TG-OCE170017 from the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which was supported by National Science Foundation grant 1548562.

Code availability

The physical and biogeochemical codes used for our simulations can be accessed at: <https://github.com/UCLA-ROMS/Code>.

The model output can be accessed through Zenodo: (link will be provided before publication)

Data availability

In-situ benthic Fe flux data are accessible through the Biological & Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office (BCO-DMO) under the following DOI: 10.26008/1912/bco-dmo.896706.1.

Author contributions.

DR, TT, DB, and AP conceived this study. DM, DJY, FJ, FW, ECA, KMG, DLV and TT conducted the sampling at sea. DJY transformed and interpreted ROV Jason data. FJ and FW constructed and managed benthic flux chambers. DYJ and DR analyzed Fe(II) and assisted with the flux calculation. MM provided the compiled Fe measurements along the U.S. West Coast. AP and MS performed the model simulations. DR, DB, AP and TT wrote the manuscript with input from all co-authors.

Competing interests

566 Some authors are members of the editorial board of Biogeosciences. The peer-review process was
567 guided by an independent editor, and the authors have no other competing interests to declare.

568 **References**

- 569 Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., and Gehlen, M.: PISCES-v2: An ocean
570 biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 8, 2465–2513,
571 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2465-2015>, 2015.
- 572 Behl, R. J. and Kennett, J. P.: Brief interstadial events in the Santa Barbara basin, NE Pacific,
573 during the past 60 kyr, *Nature*, 379, 243–246, <https://doi.org/10.1038/379243a0>, 1996.
- 574 Biller, D. V. and Bruland, K. W.: Sources and distributions of Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd
575 relative to macronutrients along the central California coast during the spring and summer
576 upwelling season, *Mar. Chem.*, 155, 50–70, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2013.06.003>,
577 2013.
- 578 Boiteau, R. M., Till, C. P., Coale, T. H., Fitzsimmons, J. N., Bruland, K. W., and Repeta, D. J.:
579 Patterns of iron and siderophore distributions across the California Current System, *Limnol.*
580 *Oceanogr.*, 64, 376–389, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11046>, 2019.
- 581 Bopp, L., Resplandy, L., Orr, J. C., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., Halloran, P., Heinze,
582 C., Ilyina, T., Séférian, R., Tjiputra, J., and Vichi, M.: Multiple stressors of ocean ecosystems in
583 the 21st century: projections with CMIP5 models, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 6225–6245,
584 <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-6225-2013>, 2013.
- 585 Brander, K., Cochrane, K., Barange, M., and Soto, D.: Climate Change Implications for Fisheries
586 and Aquaculture, in: *Climate Change Impacts on Fisheries and Aquaculture*, edited by: Phillips,
587 B. F. and Pérez-Ramírez, M., John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 45–62,
588 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119154051.ch3>, 2017.
- 589 Bray, N. A., Keyes, A., and Morawitz, W. M. L.: The California Current system in the Southern
590 California Bight and the Santa Barbara Channel, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 104, 7695–7714,
591 <https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JC900038>, 1999.
- 592 Bruland, K. W., Rue, E. L., and Smith, G. J.: Iron and macronutrients in California coastal
593 upwelling regimes: Implications for diatom blooms, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 46, 1661–1674,
594 <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.7.1661>, 2001.
- 595 Bruland, K. W., Middag, R., and Lohan, M. C.: Controls of Trace Metals in Seawater, in:
596 *Treatise on Geochemistry*, Elsevier, 19–51, [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.00602-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.00602-1)
597 1, 2014.
- 598 Bundy, R. M., Biller, D. V., Buck, K. N., Bruland, K. W., and Barbeau, K. A.: Distinct pools of
599 dissolved iron-binding ligands in the surface and benthic boundary layer of the California
600 Current, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 59, 769–787, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2014.59.3.0769>, 2014.
- 601 Bundy, R. M., Abdulla, H. A. N., Hatcher, P. G., Biller, D. V., Buck, K. N., and Barbeau, K. A.:
602 Iron-binding ligands and humic substances in the San Francisco Bay estuary and estuarine-

603 influenced shelf regions of coastal California, *Mar. Chem.*, 173, 183–194,
 604 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.11.005>, 2015.

605 Bundy, R. M., Jiang, M., Carter, M., and Barbeau, K. A.: Iron-Binding Ligands in the Southern
 606 California Current System: Mechanistic Studies, *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 3,
 607 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00027>, 2016.

608 Capet, X., Campos, E. J., and Paiva, A. M.: Submesoscale activity over the Argentinian shelf,
 609 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034736>, 2008.

610 Carr, M.-E. and Kearns, E. J.: Production regimes in four Eastern Boundary Current systems,
 611 *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 50, 3199–3221,
 612 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2003.07.015>, 2003.

613 Chappell, P., Armbrust, E., Barbeau, K., Bundy, R., Moffett, J., Vedamati, J., and Jenkins, B.:
 614 Patterns of diatom diversity correlate with dissolved trace metal concentrations and longitudinal
 615 position in the northeast Pacific coastal-offshore transition zone, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 609, 69–
 616 86, <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12810>, 2019.

617 Chase, Z.: Iron, nutrient, and phytoplankton distributions in Oregon coastal waters, *J. Geophys.*
 618 *Res.*, 107, 3174, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JC000987>, 2002.

619 Chase, Z., Johnson, K. S., Elrod, V. A., Plant, J. N., Fitzwater, S. E., Pickell, L., and Sakamoto,
 620 C. M.: Manganese and iron distributions off central California influenced by upwelling and shelf
 621 width, *Mar. Chem.*, 95, 235–254, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.09.006>, 2005.

622 Chavez, F. P. and Messié, M.: A comparison of Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystems, *Prog.*
 623 *Oceanogr.*, 83, 80–96, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2009.07.032>, 2009.

624 Dale, A. W., Nickelsen, L., Scholz, F., Hensen, C., Oschlies, A., and Wallmann, K.: A revised
 625 global estimate of dissolved iron fluxes from marine sediments: GLOBAL BENTHIC IRON
 626 FLUXES, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 29, 691–707, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB005017>,
 627 2015.

628 Damien, P., Bianchi, D., McWilliams, J. C., Kessouri, F., Deutsch, C., Chen, R., and Renault, L.:
 629 Enhanced Biogeochemical Cycling Along the U.S. West Coast Shelf, *Glob. Biogeochem.*
 630 *Cycles*, 37, e2022GB007572, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GB007572>, 2023.

631 Deutsch, C., Sarmiento, J. L., Sigman, D. M., Gruber, N., and Dunne, J. P.: Spatial coupling of
 632 nitrogen inputs and losses in the ocean, *Nature*, 445, 163–167,
 633 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05392>, 2007.

634 Deutsch, C., Brix, H., Ito, T., Frenzel, H., and Thompson, L.: Climate-Forced Variability of
 635 Ocean Hypoxia, *Science*, 333, 336–339, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1202422>, 2011.

636 Deutsch, C., Frenzel, H., McWilliams, J. C., Renault, L., Kessouri, F., Howard, E., Liang, J.-H.,
 637 Bianchi, D., and Yang, S.: Biogeochemical variability in the California Current System, *Prog.*
 638 *Oceanogr.*, 196, 102565, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2021.102565>, 2021.

639 Dijkstra, N., Kraal, P., Kuypers, M. M. M., Schnetger, B., and Slomp, C. P.: Are Iron-Phosphate
640 Minerals a Sink for Phosphorus in Anoxic Black Sea Sediments?, PLOS ONE, 9, e101139,
641 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101139>, 2014.

642 Evans, N., Schroeder, I. D., Pozo Buil, M., Jacox, M. G., and Bograd, S. J.: Drivers of
643 Subsurface Deoxygenation in the Southern California Current System, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47,
644 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL089274>, 2020.

645 Firme, G. F., Rue, E. L., Weeks, D. A., Bruland, K. W., and Hutchins, D. A.: Spatial and
646 temporal variability in phytoplankton iron limitation along the California coast and consequences
647 for Si, N, and C biogeochemistry: SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL VARIABILITY IN
648 PHYTOPLANKTON IRON, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 17,
649 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001824>, 2003.

650 Furrer, G. and Wehrli, B.: Biogeochemical processes at the sediment-water interface:
651 measurements and modeling, Appl. Geochem., 8, 117–119, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(09)80021-8)
652 [2927\(09\)80021-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(09)80021-8), 1993.

653 García-Reyes, M. and Largier, J.: Observations of increased wind-driven coastal upwelling off
654 central California, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C04011, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005576>, 2010.

655 Goericke, R., Bograd, S. J., and Grundle, D. S.: Denitrification and flushing of the Santa Barbara
656 Basin bottom waters, Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr., 112, 53–60,
657 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.07.012>, 2015.

658 Grasshoff, K. and Ehrhardt, M.: Methods of seawater analysis, 3rd, completely rev. and extended
659 ed. ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, New York, xxxii, 600 pp., 1999.

660 Hawco, N. J., Barone, B., Church, M. J., Babcock-Adams, L., Repeta, D. J., Wear, E. K.,
661 Foreman, R. K., Björkman, K. M., Bent, S., Van Mooy, B. A. S., Sheyn, U., DeLong, E. F.,
662 Acker, M., Kelly, R. L., Nelson, A., Ranieri, J., Clemente, T. M., Karl, D. M., and John, S. G.:
663 Iron Depletion in the Deep Chlorophyll Maximum: Mesoscale Eddies as Natural Iron
664 Fertilization Experiments, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 35,
665 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GB007112>, 2021.

666 Hendershott and Winant: Surface Circulation in the Santa Barbara Channel, Oceanography, 9,
667 114–121, <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.1996.14>, 1996.

668 Hogle, S. L., Dupont, C. L., Hopkinson, B. M., King, A. L., Buck, K. N., Roe, K. L., Stuart, R.
669 K., Allen, A. E., Mann, E. L., Johnson, Z. I., and Barbeau, K. A.: Pervasive iron limitation at
670 subsurface chlorophyll maxima of the California Current, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 115, 13300–
671 13305, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1813192115>, 2018.

672 Homoky, W. B., Conway, T. M., John, S. G., König, D., Deng, F., Tagliabue, A., and Mills, R.
673 A.: Iron colloids dominate sedimentary supply to the ocean interior, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 118,
674 e2016078118, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2016078118>, 2021.

John, S. G., Mendez, J., Moffett, J., and Adkins, J.: The flux of iron and iron isotopes from San Pedro Basin sediments, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 93, 14–29, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.06.003>, 2012.

Johnson, K. S., Elrod, V. A., Fitzwater, S. E., Plant, J. N., Chavez, F. P., Tanner, S. J., Gordon, R. M., Westphal, D. L., Perry, K. D., Wu, J., and Karl, D. M.: Surface ocean-lower atmosphere interactions in the Northeast Pacific Ocean Gyre: Aerosols, iron, and the ecosystem response, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 17, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GB002004>, 2003.

Jørgensen, B. B. and Nelson, D. C.: Sulfide oxidation in marine sediments: Geochemistry meets microbiology, in: *Sulfur Biogeochemistry - Past and Present*, Geological Society of America, <https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2379-5.63>, 2004.

Kessouri, F., Bianchi, D., Renault, L., McWilliams, J. C., Frenzel, H., and Deutsch, C. A.: Submesoscale Currents Modulate the Seasonal Cycle of Nutrients and Productivity in the California Current System, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 34, e2020GB006578, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006578>, 2020.

King, A. L. and Barbeau, K. A.: Dissolved iron and macronutrient distributions in the southern California Current System, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, C03018, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006324>, 2011.

Kononets, M., Tengberg, A., Nilsson, M., Ekeröth, N., Hylén, A., Robertson, E. K., van de Velde, S., Bonaglia, S., Rütting, T., Blomqvist, S., and Hall, P. O. J.: In situ incubations with the Gothenburg benthic chamber landers: Applications and quality control, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 214, 103475, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2020.103475>, 2021.

Kwiatkowski, L., Torres, O., Bopp, L., Aumont, O., Chamberlain, M., Christian, J. R., Dunne, J. P., Gehlen, M., Ilyina, T., John, J. G., Lenton, A., Li, H., Lovenduski, N. S., Orr, J. C., Palmieri, J., Santana-Falcón, Y., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Stock, C. A., Tagliabue, A., Takano, Y., Tjiputra, J., Toyama, K., Tsujino, H., Watanabe, M., Yamamoto, A., Yool, A., and Ziehn, T.: Twenty-first century ocean warming, acidification, deoxygenation, and upper-ocean nutrient and primary production decline from CMIP6 model projections, *Biogeosciences*, 17, 3439–3470, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3439-2020>, 2020.

Mahowald, N. M., Muhs, D. R., Levis, S., Rasch, P. J., Yoshioka, M., Zender, C. S., and Luo, C.: Change in atmospheric mineral aerosols in response to climate: Last glacial period, preindustrial, modern, and doubled carbon dioxide climates: DUST RESPONSE TO CLIMATE, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres*, 111, n/a–n/a, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006653>, 2006.

McMahon, P. B. and Chapelle, F. H.: Microbial production of organic acids in aquitard sediments and its role in aquifer geochemistry, *Nature*, 349, 233–235, <https://doi.org/10.1038/349233a0>, 1991.

Middelburg, J. J. and Levin, L. A.: Coastal hypoxia and sediment biogeochemistry, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1273–1293, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-1273-2009>, 2009a.

712 Middelburg, J. J. and Levin, L. A.: Coastal hypoxia and sediment biogeochemistry, 1273–1293,
713 2009b.

714 Mills, M. M., Ridame, C., Davey, M., La Roche, J., and Geider, R. J.: Iron and phosphorus co-
715 limit nitrogen fixation in the eastern tropical North Atlantic, *Nature*, 429, 292–294,
716 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02550>, 2004.

717 Moore, J. K. and Braucher, O.: Sedimentary and mineral dust sources of dissolved iron to the
718 world ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 631–656, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-631>, 2008.

719 Moore, J. K., Doney, S. C., Kleypas, J. A., Glover, D. M., and Fung, I. Y.: An intermediate
720 complexity marine ecosystem model for the global domain, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud.*
721 *Oceanogr.*, 49, 403–462, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(01\)00108-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(01)00108-4), 2001.

722 Moore, J. K., Doney, S. C., and Lindsay, K.: Upper ocean ecosystem dynamics and iron cycling
723 in a global three-dimensional model: GLOBAL ECOSYSTEM-BIOGEOCHEMICAL MODEL,
724 *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 18, n/a–n/a, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002220>, 2004.

725 Noffke, A., Hensen, C., Sommer, S., Scholz, F., Bohlen, L., Mosch, T., Graco, M., and
726 Wallmann, K.: Benthic iron and phosphorus fluxes across the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone,
727 *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 57, 851–867, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2012.57.3.0851>, 2012.

728 Pham, A. L. D. and Ito, T.: Formation and Maintenance of the GEOTRACES Subsurface-
729 Dissolved Iron Maxima in an Ocean Biogeochemistry Model, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 32,
730 932–953, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017GB005852>, 2018.

731 Pham, A. L. D. and Ito, T.: Ligand Binding Strength Explains the Distribution of Iron in the
732 North Atlantic Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 7500–7508,
733 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083319>, 2019.

734 Pozo Buil, M. and Di Lorenzo, E.: Decadal dynamics and predictability of oxygen and
735 subsurface tracers in the California Current System, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 4204–4213,
736 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072931>, 2017.

737 Qin, Q., Kinnaman, F. S., Gosselin, K. M., Liu, N., Treude, T., and Valentine, D. L.: Seasonality
738 of water column methane oxidation and deoxygenation in a dynamic marine environment,
739 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 336, 219–230, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2022.09.017>, 2022.

740 Reimers, C. E., Lange, C. B., Tabak, M., and Bernhard, J. M.: Seasonal spillover and varve
741 formation in the Santa Barbara Basin, California, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 35, 1577–1585,
742 <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1990.35.7.1577>, 1990.

743 Renault, L., Deutsch, C., McWilliams, J. C., Frenzel, H., Liang, J.-H., and Colas, F.: Partial
744 decoupling of primary productivity from upwelling in the California Current system, *Nat.*
745 *Geosci.*, 9, 505–508, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2722>, 2016.

746 Renault, L., McWilliams, J. C., Kessouri, F., Jousse, A., Frenzel, H., Chen, R., and Deutsch, C.:
 747 Evaluation of high-resolution atmospheric and oceanic simulations of the California Current
 748 System, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 195, 102564, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2021.102564>, 2021.

749 Sañudo-Wilhelmy, S., Kustka, A., Gobler, C., Hutchins, D., Yang, M., Lwiza, K., Burns, J.,
 750 Raven, J., and Carpenter, E.: Phosphorus limitation of nitrogen fixation by *Trichodesmium* in the
 751 central Atlantic Ocean, *Nature*, 411, 66–9, <https://doi.org/10.1038/35075041>, 2001.

752 Severmann, S., McManus, J., Berelson, W. M., and Hammond, D. E.: The continental shelf
 753 benthic iron flux and its isotope composition, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 74, 3984–4004,
 754 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2010.04.022>, 2010.

755 Shchepetkin, A. F.: An adaptive, Courant-number-dependent implicit scheme for vertical
 756 advection in oceanic modeling, *Ocean Model.*, 91, 38–69,
 757 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.03.006>, 2015.

758 Shchepetkin, A. F. and McWilliams, J. C.: The regional oceanic modeling system (ROMS): a
 759 split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate oceanic model, *Ocean Model.*, 9,
 760 347–404, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2004.08.002>, 2005.

761 Shiller, A. M., Gieskes, J. M., and Brian Price, N.: Particulate iron and manganese in the Santa
 762 Barbara Basin, California, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 49, 1239–1249,
 763 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(85\)90013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(85)90013-4), 1985.

764 Sholkovitz, E. and Soutar, A.: Changes in the composition of the bottom water of the Santa
 765 Barbara Basin: effect of turbidity currents, *Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr.*, 22, 13–21,
 766 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471\(75\)90014-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(75)90014-5), 1975.

767 Sholkovitz, E. R. and Gieskes, J. M.: A PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL STUDY OF THE FLUSHING
 768 OF THE SANTA BARBARA BASIN1: FLUSHING OF THE SANTA BARBARA BASIN,
 769 *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 16, 479–489, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1971.16.3.0479>, 1971.

770 Sigman, D. M., Robinson, R., Knapp, A. N., van Geen, A., McCorkle, D. C., Brandes, J. A., and
 771 Thunell, R. C.: Distinguishing between water column and sedimentary denitrification in the
 772 Santa Barbara Basin using the stable isotopes of nitrate, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems*, 4,
 773 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GC000384>, 2003.

774 Soetaert, K., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. M. J., and Buis, K.: On the coupling of benthic and
 775 pelagic biogeochemical models, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 51, 173–201, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252\(00\)00004-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(00)00004-0), 2000.

777 Sommer, S., Gier, J., Treude, T., Lomnitz, U., Dengler, M., Cardich, J., and Dale, A. W.:
 778 Depletion of oxygen, nitrate and nitrite in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone cause an
 779 imbalance of benthic nitrogen fluxes, *Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 112, 113–122,
 780 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2016.03.001>, 2016.

781 Tagliabue, A., Sallée, J.-B., Bowie, A. R., Lévy, M., Swart, S., and Boyd, P. W.: Surface-water
782 iron supplies in the Southern Ocean sustained by deep winter mixing, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 314–320,
783 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2101>, 2014.

784 Tagliabue, A., Aumont, O., DeAth, R., Dunne, J. P., Dutkiewicz, S., Galbraith, E., Misumi, K.,
785 Moore, J. K., Ridgwell, A., Sherman, E., Stock, C., Vichi, M., Völker, C., and Yool, A.: How
786 well do global ocean biogeochemistry models simulate dissolved iron distributions?: GLOBAL
787 IRON MODELS, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 30, 149–174,
788 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005289>, 2016.

789 Tagliabue, A., Bowie, A. R., Boyd, P. W., Buck, K. N., Johnson, K. S., and Saito, M. A.: The
790 integral role of iron in ocean biogeochemistry, *Nature*, 543, 51–59,
791 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21058>, 2017.

792 Testa, J. M., Brady, D. C., Di Toro, D. M., Boynton, W. R., Cornwell, J. C., and Kemp, W. M.:
793 Sediment flux modeling: Simulating nitrogen, phosphorus, and silica cycles, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf*
794 *Sci.*, 131, 245–263, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.06.014>, 2013.

795 Till, C. P., Solomon, J. R., Cohen, N. R., Lampe, R. H., Marchetti, A., Coale, T. H., and Bruland,
796 K. W.: The iron limitation mosaic in the California Current System: Factors governing Fe
797 availability in the shelf/near-shelf region, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 64, 109–123,
798 <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11022>, 2019.

799 Treude, T., Smith, C. R., Wenzhöfer, F., Carney, E., Bernardino, A. F., Hannides, A. K., Krüger,
800 M., and Boetius, A.: Biogeochemistry of a deep-sea whale fall: sulfate reduction, sulfide efflux
801 and methanogenesis, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 382, 1–21, 2009.

802 Valentine, D. L., Fisher, G. B., Pizarro, O., Kaiser, C. L., Yoerger, D., Breier, J. A., and Tarn, J.:
803 Autonomous Marine Robotic Technology Reveals an Expansive Benthic Bacterial Community
804 Relevant to Regional Nitrogen Biogeochemistry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 11057–11065,
805 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03584>, 2016.

806 Wallmann, K., José, Y. S., Hopwood, M. J., Somes, C. J., Dale, A. W., Scholz, F., Achterberg,
807 E. P., and Oschlies, A.: Biogeochemical feedbacks may amplify ongoing and future ocean
808 deoxygenation: a case study from the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone, *Biogeochemistry*, 159,
809 45–67, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-022-00908-w>, 2022.

810 White, M. E., Rafter, P. A., Stephens, B. M., Wankel, S. D., and Aluwihare, L. I.: Recent
811 Increases in Water Column Denitrification in the Seasonally Suboxic Bottom Waters of the
812 Santa Barbara Basin, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 6786–6795,
813 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082075>, 2019.

814 Widdows, J. and Brinsley, M.: Impact of biotic and abiotic processes on sediment dynamics and
815 the consequences to the structure and functioning of the intertidal zone, *J. Sea Res.*, 48, 143–156,
816 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101\(02\)00148-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101(02)00148-X), 2002.

817 Yao, M., Henny, C., and Maresca, J. A.: Freshwater Bacteria Release Methane as a By-Product
818 of Phosphorus Acquisition, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 82, 6994–7003,
819 <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02399-16>, 2016.

820 Yousavich, D. J., Robinson, D., Peng, X., Krause, S. J. E., Wenzhoefer, F., Janßen, F., Liu, N.,
821 Tarn, J., Kinnaman, F., Valentine, D. L., and Treude, T.: Marine anoxia initiates giant sulfur-
822 bacteria mat proliferation and associated changes in benthic nitrogen, sulfur, and iron cycling in
823 the Santa Barbara Basin, California Borderland, *EGUsphere*, 1–48,
824 <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1198>, 2023.

825 Zhou, Y., Gong, H., and Zhou, F.: Responses of Horizontally Expanding Oceanic Oxygen
826 Minimum Zones to Climate Change Based on Observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 49,
827 e2022GL097724, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL097724>, 2022.

828