

Schatten Classes and Commutators of Riesz Transform on Heisenberg Group and Applications

Zhijie Fan¹ • Michael Lacey² • Ji Li³

Received: 23 April 2022 / Revised: 30 December 2022 / Accepted: 7 January 2023 /

Published online: 24 February 2023

© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

We study commutators with the Riesz transforms on the Heisenberg group H^n . The Schatten norm of these commutators is characterized in terms of Besov norms of the symbol. This generalizes the classical Euclidean results of Peller, Janson–Wolff and Rochberg–Semmes. The method in proof bypasses the use of Fourier analysis, allowing us to address not just the Riesz transforms, but also the Cauchy–Szegő projection and second order Riesz transforms on H^n among other settings.

Keywords Schatten class \cdot Commutator \cdot Riesz transform \cdot Heisenberg group \cdot Besov space

Mathematics Subject Classification $47B10 \cdot 42B20 \cdot 43A85$

1 Introduction

The commutators with the Riesz transforms are bounded and compact on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, 1 , if and only if the symbol <math>b is in the BMO space and VMO space. This is well known, see [10, 44]. A finer property of the commutators quantifies the Schatten

Communicated by Veluma Thangavelu.

B Ji Li ji.li@mq.edu.au Zhijie Fan ZhijieFan@whu.edu.cn Michael Lacey lacey@math.gatech.edu

- School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
- Department of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA
- School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney 2109, Australia



norms, that is the p norm of the singular values. This was studied by Peller, for the Hilbert transform on R [33] (see also [34]), and in higher dimensions by Janson-Wolff in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$ [25], and later on by Rochberg-Semmes [38, 39]. The Schatten norm is characterized by the symbol being in certain Besov spaces. We summarize the known results as follows. Let H denote the Hilbert transform and let R denote the -th Riesz transform on \mathbb{R}^n .

- If n = 1 and 0 , then <math>[b, H] is in Schatten class S^p if and only if the
- symbol b is in the Besov space $B_{p,p}^{1/p}(R)$ [33, 34]. Suppose $n \ge 2$ and $b \ \ L_{loc}^1(R^n)$. When p > n, $[b, R] \ \ S^p$ if and only if $b \ \ \$ $B^{n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; when $0 , <math>[b, R] \mathbb{Z}$ S^p if and only if b is a constant [25, 39].

Notice that the cases of dimensions n = 1 and n > 2 differ somewhat. This is due to the distinguished nature of the Hilbert transform, particularly its close connection to analyticity. Similar results have been demonstrated in [15] for Szegő projection, big and little Hankel operators on the unit ball and Heisenberg group, in [2] for the big Hankel operator on Bergman space of the disk, and in [48] for Hankel operators on the Bergman space of the unit ball.

The Janson–Wolff characterization has bearing on the quantised derivative of Alain Connes introduced in [11, Chapter 4]. In this setting, the (weak) Schatten norm of the commutator is relevant [30]. See also some recent progresses in various settings, especially in non-commutative analysis [1, 14, 18, 24, 32, 36, 37].

In this paper we investigate Schatten class estimates for commutators of Riesz transforms on Heisenberg groups, where the boundedness and compactness were established in [12] and [8], respectively. This requires us to revisit the methods of Janson-Wolff and Rochberg-Semmes, replacing Fourier analytic methods they used with more robust real variable arguments. Our result not only recovers the result of Janson-Wolff [25] and Rochberg-Semmes [39] on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with the quantita-tive estimate of the Schatten norm (which was not showed explicitly before), but also opens the door to the study of Schatten classes for commutators with certain Calderón-Zygmund operators in other important settings beyond Rⁿ. Examples of such Calderón-Zygmund operators include

- (1) the Cauchy-Szegő projection from Siegel upper half space to its boundary (identified with the Heisenberg group), see [41, Chapter 12, Sect. 2.4] and [15];
- (2) certain second order Riesz transforms, such as the well-known Beurling-Ahlfors operator on the complex plane C and second order Riesz transforms on H^n . Details will be provided in the last section;
- (3) Riesz transforms in the Bessel setting [4, 23] and Neumann Laplacian setting [29], which will be addressed in subsequent papers.

To be more explicit on our result, we let H^n be the Heisenberg group. It is a nilpotent Lie group with underlying manifold $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R} = \{[z, t] : z \ \mathbb{Z} \ \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}\}$, the multiplication law



$$[z,t]z, t = [z_1, \dots, z_n, t]z, \dots, z, t$$

$$:= z_1 + z_1, \dots, z_n + z_n t + t + 2\operatorname{Im} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j z_j, \qquad (1.1)$$

and the homogeneous norm $\rho(g)$ defined by $\rho(g) = \rho([z, t]) = \max\{|z|_{C^n}, |t|^{1/2}\}$, where $|z|_{C^n}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2$. For any $= 1, 2, \ldots, 2n$, let R be the Riesz transform on Heisenberg groups H^n and the commutator with R is defined as follows.

$$[b, R](f)(x) := b(x)R(f)(x) - R(bf)(x).$$

Recently, the theory of Besov space on space of homogeneous type (in particular, Lie group [7, 16]) has attracted a lot of attentions (see [22, 46] and the references therein). Several equivalent characterizations were obtained. For our purpose, we will use the homogeneous Besov space via difference characterization defined as follows.

Definition 1.1 Suppose $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $f \supseteq L^1_{loc}(H^n)$. Then we say that f belongs to Besov space $B_{p,q}^{\alpha}(H^n)$ if

$$\int_{\rho(g)^{2n+2+q\alpha}}^{\rho(g)-f(\cdot)_{L^{p}(\mathbb{H}^{n})}} \frac{f(g\cdot)-f(\cdot)_{L^{p}(\mathbb{H}^{n})}}{dg} < \infty.$$

We recall the definition of the Schatten class S^p . Note that if T is any compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)$, then $T^{\mathbb{Z}}T$ is compact, symmetric and positive. It is diagonalizable. For $0 , we say that <math>T \mathbb{Z}[S^p]$ if $\{\lambda_n\} \mathbb{Z}[p]$, where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is the sequence of square roots of eigenvalues of T T (counted according to multiplicity).

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.2 Suppose that $0 and <math>b \supseteq L^1_{loc}(H^n)$. Then for any $\supseteq \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$, one has $[b, R] \supseteq S^p$ if and only if

(1)
$$b \supseteq B_{p,p}^{\frac{2n+2}{p}}(H^n)$$
, if $p > 2n+2$; in this case we have $b \stackrel{2n+2}{\underset{B_{p,p}}{\longrightarrow}}(H^n) \approx [b,R]_{S^p}$.

(2) b is a constant, if 0 .

In the Euclidean setting, the Riesz transforms have an explicit form, $\frac{(x)}{|x|^n}$ where n is the dimension of the underlying space and (x) is a smooth homogeneous function of degree 0. This leads to arguments highly dependent on the form of the kernel. However, the Riesz transform kernel on Heisenberg group has no such convenient form. And, our argument depends upon recent developments. A pointwise lower bound of the Riesz transform kernel on stratified Lie groups (which covers the Heisenberg group) was established in [12] to characterize the boundedness of the commutator with Riesz transform. We have to further develop this theme to prove the main result. See Theorem 3.1 below. Indeed, Theorem 3.1 is key to our proof, a canonical 'non-degenerate' condition. It depends upon the kernel of the Riesz transforms only being zero on a set of zero measure, and being suitably large. In addition, the property aligns



well with the martingale structure on Heisenberg groups. Verifying this property should be central in settings beyond the Euclidean. We return to this point in Sect. 6.

Our proof uses a natural martingale structure on the Heisenberg group, and an associated Haar basis, and crucially a notion of nearly weakly orthogonal due to Rochberg-Semmes [39]. It is very well adapted to the analysis of Schatten norms in harmonic analysis settings. See (2.2). As with other methods, the median of the symbol on the atoms of the martingale is important.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we recall the tilings and Haar Basis on Heisenberg group and characterization of Schatten class. In Sect. 3 we recall the basic property for Riesz transform and then prove the pointwise lower bound for the Riesz kernel (Theorem 3.1). In Sects. 4 and 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2 for the cases p > 2n + 2 and 0 , respectively, which lies in Propositions 4.4, 4.5 and5.5. In Sect. 6, we extend our approach to some other well-known Calderón–Zygmund operators beyond the Euclidean setting.

Throughout the paper we denote the $L^p(H^n)$ norm of a function f by f_p , $1 \le p$ $\leq \infty$. The indicator function of a subset $E \boxtimes X$ is denoted by χ_E . We use $A \cap B$ to denote the statement that $A \leq CB$ for some constant C > 0, and $A \approx B$ to denote the statement that A B and B A.

2 Preliminaries on Hⁿ

Let Hⁿ be a Heisenberg group, which is a nilpotent Lie group with underlying manifold $C^n \times R = \{[z, t] : z \boxtimes C^n \times R\}$ and multiplication law as in (1.1). Then the identity of H^n is the origin and the inverse is given by $[z, t]^{-1} = [-z, -t]$. In addition to the Heisenberg group multiplication law, for each positive number λ , non-isotropic dilations δ_{λ} on Hⁿ are given by

$$\delta_{\lambda}(g) := \delta_{\lambda}[z, t] := \lambda z, \lambda^2 t.$$

Besides, the norm structure ρ on H is defined by

$$\rho(g) = \rho([z, t]) = \max |z|_{C^n}, |t|^{1/2}$$

where $|z|_{C^n}^2 = \prod_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2$. The Haar measure on H^n coincides with Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} . For any measurable set $E \square \mathbb{H}^n$, |E| denotes its Haar measure. It is direct to see that $\rho(g^{-1}) = \rho(-g) = \rho(g)$ and $\rho(\delta_{\lambda}(g)) = \lambda \rho(g)$.

The 2n + 1 vector fields

$$X:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} Y:=\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{2} x \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \quad \stackrel{\partial}{\mathcal{T}}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \quad \stackrel{\partial}{=} 1,2,\ldots,n,$$

form a natural basis for the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector field on Hⁿ. For convenience, we set $X_{n+} := Y_n = 1, 2, ..., n$ and set $X_{2n+1} := T$. The standard sub-Laplacian the Heisenberg group is defined

= 1 X. For any Birkhäuser

multi-index $I = (i_1, ..., i_{2n+1}) \ \mathbb{D} \ \mathsf{N}^{2n+1}$, we set $X^I := X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \cdots X_{2n+1}^{i_{2n+1}}$ and further set

$$|I| := i_1 + \dots + i_{2n+1}$$
 and $\theta(I) := i_1 + \dots + i_{2n} + 2i_{2n+1}$. (2.1)

The integers |I| and $\theta(I)$ are said to be the topological degree and homogeneous degree of the differential X^I , respectively.

2.1 Tiles on H^n

We recall the metrics and tilings in H^n summarized in [9]. We shall use the *gauge distance d*, which is defined by setting

$$d(g,g) := g^{-1} \cdot g = g^{-1} \cdot g, \qquad \qquad 2g, g \ 2H^n,$$

where \cdot is given by $(z, t) := \max |x_1|, |y_1|, \dots, |x_n|, |y_n|, |t|^{1/2}, \mathbb{Z}(z, t) \mathbb{Z} H^n$. It is easy to see that d is equivalent to the homogeneous norm ρ . See [43, Sect. 2.2] for a discussion. We write B(g, r) for the ball in H^n with center g and radius r constructed using the distance d. We also use balls in the (algebraic) center of H^n , which may be identified with R: we define $B^{\mathbb{Z}}(t, s) := \{t \mathbb{Z} R : |t-t| < s\}$. Tubes are sets of the form $g \cdot B(o, r) \cdot B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0, s)$, which are images of products of balls in $H^n \times R$ under the multiplication in (1.1). Here we used the notation o to denote the zero point (z, t) = (0, 0) of H^n and we shall explain the notation $B(o, r) \cdot B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0, s)$ in more details: for any $(z, t) \mathbb{Z} B(o, r)$ and any $t \mathbb{Z} B^{\mathbb{Z}}(0, s)$, we interpret t as $(0, t) \mathbb{Z} H^n$, and hence by (1.1),

$$(z, t) \cdot (0, t) = (z, t + t).$$

We now recall that T(g, r, s) is defined as

$$T(g,r,s):=g\cdot B(o,r)\cdot B^{2}(0,s)=B(g,r)\cdot B^{2}(0,s).$$

We use the work of [42, 43] on self-similar tilings to find a "nice" decomposition of H^n , analogous to the decomposition of R^n into dyadic cubes in classical harmonic analysis, and describe an analogue of a lemma of Journé [27]. We identify C^n with R^{2n} , $|z|_{\infty}$ denotes $\max\{|x_1|, |y_1|, \dots |x_n|, |y_n|\}$, Q_0 denotes the cube $[-1/2, 1/2)^{2n}$, and H^n_Z denotes the subgroup $\{(z, t) \supseteq H^n : z \supseteq Z^{-2n}, t \supseteq (2n)^{-1}Z\}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([42, 43]) There is a measurable function $f: Q_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(0) = \frac{n!}{2(l+1)}$ and

$$\frac{1}{4n(n+1)} \leq f(z) \leq \frac{2n+1}{4n(n+1)} \quad \exists z \; \exists \; Q_0,$$



such that the set To, defined by

$$:= (z,t): z \ \ \, Q_0, \, f(z) - \frac{1}{2n} \leq t < f(z) ,$$

has the property that

$$\delta_{2n+1}(T_o) = g \cdot T_o, g$$

where $:= \{(z,t) \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathsf{H}_Z : |z|_{\infty} \le n : |t| \le n+1 \}.$

The definitions of T_o and the metrics that we use show that

$$T_o \ \mathbb{Z}(z,t) \ \mathbb{Z} \ \mathsf{H}^n : |z|_{\infty} \le 1/2, |t| \le 3/8 \ \mathbb{Z} \ B(o,-1/2) \cdot B^{\mathbb{Z}}(o,1/8) = T(o,1/2,1/8),$$

where the barred symbols indicate closures. We note that $|T_o| = 1/2n$ while |T(o, 1/2, 1/8)| = 3/4.

Definition 2.2 We define

$$\mathsf{T}_0 := \{g \cdot T_o : g \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{Z}_i}\}, \qquad \mathsf{T}_j := \delta_{(2n+1)^j} \mathsf{T}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{T} := \ \mathsf{T}_j.\, j \, \mathbb{P} \mathsf{Z}_i \mathsf{T}_i \mathsf{T}_i$$

We call the sets $T ext{ } extstyle extstyle extstyle T tiles. If <math>j extstyle e$

$$\operatorname{cent}(T) := \delta_{(2n+1)^j}(g)$$
, width $(T) := (2n+1)^j$ and height $(T) := \frac{(2n+1)^{2j}}{2n}$.

And we define I_j be the j-th center set consisting of all the centers of $T ext{ } extstyle extstyle T_j$. That is,

$$I_i = \{\operatorname{cent}(T) : T ? T_i\}.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([42, 43]) Let T_i and T be defined as above. Then the following hold:

- (1) for each $j \ \mathbb{Z}$, T_j is a partition of H^n , that is, $\mathsf{H}^n = T \ T$;
- (2) T is nested, that is, if T, $T ext{ } ext{!} ext{ } T$, then either T and T are disjoint or one is a subset of the other;
- (3) for each j Z and $T T_j$, T is a union of $(2n + 1)^{2n+2}$ disjoint congruent subtitles in T_{j-1} ;
- (4) $B(g, C_1q) \supseteq T \supseteq B(g, C_2q)$, where g = cent(T) and q = width(T) for each $T \supseteq T$; the constants C_1 and C_2 depend only on n;
- (5) if $T
 cap T_j$, then $g \cdot T
 cap T_j$ for all $g
 cap \delta_{(2n+1)^j} H_Z$, and $\delta_{(2n+1)^k} T
 cap T_{j+k}$ for all k
 cap Z.



Every tile is a dilate and translate of the basic tile T_o , so all have similar geometry. Hence each tile in T_i is a fractal set—its boundary is a set of Lebesgue measure 0 and (Euclidean Hausdorff) dimension 2n—and is "approximately" a Heisenberg ball of radius $(2n + 1)^{j}$. The decompositions are *product-like* in the sense that the tiles project onto cubes in the factor C^n , and their centers form a product set. If two tiles in T_i are "horizontal neighbors", then the distance between their centers is $(2n + 1)^j$, while if they are "vertical neighbors", then the distance is $(2n + 1)^{2j}/2n$.

2.2 An Explicit Haar Basis on Heisenberg Group

Next we recall the explicit construction in [28] of a Haar basis. Note that in [28], the Haar basis was constructed on a system of dyadic cubes for general metric space with a positive Borel measure. Here we apply it to the specific setting of Heisenberg group H^n on the system of tiles.

 $, where <math>M_n := \#H(T) = (2n + 1)^{2n+2}$ denotes the number of sub-tiles of T and H(T) denotes the collection of sub-tiles of T.

Lemma 2.4 ([28]) For each $f ext{ } extstyle extstyle }L^p$, we have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & M_n - \\ f, h_T h_T(x), T \supseteq T = 1 \end{cases}$$

where the sum converges (unconditionally) both in the L^p -norm and pointwise almost everywhere.

The following theorem collects several basic properties of the functions h_T .

Lemma 2.5 ([28]) The Haar functions $h_T T \supseteq T$, = 1,..., $M_n - 1$, have the *following properties:*

- (i) h_{τ} is a simple Borel-measurable real function on H^n ;
- (ii) h^T is supported on T; (iii) h^T is constant on each $R ext{ } e$
- (iv) Th(g)dg = 0 (cancellation); (v) $h^T, h^T = 0$ for g = 1, g = 1
- (vi) the collection $|T|^{-1/2}\chi_T$ $\mathbb{Z}\{h_{\dot{T}}=1,\ldots,M_n-1\}$ is an orthogonal basis for the vector space V(T) of all functions on T that is a constant on each subcube $R ext{ } ext{!} ext{ } ext{H}(T);$
- (vii) if $h_T \equiv 0$ then $h_p \neq |T|^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ for $1 \le p \le \infty$; (viii) $h_{T1} \cdot h_{T\infty} \approx 1$.

2.3 Characterization of Schatten Class

The Schatten norm is defined in a non-linear fashion. Estimating it above, and below, is not necessarily straight forward. Operators with kernels, such as commutators, admit



general upper bounds in terms of norms on the kernels. These general facts are recalled, and used, in Sect. 4.2.

Characterizations of Schatten norms for general operators are well-known, and frequently expressed in terms of supremums, or infimums, over all choices of orthonormal bases for the Hilbert space in question.

Rochberg and Semmes [39] proposed a notion of *nearly weakly orthogonal (NWO)* sequences of functions. This notion is closely connected to Carleson measures. For our purposes, we do not need to recall the full definition of NWO sequences. With the development of tiles in Sect. 2.1, we have the inequality below, for any bounded compact operator A on $L^2(H^n)$ and 1 :

$$|Ae_T, f_T|^p \qquad A_{SP},$$

$$T \exists \mathsf{T}$$

$$(2.2)$$

where $\{e_T\}_T$ and $\{f_T\}_T$ are function sequences satisfying $|e_T|$, $|f_T| \le |T|^{-1/2}\chi_T$. This inequality can be found in [39, (1.10), Sect. 3].

3 Lower Bound of the Riesz Transform Kernel on H^n

For any = 1, 2, ..., 2n, the Riesz transform on Heisenberg groups H^n is given by $R = X(-H)^{-1/2}$. It is well known that the heat kernel p_h on H^n has this form (cf. [19]): for $g = [z, t] \supseteq H^n$,

$$=\frac{1}{2(4\pi h)^{n+1}} \hat{\exp} \frac{1}{4h} (t \frac{\lambda}{1-|z|} z|_{C^n} \coth^2 \lambda) \qquad \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \frac{p_h(g)}{d\lambda}, \qquad t^2 = -1.$$

Moreover, p_h on H^n satisfies (c.f. for example [17, Eq. (1.73)])

$$p_h(g) = h^{-n-1} p(\delta_{\frac{1}{h}}(g)), \quad 2h > 0, g 2 H^n.$$
 (3.2)

The kernel of the th Riesz transform R $(1 \le \le 2n)$ is written simply as K(g). It is well-known that $K \supseteq C^{\infty}(H^n \setminus \{o\})$, and it satisfies the scaling condition

$$K(\delta_r(g)) = r^{-2n-2}K(g), \quad \mathbb{Z}g = o, \ r > 0, \ 1 \le \le 2n.$$
 (3.3)

Indeed, this follows from the relationship between the Riesz transform and heat kernel (3.2) given by

$$K(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}}} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} X p_h(g) dh = \frac{1}{\pi} h^{-n-2} (Xp) (\delta \perp (g)) dh. 0 0$$

We recall that by the classical estimates for heat kernel and its derivations on stratified groups (see for example [45]), it is well-known that (e.g. [17]) for any multi-



index $I = (i_1, ..., i_{2n}) \ \mathbb{D} \ N^{2n}, \ \mathbb{D} 1 \le \le 2n$, the Riesz transform kernel satisfies the following smoothness inequality:

$$X^{I}K(g) \rho(g)_{2}^{-n--|I|}$$
.

We now establish the following fundamental result for the pointwise lower bound of the Riesz transform kernel, which is one of the key property for proving our main theorem. It is of independent interest, in that this property can be seen to hold for other Calderón–Zygmund operators.

Theorem 3.1 *There exists a positive integer* A_0 *such that:*

- for any $T \ \ \mathsf{T}_j$, there is a unique $T_{A_0} \ \mathsf{T}_{j+A_0}$ such that $T \ \mathsf{T}_{A_0} \ \mathsf{T}_{a_0}$
 - furthermore, for each $\mathbb{C}\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$, there exist positive constants $3 \le A_1 \le A_2$ and C > 0 such that for any tile $T \mathbb{C}[T_j]$, there exists a tile $T \mathbb{C}[T_j]$ satisfying:
 - (1) $\hat{T} \supseteq T_{A_0}$;
 - (2) $A_1(2n+1)^j \le d(\text{cent}(T), \text{cent}(T)) \le A_2(2n+1)^j$;
 - (3) for all $(g, \hat{g}) \supseteq T \times \hat{T}$, $K((\hat{g})^{-1}g)$ does not change sign;
 - (4) for all $(g, \hat{g}) \supseteq T \times T$, $|K((\hat{g})^{-1}g)| \ge C(2n+1)^{-(2n+2)j}$.

Proof Begin with this fundamental fact of the Riesz transform kernel from [13, Theorem 1.5]:

$$K(g) = 0$$
 a.e. $g H^n$, for each fixed $1, 2, ..., 2n$.

From the scaling property of K (c.f. (3.3)) and the property above, we obtain that

$$K(g) = 0$$
 a.e. $g ext{?} S^n$,

where $S^n = \{g \boxtimes H^n : \rho(g) = 1\}$ is the unit sphere in H^n . Let $E := \{g \boxtimes S^n : K(g) = 0\}$. Then $\sigma(E) = 0$, where σ represents the surface measure, and for every small positive number, there exists an open set E covering E such that $\sigma(E) < 0$. Since E is a E function in E function in E such that

$$|K(g)| = \min_{g \supseteq F} |K(g)| > 0,$$

where $F := S^n \setminus E$.

Hence, there exists $0 < \varepsilon_o$ 1 such that

$$|K(g)| > \frac{1}{2} |K(g)|,$$
 (3.4)

for all $g \ \mathbb{Z} \ B(F, 4\varepsilon_o) = \{g \ \mathbb{Z} \ H^n : \mathbb{Z}\tilde{g} \ \mathbb{Z} \ F \text{ such that } d(g, \tilde{g}) < 4\varepsilon_o\}.$

We now turn to the tiles. Based on the construction of tiles, for every $T \ \mathbb{Z} \ \mathsf{T}_j$, there exists a unique $T_{A_0} \ \mathbb{Z} \ \mathsf{T}_{j+A_0}$ such that $T \ \mathbb{Z} \ T_{A_0}$. Here A_0 is a positive integer to be determined later. We now choose an arbitrary $T \ \mathbb{Z} \ \mathsf{T}_j$.



We first claim that for the chosen $T ext{ } extstyle extstyle T_j$ and the unique tile $T_A extstyle extstyle T_{j+A}$ with $T extstyle T_A$, there must be some $\hat{g} extstyle T_A$ with $d(h,\hat{g}) = C(2n+1)^j$ $j+A^0$ and $d(\hat{g},T_{A_0}) > 10C_2(2n+1)^j$ such that

$$(\delta_{\mathsf{C}^{-1}(2n+1)^{-j-A_0}}(h^{-1}\hat{g}))^{-1} \ \mathbb{P} F, \tag{3.5}$$

where h = cent(T), C is a positive constant such that $\frac{C_1}{2} < C < \frac{3C_1}{4}$, C_1 and C_2 are the constants in Lemma 2.3.

We now prove this claim. Suppose that for all $\hat{g} \ \mathbb{Z} \ T_{A_0}$ with $d(h, \hat{g}) = C(2n+1)^{j+A_0}$ and $d(\hat{g}, T_{A_0}^c) > 10C_2(2n+1)^j$, (3.5) does not hold. Then since $\rho\left((\delta_{C^{-1}(2n+1)^{-j-A_0}}(h^{-1}\hat{g}))^{-1}\right) = 1$, we obtain that $(\delta_{C^{-1}(2n+1)^{-j-A_0}}(h^{-1}\hat{g}))^{-1} \mathbb{Z} E$. However, due to the construction of the system of tiles, we obtain that

$$\frac{\sigma(\{\hat{g} \ \ \ \ \ T_{A_0}: \ d(h,\hat{g}) = \ \mathsf{C}(2n+1)^{j+A_0}, \ d(\hat{g},T_{A_0}^c) > \ 10C_2(2n+1)^j\})}{\sigma(\{\hat{g} \ \ \ \mathsf{H}^n: \ d(h,\hat{g}) = \ \mathsf{C}(2n+1)^{j+A_0}\})} > \ \mathsf{D} > 0,$$

where D $\mathbb{E}(0, 1)$ is a constant depending on n and A_0 only, but independent of j and T. This contradicts to the fact that $\sigma(E)$ < for any small positive given at the beginning. Thus, the claim holds.

Now based on the claim, we choose $h ext{ } extstyle extstyle T_A$ with $d(h,h) extstyle extstyle C(2n+1)^{j+A_0}$ and $d(h, T_{6^d}) > 10C_2(2n+1)^j$ such that $(\delta_{C^{-1}(2n+1)^{-j-A_0}}(h^{-1}h))^{-1} extstyle extstyle F$. Let $ilde{g} := (\delta_{C^{-1}(2n+1)^{-j-A_0}}(h^{-1}h))^{-1}$. Without lost of generality, we assume that $K(\tilde{g})$ is positive.

From the definition of \tilde{g} we see that

$$\hat{h} = h \cdot \delta_{C(2n+1)^{j+A_0}} \quad \tilde{g}^{-1} \quad . \tag{3.6}$$

Next, we choose the integer A_0 so that $(2n+1)^{A_0} > 5C_2C^{-1}\varepsilon_0^{-1}$. Then fix some $\eta \ \mathbb{Z}(0, 2\varepsilon_0)$ such that the two balls $B(h, \eta r)$ and $B(h, \eta r)$ with $r = C(2n+1)^{j+A_0}$ satisfy the following condition:

$$5C_2(2n+1)^j < \eta r < 10C_2(2n+1)^j.$$

Then we can deduce that $T ext{ } extstyle B(h, \eta r)$ and $B(h, \eta r) extstyle T_A$.₀It is direct that for every $g extstyle B(h, \eta r)$, we can write

$$g = h \cdot \delta_r(g_1),$$

where $g_1 \supseteq B(o, \eta)$. Similarly, for every $\hat{g} \supseteq B(\hat{h}, \eta r)$, we can write

$$\hat{g} = \hat{h} \cdot \delta_r(g_2),$$

where $g_2 ext{ } extstyle{ } extstyle{$



As a consequence, we have

$$K(g, \hat{g}) = Kh \cdot \delta_r(g_1), h \cdot \delta_r(g_2)$$

$$= K h \cdot \delta_r(g_1), h \cdot \delta_r(\tilde{g}^{-1}) \cdot \delta_r(g_2)$$

$$= K \delta_r(g), \delta_r(\tilde{g}^{-1}) \cdot \delta_r(g)$$

$$= K \delta_r(g), \delta_r(\tilde{g}^{-1}) \cdot g)_{\overline{z}}^{-2}$$

$$r^{-2n-2}K g, \tilde{g}_1^{-1} \cdot g$$

$$= r^{-2n-2}K (g)_2^{-1} \cdot \tilde{g} \cdot g$$

$$(3.7)$$

where the second equality comes from (3.6), the third comes from the property of the left-invariance and the fifth comes from (3.3).

Next, we note that

which shows that $(g_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot \tilde{g} \cdot g$ is contained in the ball $B(\tilde{g}, 4\varepsilon_o)$ for all $g \supseteq \beta(o, \eta)$ and for all $g B(o, \eta)$.

Thus, from (3.4), we obtain that

$$|K(g)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{g} \cdot g| > |K(\underline{\tilde{g}})|$$
(3.8)

and for all $g_1 \boxtimes B(o, \eta)$ and for all $g \not \supseteq B(o, \eta)$, $K(g)^{-1} \cdot \tilde{g} \cdot g$ and $K(\tilde{g})$ same sign.

Now combining the equality (3.7) and (3.8) above, we obtain that

$$|K(g, \hat{g})| > \frac{1}{2^{r-2n-2}} |K(\tilde{g})|,$$
 (3.9)

for every $g ext{ } B(h, \eta r)$ and for every $\hat{g} ext{ } B(\hat{h}, \eta r)$, where $K(g, \hat{g})$ and $K(\tilde{g})$ have the same sign. Here $K(\tilde{g})$ is a fixed constant independent of η , r, h, g_1 and g_2 . We denote

$$C(,n) = \frac{1}{2} K(\tilde{g})|.$$

From the lower bound (3.9) above, we further obtain that for the suitable $\eta \mathbb{C}(0, \varepsilon_0)$,

$$|K(g, \hat{g})| > C(n)r^{-2n-2},$$



for every $g B(h, \eta r)$ and for every $\hat{g} B(h, \eta r)$. Moreover, the sign of $K(g, \hat{g})$ is invariant for every $g B(h, \eta r)$ and for every $\hat{g} B(h, \eta r)$.

Based on the fact that $B(h, \eta r) \boxtimes T_{A_0}$ and $\eta r > 5C_2(2n+1)^j$, there must be some tile $T \boxtimes T_j$ such that $T \boxtimes B(h, \eta r)$. Also note that $T \boxtimes B(h, \eta r)$. Hence we obtain that $A_1(2n+1)^j \le d(\text{cent}(T), \text{cent}(T)) \le A_2(2n+1)^j$, where A_1 and A_2 depends only on A and C. Moreover, we see that for all $(g, \hat{g}) \boxtimes T \times T$, $K(\hat{g}) = 1g$ does not change sign and that for all $(g, \hat{g}) \boxtimes T \times T^*$, $|K((\hat{g})^{-1}g)| (2n+1)^{-(2n+2)j}$, where the implicit constant depends on C(n, n) and A_0 .

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

4 Theorem 1.2: 2n + 2

4.1 Proof of the Necessary Condition

In this subsection, we assume that $[b, R] \supseteq S^p$ for some $2n + 2 and then prove that <math>b \supseteq B_{p,p} \cap (\mathbb{H}^n)$.

We need these preliminary observations. Let T_k be the decomposition of H^n into tiles T as in Sect. 2.1. We define the conditional expectation of a locally integrable function f on H^n with respect to the increasing family of σ -algebras $\sigma(T_{-k})$ by the expression:

$$E_k(f)(g) = (f)_T \chi_T(g), \ g \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathsf{H}^n,$$

where we denote $(f)_T$ be the average of f over T, that is, $(f)_T := \int_T^{\text{ff}} f(g) dg := \int_T^{\text{ff}} f(g) dg$.

For $T extbf{B}$ T_k , we let h_T^1 , h_T^2 , ..., $h_T^{M_n-1}$ be a family of Haar functions defined in Lemma 2.5. Next, we choose h_T among these Haar functions such that $T_T b(g)h_T(g)dg$ is maximal.

Note that the function $(E_{k+1}(b)(g) - E_k(b)(g))\chi_T(g)$ is a sum of M_n Haar functions. That is, we are in a finite dimensional setting and all L^p -spaces have comparable norms. So we have that

$$\int_{T}^{1/p} |E_{k+1}(b)(g) - E_{k}(b)(g)|^{p} dg \leq C|T|^{-1/2} \int_{T}^{\infty} b(g)h_{T}(g) dg , \quad (4.1)$$

where C is a constant only depending on p and n.

This is the main Lemma.

Lemma 4.1 Let $1 and suppose that <math>b \boxtimes L^1_{loc}(H^n)$ satisfying $[b, R]_{S^p} < \infty$ for some $\boxtimes \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$, then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$(2n+1)^{(2n+2)k}E_{k+1}(b)-E_k(b)^p \le C[b,R]^p_p. k$$



Proof We will ultimately apply the Rochberg–Semmes [39] notion of NWO sequences, namely the inequality (2.2). By (4.1), we have

To continue, for any $T ext{ } extstyle extstyle T_{-k}$, let \hat{T} be the tile chosen in Theorem 3.1, then $K(\hat{g}^{-1}g)$ does not change sign for all $(g, \hat{g}) extstyle T imes T$ and

$$|K(\hat{g}^{-1}g)| \ge \frac{C}{|T|'}$$

for some constant C > 0. Also, let $\alpha_{\hat{T}}(b)$ be a median value of b over T. This means $\alpha_T(b)$ is a real number such that defining for a tile S,

$$E_{\underline{k}} := g \, \underline{\square} \, S : b(g) < \alpha_T(b) \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 :_{\overline{S}} g \, \underline{\square} \, S : b(g) > \alpha_T(b), \tag{4.3}$$

we have, with $S = \hat{T}$, the upper bound $|E_j^{\hat{T}}| \le \frac{1}{2}|\hat{T}|$ for j = 1, 2. A median value always exists, but may not be unique (see for example [26]).

Next we decompose T into a union of sub-tiles by writing $T = M_n P_i$, where $P_i \ \mathbb{Z} \ \mathsf{T}_{-k-1}$ and $P_i \ \mathbb{Z} \ T$ satisfying $P_i = P_j$ if i = j. By the cancellation property of h_T , we see that

$$\int_{T}^{1/2} |T|^{-1/2} dg = |T|^{-1/2} \int_{T}^{1/2} (b(g) - \alpha_{T}(b))h_{T}(g) dg$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|T|} \int_{T}^{1/2} b(g) - \alpha_{1}(b)dg$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|T|} \int_{P_{i}}^{M_{n}} b(g) - \alpha_{1}(b)dg = 1$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|T|} \int_{i=1}^{M_{n}} b(g) - \alpha_{1}(b)dg + \frac{1}{|T|}$$

$$\int_{M_{n}}^{M_{n}} b(g) - \alpha_{1}(b) dg$$

$$\int_{i=1}^{M_{n}} P_{i} \cap E_{2}^{T}$$

$$= : I_{1}^{T} + I_{2}^{T}. \qquad (4.4)$$

Above, we are using the notation in (4.3).



Now we denote

$$F_1 := \hat{g} \ \mathbb{D} \ T : b(\hat{g}) \geq \alpha_T(b) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2$$
 := $\hat{g} \ \mathbb{D} \ T : b(\hat{g}) \leq \alpha_T(b)$.

Then by the definition of $\alpha_T(b)$, we have $|F_1^T| = |F_2^T| \otimes |T|$ and $F_1^T \otimes F_2^T = T$. Note that for s = 1, 2, if $g \otimes E_s^T$ and $\hat{g} \otimes F_s^T$, then

$$\begin{array}{ll} b(g)-\alpha_{\stackrel{\wedge}{T}}(b)\leq b(g)-\alpha_{\stackrel{\wedge}{T}}(b)+\alpha_{\stackrel{\wedge}{T}}(b)-b(\hat{g})\\ &=b(g)-\alpha_{T}(b)+\alpha_{T}(b)-b(\hat{g})=b(\hat{g})-b(g). \end{array}$$

Therefore, for s = 1, 2,

$$I_{s}^{T} = \frac{1}{|T|} \int_{i=1}^{M_{n}} \int_{P \cap E^{T}}^{B(g)} b(g) - \alpha \cdot (b_{i}) dg \Big|_{|T|} \frac{|F_{s}^{T}|_{i=1}}{|T|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|T|} \int_{P \cap E_{s}}^{M_{n}} \int_{P \cap E_{s}}^{A_{s}} \int_{P \cap E_{s}}^{A_{s}}$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that $K(\hat{g}^{-1}g)$ and $b(\hat{g}) - b(g)$ do not change sign for $(g, \hat{g}) \ \ \ (P_i \cap E_f) \times F_f$, s = 1, 2. This, in combination with the inequalities (4.2) and (4.4), implies that

$$(2n+1)^{(2n+1)k} \sum_{H^{n}} |E_{k+1}(b)(g) - E_{k}(b)(g)|^{p} dg$$

$$|T|^{-p/2} \sum_{T \boxtimes T_{-k}} b(g)h_{T}(g)dg$$

$$\sum_{T \boxtimes T_{-k}}^{2} |P^{M}_{n}| F^{T}$$

$$\sum_{S=1}^{2} |P^{M}_{N}| F^{T}$$

$$\sum_{T \not E=1}^{1/2} |P^{M}_{N}| F^{T}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |P^{M}_{N}| F^{T}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |P^{M}_{N}| F^{T}$$

Note that $e_T := \frac{\|P\|^{\frac{1}{l^2}}\chi_{F_s}}{\|T\|} \mathbb{Z}$ T and $f_T := \frac{\|P\|\chi_{E_i}\|_{T}}{\|f\|^{\frac{1}{l^2}}} T$. Based on Theorem 3.1, we see that for each $T \mathbb{Z}$ T_{-k} , there is a unique $T_{A_0} \mathbb{Z}$ T_{-k+A_0} such that T, T



 T_{A_0} . Hence, $|e_T|$, $|f_T| \le C |T_{A_0}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{T_{A_0}}$, where C is an absolute constant depending only on n and A_0 . Note also that each $T_{A_0} \supseteq \mathsf{T}_{-k+A_0}$ contains only a finite number (depending on n, A_0) of $T \supseteq \mathsf{T}_{-k}$ with T, $T \supseteq T_A$. Sum this last inequality over $k \supseteq \mathsf{T}_{-k}$, and appeal to (2.2) to conclude the Lemma.

Corollary 4.2 Let $1 and suppose that <math>b \ge L^1$ ($\underset{\text{loc}}{\mathbb{H}}^n$) satisfying $[b, R]_{Sp} < \infty$ for some $\mathbb{P}\{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$, then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any $k \ge Z$,

$$- E_k(b)_p \le C(2n+1)^{-(2n+2)k/p} [b, R] .$$

Proof Note that $E_k(b) \to b$ a.e. as $k \to \infty$. Besides, by Lemma 4.1, $E_{k+1}(b) - E_k(b)_p \le C(2n+1)^{-(2n+2)k/p}[b, R]_{Sp}$. Combining these two facts and summing the geometric series yield the conclusion.

Lemma 4.3 Let $1 and suppose that <math>b \ \ L^1_{loc}(H^n)$ satisfying $[b, R]_{SP} < \infty$ for some $\ \ \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$, then

$$(2n+1)^{(2n+2)k}b-E_k(b)_p^{p}$$
 [b, R]_{Sp. k}

Proof It suffices to show that

$$(2n+1)^{(2n+2)k}b - E_k(b)_p \quad p \leq C[b,R]_{S^p},$$

$$k=L$$

for some constant C > 0 independent of $L < M \ \square$ N. To this end, we denote the term in the left hand side above by J and then note that

$$\leq \frac{M}{(2n+2)^{\binom{n+1}k}b - E_{k+1}(b)_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)}^p}$$

$$= \frac{M}{k=L}$$

$$+ \frac{M}{(2n+2)^{(2n+1)k}E_{k+1}(b) - E_k(b)^p}_{p(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

$$= \frac{M+1}{k=L}$$

$$= \frac{M+1}{k=L+1}$$

$$+ \frac{M}{(2n+2)^{(2n+1)(k-1)}b - E_k(b)^p}_{p(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

$$+ \frac{M}{k=L+1}$$

$$= \frac{M}{k=L+1}$$

$$+ \frac{M}{(2n+2)^{(2n+1)k}E_{k+1}(b) - E_k(b)_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)}^n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}$$

$$= \frac{1$$



To continue, we first note that Lemma 4.1 controls Term₂. Besides, Term₁ is dominated by

$$(2n+2)^{-(2n+1)/p} \int_{k=L}^{M} (2n+2)^{\binom{n+1}{2}k} b - E_k(b)_{L^p/(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

$$+ (2n+2)^{\binom{n+1}{2}M/p} b - E_{M+1}(b)_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)}. \tag{4.8}$$

By Corollary 4.2, we see that the first term of the right-hand side in (4.8) can be absorbed into J, while the second term can be dominated by $C[b, R]_{SP}$.

This ends the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Proposition 4.4 Let $2n + 2 and suppose that <math>b \supseteq L^1_{loc}(H^n)$, then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$b_{\substack{2n+2\\ (H \ f)}} \leq C[b,R]_{S^p.\ B_{p,p}}$$

Proof To begin with, we note that

Hence, it suffices to show that

Recall that a tile T in T_{-k} is approximately a Heisenberg ball of radius $(2n+1)^{-k}$. Fix a Heisenberg ball B centered at the origin with radius $(2n + 1)^{-L+A}$ for a large fixed integer A, and then denote $b_{\tilde{g}}(g) := b(\tilde{g}g)$ for $\tilde{g} \ \mathbb{Z} \ H^n$. Then the left-hand side of (4.9) is dominated by a constant times

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B_{k=L}}^{M} (2n+1)^{2(\frac{n+1}{2})k} \int_{T}^{\infty} |b_{\tilde{g}}(g) - b_{\tilde{g}}(\hat{g})|^{p} d\hat{g} dg d\tilde{g}$$

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B_{k=L}}^{M} (2n+1)^{(2n+1)k} \int_{2}^{\infty} |b_{\tilde{g}}(g) - E_{k}(b_{\tilde{g}})(g)|^{p} dg d\tilde{g}$$

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B}^{B_{k=L}} T \mathbb{D} T_{-k} \qquad T$$

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B}^{C} [b_{\tilde{g}}, R]_{S^{p}} d\tilde{g}^{p}, \qquad (4.10)$$

where in the first inequality we added and subtracted the term $E_k(b_{\tilde{g}})$ by noting that for g, $\hat{g} \supseteq T \supseteq T_{-k}$, $E_k(b_{\tilde{g}})(g) = E_k(b_{\tilde{g}})(\hat{g})$, and in the second inequality we use Lemma 4.3. Next, as the Riesz transform is convolution, $[b_{\tilde{g}}, R]_{SP} = [b, R]_{SP}$, we obtain that the right-hand side of (4.10) is bounded by $C[b, R]_{P}$. Hence, (4.9) holds.

Therefore, the proof of Proposition 4.4 is complete.

4.2 Proof of the Sufficient Condition

Proposition 4.5 Suppose $2 \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$, $2n + 2 and <math>b 2 L^1 (cc^{H^n})$. If $b 2 B_{p,p}^{\frac{2n+2}{p}}$ (Hⁿ), then $[b, R] 2 S^p$.

Proof We follow the proof in [25], which relies upon general estimates for Schatten norms of integral operators. For the convenience of the readers, we briefly sketch the proof here. We first recall that [b, R] is compact [8] when $b \ \mathbb{Z} \ B_{p,p}^{\ \ p}(H^n) \ \mathbb{Z}$ VMO(H^n). Note that Russo [40] proved that for general measure space (X, μ) , if p > 2 and $K(x, y) \ \mathbb{Z} \ L^2(X \times X)$, then the integral operator T associated to the kernel K(x, y) satisfies the following bound:

$$T_{SP} \leq K^{1/2} \underbrace{K^{21/2}}_{L^{p}} \underbrace{L^{p}}_{L^{p}}, L^{p}, L^{p}$$

where p is the conjugate index of p, $K^{\square}(x, y) = \overline{K(y, x)}$, and \cdot_{L^p, L^p} denotes the mixed-norm: $K_{L^p, L^p} := K(x, y)_{L^p(dx)}$. Later on Goffeng [21] showed that the condition $K(x, y) \supseteq L^2(X \times X)$ in the above statement can be removed.

Moreover, Janson–Wolff [25, Lemmas 1 and 2] extended the above statement to the corresponding weak-type version general measure space (X, μ) : if p > 2 and 1/p + 1/p = 1, then

$$T_{S^{p,\infty}} \le K^{\frac{1}{p}} / \frac{2}{L^{p}} e^{K^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{p}} L^{p,\infty'} L^{2}$$
 (4.13)

where $\cdot_{L^p,L^{p,\infty}}$ denotes the mixed-norm: $K_{L^p,L^{p,\infty}}:=K(x,y)_{L^p(dx)}$ $_{p,\infty}$ $_{p,\infty}$ $_{p,\infty}$ $_{p,\infty}$ Next, back to our setting on Heisenberg group, we note that for 1/q=1-2/p,

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)}} > \alpha \qquad = \sup_{1/q} d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} L^{\infty}, L^{q,\infty} = \sup_{g \in \mathbb{H}^n} \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{g} \cdot \mathbb{H}^n : d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} = \sup_{g \in \mathbb{H}^n} \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha B(g, \alpha^{-\frac{(2n+2)(1-2/p)}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} = \sup_{g \in \mathbb{H}^n} \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \alpha^{-\frac{(2n+2)(1-2/p)}{2}} d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} d(g, \hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/$$



Then by weak-type Young's inequality,

$$\begin{array}{ll} (b(g)^{-} & b(\hat{g}))K(g,\hat{g})_{L^{p},L^{p,\infty}} \\ & b(g)^{-} & b(\hat{g}) \\ \leq & d(g,\hat{g})^{2n+2} \frac{1}{L^{p},L^{p,\infty}} \\ & b(g)^{-} & b(\hat{g}) & 1 \\ \leq & d(g,\hat{g})^{2(2n+2)/p} \frac{1}{L^{p},L^{p}} d(g,\hat{g})^{(2n+2)(1-2/p)} \frac{1}{L^{\infty},L^{q,\infty}} \\ \leq & Cb_{B}^{(2n+2)/p} \binom{1}{H^{n}}. \end{array}$$

$$(4.14)$$

Similarly,

$$(b(g) - b(\hat{g}))K(\hat{g}, g)_{L^{p}, L^{p,\infty}} \le Cb_{B^{(2n+2)/p}(H^{n})}. \tag{4.15}$$

Combining the inequalities (4.14), (4.15) and then applying the weak-type Russo's inequality (4.13), we see that

$$[b,R]_{S^{p,\infty}} \leq C b_{B^{(2n+2)/p}_{p \not\vdash p^n}}.$$

Since this inequality holds for all 2n + 2 , we can apply the interpolation

$$[b, R]_{S^p} \le C b_{B^{(2n+2)/p}(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

This finishes the proof of sufficient condition for the case 2n + 2 .

5 Theorem 1.2: 0

In this section, we prove the second argument in Theorem 1.2. That is, for each $\mathbb{P}\{1,2,\ldots,2n\}$ and for 0 , the commutator <math>[b,R] is in S^p if and only if b is a constant. The sufficient condition is obvious, since [b, R] = 0 when b is a constant. Thus, it suffices to show the necessary condition. It suffices to consider the critical case p = 2n + 2, by the inclusion $S^p \supseteq S^q$ for p < q.

To formulate our argument simply, we will usually identity C^n with R^{2n} in Lemmas 5.1-5.3 and use the following notation to denote the points of $C^n \times R \equiv R^{2n+1} : g =$ $[z, t] \equiv [x, y, t] = [x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, t]$ with $z = [z_1, \dots, z_n], z_j = x_j + iy_j$ and $x_j, y_j, t \ \mathbb{Z}$ R for j = 1, ..., n. Then the multiplication law can be explicitly expressed as

$$gg = [x, y, t][x, y, t] = x + x, y + y, t + t + 2y, x - 2x, y$$
,



where \cdot , \cdot denotes the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof Consider first $T = \delta_{(2n+1)^k}(T_o)$. Based on (4) in Lemma 2.3, we see that $B \ o, C_1(2n+1)^k \ \mathbb{Z} T$. Then one can choose $g_{o,1} \ \mathbb{Z} B$ o, $C_1(2n+1)^k$ such that $d(g_{o,1},o) = \frac{3C_1}{4}(2n+1)^k$, and that all the first 2n components of $g_{o,1}$ is positive and equals to $\frac{3C_1}{4}(2n+1)^k$. Thus, we have $B(g_{o,1},\frac{C_1}{40}(2n+1)^k) \ \mathbb{Z} B(o,C_1(2n+1)^k)$ and that for every $x = (x_1,\ldots,x_{2n},t_x) \ \mathbb{Z} B(g_{o,1},\frac{C_1}{40}(2n+1)^k)$, we have $x_i > 0$ and is equivalent to $\frac{3C_1}{4}(2n+1)^k$. Then taking the inverse of the ball $B \ g_{o,1},\frac{C_1}{40}(2n+1)^k$, we get other ball $B \ g_{o,2},\frac{C_1}{40}(2n+1)^k$ such that $g_{o,2} = g_{o,1}^{-1}$ and that for every $y = (y_1,\ldots,y_{2n},t_y) \ \mathbb{Z} B \ g_{o,2},\frac{C_1}{20}(2n+1)^k$, we have $y_i < 0$ and is equivalent to $\frac{3C_1}{4}(2n+1)^k$. As a consequence, we see that there exist $T \ \mathbb{Z} T_{-k-B_0}$ such that $T \ \mathbb{Z} B \ g_{o,1},\frac{C_1}{40}(2n+1)^k$ and $T \ \mathbb{Z} T_{-k-B_0}$ such that $T \ \mathbb{Z} B \ g_{o,2},\frac{C_1}{20}(2n+1)^k$.

Then it is clear that if $g \ \mathbb{Z} T$, $h \ \mathbb{Z} T$, then $g_j - h_j$ width(T) ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n$). For general $T \ \mathbb{Z} T_{-k}$ with $u = \operatorname{cent}(T)$, we know that $T = \delta_{(2n+1)}^{(2n+1)}(u) \cdot \delta_{(2n+1)}^{(2n+1)}(u)$. Hence, the argument holds by using the translation and dilation. This ends the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Recall the following first order Taylor's inequality on Heisenberg group from [5].

Lemma 5.2 Let $f C^{\infty}(H^n)$, then for every $g = (x_1, ..., x_{2n}, t), g_0 = (x_0, ..., x^{2n}, t_0) H^n$, we have

$$f(g) = f(g_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} X_j f(g_0)(x_j - x_0^j) + R(g, g_0),$$

where the remainder $R(g, g_0)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$|R(g,g_0)| \le C \frac{|z|^2}{|z|^2} \frac{c^j}{j!} \sup_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_j \le 2n+1,\\I=(i_1,\dots,i_j),\ \theta(I) \ge 2}} \rho(g_0^{-1}g)^{\theta(I)} \sup_{\rho(z) \le c\rho(g_0^{-1}g)} |X^I f(g_0z)|_{z}^{|z|},$$

for some constant c > 0. Here $\theta(I)$ is the homogeneous degree with respect to I given in (2.1).



We denote \mathbb{Z} be the horizontal gradient of \mathbb{H}^n defined by $\mathbb{Z} f := (X_1 f, \ldots, X_{2n} f)$. Then we can show a lower bound for a local pseudo-oscillation of the symbol b in the commutator.

Lemma 5.3 Let $b \ \mathbb{Z} \ C^{\infty}(H^n)$. Assume that there is a point $g_0 \ \mathbb{Z} \ H^n$ such that $\mathbb{Z}b(g_0) = 0$. Then there exist C > 0, $\varepsilon > 0$ and N > 0 such that if k > N, then for any tile $T \ \mathbb{Z} \ T_{-k}$ satisfying $d(\operatorname{cent}(T), g_0) < \varepsilon$, one has

$$b - \int_{T} b \ge C \operatorname{width}(T) | \mathbb{D}b(g) |_{L}. \tag{5.4}$$

Above, T and T are the tiles chosen in Lemma 5.1.

Proof Denote $c_T := \text{cent}(T) := \{c_T^1, \dots, c_T^{2n}, t_T\}$ and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_{2n}, t)$, then by Lemma 5.2,

$$b(g) = b(c_T) + \sum_{j=1}^{2n} X_j b(c_T)(g_j - c_T^j) + R(g, c_T),$$
 (5.5)

where the remainder term $R(g, c_T)$ satisfies

$$|R(g,c_T)| \le C^{\frac{2}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{c^j}{j!} \sup_{\substack{i_1,\dots,i_j \le 2n+1,\\I=(i_1,\dots,i_j),\ \theta(I) \ge 2}} \rho(c_T^{-1}g)^{\theta(I)} \sup_{\substack{\rho(z) \le c\rho(c_T^{-1}g)\\\emptyset}} |X^Ib(c_Tz)|^{\frac{2}{2}}.$$

Note that the condition $\rho(z) \le c\rho(c_T^{-1}g)$ implies that $d(c_Tz, c_T) = \rho(z) \le c\rho(c_T^{-1}g)$ width(T) whenever $g \ \mathbb{Z} T$. Hence, if $g \ \mathbb{Z} T$, then

$$|R(g, c_T)| \text{ width}(T)^2$$
 $\overset{2}{\underset{I=(i_1,...,i_j) \leq 2n+1,}{\prod I=(i_1,...,i_j), \ \theta(I) \geq 2}}} X^I b_{L^{\infty}(B(g_0,1))}.$

For = b > 0 sufficiently small, this last estimate is smaller than the right hand side of (5.4). That is, in (5.5), we are only concerned with the first two terms on the right. Apply Lemma 5.1, with the choice of signs $a = \text{sgn}(X \ b_j)(c)_T \text{Let } T$, T be the tiles that this Lemma provides to us. For $g = (g) \ \mathbb{Z} \ T_j$ and $g = (g) \ \mathbb{Z} \ T$, we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}(X_j b)(c_T)(g_j - g)_j \text{ width}(T), \qquad j = 1, \dots, 2n.$$

Therefore, we can estimate

$$b(g) dg - b(g) dg$$



$$\geq c \sum_{T=T}^{2n} \frac{(X_{j}b)(c_{T})}{j!} (g_{j} - g)_{j} dg dg - \prod_{T} |R(g, c_{T})| dg - |R(g, c_{T})| dg T$$

$$\geq c \sum_{j=1}^{2n} |(X_{j}b)(c_{T})| \operatorname{width}(T) - C \operatorname{width}(T)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{X^{I} b_{L^{\infty}(B(g_{0},1))}}{I = (i_{1}, \dots, i_{j}, \theta(I) \geq 2}$$

 $C \operatorname{width}(T) | \mathbb{D}b(g_0) |$.

This inequality completes the Lemma.

Lemma 5.4 A function $b \supseteq L_{loc}^1(H^n)$ is constant if

$$\sup_{h \supseteq B(o,1)} E_{k+B} (\tau^h b)(g) - E_{k+B} (\tau^h b)(g) dg dg \qquad < +\infty.$$

$$(5.7)$$

(In the display, $T \boxtimes T_k$, and both T and k vary. And τ^h denotes translation by h).

Proof The assumption is that $b ext{ } extstyle extstyle } L_{loc}^1(H^n)$, but the previous Lemmas require b to be smooth. Denote $\psi(g) := {}^{-2n-2}\psi(\delta^{n-1}g)$, where ψ is a smooth compactly supported bump function which integrates to one, and is a small positive constant. Then, b = $b \ \square \ \psi$ is smooth. We argue that these are all constant. And, they converge to b pointwise so this is sufficient.

The point is that b is smooth and we observe that

$$E_{k+B} \binom{b}{g} = E_{k+B} \binom{b}{g} dg dg$$

$$T \square T \qquad ^{2n+2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{h \square B(o,1)} E_{k+B} \binom{\tau^h b}{g} = E_{k+B} \binom{\tau^h b}{g} dg dg \qquad <+\infty.$$

$$(5.8)$$

If b is not constant, we argue that the norm in the left hand side above is actually infinite, which is a contradiction. It follows from [6, Proposition 1.5.6] that there exists a point $g_0 B H^n$ such that $B B \psi(g_0) = 0$. But then, Lemma 5.3 applies. There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and N > 0 such that if k > N, then for any tile $T \supseteq T_{-k}$ satisfying $d(\operatorname{cent}(T),$ g_0) < ε ,

$$E_{k+B_0}(b)(g) - E_{k+B_0}(b)(g)dgdg \le \operatorname{idth}(T)|(2b)(g_0)|.$$

Note that for k > N, the number of $T \supseteq T_{-k}$ and $d(\text{cent}(T), g_0) < \varepsilon$ is at least

$$c(2n+1)^{k(2n+2)}$$
 width $(T)^{-\binom{n+2}{2}}$.

But then, it is clear that the norm in (5.8) is infinite.



Proposition 5.5 Suppose $b \ \ \ L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Then for any $\ \ \ \{1,2,\ldots,2n\}$, the commutator $[b,R] \ \ \ S^{2n+2}$ if and only if b is a constant.

Proof A constant function b is associated with the zero commutator. So, we only consider the direction in which we assume $[b, R] S^{2n+2}$. And, then, we need to verify that (5.7) holds. That inequality has the supremum over translations. The Riesz transforms are themselves convolution operators, so that it suffices to verify (5.7) without translations. That is,

(In the display, $T ext{ } extstyle extstyle T_k$, and both T and k vary).

This is in fact a corollary to Lemma 4.1, and is seen by way of a general remark. For a random variable Z, we have for $1 \le p < \infty$,

$$Z$$
 - E Z_p Z - Z_p ,

where Z is an independent copy of Z. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} Z - & \mathsf{E} Z_p = Z - \mathsf{E} Z_p \\ & \leq Z - Z_p \leq 2Z - \mathsf{E} Z_p. \end{split}$$

The first inequality is by convexity and the second by the triangle inequality. Thus, Lemma 4.1 implies

$$E_{k+B}$$
 (b)(g) - $E_k(b)(g) dS$ [b, R]_{S2n+2}, Tex

as B_0 is a fixed integer. And then (5.10) follows.

6 Applications

As stated in the introduction, our approach depends upon a standard non-degeneracy condition on the kernel of the singular integral operator, and then on robust real variable techniques. (In particular, no Fourier analysis.) The approach applies to the following non-Euclidean Calderón–Zygmund operators.

(1) The Cauchy–Szegő projection C [41, Chapter12, Sect. 2.4] is an important singular integral on H^n . It recovers an analytic function in the Siegel upper half space from its boundary value. Its restriction to the boundary is a convolution operator, that is, $C(f)(g) = {}_{H^n} f(g)k_{CS}((g)^{-1}g)dg$, and the convolution kernel k_{CS} is given by

$$k_{CS}(g) = \frac{2}{(|z| + 1t)^{+1}}, \quad 1^2 = -1, \quad 2g = (z, t) 2 H^n.$$



It is well-known that this k_{CS} is a Calderón–Zygmund kernel. From the explicit kernel, we see that the non-degeneracy condition in our Theorem 3.1 holds for C. Hence, Theorem 1.2 holds for [b, C]. This recovers the Theorem A obtained by Feldman–Rochberg [15] where they relied on the Cayley transform and Fourier transform.

- (2) Second order Riesz transforms appear naturally in the study of PDEs (see for instance [20]) and have been extensively studied in literature. They are mostly interpreted as iterations of Riesz transforms and their adjoints, or second derivatives of the fundamental solution operator for the Laplacian: ∂_i ∂_j (-)⁻¹. On Euclidean spaces, second order Riesz transforms are well understood as Calderón–Zygmund singular integrals and have bounded L^p norm for 1
- (2a) A particular interesting example is the classical Beurling–Ahlfors operator *B* on the complex plane defined by (see for example [3, 35])

$$B(f)(z) = \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{C} \frac{f(w)}{z - w^2} dw.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$B = \partial^2 (-1)^{-1}$$

where $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - 1_{\partial x_2}$ is the Cauchy–Riemann operator and is the Laplacian on R. Note that the kernel of B is homogeneous and smooth away from the diagonal. Hence, the Schatten class [b, B] was covered by Rochberg–Semmes [39]. Our approach can also be applied to [b, B], to have the explicit quantitative estimate for the Schatten norm.

(2b) Second order Riesz transform $T(-H)^{-1}$ on H^n (recall that $T = \frac{1}{2}(X_jX_{n+j} - X_{n+j}X_j)$). By using functional calculus for $(-H)^{-1}$, it is direct to see that

$$T(-$$

$$H)^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T$$

$$e^{h}$$

$$dh, 0$$

which gives that the kernel K of T (- $_{\rm H}$)⁻¹ is a convolution kernel. Together with the size and smoothness estimates for the heat kernel [45], we obtain that for g = [0, 0],

$$|K(g)| \rho \frac{2n}{(g)^{+2}}$$
 and $|XK(g)| \rho (g)^{\frac{2n}{+3}}$

for = 1, 2, ..., 2n. Hence, $T(-H)^{-1}$ is a Calderón–Zygmund operator

on H^n . We now verify the non-degeneracy condition in our Theorem 3.1. We follow the idea in [13, Sect. 7]. Recall that ([19]) the explicit expression

Birkhäuser

of heat kernel on the Heisenberg group H^n is as follows: for $g = [z, t] \mathbb{Z} H^n$,

$$= \frac{1}{2(4\pi h)^{n+1}} \exp_{\theta} \frac{1}{4h} \operatorname{it} \frac{\lambda}{-1} |z|_{C^{n}} \operatorname{coth}^{2} \lambda \qquad \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \frac{h_{h}(g)}{-d\lambda}, \qquad 1^{2} = -1.$$

$$(6.1)$$

For any $g = [z, t] \ \mathbb{Z} \ H^n$, by using the explicit expression of the heat kernel above and by Fubini's theorem, we have that for g = [0, 0],

$$K(g) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} h^{-n-1} \exp \frac{\lambda}{4h} it - |z|_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{2} \coth \lambda - \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \int_{0}^{n} d\lambda dh$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{+\infty} h^{-n-1} \exp \frac{\lambda}{4h} it - |z|_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{2} \coth \lambda - \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \int_{0}^{n} d\lambda$$

$$= C_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{n} |z|_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{2} \lambda \coth \lambda - i\lambda t^{-n-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} d\lambda$$

$$= C_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{n} |z|_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{2} \lambda \coth \lambda - i\lambda t^{-n-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \lambda d\lambda,$$

where in the next to the last equality we applied Cauchy integral formula to deform the ray on right-half complex plane C₊ into the real axis. Here we also note that

$$C_2 = -n \, {}_{1}C_1 = -\frac{\eta_n 1}{8\pi^{-1}} \int_0^{\infty} s^{-n-1} e^{-s^{-1}} ds = 0.$$
 (6.2)

Observe that

$$|z|_{\overset{\circ}{C}}^{n}\lambda \coth \lambda - i\lambda t = \underset{\overset{\circ}{\sinh}\lambda}{\lambda} d_{K}^{2}(g) d_{\overset{\circ}{G}}^{z} |_{\overset{\circ}{G}}^{2} \cosh \lambda - i d_{K} d_{S}^{z} |_{S}^{z} \sinh \lambda = \frac{1}{2} d_{K} d_{S}^{z} d_{S}^{z$$

where d_K is the Korányi metric given by $d_K(g) = (|z|_{4^n} + t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ for g = [z, t] H^n , and

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \varphi = \varphi(|z|_{\mathbb{C}^n}, t) \le \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad e^{i\varphi} = d_K^{-2}(g)(|z|_{\mathbb{C}^n}^2 + it). \tag{6.3}$$

Thus, we have

$$K(g) = C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} d_K^2(g) \cosh(\lambda - i\varphi) \int_{-n-1}^{-n-1} \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} d\lambda$$

$$= C_2 d_K^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{-2} \cosh(\lambda - i\varphi) \int_{-n-1}^{-n-1} \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \int_{-n-1}^{-n-1} \frac{\lambda}{\sinh \lambda} \lambda d\lambda$$

$$= C_2 d_K^{-2\frac{n}{2}-2}(g) \cosh(\lambda - i\varphi) \int_{-n-1}^{-n-1} \sinh \lambda d\lambda R$$



=
$$C_2 d_K^{-2n-2}(g) \cosh(\lambda)^{-n-1} \sinh(\lambda + i\varphi) d\lambda$$
, R

where the last equality follows from Cauchy integral formula again. We now define

$$F(g) := \cosh(\lambda)^{-n-1} \sinh(\lambda + i\varphi) d\lambda$$
. R

Then we have $K(g) = C_2 F(g) d_{K_2}^{-n-2}(g)$. We now investigate the function

$$F(w) := \cosh(\lambda)^{-n-1} \sinh(\lambda + w) d\lambda, \quad w \ ? \ C. \ R$$

Then we have $F(g) = F(1\varphi)$ with g = [z, t] = 0 and $\varphi = \varphi |z|_{C^n}$, t such that $e^{i\varphi} = d^{-2}_{\nu}(g) |z|_{C^n}^2 + it$. Note that F(w) is analytic in some domain in the complex plane C, which contains the line segment $-\frac{\pi}{2}1, \frac{\pi}{2}1$ in the imaginary axis, and that $F(\frac{\pi}{4}1) = 0$. Thus, F(w) has at most a finite number of zero points on $-\frac{\pi}{2}1, \frac{\pi}{2}1$, i.e., there exist $\{\varphi\}_{=1}^N \mathbb{Z}$ $-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}$ such that $F(1\varphi) = 0$. From the mapping in (6.3), we see that for each = $1, ..., N, \varphi$ corresponds to a hyperplane H in H^n defined by

$$H := (z,t) ? H^n : \varphi = \varphi(|z|_{C^n},t) .$$

Let

$$H = M = 1$$

Then we see that $\{g \ \mathbb{Z} \ H^n : F(g) = 0\} \ \mathbb{Z} \ H$, and that H has measure zero. Consequently, the measure of the set $\{g \boxtimes H^n : F(g) = 0\}$ is zero. Hence, we see that the convolution kernel K(g) is homogeneous of degree -2n-2 and that

$$K(g) = C_2 F(g), \quad g \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathsf{S}^n,$$

which is non-zero almost everywhere on S^n (the unit sphere in H^n). Thus, nondegeneracy condition in Theorem 3.1 holds.



(2c) Second order Riesz transform $X_j X_k (-1)^{-1}$ on H^n , $j, k \ \mathbb{Z} \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$. Again, by using functional calculus for $(-1)^{-1}$, it is direct to see that

$$X_{j}X_{k}(-$$
H)⁻¹ = X{j}
 $X_{k}e^{h}$
^H
 $A_{k}e^{h}$
 $A_{k}e^{h}$

which together with the size and smoothness estimates for the heat kernel [45], shows j_k that X X (-)⁻¹ is a Calderón–Zygmund operator on H^n . Denote the kernel of $X_jX_k(-$ H)⁻¹ by $K_{j,k}(g)$. We now verify the non-degeneracy condition in our Theorem 3.1. In fact, this follows from similar approach as we used in (2b). Without lost of generality, we take

$$X_{j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} + 2x_{n+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad j < n \quad \text{and} \quad X_{k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} + 2x_{n-k} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad k > n.$$

Then based on the formula (6.1) for heat kernel, we get that for g = [0, 0],

$$K_{j,k}(g) = d_K^{-2n-4}(g) \ F_1(g) + {}_1F_2(g) + F_3(g) + {}_1F_4(g) \ ,$$

where

$$F_{1}(g) = C_{3}x_{j}x_{k} \cosh(\lambda)^{-n-2} \cosh(\lambda + 1\varphi)^{2} d\lambda,$$

$$F_{2}(g) = -C_{3}x_{n+j}x_{k} \cosh(\lambda)^{-n-2} \cosh(\lambda + 1\varphi) \sinh(\lambda + 1\varphi)^{2} d\lambda,$$

$$F_{3}(g) = C_{4}x_{n-k}x_{j} \cosh(\lambda)^{-n-2} \cosh(\lambda + 1\varphi) \sinh(\lambda + 1\varphi)^{2} d\lambda,$$

$$F_{4}(g) = -C_{4}x_{n-k}x_{n+j} \cosh(\lambda)^{-n-2} \sinh(\lambda + 1\varphi)^{2} d\lambda,$$
R

with φ defined as in (6.3), $C_3 := 2n(2n+2)C_1$, $C_4 := 2(2n+2)C_2$ and C_1 , C_2 are as in (6.2). By resorting to the analytic continuation as in (2b) and using the isolated zero point, we get that $K_{j,k}(g) = 0$ a.e. $g \ \mathbb{P} \ H^n$. Thus, non-degeneracy condition in Theorem 3.1 holds.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referee for the helpful comments. J. Li would like to thank Prof. Richard Rochberg for the very helpful comments on improving the paper, and thank Prof. Sundaram Thangavelu for helpful discussions. Z. Fan would like to thank Prof. Lixin Yan and Minxing Shen for helpful discussions. M. Lacey is a 2020 Simons Fellow, his Research is supported in part by grant from the US National Science Foundation, DMS-1949206. J. Li is supported by the Australian Research Council through the research grant DP220100285.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and its Member Institutions.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included



in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Aleksandrov, A.B., Peller, V.V.: Schur multipliers of Schatten von-Neumann classes S_p. J. Funct. Anal. 279, 108683 (2020)
- Arazy, J., Fisher, S.D., Peetre, J.: Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces. Am. J. Math. 110, 989–1053 (1988)
- 3. Banuelos, R., Wang, G.: Sharp inequalities for martingales with applications to the Beurling–Ahlfors and Riesz transforms. Duke Math. J. 80, 575–600 (1995)
- Betancor, J., Castro, A., Curbelo, J.: Harmonic analysis operators associated with multidimensional Bessel operators. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A 142, 945–974 (2012)
- Bonfiglioli, A.: Taylor formula for homogeneous groups and applications. Math. Z. 262, 255–279 (2009)
- Bonfiglioli, A., Lanconelli, E., Uguzzoni, F.: Stratified Lie Group and Potential Theory for their Sub-Laplacian. Springer, Berlin (2007)
- Bruno, T., Peloso, M.M., Vallarino, M.: Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces on Lie groups. Math. Ann. 377, 335–377 (2020)
- Chen, P., Duong, X., Li, J., Wu, Q.: Compactness of Riesz transform commutator on stratified Lie groups. J. Funct. Anal. 277(6), 1639–1676 (2019)
- Chen, P., Cowling, M.G., Lee, M.-Y., Li, J., Ottazzi, A.: Flag Hardy space theory on Heisenberg groups and applications. Preprint at http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07371
- Coifman, R., Rochberg, R., Weiss, G.: Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables. Ann. Math. 103, 611–635 (1976)
- 11. Connes, A.: Noncommutative Geometry. Academic Press Inc, San Diego (1994)
- Duong, X.T., Li, H.-Q., Li, J., Wick, B.D.: Lower bound of Riesz transform kernels and commutator theorems on stratified nilpotent Lie groups. J. Math. Pures Appl. 124, 273–299 (2019)
- 13. Duong, X.T., Li, H.-Q., Li, J., Wick, B.D., Wu, Q.: Lower bound of Riesz transform kernels revisited and commutators on stratified Lie groups. http://arxiv.org/abs/1803.01301
- Fang, Q., Xia, J.: Schatten class membership of Hankel operators on the unit sphere. J. Funct. Anal. 257(10), 3082–3134 (2009)
- Feldman, M., Rochberg, R.: Singular Value Estimates for Commutators and Hankel Operators on the Unit Ball and the Heisenberg Group Analysis and Partial Differential Equations, 121–159, Lecture Notes in Pure and Application Mathemathics, vol. 122. Dekker, New York (1990)
- Feneuil, J.: Algebra properties for Besov spaces on unimodular Lie groups. Colloq. Math. 154(2), 205–240 (2018)
- Folland, G.B., Stein, E.M.: Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups. Princetion University Press, Princeton (1982)
- 18. Frank, R.L., Sukochev, F., Zanin, D.: Asymptotics of singular values for quantum derivatives, To appear in Trans. Am. Math. Soc
- Gaveau, B.: Principe de moindre action, propagation de la chaleur et estimées sous-elliptiques sur certains groupes nilpotents. Acta Math. 139, 95–153 (1977)
- Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N.S.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2001)
- Goffeng, M.: Analytic formulas for the topological degree of non-smooth mappings: the odddimensional case. Adv. Math. 231, 357–377 (2012)
- 22. Han, Y., Yang, D.: Some new spaces of Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin type on homogeneous spaces. Studia Math. 156, 67–97 (2003)
- Huber, A.: On the uniqueness of generalized axially symmetric potentials. Ann. Math. 60, 351–358 (1954)
- 24. Isralowitz, J.: Schatten p class commutators on the weighted Bergman space $L^2_d(Bn, dv_V)$ for 2n/(n+1+V) . Indiana Univ. Math. J.**62**, 201–233 (2013)



- Page 28 of 28
- 25. Janson, S., Wolff, T.: Schatten classes and commutators of singular integral operators. Ark. Mater. 20, 301-310 (1982)
- 26. Journé, J.-L.: Calderón-Zygmund Operators, Pseudodifferential Operators and the Cauchy Integral of Calderón. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 994. Springer, Berlin (1983)
- 27. Journé, J.-L.: Calderón-Zygmund operators on product space. Rev. Mater. Iberoam. 1, 55-92 (1985)
- 28. Kairema, A., Li, J., Pereyra, C., Ward, L.A.: Haar bases on quasi-metric measure spaces, and dyadic structure theorems for function spaces on produce spaces of homogeneous type. J. Funct. Anal. 271, 1793-1843 (2016)
- 29. Li, J., Wick, B.D.: Characterizations of H1 (R^n) and BMO_N (Rⁿ) via weak factorizations and commutators. J. Funct. Anal. 272, 5384–5416 (2017)
- 30. Lord, S., McDonald, E., Sukochev, F., Zanin, D.: Quantum differentiability of essentially bounded functions on Euclidean space. J. Funct. Anal. 273(7), 2353-2387 (2017)
- 31. Müller, D., Yang, D.: A difference characterization of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on RDspaces. Forum Math. 21, 256-298 (2009)
- 32. Pau, J.: Characterization of Schatten-class Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces. Duke Math. J. **165**, 2771–2791 (2016)
- 33. Peller, V.V.: Nuclearity of Hankel operators. Mat. Sbornik. 113, 538-581 (1980)
- 34. Peller, V.V.: Hankel Operators and Their Applications. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York (2003)
- 35. Petermichl, S., Volberg, A.: Heating of the Ahlfors-Beurling operator: weakly quasi-regular maps on the plane are quasiregular. Duke Math. J. 112, 281–305 (2002)
- 36. Potapov, D., Sukochev, F.: Operator-Lipschitz functions in Schatten-von Neumann classes. Acta Math. 207, 375–389 (2011)
- 37. Pott, S., Smith, M.P.: Paraproducts and Hankel operators of Schatten class via p-John-Nirenberg theorem. J. Funct. Anal. 217, 38-78 (2004)
- 38. Rochberg, R., Semmes, S.: A decomposition theorem for BMO and applications. J. Funct. Anal. 67, 228-263 (1986)
- 39. Rochberg, R., Semmes, S.: Nearly weakly orthonormal sequences, singular value estimates, and Calderon-Zygmund operators. J. Funct. Anal. 86, 237–306 (1989)
- 40. Russo, B.: On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators. Pac. J. Math. 68, 241-253 (1977)
- 41. Stein, E.M.: Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1993)
- 42. Strichartz, R.S.: Self-similarity on Nilpotent Lie Groups: Generalized Convex Bodies and Generalized Envelopes. Contemp. Math. 140, pp. 123-157. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1992)
- 43. Tyson, J.T.: Global conformal Assouad dimension in the Heisenberg group. Conf. Geom. Dynam. 12, 32-57 (2008)
- 44. Uchiyama, A.: On the compactness of operators of Hankel type. Tôhoku Math. J. 30, 163–171 (1978)
- 45. Varopoulos, N.T., Saloff-Coste, L., Coulhon, T.: Analysis and Geometry on Groups, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 100. Cambridge University, Cambridge (1992)
- 46. Wang, F., Han, Y., He, Z., Yang, D.: Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on spaces of homogeneous type with applications to boundedness of Calders@n-Zygmund operators. Dissertationes Math. 565, 1-113 (2021)
- 47. Yang, D.: Real interpolations for Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces on spaces of homogeneous type. Math. Nachr. 273, 96-113 (2004)
- 48. Zhu, K.: Schatten class Hankel operators on the Bergman space of the unit ball. Am. J. Math. 113, 147–167 (1991)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

