

involve

a journal of mathematics

A local energy estimate for
2-dimensional Dirichlet wave equations

Kellan Hepditch and Jason Metcalfe



A local energy estimate for 2-dimensional Dirichlet wave equations

Kellan Hepditch and Jason Metcalfe

(Communicated by Suzanne Lenhart)

We examine a variant of the integrated local energy estimate for (1+2)-dimensional Dirichlet wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles. The classical bound on the solution, rather than the derivative, is not typically available in two spatial dimensions. Using an argument inspired by the r^p -weighted method of Dafermos and Rodnianski and taking advantage of the Dirichlet boundary conditions allow for the recovery of such a term when the initial energy is appropriately weighted.

1. Introduction

We develop a variant of the integrated local energy estimate that holds for 2-dimensional wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Integrated local energy estimates first appeared in [Morawetz 1968] and are known to hold for wave equations with spatial dimension $n \geq 3$. The same are known to hold for Dirichlet wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles as the boundary terms that arise upon integrating by parts have a favorable sign. These, now standard, arguments are known to fail in two spatial dimensions. We introduce a novel variant in two dimensions that recovers portions of the integrated local energy bound.

For $\square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta$, where $\Delta u = \nabla \cdot \nabla u = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i}^2 u$, we shall examine the initial/boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \square u = 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{K}, \\ u(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0 & \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \mathcal{K} \text{ and } t \geq 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0, & \partial_t u(0, \cdot) = u_1. \end{cases} \quad (1-1)$$

MSC2020: 35L71, 35L05.

Keywords: wave equation, local energy estimate, exterior domain.

The results contained herein were developed as a part of Hepditch's extended essay for his International Baccalaureate. Metcalfe was supported in part by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant 711724 and NSF grants DMS-2054910 and DMS-2135998.

Here $\mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset$ is an open, bounded, star-shaped set with smooth boundary. By translation symmetry, we may assume without loss of generality that $0 \in \mathcal{K}$ and that \mathcal{K} is star-shaped with respect to the origin. In this case, if \mathbf{n} is the outward-pointing normal to \mathcal{K} at any point $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{K}$, then

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n} \geq 0. \quad (1-2)$$

By scaling, we may assume without loss of generality that $\{|\mathbf{x}| \leq e^2\} \subset \mathcal{K}$.

If we allow $\partial u = (\partial_t u, \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} u)$, the integrated local energy estimate, which is known to hold for $n \geq 3$, states that solutions to (1-1) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |\partial u(T, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ & \quad + R^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} |\partial u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt + R^{-3} \int_0^T \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} |u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\ & \lesssim \int |\partial u(0, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (1-3)$$

The implicit constant in the estimate is independent of the parameters R and T . The first term on the left is the conserved energy for \square . The latter two terms capture the dispersive nature of the wave equation. The bound on these terms shows that the local energy (i.e., that within the compact set $\{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R\}$), when appropriately weighted to account for the size of the set, must decay sufficiently rapidly to be globally integrable. In dimensions $n \geq 4$, the last term on the left side may instead be replaced by

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} |u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt,$$

which is a slight improvement.

Integrated local energy estimates have a rich history. They originated in the study of scattering theory. See, e.g., [Morawetz 1968]. They have subsequently, in, e.g., [Keel et al. 2002; Metcalfe and Sogge 2006], found applications in existence proofs for nonlinear wave equations. This includes playing a major role in the study of black hole stability [Dafermos et al. 2021; Klainerman and Szeftel 2023]. In the asymptotically flat regime, other common measures of dispersion such as Strichartz estimates [Hidano et al. 2010; Metcalfe and Tataru 2012] and pointwise decay estimates [Tataru 2013; Metcalfe et al. 2012; Dafermos and Rodnianski 2010] are known to be consequences of the integrated local energy estimate.

The estimate (1-3) is typically proved by pairing the equation $\square u = 0$ with a multiplier of the form

$$\partial_t u + \frac{r}{r+R} \partial_r u + \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{1}{r+R} u,$$

where $R > 0$, and integrating by parts. Here $r = |\mathbf{x}|$ and $\partial_r = (\mathbf{x}/r) \cdot \nabla$. See [Sterbenz 2005] on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and [Metcalfe and Sogge 2006] exterior to star-shaped obstacles. See, e.g., [Metcalfe et al. 2020] for generalizations and a more complete history.

In two spatial dimensions, the full boundaryless estimate (1-3) does not hold. The third term on the left poses the difficulty. Indeed consider

$$\begin{cases} \square u(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u(0, \mathbf{x}) = \beta(|\mathbf{x}|/\rho), & \partial_t u(0, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where β is a smooth, nonnegative cutoff function with $\beta(r) \equiv 1$ for $r \leq 1$ and $\beta(r) \equiv 0$ for $r \geq 2$. Due to the finite speed of propagation, $u(t, \mathbf{x}) \equiv 1$ for $t + |\mathbf{x}| \leq \rho$. If (1-3) held, then there would be a fixed constant C so that

$$\pi(\rho - 1) = \int_0^{\rho-1} \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq 1} |u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \leq C \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int |\beta'(\mathbf{x}/\rho)|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \tilde{C},$$

where \tilde{C} is independent of ρ . For ρ sufficiently large, this produces a contradiction.

Within the typical proof of (1-3), the third term corresponds to the

$$\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{1}{r+R} u$$

portion of the multiplier, which cancels out an unsigned occurrence of the Lagrangian that results from the other portion of the multiplier. The coefficient follows from a lower bound on

$$-\frac{n-1}{4} \Delta \left(\frac{1}{r+R} \right).$$

In two dimensions, this quantity is not beneficially signed.

Portions of (1-3) may be recovered in two dimensions. The first term corresponds to standard conservation of energy. And the bound for the second term roughly corresponds to, e.g., [Smith and Sogge 2000, Lemma 2.2] or to the $s = \frac{1}{2}$ boundary of [Hidano et al. 2010, (3.6)]. The bound on the third term of (1-3) instead corresponds to the $s = \frac{3}{2}$ case of [loc. cit., (3.6)], which is out of reach when $n = 2$. The boundaryless case is particularly difficult due to low-frequency contributions that frequently require moment conditions to recover local energy decay. See, e.g., [Aikawa and Ikehata 2010; Ikehata 2023; Metcalfe and Tataru 2012; Vainberg 1975].

The main result of this paper is the following (1+2)-dimensional variant of the local energy estimate. It recovers a bound on the lower-order term provided the energy contributions are sufficiently weighted.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 \in \mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open set with smooth boundary that is star-shaped with respect to the origin. Assume that*

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\mathbf{x}| \leq e^2\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}.$$

Let $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ be a solution to (1-1), and assume that for every $T > 0$ there is a $R > 0$ so that $u(t, x) = 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $|x| \geq R$. Then provided that $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $T > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} r(\ln r)^p \left\{ \left[\left(\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{2r} \right) u(T, x) \right]^2 + |\vec{\nabla} u(T, x)|^2 + \frac{(u(T, x))^2}{r^2} \right\} dx \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (\ln r)^p \{ [(\partial_t + \partial_r) u(t, x)]^2 + |\vec{\nabla} u(t, x)|^2 \} dx dt \\ & \quad \quad \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{p(1-p)}{r^2(\ln r)^{2-p}} (u(t, x))^2 dx dt \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} r(\ln r)^p \left\{ \left[\left(\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{2r} \right) u(0, x) \right]^2 + |\vec{\nabla} u(0, x)|^2 + \frac{(u(0, x))^2}{r^2} \right\} dx. \quad (1-4) \end{aligned}$$

Here the angular derivatives $\vec{\nabla}$ are defined via the orthogonal decomposition

$$\nabla u = \frac{x}{r} \partial_r u + \vec{\nabla} u. \quad (1-5)$$

The implicit constant here is independent of T . Recall that the assumptions that $0 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\{|x| \leq e^2\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ can be made without loss of generality due to translation and scaling invariance respectively. Moreover, when the data are compactly supported, the condition that $u(t, x)$ vanishes for sufficiently large $|x|$ is an immediate consequence of the finite speed of propagation. And for more general data, one can approximate by compactly supported data.

We note that (1-4) may be paired with the typical multiplier described above to partially recover (1-3). In this case, however, portions of the data will instead be measured in the weighted spaces that appear in the right side of (1-4). Perhaps of more significant consequence in applications is the corresponding weights that result on the forcing term when considering inhomogeneous equations.

The estimate (1-4) is most akin to the r^p -weighted estimates of [Dafermos and Rodnianski 2010] on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$. It is understood that the components of ∂u that are tangent to the light cone decay more rapidly. In [loc. cit.], multipliers of the form $r^p(\partial_t + \partial_r + 1/r)$ with $0 < p < 2$ were used and an improvement over (1-3) was obtained for the good directions, though with a weighted initial energy. Our related strategy will use multipliers like

$$r(\ln r)^p \left(\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{2r} \right),$$

with $0 \leq p \leq 1$. The bound that is obtained only holds for the good directions, but it does yield control on the solution u itself in an appropriately weighted norm. With the exception of $p = 0$, this method relies heavily upon being in an exterior domain with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For a function $f(r)$ that will be fixed later, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \square u(t, \mathbf{x}) f(r) \left(\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{2r} \right) u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (\partial_t^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla) u(t, \mathbf{x}) f(r) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (\partial_t^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla) u(t, \mathbf{x}) f(r) \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (\partial_t^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla) u(t, \mathbf{x}) f(r) \frac{1}{2r} u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt. \tag{2-1}
\end{aligned}$$

We will now manipulate each of the three integrals on the right side.

For the first, we use the chain rule and the divergence theorem (along with the Dirichlet boundary conditions) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (\partial_t^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla) u(t, \mathbf{x}) f(r) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_t (\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r) \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_t |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt. \tag{2-2}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we note that as $u(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{K}$ and $t \geq 0$, it follows that $\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{K}$ and $t \geq 0$. For later purposes we also note that

$$\mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{K} \implies \nabla u(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \partial_n u(t, \mathbf{x}),$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the outward unit normal to \mathcal{K} at the point $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{K}$ and ∂_n is the directional derivative in the direction \mathbf{n} . Using that $\square u = 0$ and applying the fundamental theorem of calculus with (2-2) then give

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) (\partial_t u(T, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) |\nabla u(T, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
&\quad \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r) \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) (\partial_t u(0, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) |\nabla u(0, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2-3}
\end{aligned}$$

For the second integral on the right side of (2-1), integration by parts and the divergence theorem show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (\partial_t^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla) u(t, \mathbf{x}) f(r) \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \Big|_{t=0}^T \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_r (\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \right) f(r) (\partial_{\mathbf{n}} u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r) (\partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \nabla u(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using that

$$\nabla \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) = \partial_r \nabla u(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{r} \nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})$$

and the fact that the decomposition (1-5) is orthogonal, we then see that the right side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) \partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \Big|_{t=0}^T - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_r (\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \right) f(r) (\partial_{\mathbf{n}} u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r) (\partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_r |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The divergence theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_r ((\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 - |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r} f(r) \right) ((\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 - |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \right) f(r) (\partial_{\mathbf{n}} u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r} f(r) \right) = f'(r) + \frac{f(r)}{r}$$

and since the orthogonality of (1-5) gives that $|\nabla u|^2 = (\partial_r u)^2 + |\vec{\nabla} u|^2$, the assumption that $\square u(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$ then shows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_t u(T, \mathbf{x}) \partial_r u(T, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r) (\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r) (\partial_r u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} - \frac{1}{2} f'(r) \right) |\vec{\nabla} u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} ((\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 - |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \right) f(r) (\partial_{\mathbf{n}} u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \partial_t u(0, \mathbf{x}) \partial_r u(0, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2-4}
\end{aligned}$$

We finally consider the last integral in (2-1):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} (\partial_t^2 - \nabla \cdot \nabla) u(t, \mathbf{x}) \frac{f(r)}{r} u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(t, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \Big|_{t=0}^T \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} ((\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 - |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \nabla \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) \cdot \nabla (u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have again integrated by parts and used the divergence theorem along with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. An additional application of the divergence theorem then shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(T, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(T, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} ((\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 - |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2) d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \nabla \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) (u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(0, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(0, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2-5}
\end{aligned}$$

Provided that $f(r) \geq 0$, by (1-2), the last term on the left side of (2-4) is nonnegative. By adding (2-3), (2-4), and (2-5) and dropping the nonnegative

boundary term in (2-4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r)[(\partial_t + \partial_r)u(T, \mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r)|\nabla u(T, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(T, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(T, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r)[(\partial_t + \partial_r)u(t, \mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} - \frac{1}{2} f'(r) \right) |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \Delta \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) (u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r)[(\partial_t + \partial_r)u(0, \mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r)|\nabla u(0, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(0, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(0, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2-6)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to get a meaningful estimate, we will need to show that the energy-type contribution on the time slice $t = T$ is nonnegative. To this end, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r)[(\partial_t + \partial_r)u(t, \mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(t, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r)[(\partial_t + \partial_r)u(t, \mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(t, \mathbf{x}) (\partial_t + \partial_r)u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} \partial_r(u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

The divergence theorem and the boundary conditions give that

$$-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} \partial_r(u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f'(r)}{r} (u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

Hence, if we complete the square, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r)[(\partial_t + \partial_r)u(t, \mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \frac{f(r)}{r} u(t, \mathbf{x}) \partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \left[\left(\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{2r} \right) u(t, \mathbf{x}) \right]^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{f'(r)}{r} - \frac{f(r)}{2r^2} \right) (u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2-7)
\end{aligned}$$

Making this substitution in (2-6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \left[\left(\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{2r} \right) u(T, \mathbf{x}) \right]^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{f'(r)}{r} - \frac{f(r)}{2r^2} \right) (u(T, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) |\nabla u(T, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f'(r) [(\partial_t + \partial_r) u(t, \mathbf{x})]^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} - \frac{1}{2} f'(r) \right) |\nabla u(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \Delta \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) (u(t, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} dt \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) \left[\left(\partial_t + \partial_r + \frac{1}{2r} \right) u(0, \mathbf{x}) \right]^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{f'(r)}{r} - \frac{f(r)}{2r^2} \right) (u(0, \mathbf{x}))^2 d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}} f(r) |\nabla u(0, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2-8)
\end{aligned}$$

We seek a function $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ so that the coefficient of each term in the left side is nonnegative. To this end, for $0 \leq p \leq 1$, we set

$$f(r) = r(\ln r)^p,$$

which is nonnegative on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \geq 1$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$. Moreover,

$$f'(r) = (\ln r)^p + p(\ln r)^{p-1} \geq (\ln r)^p$$

and

$$\frac{2p+1}{2}(\ln r)^p \geq f'(r) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f(r)}{r} = \frac{1}{2}(\ln r)^p + p(\ln r)^{p-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\ln r)^p.$$

Since $|\mathbf{x}| \geq e^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$, we additionally have, for example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(r)}{r} - \frac{1}{2} f'(r) &= \frac{1}{2}(\ln r)^p - \frac{p}{2}(\ln r)^{p-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\ln r)^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln r + \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln r - p \right] \right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4}(\ln r)^p \quad \text{provided that } p \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

And finally, we note that

$$-\frac{1}{4} \Delta \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) = -\frac{1}{4} r^{-1} \partial_r \left(r \partial_r \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) \right) = \frac{p(1-p)}{4} r^{-2} (\ln r)^{p-2},$$

which is nonnegative if $0 \leq p \leq 1$. If we make these substitutions in (2-8), the main result (1-4) follows immediately, which completes the proof.

References

[Aikawa and Ikehata 2010] S. Aikawa and R. Ikehata, “Local energy decay for a class of hyperbolic equations with constant coefficients near infinity”, *Math. Nachr.* **283**:5 (2010), 636–647. MR Zbl

[Dafermos and Rodnianski 2010] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, “A new physical-space approach to decay for the wave equation with applications to black hole spacetimes”, pp. 421–432 in *XVIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, edited by P. Exner, World Sci., Hackensack, NJ, 2010. MR Zbl

[Dafermos et al. 2021] M. Dafermos, G. Holzegel, I. Rodnianski, and M. Taylor, “The non-linear stability of the Schwarzschild family of black holes”, preprint, 2021. arXiv 2104.08222

[Hidano et al. 2010] K. Hidano, J. Metcalfe, H. F. Smith, C. D. Sogge, and Y. Zhou, “On abstract Strichartz estimates and the Strauss conjecture for nontrapping obstacles”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**:5 (2010), 2789–2809. MR Zbl

[Ikehata 2023] R. Ikehata, “ L^2 -blowup estimates of the wave equation and its application to local energy decay”, *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.* **20**:1 (2023), 259–275. MR Zbl

[Keel et al. 2002] M. Keel, H. F. Smith, and C. D. Sogge, “Almost global existence for some semilinear wave equations”, *J. Anal. Math.* **87** (2002), 265–279. MR Zbl

[Klainerman and Szeftel 2023] S. Klainerman and J. Szeftel, “Kerr stability for small angular momentum”, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **19**:3 (2023), 791–1678. Zbl

[Metcalfe and Sogge 2006] J. Metcalfe and C. D. Sogge, “Long-time existence of quasilinear wave equations exterior to star-shaped obstacles via energy methods”, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38**:1 (2006), 188–209. MR Zbl

[Metcalfe and Tataru 2012] J. Metcalfe and D. Tataru, “Global parametrices and dispersive estimates for variable coefficient wave equations”, *Math. Ann.* **353**:4 (2012), 1183–1237. MR Zbl

[Metcalfe et al. 2012] J. Metcalfe, D. Tataru, and M. Tohaneanu, “Price’s law on nonstationary space-times”, *Adv. Math.* **230**:3 (2012), 995–1028. MR Zbl

[Metcalfe et al. 2020] J. Metcalfe, J. Sterbenz, and D. Tataru, “Local energy decay for scalar fields on time dependent non-trapping backgrounds”, *Amer. J. Math.* **142**:3 (2020), 821–883. MR Zbl

[Morawetz 1968] C. S. Morawetz, “Time decay for the nonlinear Klein–Gordon equations”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **306** (1968), 291–296. MR Zbl

[Smith and Sogge 2000] H. F. Smith and C. D. Sogge, “Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **25**:11–12 (2000), 2171–2183. MR Zbl

[Sterbenz 2005] J. Sterbenz, “Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**:4 (2005), 187–231. MR Zbl

[Tataru 2013] D. Tataru, “Local decay of waves on asymptotically flat stationary space-times”, *Amer. J. Math.* **135**:2 (2013), 361–401. MR Zbl

[Vainberg 1975] B. R. Vainberg, “The short-wave asymptotic behavior of the solutions of stationary problems, and the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the solutions of nonstationary problems”, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **30**:2(182) (1975), 3–55. In Russian; translated in *Russian Math. Surveys* **30**:2 (1975), 1–58. MR Zbl

Received: 2022-02-07 Revised: 2022-06-15 Accepted: 2022-09-11

kellanhepditch@gmail.com

Cedar Ridge High School, Hillsborough, NC, United States

metcalfe@email.unc.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, United States

involve

msp.org/involve

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	Univ. of Tennessee, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri Univ. of Science and Tech., USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson Univ., USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey Univ., New Zealand
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State Univ., USA	Steven J. Miller	Williams College, USA
Joshua N. Cooper	Univ. of South Carolina, USA	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young Univ., USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi Univ. of Mashhad, Iran
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Ken Ono	Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville
Amanda Folsom	Amherst College, USA	Jonathon Peterson	Purdue Univ., USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State Univ., USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State Univ., USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Tech., USA
Ron Gould	Emory Univ., USA	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington Univ., USA
Sat Gupta	Univ. of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Javier Rojo	Oregon State Univ., USA
Jim Haglund	Univ. of Pennsylvania, USA	Filip Saidak	Univ. of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Virginia Commonwealth Univ., USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Michael Jablonski	Univ. of Oklahoma, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford Univ., USA
Nathan Kaplan	Univ. of California, Irvine, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins Univ., USA
David Larson	Texas A&M Univ., USA		

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2023 is US \$235/year for the electronic version, and \$310/year (+\$40, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2023 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Comparing embedded graphs using average branching distance	365
LEVENT BATAKCI, ABIGAIL BRANSON, BRYAN CASTILLO, CANDACE TODD, ERIN WOLF CHAMBERS AND ELIZABETH MUNCH	
Gluing of graphs and their Jacobians	389
ALESSANDRO CHILELLI AND JAIUNG JUN	
On matroids from self-orthogonal codes and their properties	409
WESTON LOUCKS AND BAHATTIN YILDIZ	
Characterization of sets K for which $H_K^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is an algebra	421
DEBENDRA P. BANJADE AND JEREMIAH DUNIVIN	
The elliptical case of an odds inversion problem	431
KIERAN HILMER, ANGELA JIN, RON LYCAN AND VADIM PONOMARENKO	
Almost strongly unital rings	453
GREG OMAN AND EVAN SENKOFF	
Two-point functions and constant mean curvature surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3	467
PETER MCGRATH AND EVERETT MEEKINS	
A local energy estimate for 2-dimensional Dirichlet wave equations	483
KELLAN HEPDITCH AND JASON METCALFE	
A lower bound on the failed zero-forcing number of a graph	493
NICOLAS SWANSON AND ERIC UFFERMAN	
Complete minors in complements of nonseparating planar graphs	505
LEONARD FOWLER, GREGORY LI AND ANDREI PAVELESCU	
Explicit lower bound of the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on Kähler manifolds	519
BENJAMIN RUTKOWSKI AND SHOO SETO	
Some results on LCTR, an impartial game on partitions	529
ERIC GOTTLIEB, JELENA ILIĆ AND MATJAŽ KRNC	