

Turrietta, E. and Reidenbach M.A., 2024, Edge effects of a fragmented seagrass habitat on flow, bivalve recruitment, and sediment dynamics, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 732, 52-71  
<http://doi.org/10.3354/meps14545>

# Edge effects of a fragmented seagrass habitat on flow, bivalve recruitment, and sediment dynamics

Elise Turrietta<sup>1</sup> and Matthew A. Reidenbach<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, USA

\*Corresponding Author: Matthew Reidenbach (mar5jj@virginia.edu)

**Running page head:** Fragmented Seagrass Hydrodynamics

## Abstract

In both continuous and fragmented seagrass ecosystems, the vegetation edge can be a location of abrupt hydrodynamic change, with impacts to both ecological and physical processes. We address how flow and wave activity change across seagrass meadow edges and the effects of vegetation on sediment dynamics and bivalve recruitment. Two *Zostera marina* seagrass meadow sites were monitored, a high-density site with  $> 500$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$  and a low-density site with  $< 250$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ . Mean flow velocities were significantly reduced in seagrass vegetation adjacent to edges, with reductions compared to unvegetated areas ranging from 30% to 75%. Recruitment of juvenile bivalves was significantly elevated within vegetation. No significant differences in wave activity or sediment suspension/deposition were found spatially across a 10 m distance from a seagrass edge, but significant temporal variability was observed caused by periodic storms. Wave height was a major predictor for sediment movement along seagrass edges, with an observed tenfold increase in sediment collection within benthic traps following severe storms. These results were found across various heterogeneous edge configurations and reveal abrupt hydrodynamic responses of both mean flow and turbulence to occur at short spatial scales (1 to 10 m), with changes to wave and sediment deposition/suspension conditions only occurring over larger spatial distances ( $\sim 100$  m). Changes to the hydrodynamic regime were therefore found to be driven on daily timescales by meteorological conditions (e. g., winds, storms) and on longer temporal and/or spatial timescales by changes in seagrass shoot density, altering both bivalve recruitment and sediment dynamics.

**Keywords:** Seagrass, hydrodynamics, bivalve larvae, sediment resuspension, fragmentation, recruitment

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Seagrass meadows provide an extensive range of ecosystem services, including coastal protection, faunal habitats, carbon sequestration, and enhanced water quality (Aoki et al. 2020, Oreska et al. 2020). However, as human development and use of coastal landscapes increase along with a warming climate (Allen et al. 2021), seagrass ecosystems have been degraded globally (Dunic et al. 2021). This degradation has spurred restoration efforts and has simultaneously generated questions regarding how alterations of these vegetated environments lead to ecological and physical consequences and state changes (McGlathery et al. 2013). Seagrasses also have extensive influence on hydrodynamic patterns by inducing drag on the surrounding flow. This leads to velocity gradients and the formation of boundary layers at several spatial scales, from turbulence generation around individual blades to reduced flow across an entire meadow (Koch et al. 2006, Nepf 2012, Reidenbach & Thomas 2018).

### 1.1 Flow conditions in seagrass

Within the canopy, Hansen & Reidenbach (2012) found seagrass presence to reduce near-bottom mean velocities by up to 90% compared to unvegetated regions. The induced drag also alters velocity profiles, as a shear layer forms at the interface of the canopy and overlying water (Gambi et al. 1990), creating regions of increased velocity above the top of the canopy and reduced flow below it (Ghisalberti & Nepf 2002). At high seagrass densities, ‘skimming flow’ can occur, where increased velocity above the canopy arises as the amount of bulk water flow through the canopy is substantially reduced (Koch & Gust 1999, Hansen & Reidenbach 2017). Seagrass density and patch morphology also influence turbulence regimes, with high blade

densities ( $> 500$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ) resulting in a stronger turbulent shear layer above the canopy and reduced mixing below it (Nepf & Vivoni 2000, Hansen & Reidenbach 2012). However, at low densities ( $< 200$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ), flow can penetrate within the canopy and increase turbulence caused by stem-wake interactions (Fonseca & Koehl 2006, Hansen & Reidenbach 2013).

It has been well established that seagrass presence also results in wave attenuation (Twomey et al. 2020). However, the degree of attenuation depends on whether the prevailing flow conditions are driven by winds or tidally-driven currents (Zhu et al. 2021) and the characteristics of the waves influence the extent of seagrass response (Bradley & Houser 2009). Results from both modeling and experimental studies have shown that higher seagrass density and biomass leads to greater attenuation in wave height, but depends upon the spatial extent of the meadow (Chen et al. 2007, Bradley & Houser 2009), with Reidenbach & Thomas (2018) showing attenuation of wave heights across hundreds of meters of meadow. At the smaller patch scale, El Allaoui et al. (2016) found that more fragmented canopies resulted in less attenuation of waves and increased mean flow velocities, and that fragmented seagrass environments are less efficient at providing a sheltering habitat against high flow conditions. Theoretical, laboratory and field studies have also shown that substantial reductions of in-canopy velocities are expected for mean flows or for low frequency waves when the orbital excursions are larger than the canopy drag length scale, which is a function of seagrass blade geometry and spacing (Lowe et al. 2005; 2007, Luhar et al. 2010). However, little is known about how changes to flow conditions along a fragmented seagrass landscape occur that might impact sediment and larval settlement dynamics on both short term (wave-driven storm conditions) and longer term (spring-neap tidal cycles) hydrodynamic forcings.

## 1.2 Hydrodynamic impacts on sediment suspension and deposition

Generally, oscillatory motions caused by waves penetrate deeper into the seagrass canopy than tidally driven flow, and can interact with the seafloor to create a velocity gradient at the sediment-water interface (Koch & Gust 1999, Hansen & Reidenbach 2012). The presence of waves, when combined with current flow, results in a separate, combined wave-current boundary layer (Grant & Madsen 1979) which enhances bottom shear stresses that can exceed the critical stress threshold necessary for sediment resuspension (Reidenbach & Timmerman 2019). The strength of the bed shear formed at the sediment-water interface often determines local suspended sediment concentrations (SSCs) (Lawson et al. 2007), while tidal currents largely control the net sediment transport through the system at large (Jing & Ridd 1996). The presence of seagrass alters the magnitude of these flow-sediment interactions (Donatelli et al. 2018, Zhu et al. 2022). Hansen & Reidenbach (2012) found that in a combined wave-current flow, bed shear stress in bare areas often exceeded the critical stress threshold to initiate sediment movement, but at vegetated sites the bed shear stress was lower than this critical value 80% of the time. The reduction in sediment resuspension within seagrass ecosystems can be attributed to the reduction of in canopy flow velocity as well sediment stabilization by the seagrass roots (Gacia & Duarte 2001, Nardin et al. 2018).

Because of this limited resuspension, seagrass meadows are often considered depositional environments for sediment which may create a positive feedback loop where more light availability encourages more seagrass growth, further reducing SSCs (Adams et al. 2016). Recent work by Zhu et al. (2021) modeled the effects of flow-wave-vegetation interaction at a meadow scale and concluded that vegetation density mediated the response of SSCs and sediment transport. Seasonally, higher-density vegetation in the summer ( $> 200$  shoots/m<sup>2</sup>)

significantly attenuated flow, waves, and SSCs, but lower-density vegetation ( $< 160$  shoots/m<sup>2</sup>) in the winter resulted in much smaller SSC reductions. Under similar conditions, meadow edges were the most sensitive to changes in erosional or depositional conditions and controlled the amount of suspended sediment advected throughout the system at large (Zhu et al. 2022).

### 1.3 Bivalve settlement, recruitment, and abundance

In addition to their pronounced effect on flow regimes, seagrass presence has been linked to increased species richness, diversity, density, and abundance of associated macrofauna (Orth et al. 1984, Bologna & Heck 2002). Studies have shown significant positive correlations between bivalve abundance and seagrass density and biomass (Peterson et al. 1984, Glaspie & Seitz 2017). Based on these results, there has been effort to show that the positive relationship between seagrasses and bivalves depends on the surrounding hydrodynamic conditions that alter dispersal and settlement patterns (Eckman 1983, Irlandi 1997). In addition to actively swimming larvae (Koehl & Reidenbach 2007), many benthic invertebrates have planktonic larvae that passively settle in turbulent flow environments (Butman 1989, Koehl & Hadfield 2010). Studies have shown that seagrasses may trap these passive larvae just as they trap sediment and that bivalve settlement patterns may be associated with seagrass presence and canopy structure which alters flow (Eckman 1983, Bologna & Heck 2002).

Bay scallops (*Argopecten irradians*) for example, have an extremely close association to seagrass beds by nature of their settlement method (Carroll et al. 2012). Eckman (1987) studied the influence of hydrodynamic forces on recruitment, growth, and survival of bay scallops, concluding that the altered hydrodynamics of eelgrass (*Z. marina*) beds significantly affected

larval recruitment to a higher degree than predation or inter-blade abrasion. Hydrodynamics also influence the settlement and recruitment of hard clams (*Mercenaria mercenaria*) with increased clam population density and individual growth rates linked to seagrass presence (Peterson et al. 1984). The seagrass-induced impact of local hydrodynamics on passively settling larvae explained differences in clam densities between bare and vegetated sites, even when accounting for altered post-settlement survival.

Based on these and other studies, landscape ecology has become an increasingly important approach in examining the effects of seagrass on bivalve distribution. Differences in the spatial patterning of a seagrass meadow (in terms of percent cover) can influence the distribution and abundance of faunal inhabitants and alter trophic interactions (McCloskey & Unsworth 2015). The influence of fragmented seagrass habitats on its associated fauna is extremely variable but not always negative as traditionally thought (Carroll et al. 2012), and previous work suggests that fauna along seagrass edges may experience tradeoffs to balance counteracting influences of both enhanced settlement and predation (Bologna & Heck 2002). This may be due to a “settlement shadow” in which, due to the decrease in current speed through a seagrass canopy, particle settlement should be greatest at a canopy edge and decrease into the meadow. This trend was experimentally observed in bivalve larvae by Bologna & Heck (2000) who found significantly greater larval densities at seagrass patch edges.

#### 1.4 Seagrass fragmentation and landscape dynamics

Anthropogenic influence from coastal development and a simultaneously warming climate have accelerated the rate of seagrass ecosystem loss (Dunic et al. 2021). This degradation

drives not only ecosystem loss, but also fragmentation, leading to a more discontinuous habitat (Yarnall et al. 2022). While the natural edges of seagrass meadows have previously been viewed as a ‘presence vs absence’ dichotomy of vegetation, the increased prevalence of edges across fragmented seagrass ecosystems can impact hydrodynamics and sediment movement on both small and large spatial scales (Colomer et al. 2017, Zhu et al. 2022). However, research addressing varied seagrass landscape structure at a range of scales has yielded inconsistent results regarding the relationships between flow regime, sediment transport, and faunal distribution. There remain unanswered questions into how these dynamics persist over a variety of edge settings including that of a homogeneous meadow, or those which characterize fragmented or heterogeneous landscapes. This research therefore addresses the following questions: (1) How do hydrodynamic conditions and wave activity change across edges of seagrass vegetation at the meadow scale and in fragmented landscapes? (2) How do these altered flow conditions influence sediment deposition and transport? (3) Does bivalve settlement and recruitment vary in response to these flow changes?

## 2. MATERIALS & METHODS

### 2.1 Study Site

Field studies were conducted in South Bay, a coastal lagoon set behind barrier islands bordering the east side of the Delmarva Peninsula, Virginia, USA (Figure 1). This shallow bay is part of the National Science Foundation’s Virginia Coast Reserve Long Term Ecological Research (VCR LTER) site that consists of several coastal bays and their interconnected salt marshes, ocean inlets, and barrier islands. South Bay has an approximate area of 31.5 km<sup>2</sup> with an average depth of roughly 1.0 m and a tidal range between 0.5 and 1.5 m (Reidenbach &



Thomas 2018). Due to the shallow depth, low freshwater inputs, and narrow ocean channels into South Bay, turbidity is primarily caused by sediment resuspension induced by wind-driven waves and transport driven by tidal currents (Lawson et al. 2007, Fagherazzi & Wiberg 2009). High concentrations of resuspended sediment may limit light penetration through the water column with resulting decreases in primary productivity (McGlathery et al. 2001).

The shallow coastal lagoons of the Delmarva Peninsula were once dominated by *Zostera marina* eelgrass. A combination of disease and extreme weather in the early 1930s led to local elimination of *Zostera marina*. However, when natural occurrences of *Z. marina* were discovered in the 1990s, systematic restoration efforts began in several coastal bays including South Bay and this work has been largely successful with continued meadow reseeding and growth (Oreska et al. 2021). Seeds were initially broadcasted in South Bay in 0.4 ha plots, beginning in 2001, and over subsequent years coalesced into a continuous meadow of 7 km<sup>2</sup> as of 2015. However, a large seagrass-die off occurred in 2015, linked to a marine heat wave (Aoki et al. 2021), which has altered the spatial distribution of the meadow and impacted seagrass restoration success. Seagrass recovery has subsequently occurred in South Bay, and meadow expansion continues to change the fluid dynamics within this system (Reidenbach & Thomas 2018). Seagrasses within the system typically reach a maximum shoot density of >500 shoots m<sup>-2</sup> in early summer and a minimum of 50-100 m<sup>-2</sup> during winter senescence (Berger et al. 2020).

In 2021 a study area Site 1 was selected on the northern edge of the South Bay eelgrass meadow (Figure 1B). Three replicate transects were delineated along a 130° trajectory roughly perpendicular to the dominant north-south flow direction of South Bay. Each transect had four sampling locations (A-D) for sediment, bivalve and hydrodynamic conditions with (A) in naturally unvegetated seafloor, (B) 5 m from the vegetation edge within meadow, and (D) 25 m

from the vegetation edge within meadow. All sampling locations labeled (C) were located within a patch of bare seafloor where seagrass was manually removed from a circular area 3 m diameter and located 15 m from the seagrass edge (Figure 1B). This sampling location C was used to simulate fragmentation and address how bare patches and edges of discontinuous seagrass cover contribute to alterations of local flow conditions and sediment dynamics. Site 1 was characterized as having a dense seagrass cover which ranged from 480 to 680 shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$  during sampling, with an average of  $555 \pm 75$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ .

In 2022 a second study area, Site 2, was chosen to conduct additional sampling at a region within the canopy considered to have low seagrass density, ranging between 150 and 245 shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$  during time periods of sampling, with an average of  $200 \pm 50$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ . On average, blade length was  $48 \pm 8$  cm and blade width was 0.35 cm with submerged canopy height approximately 30 cm. The canopy was consistently subtidal with average water depth across sites and sampling periods ranging between 1.04 and 1.95 m and low tide depths approximately 0.5 m. Site 2 was located approximately 100 m to the northeast of Site 1 and similarly positioned along the northern edge of the South Bay seagrass meadow (Figure 1). One transect was delineated at Site 2 with three designated sampling locations (A, B, and E). Location A was in naturally bare seafloor 5 m from the edge, location B was within the seagrass meadow 5 m from the edge, similar to Site 1. A third location E was located 100 m into the seagrass canopy. This transect ran roughly perpendicular to the meadow's edge in this location (along a  $150^\circ$  trajectory) and along South Bay's dominant flow direction. No bare patches were created at Site 2, but repeated sampling was performed at Site 1 in 2022 at all four locations.

## 2.2 Hydrodynamic Instrumentation

To assess differences in wave characteristics across the meadow's edge, wave gauges (Richard Branker Research RBRduo<sup>3</sup>) were concurrently deployed during multiple two to three week deployments, for nearly continuous recordings from May through August 2021 at Site 1. These instruments were fastened to weighted metal frames 10 m apart, one positioned in the naturally bare seafloor at location A and one in full vegetation at location B. To maximize data quality with battery and instrument memory limitations, instruments were programmed to record wave height measurements every 10 minutes at 4 Hz for bursts of 1024 samples (i.e., 256 seconds of data) which were averaged to produce a mean value every 10 minutes. Measurements include water depth, tidal slope, and significant wave height. These instruments were again deployed in summer 2022 at site 2 in locations A and B.

Two high resolution Nortek Aquadopp acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs) were used to quantify flow conditions in 2021 at Site 1 in bare and vegetated sampling locations (A and D), and in 2022 at Site 2 (locations A and E). Instruments were positioned on the seafloor at  $z = 5$  cm in an upward looking orientation and attached to the same frames as the RBRduo<sup>3</sup> instruments. The ADCPs were programmed to collect velocity data every 10 minutes at 2 Hz for a burst of 60 samples (i.e., 30 seconds of data) in 0.03 m bins starting roughly 0.1 m above the seafloor from May through August 2021 and 2022. An approximately 30 cm diameter section of seagrass was removed surrounding the Aquadopp sensors to prevent interference with flow measurements. Using the internal instrument compass and tilt sensors, velocity measurements were recorded in the east-north-up (ENU) coordinate system to produce both directional and horizontally averaged velocity profiles in 0.03 m bin elevations from  $z = 0.1$  m to roughly  $z = 1.5$  m (upper boundary due to instrument limit). Due to signal interactions with the water surface, velocities within 0.2 m of the water surface were not recorded. To determine the impacts of

seagrass on mean flow structure, velocities at the top of the canopy ( $z=0.3$  m) were compared to velocities above the canopy ( $z=0.6$  m) as well as comparison at these two elevations from within and outside the canopy.

A Nortek Vectrino II acoustic Doppler velocimeter was deployed along two transects at Site 1 to quantify changes in flow and turbulence conditions across various edges of vegetation. The longer edge transect covered 10 meters, beginning 5 m away from the seagrass edge over a naturally bare seafloor, with the edge of vegetation as the transect midpoint, and continuing 5 more meters into full vegetation past the edge. Velocity data was collected at 10 sampling locations in 1m increments along the transect, which was located immediately west of the manmade bare patches. The shorter patch transect spanned 3 meters beginning in the center of the manmade bare patch (C), crossing the edge of vegetation, and continuing 1.5 meters into the seagrass. Data was collected at 7 sampling locations in 0.5-meter increments along this transect. These distances were chosen to best quantify flow modification by the seagrass edge and patch over a time period where tidal and wave conditions wouldn't change appreciably from start to finish of a transect. At each transect sampling location, the Vectrino was attached to an immobile frame in a downward facing orientation to record velocity data at an elevation of  $z = 0.1$  m above the seafloor at 25 Hz for 5 minutes, resulting in 7500 samples at each location on the transects. If necessary, a small 10 cm diameter circular area of seagrass was removed to prevent interference with the Vectrino flow measurements. Four Vectrino transect deployments occurred with water velocities and  $H_s$  for each deployment shown in Table 1.

In summer 2022 two Nortek Vector acoustic Doppler instruments were deployed at Site 2, located 10 m apart, each at 5 m from the natural edge of seagrass vegetation at locations A and B. This distance was chosen to mimic the overall transect distance of the Vectrino data at Site 1.

The Vectors were placed downward facing on frames to collect data at  $z=0.1$  m. The instruments recorded data for 72 hours per deployment, recording data every 20 minutes for a burst of 10-minute duration at a sampling rate of 32 Hz.

Meteorological data were obtained from a nearby NOAA Station (WAHV2 – 8631044) in Wachapreague, VA where wind speed (m/s) and direction were measured every six minutes.

### 2.3 Hydrodynamic data analysis

Both the Nortek Vectrino and Vector collect horizontal ( $u$ ), transverse ( $v$ ), and vertical velocities ( $w$ ). Velocity components are separated due to their contributions to mean currents, turbulence, and waves by utilizing the phase method of spectral decomposition (Bricker & Monismith, 2007). For example, the horizontal component of instantaneous flow ( $u$ ) can be expressed as:

$$u = u' + \tilde{u} + \bar{u} \quad (1)$$

where  $u'$  is the turbulent velocity,  $\tilde{u}$  is wave induced orbital velocity and  $\bar{u}$  is the mean velocity.

Following the decomposition methodology, the mean velocity ( $\bar{u}$ ) is first subtracted from the instantaneous velocities, and the power spectral densities (PSD) of the remaining fluctuating components of the velocities are computed. Larger values of spectral density correspond to a higher magnitude of energy in the flow for a given frequency, and integrating the area under the curve quantifies the magnitude. Generally,  $0.3 < f < 2$  Hz encompass motions from the wave band and are identified within the spectra, then separated from that of mean flow and turbulence by quantifying a best-fit  $-5/3$  slope line to the inertial subrange outside of the wave band (Hansen & Reidenbach 2012). Under spectral decomposition theory, spectral energy above the  $-5/3$  inertial subrange fit is due to wave energy, while that below the fit is due to turbulent energy.

This same technique is applied in the same way separately to the  $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $w$  velocity components. This method of decomposition allows for the quantification of turbulent Reynolds stress (TRS) as:

$$\overline{u'w'} = \overline{uw} - \tilde{u}\tilde{w} \quad (2)$$

Further information regarding this method as applied in vegetated flows can be found in Hansen & Reidenbach (2012). Turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) is then quantified as:

$$TKE = 0.5(\overline{u'^2} + \overline{v'^2} + \overline{w'^2}) \quad (3)$$

Linear wave theory was used to quantify wave orbital velocities from water pressure data collected by the Vector's pressure sensor (Hansen & Reidenbach 2012). The spectral density of surface elevation,  $S_{\eta\eta p}$ , is computed as:

$$S_{\eta\eta p} = \left[ \frac{\cosh(kh)}{\cosh(kz)} \right] \frac{S_{pp}}{\rho^2 g^2} \quad (4)$$

where  $S_{pp}$  is the spectral density of the pressure,  $k$  is the wave number,  $h$  is the mean water depth,  $z$  is the height of the pressure sensor above the bottom,  $g$  is gravitational acceleration and  $\rho$  is density (Dean & Dalrymple 1991). Significant wave height ( $H_s$ ) and average period ( $T$ ) are then computed using the first ( $m0$ ) and second ( $m2$ ) moments from the  $S_{\eta\eta p}$  power spectrum:

$$H_s = 4\sqrt{m0} \quad T = \sqrt{m0/m2} \quad (5)$$

Where  $m0 = \int S_{\eta\eta p}(f)df$  and  $m2 = \int f^2 S_{\eta\eta p}(f)df$ . The horizontal component of orbital velocity,  $u_o$ , can then be computed as:

$$u_o = \frac{\pi H_s \cosh(kz)}{\sqrt{2} T \sinh(kh)} \quad (6)$$

Assuming linear wave theory, the frequencies at or above which wave attenuation will occur at a given elevation  $z$  above the seafloor and in water depth  $h$  is given as (Wiberg & Sherwood 2008):

$$f > \sqrt{g/[4\pi(h - z)]} \quad (7)$$

All statistical analyses (ANOVA, t-test, correlation tests) performed on hydrodynamic data were conducted in R version 4.2.2. Hydrodynamic calculations were conducted in Matlab version R2021a.

## 2.4 Sediment sampling

The previously mentioned wave gauges (RBRduo<sup>3</sup>) also contained turbidity sensors, and were deployed in summer 2021 and 2022 for the same time periods, from May through August 2021 and 2022, and at the same locations as Aquadopp instrumentation. The sensors were programmed to measure in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs) every ten minutes at 4 Hz for a one-minute burst. In summer 2022, SSCs were also quantified using an optical backscatter sensor (OBS; Campbell Scientific OBS3+) connected to each Vector and housed on the same instrument frame to collect data concurrently. Values of NTU measured by the OBSs were converted to SSCs with units of mg/L using previously calculated calibration values (Hansen & Reidenbach 2012), performed on the same instruments and with sediment from the South Bay seagrass meadow.

Sediment traps were used to measure deposition of sediment at Sites 1 and 2 (Figure 2). The trap dimensions formed a 4:1 aspect ratio of internal length to internal diameter which has been deemed appropriate for lower energy systems (Storlazzi et al. 2011). The trap designs were adapted from Wilson 1990, and constructed of 7.6 cm diameter PVC Charlotte piping, cut to a

30.5 cm length. Pipe caps (Charlotte Co.) were used to seal the bottom ends of the traps preventing sediment loss and allowing minimal water drainage. The traps were placed within PVC “sleeves” which provided an easier method for frequent removal and replacement instead of continually redeploying into the sediment (Wilson 1990). Sleeves were made from PVC Charlotte Pipe of 10.2 cm diameter with a length of 28 cm. The sleeves were deployed into the sediment with an extension of  $10 \pm 2$  cm above the seafloor where they remained for the study period. This resulted in a final total trap height between 10 and 15 cm above the seafloor. To avoid faunal interference, a polypropylene mesh (6 mm mesh size) covered the trap’s opening. Considering the spacing guidelines described by Storlazzi et al. (2011), traps were deployed at Sites 1 and 2 where each transect location had 3 replicates spaced 1 m apart, yielding a total of 12 traps per deployment at Site 1 in 2021 at locations A-D (at the transect furthest to the North), and 9 traps at Site 2 in 2022 at locations A, B, and E. Traps were exchanged roughly every two weeks. Trap contents were emptied into pre-weighed aluminum bins and any fauna collected were removed. Remaining sediment contents were dried at 60° C for at least 24 hours and measured again for a final dry weight. This weight was divided by days of data collection and trap opening area to produce a total collection rate per area ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^2/\text{day}$ ). All statistical analyses (ANOVA, t-test, correlation tests) performed on sediment data were conducted in R version 4.2.2.

## 2.5 Bivalve sampling

Sediment cores of 7.6 cm diameter were taken from the top 5 cm of sediment roughly every week from June through August 2021 at Site 1. Samples were taken at each of the 12 total locations (A-D) within a 3 m diameter adjacent to the sediment trap replicates. All contents were



immediately bagged and placed on ice for transport to the laboratory where they were refrigerated and then processed within 48 hours of collection. All samples were wet-sieved through a 500  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh and remaining contents were examined without magnification and with a dissecting microscope. An identification key was used to distinguish ambiguous specimens and ensure that only bivalves were recorded, but specific species identifications were not performed. A “count” in this methodology represents a bivalve between 500  $\mu\text{m}$  and 1 cm height with its hinge completely maintained and its valves almost entirely intact. Shell fragments or shell hinges with significant weathering were not counted. The final contents were preserved in 70% ethanol and frozen. Two additional rounds of samples were collected and processed in June 2022 at Site 1 to assess consistency of results after disturbance generated by creating the manmade bare traps and frequent sampling in summer 2021. A square-root transformation was applied to abundance values to meet assumptions of normality and perform statistical analyses. All statistical analyses (ANOVA, Tukey’s test) performed on bivalve data were conducted in R version 4.2.2.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1 Physical Conditions

During summer 2021 at Site 1 (mean density of  $555 \pm 75$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ), average water depth, as computed from wave gauges, was 1.5 m with tidal fluctuations causing minimum and maximum depths of 0.4 m and 3.0 m. During summer 2022 at Site 2 (mean density of  $200 \pm 50$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ) average depths at the seagrass site were 1.2 m with minimum depths close to zero during extreme low tides and maximum depths exceeding 2 m. Average monthly wind speeds during summer 2021 ranged from  $2.5 \pm 0.1$  to  $4.2 \pm 0.1$  m/s, with monthly-averaged significant wave height,  $H_s$ , at Site 1 within both the bare and seagrass location ranging from 0.05 to 0.10 m.

$H_s$  did not differ significantly between the two locations over the entire study period (one-way ANOVA,  $F_{1,6} = 0.012$ ,  $p = 0.916$ ). Maximum  $H_s = 0.6$  m occurred during a storm in late May 2021, leading to significantly higher  $H_s$  averages for the month overall (one-way ANOVA,  $F_{3,4} = 274.6$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Average wind speed during summer 2022 ranged from 3.4 m/s to 4.7 m/s, with average monthly  $H_s$  of 0.07 m, and a maximum during a storm (June 18-20, 2022) with heights exceeding 0.3 m.

### 3.2 Mean Flow Conditions

To address differences in current flow over longer durations of the study period in different sampling locations and across changes in vegetation density, mean velocities throughout the water column were quantified concurrently across Site 1 in seagrass vegetation and along the adjacent bare seafloor. Mean velocities at canopy height ( $z=30$  cm) ranged from 2.5 to 5.1 cm/s in seagrass vegetation and from 6.8 to 9.1 cm/s over the unvegetated seafloor (Figure 3). Mean velocities in seagrass vegetation were statistically (ANOVA,  $F_{1,8} = 42.45$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and significantly lower than in adjacent bare areas, with reductions between the two sites ranging from 40% to over 60%. In general, tidal flows and wave propagation followed a roughly North-South orientation creating flows that were parallel to the seagrass edge. There were also statistically significant reductions in velocity at each sampling site comparing elevations of  $z=60$  cm (above canopy height) to 30 cm ( $[100 \cdot (U_{z=0.6m} - U_{z=0.3m}) / U_{z=0.6m}]$ ), with seagrass site having up to a 35% decrease in water velocity at the lower elevation (ANOVA,  $F_{1,10} = 5.726$ ,  $p = 0.038$ ), where the bare site only experienced up to a 20% decrease (ANOVA,  $F_{1,8} = 8.563$ ,  $p = 0.019$ ) (Figure 3). Seagrass density peaked in July and corresponded with the season's lowest measured mean velocities of 3.5 cm/s at canopy height ( $z = 30$  cm).

### 3.3 Hydrodynamic conditions across edges

In summer 2021, a Vectrino was used to quantify velocities along two transects at Site 1: one a 10 m transect crossing the natural edge of vegetation and the other a 3 m transect covering the manmade bare patch. Data were collected at an elevation of  $z = 0.1$  m in 1 m increments along the edge transect and in 0.5 m increments over the patch transect for 5 minutes in each sampling location. Values were averaged into a representative mean for each sampling increment, including velocity magnitude, turbulent Reynolds stress (TRS), and power spectra showing magnitude of energy in the flow at different frequencies. A representative profile of mean velocity and TRS from the patch transect is shown in Figure 4 along with the corresponding PSD from unvegetated and vegetated sampling locations along that transect. Mean velocities were reduced in seagrass by 50% to 75% (Figure 4). Flow energy and associated reductions in vegetation at different frequencies are visualized with PSDs which depict energy magnitude at corresponding frequencies. At almost all frequencies, flow energy in bare areas exceeds that of flows within the vegetation. However, energy from frequencies in the wave band ( $0.3 \text{ Hz} < f < 2 \text{ Hz}$ ) are similar in both sampling locations suggesting that low frequency oscillatory wave energy from wind-generated waves penetrate the seagrass canopy and reach similar magnitude close to the seafloor. Two velocity profiles from the 10 m long edge transect are shown in Figure 5, indicating reductions of mean flow in vegetated areas that exceeded 80% compared to the unvegetated site. Average  $H_s$  during both transects was 0.05 m. Overall, mean velocities within the constructed bare patch were lower than those over naturally bare seafloor during the same period of data collection with similar flow and wave conditions.

During summer 2022, two Nortek Vector ADVs were concurrently deployed in a stationary (non-profiling) orientation at Site 2, three separate times for a minimum of 72 hours. The instruments were placed 10 m apart, spaced evenly across the natural edge of meadow vegetation. Each of the three deployments were characterized by different physical conditions. Deployment 1 (May 13 – May 16) had the lowest seagrass densities ( $150 \pm 40$  shoots/m<sup>2</sup>) and low-medium wave activity, Deployment 2 (May 23 – May 26) had statistically similar low seagrass densities but the greatest wave activity, and Deployment 3 (June 10 – June 13) had the highest seagrass densities ( $245 \pm 50$  shoots/m<sup>2</sup>) and lowest wave activity. These combinations of meadow morphology and physical setting allowed analysis of how velocity, turbulence, waves, and sediment resuspension respond to different conditions in bare and vegetated areas immediately surrounding a seagrass edge.

Depth and  $H_s$  data for each deployment are shown in Table 2. Mean velocities at the unvegetated site ranged from 8.8 to 11.1 cm/s and from 3.3 to 7.7 cm/s at the vegetated site. This variability was primarily due to tidal currents. Mean velocities were highest during Deployment 1 and decreased with each subsequent deployment, displaying an inverse relationship with seagrass shoot density. Mean velocities were consistently and significantly higher in magnitude at the unvegetated location (two-sided t test,  $t_4 = 3.12$ ,  $p = 0.036$ ). Percent reduction of mean flow speed between the two sampling locations ranged from 30% during Deployment 1 to over 65% during Deployment 3, correlating with increases in seagrass shoot density.

Turbulent Reynolds stresses were significantly greater in magnitude (Figure 6) at vegetated locations (two-sided t test,  $t_4 = -4.55$ ,  $p = 0.011$ ), ranging from 0.29 to 0.36 cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup> at the bare site and from 0.49 to 0.75 cm<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup> in the vegetated site. Wave orbital velocities were quantified at the two sites and ranged between 3.1 and 17.3 cm/s. There were no significant

differences in average magnitude between the two sampling locations during each deployment, as indicated by similar  $H_s$  between sampling locations. The similarity in wave orbital velocities between sampling locations is also consistent with the similarity in energy from frequencies in the wave band ( $0.3 \text{ Hz} < f < 2 \text{ Hz}$ ) shown in PSDs. Deployment 2 experienced the greatest wave activity caused by elevated wind speeds during this time resulting in the upper limit of measured wave orbital velocities (17 cm/s). Orbital velocities measured during Deployments 1 and 3 ranged, on average, between 3 and 4 cm/s and more closely resembled mean flows measured over the duration of the study period.

PSDs of vertical velocities measured concurrently at bare and vegetated sites indicate flows were dominated by tidal current during Deployment 1 and by wave activity during Deployment 2 (Figure 7). During tidally dominated flow conditions, a distinct  $-5/3$  slope exists in the spectra across 0.2 Hz to 6 Hz frequencies of motion, indicating an expected inertial subrange. Energy magnitude across these frequencies was generally similar between the unvegetated and vegetated sampling locations in this example. During wave-dominated conditions, oscillatory flows due to wave activity adds energy to the turbulent flow, and creates a distinctive peak in the PSD across the wave band ( $0.3 \text{ Hz} < f < 2 \text{ Hz}$ ), disrupting the characteristic  $-5/3$  slope of the PSD characterizing the inertial subrange in this region. Outside of the frequencies encompassing the wave band, the same  $-5/3$  trend exists. Wave motion effectively penetrated the seagrass canopy, similar to the unvegetated region, as evident by the distinctive peak in the wave frequency band ( $0.3 \text{ Hz} < f < 2 \text{ Hz}$ ).

PSDs showing horizontal and vertical velocities for frequencies from 0 to 2 Hz from the bare and vegetated locations are shown for the higher wave conditions during Deployment 2 (Figure 8). The black line oscillating across the PSDs portrays the frequency threshold at or

above which wave attenuation may be expected due to natural decay of the waves with depth under linear wave theory (Eq. 7). Gaps in data are due to low water levels at low tides leaving the sensors exposed. The data suggest minimal attenuation of wave frequencies ( $0.3 \text{ Hz} < f < 2 \text{ Hz}$ ) in vegetated locations even at relatively high wave activity, but a reduction in low frequencies of  $f < 0.3 \text{ Hz}$  in vegetated locations, thus reflecting a reduction in larger, slower turbulent overturns due to drag imposed by the seagrass. It is these lower frequencies ( $f < 0.3 \text{ Hz}$ ) that contribute the majority of the energy to the bulk flow.

### 3.4 Sediment suspension and deposition

Sediment accumulation rates from all sediment trap collection in 2021 are shown in Table 3. Variability between sampling locations remained high, but were statistically similar, with sediment accumulation rates averaged across all sampling dates ranging from 0.56 to 0.74  $\text{g/cm}^2/\text{day}$ . The first round of deployment from May 25 to June 2 had sediment collection rates nearly ten times higher than other time periods, likely due to a large storm. To limit variability from this outlier, the spatial groupings were analyzed again excluding the first round of collection. These averages for sites A – D were 0.26, 0.28, 0.37, and 0.27  $\text{g/cm}^2/\text{day}$  respectively (Table 3), which showed lower mean values, but similar trends in deposition when comparing sampling locations. Suspended sediment concentrations (in Nephelometric Turbidity Units: NTU), wind speeds, and  $H_s$  were concurrently measured at Site 1, and no significant differences between these values based on their location in bare or vegetated seafloor were found. SSC values were then grouped by month regardless of sampling location to address seasonal changes, and the resulting means are shown in Figure 9 where, like trap collection rates, SSC was elevated in May. Wind speed and wave heights were also elevated and reached their seasonal maximums

in late May due to a storm. (Pearson correlation tests between NTU and trap collection rate ( $r_2 = 0.986$ ,  $p = 0.014$ ), NTU and  $H_s$  ( $r_2 = 0.988$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ), trap collection rate and  $H_s$  ( $r_2 = 0.958$ ,  $p = 0.042$ )). Overall, elevated SSC correlated with higher wind speeds which tended to produce larger wind-driven wave heights, as evident during the May 2021 storm (Figure 10).

Rates from all rounds of collection at Site 2 during 2022 are shown in Table 4, where mean rates for sites A, B and E were  $0.2 \pm 0.02$ ,  $0.29 \pm 0.06$ , and  $0.15 \pm 0.02$  g/cm<sup>2</sup>/day respectively, similar to collection rates from 2021. Location B (vegetated location closest to natural edge) had elevated collection rates but statistically similar to location A (in the unvegetated region), while location E (100 m into vegetation away from meadow's edge), had a nearly significant lower collection rate (two-sided t test,  $t_{12} = 2.11$ ,  $p = 0.056$ ). To further address sediment movement across seagrass edges, sediment sensors were deployed at Site 2 in 2022 to measure SSCs in the water column at locations A and B, spanning the meadow's natural edge of vegetation. Figure 11 shows the resulting average SSCs with average values between 54 and 106 mg/L. Consistent with trap collection data, SSCs were similar to or slightly higher within the vegetated sampling locations 5 m within the seagrass meadow compared to 5 m outside the seagrass meadow. This elevated SSC corresponds to increased turbulent Reynolds stresses measured within the vegetation as compared to outside the vegetation. This suggests that the low canopy density (average of  $200 \pm 50$  shoots/m<sup>2</sup> for summer 2022) can locally increase near-bed turbulence and SSC concentrations compared to higher canopy densities measured during summer 2021 (average of  $555 \pm 75$  shoots/m<sup>2</sup>).

### 3.5 Bivalve recruitment

Abundances from all rounds of core sampling during 2021 are shown in Figure 12. A one-way ANOVA and Tukey's test were performed to address differences between core abundances at the four different sampling locations over the entire study period. The groups were statistically different (ANOVA,  $F_{3,103} = 22.27$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ), except between groups B and D, indicating that bivalve abundances were lowest in naturally bare areas (A), elevated in the artificial bare patch within the seagrass bed (C), and greatest in areas of full vegetation regardless of edge proximity (B and D). Compared to naturally bare group A, mean abundances were over 2.5 times greater in group C and over 4 times greater in groups B and D. A separate one-way ANOVA was performed to address temporal variation with all the sites grouped (Figure 12B). There was high temporal variability with no significant differences in abundance found between June – Sept (ANOVA,  $F_{8,98} = 0.992$ ,  $p = 0.447$ ).

During summer 2022, core samples were collected and processed in the same way at Site 1. The removal sites were not cleared again so 2022 samples from location C reflect a year of re-growth, but these locations were still roughly 80% unvegetated. The resulting spatial averages from sampling locations A-D were 1.5, 5.7, 3.2, and 4.7 individuals per core, respectively, statistically similar to those from summer 2021 (Figure 13).

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Hydrodynamics

Wind speeds and significant wave heights were closely correlated (as shown in Figure 10), with recorded increases in wind leading to greater  $H_s$  and wave orbital velocities. Despite this strong positive relationship between winds and waves, there was no statistical evidence of



541 wave attenuation in seagrass vegetation compared to measurements located at the adjacent  
542 naturally bare seafloor. The lack of measurable wave attenuation across this 10 m distance  
543 (Table 1) differs compared to previous research that showed measurable attenuation of wave  
544 activity over longer spatial distances, with a total decrease of 30% across a 39 m transect of  
545 continuous seagrass bed (Bradley & Houser 2009). However, previous work has also shown that  
546 unlike unidirectional flow, wave attenuation is not abrupt (Infantes et al. 2012), but may initially  
547 increase in significant wave height as waves enter the seagrass bed and subsequently decrease  
548 exponentially over the remainder of the bed (Bradley & Houser 2009). Overall, there is an  
549 exponential decay rate that can vary in response to the seagrass density and geometry, with wave  
550 attenuation increasing as the wave orbital excursion length is increased (Lowe et al. 2005).  
551 Longer-period wave motions (including those at tidal periods) can be significantly more  
552 attenuated than shorter-period oscillatory motions (Lowe et al. 2007). For the wave heights  
553 produced in this study, wave orbital velocities were able to effectively penetrate the seagrass  
554 meadow, both at the high seagrass density Site 1 and the low seagrass density Site 2, altering  
555 flow dynamics at the seafloor. Our results also indicated that greater flow reduction occurred  
556 with increased seagrass blade densities, agreeing with previous research that canopy presence  
557 and blade density is responsible for mediating the magnitude current flow attenuation (Koch &  
558 Gust 1999, Peterson et al. 2004).

559 Turbulence regimes at Site 1 showed generally reduced Reynolds stresses in seagrass  
560 vegetation, but these measurements coincided with high vegetation densities ( $>500$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ).  
561 Results from summer 2022 reveal opposite trends with greater Reynolds stresses 5 m within the  
562 seagrass vegetation within low density regions of the seagrass canopy ( $<250$  shoots  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ). This  
563 finding aligns with findings from Hansen & Reidenbach (2012) that turbulent energies can be

elevated in vegetated areas of low shoot density due to increased stem-wake interactions in a lower density canopy (Widdows et al. 2008). This increase in turbulence was also reflected in PSDs from the low shoot density Site 2, which showed elevated magnitudes of turbulent energy in seagrass within turbulence frequencies ( $> 3\text{ Hz}$ ) of the energy spectra. Measured PSDs at both sites indicate the concurrent decrease in energy at low frequencies ( $< 0.3\text{ Hz}$ ), reflecting a reduction in mean flow. All PSDs revealed similar wave orbital velocities ( $0.3\text{ Hz} < f < 2\text{ Hz}$ ) within seagrass vegetation compared to unvegetated regions across the seagrass edge, suggesting waves effectively penetrate the seagrass canopy that can impact flow interaction with the seabed.

## 4.2 Sediment dynamics

Sediment trap collection rates and SSC were significantly correlated with each other and with  $H_s$ . Winds have previously been shown to be the dominant control on wave activity in this system (Fagherazzi & Wiberg 2009). Wave height was a major predictor in sediment resuspension and transport across these edge settings, and although benthic microalgal concentrations have been shown to impact resuspension rates (Reidenbach & Timmerman 2019), similar wave heights in these locations explain similar levels of sediment movement. Since average grain size diameter is small at  $D_{84} < 160\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  (where  $D_{84}$  is the sediment grain diameter such that 84% of grain diameters are smaller), once suspended, sediment typically remain in suspension for a few days (Hansen & Reidenbach 2012). Sediment trap collection rates at Sites 1 and 2 showed no significant spatial trends across sampling locations within 25 m of the seagrass edge, whether in bare or vegetated areas, or manmade removal areas. However, more notable reductions in sediment deposition occurred at 100 m from the edge (measured at Site 2). This agrees with the work of Zhu et al. (2021 & 2022) who concluded that vegetation density

mediates the response of flow along seagrass edges, which creates lower sediment deposition rates along the interior of the meadow relative to deposition rates within ~100 m of edges. Within 25 m of the seagrass edge, high variability from trap collection data could explain statistical similarities in these results, but high resolution, longer-term data sets from optical backscatter sensors were used to concurrently quantify relative levels of SSCs and confirmed nonsignificant differences in turbidity. This suggests that, as seen with wave attenuation (Verduin & Backhaus 2000), a threshold of distance from the meadow's edge may exist for vegetation to reduce SSCs (Zhu et al. 2022), but is likely greater than the ~ 25 m from the edge measured in this study.

#### 4.3 Bivalve recruitment dynamics

Abundances of juvenile bivalves were elevated in all vegetated sampling locations regardless of proximity to edges. Abundances were lower in manmade bare patches and lowest in naturally unvegetated seafloor. These results indicate that seagrass biomass enhance settlement and/or retainment of juvenile bivalves and is consistent with work showing greater bivalve presence in seagrass ecosystems (Orth et al. 1984, Glaspie & Seitz 2017). However, seagrass locations with elevated bivalve abundances also correspond to areas with significant reductions in mean flow. This suggests that the magnitude of the current flow (due to the combined effects of waves and tidal-driven flows) may still mediate bivalve settlement across vegetated locations (Bologna & Heck 2000), and that lower energy flow conditions encourage greater recruitment. The enhanced recruitment, therefore, may be due to the benefits of a reduction in flow forces imposed on settling larvae (Reidenbach et al. 2009, Reidenbach et al. 2021) and decreased mortality and predation (Orth et al. 1984, Boström et al. 2010) through

sheltering by the seagrass canopy. Previous research has shown significant wave attenuation at more interior locations of the seagrass bed (Thomas & Reidenbach 2018), which may also impact bivalve recruitment. However, along the edges of seagrass measured in this study, no significant change in wave oscillations were measured within and outside the meadow, therefore the effects of waves on bivalve recruitment could not be determined.

Of note is the strong impact that seagrass edges have on bivalve recruitment, but a much weaker response to sediment deposition dynamics. This may be due to the more active swimming behaviors of some settling larvae which can alter settlement locations to a much greater degree (Abelson & Denny 1997, Koehl & Reidenbach 2007) than passive sediment particles. However this direct effect of larval behaviors on settlement dynamics within seagrass systems has not been studied.

#### 4.4 Conclusions

The results presented here indicate that over various edges of heterogeneous vegetation along different spatial configurations, mean velocities were consistently and significantly reduced in seagrass vegetation, and negatively correlated with increased shoot density. However, over these short spatial distances of  $< 10$  m, there was no evidence of wave attenuation or within-canopy wave orbital velocities in any of the vegetated locations. Interestingly, along edges of vegetation, Reynolds stresses were elevated in seagrass vegetation in low density regions, indicating a higher level of turbulent energy presumably due to increased stem-wake interactions (Nepf et al. 1997, Widdows et al. 2008), the magnitude of which depends upon the flexibility of the blades and if vegetation is emergent or submerged. Although these findings generally agree

with previous laboratory and field measurements of wave attenuation and turbulence (Bradley & Houser 2009, Infantes et al. 2012) for submerged and flexible vegetation, our study addressed how these changes impact sediment and bivalve settlement.

Our findings suggest that, unlike wave motions, abundances of juvenile bivalves respond rapidly over short spatial distances of  $< 10$  m, with abundances of juvenile bivalves being significantly elevated in seagrass vegetation, presumably due to the sheltering effect caused by seagrass. However, there were no significant changes in turbidity or sediment collection rate at locations within 25 m of the meadow edge, but reduced collection rates were found at 100 m distances. This agrees with previous literature demonstrating reduced SSCs in vegetation across larger spatial scales (Carr et al. 2010, Hansen & Reidenbach 2013). Recent modeling work from South Bay reports that SSCs fluctuate non-linearly as a function of seagrass density and that meadow edges experience the greatest sensitivity to seasonal erosion or deposition due to either mean flows or wave activity while mediating overall advection of SSCs at the meadow scale (Zhu et al. 2022). Flume experiments showed a similar density-dependent relationship between flow regime and distance of sediment deposition past a seagrass edge (Zhang & Nepf 2019). This emphasizes that a minimum threshold of density and/or distance from a meadow's edge may exist to attenuate waves, thus causing a reduction in SSC and sediment deposition, and our findings suggest this distance to be on the scale of 100 m. However, in regions of low wave activity where tidal currents dominate, seagrasses are more effective at reducing flow energy and this distance over which sediment transport is altered should be significantly reduced.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

656           We would like to thank the staff at the Anheuser-Busch Coastal Research Center for  
657 assistance in field campaigns. This research was funded by the National Science Foundation  
658 grant DEB-1832221 to the Virginia Coast Reserve Long Term Ecological Research project. Data  
659 is accessible at: [doi:10.6073/pasta/30de0c4d1086cd7ecb643f5b05c88d8b](https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/30de0c4d1086cd7ecb643f5b05c88d8b).

660

661 6. LITERATURE CITED

- 662 Abelson A, Denny MW (1997) Settlement of marine organisms in flow. *Annual Review of*  
663 *Ecological Systems* 28:317-339
- 664 Adams MP, Hovey RK, Hipsey MR, Bruce LC, Ghisalberti M, Lowe RJ, Gruber RK, Ruiz-  
665 Montoya L, Maxwell PS, Callaghan DP (2016) Feedback between sediment and light for  
666 seagrass: Where is it important? *Limnol Oceanogr*
- 667 Allen T, Behr J, Bukvic A, Calder RSD, Caruson K, Connor C, D'Elia C, Dismukes D, Ersing R,  
668 Franklin R, Goldstein J, Goodall J, Hemmerling S, Irish J, Lazarus S, Loftis D, Luther M,  
669 McCallister L, McGlathery K, Mitchell M, Moore W, Nichols CR, Nunez K, Reidenbach  
670 M, Shortridge J, Weisberg R, Weiss R, Wright LD, Xia M, Xu K, Young D, Zarillo G,  
671 Zinnert JC (2021) Anticipating and Adapting to the Future Impacts of Climate Change on  
672 the Health, Security and Welfare of Low Elevation Coastal Zone (LECZ) Communities  
673 in Southeastern USA. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* 9:1196
- 674 Aoki LR, McGlathery KJ, Oreska MP (2020) Seagrass restoration reestablishes the coastal  
675 nitrogen filter through enhanced burial. *Limnol Oceanogr* 65:1-12
- 676 Aoki LR, McGlathery KJ, Wiberg PL, Oreska MP, Berger AC, Berg P, Orth RJ (2021) Seagrass  
677 recovery following marine heat wave influences sediment carbon stocks. *Frontiers in*  
678 *Marine Science* 7:576784
- 679 Berger AC, Berg P, McGlathery KJ, Delgard ML (2020) Long-term trends and resilience of  
680 seagrass metabolism: A decadal aquatic eddy covariance study. *Limnol Oceanogr*  
681 65:1423-1438
- 682 Bologna PA, Heck KL (2000) Impacts of seagrass habitat architecture on bivalve settlement.  
683 *Estuaries* 23:449-457

684 Bologna PA, Heck KL (2002) Impact of habitat edges on density and secondary production of  
685 seagrass-associated fauna. *Estuaries* 25:1033-1044

686 Boström C, Törnroos A, Bonsdorff E (2010) Invertebrate dispersal and habitat heterogeneity:  
687 expression of biological traits in a seagrass landscape. *Journal of Experimental Marine*  
688 *Biology and Ecology* 390:106-117

689 Bradley K, Houser C (2009) Relative velocity of seagrass blades: Implications for wave  
690 attenuation in low-energy environments. *Journal of Geophysical Research Earth Surfaces*  
691 114:1-13

692 Bricker JD, Monismith SG (2007) Spectral wave-turbulence decomposition. *Journal of*  
693 *Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology* 24(8):1479-1487

694 Butman CA (1989) Sediment-trap experiments on the importance of hydrodynamical processes  
695 in distributing settling invertebrate larvae in near-bottom waters. *Journal of Experimental*  
696 *Marine Biology and Ecology* 134:37-88

697 Carr J, D'Odorico P, McGlathery K, Wiberg P (2010) Stability and bistability of seagrass  
698 ecosystems in shallow coastal lagoons: Role of feedbacks with sediment resuspension  
699 and light attenuation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* (2005–2012) 115

700 Carroll JM, Furman BT, Tettelbach ST, Peterson BJ (2012) Balancing the edge effects budget:  
701 bay scallop settlement and loss along a seagrass edge. *Ecology* 93:1637-1647

702 Chen SN, Sanford LP, Koch E, Shi F, North EW (2007) A nearshore model to investigate the  
703 effects of seagrass bed geometry on wave attenuation and suspended sediment transport.  
704 *Estuaries and Coasts* 30:296-310



705 Colomer J, Soler M, Serra T, Casamitjana X, Oldham C (2017) Impact of anthropogenically  
706 created canopy gaps on wave attenuation in a *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadow. *Mar*  
707 *Ecol Prog Ser* 569:103-116

708 Donatelli C, Ganju NK, Fagherazzi S, Leonardi N (2018) Seagrass impact on sediment exchange  
709 between tidal flats and salt marsh, and the sediment budget of shallow bays. *Geophysical*  
710 *Research Letters* 45:4933-4943

711 Dunic JC, Brown CJ, Connolly RM, Turschwell MP, Côté IM (2021) Long-term declines and  
712 recovery of meadow area across the world's seagrass bioregions. *Global Change Biology*  
713 27:4096-4109

714 Eckman JE (1983) Hydrodynamic processes affecting benthic recruitment. *Limnol Oceanogr*  
715 28:241-257

716 Eckman JE (1987) The role of hydrodynamics in recruitment, growth, and survival of  
717 *Argopecten irradians* (L.) and *Anomia simplex* (D'Orbigny) within eelgrass meadows.  
718 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 106:165-191

719 El Allaoui N, Serra T, Colomer J, Soler M, Casamitjana X, Oldham C (2016) Interactions  
720 between fragmented seagrass canopies and the local hydrodynamics. *PLoS ONE*  
721 11:e0156264

722 Fagherazzi S, Wiberg P (2009) Importance of wind conditions, fetch, and water levels on wave-  
723 generated shear stresses in shallow intertidal basins. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 114

724 Fonseca MS, Koehl MAR (2006) Flow in seagrass canopies: The influence of patch width.  
725 *Estuar Coastal Shelf Sci* 67:1-9

726 Gacia E, Duarte CM (2001) Sediment retention by a Mediterranean *Posidonia oceanica* meadow:  
727 the balance between deposition and resuspension. *Estuar Coastal Shelf Sci* 52:505-514

728 Gambi MC, Nowell AR, Jumars PA (1990) Flume observations on flow dynamics in *Zostera*  
 729 *marina* (eelgrass) beds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser:159-169  
 730 Ghisalberti M, Nepf HM (2002) Mixing layers and coherent structures in vegetated aquatic  
 731 flows. Journal of Geophysical Research 107:3-1 - 3-11  
 732 Glaspie CN, Seitz RD (2017) Role of habitat and predators in maintaining functional diversity of  
 733 estuarine bivalves. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 570:113-125  
 734 Grant WD, Madsen OS (1979) Combined wave and current interaction with a rough bottom.  
 735 Journal of Geophysical Research 84:1797-1808  
 736 Hansen JC, Reidenbach MA (2013) Seasonal growth and senescence of a *Zostera marina*  
 737 seagrass meadow alters wave-dominated flow and sediment suspension within a coastal  
 738 bay. Estuaries and Coasts 36:1099-1114  
 739 Hansen JC, Reidenbach MA (2017) Turbulent mixing and fluid transport within Florida Bay  
 740 seagrass meadows. Advances in Water Resources 108:205-215  
 741 Hansen JCR, Reidenbach MA (2012) Wave and tidally driven flows in eelgrass beds and their  
 742 effect on sediment suspension. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 448:271-287  
 743 Irlandi EA (1997) Seagrass patch size and survivorship of an infaunal bivalve. Oikos:511-518  
 744 Jing L, Ridd PV (1996) Wave-current bottom shear stresses and sediment resuspension in  
 745 Cleveland Bay, Australia. Coastal Engineering 29:169-186  
 746 Koch E, Ackerman JD, Verduin J, van Keulen M (2006) Fluid dynamics in seagrass ecology-  
 747 from molecules to ecosystems. In: Seagrasses: Biology, Ecology and Conservation.  
 748 Springer Netherlands, p 193-225  
 749 Koch E, Gust G (1999) Water flow in tide- and wave-dominated beds of the seagrass *Thalassia*  
 750 *testudinum*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 184:63-72

751 Koehl MAR, Hadfield M (2010) Hydrodynamics of larval settlement from a larva's point of  
752 view. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 50:539-551

753 Koehl MAR, Reidenbach MA (2007) Swimming by microscopic organisms in ambient water  
754 flow. *Experiments in Fluids* 43:755-768

755 Lawson SE, Wiberg PL, McGlatherty KJ, Fugate DC (2007) Wind-driven sediment suspension  
756 controls light availability in a shallow coastal lagoon. *Estuaries and Coasts* 30:102-112

757 Lowe RJ, Falter JL, Koseff JR, Monismith SG, Atkinson MJ (2007) Spectral wave flow  
758 attenuation within submerged canopies: Implications for wave energy dissipation. *Journal*  
759 *of Geophysical Research* 112:C05018, doi:05010.01029/02006JC003605

760 Lowe RL, Koseff JR, Monismith SG (2005) Oscillatory flow through submerged canopies: 1.  
761 Velocity structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 110:doi:10.1029/2004JC002788

762 Luhar M, Coutu S, Infantes E, Fox S, Nepf H (2010) Wave-induced velocities inside a model  
763 seagrass bed. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 115

764 McCloskey RM, Unsworth RK (2015) Decreasing seagrass density negatively influences  
765 associated fauna. *PeerJ* 3:e1053

766 McGlatherty K, Anderson IC, Tyler AC (2001) Magnitude and variability of benthic and pelagic  
767 metabolism in a temperate coastal lagoon. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 216:1-15

768 McGlatherty KJ, Reidenbach MA, D'Odorico P, Fagherazzi S, Pace ML, Porter JH (2013)  
769 Nonlinear dynamics and alternative stable states in shallow coastal systems.  
770 *Oceanography* 26:220-231

771 Nardin W, Larsen L, Fagherazzi S, Wiberg P (2018) Tradeoffs among hydrodynamics, sediment  
772 fluxes and vegetation community in the Virginia Coast Reserve, USA. *Estuar Coast Shelf*  
773 *Sci* 210:98-108

774 Nepf HM (2012) Flow and transport in regions with aquatic vegetation. *Annu Rev Fluid Mech*  
 775 44:123-142

776 Nepf HM, Sullivan JA, Zavistoski RA (1997) A model for diffusion with emergent vegetation.  
 777 *Limnol Oceanogr* 42(8):1735-1745

778 Nepf HM, Vivoni ER (2000) Flow structure in depth-limited, vegetated flow. *Journal of*  
 779 *Geophysical Research* 105:28547-28557

780 Oreska MP, McGlathery KJ, Aoki LR, Berger AC, Berg P, Mullins L (2020) The greenhouse gas  
 781 offset potential from seagrass restoration. *Scientific Reports* 10:1-15

782 Oreska MP, McGlathery KJ, Wiberg PL, Orth RJ, Wilcox DJ (2021) Defining the *Zostera*  
 783 *marina* (eelgrass) niche from long-term success of restored and naturally colonized  
 784 meadows: Implications for seagrass restoration. *Estuaries and Coasts* 44:396-411

785 Orth RJ, Heck KL, van Montfrans J (1984) Faunal communities in seagrass beds: a review of the  
 786 influence of plant structure and prey characteristics on predator-prey relationships.  
 787 *Estuaries* 7:339-350

788 Peterson CH, Luettich RA, Micheli F, Skilleter GA (2004) Attenuation of water flow inside  
 789 seagrass canopies of differing structure. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 268:81-92

790 Peterson CH, Summerson H, Duncan P (1984) The influence of seagrass cover on population  
 791 structure and individual growth rate of a suspension-feeding bivalve, *Mercenaria*  
 792 *mercenaria*. *Journal of Marine Research* 42:123-138

793 Reidenbach MA, Koseff JR, Koehl MAR (2009) Hydrodynamic forces on larvae affect their  
 794 settlement on coral reefs in turbulent, wave-driven flow. *Limnol Oceanogr* 54:318-330

795 Reidenbach MA, Stocking JB, Szczyrba L, Wendelken C (2021) Hydrodynamic interactions  
 796 with coral topography and its impact on larval settlement. *Coral Reefs* 40:505-519

797 Reidenbach MA, Thomas EL (2018) Influence of the seagrass, *Zostera marina*, on wave  
798 attenuation and bed shear stress within a shallow coastal bay. *Frontiers in Marine Science*  
799 5

800 Reidenbach MA, Timmerman R (2019) Interactive effects of seagrass and the  
801 microphytobenthos on sediment suspension within shallow coastal bays. *Estuaries and*  
802 *Coasts* 42:2038-2053

803 Storlazzi C, Field M, Bothner M (2011) The use (and misuse) of sediment traps in coral reef  
804 environments: theory, observations, and suggested protocols. *Coral Reefs* 30:23-38

805 Twomey AJ, O'Brien KR, Callaghan DP, Saunders MI (2020) Synthesising wave attenuation for  
806 seagrass: Drag coefficient as a unifying indicator. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 160:111661

807 Verduin JJ, Backhaus JO (2000) Dynamics of plant-flow interactions for the seagrass *Amphibolis*  
808 *antarctica*: Field observations and model simulations. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 50:185-204

809 Wiberg PL, Sherwood CR (2008) Calculating wave-generated bottom orbital velocities from  
810 surface-wave parameters. *Computers and Geosciences* 34:1243-1262

811 Widdows J, Pope ND, Brinsley MD, Asmus H, Asmus RM (2008) Effects of seagrass beds  
812 (*Zostera noltii* and *Z. marina*) on near-bed hydrodynamics and sediment resuspension.  
813 *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 358:125-136

814 Wilson FS (1990) Temporal and spatial patterns of settlement: a field study of molluscs in Bogue  
815 Sound, North Carolina. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 139:201-  
816 220

817 Yarnall AH, Byers JE, Yeager LA, Fodrie FJ (2022) Comparing edge and fragmentation effects  
818 within seagrass communities: A meta-analysis. *Ecology* 103:e3603

819 Zhang Y, Nepf H (2019) Wave-driven sediment resuspension within a model eelgrass meadow.  
820 Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 124:1035-1053  
821 Zhu Q, Wiberg PL, McGlathery KJ (2022) Seasonal growth and senescence of seagrass alters  
822 sediment accumulation rates and carbon burial in a coastal lagoon. Limnol Oceanogr  
823 67:1931-1942  
824 Zhu Q, Wiberg PL, Reidenbach MA (2021) Quantifying Seasonal Seagrass Effects on Flow and  
825 Sediment Dynamics in a Back-Barrier Bay. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans  
826 126:e2020JC016547

827

## 7. TABLES

**Table 1.** Average water velocities (cm/s) and  $H_s$  (m) from the four Vectrino deployments along the two Site 1 transects during summer 2021. Water velocities and  $H_s$  quantified at the beginning (unvegetated) and ending (vegetated) locations of each transect.

	<i>Velocity (unvegetated, cm/s)</i>	<i>Velocity (vegetated, cm/s)</i>	<i>H<sub>s</sub> (unvegetated, cm)</i>	<i>H<sub>s</sub> (vegetated, m)</i>
<i>July 15 Patch</i>	5.7	1.4	4.4	3.7
<i>July 26 Edge</i>	6.2	1.4	3.2	5.0
<i>Aug 10 Edge</i>	13.3	1.8	<1.0	<1.0
<i>Aug 18 Patch</i>	4.4	2.0	<1.0	<1.0

**Table 2.** Average and maximum of water depth  $\pm$  1 SE (m) and significant wave height  $\pm$  1 SD,  $H_s$  (m), from the unvegetated and vegetated sampling locations of the three Vector deployments at Site 2 during summer 2022. Water depths were statistically different between unvegetated and vegetated sites within a given deployment, but  $H_s$  was not statistically different.

	<i>Deployment 1: 5/13 – 5/16</i>		<i>Deployment 2: 5/23 – 5/26</i>		<i>Deployment 3: 6/10 – 6/13</i>	
	Unvegetated	Vegetated	Unvegetated	Vegetated	Unvegetated	Vegetated
<i>Avg Depth</i>	1.04 $\pm$ 0.014	0.90 $\pm$ 0.014	1.20 $\pm$ 0.007	1.09 $\pm$ 0.007	0.97 $\pm$ 0.007	0.89 $\pm$ 0.007
<i>Max Depth</i>	1.95	1.78	1.92	1.83	1.86	1.78
<i>Avg H<sub>s</sub></i>	0.06 $\pm$ 0.06	0.06 $\pm$ 0.06	0.14 $\pm$ 0.08	0.16 $\pm$ 0.08	0.04 $\pm$ 0.04	0.05 $\pm$ 0.04
<i>Max H<sub>s</sub></i>	0.14	0.16	0.32	0.32	0.09	0.11


**Table 3.** Sediment trap accumulation rates (g/cm<sup>2</sup>/day) from 2021 at Site 1 where the three replicates from each sampling location (A-D) are averaged into one value for that round of data collection. Bottom two rows show the total mean of that sampling location over the study period  $\pm$  1 SE both including and excluding the elevated first round of trap collection (Rd1) in late May. Location A was located in the unvegetated seafloor 5 m from seagrass edge, B was 5 m from the edge within the seagrass meadow, C was a manmade bare patch 15 m from the edge, and D was located 25 m from the edge within the meadow.

<i>Collection Dates (2021)</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>
<i>May 25 – June 2</i>	2.86	2.61	2.73	2.03
<i>June 2 – June 16</i>	0.32	0.17	0.35	0.25
<i>June 18 – June 29</i>	0.36	0.32	0.28	0.18
<i>June 29 – July 10</i>	0.21	0.20	0.26	0.23
<i>July 27 – Aug 9</i>	0.12	0.22	0.21	0.19
<i>Aug 9 – Sept 10</i>	0.13	0.19	0.44	0.19
<i>Sept 10 – Oct 12</i>	0.44	0.65	0.66	0.59
<i>Mean incl. Rd1</i>	0.67 $\pm$ 0.24	0.65 $\pm$ 0.2	0.74 $\pm$ 0.22	0.56 $\pm$ 0.16
<i>Mean excl. Rd1</i>	0.26 $\pm$ 0.05	0.28 $\pm$ 0.08	0.37 $\pm$ 0.07	0.27 $\pm$ 0.07

**Table 4.** Sediment trap accumulation rates (g/cm<sup>2</sup>/day) from 2022 at Site 2 where the three replicates from each sampling location (A, B, and E) are averaged into one value for that round of data collection. Location A was located in the unvegetated seafloor 5 m from seagrass edge, B was 5 m from the edge within the seagrass meadow, and E was located 100 m from the edge within the meadow.

<i>Collection Dates (2022)</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>E</i>
<i>May 13 – May 26</i>	0.28	0.43	0.18
<i>May 26 – June 10</i>	0.13	0.14	0.08
<i>June 10 – June 30</i>	0.19	0.37	0.17
<i>Mean</i>	0.20 $\pm$ 0.02	0.29 $\pm$ 0.06	0.15 $\pm$ 0.02







**Figure 1.** (a) Aerial image of study locations Site 1 (37.278611 N, -75.811389 W) and Site 2 (37.279167 N, -75.810833 W) along the northern edge of South Bay eelgrass meadow. The extent of the eelgrass meadow can be seen as dark regions within the bay, to the west of Wreck barrier island (b) Aerial photo of Site 1 showing four sampling locations (A – D) along three parallel transects, including manmade bare patches at locations ‘C’. (D) Schematic of Site 1 sampling array with three parallel transects (1-3) heading 130° SE from naturally unvegetated seafloor (yellow) past the meadow’s edge into vegetation (green), each with four replicative, designated locations (A-D) for bivalve and sediment sampling (red Xs). Site 1C locations occur in manmade bare patches of 3 m diameter (white). Hydrodynamic sampling occurred along smaller transects and at site perimeters (blue dashes, blue Xs). (E) Image of Vectrino on frame used to compute transect velocities.

876  
877

878

879

880




881

882 **Figure 2.** (Left) Schematic diagram of PVC sleeve and trap design adapted from Wilson (1990), not to  
883 scale. (Right) Photo of sleeve and trap system deployed *in situ*.

884

885




886


887 **Figure 3.** A) Mean velocities (cm/s) quantified at a z=30 cm elevation above the seafloor for each  
 888 deployment period of 2021 from unvegetated (light) and vegetated (dark) sampling locations. B) Percent  
 889 velocity reduction from z=60 to 30 cm elevations in the water column [ $100 \cdot (U_{z=0.6m} - U_{z=0.3m}) / U_{z=0.6m}$ ],  
 890 at vegetated and unvegetated sampling locations.

891

892




895 **Figure 4.** Profiles of mean velocity (cm/s) and turbulent Reynolds stress ( $\text{cm}^2/\text{s}^2$ ) at  $z=0.1$  m from  
896 transects spanning the manmade bare patch on (A) July 15, 2021 and (C) August 18, 2021 and PSDs from  
897 the same dates (B and D, respectively) quantified in unvegetated (yellow) and vegetated (blue) sampling  
898 locations. The -5/3 slope lines shown in each graph indicates the best fit to the inertial subrange of the  
899 turbulence. Significant wave height during both sampling times were  $\sim 0.05$  m. Distance between transect  
900 sites is 0.5 m and seagrass edge begins between site 4 and 5. Mean wind and flow conditions for the  
901 transects shown are included in Table 1.



**Figure 5.** Profiles of mean velocity (cm/s) at  $z=0.1$  m from transects spanning the meadow's natural edge of vegetation on (A) July 26, 2021 and (B) August 10, 2021. Distance between transect sites is 1m, and the meadow edge begins at 0 m. Mean wind and flow conditions for the transects shown are included in Table 1. Due to instrument error no data was collected at transect site -4 or -2 m on July 26, 2021.

925



926

927


928

929

930

**Figure 6.** A) Mean velocities  $\pm 1$  SE and B) turbulent Reynolds stresses  $\pm 1$  SE shown from unvegetated and vegetated sampling locations during the three Vector deployments in 2022 (May 13, 23 and June 10, 2022).

931



932

933 **Figure 7.** Power spectral densities (PSD) of vertical velocity energy magnitude at various frequencies  
 934 from unvegetated (A and C) and vegetated (B and D) sampling locations. Deployment 1 of summer 2022  
 935 is shown in (A) and (B) with current-dominated conditions and Deployment 2 is shown in (C) and (D)  
 936 with wave-dominated conditions. The  $-5/3$  slope lines shown in each graph in A and B indicates the  
 937 inertial subrange of turbulence, while in (C) and (D) the addition of wave energy within the wave  
 938 frequencies ( $0.3 \text{ Hz} < f < 2 \text{ Hz}$ ) disrupts the characteristic  $-5/3$  inertial subrange.

939


940

941

942

943


944



**Figure 8.** Power Spectral Diagram (PDF) of horizontal (A and B) and vertical (C and D) velocities at frequencies under 2 Hz at unvegetated (A and C) and vegetated (B and D) sampling locations during Vector deployment 2 at Site 2. Black lines show the lower threshold of expected wave attenuation, which is inversely related to the square root of the water depth. Gaps in the figure represent periods of no data collection due to instrument exposure at low tide.




957  
958  
959




974  
975  
976  
977  
978

**Figure 9.** Monthly averages  $\pm 1$  SE of (A) sediment accumulation rate, (B) Suspended sediment concentration (C) significant wave height ( $H_s$ ), and (D) wind speed from Site 1 during 2021.




**Figure 10:** Time series data of (A) wind speed ( $\text{m/s}$ ), (B) significant wave height,  $H_s$  (m), and (C) NTU from the vegetated sampling location at Site 1 during a storm from May 28 – June 1, 2021.

989  
990  
991  
992




993  
994  
995  
996  
997

**Figure 11.** Averages  $\pm$  1 SD of A) suspended sediment concentration, B) significant wave height,  $H_s$ , and C) wind speed from periods of Vector deployment at Site 2 during summer 2022.



**Figure 12.** A) Mean abundances  $\pm$  1 SE of bivalves per core (core volume= 1390 cm<sup>3</sup>) from each sampling location (A-D) averaged over the entire study period of 2021. Location A in naturally bare seafloor, C in the artificial bare patch, B and D in vegetation 5 and 25 m past the meadow’s natural edge, respectively. Significance denoted with asterisks (one-way ANOVA,  $p < 0.001$ ). B) Mean abundances  $\pm$  1 SE of bivalves per core at all sampling locations from each round of collection (month/day) during summer 2021. A square-root transformation was applied to abundance values to meet assumptions of normality.



**Figure 13.** Mean abundances  $\pm$  1 SE of bivalves per core (core volume= 1390 cm<sup>3</sup>) from each sampling location (A-D) averaged over the entire study period of 2022 at site 1. Location A in naturally bare seafloor, C in the artificial bare patch, B and D in vegetation 5 and 25 m past the meadow's natural edge, respectively.