

1 Title: Microbial responses to long-term warming differ across soil microenvironments

3 Running title: Aggregates regulate microbial functional genes

5 Xiao Jun A. Liu^{1,2,*}, Shun Han², Serita D. Frey³, Jerry M. Melillo⁴, Jizhong Zhou^{2,5,6}, Kristen M.
6 DeAngelis^{1,*}

8 ¹Department of Microbiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, United States.

9 ²Institute for Environmental Genomics and School of Biological Sciences, University of

10 Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, United States. ³Department of Natural Resources and the

11 Environment, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, United States. ⁴Ecosystems

12 Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA 02543, United States. ⁵Earth and

13 Environmental Sciences, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, United

14 States. ⁶School of Civil Engineering and Environmental Sciences and School of Computer

15 Science, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, United States.

17 *Corresponding authors: Xiao Jun A. Liu, Institute for Environmental Genomics, University of

18 Oklahoma, 101 David L Boren Blvd, Norman, OK 73019, United States. Email:

19 xj.allen.liu@gmail.com and Kristen M. DeAngelis, Department of Microbiology, University of

20 Massachusetts, 639 N Pleasant Street, Amherst, MA 01003, United States. Email:

21 deangelis@microbio.umass.edu

Study funding

- JGI Community Science Program grant (JGI-CSP-503736, XJAL, KMD).
- DOE Terrestrial Ecosystem Science Program grant (DEFOA0001437, KMD).
- DOE Genomic Science Program grant (DE-SC0022996, KMD).
- NSF Long Term Research grant (DEB-1456610 and DEB-1832110, KMD, SDF, JMM).

Abstract

Soil carbon loss is likely to increase due to climate warming, but microbiomes and microenvironments may dampen this effect. In a 30-year warming experiment, physical protection within soil aggregates affected the thermal responses of soil microbiomes and carbon dynamics. In this study, we combined metagenomic analysis with physical characterization of soil aggregates to explore mechanisms by which microbial communities respond to climate warming across different soil microenvironments. Long-term warming decreased the relative abundances of genes involved in degrading labile compounds (e.g., cellulose), but increased those genes involved in degrading recalcitrant compounds (e.g., lignin) across aggregate sizes. These changes were observed in most phyla of bacteria, especially for *Acidobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Chloroflexi*, and *Planctomycetes*. Microbial community composition was considerably altered by warming, leading to declined diversity for bacteria and fungi but not for archaea. Microbial functional genes, diversity, and community composition differed between macroaggregates and microaggregates, indicating the essential role of physical protection in controlling microbial community dynamics. Our findings suggest that microbes have the capacity to employ various strategies to acclimate or adapt to climate change (e.g.,

warming, heat stress) by shifting functional gene abundances and community structures in varying microenvironments, as regulated by soil physical protection.

Keywords: carbon storage and sequestration; bacterial necromass; substrate accessibility; biogeochemical cycles; soil aggregation; microbial evolution; organic matter decomposition; functional genomics; degradation enzymes; plant soil interactions.

Introduction

Microbes play a crucial role in soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition and have the potential to accelerate soil carbon loss to the atmosphere in response to climate warming [1–4]. Previous studies have demonstrated that warming is associated with increased abundances of functional genes involved in the degradation of organic matter with varying levels of recalcitrance [5–9] and enriched pathways related to cellulose degradation [10–12], but the effects on microbial abundances and community structure have been variable [13–17]. In soils, substrate availability is affected by physico-chemical protection mechanisms like adsorption, desorption, and aggregate turnover that decrease depolymerization and microbial decomposition [18], but the extent to which functional genes, metabolic pathways and taxonomic groups vary with chronic warming, especially in different aggregate sizes, remains poorly studied.

Soil structure and mineralogy drive microbial community composition by affecting substrate availability and physical accessibility [19–24]. The presence of physical barriers within soil aggregates can protect SOM from decomposition by inducing microenvironmental constraints on decomposer movement and metabolism [25–28]. Different microbial groups also exhibited varying relative abundances between aggregates, with bacteria and fungi showing distinct

patterns [29–31]. For instance, bacterial communities were found to be less diverse [22], while fungi were more diverse in macroaggregates than in microaggregates [32, 33], where the competition between the two groups was stronger [34, 35]. Furthermore, fungi may play a more dominant role in forming macroaggregates [36–39], although the ratio of fungi to bacteria can vary substantially [40]. Therefore, a fine-scale understanding of soil microbial community distribution is essential to comprehend how climate change impacts species interactions and metabolisms [41], and whether carbon persists in soils [42].

Previously we reported on two studies that explored how long-term warming at the Harvard Forest has affected microbes in different microenvironments [20, 21]. Soil samples from the control and heated plots were separated into macroaggregate (250–2000 μm) and microaggregate (<250 μm) fractions, and microbial carbon use efficiency (CUE) was measured with ^{18}O enriched water (H_2^{18}O) in samples incubated at 15 or 25°C for 24 h. We found that warming reduced soil carbon and nitrogen concentrations, extracellular enzyme activities, microbial growth, respiration, and the temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}) of CUE in macroaggregates [21]. To further explore how physical protection may inhibit SOM decomposition, we crushed aggregates to reduce physical protection and compared them to intact aggregates. We found that warming was associated with a smaller effect of physical protection for respiration but with a larger effect for biomass turnover rate in macroaggregates [20], suggesting that microbial functional traits vary across microenvironments with different physical protection, yet their responses to climate warming remain unclear.

In the new study we report here, we used metagenomics to examine how long-term warming affects the gene abundances and community structures of soil microbiomes associated with both macroaggregates and microaggregates. Because of their known differences in physical

protection, our study aimed to provide insights into the mechanisms behind the differential effects of long-term warming on microbial functions and dynamics between macroaggregates and microaggregates. We posited that the effects of warming on gene abundances would be more pronounced in macroaggregates than in microaggregates. We further hypothesized that warming would increase the abundances of functional genes related to cell maintenance and degradation of complex substrates, but would reduce the abundances of genes responsible for degrading labile substrates, corresponding to the changes in abundances of oligotrophs and copiotrophs.

Materials and Methods

Field site and sample processing

Samples were collected as previously described [20, 21] from the Harvard Forest long-term warming experiment (Petersham, MA, United States), where soils have been heated 5°C above ambient temperature since 1991 alongside control and disturbance control (instrumented but not heated) plots [43]. The soil for this study was collected in October 2017 from the mineral horizon (10 cm depth; N=3), from the same batch of samples used for prior experiments [20, 21]. The soil was air-dried at 4°C until it reached a moisture level of about 10% to minimize any potential disturbance to the microbial communities during aggregate fractionation [44]. Microaggregates (<250 µm) and macroaggregates (250-2000 µm) were separated with a 250 µm sieve, weighed and stored at -80 °C for molecular analysis. To extract DNA from the soil aggregates, 0.5 g of each sample was weighed out and processed using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The extracted DNA was assessed for quality using the NanoDrop One (Thermo Scientific), quantified with the PicoGreen Kit (Quant-IT, Invitrogen), and treated with RNase A to avoid potential RNA contamination.

Processing of metagenomic data

Shotgun metagenome library preparation, sequencing, assembly, and annotation were performed at the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) following standard protocols and pipelines [45]. Soil DNA samples were sequenced at JGI using a NovaSeq 6000 System (2×150 bp; Illumina). The samples had an average GC content of 62.1%, reads of 3,942,584,112, and 94.9% of reads $\geq$ Q30 (BBTools, v38.59; Table S1). The filtered quality reads were assembled with metaSPAdes (version 3.13.0) using different k-mer lengths [46, 47]. On average, 25.3 Gb of MG sequence was obtained per soil sample.

To annotate the functional profiles of the metagenomes, we employed the Integrated Microbial Genomes Annotation Pipeline (IMGAP v.5.0), which assigned protein-coding genes to Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs), Enzyme Commission (EC) numbers and KEGG Orthology (KO) terms [45, 48, 49]. Protein-coding genes were compared against high-quality genomes using USEARCH 6.0.294 to assign EC numbers [50], which were then mapped to the Carbohydrate-Active Enzymes database (CAZy) for taxonomic analysis of all functions [51]. Phylogenetic distributions were determined using the best BLAST hits against a non-redundant protein database derived from high-quality genomes based on the Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) platform. To analyze taxonomy, COG taxon-specific functions were filtered to include only hits with >30% identities at the phylum level. To account for differences in metagenome size, all functional abundance matrices were normalized to hits per million reads [52].

Taxonomic annotation of genes in metagenome

To assess the taxonomic composition of a genome sample, the distribution of best BLAST hits of protein-coding genes was conducted in the dataset. Instead of e-value filtering, IMG utilizes percent identity filtering for best hits to account for the variation in alignment length between query and target proteins. Percent identity ranges used in IMG roughly correspond to the average amino acid identity found between genomes from the same genus (90+% range), the same family or order (60~89%), and same class or phylum (30~59%). Additionally, IMG provides links to COG functional category information for genes with 30, 60 and 90% hits. Here we selected the 30% identity to investigate microbial taxonomic responses at the phylum/class level, and classified the best hits into two COG functional categories: metabolism (amino acid transport, carbohydrate transport, energy production, lipid transport, coenzyme transport, inorganic ion transport, nucleotide transport, secondary metabolites biosynthesis) and cellular processes (cell wall biogenesis, defense mechanisms, signal transduction, post-translational chaperones, cell division, cell motility, extracellular structures, and intracellular trafficking).

CAZy degradation genes

We employed the CAZy database, which relies on functionally related domains of enzymes that break down glycosidic bonds, to classify the enzyme genes [53]. Our study focused on four classes of enzymes: auxiliary activities (AA), carbohydrate esterases (CE), glycoside hydrolases (GH), and polysaccharide lyases (PL). These enzymes were assigned to substrates such as hemicellulose, starch, cellulose, chitin, pectin, and lignin, as listed in Table S2. Based on the origins, genes associated with peptidoglycan and chitin degradation were grouped for bacterial

and fungal biomass degradation, while hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin for plant biomass degradation.

Taxonomic analysis

We employed metagenomic data to evaluate the abundance of bacterial and fungal communities in control and heated plots. We utilized Kraken2 (v. 2.0.9) to assign taxonomic groups with the NCBI taxonomy, which included bacteria, fungi, and archaea. The taxon-by-sample matrices were normalized based on the total number of reads per sample to mitigate the impact of uneven sequencing depth. The relative abundances of phyla or classes were calculated using the abundances of sequences that matched archaea, bacteria or fungi [54].

Statistical analyses

To minimize the effect of variation in sequencing depth among samples, functional genes and relative abundances of taxa were normalized to hits per million reads. We conducted non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities based on square-root transformed abundances of functional genes or relative abundances of bacterial or fungal communities. Shannon's diversity was used to measure community evenness and richness. To test for differences in bacterial communities, we performed Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (Permanova) and homogeneity of variances using the functions `adonis` and `betadisper` in R [8]. All sequencing data were analyzed based on three biological replicates per sample. P values for the relative abundances of taxa and functional genes were calculated using the two-tailed t test. All statistical analyses were performed with R [55]. Due to the small sample size, a P value of ≤ 0.10 was considered statistically significant for the tests [10, 51, 56].

Results and Discussion

Our prior findings show that long-term warming reduced soil carbon and nitrogen concentrations, activities of hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes, microbial growth, respiration, and Q_{10} of microbial CUE in macroaggregates but not in microaggregates [20, 21]. Besides, warming showed a larger effect on microbial biomass turnover but smaller effect on respiration in macroaggregates than in microaggregates. To understand how microbial functional traits vary in their responses to long-term warming across aggregates, here we conducted metagenomic analysis on the same microaggregates and macroaggregates from the heated and control soils. Our current study shows the interactive effects of warming and physical protection on microbiome functions, where soil aggregates drive microbial community composition and functional genes by influencing the substrate availability and physical accessibility.

Warming effects on functional genes are partly regulated by microenvironments

Our findings partly support the hypothesis that long-term warming would increase the abundances of genes responsible for cellular processes and metabolism. Specifically, warming consistently increased the gene abundances of *Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Crenarchaeota*, *Euryarchaeota*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Ascomycota*; while reduced the gene abundances for *Actinobacteria*, *Armatimonadetes*, *Chloroflexi*, *Firmicutes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Basidiomycota*, and *Zoopagomycota* in both macroaggregates and microaggregates (Figs. 1, S1-S3). Compared to macroaggregates, with warming gene abundances increased more for *Acidobacteria* and *Ascomycota* but less for *Proteobacteria*, and decreased more for *Actinobacteria* but less for *Planctomycetes* in microaggregates. These findings suggest that warming effects on functional genes vary depending on the specific microbial communities that

are partly impacted by aggregates [8, 57], highlighting the need for further research to understand the physical protection associated mechanisms in driving microbial responses to climate warming.

Our analysis of metabolic pathways revealed that the effects of long-term warming on gene abundances varied depending on individual functional traits and phyla. Under warming for both macroaggregates and microaggregates, abundances of genes associated with 1) amino acid transport increased for *Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Thaumarchaeota* while decreased for *Chloroflexi*, *Firmicutes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Spirochaetes*, and most fungal phyla; 2) carbohydrate transport increased for *Acidobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*, while decreased for *Chloroflexi*, *Deinococcus–Thermus*, *Zoopagomycota*; 3) lipid transport increased for *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria*, *Mucoromycota*, while decreased for *Armatimonadetes*, *Crenarchaeota*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Planctomycetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*, and *Basidiomycota* (Figs. 2, S4-S8). Our results, depending on specific phyla, are partly supported by previous studies that have reported increases in abundances of genes associated with lipids and polysaccharides metabolisms under chronic warming, and an increase in genes associated with carbohydrate metabolism in permafrost systems [12, 58]. These findings suggest that long-term warming may have selected microbial species and genes that can either adapt or resist to heat associated stress conditions [59]. Thus, depending on their genetic and physiological states in variable microenvironments, microbes likely respond in different ways [60, 61] to climate warming [62].

Our analysis of gene abundances associated with cellular processes revealed that long-term warming had consistent effects on certain functional traits and phyla, while had variable effects on the others. Specifically, warming consistently increased the gene abundances associated with cell wall biogenesis, defense, signal transduction, and transcriptional chaperones for

Acidobacteria, *Bacteroidetes* and *Gemmatimonadetes* in both macroaggregates and microaggregates (Figs. 2, S4-S8). However, warming reduced these gene abundances for *Actinobacteria*, *Armatimonadetes*, *Chloroflexi*, *Firmicutes*, and *Planctomycetes*. These findings highlight the inconsistent responses of functional traits associated with different phyla to environmental stress [63–65], and may allow for some predictive insights in feedbacks of soil carbon cycling to climate change.

Warming effects on carbon degradation genes are independent of soil microenvironments

Our hypothesis was that chronic warming would lead to an increase in genes associated with the degradation of complex substrates and a decrease in genes associated with the degradation of labile substrates, consistent with previous observations of microbial responses to long-term warming [2, 66]. We found that warming increased the abundance of genes responsible for the degradation of lignin and reduced genes associated with cellulose degradation (Fig. 3), consistent with observations of decreased relative abundances of lignin in the heated soils [67]. A short-term warming reduced the genes associated with cellulose degradation but showed little effect on genes associated with degradation of hemicellulose and lignin [68]. However, we observed variable warming effects on the genes associated with hemicellulose degradation, with some genes showed either increased (CE4, GH10, PL5) or decreased abundances (GH38, PL8, PL12) in both macroaggregates and microaggregates (Figs. S9, S10). Our previous work found that warming reduced potential enzyme activities for degradation of labile carbon but not for degradation of recalcitrant carbon [21], indicating that gene abundances may not necessarily reflect microbial potential functions. Chronic warming was reported to increase relative abundances of genes associated with degradation of labile carbon, but showed inconsistent

effects on genes associated with complex carbon degradation in grasslands [8, 10, 11]. By contrast, short-term warming increased the abundances of functional genes involved in the degradation of labile and recalcitrant carbon in a permafrost system [7]. Our findings support the shift away from cellulose towards lignin as a source of substrates for soil heterotrophs based on declined nutrients [2, 6], though the capacity of microbes in degrading cellulose may increase with chronic warming [51].

Long-term warming had distinctive effects on the relative abundances of genes associated with degradation of bacterial, fungal and plant biomass (Fig. 3), suggesting that chronic warming may have accelerated microbial decomposition of necromass under substrate or nutrient limited conditions [69]. However, in the long-term, both bacteria and fungi may still play critical roles in enhancing soil organic carbon (SOC) sequestration [32]. Our study provides further insight into the complex and dynamic responses of soil microbial communities to chronic warming and highlights the need for continued research to better understand these processes in different microenvironments with implications for soil carbon cycling.

Warming effects on microbial community dynamics are influenced by microenvironments

We hypothesized that relative abundances of copiotrophs would decrease while oligotrophs increase after long-term warming. Our hypothesis was partly supported that the relative abundances of some copiotrophs (*Actinobacteria*) decreased and of some oligotrophs (*Acidobacteria*, *Euryarchaeota*, *Nitrospirae*) increased with warming (Figs. 4, S11-S14). However, warming also increased the relative abundances of other copiotrophs (*Bacteroidetes*, β -/ γ - *Proteobacteria*, *Crenarchaeota*, *Gemmatimonadetes*) and reduced those of other oligotrophs (*Chloroflexi*, *Planctomycetes*). These findings suggest the difficulty in generalizing

microbial life strategies [61], such as either an increase [14, 70, 71] or a decrease [72] in relative abundances of copiotrophs and oligotrophs with warming. Warming tended to reduce the relative abundances of most fungi (*Basidiomycota*, *Chytridiomycota*, *Zoopagomycota* except *Ascomycota*), suggesting that fungi are less competitive than bacteria under warming [73]. Yet, heat stress has been reported to increase the relative abundances of *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*, and *Basidiomycota*, but to reduce the relative abundances of *Acidobacteria*, *Ascomycota*, *Firmicutes*, and *Myxococcota* in a cropping ecosystem [74]. Our findings suggest that even with decreased nutrients [2, 20, 21], oligotrophs and copiotrophs may occupy niches with adaptive traits to enhance their ability to outcompete other microbes, supporting microbial life history trade-offs between competition and resource acquisition under warming [9, 75–77]. Alternatively, warming may act as a filtering factor to impose positive or negative selection on spore-forming (e.g., *Actinobacteria*, *Firmicutes*) or non-spore-forming microbes [72]. Our research reinforces that the copiotroph-oligotroph classification may not accurately represent microbial thermal responses, particularly in different microenvironments [21, 23, 28, 78].

We also hypothesized that chronic warming would reduce microbial diversity and alter community composition. As expected, long-term warming decreased the diversity of bacteria and fungi, but only in macroaggregates (Fig. 5). Microbial community composition shifted with warming and varied between macroaggregates and microaggregates (Fig. 6). These findings are consistent with previous studies that warming has reduced microbial diversity and altered community composition in various ecosystems [16, 33, 71]. However, some studies reported little change of microbial diversity or community composition in response to warming [2, 8, 15, 79]. These different responses of microbial communities to warming indicate complex interactions among soil substrate availability, community structure and other abiotic factors [69].

Aggregate physical protection regulates functional genes and community dynamics

Our initial hypothesis was that warming would have a greater impact on functional gene abundances in macroaggregates compared to microaggregates, but our findings show that warming effects were highly phylum-dependent (Figs. 1 and 2). Compared to microaggregates, the warming effects on functional genes in macroaggregates were greater for *Planctomycetes* and *Proteobacteria* but smaller for *Acidobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* (Figs. 1,2, S1-S8). Different warming effects on the gene abundances associated with cell motility genes were observed for *Armatimonadetes*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Deinococcus-Thermus*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Proteobacteria* and *Basidiomycota* (Figs. S4-S8). Gene abundances of most functional traits increased with warming for some oligotrophic and copiotrophic microbes (*Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Euryarchaeota*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Proteobacteria*), but decreased for others (Tables S3-S5). Nevertheless, the warming effects varied significantly between macroaggregates and microaggregates, suggesting that the responses of microbial communities to warming may be different in moisture and nutrient availability among aggregates [80, 81]. The observed differences in gene abundances also suggest that even closely related microbial species may exhibit varying abilities with warming, underscoring complicated interactions between microbial communities and the microenvironments [82].

Warming effects on the relative abundances of genes degrading different substrates varied between aggregate sizes (Figs. 3, S9, S10). For instance, warming increased the relative abundances of genes degrading hemicellulose, cellulose, lignin, and pectin in some enzyme families, but reduced the relative abundances of genes degrading hemicellulose and pectin in other families. Compared to microaggregates, the relative abundances were smaller for genes degrading cellulose, hemicellulose (e.g., CE4, GH38) and pectin in macroaggregates. Moreover,

the warming effects on abundances of genes of degrading microbial biomass were stronger than those degrading plant biomass, especially in the macroaggregates, suggesting that microenvironment-controlled physical protection is an important determinant of microbial necromass in forming new SOM and increasing soil carbon storage (i.e., sequestration). These findings are also consistent with previous studies that the decomposition of different substrates is regulated by different microbial populations [83, 84]. The variable responses of microbes to warming could be due to differences in the physical and chemical properties between aggregates, which affect the availability of different substrates and the composition of microbial communities [54, 85].

We hypothesized that warming would have a greater impact on the relative abundances of microbial communities in macroaggregates compared to microaggregates. However, warming effects on the relative abundances of most phyla were smaller in macroaggregates than in microaggregates, suggesting that microbial functional differences can be largely attributed to different resource and moisture availability in microhabitats under warming [21, 86], rather than to the presence or absence of particular taxonomic groups [29]. Another possibility is that microbial communities might be associated with different predation pressures on populations between aggregates [31]. With warming the relative abundances of some oligotrophs (*Armatimonadetes*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Deinococcus–Thermus*, and δ -*Proteobacteria*) increased in macroaggregates but decreased in microaggregates, suggesting that oligotrophs are more adapted in low-nutrient environments [21, 61, 87, 88]. The greater loss of SOC and nitrogen in macroaggregates and changes in relative abundances and functional genes under warming [20, 21] probably have influenced microbial habitats and niches [42], indicating potential influence of microbial communities on soil carbon and nitrogen cycling over climate change [89, 90].

Bacterial and fungal diversity was greater in macroaggregates than in microaggregates, with the bacterial diversity being greater than the fungal diversity, indicating consistent microbial responses at the domain level (Fig. 5). This finding is in accordance with previous research that microbial communities were associated with different soil aggregate fractions, highlighting the importance of the spatial distribution of bacterial and fungal communities [30, 33]. For example, bacterial diversity was smaller while fungal diversity was greater in macroaggregates than in microaggregates [23, 91]. These results imply that biotic interactions within microenvironments could play important roles in regulating changes in biodiversity in response to climate warming.

We found distinct community composition between macroaggregates and microaggregates, indicating that the varying accessibility of nutrients [20, 21] may have induced the assembly of diverse communities [22]. For instance, different soil carbon quality has been suggested to cause the differentiation of microbial communities between free and occluded microaggregates [22]. However, bacterial and fungal community structures were similar across soil aggregates in agricultural systems [30]. These findings suggest that microbial communities might be susceptible to the effects of long-term climate warming, depending on different microenvironments and the associated physical protection.

Conclusion

Our study suggests that microbial communities in different soil microenvironments (e.g., macroaggregates, microaggregates) could play uncertain yet crucial roles in regulating soil carbon feedback responses to accelerating climate change. The reductions of microbial diversity, shifts in community composition, and variable changes in functional and taxonomic abundances resulting from warming, particularly in macroaggregates, suggest the microbial potential to

either reduce or increase soil carbon loss, depending on whether the dominant communities have declined or increased abundances of carbon degradation genes. A deeper understanding of the underlying microbial mechanisms and functions, substrate accessibility and availability, as well as the associated physical protection in the extremely heterogeneous soil environment, could enhance a better understanding of the larger-scale ecosystem responses. This represents a promising and critical frontier for improving climate-resilient models and managing soil microbiomes (e.g., enhance soil health and develop sustainable agricultural and natural ecosystems), to mitigate the negative effects of climate change.

Acknowledgements

We want to thank the members of the Melillo group and the DeAngelis group who helped for the soil sampling. The research was supported by a JGI Community Science Program awarded to XJAL (proposal #503736), by a DOE Terrestrial Ecosystem Science Program grant (DEFOA0001437) and a DOE Genomic Science Program grant (DE-SC0022996) to KMD, and a NSF Long-Term Research in Environmental Biology grant (DEB-1456610) to SDF, KMD and JMM. The Prospect Hill Soil Warming Study at Harvard Forest is maintained with support from the NSF Long Term Ecological Research Program (DEB-1832110). We also thank the technical support by the JGI team (Marcel Huntemann, Alicia Clum, Brian Foster, Bryce Foster, Simon Roux, Krishnaveni Palaniappan, Neha Varghese, Supratim Mukherjee, T.B.K. Reddy, Chris Daum, Alex Copeland, I-Min A. Chen, Natalia N. Ivanova, Nikos C. Kyrpides, Nicole Shapiro, Emiley A. Eloie-Fadrosh) for soil DNA samples sequencing and raw data processing.

Data availability

Raw sequencing data were deposited at the JGI IMG platform (#503736). Other data supporting the findings of this study are available at the Harvard Forest Data Archive, consistent with the NSF LTER and Harvard Forest data policies [92].

Author contributions

XJAL and KMD conceived and designed the experiment; XJAL performed the research; XJAL analyzed the data; XJAL wrote the manuscript, with assistance from coauthors on the revision drafts and the final version of the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at.

Correspondence and requests should be addressed to Xiao Jun A. Liu (xj.allen.liu@gmail.com) or Kristen M. DeAngelis (deangelis@microbio.umass.edu).

References

1. Hicks Pries CE, Castanha C, Porras RC, Torn MS. The whole-soil carbon flux in response to warming. *Science* 2017; **355**: 1420–1423.

2. Melillo JM, Frey SD, DeAngelis KM, Werner WJ, Bernard MJ, Bowles FP, et al. Long-term pattern and magnitude of soil carbon feedback to the climate system in a warming world. *Science* 2017; **358**: 101–105.
3. You M-Y, Liu XJA, Li L-J. Editorial: climate change and anthropogenic impacts on soil organic matter. *Front Environ Sci* 2021; **9**: 811735.
4. Tao X, Yang Z, Feng J, Jian S, Yang Y, Bates CT, et al. Experimental warming accelerates positive soil priming in a temperate grassland ecosystem. *Nat Commun* 2024; **15**: 1178.
5. Mackelprang R, Waldrop MP, DeAngelis KM, David MM, Chavarria KL, Blazewicz SJ, et al. Metagenomic analysis of a permafrost microbial community reveals a rapid response to thaw. *Nature* 2011; **480**: 368–371.
6. Pold G, Melillo JM, DeAngelis KM. Two decades of warming increases diversity of a potentially lignolytic bacterial community. *Front Microbiol* 2015; **6**: 00480.
7. Xue K, M. Yuan M, J. Shi Z, Qin Y, Deng Y, Cheng L, et al. Tundra soil carbon is vulnerable to rapid microbial decomposition under climate warming. *Nat Clim Change* 2016; **6**: 595–600.
8. Cheng L, Zhang N, Yuan M, Xiao J, Qin Y, Deng Y, et al. Warming enhances old organic carbon decomposition through altering functional microbial communities. *ISME J* 2017; **11**: 1825–1835.
9. Anthony MA, Knorr M, Moore JAM, Simpson M, Frey SD. Fungal community and functional responses to soil warming are greater than for soil nitrogen enrichment. *Elem Sci Anthr* 2021; **9**: 000059.
10. Zhou J, Xue K, Xie J, Deng Y, Wu L, Cheng X, et al. Microbial mediation of carbon-cycle feedbacks to climate warming. *Nat Clim Change* 2012; **2**: 106–110.

- 431 11. Luo C, Rodriguez-R LM, Johnston ER, Wu L, Cheng L, Xue K, et al. Soil microbial
432 community responses to a decade of warming as revealed by comparative metagenomics.
433 *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2014; **80**: 1777–1786.
- 434 12. Johnston ER, Hatt JK, He Z, Wu L, Guo X, Luo Y, et al. Responses of tundra soil microbial
435 communities to half a decade of experimental warming at two critical depths. *Proc Natl*
436 *Acad Sci* 2019; **116**: 15096–15105.
- 437 13. Castro HF, Classen AT, Austin EE, Norby RJ, Schadt CW. Soil microbial community
438 responses to multiple experimental climate change drivers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010;
439 **76**: 999–1007.
- 440 14. DeAngelis KM, Pold G, Topcuoglu BD, van Diepen LTA, Varney RM, Blanchard JL, et al.
441 Long-term forest soil warming alters microbial communities in temperate forest soils. *Front*
442 *Microbiol* 2015; **6**: 00104.
- 443 15. Kuffner M, Hai B, Rattei T, Melodelima C, Schlöter M, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, et al.
444 Effects of season and experimental warming on the bacterial community in a temperate
445 mountain forest soil assessed by 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*
446 2012; **82**: 551–562.
- 447 16. Sheik CS, Beasley WH, Elshahed MS, Zhou X, Luo Y, Krumholz LR. Effect of warming
448 and drought on grassland microbial communities. *ISME J* 2011; **5**: 1692–1700.
- 449 17. Yanni SF, Helgason BL, Janzen HH, Ellert BH, Gregorich EG. Warming effects on carbon
450 dynamics and microbial communities in soils of diverse texture. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2020;
451 **140**: 107631.

18. Conant RT, Ryan MG, Ågren GI, Birge HE, Davidson EA, Eliasson PE, et al. Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates – synthesis of current knowledge and a way forward. *Glob Change Biol* 2011; **17**: 3392–3404.
19. Waring BG, Sulman BN, Reed S, Smith AP, Averill C, Creamer CA, et al. From pools to flow: The PROMISE framework for new insights on soil carbon cycling in a changing world. *Glob Change Biol* 2020; **26**: 6631–6643.
20. Liu XJA, Frey SD, Melillo JM, DeAngelis KM. Physical protection regulates microbial thermal responses to chronic soil warming. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2021; **159**: 108298.
21. Liu XJA, Pold G, Domeignoz-Horta LA, Geyer KM, Caris H, Nicolson H, et al. Soil aggregate-mediated microbial responses to long-term warming. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2021; **152**: 108055.
22. Biesgen D, Frindte K, Maarastawi S, Knief C. Clay content modulates differences in bacterial community structure in soil aggregates of different size. *Geoderma* 2020; **376**: 114544.
23. Bailey VL, Fansler SJ, Stegen JC, McCue LA. Linking microbial community structure to β -glucosidic function in soil aggregates. *ISME J* 2013; **7**: 2044–2053.
24. Goldfarb K, Karaoz U, Hanson C, Santee C, Bradford M, Treseder K, et al. Differential growth responses of soil bacterial taxa to carbon substrates of varying chemical recalcitrance. *Front Microbiol* 2011; **2**: 00094.
25. Janzen HH. Soil carbon: A measure of ecosystem response in a changing world? *Can J Soil Sci* 2005; **85**: 467–480.
26. Jastrow JD, Amonette JE, Bailey VL. Mechanisms controlling soil carbon turnover and their potential application for enhancing carbon sequestration. *Clim Change* 2007; **80**: 5–23.

- 475 27. Kleber M. What is recalcitrant soil organic matter? *Environ Chem* 2010; **7**: 320–332.
- 476 28. Stone BW, Blazewicz SJ, Koch BJ, Dijkstra P, Hayer M, Hofmockel KS, et al. Nutrients
477 strengthen density dependence of per-capita growth and mortality rates in the soil bacterial
478 community. *Oecologia* 2023; **201**: 771–782.
- 479 29. Bailey VL, McCue LA, Fansler SJ, Boyanov MI, DeCarlo F, Kemner KM, et al.
480 Micrometer-scale physical structure and microbial composition of soil macroaggregates.
481 *Soil Biol Biochem* 2013; **65**: 60–68.
- 482 30. Bach EM, Williams RJ, Hargreaves SK, Yang F, Hofmockel KS. Greatest soil microbial
483 diversity found in micro-habitats. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2018; **118**: 217–226.
- 484 31. Fox A, Ikoyi I, Torres-Sallan G, Lanigan G, Schmalenberger A, Wakelin S, et al. The
485 influence of aggregate size fraction and horizon position on microbial community
486 composition. *Appl Soil Ecol* 2018; **127**: 19–29.
- 487 32. Murugan R, Djukic I, Keiblinger K, Zehetner F, Bierbaumer M, Zechmeister-Bolternstern S,
488 et al. Spatial distribution of microbial biomass and residues across soil aggregate fractions
489 at different elevations in the Central Austrian Alps. *Geoderma* 2019; **339**: 1–8.
- 490 33. Smith AP, Marín-Spiotta E, de Graaff MA, Balser TC. Microbial community structure varies
491 across soil organic matter aggregate pools during tropical land cover change. *Soil Biol*
492 *Biochem* 2014; **77**: 292–303.
- 493 34. Zhang B, Chen S, He X, Liu W, Zhao Q, Zhao L, et al. Responses of soil microbial
494 communities to experimental warming in alpine grasslands on the Qinghai-Tibet plateau.
495 *Plos One* 2014; **9**: e103859.

35. Zheng W, Zhao Z, Gong Q, Zhai B, Li Z. Responses of fungal–bacterial community and network to organic inputs vary among different spatial habitats in soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2018; **125**: 54–63.
36. Bossuyt H, Denef K, Six J, Frey SD, Merckx R, Paustian K. Influence of microbial populations and residue quality on aggregate stability. *Appl Soil Ecol* 2001; **16**: 195–208.
37. Helfrich M, Ludwig B, Thoms C, Gleixner G, Flessa H. The role of soil fungi and bacteria in plant litter decomposition and macroaggregate formation determined using phospholipid fatty acids. *Appl Soil Ecol* 2015; **96**: 261–264.
38. Morris EK, Morris DJP, Vogt S, Gleber S-C, Bigalke M, Wilcke W, et al. Visualizing the dynamics of soil aggregation as affected by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *ISME J* 2019; **13**: 1639–1646.
39. Rahman MT, Zhu QH, Zhang ZB, Zhou H, Peng X. The roles of organic amendments and microbial community in the improvement of soil structure of a Vertisol. *Appl Soil Ecol* 2017; **111**: 84–93.
40. Wang X, Zhang W, Shao Y, Zhao J, Zhou L, Zou X, et al. Fungi to bacteria ratio: Historical misinterpretations and potential implications. *Acta Oecologica* 2019; **95**: 1–11.
41. Cordero OX, Datta MS. Microbial interactions and community assembly at microscale. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2016; **31**: 227–234.
42. Schimel J, Schaeffer SM. Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. *Front Microbiol* 2012; **3**: 00348.
43. Peterjohn WT, Melillo JM, Steudler PA, Newkirk KM, Bowles FP, Aber JD. Responses of trace gas fluxes and N availability to experimentally elevated soil temperatures. *Ecol Appl* 1994; **4**: 617–625.

44. Bach EM, Hofmockel KS. Soil aggregate isolation method affects measures of intra-aggregate extracellular enzyme activity. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2014; **69**: 54–62.
45. Chen I-MA, Chu K, Palaniappan K, Pillay M, Ratner A, Huang J, et al. IMG/M v.5.0: an integrated data management and comparative analysis system for microbial genomes and microbiomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; **47**: D666–D677.
46. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 2012; **19**: 455–477.
47. Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res* 2017; **27**: 824–834.
48. Marchler-Bauer A, Anderson JB, Derbyshire MK, DeWeese-Scott C, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, et al. CDD: a conserved domain database for interactive domain family analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007; **35**: D237–D240.
49. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016; **44**: D457–D462.
50. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* 2010; **26**: 2460–2461.
51. Pold G, Billings AF, Blanchard JL, Burkhardt DB, Frey SD, Melillo JM, et al. Long-term warming alters carbohydrate degradation potential in temperate forest soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016; **82**: 6518–6530.
52. Cardenas E, Kranabetter JM, Hope G, Maas KR, Hallam S, Mohn WW. Forest harvesting reduces the soil metagenomic potential for biomass decomposition. *ISME J* 2015; **9**: 2465–2476.

53. Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014; **42**: D490–D495.
54. Bahram M, Hildebrand F, Forslund SK, Anderson JL, Soudzilovskaia NA, Bodegom PM, et al. Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. *Nature* 2018; **560**: 233–237.
55. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2020. Vienna, Austria.
56. Guo X, Gao Q, Yuan M, Wang G, Zhou X, Feng J, et al. Gene-informed decomposition model predicts lower soil carbon loss due to persistent microbial adaptation to warming. *Nat Commun* 2020; **11**: 4897.
57. Roy Chowdhury P, Golas SM, Alteio LV, Stevens JTE, Billings AF, Blanchard JL, et al. The transcriptional response of soil bacteria to long-term warming and short-term seasonal fluctuations in a terrestrial forest. *Front Microbiol* 2021; **12**: 2310.
58. Woodcroft BJ, Singleton CM, Boyd JA, Evans PN, Emerson JB, Zayed AAF, et al. Genome-centric view of carbon processing in thawing permafrost. *Nature* 2018; **560**: 49–54.
59. Jansson JK, Hofmockel KS. Soil microbiomes and climate change. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2019; **18**: 35–46.
60. Schimel J, Balser TC, Wallenstein M. Microbial stress-response physiology and its implications for ecosystem function. *Ecology* 2007; **88**: 1386–1394.
61. Stone BWG, Dijkstra P, Finley BK, Fitzpatrick R, Foley MM, Hayer M, et al. Life history strategies among soil bacteria—dichotomy for few, continuum for many. *ISME J* 2023; **17**: 611–619.

62. Berg MP, Kiers ET, Driessen G, Van Der Heiden M, Kooi BW, Kuenen F, et al. Adapt or
disperse: understanding species persistence in a changing world. *Glob Change Biol* 2010;
16: 587–598.
63. Roncarati D, Scarlato V. Regulation of heat-shock genes in bacteria: from signal sensing to
gene expression output. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2017; **41**: 549–574.
64. Ribeiro RA, Bourbon-Melo N, Sá-Correia I. The cell wall and the response and tolerance to
stresses of biotechnological relevance in yeasts. *Front Microbiol* 2022; **13**: 953479.
65. Schumann W. Regulation of bacterial heat shock stimulons. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 2016;
21: 959–968.
66. Domeignoz-Horta LA, Pold G, Erb H, Sebag D, Verrecchia E, Northen T, et al. Substrate
availability and not thermal acclimation controls microbial temperature sensitivity response
to long-term warming. *Glob Change Biol* 2023; **29**: 1574–1590.
67. Pold G, Grandy AS, Melillo JM, DeAngelis KM. Changes in substrate availability drive
carbon cycle response to chronic warming. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2017; **110**: 68–78.
68. Dove NC, Torn MS, Hart SC, Taş N. Metabolic capabilities mute positive response to direct
and indirect impacts of warming throughout the soil profile. *Nat Commun* 2021; **12**: 2089.
69. Mitchell MF, MacLean MG, DeAngelis KM. Microbial necromass response to soil warming:
A meta-analysis. *Front Soil Sci* 2022; **2**: 987178.
70. Butler SM, Melillo JM, Johnson JE, Mohan J, Steudler PA, Lux H, et al. Soil warming alters
nitrogen cycling in a New England forest: implications for ecosystem function and
structure. *Oecologia* 2012; **168**: 819–828.

71. Koranda M, Kaiser C, Fuchslueger L, Kitzler B, Sessitsch A, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, et al. Seasonal variation in functional properties of microbial communities in beech forest soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2013; **60**: 95–104.
72. Wu L, Zhang Y, Guo X, Ning D, Zhou X, Feng J, et al. Reduction of microbial diversity in grassland soil is driven by long-term climate warming. *Nat Microbiol* 2022; **7**: 1054–1062.
73. Zhou Y, Sun B, Xie B, Feng K, Zhang Z, Zhang Z, et al. Warming reshaped the microbial hierarchical interactions. *Glob Change Biol* 2021; **27**: 6331–6347.
74. Yuan A, Kumar SD, Wang H, Wang S, Impa S, Wang H, et al. Dynamic interplay among soil nutrients, rhizosphere metabolites, and microbes shape drought and heat stress responses in summer maize. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2024; **191**: 109357.
75. Oliverio AM, Bradford MA, Fierer N. Identifying the microbial taxa that consistently respond to soil warming across time and space. *Glob Change Biol* 2017; **23**: 2117–2129.
76. Li J, Mau RL, Dijkstra P, Koch BJ, Schwartz E, Liu XJA, et al. Predictive genomic traits for bacterial growth in culture versus actual growth in soil. *ISME J* 2019; **13**: 2162–2172.
77. Stone BW, Li J, Koch BJ, Blazewicz SJ, Dijkstra P, Hayer M, et al. Nutrients cause consolidation of soil carbon flux to small proportion of bacterial community. *Nat Commun* 2021; **12**: 3381.
78. Fierer N, Lauber CL, Ramirez KS, Zaneveld J, Bradford M A, Knight R. Comparative metagenomic, phylogenetic and physiological analyses of soil microbial communities across nitrogen gradients. *ISME J* 2012; **6**: 1007–17.
79. Guo X, Feng J, Shi Z, Zhou X, Yuan M, Tao X, et al. Climate warming leads to divergent succession of grassland microbial communities. *Nat Clim Change* 2018; **8**: 813–818.

606 80. Waldrop MP, Firestone MK. Response of microbial community composition and function to
607 soil climate change. *Microb Ecol* 2006; **52**: 716–724.

608 81. O’Brien SL, Jastrow JD. Physical and chemical protection in hierarchical soil aggregates
609 regulates soil carbon and nitrogen recovery in restored perennial grasslands. *Soil Biol*
610 *Biochem* 2013; **61**: 1–13.

611 82. Daniel R. The metagenomics of soil. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2005; **3**: 470–478.

612 83. Allison SD, Wallenstein MD, Bradford MA. Soil-carbon response to warming dependent on
613 microbial physiology. *Nat Geosci* 2010; **3**: 336–340.

614 84. Strickland MS, Rousk J. Considering fungal:bacterial dominance in soils – Methods,
615 controls, and ecosystem implications. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2010; **42**: 1385–1395.

616 85. Six J, Bossuyt H, Degryze S, Denef K. A history of research on the link between
617 (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil Tillage Res* 2004; **79**:
618 7–31.

619 86. Hartmann M, Niklaus PA, Zimmermann S, Schmutz S, Kremer J, Abarenkov K, et al.
620 Resistance and resilience of the forest soil microbiome to logging-associated compaction.
621 *ISME J* 2014; **8**: 226–244.

622 87. Fierer N, Bradford MA, Jackson RB. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
623 *Ecology* 2007; **88**: 1354–1364.

624 88. Klappenbach JA, Dunbar JM, Schmidt TM. rRNA operon copy number reflects ecological
625 strategies of bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2000; **66**: 1328–1333.

626 89. Philippot L, Andersson SGE, Battin TJ, Prosser JI, Schimel JP, Whitman WB, et al. The
627 ecological coherence of high bacterial taxonomic ranks. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010; **8**: 523–
628 529.

- 629 90. Banerjee S, Kirkby CA, Schmutter D, Bissett A, Kirkegaard JA, Richardson AE. Network
630 analysis reveals functional redundancy and keystone taxa amongst bacterial and fungal
631 communities during organic matter decomposition in an arable soil. *Soil Biol Biochem*
632 2016; **97**: 188–198.
- 633 91. Poll C, Marhan S, Back F, Niklaus PA, Kandeler E. Field-scale manipulation of soil
634 temperature and precipitation change soil CO₂ flux in a temperate agricultural ecosystem.
635 *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 2013; **165**: 88–97.
- 636 92. Liu XJA, DeAngelis KM. Effects of soil warming and substrate complexity on microbial
637 carbon use efficiency at Harvard Forest. Harvard Forest Data Archive: HF372 (v.1).
638 Environmental Data Initiative. 2021.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Changes of microbial functional genes associated with metabolism and cellular processes in different aggregates under long-term warming. MA and MI are macroaggregates and microaggregates (250-2000; <250 μm). Black symbols indicate significant effect sizes of warming ((heated-control)/control), while red symbols indicate significant differences between MA and MI (#, *, **, *** at $P < 0.10$, 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001).

Figure 2. Changes of microbial functional genes in different aggregates under long-term warming. MA and MI are macroaggregates and microaggregates (250-2000; <250 μm). Black symbols indicate significant effect sizes of warming ((heated-control)/control), while red symbols indicate significant differences between MA and MI (#, *, **, *** at $P < 0.10$, 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001).

Figure 3. Changes of microbial functional genes associated with carbon degradation in different aggregates under long-term warming. MA and MI are macroaggregates and microaggregates (250-2000; <250 μm). Black symbols indicate significant effect sizes of warming ((heated-control)/control $\times 100\%$) (*, **, *** at $P < 0.05$, 0.01, and 0.001). Different uppercase and lowercase letters indicate differences within macroaggregates or microaggregates.

Figure 4. Changes of bacterial relative abundances in different aggregates over long-term warming. MA and MI are macroaggregates and microaggregates (250-2000; <250 μm). Black symbols indicate significant effect sizes of warming ((heated-control)/control $\times 100\%$), while red

symbols indicate significant differences between MA and MI (#, *, **, *** at $P < 0.10$, 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001).

Figure 5. Microbial diversity as affected by aggregate size in response to long-term warming (different letters indicate differences between macroaggregates and microaggregates in control (blue) or heated plots (red). P values for diversity were obtained from two-way ANOVA.

Figure 6. Community composition of different microbial groups as affected by aggregate size in response to long-term warming. P values for community composition were obtained from Permanova (adonis) test. Dispersion test (betadisper) showed no significant treatment effects.