

Getting the Most Out of Fluorogenic Probes: Challenges and Opportunities in Using Single-Molecule Fluorescence to Image Electro- and Photocatalysis

Meikun Shen¹, William H. Rackers², Bryce Sadtler^{2,3*}

¹ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, United States

² Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130, United States

³ Institute of Materials Science & Engineering, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130, United States

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: sadtler@wustl.edu

Abstract

Single-molecule fluorescence microscopy enables the direct observation of individual reaction events at the surface of a catalyst. It has become a powerful tool to image in real time both intra- and interparticle heterogeneity among different nanoscale catalyst particles. Single-molecule fluorescence microscopy of heterogeneous catalysts relies on the detection of chemically activated fluorogenic probes that are converted from a non-fluorescent state into a highly fluorescent state through a reaction mediated at the catalyst surface. This review article describes challenges and opportunities in using such fluorogenic probes as proxies to develop structure–activity relationships in nanoscale electrocatalysts and photocatalysts. We compare single-molecule fluorescence microscopy to other microscopies for imaging catalysis *in situ* to highlight the distinct advantages and limitations of this technique. We describe correlative imaging between super-resolution activity maps obtained from multiple fluorogenic probes to understand the chemical origins behind spatial variations in activity that are frequently observed for nanoscale catalysts. Novel fluorogenic probes, originally developed for biological imaging, are introduced that can detect products such as carbon monoxide, nitrite, and ammonia, which are generated by electro- and photocatalysts for fuel production and environmental remediation. We conclude by describing how single-molecule imaging can provide mechanistic insights for a broader scope of catalytic systems, such as single-atom catalysts.

Keywords: single-molecule fluorescence, fluorogenic probes, super-resolution imaging, photocatalysis, electrocatalysis, structure–activity relationships, nanoparticles, single-atom catalysts

Vocabulary

Fluorogenic probe: A molecule that is initially non-fluorescent or weakly fluorescent but becomes highly fluorescent after undergoing a chemical or physical change.

Total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy: a form of fluorescence microscopy in which the incident angle for laser excitation is such that the illumination is totally internally reflected between the top and bottom surfaces of a microscope coverslip. An evanescent field is created that only excites fluorescent molecules near the surface of the coverslip.

Super-localization: Fitting the emission profile or point spread function of a single fluorophore to localize its position below the diffraction-limited resolution of an optical microscope.

Correlative imaging: Imaging the same region of a sample using two different microscopy techniques, such as single-molecule fluorescence microscopy and electron microscopy and correlating information gained from each technique.

Nanoscale catalyst: Catalyst particles with in which at least one dimension is in the range of 1 to 100 nanometers. Nanoscale catalysts can be composed of metals, metal oxides, metal chalcogenides as well as combinations of these materials and include spherical nanoparticles, nanorods, nanowires, and nanoplates.

Single-atom catalyst: A catalyst in which the active sites consist of individually dispersed atoms (typically metal atoms but can also be nonmetals) that are bound to the surface of a support.¹ The support could be another metal, a metal oxide, or a conductive form of carbon; the type of the support and how the dispersed atoms are coordinated to it strongly influence the electronic structure, activity, and stability of the active sites.

Introduction

Nanoscale catalysts are being developed for a wide range of important reactions in energy conversion and storage, environmental remediation, and the production of commodity chemicals.²⁻

²⁷ In these heterogeneous catalysts, the chemical reaction takes place on the surface of the material. Thus, individual steps along the reaction pathway, such as adsorption, surface diffusion, electron transfer, bond breaking, bond formation, and product desorption are highly sensitive to the surface structure of the catalyst. Different facets of a nanocrystal have different surface structures leading to different activation energies for these steps. Surface sites with a high degree of undercoordination (i.e., a lower coordination number than the same atom would possess in the interior of the crystal) often serve as preferential reaction sites for catalysis. For example, in electrocatalysts with a layered crystal structure, such as transition metal chalcogenides, the edge atoms around the perimeter of the crystals are undercoordinated and act as preferential sites in electrocatalytic reactions, including the hydrogen evolution reaction and oxygen evolution reaction.²⁸⁻³² Crystal defects in nanoscale catalysts can also have a profound impact on their activity. For example, oxygen vacancies (i.e., the absence of an oxygen atom where it would normally be located in the crystal lattice) in semiconductor metal oxides can both enhance the concentration of photoexcited charge carriers needed for photocatalytic redox reactions and expose metal atoms at the surface that act as preferential sites for interfacial charge transfer.³³⁻³⁹

To understand the features that produce high activity and stability in nanoscale catalysts (or the lack of activity/stability), analytical methods are needed to detect reaction products and correlate the generation of those products with the structure of the catalyst. Common techniques to analyze the products of catalytic reactions include nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, infrared spectroscopy, gas chromatography, and mass spectrometry. Combined with techniques

for structural and morphological characterization, such as electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, and X-ray absorption spectroscopy, these methods can provide an ensemble picture of structure–activity trends that averages over all the particles in the sample. Using these techniques, many reports have demonstrated the ability to tune the activity, selectivity, and stability of nanoscale materials for photocatalytic and electrocatalytic reactions through systematic control over the average particle morphology^{29-30, 40-48} and/or defect concentration.^{15-16, 33-39, 49-51}

However, nanoscale catalysts exhibit interparticle variations that affect their activity and stability, such as differences in size, shape, surface structure, and defect concentration, even for particles synthesized within the same batch. Each particle also contains different potential reaction sites including different crystal facets and edge sites as well as surface defects (e.g., vacancies, step edges, twin boundaries, etc.). Such heterogeneity makes it challenging to identify the actual surface sites responsible for catalytic turnovers; this critical information is averaged over when measurements are made on a large number (e.g., on the order of a mole) of catalyst particles. For example, a sub-population of catalyst particles could be dominant in the observed ensemble activity while other particles in the batch are relatively inactive.⁵²⁻⁵⁵ To make matters more complicated, the surface structures of many catalysts change when they are in their active state (i.e., catalyzing the reaction of interest).⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹ Therefore, conventional ex-situ measurements performed before or after the reaction may not reflect the true chemical properties of the active catalyst. In-situ methods are needed to map the distribution of active regions across the surfaces of catalyst particles under conditions where they are undergoing catalytic turnovers.

Single-molecule fluorescence (SMF) microscopy provides the unique capability to probe individual chemical reactions with millisecond time resolution and nanoscale spatial resolution.⁵³⁻

^{55, 60-106} It has been used to measure both differences among the reactivity of catalyst particles prepared within the same batch and to image nanoscale variations in activity across individual particles. However, the technique relies on chemically activated fluorogenic probes that serve as proxies for the reaction of interest. The focus of this article is to describe challenges and opportunities in applying SMF imaging to understand the chemical and physical behavior of nanoscale catalysts. The examples provided in this article pertain mostly to metal and semiconductor electro- and photocatalysts that are candidates for generating useful chemical fuels through catalyzing reactions such as water splitting to produce hydrogen, the reduction of carbon dioxide into alcohols, and the reduction of nitrogen to ammonia. The article will start with a comparison of various techniques for imaging heterogeneous catalysts in their active state. We will outline the limitations of using fluorogenic probes for imaging catalytic activity via SMF microscopy and provide possible methods to overcome these limitations. Based on the current challenges and opportunities in using single-molecule fluorescence imaging, we will provide examples of catalytic systems in which this technique has the potential to provide new mechanistic insights.

A comparison of techniques for *in situ* imaging of heterogeneous catalysis

The ideal imaging technique for revealing structure–activity trends in nanoscale catalysts would 1) determine the identities and amounts of chemical products, 2) provide atomic-level resolution of the active sites on the surface of the catalyst while it is in operation and image changes in those sites over time, 3) operate under conditions that are similar to the catalytic reaction of interest, 4) be compatible with a wide range of different catalysts and environments (e.g., in solution or the gas phase and at different temperatures and pressures), and 5) allow high-

throughput screening of different catalyst compositions and structures. No current method meets all these requirements. To put the advantages (and disadvantages) of single-molecule fluorescence into context, several techniques for imaging the active regions of heterogeneous catalysts at different length scales are described below. A comparison of these different techniques is provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Comparison of techniques for in situ imaging of heterogeneous catalysis

Technique	Sample environment	Detection method	Characterizes	Detects reaction products?	Typical spatial resolution*	Typical acquisition rate*	Requirements/limitations
STM	Vacuum	Tunneling current	Surface structure	If adsorbed on the surface	Atomic, 0.01 nm	Scan rates vary: 0.1 to 100 s for a $10 \times 10 \text{ nm}^2$ region	Requires clean, atomically flat surfaces
Gas & liquid-cell TEM	Electron-transparent cell in vacuum	Electron transmission/diffraction	Particle structure & morphology	When combined with GC or EELS	Atomic to nanoscale, 0.01 nm – 10 nm, depends on liquid thickness	8 – 150 frames/s for a region of $2.5 \times 2.5 \mu\text{m}^2$ (low-res) to $25 \times 25 \text{ nm}^2$ (high-res)	Cells need high electron transparency. Samples are subject to beam damage.
SECM	In liquid, open to air	Electrochemical current	Charge-transfer rate	Detects rate of redox reactions	Nano- to microscale 0.05 – 10 μm	Scan rates vary from 30 nm/s to 10 $\mu\text{m}/\text{s}$	Primarily restricted to redox reactions
STXM	X-ray-transparent liquid cell	X-ray transmission	Distribution of elements and their oxidation states	No	Nanoscale 40 – 100 nm	Scan rates vary: 100 s to >1 hour for a $1 \times 1 \mu\text{m}^2$ region	Requires synchrotron radiation & specialized cell design
SMF	In liquid, open to air	Fluorescence	Number of product molecules generated	If they are fluorescent	Nanoscale 10 – 50 nm	10 – 70 frames/s for an $80 \times 80 \mu\text{m}^2$ region	Requires samples and substrates with low fluorescence background

* The spatial resolutions and acquisition rates provided are based on representative examples.

The resolution and either scan rate (for STM, SECM, and STXM) or frame rate (for TEM and SMF) will depend on the specific sample, reaction conditions, and instrument used.

Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) uses a sharp tip to probe the structure of surfaces. It provides atomic resolution of catalyst surfaces and can monitor changes in their structure *in situ* after the introduction of gaseous species or under an applied electrochemical potential.¹⁰⁷⁻¹¹⁰ Differences in the adsorption, dissociation, and reactivity of molecular adsorbates such as carbon monoxide, hydrogen, and water on crystal terraces vs. step edges and vacancies can be imaged.¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁴ Conformational changes in redox active molecules can also be imaged at different applied potentials using electrochemical STM.¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁸ Moreover, when the tip of the microscope is coated with a plasmonic metal, such as Ag or Au, chemical information with nanoscale resolution can be obtained through tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS).¹¹⁹⁻¹²⁴ So far, TERS imaging has focused on self-assembled monolayers of molecules adsorbed on metal surfaces,^{119, 121, 124} such that the technique is limited to one catalytic turnover at each surface site unless the reactant can be regenerated *in situ*.¹²²⁻¹²³ Furthermore, STM generally requires clean and nearly atomically flat surfaces (i.e., single crystals, which can be modified with clusters of metals, metal oxides, or molecular adsorbates). This restriction limits the types of catalytic systems and chemical reactions that can be studied.

While STM is well suited for imaging single-crystal surfaces, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is better matched for imaging nanoscale catalyst particles (e.g., spherical nanoparticles, nanorods, and nanowires). The development of *in-situ* holders for TEM in which gas and liquids can be introduced has enabled atomic-level visualization of the changes in nanoscale catalysts after reactive chemical species (e.g., H₂, O₂, or CO) are introduced into the cell.¹²⁵⁻¹²⁹ *In-situ* holders designed for introducing gases are particularly useful for studying structural changes at elevated temperatures (e.g., 150 to 800°C) during vapor-phase reactions such as methane oxidation,¹³⁰ CO oxidation,¹³¹⁻¹³³ and other reactions.¹³⁴⁻¹³⁵ *In-situ* liquid-cell holders

with the ability to apply an electrical bias can be used to monitor morphological changes during electrochemical processes such as lithiation/delithiation, metal dendrite formation,¹³⁶⁻¹³⁹ and, more recently, electrochemical reactions including water oxidation and oxygen reduction.¹⁴⁰⁻¹⁴¹ Changes in the surface structure of photocatalyst particles, such as titanium dioxide (TiO₂), under UV irradiation and in the presence of H₂O have also been imaged.¹⁴²⁻¹⁴³ While transmission electron microscopes can be coupled with instrumentation for detecting reaction products through mass spectrometry (MS) or electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS),^{131-132, 144-146} there is currently no way to correlate a specific region of the catalyst with the number of turnovers at that site nor how the observed structural changes affect its relative activity. So far, mapping the relative reactivity of different regions has been limited to reactions that produce gaseous products (e.g., water splitting to produce H₂ and O₂ gas) by imaging the formation of gas bubbles in liquid cells.^{143, 146-147} However, the gas bubbles are significantly larger (i.e., tens to hundreds of nanometers) than the reaction sites producing the bubbles. While scanning electron microscopy (SEM) does not have the atomic resolution of TEM, it can be used to image thicker samples that are not electron transparent. Gas adsorption on metal surfaces leads to changes in the work function of the metal and corresponding changes in the brightness of the surface when imaged by SEM. This contrast mechanism has enabled observation of oscillatory dynamics for gas-phase catalytic reactions on the surface of polycrystalline metals over much larger field of views (i.e., 500 × 500 μm²) than can be imaged by TEM.¹⁴⁸

Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) maps the rates of heterogeneous charge transfer across electrode surfaces.¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁵⁴ An ultramicroelectrode (UME) with a diameter typically ranging from several hundred nanometers to a few micrometers is scanned across the electrochemically active surface to measure current under an applied potential. The advantage of

this technique is that it directly measures the rate of the electrochemical reaction of interest at a specific region (as the Faradaic current is proportional to reaction rate). Combining SECM with an illumination source, which may be coupled with the UME for localized illumination, enables scanning photoelectrochemical measurements at semiconductor electrodes.¹⁵⁴⁻¹⁵⁸ The spatial resolution of SECM depends primarily on the diameter of the UME. While typical resolutions for this technique are hundreds of nanometers to microns, recent advances in using smaller nanoelectrodes for SECM have provided spatial resolutions of 15 to 55 nm,^{157, 159-160} which is comparable to that obtainable by single-molecule fluorescence imaging. A variant of SECM is scanning electrochemical cell microscopy (SECCM) where instead of immersing the entire sample in an electrolyte solution, a pipet probe containing both the counter and reference electrodes is scanned across the sample surface with a drop of electrolyte between the scanning probe and the sample.^{156, 161-164} In this case the resolution is determined by the size of the liquid droplet and can vary from hundreds of nanometers to microns. As will be discussed in more detail for the case of SMF imaging below, understanding structure–activity relationships in catalysts using SECM often requires correlating the resulting current maps with other techniques such as electron microscopy or Raman microscopy to examine the morphology and/or structure of the area imaged.^{153, 158, 165-167}

Scanning transmission X-ray microscopy (STXM) uses a focused and coherent X-ray beam from a synchrotron source to image the transmission of X-rays through the catalyst sample.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰ By tuning the X-ray energy to match the absorption edge of a specific electronic transition for an element, this technique enables nanoscale chemical mapping of the local oxidation state of that element in the catalyst.¹⁷¹⁻¹⁷⁸ The distribution of different elements in the sample can be obtained by imaging with multiple X-ray energies. Typical spatial resolutions for in situ STXM are 40 to

100 nm, although resolutions below 10 nm have been obtained for *ex situ* STXM.¹⁷⁹ STXM enables the acquisition of highly multiplexed datasets where the evolution of the oxidation states of different elements and their distribution can be mapped as a function of electrochemical potential, temperature, or reactive gas pressure.¹⁷¹⁻¹⁷⁷ Thus, differences in the local chemical composition of the catalyst can be compared when it is in its active vs. inactive states. Similar to *in situ* TEM, STXM cannot measure the local activity of the catalyst. For electrocatalysts, STXM images have been correlated with SECM images to understand how the local oxidation state of elements in the sample mediate the resulting electrocatalytic current.¹⁷¹

Imaging catalysis at the nanoscale with single-molecule fluorescence relies on chemically activated fluorogenic probes. These probes are initially non-fluorescent but are converted into a highly fluorescent state through a reaction catalyzed at the catalyst surface. **Figure 1** provides examples of common fluorogenic probes used for imaging reduction, oxidation, and acid-catalyzed reactions. As this review focuses on heterogeneous catalysis, we will primarily discuss probes that undergo irreversible chemical reactions that activate them into their fluorescent state. Fluorogenic probes that reversibly interconvert between their non-fluorescent and fluorescent states through changes in pH and/or temperature¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸² or an applied electrical bias¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁴ are used in other SMF imaging techniques. Once activated, individual fluorescent probes can be detected using fluorescence microscopy allowing single-turnover counting of the reaction events that occur on the catalyst surface.

Different illumination geometries can be used for exciting the activated probes. A common geometry depicted in **Figure 2a** is objective-based total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy in which laser illumination enters a microscope objective at an angle. The critical angle, θ_C , for total internal reflection of incident light within the microscope coverslip is given by

$\sin(\theta_C) = n_2/n_1$, where n_1 is the refractive index of the coverslip and n_2 is the refractive index of the solution above it. For an aqueous solution in which $n_2 \approx 1.33$ and $n_1 = 1.5$ for the glass coverslip, then $\theta_C = 62.5^\circ$. When the angle is adjusted to be greater than θ_C , the incident laser light is totally internally reflected within the glass coverslip on which the catalyst sample has been deposited, and an evanescent excitation field is created. The evanescent field created by TIRF excitation extends several hundred nanometers into the sample volume above the coverslip and can be tuned with the wavelength and incident angle of the laser excitation.¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁶

The chemical transformation that activates the fluorogenic probe at the surface of the catalyst occurs much faster (i.e., sub-picosecond timescale) than the typical camera exposure times of 15 to 100 milliseconds used for SMF imaging. Thus, the activated probe molecule appears as a sudden increase in fluorescence intensity between imaging frames and has a diffraction-limited emission profile (**Figure 2b**). The activated probe can turn off through different mechanisms including desorption from the surface, photobleaching, or undergoing further reaction to produce a non-fluorescent product. When a fluorescent probe leaves the surface of the catalyst, it is no longer observed as its diffusion in solution is much faster than the typical exposure times of the camera. Thus, each reaction event appears as a fluorescence burst during imaging. Since the bulk imaging solution is not excited by the evanescent field, TIRF excitation significantly reduces solution background fluorescence relative to epifluorescence excitation (from either activated probe molecules once they diffuse into the bulk solution or from probes that are weakly fluorescent in their initial inactive state). The microscope objective collects photons emitted from activated probes, and an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EM-CCD) camera with high quantum efficiency is typically used for imaging.




Figure 1. Fluorogenic probes used for SMF imaging of nanoscale catalysts. (a) Reductive N-deoxygenation of resazurin produces fluorescent resorufin. (b) The reduction of the para-nitro group of 8-(3,4-dinitrophenyl)-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (DN-BODIPY) into a hydroxylamino group produces the fluorescent HN-BODIPY. (c) Oxidative N-deacetylation of Amplex red produces resorufin. (d) Oxidative cleavage of the aminophenyl group of 3'-(p-aminophenyl) fluorescein (APF) produces fluorescein. Both Amplex red and APF can either be directly oxidized by the catalyst to produce the fluorescent product, or they can be activated by reactive oxygen species generated at the catalyst surface. (e) The acid-catalyzed condensation of furfuryl alcohol produces fluorescent oligomers. All probes except the one shown in panel (b) are commercially available. The synthesis of the DN-BODIPY probe is described in reference 187.

Detecting individual fluorescence bursts from activated probes provides the ability to perform super-resolution imaging to observe variations in catalytic activity below the diffraction-limited resolution of an optical fluorescence microscope. Localizing the centroid position of each

fluorescence burst (i.e., reaction event) relies on fitting the emission profile of the probe, which spreads over several pixels of the EM-CCD camera (see the inset in **Figure 3a** for an example). A Gaussian function is typically used for fitting the emission profile of a single fluorophore. However, for fluorescent molecules coupled to plasmonic metal nanostructures (which can serve as photocatalysts), other fitting functions may be necessary.¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁹¹ The localization precision in SMF imaging increases with the signal-to-noise ratio of the number of photons emitted by the fluorophore over the background photons and is typically in the range of 10 to 50 nm for SMF imaging of nanoscale catalysts. For example, our research group typically achieves a localization precision between 25 and 30 nm when applying this technique to semiconductor photocatalysts, such as tungsten oxide and bismuth oxybromide.^{55, 81-83} This spatial resolution is comparable to that obtained by other SMF techniques used for biological specimens such as photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM) and stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM).¹⁹²⁻¹⁹⁶ By counting reaction events one-by-one, super-resolution activity maps are generated which quantify how the number of catalytic turnovers varies at the nanoscale across the surface of the catalyst (**Figure 2b**). Because the imaging technique uses widefield optical microscopy, many particles can be imaged simultaneously enabling quantification of heterogeneity in the reactivity of different catalyst particles synthesized within the same batch.^{88, 197-198}




Figure 2. Single-molecule fluorescence imaging of fluorogenic probes on nanoscale catalysts. (a) Schematic of objective-based TIRF microscopy in which a laser is sent through a TIRF microscope objective at an angle such that it is internally reflected by the glass coverslip. The catalyst converts an initially non-fluorescent substrate molecule (S) into a fluorescent product (P). The evanescent field from the TIRF illumination excites the fluorescent product, and photons emitted by the activated probe are collected by the objective. For semiconductor photocatalysts, dual excitation may be used in which one laser with a photon energy greater than the band gap of the semiconductor (e.g., 405 or 450 nm) excites electrons into the conduction band of the semiconductor, and a lower-energy laser (e.g., 488, 532, or 567 nm) excites the activated probe. (b) Schematic for super-localization of activated probe molecules (red circles) on a faceted catalyst particle (shown in yellow). The emission profile for each fluorescent molecule is diffraction-limited, but the center position of the fluorophore can be localized with nanoscale precision given a sufficient number of photons are collected over the background and as long as two molecules within a diffraction-limited region are not emitting at the same time. By localizing the positions of many activated probes over time, super-resolution activity maps can be produced which show how the activity varies at the nanoscale across the catalyst surface (right image in panel b).

In addition to nanoscale spatial resolution, SMF microscopy can quantify reaction kinetics on individual catalyst particles through statistical analysis of the fluorescence bursts. The individual off times, τ_{off} , between fluorescence bursts and on times, τ_{on} , for fluorescence bursts are stochastic. However, the inverse of the average values of these parameters, $\langle\tau_{off}\rangle^{-1}$ and $\langle\tau_{on}\rangle^{-1}$, can be related to kinetic and thermodynamic parameters such as the rate constant for product formation, the equilibrium constant for adsorption of the probe on the surface of the catalyst, and the rate constant for dissociation of the activated probe.⁵³ Determining these parameters for different catalyst particles requires an appropriate model for the reaction. The most common model used in SMF imaging is the Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism for surface reactions in which the adsorption of the fluorogenic probe is fast relative to its subsequent conversion into the activated product:^{53, 65, 73, 82-83, 85-87, 90, 99}

$$\nu = \langle\tau_{off}\rangle^{-1} = \frac{\gamma_{eff} K_{ad} [S]}{1 + K_{ad} [S]}$$

In this equation, ν is the turnover rate, γ_{eff} is the effective rate constant for activation of the probe, K_{ad} is the equilibrium constant for adsorption of the probe onto the surface of the catalyst, and $[S]$ is the concentration of the fluorogenic probe in solution. Fitting this equation is normally done on a per particle basis such that γ_{eff} combines all reaction sites on the catalyst particle. Differences in γ_{eff} for different catalyst particles indicate differences in either the number of active sites or their intrinsic activity. It is common in the literature of SMF imaging of heterogeneous catalysts to either use the inverse of the average off time, $\langle\tau_{off}\rangle^{-1}$, to calculate the turnover rate, ν , or to count the number of fluorescence bursts observed over a given time period. When ν is divided by the surface area of the catalyst, it gives the specific activity. The dependence of the inverse of the average on time, $\langle\tau_{on}\rangle^{-1}$, on the concentration of the probe provides information on how the probe

desorbs from the surface of the catalyst.⁵³ When $\langle\tau_{on}\rangle^{-1}$ is independent of $[S]$, it indicates that the activated probe undergoes self-dissociation from the catalyst surface. On the other hand, when $\langle\tau_{on}\rangle^{-1}$ depends on $[S]$, it indicates that self-dissociation competes with substrate-assisted dissociation.

SMF microscopy can also be used to image changes in the activity of the catalyst *in situ*. While the time resolution for imaging a single burst is milliseconds (based on the exposure time of the camera), many reaction events need to be detected to perform statistical analysis of the on and off times of fluorescence bursts or to compare activity maps collected over different time periods. While the types of dynamic processes that can be observed depend on the specific catalyst, the probe used, and the reaction conditions, we describe some representative examples. When monitoring the activity of catalyst particles that are smaller than the localization precision of individual fluorophores, such as metal nanoparticles with diameters less than 20 nm, the concentration of the fluorogenic probe needs to be low enough such that one activation event occurs at a time on each particle (i.e., one probe turns off before the next one turns on). By imaging hundreds of fluorescence bursts for the reduction of resazurin or the oxidation of Amplex red on individual Au, Pt, or Pd nanoparticles over periods of hundreds to thousands of seconds, fluctuations in the frequency of reaction events are frequently observed.^{53, 65-66, 92} These variations have been attributed to a combination of catalysis-induced restructuring of the surface of the metal nanoparticles as well as spontaneous surface restructuring.

For spatial mapping of the activity of larger catalyst particles (e.g., microcrystals, nanoplates, and nanorods), the separation between activated probes in each frame should be larger than their diffraction-limited emission profiles. For example, we imaged changes in the photocatalytic activity of bismuth oxybromide (BiOBr) nanoplates for the reduction of resazurin.⁸² We compared

activity maps acquired for 2500 frames with a 50 ms exposure time (i.e., 2.08 minutes) over a period of 32 minutes. Photoinduced increases in the concentrations of crystal defects in the BiOBr nanoplates (i.e., Bi ions in a reduced oxidation state and oxygen vacancies) led to increased activity in individual nanoplates during the first 5 minutes followed by a slow decrease in activity. Alivisatos and coworkers mapped the activity of a single antimony-doped TiO₂ nanorod for the photocatalytic oxidation of Amplex red.⁸⁹ Over a period of 13 hours, they detected ~10,000 reaction events on the surface of the nanorod. By creating a series of activity maps that each included ~2000 events, they observed time-dependent spatial variations in activity. During the first 3 hours of observation, the majority of reaction events occurred near the middle of the TiO₂ nanorod; at later times, the fluorescence bursts transitioned to occur primarily near the ends of the nanorod.

Comparing the different microscopy techniques discussed above (STM, TEM, SECM, STXM, and SMF), STM and TEM provide the highest resolution for imaging structural changes in nanostructured catalysts when they are in their catalytically active state. However, the requirement for a high vacuum chamber (even if the sample itself is in a liquid cell inside the vacuum chamber) restricts the types of samples that can be imaged by these techniques and makes them relatively low throughput. Furthermore, TEM and STM do not measure variations in catalytic turnovers across different regions of the sample. STXM has lower resolution than STM or TEM, but it can measure the spatial distribution of elements and their oxidation states at the nanoscale when the catalyst is in its active state. Furthermore, due to the higher penetration depth of X-rays relative to electrons, thicker samples (i.e., thicknesses > 1 μm) can be imaged using STXM compared with TEM. Both *in situ* TEM and STXM require specialized reactor cells that are electron or X-ray transparent, respectively. Furthermore, STXM requires a synchrotron facility to generate the X-

rays. While SECM and SMF do not provide atomic resolution of the catalyst structure, they can quantify reaction kinetics at the nano/microscale across the surface of the catalyst. They can be performed under conditions relevant to electrochemical and photochemical energy conversion (e.g., with the sample in aqueous solution open to air). SECM and single-molecule fluorescence are also well suited for observing changes in the response of a catalyst under different chemical conditions (e.g., pH or concentration of the reactant) and physical stimuli (e.g., light intensity or applied potential). In comparing SECM to SMF, the main advantage of SECM is that it directly provides rates for electrocatalytic reactions of interest (e.g., the hydrogen evolution reaction or oxygen evolution reaction), whereas SMF relies on chemically activated fluorogenic probes as proxies for catalytic activity. A significant difference between the two techniques is that SECM measures the products of the electrochemical reaction at a distance that is determined by the separation between the UME and the sample surface. Thus, the measured current convolves the generation of chemical products with their diffusion, which can make analysis more difficult (i.e., a model is needed to relate time-dependent concentration profiles to the measured current). In single-molecule fluorescence using TIRF microscopy, activated fluorogenic probes are detected at the catalyst surface and are no longer observed once they diffuse away. The main advantage of SMF microscopy over SECM is the higher spatial resolution. While sub-100 nm resolution can be achieved by SECM, the resolution is typically much lower (i.e., several hundred nanometers to several microns). SMF imaging can routinely achieve a resolution of 10 to 50 nm. This higher spatial resolution is critical to achieve the super-resolution activity maps described in the next section.

Challenges and potential solutions in applying single-molecule fluorescence to heterogeneous catalysis

Pioneering studies in SMF imaging of heterogeneous catalysis focused on model systems including zeolite microcrystals,⁶⁰⁻⁶³ gold and platinum nanoparticles,^{53, 64-66} and the photocatalytic generation of reactive oxygen species by TiO₂ microcrystals.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ Over the last 18 years, this technique has expanded to more complex catalytic systems and has enabled visualization of nanoconfinement in porous catalysts,⁷⁰⁻⁷² photoexcited charge flow in semiconductor–semiconductor and semiconductor–metal heterostructures,⁷³⁻⁷⁹ and non-uniform activity in metal and semiconductor catalysts due to nanoscale spatial variations in their defect concentration.^{54-55, 80-84} Many of these papers use the fluorogenic probes shown in **Figure 1**. Resazurin (**Figure 1a**)^{53, 55, 64-66, 76, 79-80, 82, 85, 88-90, 92-94, 97, 99-100} and 8-(3,4-dinitrophenyl)-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (DN-BODIPY) (**Figure 1b**)^{73-74, 87} can be used to image reduction reactions while Amplex red (**Figure 1c**)^{54, 66, 70-72, 75-79, 86, 88-89, 94, 96-97, 100} and 3’-(p-aminophenyl) fluorescein (APF) (**Figure 1d**)^{55, 69, 81, 83-84, 186} can be used to image oxidation reactions. Furfuryl alcohol condenses in the presence of acid to form fluorescent oligomers (**Figure 1e**), which can be catalyzed by solid acid catalysts such as zeolites or tungsten oxide.^{61-62, 81, 83}

It is important to consider the compatibility of these probes with the reaction conditions employed for heterogeneous catalysis. For example, the photocatalytic reduction of resazurin to resorufin has been shown to be inhibited by oxygen.^{76, 199} Furthermore, the fluorescence intensity of resorufin has a strong pH dependence. The pK_a of resorufin is near 6, and the fluorescence intensity rapidly rises of above this pH.²⁰⁰ Resazurin is typically used in aqueous solutions but can also be activated to resorufin in organic solvents including ethanol, acetone, and dimethylsulfoxide

(DMSO).²⁰¹ While the initial reduction of resazurin to resorufin is irreversible, resorufin can be reversibly reduced to dihydroresorufin, which is colorless and non-fluorescent. Thus, additional control experiments are needed when using resazurin as a probe for reduction reactions to determine whether the turn-off events for individual fluorescent bursts are due to the desorption of resorufin from the surface of the catalyst or its further reduction to dihydroresorufin.^{82, 85}

Similar to resazurin, the initial reduction of the para-nitro group of DN-DODIPY to a hydroxylamino group produces highly fluorescent HN-BODIPY (**Figure 1b**). Further reduction of the hydroxylamino group to an amine makes the probe weakly fluorescent.¹⁸⁷ While SMF imaging of TiO₂ photocatalysts with DN-BODIPY was done in methanol as this probe is not soluble in water, Majima and coworkers also synthesized a sulfonated version of the probe that is water soluble.⁷⁴ In general, BODIPY dyes can be designed to be soluble in solvents spanning a wide range of polarities from water to non-polar organic solvents. As described further below, Chang and coworkers developed a BODIPY-based probe for detecting carbon monoxide that they tested in both methylene dichloride and buffered aqueous solutions (pH 7.4).²⁰² Blum and coworkers have developed BODIPY-based fluorescent tags for single-molecule imaging of polymerization reactions that are soluble in organic solvents commonly used for polymerization (e.g., toluene and heptane).^{52, 104, 203-205}

Amplex red, which can be oxidized to fluorescent resorufin (**Figure 1c**), exhibits only partial solubility in water. When used in biological assays to detect hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), it is first dissolved in DMSO and then diluted with water.^{200, 206} While Amplex red is more commonly used for the detection of H₂O₂, the presence of DMSO would inhibit its activation by catalysts that generate hydroxyl radicals (•OH) as DMSO is a scavenger for •OH. Amplex red slowly degrades in the presence of oxygen, and it is unstable at pH values above ~8.5.^{200, 207} Combining the pH

dependence of the fluorescence intensity of resorufin and the stability of Amplex red, the usable pH range for this probe is approximately between 7 and 8.5. Finally, following the oxidation of Amplex red to resorufin, it can be further oxidized to non-fluorescent resazurin, which again necessitates control experiments to determine the mechanism of turn-off events during SMF imaging.

We have found APF to be more stable than Amplex red against oxidative degradation during long-term storage of the probes. We typically store Amplex red as a dry powder at -20°C and APF as a solution in dimethylformamide (DMF) at 0°C . APF also exhibits higher selectivity for activation by more reactive oxygen species. It can be activated into its fluorescent state (i.e., fluorescein) by $\cdot\text{OH}$ and hypochlorite (OCl^-), but unlike Amplex red, it is not activated by H_2O_2 or superoxide anion radicals ($\cdot\text{O}_2^-$).²⁰⁸ Similar to Amplex red, APF is typically first dissolved in DMF (rather than DMSO) and then diluted with water. For SMF imaging of semiconductor photocatalysts including TiO_2 , tungsten oxide, and indium selenide, APF has been used in aqueous phosphate buffer solutions at pH 7.4.^{55, 81, 83-84, 186}

Whereas nanomolar to micromolar concentrations are typically used for the other fluorogenic probes described above, SMF imaging with furfuryl alcohol has been performed in a 10% solution (by volume) of furfuryl alcohol in either water or dioxane.^{61-62, 81, 83} At the ensemble level, the rate of the condensation reaction exhibits a strong solvent dependence; solvents that inhibit the formation of a carbocation intermediate lead to lower reaction rates.²⁰⁹ The condensation of furfuryl alcohol also produces a variety of different fluorescent oligomers.²¹⁰⁻²¹¹ Using water as the solvent, we found that these products become insoluble as the chain lengths of the oligomers increase. The oligomers eventually stick to the surface of the catalyst, which limits SMF imaging with furfuryl alcohol to a few minutes.

When using the probes described above (**Figure 1**), many reports have observed variations in activity across the surface of individual particles.^{54-55, 60-63, 73-76, 78-84, 87, 89, 93, 96-97, 100} However, SMF imaging does not directly report on the structural or chemical features that lead to these variations in activity. As described further below, corner atoms in faceted metal nanocrystals, oxygen vacancies in metal oxide semiconductors, and individually dispersed metal atoms in single-atom catalysts can all serve as preferential reaction sites for catalysis. The nanoscale resolution (e.g., 10 to 50 nm) provided by this technique is larger than the atomic scale of these individual reaction sites. Thus, correlating activity maps obtained from SMF imaging with the nature of active sites remains a critical challenge. Based on these limitations, two fundamental questions that we are frequently asked are 1) What can imaging fluorogenic probes tell you about the chemical nature of active sites in the catalyst? and 2) How well do the probes predict activity trends for industrially relevant catalytic reactions (e.g., for the production of fuels or commodity chemicals)? In the rest of this section, we try to answer these questions and propose solutions to overcome the limitations described above.

Performing correlative SMF imaging with other microscopies (e.g., optical or electron microscopy) on the same catalyst particles has been used to connect morphological features with observed variations in activity. Majima and coworkers correlated SMF images of TiO₂ microcrystals for the photocatalytic reduction of DN-BODIPY to HN-BODIPY with optical transmission images of the same microcrystals (**Figure 3a, b**). They demonstrated that the reduction of DN-BODIPY occurs preferentially at {101} facets of the TiO₂ microcrystals compared with the {001} facets.^{87, 187} They proposed that photoexcited electrons, whether generated at the {001} or {101} facets are preferentially extracted from {101} facets due to a lower conduction band energy (i.e., further from the vacuum level) for the {101} facets.




Figure 3. Correlating SMF activity maps with optical and electron microscopy images. (a) Frame from SMF imaging showing a fluorescence burst (indicated by the white arrow) due to the photocatalytic reduction of DN-BODIPY on the surface of a TiO_2 microcrystal. The color scale represents the fluorescence intensity counts. The inset shows an expanded view of the fluorescence burst, whose emission profile spreads over several pixels of the EM-CCD camera. (b) Optical transmission microscopy image of the same TiO_2 microcrystal. The red dots indicate activated HN-BODIPY probes localized on the $\{101\}$ facets around the perimeter of the microcrystal while the blue dots indicate activated probe molecules localized on the basal $\{001\}$ facet. The scale bars below each image are $4 \mu\text{m}$. Panels (a) and (b) adapted with permission from 87. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society. (c) Activity map for the reduction of resazurin to resorufin on a triangular Au nanoplate overlaid on an SEM image of the same nanoplate. 2325 fluorescence bursts were localized to generate the activity map. The fluorescence bursts are color-coded to indicate different regions of the nanoplate with bursts from the flat $\{111\}$ facet in red, bursts near

the edges of the nanoplate in blue, and bursts near the corners in green. The dashed black line outlines the perimeter of the Au nanoplate, and the solid black line outlines the mesoporous silica shell coating the Au nanoplate. (d) Same as (c) but for a different hexagonal Au nanoplate with 1579 fluorescence bursts detected. The scale bars below each image are 200 nm. Panels (c) and (d) adapted with permission from 80. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

Chen and coworkers correlated SMF activity maps of Au nanoplates coated with a mesoporous silica shell with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the same particles (**Figure 3c, d**).⁸⁰ The Au nanoplates exhibited the highest specific activity (as determined by counting the number of activated probes per unit time and per lateral area) for catalyzing the reduction of resazurin to resorufin (using hydroxylamine as the reductant) at nanoscale regions near the corners of the nanoplates. The activity was lower near the edges and lowest within the {111} facets that make up the basal surfaces of the nanoplates. These morphological differences in activity can be rationalized by the different coordination numbers of Au atoms at corners, edges, and the basal facets. Au atoms within the interior of a face-centered cubic crystal have a coordination number of 12. At a perfectly flat {111} facet, the coordination number will be 9. The coordination number of Au atoms is lower at edges of the crystal where two facets meet and even lower at corners where three or more facets meet. A lower coordination number for atoms at the surface of a metal catalyst can strengthen interactions with adsorbed substrate molecules, which explains the observed activity trend. Interestingly, even within the {111} basal facet, a radial gradient in activity was observed; the activity was higher near the center of the nanoplate and lower near the periphery. The authors proposed that within a {111} facet, there is a radial gradient in the density of surface

defects that is highest at the center of the Au nanoplate where nucleation and growth of the colloidal particle initiate.

As the example above shows, surface defects within a single facet can act as preferential reaction sites. However, SEM is not capable of resolving such surface defects in nanoscale catalyst particles. Thus, methods are needed to correlate the locations of these defects with SMF activity maps. Ideally, high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) could be used to image individual point defects in the crystals and correlate the locations of those defects with SMF activity maps. So far, correlative studies between SMF images and TEM images have been limited.²¹² One persisting challenge is finding a substrate that is compatible with both imaging techniques (i.e., electron transparent and that will not lead to fluorescence quenching of the fluorogenic probes).

While a single fluorogenic probe does not provide direct chemical information on the nature of active regions, performing SMF imaging using two complimentary probes that are activated by different mechanisms can narrow down the potential surface sites responsible for the observed activity maps. Several reports have compared how the same catalyst can exhibit different activities for the reduction of resazurin and oxidation of Amplex red.^{66, 88, 94} In semiconductors like TiO₂ and bismuth vanadate (BiVO₄), these probes are activated at different regions of the particle (e.g., different crystal facets) indicating different surface sites are responsible for the extraction of photogenerated electrons and holes.^{76, 79, 97, 100} Tailoring the structure of the fluorogenic probe can also provide information on how it interacts with the surface of the catalyst. For example, Hofkens and coworkers compared different fluorescein derivatives that were activated by transesterification on the surface of a lithium aluminum layered double hydroxide microcrystal.⁶⁰ Adding a negatively charged carboxylate group to the fluorescein derivative increased the rate of its

activation due to stronger adsorption of the probe on the surface of the positively charged microcrystal.

We have used the spatial correlation between different fluorogenic probes to understand how the non-uniform distribution of oxygen vacancies, a common defect in metal oxide semiconductors, controls spatial variations in their photocatalytic activity.^{55, 81, 83} In collaboration with Lew and coworkers, we developed a coordinate-based colocalization algorithm to quantify the spatial correlation between two datasets of single-molecule localizations.⁸¹ For example, tungsten oxide with the $W_{18}O_{49}$ monoclinic phase is a semiconductor that is active for the photocatalytic oxidation of water to oxygen. Under illumination, photoexcited holes first oxidize water to generate hydroxyl radicals as an intermediate towards further oxidation to O_2 . We used APF to image the photocatalytic generation of $\cdot OH$ radicals on the surface of $W_{18}O_{49}$ nanowires (in which potassium iodate was used as a scavenger for photoexcited electrons to maintain charge balance). We observed that the nanowires exhibited significant variations in activity along their lengths with hot spots of high specific activity interspersed with inactive regions (**Figure 4a**). As metal ions exposed by surface oxygen vacancies can act as preferential reaction sites for water oxidation to generate $\cdot OH$,³⁷⁻³⁸ we hypothesized that the variations in activity were related to variations in the concentration of oxygen vacancies along the nanowires. We then imaged the same $W_{18}O_{49}$ nanowires using furfuryl alcohol (FA) to map the distribution of acidic sites along their lengths (**Figure 4b**). While both surface hydroxyl groups (i.e., Bronsted acid sites) and oxygen vacancies (i.e., Lewis acid sites) can catalyze the condensation of FA to produce fluorescent oligomers, we performed SMF imaging at a pH of 7.4 such that the surface hydroxyl groups would be deprotonated for this metal oxide (which has a point of zero charge near a pH of 0.43). Thus, oxygen vacancies are the primary surface site on $W_{18}O_{49}$ nanowires responsible for the activation

of FA. Using the coordinate-based colocalization (CBC) algorithm, each fluorescence burst is assigned a score based on its spatial proximity to bursts from the other probe reaction. In the colocalization maps in **Figure 4c, d**, red regions indicate segments of the nanowire where the activation of both APF and FA take place. Only APF activation occurs in blue-green regions in the colocalization map in **Figure 4c**, while only FA activation occurs in blue-green regions in the colocalization map in **Figure 4d**. As oxygen vacancies are the only surface site that can activate both fluorogenic probes, the high spatial correlation between the two reactions (**Figure 4e**) in which most segments along the nanowires either are active for both reactions or are completely inactive revealed that the distribution of oxygen vacancies is non-uniform along the nanowires. Colocalization analysis indicates that nanoscale regions containing high concentrations of oxygen vacancies serve as the active sites for photocatalysis in this metal oxide.




Figure 4. Spatial colocalization of different fluorogenic probes during SMF imaging. (a, b) Super-resolution activity maps of the same $\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{49}$ nanowire for (a) the photocatalytic oxidation of APF and (b) the acid-catalyzed condensation of furfuryl alcohol (FA). The color scale provides the number of fluorescence bursts per $120 \text{ nm} \times 120 \text{ nm}$ bin. The insets show the diffraction-limited image prior to localization of individual fluorescent bursts. (c, d) Coordinate-based colocalization (CBC) maps for fluorescence bursts using (c) APF and (d) FA. The color scale provides the median colocalization score of the bin, which ranges from -1 for anticorrelated to $+1$ for perfectly correlated. Scale bars are $2 \mu\text{m}$. (e) Distributions of CBC scores for individual fluorescence bursts on the nanowire from the activation of APF (green) and FA (orange). Panels (a) through (e) adapted with permission from 81. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society. (f, g) Distributions of the number of photons detected per localization during (f) the photocatalytic oxidation of APF and (g) the photocatalytic reduction of resazurin during SMF imaging of BiOBr nanoplates. The blue histograms in panels (f) and (g) are localizations that had CBC scores greater than 0.9 , which indicate that the oxidation and reduction reactions were colocalized in that region of the nanoplate. The red histograms in panels (f) and (g) are localizations that had CBC scores between -0.5 and 0.5 , which indicate spatially uncorrelated activity for the two probe reactions. Panels (f) and (g) adapted with permission from 55. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society.

Introducing species that block active sites on the surface of the catalyst or compete with the fluorogenic probe for catalytic turnovers can also provide knowledge on the chemical nature of active regions. For example, we coated the surface of the $\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{49}$ nanowires described above with polyvinylpyrrolidone, PVP, a polymer commonly used in the synthesis and processing of metal and metal oxide nanocrystals. PVP both reduced the activity of the nanowires for the activation of

APF and reduced the spatial colocalization of regions that activated both APF and FA.⁸¹ The changes in activity maps indicated that the polymer blocked catalytic sites where the generation of •OH radicals occurred. Calculations using density functional theory suggested that PVP coordinates to surface tungsten ions exposed by oxygen vacancies, which would prevent these sites from binding hydroxide ions to produce •OH radicals via transfer of photogenerated holes from the semiconductor. Thus, understanding how PVP interacted with the surface of W₁₈O₄₉ provided further support that surface oxygen vacancies were the active sites in the initial, uncoated nanowires.

Chen and coworkers demonstrated how surface processes involving non-fluorescent species could be evaluated during SMF imaging through their competition with the activation of a fluorogenic probe.^{96, 98} They imaged the photoelectrochemical oxidation of Amplex red to resorufin on the surface of faceted BiVO₄ microcrystals.⁹⁶ By titrating increasing amounts of a reducing agent, hydroquinone (which is oxidized to 1,4-benzoquinone), they quantified how the adsorption of hydroquinone on different facets of the BiVO₄ microcrystals affected the number of turnovers imaged for the oxidation Amplex red. A more significant drop in reaction events on the basal {010} facets indicated that hydroquinone adsorbed more strongly to these facets relative to the {110} facets around the perimeter of the microcrystals.

Differences in the intensities of fluorescence bursts can also provide information on the chemical nature of active sites. When performing SMF imaging of semiconductor photocatalysts, our group has employed fluorogenic probes that emit at a lower energy (i.e., longer wavelength) than the band gap energy of the semiconductor. For example, fluorescein generated by the oxidation of APF has an emission maximum near 517 nm, while resorufin generated by the reduction of resazurin or oxidation of Amplex red has an emission maximum near 583 nm.

Samples of tungsten oxide and bismuth oxybromide with low concentrations of crystal defects have band gaps between 2.8 and 2.9 eV and therefore do not absorb photons emitted by fluorescein or resorufin. However, in both tungsten oxide and bismuth oxybromide, the metal ions (i.e., W and Bi) can adopt different oxidation states. In such metal oxides and metal oxyhalides, the insertion of additional cations (e.g., H⁺, Li⁺ or Na⁺) into the crystal lattice or the removal of oxygen anions (i.e., the creation of oxygen vacancies) leads to reduction of metal ions in the crystal for charge compensation (e.g., the reduction of W⁶⁺ to W⁵⁺ or W⁴⁺. Note that both W₁₈O₄₉ and WO₃ are discussed in this article, which are different crystalline phases of tungsten oxide). These structural changes lead to sub-band gap absorption by the semiconductor at longer wavelengths. For example, Sambur and coworkers monitored the change in optical density of individual WO₃ nanorods during the electrochemical insertion of Li⁺ ions and correlated the changes in transmission with the dynamics of ion insertion into different binding sites of the WO₃ lattice.²¹³

Following this work, we correlated the photon counts of fluorescence bursts during SMF imaging of BiOBr nanoplates with the relative density of defects, including oxygen vacancies and Bi ions in a reduced oxidation state, in different nanoscale regions.⁵⁵ In the geometry for SMF imaging shown in **Figure 2a**, photons emitted from the activated probe must pass through the catalyst in order to be collected by the objective (this may not be the case in other geometries used for SMF imaging such as prism-based TIRF). We observed two populations among the BiOBr nanoplates using the coordinate-based colocalization algorithm described above for W₁₈O₄₉ nanowires.⁵⁵ The majority of BiOBr nanoplates exhibited a broad distribution of colocalization scores peaked near 0 for the photocatalytic oxidation of APF and reduction of resazurin indicating the extraction of photogenerated holes and electrons were spatially uncorrelated. However, a sub-population of particles contained a significantly greater number of spatially correlated events

between the two probe reactions with colocalization scores greater than 0.9. The fluorescence bursts in colocalized regions of activity also exhibited higher photon counts relative to regions in which only oxidation or reduction occurred. The blue histograms in **Figure 4f, g** show photon counts from fluorescence bursts with colocalized activity (colocalization scores > 0.9), while the red histograms show photon counts from bursts with uncorrelated activity (colocalization scores between -0.5 and 0.5). These observations indicate that nanoscale regions with preferential activity for either oxidation or reduction (i.e., low colocalization scores) contain a higher concentration of mid-gap defect states that selectively trap photogenerated holes or electrons, respectively. Nanoscale regions of the BiOBr nanoplates containing a higher defect density will lead to greater absorption of photons emitted by the activated probe consistent with the lower photon counts for fluorescence bursts in these regions. Furthermore, regions with colocalized activity for both oxidation and reduction also displayed higher activity for the photocatalytic oxidation of APF suggesting that the defects that lead to preferential activity for oxidation lower activity by mediating faster relaxation of photogenerated holes. The concentration and distribution of these defects vary both from particle to particle and across the surface of individual BiOBr nanoplates.

While the intensity burst is the primary indicator of a reaction event when using fluorogenic probes, the polarization and lifetime of emission from the activated probe can also be affected by its local environment.^{71, 203-204, 214-218} For example, Fang and coworkers performed SMF imaging of the oxidation of Amplex red to resorufin using a nanoporous catalyst.⁷¹ The catalyst consisted of a solid silica (SiO_2) core decorated with platinum nanoparticles and then coated with a mesoporous SiO_2 shell containing tunnel-like pores (with diameters between 2.2 and 3.3 nm) that radiate out from the center of the core (**Figure 5a**). To show that the motion of reactant and product molecules was restricted within the pores, they used linearly polarized light to excite the resorufin

molecules generated within the pores of the catalyst. The elliptical distribution of localized fluorescence bursts under linearly polarized light (from multiple resorufin molecules) indicated that resorufin molecules were aligned within the tunnels of the mesoporous shell; this anisotropy is due to selective excitation of fluorophores that have their absorption dipole aligned with the polarization direction of the incident light (**Figure 5b-f**). Blum and coworkers designed a fluorescent BODIPY tag that is incorporated into polymer chains during the ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of norbornene or dicyclopentadiene (**Figure 5g**).²⁰³ They observed that the fluorescence lifetime of this tag increased as the polymer particles grew (**Figure 5h**), which indicated changes in the microenvironment of the fluorophore (possibly due to an increase in the local viscosity as the polymer chain length increased or, in the case of polydicyclopentadiene, as the number of crosslinks in the polymer network increased).²⁰⁴ While these examples were not done at the single-molecule level, advances in the ability to measure the three-dimensional orientation and fluorescence lifetime of single emitters²¹⁹⁻²²⁰ could provide new insights into how the confinement of solvent and substrate molecules in mesoporous catalysts (e.g., zeolites, metal organic frameworks, and layered transition metal chalcogenides) affects critical processes during heterogeneous catalysis including mass transport, solvation, and adsorption.²²¹⁻
222




Figure 5. Measuring the polarization and lifetime of fluorophores in different microenvironments.

(a) TEM image of a catalyst particle consisting of a solid SiO_2 core decorated with Pt nanoparticles and then coated with a mesoporous SiO_2 shell. (b) Schematic showing the preferred orientation of Amplex red (blue platelets) and resorufin (red platelet) within the tunnel-like pores of the mesoporous SiO_2 shell. The white arrow indicates the dipole moment of a resorufin molecule. (c, d) Schematics showing the excitation of resorufin molecules (red squares) generated from the oxidation of Amplex red (black triangles) by Pt nanoparticles (gold spheres) on a SiO_2 core (dark gray sphere) within the pores (light gray cylinders) of the mesoporous SiO_2 shell. (c) Linearly polarized light will selectively excite resorufin molecules whose dipole moments align with the polarization direction (green arrows) of the incident laser excitation during SMF imaging. (d) Circularly polarized light (green spiral) will not lead to selective excitation. (e) Under linearly

polarized light, an elliptical distribution of localizations was observed for resorufin molecules indicating they are aligned within the tunnels of the mesoporous SiO_2 shell. (f) Under circularly polarized light, a circular distribution of localizations was observed. Panels (a) through (f) adapted from 71 under a Creative Commons license.²²³ (g) Schematic for the incorporation of a fluorescent BODIPY tag into either polynorbornene ($\text{R} = \text{H}$) or polydicyclopentadiene ($\text{R} = \text{polymer branches, crosslinks, or the other half of the dicyclopentadiene monomer}$) through ring-opening metathesis polymerization using a third-generation ruthenium Grubbs catalyst. Approximately 1 in 10^7 monomer units incorporate the fluorescent label. (h) Fluorescence lifetime images during growth of polydicyclopentadiene particles before the Ru catalyst was added (left panel) and at different times after addition of the catalyst. The time after catalyst addition is given above each image. The molecular weight of the polymer determined by fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (M_w_{FLIM}), and the intensity averaged fluorescence lifetime (τ) are given below each image. The fluorescence lifetime increases as the polymer particles grow. Panels (g) and (h) adapted with permission from 203. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society.

The next fundamental question underlying the robustness of SMF microscopy is whether a catalyst with high activity for activating a fluorogenic probe will also exhibit high activity for other, more industrially relevant catalytic reactions. Performing ensemble catalytic measurements on the same samples used for single-molecule imaging is critical in determining the extent to which SMF imaging provides useful structure–activity trends. This type of correlation is not routine but is becoming more common in the SMF literature. In an early example, Chen and coworkers showed that the single-molecule activity of Au nanoparticles for activating fluorogenic probes was linearly correlated with their ensemble catalytic activity for similar reactions.⁸⁸ They studied two

model reactions commonly used to evaluate the activity of metal nanoparticles at the ensemble level as they can be monitored by both absorption and Raman spectroscopy – the reduction of 4-nitrophenol and the oxidation of hydroquinone. They observed that larger spherical Au nanoparticles exhibited higher per-particle activities for both the reduction of resazurin at the single-molecule level (determined by counting the number of fluorescence bursts imaged per particle per second) and for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol to 4-aminophenol at the ensemble level. A similar correlation was observed for the oxidation of Amplex red at the single-molecule level and the oxidation of hydroquinone to 1,4-benzoquinone at the ensemble level.

Zhang and coworkers measured the photocatalytic activity of cadmium sulfide nanorods supported on graphitic carbon nitride ($\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$) nanosheets.⁷⁹ $\text{CdS}/\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ heterostructures annealed at 300°C exhibited higher activity for the photocatalytic reduction of protons to generate hydrogen at the ensemble level compared to samples prepared at room temperature. Through SMF imaging, they observed that resazurin was activated preferentially at the CdS nanorods for the sample annealed at 300°C. On the other hand, this probe was activated at the edges of the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheets for the sample prepared at room temperature. Thus, directing the flow of photogenerated electrons into the CdS nanorods made the $\text{CdS}/\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ heterostructures more active for hydrogen evolution.




Figure 6. SMF microscopy using AFP to image photocatalytic $\cdot\text{OH}$ generation on individual $\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{49}$ nanowires before and after surface functionalization. (a, c) Diffraction-limited fluorescence images prior to the localization of fluorescence bursts for (a) an as-synthesized nanowire and (c) a nanowire functionalized with ascorbic acid (AA). (b, d) Super-resolution activity maps of the same (b) as-synthesized nanowire and (d) ascorbic acid-functionalized nanowire. The functionalized nanowire shows more uniform activity for this reaction along its length. Color scale: number of fluorescence bursts detected per $120\text{ nm} \times 120\text{ nm}$ bin. Scale bars: $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Adapted with permission from 83. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society.

We propose that when a fluorogenic probe is activated by a chemical intermediate that is also produced during the reaction of interest, then the single-molecule activity should correlate well

with the ensemble activity. For example, both Amplex red and APF can be activated into their fluorescence states by hydroxyl radicals, $\cdot\text{OH}$. Hydroxyl radicals are a key intermediate during the oxidation of water to oxygen, which is the oxidative half of water splitting to generate hydrogen fuel.^{81, 224} As described above, when we used APF to image the photocatalytic generation of $\cdot\text{OH}$ by $\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{49}$ nanowires, we observed variations in activity along the lengths of the nanowires (**Figures 4a and 6a, b**).⁸¹ The nanowires were synthesized via a hydrothermal method that did not use ligands that bind to their surface. We then used ascorbic acid as a ligand to functionalize the $\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{49}$ nanowires and incorporate more oxygen vacancies through a photochemical process.⁸³ Under photoexcitation, the functionalized $\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{49}$ nanowires extract electrons from surface-bound ascorbic acid molecules, which reduces tungsten ions and introduces additional oxygen vacancies for charge balance. Using SMF imaging, this photochemical process produced individual nanowires with higher and more uniform activity along their lengths compared to the initial nanowires (**Figure 6c, d**). Simultaneously, the ensemble production rate of oxygen during photocatalytic water oxidation nearly doubled after treating the nanowires with ascorbic acid. The combination of SMF imaging, surface characterization by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to identify changes in the average concentration of oxygen vacancies, and ensemble measurements of activity for photocatalytic water oxidation enabled us to conclude that the distribution of oxygen vacancies increased and became more uniform along the lengths of the nanowires after the photochemical treatment, which led to higher activity at both the single-molecule and ensemble levels.

In the above case of tungsten oxide, several pieces of evidence indicated that $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals generated on the surface of the nanowires were the intermediate that activated APF.⁸¹ DMSO, which is a scavenger for $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals, quenched the activation of APF when it was added to the

solution used for SMF imaging. At the ensemble level, the W₁₈O₄₉ nanowires could convert coumarin into 7-hydroxycoumarin, a reaction known to be mediated by •OH radicals.²²⁵ Similarly, Sambur and Chen developed a kinetic model for the photoelectrochemical oxidation of Amplex red by individual TiO₂ nanorods that demonstrated the process was also mediated by •OH radicals.²²⁴ While Amplex red was originally designed to detect H₂O₂ and APF to detect •OH radicals in biological samples,²⁰⁷ it is important to note that both of these probes can also be directly oxidized by photogenerated holes in a photocatalyst. For example, our results indicate that BiOBr directly oxidizes APF using photogenerated holes rather than by generating •OH radicals.⁵⁵ For this photocatalyst, the reaction is not quenched by DMSO, and BiOBr is not active for the oxidation of coumarin to 7-hydroxycoumarin. Furthermore, there is the possibility that the fluorogenic probe can photosensitize the semiconductor photocatalyst. For example, TiO₂ nanocrystals can degrade Rhodamine B and other dye molecules using light that is absorbed by the dye molecule but not by TiO₂ (as the photon energy of the incident light is below the band gap of TiO₂).²²⁶ In this case, the degradation of the dye occurs by photosensitization in which an electron transfers from the photoexcited dye molecule to the conduction band of TiO₂ (similar to a dye-sensitized solar cell) rather than by photocatalysis. As most commercially available fluorogenic probes also absorb visible light,²⁰⁷ they could be photoexcited by the laser excitation used in SMF imaging and converted into their fluorescent form by transferring an electron to the semiconductor photocatalyst. Thus, to develop useful structure–activity trends through SMF imaging, it is critical to understand the chemical mechanism by which the fluorogenic probe is activated by the catalyst.

The above examples describe the challenges of using fluorogenic probes as proxies for catalytic activity and emerging techniques to extract additional information from these probes. The majority of fluorogenic probes we have described so far are commercially available (apart from

the BODIPY derivatives shown in **Figure 1b** and **Figure 5g, h**). In the next section, we provide examples of new fluorogenic probes that could be applied to heterogeneous catalysis to image intermediates such as carbon monoxide, nitrite, and ammonia.

Opportunities for using new fluorogenic probes to image photo- and electrocatalysis

We believe that the application of new fluorogenic probes in SMF imaging of nanoscale catalysts has significant potential to open new avenues for understanding the selectivity of these catalysts for generating specific chemical intermediates and/or selective bond activation. A variety of fluorogenic probes have been developed in the context of imaging small molecules, such as nitric oxide, carbon monoxide, hydrogen sulfide, nitrite, and reactive oxygen species that act as chemical signaling agents and/or toxins in the human body.²²⁷⁻²²⁹ Fluorogenic probes have also been developed for detecting contaminants in drinking water and food.²³⁰ These probes could be applied to SMF microscopy of electro- and photocatalysts for fuel production and environmental remediation to image reaction events *in situ* and develop structure–activity relationships for these catalysts. Here we give representative examples of chemically activated fluorogenic probes for detecting CO, NO₂[−], and NH₃. There are review articles that discuss a wider range of molecular probes for detecting these species and other small molecules.^{207, 227-230}




Figure 7. Potential fluorogenic probes for detecting reaction intermediates and products during SMF imaging of nanoscale catalysts. (a) COP-1 reacts with carbon monoxide to generate a fluorescent BODIPY derivative.²⁰² (b) RCO reacts with CO and protons to produce Rhodamine B.²³¹ (c) AC-NO₂ reacts with nitrite to form a fluorescent anthracene derivative.²³² (d) BZCO reacts with ammonia and other amines to form a fluorescent 7-hydroxycoumarin derivative.²³³ (e) Pentafluoro-BODIPY reacts with NH₃ vapor to form a fluorescent BODIPY derivative.²³⁴

Electrocatalysts and photocatalysts that can reduce carbon dioxide into formic acid, methanol, ethanol, propanol, ethylene, and other products containing C–C bonds could provide a way to remove this greenhouse gas from the atmosphere and convert it into useful chemical feedstocks.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Carbon monoxide can be either an intermediate or the product in electro/photocatalytic CO₂ reduction. In the case where CO is the end product, other catalysts can be used to further reduce it to the feedstocks above.²³⁵ The probes shown in **Figure 7a, b** were developed for detecting CO in

live cells due to its toxicity to animals and the role it plays (in small quantities) in the body as a neurotransmitter. For the probe COP-1 shown in **Figure 7a**, the bound palladium initially quenches the fluorescence of the BODIPY derivative.²⁰² Binding CO releases Pd(0), which activates the probe into its fluorescent state. The fluorescence quantum yield of COP-1 increases from 1% to 44% after activation. COP-1 can detect micromolar concentrations of CO and is highly selective for being activated by CO relative to other potential analytes present in living cells (e.g., H₂O₂, NaOCl, •O₂⁻, NO, and H₂S). Similarly, the RCO fluorogenic probe shown in **Figure 7b** was synthesized using Rhodamine B as the starting material.²³¹ It is activated in the presence of CO and protons to reform Rhodamine B. RCO can detect CO in nanomolar concentrations, exhibits high selectivity for CO, and unlike many probes developed for CO detection, does not require Pd for activation.

In both the cases of COP-1 and RCO, the ruthenium complex [Ru(CO)₃Cl(glycinate)] was used as the CO source to titrate the increase in fluorescence intensity of the probe with known concentrations of CO. Testing the activation of these probes by electro/photocatalysts that generate CO would first be necessary, using fluorescence spectroscopy at the ensemble level, before determining if their activated forms can be detected at the single-molecule level. The pH dependence of these CO probes would also need to be tested. Both COP-1 and RCO were tested in aqueous phosphate buffer with pH 7.4 (i.e., the buffer solution typically used for fluorescence imaging of live cells). The quantum yield of the RCO probe did not change significantly over a pH range of 6.0 to 8.5. However, solutions saturated with CO₂ are often used for testing electro- and photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction, which will have a pH near 3.9. Furthermore, these probes were used in micromolar concentrations to detect CO, whereas nanomolar concentrations of fluorogenic probes are often used for SMF imaging. Finally, while the selectivity of COP-1, RCO,

and similar probes for CO are often tested in the presence of species that could interfere with their activation in living cells (e.g., H_2O_2 , $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$, NO, NO_2^- , H_2S),^{202, 229, 231, 236} the potential for activation by other intermediates and products generated during electro/photocatalytic CO_2 reduction would need to be evaluated. For example, the hydrogen evolution reaction (i.e., the reduction of protons or water to H_2) is a competing process during CO_2 reduction as it occurs at a similar thermodynamic potential. To test for activation by H_2 , SMF imaging with the probe could be performed first in a solution with CO_2 excluded. The possibility for products of further reduction of CO, such as formate, to activate these probes would also need to be determined. If these probes are indeed selective for CO over other potential products, a useful comparison would be to image the same catalyst sample with both resazurin and the CO-selective fluorogenic probe. The colocalization analysis described above could be used to evaluate whether the same sites that activate resazurin through direct electro/photocatalytic reduction are also responsible for generating CO.

Electrocatalysts and photocatalysts are also being developed for environmental remediation. For example, nitrate, NO_3^- , is found in water sources as a byproduct of fertilizers used in agriculture and from industrial runoff. As high concentrations of NO_3^- in drinking water are harmful, catalysts are needed to reduce NO_3^- to nitrogen, N_2 , and/or ammonia, NH_3 .¹⁹⁻²¹ Simultaneously, fluorogenic probes have been developed to detect nitrite, NO_2^- , a common additive in meat products, as NO_2^- can degrade to produce carcinogenic compounds.^{230, 232, 237} As NO_2^- is an intermediate in the reduction of NO_3^- , these fluorogenic probes could be employed in SMF imaging of electro/photocatalysts for NO_3^- reduction. For example, the probe AC- NO_2^- shown in **Figure 7c** is an anthracene carboxyimide derivative designed for the detection of NO_2^- .²³² Emission from the probe is initially quenched due to photoinduced electron transfer (PET)

from the aryl diamine. When this group reacts with NO_2^- to form a triazole, PET is quenched, and the probe becomes fluorescent. The fluorescence quantum yield of AC- NO_2 increases from 0.3% to 42% after activation. The probe has a detection limit of 84 nM for NO_2^- and good selectivity for activation by NO_2^- relative to reactive oxygen species such as H_2O_2 and ClO^- . The probe was tested in aqueous solutions containing ethanol and hydrochloric acid, and its response exhibits a strong pH dependence. The increase in fluorescence intensity upon exposure to NO_2^- is greatest at pH 1, but the response drops with increasing pH until there is no fluorescence enhancement at pH 5.

In addition to catalysts that can degrade the byproducts of fertilizers such as nitrate, electro- and photocatalysts are also needed to convert nitrogen gas into ammonia (i.e., the primary component of fertilizers). The Haber–Bosch process combines H_2 from natural gas and N_2 to make NH_3 at elevated temperatures and pressures. Developing low-temperature methods to produce NH_3 using renewable energy sources would significantly reduce the energy consumption and carbon emissions that result from the Haber–Bosch process.^{11, 15–18} Similar to CO and NO_2^- , fluorogenic probes have been developed to detect NH_3 and other amines in water sources and food.^{230, 233–234, 238} For example, in the BZCO probe shown in **Figure 7d**, the benzoxazole group inhibits internal charge transfer in the molecule, which initially leads to a low fluorescence quantum yield. Cleavage of the benzoxazole group by an amine produces a fluorescent 7-hydroxycoumarin derivative.²³³ The probe is soluble in common organic solvents including acetonitrile, dichloromethane, DMSO, ethyl acetate, and toluene. In addition to NH_3 , BZCO can be activated by a variety of primary and secondary amines, such as propylamine, dimethylamine, diethylamine, and cyclohexylamine. This lack of selectivity may be undesirable in cases where the nanoscale catalyst is passivated with alkyl amine ligands as the ligands may also activate this probe. When

the BZCO dye was evaporated onto filter paper to make a paper-based sensor, the detection limit for propylamine vapor was 3.8 parts per million. While BZCO can be activated by NH₃ as well as various alkylamines, the pentafluoro-BODIPY probe shown in **Figure 7e** was found to be selective for NH₃ relative to other amines.²³⁴ Nucleophilic substitution of one of the bromines by NH₃ leads to activation of the probe. The detection limit was between 15 and 18 parts per billion for NH₃ vapor. The probe is compatible with a variety of polar organic solvents, including acetonitrile, DMSO, methanol, and tetrahydrofuran. However, the contrast between the initial and activated states is lower for this probe relative to the other fluorogenic probes discussed in both **Figure 1** and **Figure 7**. The quantum yield is 45% in the initial form and then increases to 61% after exposure to NH₃. Further modifications of the substituents around such BODIPY dyes may increase the fluorescence contrast for the detection of NH₃.

In cases where the source of nitrogen for NH₃ production could potentially come from residual nitrogen-containing species on the surface of the catalyst or from the degradation of a nitrogen-containing catalyst (e.g., g-C₃N₄),²³⁹⁻²⁴⁰ in-situ imaging by SMF microscopy during the generation of NH₃ using probes such as those shown in **Figure 7d, e** would be valuable to monitor changes in the activity of different nanoscale regions. Decreases in activity over time would indicate the nitrogen came from adventitious surface species or from catalyst degradation. For catalysts that can reduce NO₃⁻ all the way to NH₃, probes such as those shown in **Figure 7c** and **7d** could be used in sequence to compare the regions of the catalyst that are active for generating NO₂⁻ vs. NH₃.

Opportunities to image novel catalysts with single-molecule fluorescence microscopy

Based on the challenges and opportunities in SMF imaging discussed above, we provide an example of a nanoscale catalytic system that is, so far, underexplored in SMF imaging and describe how this technique could provide new insights into these materials. We believe that SMF imaging has significant potential to understand heterogeneity in the catalytic activity of single-atom catalysts. The deposition of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, such as Pt, Ni, Ag, Au, and IrO_2 onto semiconductor photocatalysts is a common strategy to enhance charge separation and provide reaction sites that lower the kinetic barrier for the reaction of interest (e.g., water oxidation or CO_2 reduction).²⁴¹⁻²⁴⁶ SMF imaging of Au nanoparticles deposited on TiO_2 ⁷⁴ and CdS ⁷⁵ photocatalysts has shown that fluorogenic probes are selectively activated at the nanoparticle catalysts deposited on these semiconductors. On the other hand, single-atom catalysts (SACs) are typically comprised of individually dispersed metal atoms stabilized on a support such as graphene, $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$, or a metal oxide. They offer several advantages over nanoparticle catalysts including more efficient use of expensive metals (as in principle every metal atom is exposed at the surface and serves as a reaction site) and the ability to tune the ligand field of the metal centers based on how the metal atoms are coordinated to the support in a manner analogous to homogeneous coordination complexes.^{1, 247-250} SACs have been reported to be more active and stable relative to nanoparticles composed of the same metal for a variety of photocatalytic and electrocatalytic reactions, including hydrogen evolution,²⁵¹⁻²⁵⁴ CO_2 reduction,²⁵⁵⁻²⁵⁹ and the reduction of nitrogen to ammonia.²⁶⁰⁻²⁶¹ For example, **Figure 8d** shows the activity for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution normalized per Pt atom in which SACs of Pt dispersed on $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ show higher activity than Pt nanoparticles.²⁵¹

SACs have been primarily characterized using ex-situ techniques, with a focus on their atomic-level structure: aberration-corrected STEM, X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron

spectroscopy (XPS), and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) are widely used to evidence the single-atom nature of SACs. While these techniques yield useful structural information, it is important to recognize their inherent limitations. HAADF-STEM imaging can identify dispersed metal atoms based on contrast introduced by differences in atomic number (i.e., Z contrast). In many SACs, the metal atoms have a higher atomic number than the support (**Figure 8a**).^{251, 253-254, 256-260, 262} This technique can also differentiate between single atoms and clusters or nanoparticles based on mass contrast. However, STEM imaging is typically only performed on small regions of the catalyst ($\leq 25 \times 25 \text{ nm}^2$). Thus, the area imaged may not always be representative of the entire sample. XRD has been used to indicate the lack of crystalline metal nanoparticles (suggesting the presence of dispersed atoms),^{251, 253, 256, 259-261} but is insensitive to small quantities of nanoparticles. XPS provides information on the oxidation state of the metal atoms in the SAC,^{254, 256-259, 261-262} which can potentially rule out metal–metal bonding, but XPS averages over the heterogeneous coordination environments of the metal atoms and/or clusters. The extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) from XAS is the primary technique that is used to rule out metal–metal bonding and provide evidence against the formation of clusters or nanoparticles.^{251-254, 256-261} The first peak in a Fourier-transformed (FT) EXAFS spectrum indicates the first coordination shell, while the second peak indicates the second coordination shell. The idea is that the second peak should be missing for dispersed metals that are truly single atoms but will be present in metal clusters and nanoparticles. However, like XPS, EXAFS also averages over the different coordination environments of metal atoms in the sample. The second peak (i.e., the marker of clusters and nanoparticles) may not be observable when the sample contains a mixture of single atoms and clusters. For example, Feng et al. showed that a sample containing both individually dispersed Ni atoms (**Figure 8a**) and Ni nanoparticles (**Figure 8b**) on a g-C₃N₄ support lacked the second peak

in its FT-EXAFS spectrum (**Figure 8c**) and thus could be interpreted as only possessing individually dispersed Ni atoms.²⁶³ In this sample and others like it, the relative contributions of single atoms, clusters, and nanoparticles to the observed catalytic activity would be averaged over using ensemble measurements. Recent studies have shown that clusters and nanoparticles can form when SACs are in their catalytically active state and contribute significantly to the observed activity.⁵⁸ Thus, several questions regarding structure–activity relationships in SACs remain unanswered. How do different coordination geometries for binding the dispersed metal atoms on the support and their proximity to other active sites affect the local activity? What are the relationships between the activity of single metal atoms and the microenvironment of the support (e.g., from local strain in two-dimensional supports like graphene and g-C₃N₄ or from defects in the support)? Are the active sites stable over time or do their activities fluctuate (e.g., due to agglomeration of the atoms or changes in their interaction with the support)?




Figure 8. Characterization of SACs. (a) STEM image of dispersed Ni atoms and (b) TEM image of Ni nanoparticles supported on $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ in different regions of the same sample. (c) FT-EXAFS spectra of a metallic nickel foil (black trace), nickel oxide (red trace) and the Ni/ $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ catalyst sample shown in panels (a) and (b). The first peak in the Ni foil corresponds to the average Ni–Ni distance. The first peak in the NiO sample corresponds to the Ni–O distance while the second peak corresponds to the Ni–Ni distance. The first peak in the Ni/ $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ sample corresponds to Ni–C and Ni–N distances. Panels (a-c) adapted from 263, with the permission of AIP publishing. (d) Comparison of photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution using different samples of Pt on $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ normalized per Pt atom. The insets show STEM images of representative samples containing either single Pt atoms, a mixture of single Pt atoms and clusters, or Pt nanoparticles as the loading of Pt on the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ support increases. Panel (d) adapted with permission from 251. Copyright John Wiley and Sons 2016. (e, f) STEM imaging of the same region of a Pt SAC on a carbon support (e) before and (f) after electrochemical cycling between 0.6 and 1.5 V vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode in 0.1 M HClO_4 for 1000 cycles. Panels (e) and (f) adapted with permission from 262. Further permissions related to panels (e) and (f) should be directed to the ACS.

In an initial application of SMF microscopy of SACs, Xu and coworkers studied single Pt atoms on ceria (CeO_2) nanocrystals for the reduction of resazurin using H_2 as a reductant.⁹⁹ They observed higher activity per Pt atom for the Pt/ CeO_2 SAC compared with Pt nanoparticles. Through analyzing the dependence of the average on and off times of fluorescence bursts on the concentrations of resazurin and H_2 combined with calculations using density functional theory, they proposed that both resazurin and resorufin bind more strongly to Pt atoms of the SAC

compared to Pt nanoparticles. Furthermore, individual Pt atoms interact more strongly with the CeO₂ support relative to surface Pt atoms on a Pt nanoparticle, which could inhibit surface restructuring for the SAC. However, in this study, each CeO₂ nanocrystal contained on average only one Pt atom. Thus, agglomeration of Pt atoms into clusters would not be observed. So far, SMF imaging has not been used to create super-resolution activity maps of SACs.

The role of single-atom sites in enhancing photocatalytic activity has many parallels to the role of oxygen vacancies in metal oxide semiconductors. Experimental and theoretical studies have shown that both oxygen vacancies and SACs can enhance activity by increasing light absorption,^{33, 35, 37, 82} increasing charge separation between photoexcited electrons and holes,^{33, 35-36, 251-254, 260} and/or acting as preferential adsorption sites for reactant molecules.^{35-37, 255, 260} However, these studies typically treat the active sites individually and have not yet looked at interactions and cooperativity between neighboring sites. Based on the work described above for SMF imaging of tungsten oxide nanowires containing oxygen vacancies, we expect that SACs will show similar nanoscale variations in which their activity is concentrated in “hot spots” across the catalyst surface. These variations could arise from differences in the local concentration of the dispersed metal atoms, their coordination to the support, or the presence of clusters or nanoparticles in specific regions of the catalyst. Conclusive identification of the structure of individual catalytic hot spots in SACs imaged by SMF microscopy would require correlative imaging with HAADF-STEM. However, with SMF microscopy alone, analysis of the fluorescence bursts would provide evidence on whether the active regions contain single atoms or nanoparticles. As described above, Xu and coworkers observed different dependencies for $\langle\tau_{off}\rangle^{-1}$ and $\langle\tau_{on}\rangle^{-1}$ of fluorescence bursts for single Pt atoms supported on CeO₂ and Pt nanoparticles.⁹⁹ Thus, for particles containing both of these types of active sites, they could be distinguished by fitting their concentration

dependence to a Langmuir–Hinshelwood model. While correlative imaging between SMF and either STXM or TERS has not yet been reported, their spatial resolutions are comparable. Combining SMF imaging with either of these techniques would be a powerful way to connect differences in nanoscale activity with chemical information on the coordination environment of the metal atoms on the support.

The new fluorogenic probes described in the previous section would be advantageous for studying single-atom catalysts that are being developed for reduction of CO₂ to CO and the reduction of N₂ to NH₃.^{255–261} Using a probe activated by CO such as COP-1 or RCO (**Figure 7a, b**) in correlation with a typical probe for reduction reactions such as resazurin could clarify the catalytic mechanism of CO₂ reduction on the SAC. For instance, colocalization of activity maps for the activation of resazurin and COP-1 could determine whether the sites where the extraction of photoexcited electrons occurs are also preferential sites for the reduction of CO₂. Since many SACs are being developed for these industrially relevant reactions, the new probes would enable SMF imaging to be applied to these reactions directly, rather than using proxy reactions.

Evidence that SACs remain stable as dispersed single atoms after catalysis is typically done by ex-situ EXAFS and STEM. Due to the limitation of these techniques discussed above, SMF imaging could be used as an in-situ technique to map local changes in the catalytic activity of SACs due to restructuring when they are in their active state. For example, Speck et al., used ex situ STEM to image the same region of a Pt SAC before and after electrochemical cycling (**Figure 8e, f**).²⁶² They observed dissolution of Pt atoms, the redeposition of Pt in regions of the carbon support that did not initially contain it, and agglomeration of the single atoms to form clusters. SMF imaging is well suited to observe how the different time scales of these processes affect activity. Changes in the spatial distribution of active regions over time would indicate

reorganization of the active sites. Dissolution of dispersed metal atoms in the SAC would lead to a decrease in the activity of a specific nanoscale region, while their redeposition in a new area would lead to an increase. Agglomeration of metal atoms could lead to either an increase or decrease in activity. Analysis of the on and off times of fluorescence bursts could help to distinguish these processes. The power of SMF microscopy in this case relative to other imaging techniques described at the beginning of this article would be to monitor in real time changes in activity of different nanoscale regions during catalytic turnovers and potential restructuring and/or degradation processes that occur during catalysis.

Conclusions

Beyond just localizing fluorescence intensity bursts during SMF microscopy of nanoscale catalysts, correlation with other microscopies or between multiple fluorogenic probes is critical to connect the observed variations in activity with structural, chemical, and/or morphological features of the catalyst. Quantifying the orientation and fluorescence lifetime of fluorophores within porous catalysts can provide information on their local environment. In the future, correlation with other microscopies, such as Kelvin force probe microscopy (KPFM) and TERS, will be beneficial in developing structure–activity relationships in nanoscale catalysts. KPFM could be used to image the local surface potential across semiconductor photocatalysts.^{264–265} TERS could measure changes in the coverage of ligand-passivated nanoparticles *in situ* during catalysis.^{266–267} To ensure that fluorogenic probes provide valuable structure–activity trends, it is important to measure both the single-molecule/single-particle activity and the ensemble activity for the same sample, and when possible, to use fluorogenic probes that are activated through similar chemical mechanisms as industrially relevant catalytic reactions. The application of fluorogenic probes that can detect

CO, NO_2^- , NH_3 , and other intermediates will help in monitoring the selectivity of nanoscale electro- and photocatalysts for the generating the desired products during fuel production and environmental remediation. Together, novel fluorogenic probes and correlative imaging can expand SMF imaging to a wider range of nanoscale catalysts such as single-atom catalysts and semiconductors with surface-attached molecular catalysts.²⁶⁸⁻²⁷⁰ As SMF imaging of nanoscale catalysts is primarily done in aqueous solution, there is also the need to expand this technique to new chemical environments, such as nanoparticle catalysts and inorganic coordination complexes embedded in polymers matrices for photo- and electrocatalytic fuel production.^{25-27, 271-273}

Acknowledgements.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant no. CHE-1753344 to B.S.

References

1. Kaiser, S. K.; Chen, Z.; Faust Akl, D.; Mitchell, S.; Pérez-Ramírez, J., Single-Atom Catalysts across the Periodic Table. *Chemical Reviews* **2020**, *120*, 11703-11809.
2. Weiss, E. A., Designing the Surfaces of Semiconductor Quantum Dots for Colloidal Photocatalysis. *ACS Energy Letters* **2017**, *2*, 1005-1013.
3. Li, X.-B.; Xin, Z.-K.; Xia, S.-G.; Gao, X.-Y.; Tung, C.-H.; Wu, L.-Z., Semiconductor Nanocrystals for Small Molecule Activation Via Artificial Photosynthesis. *Chemical Society Reviews* **2020**, *49*, 9028-9056.
4. Yu, Y.; Ma, T.; Huang, H., Semiconducting Quantum Dots for Energy Conversion and Storage. *Advanced Functional Materials* **2023**, *33*, 2213770.
5. Huang, H.; Jiang, L.; Yang, J.; Zhou, S.; Yuan, X.; Liang, J.; Wang, H.; Wang, H.; Bu, Y.; Li, H., Synthesis and Modification of Ultrathin g-C₃N₄ for Photocatalytic Energy and Environmental Applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **2023**, *173*, 113110.
6. Hou, Y.; Zhuang, X.; Feng, X., Recent Advances in Earth-Abundant Heterogeneous Electrocatalysts for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting. *Small Methods* **2017**, *1*, 1700090.
7. Opoku, F.; Govender, K. K.; van Sittert, C. G. C. E.; Govender, P. P., Recent Progress in the Development of Semiconductor-Based Photocatalyst Materials for Applications in Photocatalytic Water Splitting and Degradation of Pollutants. *Advanced Sustainable Systems* **2017**, *1*, 1700006.
8. Chen, S.; Huang, D.; Xu, P.; Xue, W.; Lei, L.; Cheng, M.; Wang, R.; Liu, X.; Deng, R., Semiconductor-Based Photocatalysts for Photocatalytic and Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting: Will We Stop with Photocorrosion? *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2020**, *8*, 2286-2322.
9. Takata, T.; Jiang, J.; Sakata, Y.; Nakabayashi, M.; Shibata, N.; Nandal, V.; Seki, K.; Hisatomi, T.; Domen, K., Photocatalytic Water Splitting with a Quantum Efficiency of Almost Unity. *Nature* **2020**, *581*, 411-414.
10. Low, J.; Yu, J.; Ho, W., Graphene-Based Photocatalysts for CO₂ Reduction to Solar Fuel. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2015**, *6*, 4244-4251.
11. Yang, J.; Guo, Y.; Lu, W.; Jiang, R.; Wang, J., Emerging Applications of Plasmons in Driving CO₂ Reduction and N₂ Fixation. *Advanced Materials* **2018**, *30*, 1802227.
12. Gao, D.; Zhou, H.; Cai, F.; Wang, J.; Wang, G.; Bao, X., Pd-Containing Nanostructures for Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction Reaction. *ACS Catalysis* **2018**, *8*, 1510-1519.
13. Kim, C.; Dionigi, F.; Beermann, V.; Wang, X.; Möller, T.; Strasser, P., Alloy Nanocatalysts for the Electrochemical Oxygen Reduction (ORR) and the Direct Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Reduction Reaction (CO₂RR). *Advanced Materials* **2019**, *31*, 1805617.
14. Shyamal, S.; Pradhan, N., Halide Perovskite Nanocrystal Photocatalysts for CO₂ Reduction: Successes and Challenges. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2020**, *11*, 6921-6934.
15. Shi, R.; Zhao, Y.; Waterhouse, G. I. N.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, T., Defect Engineering in Photocatalytic Nitrogen Fixation. *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9*, 9739-9750.

16. Shen, H.; Yang, M.; Hao, L.; Wang, J.; Strunk, J.; Sun, Z., Photocatalytic Nitrogen Reduction to Ammonia: Insights into the Role of Defect Engineering in Photocatalysts. *Nano Research* **2022**, *15*, 2773-2809.
17. Qing, G.; Ghazfar, R.; Jackowski, S. T.; Habibzadeh, F.; Ashtiani, M. M.; Chen, C.-P.; Smith, M. R., III; Hamann, T. W., Recent Advances and Challenges of Electrocatalytic N₂ Reduction to Ammonia. *Chemical Reviews* **2020**, *120*, 5437-5516.
18. Zhang, G.; Sewell, C. D.; Zhang, P.; Mi, H.; Lin, Z., Nanostructured Photocatalysts for Nitrogen Fixation. *Nano Energy* **2020**, *71*, 104645.
19. Roy, S., Photocatalytic Materials for Reduction of Nitroarenes and Nitrates. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2020**, *124*, 28345-28358.
20. Xu, H.; Ma, Y.; Chen, J.; Zhang, W.-x.; Yang, J., Electrocatalytic Reduction of Nitrate – a Step Towards a Sustainable Nitrogen Cycle. *Chemical Society Reviews* **2022**, *51*, 2710-2758.
21. Liang, X.; Zhu, H.; Yang, X.; Xue, S.; Liang, Z.; Ren, X.; Liu, A.; Wu, G., Recent Advances in Designing Efficient Electrocatalysts for Electrochemical Nitrate Reduction to Ammonia. *Small Structures* **2023**, *4*, 2200202.
22. Akhundi, A.; Badiei, A.; Ziarani, G. M.; Habibi-Yangjeh, A.; Muñoz-Batista, M. J.; Luque, R., Graphitic Carbon Nitride-Based Photocatalysts: Toward Efficient Organic Transformation for Value-Added Chemicals Production. *Molecular Catalysis* **2020**, *488*, 110902.
23. Li, J.-Y.; Li, Y.-H.; Qi, M.-Y.; Lin, Q.; Tang, Z.-R.; Xu, Y.-J., Selective Organic Transformations over Cadmium Sulfide-Based Photocatalysts. *ACS Catalysis* **2020**, *10*, 6262-6280.
24. Yuan, Y.; Jin, N.; Saghy, P.; Dube, L.; Zhu, H.; Chen, O., Quantum Dot Photocatalysts for Organic Transformations. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2021**, *12*, 7180-7193.
25. Wang, Y.-J.; Long, W.; Wang, L.; Yuan, R.; Ignaszak, A.; Fang, B.; Wilkinson, D. P., Unlocking the Door to Highly Active ORR Catalysts for PEMFC Applications: Polyhedron-Engineered Pt-Based Nanocrystals. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2018**, *11*, 258-275.
26. Zhao, Z.; Chen, C.; Liu, Z.; Huang, J.; Wu, M.; Liu, H.; Li, Y.; Huang, Y., Pt-Based Nanocrystal for Electrocatalytic Oxygen Reduction. *Advanced Materials* **2019**, *31*, 1808115.
27. Su, Y.-W.; Lin, W.-H.; Hsu, Y.-J.; Wei, K.-H., Conjugated Polymer/Nanocrystal Nanocomposites for Renewable Energy Applications in Photovoltaics and Photocatalysis. *Small* **2014**, *10*, 4427-4442.
28. Jaramillo, T. F.; Jorgensen, K. P.; Bonde, J.; Nielsen, J. H.; Horch, S.; Chorkendorff, I., Identification of the Active Edge Sites for Electrochemical H₂ Evolution from MoS₂ Nanocatalysts. *Science* **2007**, *317*, 100-102.
29. Kibsgaard, J.; Chen, Z.; Reinecke, B. N.; Jaramillo, T. F., Engineering the Surface Structure of MoS₂ To Preferentially Expose Active Edge Sites For Electrocatalysis. *Nature Materials* **2012**, *11*, 963-969.
30. Balasubramanyam, S.; Shirazi, M.; Bloodgood, M. A.; Wu, L.; Verheijen, M. A.; Vandalon, V.; Kessels, W. M. M.; Hofmann, J. P.; Bol, A. A., Edge-Site Nanoengineering of WS₂ by Low-Temperature Plasma-Enhanced Atomic Layer Deposition for Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution. *Chemistry of Materials* **2019**, *31*, 5104-5115.

31. Kauffman, D. R.; Deng, X.; Sorescu, D. C.; Nguyen-Phan, T.-D.; Wang, C.; Marin, C. M.; Stavitski, E.; Waluyo, I.; Hunt, A., Edge-Enhanced Oxygen Evolution Reactivity at Ultrathin, Au-Supported Fe_2O_3 Electrocatalysts. *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9*, 5375-5382.

32. Kashale, A. A.; Ghule, A. V.; Chen, I.-W. P., Active Edge Site Exposed $\beta\text{-Ni(OH)}_2$ Nanosheets on Stainless Steel Mesh as a Versatile Electrocatalyst for the Oxidation of Urea, Hydrazine, and Water. *ChemCatChem* **2021**, *13*, 1165-1174.

33. Chen, X.; Liu, L.; Yu, P. Y.; Mao, S. S., Increasing Solar Absorption for Photocatalysis with Black Hydrogenated Titanium Dioxide Nanocrystals. *Science* **2011**, *331*, 746-750.

34. Wang, J.; Wang, Z.; Huang, B.; Ma, Y.; Liu, Y.; Qin, X.; Zhang, X.; Dai, Y., Oxygen Vacancy Induced Band-Gap Narrowing and Enhanced Visible Light Photocatalytic Activity of ZnO . *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2012**, *4*, 4024-4030.

35. Guan, M.; Xiao, C.; Zhang, J.; Fan, S.; An, R.; Cheng, Q.; Xie, J.; Zhou, M.; Ye, B.; Xie, Y., Vacancy Associates Promoting Solar-Driven Photocatalytic Activity of Ultrathin Bismuth Oxychloride Nanosheets. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2013**, *135*, 10411-10417.

36. Khan, M. M.; Ansari, S. A.; Pradhan, D.; Ansari, M. O.; Han, D. H.; Lee, J.; Cho, M. H., Band Gap Engineered TiO_2 Nanoparticles for Visible Light Induced Photoelectrochemical and Photocatalytic Studies. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2014**, *2*, 637-644.

37. Zhang, N.; Li, X.; Ye, H.; Chen, S.; Ju, H.; Liu, D.; Lin, Y.; Ye, W.; Wang, C.; Xu, Q.; Zhu, J.; Song, L.; Jiang, J.; Xiong, Y., Oxide Defect Engineering Enables to Couple Solar Energy into Oxygen Activation. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2016**, *138*, 8928-8935.

38. Hu, J.; Zhao, X.; Chen, W.; Su, H.; Chen, Z., Theoretical Insight into the Mechanism of Photoelectrochemical Oxygen Evolution Reaction on BiVO_4 Anode with Oxygen Vacancy. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2017**, *121*, 18702-18709.

39. Wu, J.; Li, X.; Shi, W.; Ling, P.; Sun, Y.; Jiao, X.; Gao, S.; Liang, L.; Xu, J.; Yan, W.; Wang, C.; Xie, Y., Efficient Visible-Light-Driven CO_2 Reduction Mediated by Defect-Engineered BiOBr Atomic Layers. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2018**, *57*, 8719-8723.

40. Yu, J.; Kudo, A., Effects of Structural Variation on the Photocatalytic Performance of Hydrothermally Synthesized BiVO_4 . *Advanced Functional Materials* **2006**, *16*, 2163-2169.

41. Ye, L.; Zan, L.; Tian, L.; Peng, T.; Zhang, J., The $\{001\}$ Facets-Dependent High Photoactivity of BiOCl Nanosheets. *Chemical Communications* **2011**, *47*, 6951-6953.

42. Ruberu, T. P. A.; Nelson, N. C.; Slowing, I. I.; Vela, J., Selective Alcohol Dehydrogenation and Hydrogenolysis with Semiconductor-Metal Photocatalysts: Toward Solar-to-Chemical Energy Conversion of Biomass-Relevant Substrates. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2012**, *3*, 2798-2802.

43. Zhu, W.; Michalsky, R.; Metin, Ö.; Lv, H.; Guo, S.; Wright, C. J.; Sun, X.; Peterson, A. A.; Sun, S., Monodisperse Au Nanoparticles for Selective Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO_2 to CO. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2013**, *135*, 16833-16836.

44. Martin, D. J.; Umezawa, N.; Chen, X.; Ye, J.; Tang, J., Facet Engineered Ag_3PO_4 for Efficient Water Photooxidation. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2013**, *6*, 3380-3386.

45. Park, Y.; McDonald, K. J.; Choi, K.-S., Progress in Bismuth Vanadate Photoanodes for Use in Solar Water Oxidation. *Chemical Society Reviews* **2013**, *42*, 2321-2337.

46. Yu, J.; Low, J.; Xiao, W.; Zhou, P.; Jaroniec, M., Enhanced Photocatalytic CO₂-Reduction Activity of Anatase TiO₂ by Coexposed {001} and {101} Facets. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2014**, *136*, 8839-8842.

47. Callejas, J. F.; McEnaney, J. M.; Read, C. G.; Crompton, J. C.; Biacchi, A. J.; Popczun, E. J.; Gordon, T. R.; Lewis, N. S.; Schaak, R. E., Electrocatalytic and Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production from Acidic and Neutral-pH Aqueous Solutions Using Iron Phosphide Nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 11101-11107.

48. Zhu, W.; Shen, M.; Fan, G.; Yang, A.; Meyer, J. R.; Ou, Y.; Yin, B.; Fortner, J.; Foston, M.; Li, Z.; Zou, Z.; Sadtler, B., Facet-Dependent Enhancement in the Activity of Bismuth Vanadate Microcrystals for the Photocatalytic Conversion of Methane to Methanol. *ACS Applied Nano Materials* **2018**, *1*, 6683-6691.

49. Lu, X.; Zeng, Y.; Yu, M.; Zhai, T.; Liang, C.; Xie, S.; Balogun, M.-S.; Tong, Y., Oxygen-Deficient Hematite Nanorods as High-Performance and Novel Negative Electrodes for Flexible Asymmetric Supercapacitors. *Advanced Materials* **2014**, *26*, 3148-3155.

50. Zhang, Y.; Guo, L.; Tao, L.; Lu, Y.; Wang, S., Defect-Based Single-Atom Electrocatalysts. *Small Methods* **2019**, *3*, 1800406.

51. Zhang, S.; Si, Y.; Li, B.; Yang, L.; Dai, W.; Luo, S., Atomic-Level and Modulated Interfaces of Photocatalyst Heterostructure Constructed by External Defect-Induced Strategy: A Critical Review. *Small* **2021**, *17*, 2004980.

52. Easter, Q. T.; Blum, S. A., Kinetics of the Same Reaction Monitored over Nine Orders of Magnitude in Concentration: When Are Unique Subensemble and Single-Turnover Reactivity Displayed? *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2018**, *57*, 12027-12032.

53. Xu, W.; Kong, J. S.; Yeh, Y.-T. E.; Chen, P., Single-Molecule Nanocatalysis Reveals Heterogeneous Reaction Pathways and Catalytic Dynamics. *Nature Materials* **2008**, *7*, 992-996.

54. Zhou, X.; Andoy, N. M.; Liu, G.; Choudhary, E.; Han, K.-S.; Shen, H.; Chen, P., Quantitative Super-Resolution Imaging Uncovers Reactivity Patterns on Single Nanocatalysts. *Nature Nanotechnology* **2012**, *7*, 237-241.

55. Shen, M.; Ding, T.; Rackers, W. H.; Tan, C.; Mahmood, K.; Lew, M. D.; Sadtler, B., Single-Molecule Colocalization of Redox Reactions on Semiconductor Photocatalysts Connects Surface Heterogeneity and Charge-Carrier Separation in Bismuth Oxybromide. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2021**, *143*, 11393-11403.

56. Erickson, E. M.; Thorum, M. S.; Vasić, R.; Marinković, N. S.; Frenkel, A. I.; Gewirth, A. A.; Nuzzo, R. G., In Situ Electrochemical X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy of Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalysis with High Oxygen Flux. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012**, *134*, 197-200.

57. May, K. J.; Carlton, C. E.; Stoerzinger, K. A.; Risch, M.; Suntivich, J.; Lee, Y.-L.; Grimaud, A.; Shao-Horn, Y., Influence of Oxygen Evolution During Water Oxidation on the Surface of Perovskite Oxide Catalysts. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2012**, *3*, 3264-3270.

58. Liu, L.; Meira, D. M.; Arenal, R.; Concepcion, P.; Puga, A. V.; Corma, A., Determination of the Evolution of Heterogeneous Single Metal Atoms and Nanoclusters under Reaction Conditions: Which Are the Working Catalytic Sites? *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9*, 10626-10639.

59. Hochfilzer, D.; Chorkendorff, I.; Kibsgaard, J., Catalyst Stability Considerations for Electrochemical Energy Conversion with Non-Noble Metals: Do We Measure on What We Synthesized? *ACS Energy Letters* **2023**, *8*, 1607-1612.

60. Roeffaers, M. B. J.; Sels, B. F.; Uji-i, H.; De Schryver, F. C.; Jacobs, P. A.; De Vos, D. E.; Hofkens, J., Spatially Resolved Observation of Crystal-Face-Dependent Catalysis by Single Turnover Counting. *Nature* **2006**, *439*, 572-575.

61. Roeffaers, M. B. J.; Sels, B. F.; Uji-i, H.; Blanpain, B.; L'hoëst, P.; Jacobs, P. A.; De Schryver, F. C.; Hofkens, J.; De Vos, D. E., Space- and Time-Resolved Visualization of Acid Catalysis in ZSM-5 Crystals by Fluorescence Microscopy. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2007**, *46*, 1706-1709.

62. Roeffaers, M. B. J.; De Cremer, G.; Libeert, J.; Ameloot, R.; Dedecker, P.; Bons, A.-J.; Bückins, M.; Martens, J. A.; Sels, B. F.; De Vos, D. E.; Hofkens, J., Super-Resolution Reactivity Mapping of Nanostructured Catalyst Particles. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2009**, *48*, 9285-9289.

63. De Cremer, G.; Roeffaers, M. B. J.; Bartholomeeusen, E.; Lin, K.; Dedecker, P.; Pescarmona, P. P.; Jacobs, P. A.; De Vos, D. E.; Hofkens, J.; Sels, B. F., High-Resolution Single-Turnover Mapping Reveals Intraparticle Diffusion Limitation in Ti-MCM-41-Catalyzed Epoxidation. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2010**, *49*, 908-911.

64. Xu, W.; Kong, J. S.; Chen, P., Probing the Catalytic Activity and Heterogeneity of Au-Nanoparticles at the Single-Molecule Level. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2009**, *11*, 2767-2778.

65. Zhou, X.; Xu, W.; Liu, G.; Panda, D.; Chen, P., Size-Dependent Catalytic Activity and Dynamics of Gold Nanoparticles at the Single-Molecule Level. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2010**, *132*, 138-146.

66. Han, K. S.; Liu, G.; Zhou, X.; Medina, R. E.; Chen, P., How Does a Single Pt Nanocatalyst Behave in Two Different Reactions? A Single-Molecule Study. *Nano Letters* **2012**, *12*, 1253-1259.

67. Naito, K.; Tachikawa, T.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T., Single-Molecule Fluorescence Imaging of the Remote TiO₂ Photocatalytic Oxidation. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2005**, *109*, 23138-23140.

68. Naito, K.; Tachikawa, T.; Cui, S.-C.; Sugimoto, A.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T., Single-Molecule Detection of Airborne Singlet Oxygen. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2006**, *128*, 16430-16431.

69. Naito, K.; Tachikawa, T.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T., Real-Time Single-Molecule Imaging of the Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Reactive Oxygen Species with Fluorescent Probes: Applications to TiO₂ Photocatalysts. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2008**, *112*, 1048-1059.

70. Dong, B.; Pei, Y.; Zhao, F.; Goh, T. W.; Qi, Z.; Xiao, C.; Chen, K.; Huang, W.; Fang, N., In Situ Quantitative Single-Molecule Study of Dynamic Catalytic Processes in Nanoconfinement. *Nature Catalysis* **2018**, *1*, 135-140.

71. Dong, B.; Pei, Y.; Mansour, N.; Lu, X.; Yang, K.; Huang, W.; Fang, N., Deciphering Nanoconfinement Effects on Molecular Orientation and Reaction Intermediate by Single Molecule Imaging. *Nature Communications* **2019**, *10*, 4815.

72. Dong, B.; Mansour, N.; Pei, Y.; Wang, Z.; Huang, T.; Filbrun, S. L.; Chen, M.; Cheng, X.; Pruski, M.; Huang, W.; Fang, N., Single Molecule Investigation of Nanoconfinement Hydrophobicity in Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2020**, *142*, 13305-13309.

73. Wang, N.; Tachikawa, T.; Majima, T., Single-Molecule, Single-Particle Observation of Size-Dependent Photocatalytic Activity in Au/TiO₂ Nanocomposites. *Chemical Science* **2011**, *2*, 891-900.

74. Tachikawa, T.; Yonezawa, T.; Majima, T., Super-Resolution Mapping of Reactive Sites on Titania-Based Nanoparticles with Water-Soluble Fluorogenic Probes. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 263-275.

75. Ha, J. W.; Ruberu, T. P. A.; Han, R.; Dong, B.; Vela, J.; Fang, N., Super-Resolution Mapping of Photogenerated Electron and Hole Separation in Single Metal–Semiconductor Nanocatalysts. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2014**, *136*, 1398-1408.

76. Sambur, J. B.; Chen, T.-Y.; Choudhary, E.; Chen, G.; Nissen, E. J.; Thomas, E. M.; Zou, N.; Chen, P., Sub-Particle Reaction and Photocurrent Mapping to Optimize Catalyst-Modified Photoanodes. *Nature* **2016**, *530*, 77-80.

77. Liu, Y.; Zhang, K.; Tian, X.; Zhou, L.; Liu, J.; Liu, B., Quantitative Single-Particle Fluorescence Imaging Elucidates Semiconductor Shell Influence on Ag@TiO₂ Photocatalysis. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2021**, *13*, 7680-7687.

78. An, J.; Song, X.; Wan, W.; Chen, Y.; Si, H.; Duan, H.; Li, L.; Tang, B., Kinetics of the Photoelectron-Transfer Process Characterized by Real-Time Single-Molecule Fluorescence Imaging on Individual Photocatalyst Particles. *ACS Catalysis* **2021**, *11*, 6872-6882.

79. Wu, S.; Lee, J.-K.; Lim, P. C.; Xu, R.; Zhang, Z., Super-Resolution Imaging of Photogenerated Charges on CdS/g-C₃N₄ Heterojunctions and Its Correlation with Photoactivity. *Nanoscale* **2022**, *14*, 5612-5624.

80. Andoy, N. M.; Zhou, X.; Choudhary, E.; Shen, H.; Liu, G.; Chen, P., Single-Molecule Catalysis Mapping Quantifies Site-Specific Activity and Uncovers Radial Activity Gradient on Single 2D Nanocrystals. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2013**, *135*, 1845-1852.

81. Shen, M.; Ding, T.; Hartman, S. T.; Wang, F.; Krucylak, C.; Wang, Z.; Tan, C.; Yin, B.; Mishra, R.; Lew, M. D.; Sadtler, B., Nanoscale Colocalization of Fluorogenic Probes Reveals the Role of Oxygen Vacancies in the Photocatalytic Activity of Tungsten Oxide Nanowires. *ACS Catalysis* **2020**, *10*, 2088-2099.

82. Shen, M.; Ding, T.; Luo, J.; Tan, C.; Mahmood, K.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, D.; Mishra, R.; Lew, M. D.; Sadtler, B., Competing Activation and Deactivation Mechanisms in Photodoped Bismuth Oxybromide Nanoplates Probed by Single-Molecule Fluorescence Imaging. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2020**, *11*, 5219-5227.

83. Shen, M.; Ding, T.; Tan, C.; Rackers, W. H.; Zhang, D.; Lew, M. D.; Sadtler, B., In Situ Imaging of Catalytic Reactions on Tungsten Oxide Nanowires Connects Surface–Ligand Redox Chemistry with Photocatalytic Activity. *Nano Letters* **2022**, *22*, 4694-4701.

84. Huang, T.-X.; Dong, B.; Filbrun, S. L.; Okmi, A. A.; Cheng, X.; Yang, M.; Mansour, N.; Lei, S.; Fang, N., Single-Molecule Photocatalytic Dynamics at Individual Defects in Two-Dimensional Layered Materials. *Science Advances* **2021**, *7*, eabj4452.

85. Xu, W.; Shen, H.; Kim, Y. J.; Zhou, X.; Liu, G.; Park, J.; Chen, P., Single-Molecule Electrocatalysis by Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Nano Letters* **2009**, *9*, 3968-3973.

86. Xu, W.; Jain, P. K.; Beberwyck, B. J.; Alivisatos, A. P., Probing Redox Photocatalysis of Trapped Electrons and Holes on Single Sb-Doped Titania Nanorod Surfaces. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012**, *134*, 3946-3949.

87. Tachikawa, T.; Yamashita, S.; Majima, T., Evidence for Crystal-Face-Dependent TiO₂ Photocatalysis from Single-Molecule Imaging and Kinetic Analysis. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2011**, *133*, 7197-7204.

88. Zhou, X.; Choudhary, E.; Andoy, N. M.; Zou, N.; Chen, P., Scalable Parallel Screening of Catalyst Activity at the Single-Particle Level and Subdiffraction Resolution. *ACS Catalysis* **2013**, *3*, 1448-1453.

89. Zhang, Y.; Lucas, J. M.; Song, P.; Beberwyck, B.; Fu, Q.; Xu, W.; Alivisatos, A. P., Superresolution Fluorescence Mapping of Single-Nanoparticle Catalysts Reveals Spatiotemporal Variations in Surface Reactivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2015**, *112*, 8959-8964.

90. Chen, T.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, W., Single-Molecule Nanocatalysis Reveals Catalytic Activation Energy of Single Nanocatalysts. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2016**, *138*, 12414-12421.

91. Chen, T.; Dong, B.; Chen, K.; Zhao, F.; Cheng, X.; Ma, C.; Lee, S.; Zhang, P.; Kang, S. H.; Ha, J. W.; Xu, W.; Fang, N., Optical Super-Resolution Imaging of Surface Reactions. *Chemical Reviews* **2017**, *117*, 7510-7537.

92. Chen, T.; Chen, S.; Song, P.; Zhang, Y.; Su, H.; Xu, W.; Zeng, J., Single-Molecule Nanocatalysis Reveals Facet-Dependent Catalytic Kinetics and Dynamics of Palladium Nanoparticles. *ACS Catalysis* **2017**, *7*, 2967-2972.

93. Zou, N.; Chen, G.; Mao, X.; Shen, H.; Choudhary, E.; Zhou, X.; Chen, P., Imaging Catalytic Hotspots on Single Plasmonic Nanostructures via Correlated Super-Resolution and Electron Microscopy. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 5570-5579.

94. Zou, N.; Zhou, X.; Chen, G.; Andoy, N. M.; Jung, W.; Liu, G.; Chen, P., Cooperative Communication within and between Single Nanocatalysts. *Nature Chemistry* **2018**, *10*, 607-614.

95. Hesari, M.; Mao, X.; Chen, P., Charge Carrier Activity on Single-Particle Photo(Electro)Catalysts: Toward Function in Solar Energy Conversion. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2018**, *140*, 6729-6740.

96. Mao, X.; Liu, C.; Hesari, M.; Zou, N.; Chen, P., Super-Resolution Imaging of Non-Fluorescent Reactions via Competition. *Nature Chemistry* **2019**, *11*, 687-694.

97. Wang, W.-K.; Chen, J.-J.; Lou, Z.-Z.; Kim, S.; Fujitsuka, M.; Yu, H.-Q.; Majima, T., Single-Molecule and -Particle Probing Crystal Edge/Corner as Highly Efficient Photocatalytic Sites on a Single TiO₂ Particle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2019**, *116*, 18827-18833.

98. Ye, R.; Zhao, M.; Mao, X.; Wang, Z.; Garzón, D. A.; Pu, H.; Zhao, Z.; Chen, P., Nanoscale Cooperative Adsorption for Materials Control. *Nature Communications* **2021**, *12*, 4287.

99. Liu, X.; Ge, X.; Cao, J.; Xiao, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Song, P.; Xu, W., Revealing the Catalytic Kinetics and Dynamics of Individual Pt Atoms at the Single-Molecule Level. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2022**, *119*, e2114639119.

100. Mao, X.; Chen, P., Inter-Facet Junction Effects on Particulate Photoelectrodes. *Nature Materials* **2022**, *21*, 331-337.

101. Lustig, D. R.; Nilsson, Z. N.; Mulvey, J. T.; Zang, W.; Pan, X.; Patterson, J. P.; Sambur, J. B., Toward Imaging Defect-Mediated Energy Transfer between Single Nanocrystal Donors and Single Molecule Acceptors. *Chemical & Biomedical Imaging* **2023**, *1*, 168-178.

102. Wilson, A. J.; Willets, K. A., Visualizing Site-Specific Redox Potentials on the Surface of Plasmonic Nanoparticle Aggregates with Superlocalization SERS Microscopy. *Nano Letters* **2014**, *14*, 939-945.

103. Willets, K. A.; Wilson, A. J.; Sundaresan, V.; Joshi, P. B., Super-Resolution Imaging and Plasmonics. *Chemical Reviews* **2017**, *117*, 7538-7582.

104. Easter, Q. T.; Garcia, A.; Blum, S. A., Single-Polymer-Particle Growth Kinetics with Molecular Catalyst Speciation and Single-Turnover Imaging. *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9*, 3375-3383.

105. Garcia IV, A.; Saluga, S. J.; Dibble, D. J.; López, P. A.; Saito, N.; Blum, S. A., Does Selectivity of Molecular Catalysts Change with Time? Polymerization Imaged by Single-Molecule Spectroscopy. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2021**, *60*, 1550-1555.

106. Eivgi, O.; Blum, S. A., Exploring Chemistry with Single-Molecule and -Particle Fluorescence Microscopy. *Trends in Chemistry* **2022**, *4*, 5-14.

107. Matsushima, H.; Taranovskyy, A.; Haak, C.; Gründer, Y.; Magnussen, O. M., Reconstruction of Cu(100) Electrode Surfaces During Hydrogen Evolution. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2009**, *131*, 10362-10363.

108. Parkinson, G. S.; Novotny, Z.; Argentero, G.; Schmid, M.; Pavelec, J.; Kosak, R.; Blaha, P.; Diebold, U., Carbon Monoxide-Induced Adatom Sintering in a Pd-Fe₃O₄ Model Catalyst. *Nature Materials* **2013**, *12*, 724-728.

109. Kim, Y.-G.; Baricuatro, J. H.; Javier, A.; Gregoire, J. M.; Soriaga, M. P., The Evolution of the Polycrystalline Copper Surface, First to Cu(111) and Then to Cu(100), at a Fixed CO₂RR Potential: A Study by *Operando* EC-STM. *Langmuir* **2014**, *30*, 15053-15056.

110. Kudernatsch, W.; Peng, G.; Zeuthen, H.; Bai, Y.; Merte, L. R.; Lammich, L.; Besenbacher, F.; Mavrikakis, M.; Wendt, S., Direct Visualization of Catalytically Active Sites at the FeO-Pt(111) Interface. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 7804-7814.

111. Wintterlin, J.; Völkening, S.; Janssens, T. V. W.; Zambelli, T.; Ertl, G., Atomic and Macroscopic Reaction Rates of a Surface-Catalyzed Reaction. *Science* **1997**, *278*, 1931-1934.

112. Mitsui, T.; Rose, M. K.; Fomin, E.; Ogletree, D. F.; Salmeron, M., Dissociative Hydrogen Adsorption on Palladium Requires Aggregates of Three or More Vacancies. *Nature* **2003**, *422*, 705-707.

113. Matthiesen, J.; Wendt, S.; Hansen, J. Ø.; Madsen, G. K. H.; Lira, E.; Galliker, P.; Vestergaard, E. K.; Schaub, R.; Lægsgaard, E.; Hammer, B.; Besenbacher, F., Observation

of All the Intermediate Steps of a Chemical Reaction on an Oxide Surface by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 517-526.

- 114. Pfisterer, J. H. K.; Liang, Y.; Schneider, O.; Bandarenka, A. S., Direct Instrumental Identification of Catalytically Active Surface Sites. *Nature* **2017**, *549*, 74-77.
- 115. Han, W.; Durantini, E. N.; Moore, T. A.; Moore, A. L.; Gust, D.; Rez, P.; Leatherman, G.; Seely, G. R.; Tao, N.; Lindsay, STM Contrast, Electron-Transfer Chemistry, and Conduction in Molecules. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **1997**, *101*, 10719-10725.
- 116. Tsoi, S.; Griva, I.; Trammell, S. A.; Blum, A. S.; Schnur, J. M.; Lebedev, N., Electrochemically Controlled Conductance Switching in a Single Molecule: Quinone-Modified Oligo(Phenylene Vinylene). *ACS Nano* **2008**, *2*, 1289-1295.
- 117. Yuan, Q.; Xing, Y.; Borguet, E., An STM Study of the pH Dependent Redox Activity of a Two-Dimensional Hydrogen Bonding Porphyrin Network at an Electrochemical Interface. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2010**, *132*, 5054-5060.
- 118. Chen, T.; Wang, D.; Gan, L.-H.; Matsuo, Y.; Gu, J.-Y.; Yan, H.-J.; Nakamura, E.; Wan, L.-J., Direct Probing of the Structure and Electron Transfer of Fullerene/Ferrocene Hybrid on Au(111) Electrodes by in Situ Electrochemical STM. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2014**, *136*, 3184-3191.
- 119. van Schrojenstein Lantman, E. M.; Deckert-Gaudig, T.; Mank, A. J. G.; Deckert, V.; Weckhuysen, B. M., Catalytic Processes Monitored at the Nanoscale with Tip-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy. *Nature Nanotechnology* **2012**, *7*, 583-586.
- 120. Kurouski, D.; Mattei, M.; Van Duyne, R. P., Probing Redox Reactions at the Nanoscale with Electrochemical Tip-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy. *Nano Letters* **2015**, *15*, 7956-7962.
- 121. Kumar, N.; Stephanidis, B.; Zenobi, R.; Wain, A. J.; Roy, D., Nanoscale Mapping of Catalytic Activity Using Tip-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy. *Nanoscale* **2015**, *7*, 7133-7137.
- 122. Zeng, Z.-C.; Huang, S.-C.; Wu, D.-Y.; Meng, L.-Y.; Li, M.-H.; Huang, T.-X.; Zhong, J.-H.; Wang, X.; Yang, Z.-L.; Ren, B., Electrochemical Tip-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2015**, *137*, 11928-11931.
- 123. Pfisterer, J. H. K.; Baghernejad, M.; Giuzio, G.; Domke, K. F., Reactivity Mapping of Nanoscale Defect Chemistry under Electrochemical Reaction Conditions. *Nature Communications* **2019**, *10*, 5702.
- 124. Yin, H.; Zheng, L.-Q.; Fang, W.; Lai, Y.-H.; Porenta, N.; Goubert, G.; Zhang, H.; Su, H.-S.; Ren, B.; Richardson, J. O.; Li, J.-F.; Zenobi, R., Nanometre-Scale Spectroscopic Visualization of Catalytic Sites During a Hydrogenation Reaction on a Pd/Au Bimetallic Catalyst. *Nature Catalysis* **2020**, *3*, 834-842.
- 125. Tao, F.; Crozier, P. A., Atomic-Scale Observations of Catalyst Structures under Reaction Conditions and During Catalysis. *Chemical Reviews* **2016**, *116*, 3487-3539.
- 126. Wu, J.; Shan, H.; Chen, W.; Gu, X.; Tao, P.; Song, C.; Shang, W.; Deng, T., In Situ Environmental TEM in Imaging Gas and Liquid Phase Chemical Reactions for Materials Research. *Advanced Materials* **2016**, *28*, 9686-9712.
- 127. Hwang, S.; Chen, X.; Zhou, G.; Su, D., In Situ Transmission Electron Microscopy on Energy-Related Catalysis. *Advanced Energy Materials* **2020**, *10*, 1902105.

128. He, B.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, X.; Chen, L., In-Situ Transmission Electron Microscope Techniques for Heterogeneous Catalysis. *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12*, 1853-1872.

129. Ye, F.; Xu, M.; Dai, S.; Tieu, P.; Ren, X.; Pan, X., In Situ TEM Studies of Catalysts Using Windowed Gas Cells. *Catalysts* **2020**, *10*, 779.

130. Chenna, S.; Banerjee, R.; Crozier, P. A., Atomic-Scale Observation of the Ni Activation Process for Partial Oxidation of Methane Using In Situ Environmental TEM. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 1051-1059.

131. Chenna, S.; Crozier, P. A., Operando Transmission Electron Microscopy: A Technique for Detection of Catalysis Using Electron Energy-Loss Spectroscopy in the Transmission Electron Microscope. *ACS Catalysis* **2012**, *2*, 2395-2402.

132. Vendelbo, S. B.; Elkjær, C. F.; Falsig, H.; Puspitasari, I.; Dona, P.; Mele, L.; Morana, B.; Nelissen, B. J.; van Rijn, R.; Creemer, J. F.; Kooyman, P. J.; Helveg, S., Visualization of Oscillatory Behaviour of Pt Nanoparticles Catalysing CO Oxidation. *Nature Materials* **2014**, *13*, 884-890.

133. Miller, B. K.; Crozier, P. A., Linking Changes in Reaction Kinetics and Atomic-Level Surface Structures on a Supported Ru Catalyst for CO Oxidation. *ACS Catalysis* **2021**, *11*, 1456-1463.

134. De Vrieze, J. E.; Bremmer, G. M.; Aly, M.; Navarro, V.; Thybaut, J. W.; Kooyman, P. J.; Saeys, M., Shape of Cobalt and Platinum Nanoparticles under a CO Atmosphere: A Combined In Situ TEM and Computational Catalysis Study. *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9*, 7449-7456.

135. Lee, J.; Kang, S.; Lee, E.; Kang, M.; Sung, J.; Kim, T. J.; Christopher, P.; Park, J.; Kim, D. H., Aggregation of CeO₂ Particles with Aligned Grains Drives Sintering of Pt Single Atoms in Pt/CeO₂ Catalysts. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2022**, *10*, 7029-7035.

136. Huang, J. Y.; Zhong, L.; Wang, C. M.; Sullivan, J. P.; Xu, W.; Zhang, L. Q.; Mao, S. X.; Hudak, N. S.; Liu, X. H.; Subramanian, A.; Fan, H.; Qi, L.; Kushima, A.; Li, J., In Situ Observation of the Electrochemical Lithiation of a Single SnO₂ Nanowire Electrode. *Science* **2010**, *330*, 1515-1520.

137. McDowell, M. T.; Lee, S. W.; Harris, J. T.; Korgel, B. A.; Wang, C.; Nix, W. D.; Cui, Y., In Situ TEM of Two-Phase Lithiation of Amorphous Silicon Nanospheres. *Nano Letters* **2013**, *13*, 758-764.

138. Sun, M.; Liao, H.-G.; Niu, K.; Zheng, H., Structural and Morphological Evolution of Lead Dendrites During Electrochemical Migration. *Scientific Reports* **2013**, *3*, 3227.

139. Park, H.; Jeon, Y.; Chung, W. J.; Bae, Y.; Kim, J.; Baek, H.; Park, J., Early Stage Li Plating by Liquid Phase and Cryogenic Transmission Electron Microscopy. *ACS Energy Letters* **2023**, *8*, 715-721.

140. Ortiz Peña, N.; Ihiawakrim, D.; Han, M.; Lassalle-Kaiser, B.; Carenco, S.; Sanchez, C.; Laberty-Robert, C.; Portehault, D.; Ersen, O., Morphological and Structural Evolution of Co₃O₄ Nanoparticles Revealed by *in Situ* Electrochemical Transmission Electron Microscopy During Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 11372-11381.

141. Sun, H.; Liu, Q.; Gao, Z.; Geng, L.; Li, Y.; Zhang, F.; Yan, J.; Gao, Y.; Suenaga, K.; Zhang, L.; Tang, Y.; Huang, J., In Situ TEM Visualization of Single Atom Catalysis in Solid-State Na-O₂ Nanobatteries. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2022**, *10*, 6096-6106.

142. Zhang, L.; Miller, B. K.; Crozier, P. A., Atomic Level In Situ Observation of Surface Amorphization in Anatase Nanocrystals During Light Irradiation in Water Vapor. *Nano Letters* **2013**, *13*, 679-684.

143. Lu, Y.; Yin, W.-J.; Peng, K.-L.; Wang, K.; Hu, Q.; Selloni, A.; Chen, F.-R.; Liu, L.-M.; Sui, M.-L., Self-Hydrogenated Shell Promoting Photocatalytic H₂ Evolution on Anatase TiO₂. *Nature Communications* **2018**, *9*, 2752.

144. Crozier, P. A.; Aoki, T.; Liu, Q., Detection of Water and Its Derivatives on Individual Nanoparticles Using Vibrational Electron Energy-Loss Spectroscopy. *Ultramicroscopy* **2016**, *169*, 30-36.

145. Masliuk, L.; Swoboda, M.; Algara-Siller, G.; Schlögl, R.; Lunkenbein, T., A *quasi in situ* TEM Grid Reactor for Decoupling Catalytic Gas Phase Reactions and Analysis. *Ultramicroscopy* **2018**, *195*, 121-128.

146. Kim, J.; Park, A.; Kim, J.; Kwak, S. J.; Lee, J. Y.; Lee, D.; Kim, S.; Choi, B. K.; Kim, S.; Kwag, J.; Kim, Y.; Jeon, S.; Lee, W. C.; Hyeon, T.; Lee, C.-H.; Lee, W. B.; Park, J., Observation of H₂ Evolution and Electrolyte Diffusion on MoS₂ Monolayer by In Situ Liquid-Phase Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Advanced Materials* **2022**, *34*, 2206066.

147. Yin, Z.-W.; Betzler, S. B.; Sheng, T.; Zhang, Q.; Peng, X.; Shangguan, J.; Bustillo, K. C.; Li, J.-T.; Sun, S.-G.; Zheng, H., Visualization of Facet-Dependent Pseudo-Photocatalytic Behavior of TiO₂ Nanorods for Water Splitting Using in Situ Liquid Cell TEM. *Nano Energy* **2019**, *62*, 507-512.

148. Barroo, C.; Wang, Z.-J.; Schlögl, R.; Willinger, M.-G., Imaging the Dynamics of Catalysed Surface Reactions by in Situ Scanning Electron Microscopy. *Nature Catalysis* **2020**, *3*, 30-39.

149. Bard, A. J.; Fan, F. R. F.; Kwak, J.; Lev, O., Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. Introduction and Principles. *Analytical Chemistry* **1989**, *61*, 132-138.

150. Fernández, J. L.; White, J. M.; Sun, Y.; Tang, W.; Henkelman, G.; Bard, A. J., Characterization and Theory of Electrocatalysts Based on Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Screening Methods. *Langmuir* **2006**, *22*, 10426-10431.

151. Joshi, V. S.; Haram, S. K.; Dasgupta, A.; Kumar, G. V. P., Mapping of Electrocatalytic Sites on a Single Strand of Carbon Fiber Using Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy (SECM). *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2012**, *116*, 9703-9708.

152. Li, H.; Du, M.; Mleczko, M. J.; Koh, A. L.; Nishi, Y.; Pop, E.; Bard, A. J.; Zheng, X., Kinetic Study of Hydrogen Evolution Reaction over Strained MoS₂ with Sulfur Vacancies Using Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2016**, *138*, 5123-5129.

153. Mariano, R. G.; McKelvey, K.; White, H. S.; Kanan, M. W., Selective Increase in CO₂ Electroreduction Activity at Grain-Boundary Surface Terminations. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 1187-1192.

154. Erdewyk, M. V.; Sambur, J. B., Single Nanoflake Photoelectrochemistry Reveals Intrananoflake Doping Heterogeneity That Explains Ensemble-Level Photoelectrochemical Behavior. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2022**, *14*, 22737-22746.

155. Ye, H.; Lee, J.; Jang, J. S.; Bard, A. J., Rapid Screening of BiVO₄-Based Photocatalysts by Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy (SECM) and Studies of Their Photoelectrochemical Properties. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2010**, *114*, 13322-13328.

156. Aaronson, B. D. B.; Byers, J. C.; Colburn, A. W.; McKelvey, K.; Unwin, P. R., Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy Platform for Ultrasensitive Photoelectrochemical Imaging. *Analytical Chemistry* **2015**, *87*, 4129-4133.

157. Bae, J. H.; Nepomnyashchii, A. B.; Wang, X.; Potapenko, D. V.; Mirkin, M. V., Photo-Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy on the Nanoscale with Through-Tip Illumination. *Analytical Chemistry* **2019**, *91*, 12601-12605.

158. Sarkar, S.; Wang, X.; Hesari, M.; Chen, P.; Mirkin, M. V., Scanning Electrochemical and Photoelectrochemical Microscopy on Finder Grids: Toward Correlative Multitechnique Imaging of Surfaces. *Analytical Chemistry* **2021**, *93*, 5377-5382.

159. Sun, T.; Wang, D.; Mirkin, M. V.; Cheng, H.; Zheng, J.-C.; Richards, R. M.; Lin, F.; Xin, H. L., Direct High-Resolution Mapping of Electrocatalytic Activity of Semi-Two-Dimensional Catalysts with Single-Edge Sensitivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2019**, *116*, 11618-11623.

160. Kolagatla, S.; Subramanian, P.; Schechter, A., Catalytic Current Mapping of Oxygen Reduction on Isolated Pt Particles by Atomic Force Microscopy-Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2019**, *256*, 117843.

161. Snowden, M. E.; Güell, A. G.; Lai, S. C. S.; McKelvey, K.; Ebejer, N.; O'Connell, M. A.; Colburn, A. W.; Unwin, P. R., Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy: Theory and Experiment for Quantitative High Resolution Spatially-Resolved Voltammetry and Simultaneous Ion-Conductance Measurements. *Analytical Chemistry* **2012**, *84*, 2483-2491.

162. Patten, H. V.; Lai, S. C. S.; Macpherson, J. V.; Unwin, P. R., Active Sites for Outer-Sphere, Inner-Sphere, and Complex Multistage Electrochemical Reactions at Polycrystalline Boron-Doped Diamond Electrodes (pBDD) Revealed with Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy (SECCM). *Analytical Chemistry* **2012**, *84*, 5427-5432.

163. Bentley, C. L.; Kang, M.; Unwin, P. R., Nanoscale Structure Dynamics within Electrocatalytic Materials. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2017**, *139*, 16813-16821.

164. Wang, Y.; Li, M.; Ren, H., Voltammetric Mapping of Hydrogen Evolution Reaction on Pt Locally via Scanning Electrochemical Cell Microscopy. *ACS Measurement Science Au* **2022**, *2*, 304-308.

165. Gossage, Z. T.; Schorr, N. B.; Hernández-Burgos, K.; Hui, J.; Simpson, B. H.; Montoto, E. C.; Rodríguez-López, J., Interrogating Charge Storage on Redox Active Colloids via Combined Raman Spectroscopy and Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Langmuir* **2017**, *33*, 9455-9463.

166. Schorr, N. B.; Jiang, A. G.; Rodríguez-López, J., Probing Graphene Interfacial Reactivity via Simultaneous and Colocalized Raman-Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Imaging and Interrogation. *Analytical Chemistry* **2018**, *90*, 7848-7854.

167. Wang, Y.; Li, M.; Gordon, E.; Ye, Z.; Ren, H., Nanoscale Colocalized Electrochemical and Structural Mapping of Metal Dissolution Reaction. *Analytical Chemistry* **2022**, *94*, 9058-9064.

168. Grunwaldt, J.-D.; Schroer, C. G., Hard and Soft X-Ray Microscopy and Tomography in Catalysis: Bridging the Different Time and Length Scales. *Chemical Society Reviews* **2010**, *39*, 4741-4753.

169. Kaulich, B.; Thibault, P.; Gianoncelli, A.; Kiskinova, M., Transmission and Emission X-Ray Microscopy: Operation Modes, Contrast Mechanisms and Applications. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **2011**, *23*, 083002.

170. Spence, S.; Lee, W.-K.; Lin, F.; Xiao, X., Transmission X-Ray Microscopy and Its Applications in Battery Material Research—a Short Review. *Nanotechnology* **2021**, *32*, 442003.

171. Mefford, J. T.; Akbashev, A. R.; Kang, M.; Bentley, C. L.; Gent, W. E.; Deng, H. D.; Alsem, D. H.; Yu, Y.-S.; Salmon, N. J.; Shapiro, D. A.; Unwin, P. R.; Chueh, W. C., Correlative Operando Microscopy of Oxygen Evolution Electrocatalysts. *Nature* **2021**, *593*, 67-73.

172. Park, J.; Zhao, H.; Kang, S. D.; Lim, K.; Chen, C.-C.; Yu, Y.-S.; Braatz, R. D.; Shapiro, D. A.; Hong, J.; Toney, M. F.; Bazant, M. Z.; Chueh, W. C., Fictitious Phase Separation in Li Layered Oxides Driven by Electro-Autocatalysis. *Nature Materials* **2021**, *20*, 991-999.

173. Yang, W.; Eraky, H.; Zhang, C.; Hitchcock, A. P.; Zhitomirsky, I., Scanning Transmission X-Ray Microscopy Studies of Electrochemical Activation and Capacitive Behavior of Mn_3O_4 Supercapacitor Electrodes. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2022**, *10*, 18267-18277.

174. Zhao, H.; Deng, H. D.; Cohen, A. E.; Lim, J.; Li, Y.; Fragedakis, D.; Jiang, B.; Storey, B. D.; Chueh, W. C.; Braatz, R. D.; Bazant, M. Z., Learning Heterogeneous Reaction Kinetics from X-Ray Videos Pixel by Pixel. *Nature* **2023**, *621*, 289-294.

175. de Smit, E.; Swart, I.; Creemer, J. F.; Hoveling, G. H.; Gilles, M. K.; Tyliszczak, T.; Kooyman, P. J.; Zandbergen, H. W.; Morin, C.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; de Groot, F. M. F., Nanoscale Chemical Imaging of a Working Catalyst by Scanning Transmission X-Ray Microscopy. *Nature* **2008**, *456*, 222-225.

176. de Smit, E.; Swart, I.; Creemer, J. F.; Karunakaran, C.; Bertwistle, D.; Zandbergen, H. W.; de Groot, F. M. F.; Weckhuysen, B. M., Nanoscale Chemical Imaging of the Reduction Behavior of a Single Catalyst Particle. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2009**, *48*, 3632-3636.

177. Beheshti Askari, A.; al Samarai, M.; Morana, B.; Tillmann, L.; Pfänder, N.; Wandzilak, A.; Watts, B.; Belkhoud, R.; Muhler, M.; DeBeer, S., In Situ X-Ray Microscopy Reveals Particle Dynamics in a NiCo Dry Methane Reforming Catalyst under Operating Conditions. *ACS Catalysis* **2020**, *10*, 6223-6230.

178. Zhang, C.; Shahcheraghi, L.; Ismail, F.; Eraky, H.; Yuan, H.; Hitchcock, A. P.; Higgins, D., Chemical Structure and Distribution in Nickel–Nitrogen–Carbon Catalysts for CO_2 Electroreduction Identified by Scanning Transmission X-Ray Microscopy. *ACS Catalysis* **2022**, *12*, 8746-8760.

179. Shapiro, D. A.; Yu, Y.-S.; Tyliszczak, T.; Cabana, J.; Celestre, R.; Chao, W.; Kaznatcheev, K.; Kilcoyne, A. L. D.; Maia, F.; Marchesini, S.; Meng, Y. S.; Warwick, T.; Yang, L. L.; Padmore, H. A., Chemical Composition Mapping with Nanometre Resolution by Soft X-Ray Microscopy. *Nature Photonics* **2014**, *8*, 765-769.

180. Yin, J.; Hu, Y.; Yoon, J., Fluorescent Probes and Bioimaging: Alkali Metals, Alkaline Earth Metals and pH. *Chemical Society Reviews* **2015**, *44*, 4619-4644.

181. Chen, W.; Ma, X.; Chen, H.; Hua Liu, S.; Yin, J., Fluorescent Probes for pH and Alkali Metal Ions. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **2021**, *427*, 213584.

182. Chi, W.; Tan, D.; Qiao, Q.; Xu, Z.; Liu, X., Spontaneously Blinking Rhodamine Dyes for Single-Molecule Localization Microscopy. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2023**, *62*, e202306061.

183. Karaveli, S.; Gaathon, O.; Wolcott, A.; Sakakibara, R.; Shemesh, O. A.; Peterka, D. S.; Boyden, E. S.; Owen, J. S.; Yuste, R.; Englund, D., Modulation of Nitrogen Vacancy Charge State and Fluorescence in Nanodiamonds Using Electrochemical Potential. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2016**, *113*, 3938-3943.

184. Dong, J.; Lu, Y.; Xu, Y.; Chen, F.; Yang, J.; Chen, Y.; Feng, J., Direct Imaging of Single-Molecule Electrochemical Reactions in Solution. *Nature* **2021**, *596*, 244-249.

185. Fish, K. N., Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence (TIRF) Microscopy. *Current Protocols* **2022**, *2*, e517.

186. Naito, K.; Tachikawa, T.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T., Single-Molecule Observation of Photocatalytic Reaction in TiO₂ Nanotube: Importance of Molecular Transport through Porous Structures. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2009**, *131*, 934-936.

187. Tachikawa, T.; Wang, N.; Yamashita, S.; Cui, S.-C.; Majima, T., Design of a Highly Sensitive Fluorescent Probe for Interfacial Electron Transfer on a TiO₂ Surface. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2010**, *49*, 8593-8597.

188. Titus, E. J.; Willets, K. A., Superlocalization Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Microscopy: Comparing Point Spread Function Models in the Ensemble and Single-Molecule Limits. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 8284-8294.

189. Fu, B.; Flynn, J. D.; Isaacoff, B. P.; Rowland, D. J.; Bateen, J. S., Super-Resolving the Distance-Dependent Plasmon-Enhanced Fluorescence of Single Dye and Fluorescent Protein Molecules. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2015**, *119*, 19350-19358.

190. Wertz, E.; Isaacoff, B. P.; Flynn, J. D.; Bateen, J. S., Single-Molecule Super-Resolution Microscopy Reveals How Light Couples to a Plasmonic Nanoantenna on the Nanometer Scale. *Nano Letters* **2015**, *15*, 2662-2670.

191. Su, L.; Yuan, H.; Lu, G.; Rocha, S.; Orrit, M.; Hofkens, J.; Uji-i, H., Super-Resolution Localization and Defocused Fluorescence Microscopy on Resonantly Coupled Single-Molecule, Single-Nanorod Hybrids. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 2455-2466.

192. Rust, M. J.; Bates, M.; Zhuang, X., Sub-Diffraction-Limit Imaging by Stochastic Optical Reconstruction Microscopy (STORM). *Nature Methods* **2006**, *3*, 793-796.

193. Betzig, E.; Patterson, G. H.; Sougrat, R.; Lindwasser, O. W.; Olenych, S.; Bonifacino, J. S.; Davidson, M. W.; Lippincott-Schwartz, J.; Hess, H. F., Imaging Intracellular Fluorescent Proteins at Nanometer Resolution. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 1642-1645.

194. Bates, M.; Huang, B.; Dempsey, G. T.; Zhuang, X., Multicolor Super-Resolution Imaging with Photo-Switchable Fluorescent Probes. *Science* **2007**, *317*, 1749-1753.

195. Zhuang, X., Nano-Imaging with STORM. *Nature Photonics* **2009**, *3*, 365-367.

196. Schermelleh, L.; Heintzmann, R.; Leonhardt, H., A Guide to Super-Resolution Fluorescence Microscopy. *Journal of Cell Biology* **2010**, *190*, 165-175.

197. Yin, B.; Cavin, J.; Wang, D.; Khan, D.; Shen, M.; Laing, C.; Mishra, R.; Sadtler, B., Fluorescence Microscopy of Single Lead Bromide Nanocrystals Reveals Sharp Transitions During Their Transformation to Methylammonium Lead Bromide. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **2019**, *7*, 3486-3495.

198. Wang, D.; Cavin, J.; Yin, B.; Thind, A. S.; Borisevich, A. Y.; Mishra, R.; Sadtler, B., Role of Solid-State Miscibility During Anion Exchange in Cesium Lead Halide Nanocrystals Probed by Single-Particle Fluorescence. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2020**, *11*, 952-959.

199. Mills, A.; Wells, N.; MacKenzie, J.; MacDonald, G., Kinetics of Reduction of a Resazurin-Based Photocatalytic Activity Ink. *Catalysis Today* **2017**, *281*, 14-20.

200. Towne, V.; Will, M.; Oswald, B.; Zhao, Q., Complexities in Horseradish Peroxidase-Catalyzed Oxidation of Dihydroxyphenoxazine Derivatives: Appropriate Ranges for pH Values and Hydrogen Peroxide Concentrations in Quantitative Analysis. *Analytical Biochemistry* **2004**, *334*, 290-296.

201. Gatland, Z.; Madrid, D.; Siegel, M.; Kisley, L., Reduction Reactions at Metal/Non-Aqueous Interfaces Can Be Sensed with the Turn-on Fluorophore Resazurin. *Materials Chemistry Frontiers* **2023**, *7*, 2260-2265.

202. Michel, B. W.; Lippert, A. R.; Chang, C. J., A Reaction-Based Fluorescent Probe for Selective Imaging of Carbon Monoxide in Living Cells Using a Palladium-Mediated Carbonylation. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012**, *134*, 15668-15671.

203. Garcia, A. I. V.; Blum, S. A., Polymer Molecular Weight Determination via Fluorescence Lifetime. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2022**, *144*, 22416-22420.

204. Eivgi, O.; Blum, S. A., Real-Time Polymer Viscosity–Catalytic Activity Relationships on the Microscale. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2022**, *144*, 13574-13585.

205. Saluga, S. J.; Dibble, D. J.; Blum, S. A., Superresolved Motions of Single Molecular Catalysts During Polymerization Show Wide Distributions. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2022**, *144*, 10591-10598.

206. Zhou, M.; Diwu, Z.; Panchuk-Voloshina, N.; Haugland, R. P., A Stable Nonfluorescent Derivative of Resorufin for the Fluorometric Determination of Trace Hydrogen Peroxide: Applications in Detecting the Activity of Phagocyte NADPH Oxidase and Other Oxidases. *Analytical Biochemistry* **1997**, *253*, 162-168.

207. Gomes, A.; Fernandes, E.; Lima, J. L. F. C., Fluorescence Probes Used for Detection of Reactive Oxygen Species. *Journal of Biochemical and Biophysical Methods* **2005**, *65*, 45-80.

208. Setsukinai, K.-i.; Urano, Y.; Kakinuma, K.; Majima, H. J.; Nagano, T., Development of Novel Fluorescence Probes That Can Reliably Detect Reactive Oxygen Species and Distinguish Specific Species. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2003**, *278*, 3170-3175.

209. Kim, T.; Assary, R. S.; Kim, H.; Marshall, C. L.; Gosztola, D. J.; Curtiss, L. A.; Stair, P. C., Effects of Solvent on the Furfuryl Alcohol Polymerization Reaction: UV Raman Spectroscopy Study. *Catalysis Today* **2013**, *205*, 60-66.

210. Choura, M.; Belgacem, N. M.; Gandini, A., Acid-Catalyzed Polycondensation of Furfuryl Alcohol: Mechanisms of Chromophore Formation and Cross-Linking. *Macromolecules* **1996**, *29*, 3839-3850.

211. Kim, T.; Assary, R. S.; Marshall, C. L.; Gosztola, D. J.; Curtiss, L. A.; Stair, P. C., Acid-Catalyzed Furfuryl Alcohol Polymerization: Characterizations of Molecular Structure and Thermodynamic Properties. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 1451-1458.

212. Hendriks, F. C.; Mohammadian, S.; Ristanović, Z.; Kalirai, S.; Meirer, F.; Vogt, E. T. C.; Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Gerritsen, H. C.; Weckhuysen, B. M., Integrated Transmission Electron and Single-Molecule Fluorescence Microscopy Correlates Reactivity with Ultrastructure in a Single Catalyst Particle. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2018**, *57*, 257-261.

213. Evans, R. C.; Ellingworth, A.; Cashen, C. J.; Weinberger, C. R.; Sambur, J. B., Influence of Single-Nanoparticle Electrochromic Dynamics on the Durability and Speed of Smart Windows. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2019**, *116*, 12666-12671.

214. Jung, C.; Kirstein, J.; Platschek, B.; Bein, T.; Budde, M.; Frank, I.; Müllen, K.; Michaelis, J.; Bräuchle, C., Diffusion of Oriented Single Molecules with Switchable Mobility in Networks of Long Unidimensional Nanochannels. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2008**, *130*, 1638-1648.

215. Kumarasinghe, R.; Higgins, E. D.; Ito, T.; Higgins, D. A., Spectroscopic and Polarization-Dependent Single-Molecule Tracking Reveal the One-Dimensional Diffusion Pathways in Surfactant-Templated Mesoporous Silica. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2016**, *120*, 715-723.

216. Ding, T.; Wu, T.; Mazidi, H.; Zhang, O.; Lew, M. D., Single-Molecule Orientation Localization Microscopy for Resolving Structural Heterogeneities between Amyloid Fibrils. *Optica* **2020**, *7*, 602-607.

217. Lu, J.; Mazidi, H.; Ding, T.; Zhang, O.; Lew, M. D., Single-Molecule 3D Orientation Imaging Reveals Nanoscale Compositional Heterogeneity in Lipid Membranes. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2020**, *59*, 17572-17579.

218. Liu, J.; Hill, C. M.; Pan, S.; Liu, H., Interfacial Charge Transfer Events of BODIPY Molecules: Single Molecule Spectroelectrochemistry and Substrate Effects. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2014**, *16*, 23150-23156.

219. Ding, T.; Lew, M. D., Single-Molecule Localization Microscopy of 3D Orientation and Anisotropic Wobble Using a Polarized Vortex Point Spread Function. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2021**, *125*, 12718-12729.

220. Zhang, O.; Zhou, W.; Lu, J.; Wu, T.; Lew, M. D., Resolving the Three-Dimensional Rotational and Translational Dynamics of Single Molecules Using Radially and Azimuthally Polarized Fluorescence. *Nano Letters* **2022**, *22*, 1024-1031.

221. Fleischmann, S.; Spencer, M. A.; Augustyn, V., Electrochemical Reactivity under Confinement Enabled by Molecularly Pillared 2D and Layered Materials. *Chemistry of Materials* **2020**, *32*, 3325-3334.

222. Andronescu, C.; Masa, J.; Tilley, R. D.; Gooding, J. J.; Schuhmann, W., Electrocatalysis in Confined Space. *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry* **2021**, *25*, 100644.

223. Creative Commons <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> (accessed 06/15/2023).

224. Sambur, J. B.; Chen, P., Distinguishing Direct and Indirect Photoelectrocatalytic Oxidation Mechanisms Using Quantitative Single-Molecule Reaction Imaging and Photocurrent Measurements. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2016**, *120*, 20668-20676.

225. Zhang, J.; Nosaka, Y., Mechanism of the OH Radical Generation in Photocatalysis with TiO₂ of Different Crystalline Types. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2014**, *118*, 10824-10832.

226. Barbero, N.; Vione, D., Why Dyes Should Not Be Used to Test the Photocatalytic Activity of Semiconductor Oxides. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2016**, *50*, 2130-2131.

227. Krumova, K.; Cosa, G., Fluorogenic Probes for Imaging Reactive Oxygen Species. In *Photochemistry*, Albini, A.; Fasani, E., Eds.; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2013; Vol. 41, pp 279-301.

228. Li, X.; Gao, X.; Shi, W.; Ma, H., Design Strategies for Water-Soluble Small Molecular Chromogenic and Fluorogenic Probes. *Chemical Reviews* **2014**, *114*, 590-659.

229. Marín-Hernández, C.; Toscani, A.; Sancenón, F.; Wilton-Ely, J. D. E. T.; Martínez-Máñez, R., Chromo-Fluorogenic Probes for Carbon Monoxide Detection. *Chemical Communications* **2016**, *52*, 5902-5911.

230. Zhang, J.; Yue, C.; Ke, Y.; Qu, H.; Zeng, L., Fluorescent Probes for the Detection of Biogenic Amines, Nitrite and Sulfite in Food: Progress, Challenges and Perspective. *Advanced Agrochem* **2023**, *2*, 127-141.

231. Zhang, C.; Xie, H.; Zhan, T.; Zhang, J.; Chen, B.; Qian, Z.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, J., A New Mitochondrion Targetable Fluorescent Probe for Carbon Monoxide-Specific Detection and Live Cell Imaging. *Chemical Communications* **2019**, *55*, 9444-9447.

232. Wu, J.; Jiang, L.; Verwilst, P.; An, J.; Zeng, H.; Zeng, L.; Niu, G.; Kim, J. S., A Colorimetric and Fluorescent Lighting-up Sensor Based on ICT Coupled with PET for Rapid, Specific and Sensitive Detection of Nitrite in Food. *Chemical Communications* **2019**, *55*, 9947-9950.

233. Meng, Y.; Yuan, C.; Du, C.; Jia, K.; Liu, C.; Wang, K.-P.; Chen, S.; Hu, Z.-Q., A Coumarin-Based Portable Fluorescent Probe for Rapid Turn-on Detection of Amine Vapors. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* **2021**, *262*, 120152.

234. Kaloo, M. A.; Raja Sekhar, A.; Ramana Reddy, R. V.; Raman, R. S.; Sankar, J., A Facile and Visual Approach for the Detection of Trace Level Ammonia Vapours under Ambient Conditions. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **2016**, *4*, 2452-2456.

235. Li, C. W.; Ciston, J.; Kanan, M. W., Electroreduction of Carbon Monoxide to Liquid Fuel on Oxide-Derived Nanocrystalline Copper. *Nature* **2014**, *508*, 504-507.

236. Dhara, K.; Lohar, S.; Patra, A.; Roy, P.; Saha, S. K.; Sadhukhan, G. C.; Chattopadhyay, P., A New Lysosome-Targetable Turn-on Fluorogenic Probe for Carbon Monoxide Imaging in Living Cells. *Analytical Chemistry* **2018**, *90*, 2933-2938.

237. Ma, Z.; Li, J.; Hu, X.; Cai, Z.; Dou, X., Ultrasensitive, Specific, and Rapid Fluorescence Turn-on Nitrite Sensor Enabled by Precisely Modulated Fluorophore Binding. *Advanced Science* **2020**, *7*, 2002991.

238. Strobl, M.; Walcher, A.; Mayr, T.; Klimant, I.; Borisov, S. M., Trace Ammonia Sensors Based on Fluorescent near-Infrared-Emitting Aza-BODIPY Dyes. *Analytical Chemistry* **2017**, *89*, 2859-2865.

239. Iriawan, H.; Andersen, S. Z.; Zhang, X.; Comer, B. M.; Barrio, J.; Chen, P.; Medford, A. J.; Stephens, I. E. L.; Chorkendorff, I.; Shao-Horn, Y., Methods for Nitrogen Activation by Reduction and Oxidation. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* **2021**, *1*, 56.

240. Huang, P.-W.; Hatzell, M. C., Prospects and Good Experimental Practices for Photocatalytic Ammonia Synthesis. *Nature Communications* **2022**, *13*, 7908.

241. Steinmiller, E. M. P.; Choi, K.-S., Photochemical Deposition of Cobalt-Based Oxygen Evolving Catalyst on a Semiconductor Photoanode for Solar Oxygen Production. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2009**, *106*, 20663-20666.

242. Zhong, D. K.; Cornuz, M.; Sivula, K.; Gratzel, M.; Gamelin, D. R., Photo-Assisted Electrodeposition of Cobalt-Phosphate (Co-Pi) Catalyst on Hematite Photoanodes for Solar Water Oxidation. *Energy and Environmental Science* **2011**, *4*, 1759-1764.

243. Reece, S. Y.; Hamel, J. A.; Sung, K.; Jarvi, T. D.; Esswein, A. J.; Pijpers, J. J. H.; Nocera, D. G., Wireless Solar Water Splitting Using Silicon-Based Semiconductors and Earth-Abundant Catalysts. *Science* **2011**, *334*, 645-648.

244. Li, R.; Zhang, F.; Wang, D.; Yang, J.; Li, M.; Zhu, J.; Zhou, X.; Han, H.; Li, C., Spatial Separation of Photogenerated Electrons and Holes among {010} and {110} Crystal Facets of BiVO₄. *Nature Communications* **2013**, *4*, 1432.

245. Shaner, M. R.; McKone, J. R.; Gray, H. B.; Lewis, N. S., Functional Integration of Ni–Mo Electrocatalysts with Si Microwire Array Photocathodes to Simultaneously Achieve High Fill Factors and Light-Limited Photocurrent Densities for Solar-Driven Hydrogen Evolution. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2015**, *8*, 2977-2984.

246. Wenderich, K.; Mul, G., Methods, Mechanism, and Applications of Photodeposition in Photocatalysis: A Review. *Chemical Reviews* **2016**, *116*, 14587-14619.

247. Hannagan, R. T.; Giannakakis, G.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M.; Sykes, E. C. H., Single-Atom Alloy Catalysis. *Chemical Reviews* **2020**, *120*, 12044-12088.

248. Gao, C.; Low, J.; Long, R.; Kong, T.; Zhu, J.; Xiong, Y., Heterogeneous Single-Atom Photocatalysts: Fundamentals and Applications. *Chemical Reviews* **2020**, *120*, 12175-12216.

249. Zhang, F.; Zhu, Y.; Lin, Q.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, X.; Wang, H., Noble-Metal Single-Atoms in Thermocatalysis, Electrocatalysis, and Photocatalysis. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2021**, *14*, 2954-3009.

250. Peng, B.; Liu, H.; Liu, Z.; Duan, X.; Huang, Y., Toward Rational Design of Single-Atom Catalysts. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2021**, *12*, 2837-2847.

251. Li, X.; Bi, W.; Zhang, L.; Tao, S.; Chu, W.; Zhang, Q.; Luo, Y.; Wu, C.; Xie, Y., Single-Atom Pt as Co-Catalyst for Enhanced Photocatalytic H₂ Evolution. *Advanced Materials* **2016**, *28*, 2427-2431.

252. Lee, B.-H.; Park, S.; Kim, M.; Sinha, A. K.; Lee, S. C.; Jung, E.; Chang, W. J.; Lee, K.-S.; Kim, J. H.; Cho, S.-P.; Kim, H.; Nam, K. T.; Hyeon, T., Reversible and Cooperative Photoactivation of Single-Atom Cu/TiO₂ Photocatalysts. *Nature Materials* **2019**, *18*, 620-626.

253. Chen, Y.; Ji, S.; Sun, W.; Lei, Y.; Wang, Q.; Li, A.; Chen, W.; Zhou, G.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, L.; Zhang, Q.; Gu, L.; Han, X.; Wang, D.; Li, Y., Engineering the Atomic Interface with Single Platinum Atoms for Enhanced Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2020**, *59*, 1295-1301.

254. Zhang, L.; Long, R.; Zhang, Y.; Duan, D.; Xiong, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Bi, Y., Direct Observation of Dynamic Bond Evolution in Single-Atom Pt/C₃N₄ Catalysts. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2020**, *59*, 6224-6229.

255. Chen, J.; Iyemperumal, S. K.; Fenton, T.; Carl, A.; Grimm, R.; Li, G.; Deskins, N. A., Synergy between Defects, Photoexcited Electrons, and Supported Single Atom Catalysts for CO₂ Reduction. *ACS Catalysis* **2018**, *8*, 10464-10478.

256. Gao, C.; Chen, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, J.; Zheng, X.; Zhu, J.; Song, L.; Zhang, W.; Xiong, Y., Heterogeneous Single-Atom Catalyst for Visible-Light-Driven High-Turnover CO₂ Reduction: The Role of Electron Transfer. *Advanced Materials* **2018**, *30*, 1704624.

257. Yuan, L.; Hung, S.-F.; Tang, Z.-R.; Chen, H. M.; Xiong, Y.; Xu, Y.-J., Dynamic Evolution of Atomically Dispersed Cu Species for CO₂ Photoreduction to Solar Fuels. *ACS Catalysis* **2019**, *9*, 4824-4833.

258. Liu, S.; Yang, H. B.; Hung, S.-F.; Ding, J.; Cai, W.; Liu, L.; Gao, J.; Li, X.; Ren, X.; Kuang, Z.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, T.; Liu, B., Elucidating the Electrocatalytic CO₂ Reduction Reaction over a Model Single-Atom Nickel Catalyst. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2020**, *59*, 798-803.

259. Li, S.; Lu, X.; Zhao, S.; Ceccato, M.; Hu, X.-M.; Roldan, A.; Liu, M.; Daasbjerg, K., p-Block Indium Single-Atom Catalyst with Low-Coordinated In-N Motif for Enhanced Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction. *ACS Catalysis* **2022**, *12*, 7386-7395.

260. Liu, S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; You, M.; Hong, S.; Wu, T.-S.; Soo, Y.-L.; Zhao, Z.; Jiang, G.; Jieshan, Q.; Wang, B.; Sun, Z., Photocatalytic Fixation of Nitrogen to Ammonia by Single Ru Atom Decorated TiO₂ Nanosheets. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **2019**, *7*, 6813-6820.

261. Han, L.; Liu, X.; Chen, J.; Lin, R.; Liu, H.; Lü, F.; Bak, S.; Liang, Z.; Zhao, S.; Stavitski, E.; Luo, J.; Adzic, R. R.; Xin, H. L., Atomically Dispersed Molybdenum Catalysts for Efficient Ambient Nitrogen Fixation. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, *58*, 2321-2325.

262. Speck, F. D.; Paul, M. T. Y.; Ruiz-Zepeda, F.; Gatalo, M.; Kim, H.; Kwon, H. C.; Mayrhofer, K. J. J.; Choi, M.; Choi, C. H.; Hodnik, N.; Cherevko, S., Atomistic Insights into the Stability of Pt Single-Atom Electrocatalysts. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2020**, *142*, 15496-15504.

263. Feng, K.; Zhang, H.; Gao, J.; Xu, J.; Dong, Y.; Kang, Z.; Zhong, J., Single Atoms or Not? The Limitation of EXAFS. *Applied Physics Letters* **2020**, *116*.

264. Guo, Y.; Siretanu, I.; Zhang, Y.; Mei, B.; Li, X.; Mugele, F.; Huang, H.; Mul, G., pH-Dependence in Facet-Selective Photo-Deposition of Metals and Metal Oxides on Semiconductor Particles. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2018**, *6*, 7500-7508.

265. Chen, R.; Pang, S.; An, H.; Zhu, J.; Ye, S.; Gao, Y.; Fan, F.; Li, C., Charge Separation Via Asymmetric Illumination in Photocatalytic Cu₂O Particles. *Nature Energy* **2018**, *3*, 655-663.

266. Rossi, L. M.; Fiorio, J. L.; Garcia, M. A. S.; Ferraz, C. P., The Role and Fate of Capping Ligands in Colloidally Prepared Metal Nanoparticle Catalysts. *Dalton Transactions* **2018**, *47*, 5889-5915.

267. Amit, E.; Dery, L.; Dery, S.; Kim, S.; Roy, A.; Hu, Q.; Gutkin, V.; Eisenberg, H.; Stein, T.; Mandler, D.; Dean Toste, F.; Gross, E., Electrochemical Deposition of N-Heterocyclic Carbene Monolayers on Metal Surfaces. *Nature Communications* **2020**, *11*, 5714.

268. Wang, M.; Yang, Y.; Shen, J.; Jiang, J.; Sun, L., Visible-Light-Absorbing Semiconductor/Molecular Catalyst Hybrid Photoelectrodes for H₂ or O₂ Evolution: Recent Advances and Challenges. *Sustainable Energy & Fuels* **2017**, *1*, 1641-1663.

269. Bae, S.; Jang, J.-E.; Lee, H.-W.; Ryu, J., Tailored Assembly of Molecular Water Oxidation Catalysts on Photoelectrodes for Artificial Photosynthesis. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry* **2019**, *2019*, 2040-2057.

270. Liang, X.; Cao, X.; Sun, W.; Ding, Y., Recent Progress in Visible Light Driven Water Oxidation Using Semiconductors Coupled with Molecular Catalysts. *ChemCatChem* **2019**, *11*, 6190-6202.

271. Wadsworth, B. L.; Khusnutdinova, D.; Moore, G. F., Polymeric Coatings for Applications in Electrocatalytic and Photoelectrosynthetic Fuel Production. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2018**, *6*, 21654-21665.

272. Yashas, S. R.; Shahmoradi, B.; Wantala, K.; Shivaraju, H. P., Potentiality of Polymer Nanocomposites for Sustainable Environmental Applications: A Review of Recent Advances. *Polymer* **2021**, *233*, 124184.

273. Soucy, T. L.; Dean, W. S.; Zhou, J.; Rivera Cruz, K. E.; McCrory, C. C. L., Considering the Influence of Polymer–Catalyst Interactions on the Chemical Microenvironment of Electrocatalysts for the CO₂ Reduction Reaction. *Accounts of Chemical Research* **2022**, *55*, 252-261.

TOC graphic

