# FIBREWISE STRATIFICATION OF GROUP REPRESENTATIONS

DAVE BENSON, SRIKANTH B. IYENGAR, HENNING KRAUSE, AND JULIA PEVTSOVA

Abstract. Given a nite cocommutative Hopf algebra A over a commutative regular ring R, the lattice of localising tensor ideals of the stable category of Gorenstein projective A-modules is described in terms of the corresponding lattices for the bres of A over the spectrum of R. Under certain natural conditions on the cohomology of A over R, this yields a stratication of the stable category. These results apply when A is the group algebra over R of a nite group, and also when A is the exterior algebra on a nite free R-module.

### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	A brewise criterion for localising subcategories	4
3.	Gorenstein algebras	10
4.	Cocommutative Hopf algebras	15
5.	Finite groups	21
References		26

## 1. Introduction

Following the seminal work of Hopkins [24] and Neeman [34] in stable homotopy theory and commutative algebra, much attention has been paid in the past few decades to the problem of classifying the thick subcategories of nite dimensional representations over various families of algebras, and also of the localising subcategories of all representations. In terms of the language and machinery developed in [11, 12], the goal is to prove stratication theorems. For example, in the case of modular representations of a nite group, the thick tensor ideal subcategories of the small stable module category were classied in [8], while the tensor ideal localising subcategories of the large stable module category were classied in [13]. These results were generalised to cover all nite group schemes over elds in [16].

In this paper we address the problem of change of coecients, with a focus on representations of group algebras of nite group schemes, and in particular, of nite groups. Let A be the group algebra of a nite group scheme over a commutative noetherian ring R; in other words, A is a cocommutative Hopf algebra that is nitely generated and projective over R. For example, A could be the group algebra R G of a nite group G. The appropriate analogue of the stable category of nite dimensional

Date: December 30, 2023.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classication. 16G30 (primary); 18G80, 20C10, 20J06 (secondary). Key words and phrases. Cocommutative Hopf algebra, group algebra, integral representation, stratication, stable module category.

representations over a nite group, or group scheme, is the singularity category of A, in the sense of Buchweitz [18] and Orlov [37]. By a result of Buchweitz, this is equivalent to the stable category of Gorenstein projective A-modules:

Gproj A ! 
$$D_{sg}(A) := D^b (mod A) = D^{perf}(A)$$
:

An A-module is said to be Gorenstein projective if it occurs as a syzygy in an acyclic complex of projective A-modules. The Gorenstein projective modules in mod A form a Frobenius category, with projective-injective objects the projective A-modules; <u>Gproj</u> A is the corresponding stable category. We also have to consider all Gorenstein projectives, not only the nitely generated ones, and the corresponding stable category <u>GProj</u> A. The category <u>GProj</u> A is triangulated and compactly generated; the subcategory of compact objects is equivalent to Gproj A.

From now on assume that R is regular, for example, R = Z. In this case, a nitely generated A-module is Gorenstein projective precisely when it is projective when viewed as an R-module. The same holds also for innitely generated A-modules when in addition dim R is nite, that is to say, when R has nite global dimension; see Lemma 3.10. Since A is a Hopf algebra over R, the tensor product over R induces on Gproj A the structure of a tensor-triangulated category and also on GProj A. Moreover GProjA is rigidly compactly generated. Our goal is to classify the thick tensor ideals of GProjA and the localising tensor ideals of GProjA.

One approach would be to extend methods developed in the case where R is a eld to cover more general coecient rings. In this work, we take a dierent tack, by viewing A as a family of Hopf algebras parameterised by Spec R, the Zariski spectrum of R. The bre over each point p in Spec R is the nite dimensional Hopf algebra  $A_{k(p)} := A_{R}(p), \text{ where } k(p) \text{ is the residue eld at p. The results of [16] apply to yield a stratication of GProj <math>A_{k(p)}$  in terms of the projective spectrum of the cohomology ring of  $A_{k(p)}$ . Then the task becomes one of 'patching' these local stratications to obtain a global stratication of GProj A in terms of the projective spectrum of the

There are two aspects to this task: one representation theoretic and the other purely cohomological. The former is completely solved by the result below that can be viewed as a brewise criterion for detecting membership in localising tensor ideals. We deduce it from Theorem 4.6 that deals with the full homotopy category of projective A-modules.

- 1.1. Theorem. Let R be a regular ring, A a nite cocommutative Hopf R-algebra, and M; N Gorenstein projective A-modules. The conditions below are equivalent.
  - (1) M 2 Loc
  - (N) in GProjA;

cohomology ring of A.

(2)  $M_{k(p)} 2 Loc$ 

 $(N_{k(p)})$  in GProj  $A_{k(p)}$  for each p 2 Spec R.

The cohomological aspect concerns the relationship between the bres of the cohomology algebra S := Ext(R;R) of A and the cohomology algebra of the bres of the R-algebra A. Namely, for each p in Spec R there is natural map

$$_{R} : S$$
 $_{R} k(p) : Ext_{A_{k(p)}}(k(p); k(p))$ 

of k(p)-algebras. The question is when this map induces a bijection on spectra. Its import is clear from the next result.

1.2. Theorem. Let R be a regular ring and A a nite cocommutative Hopf algebra over R. If the map  $_{\rm P}$  induces a bijection on spectra for each p in Spec R, then

the tensor-triangulated category  $\underline{\mathsf{GProj}}\,\mathsf{A}$  is stratied by the action of S, and the support of  $\mathsf{GProj}\,\mathsf{A}$  is  $\mathsf{Proj}\,\mathsf{S}$ .

This result is proved at the end of Section 4, where we recall what it means for a tensor-triangulated category to be stratied by an action of a ring. For now it suces to record that the result above yields the sought after classication of localising tensor ideals of GProj A and of the thick tensor ideals of Gproj A.

The hypothesis on  $_p$  holds for the group algebra A = RG over a nite group. This is a result of Benson and Habegger [10]. The proof there is lacking detail, so we provide a full proof here in greater generality; see Theorem 5.5, and also the recent work of Lau [33, Section 7]. Putting this together with Theorem 1.2 yields the following stratication result.

1.3. Theorem. With G a nite group and R a regular ring, the tensor-triangulated category GProjRG is stratied by the action of the group cohomology ring H(G; R).

In fact we prove this stratication result for the slightly bigger compactly generated tensor-triangulated category K(ProjRG) consisting of complexes of projective RG-modules up to homotopy. The subcategory of compact objects identies with the bounded derived category D<sup>b</sup>(mod RG). Another case where Theorem 1.2 ap-plies is when A is an exterior algebra, over a nite free R-module, regarded as a Z=2-graded Hopf algebra; see Example 4.14.

So far we have focused on Hopf algebras, but in fact an appropriate version of Theorem 1.1 holds for any nite projective R-algebras A; see Theorem 2.1. In such contexts the natural cohomology ring to consider, vis-avis stratication, is the Hochschild cohomology of A over R. It is plausible that the analogue of the map  $_{\rm p}$  in that context is the key to patching brewise stratication, when available, to get a global stratication result for A.

Related works. For G a nite group and R a regular ring, the classication of thick tensor ideals of D<sup>b</sup> (mod RG) (which follows from Theorem 1.3) has been obtained by Lau [33]. Building on Lau's work in conjunction with developing novel homotopy theoretic methods Barthel [3, 4] established a classication of localising tensor ideals of RG-modules that are projective as R-modules, which is closely related to Theorem 1.3 (see 5.9 for more detail).

Our interest in the subject was propelled by asking a simple minded question: \Can one deduce the stratication of R-linear representations of G from that of representations over elds, where it is known?" | which echoes the approach taken by Lau for the bounded derived category. As already indicated, in this work we argue that such a reduction is indeed possible and consist of two simple steps.

The rst is the brewise detection of Theorem 1.1 which works in a very general algebraic setting; and the second is the behaviour of the cohomological bres which we handle in the case of nite groups as in 5.6 and in the case of exterior algebras.

Hence, for us the main aspects of this work are the general brewise criterion Theorem 1.1 and the general stratication Theorem 1.2 with the stratication for nite groups being an application of these general techniques.

Subsequent developments. We are happy to report that the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds unconditionally. Building on recent work of van der Kallen [39],

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See the paragraph on subsequent developments further below.

in joint work with Barthel [5] we prove that the map  $_{\rm p}$  is always a homeomorphism, and in particular bijective. One complication that arises is that the tensortriangulated category in question is no longer the stable category of Gorenstein projective modules but a suitable category of lattices, and the arguments needed to deal with this, and other aspects, are rather more involved.

Acknowledgements. We thank Tobias Barthel and Eike Lau for sharing their work and their alternative approaches, and for rekindling our interest in this question. We are also grateful to the referee for helpful comments and suggestions. SBI was partly supported by NSF grant DMS-2001368, and JP was partly supported by NSF grants DMS-1901854 and DMS-2200832, and the Brian and Tinie Pang faculty fellowship.

# 2. A fibrewise criterion for localising subcategories

Throughout R is a commutative noetherian ring and A a nite projective Ralgebra; that is to say A is an R-algebra that is nitely generated and projective as an R-module. In particular, as a ring A is noetherian on the left and on the right. Given an A-complex X and a point p in Spec R, the Zariski spectrum of R, set

$$X_{k(p)} := X_{R} k(p)$$

viewed as a complex of  $A_{k(p)}$ -modules. The assignment X !  $X_{k(p)}$  is exact on the homotopy category of A-complexes, and hence also on any of its subcategories, in particular, on  $K(Proj\,A)$ , the homotopy category of projective A-modules. The latter has a natural structure of a triangulated category, with arbitrary coproducts. Given an A-complex Y in  $K(Proj\,A)$  we write Loc(Y) for the localising subcategory of the homotopy category generated by Y . The main result in this section is the following brewise criterion for detecting objects in Loc(Y).

- 2.1. Theorem. Suppose that R is regular. Let A be a nite projective R-algebra, and let X; Y be objects in K(Proj A). The following conditions are equivalent.
  - (1) X 2 Loc(Y) in K(Proj A);
  - (2)  $X_{k(p)}$  2 Loc $(Y_{k(p)})$  in  $K(Proj A_{k(p)})$  for each p 2 Spec R.

The ring R is by denition regular if every nitely generated R-module has nite projective dimension. An equivalent condition is that the ring  $R_p$  has nite global dimension for each p in Spec R. The global dimension of R is then equal to dim R, its Krull dimension.

The statement above is inspired by, and extends, an analogous statement for the derived category of A, established in [23]. It yields also a statement about the stable category of Gorenstein projective A-modules; see Theorem 3.7.

The proof of the theorem above takes some preparation and is given towards the end of this section. We start by recalling some properties of the homotopy category of projective modules.

The homotopy category of projectives. For the moment, A can be any ring that is noetherian on both sides; that is to say, A is noetherian as a left and as a right A-module. For us, A-modules mean left A-modules, and A<sup>op</sup>-modules are identied with right A-modules. When A is an R-algebra for some commutative ring R, then it is convenient to write for any A-module the R-action on the opposite side. We denote by Mod A the (abelian) category of A-modules and by mod A its

full subcategory consisting of nitely generated modules. The full subcategory of Mod A consisting of projective modules is denoted Proj A.

For any additive category A  $\operatorname{Mod} A$ , like the ones in the last paragraph, K(A) will denote the associated homotopy category, with its natural structure as a triangulated category. Morphisms in this category are denoted  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K(A)}(\;\;;\;\;)$ . An object X in K(A) is acyclic if H(X)=0, and the full subcategory of acyclic objects in K(A) is denoted  $K_{ac}(A)$ .

Let  $D(Mod\ A)$  denote the derived category of A-modules and  $D^b(mod\ A)$  the bounded derived category of mod A. Let  $q: K(Mod\ A)$ !  $D(Mod\ A)$  be the localisation functor; its kernel is  $K_{ac}(Mod\ A)$ . We write q also for its restriction to the homotopy category of projective modules. This functor has an adjoint:

(2.2) 
$$K(Proj A) \stackrel{p}{\longleftrightarrow} D(Mod A)$$
:

Our convention is to write the left adjoint above the corresponding right one. In what follows it is convenient to conate p with p q. The image of p, denoted  $K_{proj}(A)$ , consists precisely of the K-projective complexes, namely, those complexes P such that  $Hom_{K(A)}(P; ) = 0$  on acyclic complexes in K(Mod A).

Compact objects. The category K(ProjA) is triangulated, admits arbitrary direct sums, and is compactly generated. As in any triangulated category with arbitrary direct sums, an object X in K(ProjA) is compact if  $Hom_{K(A)}(X; )$  commutes with direct sums. The compact objects in K(ProjA) form a thick subcategory, denoted  $K^c(ProjA)$ . The assignment M!  $Hom_{A^{op}}(pM;A)$  induces an equivalence

(2.3) 
$$A: D^{b}(mod A^{op})^{op} \qquad ! \quad K^{c}(Proj A):$$

This result is due to Jrgensen [30, Theorem 3.2]; see also [27].

Regular rings. Recall that the ring R is regular if every nitely generated R-module has nite projective dimension, that is, every complex in  $D^b (\text{mod R})$  is perfect. We record a couple of basic facts for later use.

- 2.4. Lemma. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring.
  - The ring R is regular if and only if every acyclic complex in K(Proj R) is null-homotopic.
  - (2) Suppose that R is regular and local of Krull dimension d with residue eld k. Then  $RHom_R(k;R)$ ! dk.

Proof. (1) The functor p in (2.2) restricted to compact objects embeds the perfect complexes over R into  $D^b \pmod{R}$ , via (2.3). This embedding is an equivalence if and only p is an equivalence. Clearly, p is an equivalence if and only if q is an equivalence. See also [26].

(2) The Koszul complex K on a minimal generating set for the maximal ideal of R provides a projective resolution K! k. Since  $Hom_R(K; R) = {}^dK$ , by [17, Proposition 1.6.10], the stated assertion follows.

Fibres and the Koszul complex. We return to the context of nite projective algebras over a commutative ring R and wish to describe the functor R k(p) for each prime p in Spec R via the Koszul complex for p. We reduce to the local case. Let (R;m;k) be a local ring, with maximal ideal m and residue eld k. In this paragraph, we write  $A_k$  instead of  $A_{k(m)}$ . Let be the functor from K(Proj A)

to  $K(Proj A_k)$  given by the assignment  $X ! X_k := X_R$  k. It is clear that preserves coproducts and so has a right adjoint by Brown representability, say  $_r$ . Thus there is the adjoint pair

(2.5) 
$$K(Proj A) \rightleftharpoons K(Proj A_k)$$
:

The following observation is useful in the sequel.

2.6. Lemma. Consider an adjoint pair of functors  $T \xrightarrow{U}$  between compactly represented triangulated categories. Then r preserves all coproducts if and only if preserves compact objects. In that case the restriction  $^c: T^c ! U^c$  admits a right adjoint if and only if r preserves compact objects.

Proof. The rst claim is [36, Theorem 5.1]; the second one is [2, Lemma 2.6(a)].

We need to understand the unit id ! r and counit r ! id of the adjunction (2.5). To that end, consider the Koszul complex, K, on a minimal generating set for the ideal m. The map R ! K of R-complexes induces a natural map

(2.7) 
$$X = X$$
R R ! X
for X in K(Proj A).

On the other hand, the augmentation R  $\,!\,$  K factors through R  $\,!\,$  K via a map K  $\,!\,$  K, which induces V  $\,:=\,$  K

R k! k

R k ! k and therefore a natural map

(2.8) 
$$V$$
  
 $k Y ! k$   
 $k Y = Y$  for Y in K(Proj  $A_k$ ).

The result below is the key to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and also in other computations that follow.

- 2.9. Lemma. When R is regular, the following statements hold.
  - (1) Both and r preserve compact objects and arbitrary direct sums.
  - (2) Restricting the adjunction (2.5) to compact objects gives the adjunction

$$\mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\,\mathsf{A^{op}}) \xrightarrow[k]{k} \mathsf{D^b}(\mathsf{mod}\,\mathsf{A^{op}_k})$$

where corresponds to  $_r$  and is induced by restriction along the map A  $\,!\,$  A  $_k$  and d := dim R. The right adjoint k  $_R$  corresponds to .

- (3) The maps (2.7) and (2.8) are the unit and the counit, respectively, of the adjunction (2.5).
- (4) For X 2 K(Proj A) and Y 2 K(Proj  $A_k$ ), there are natural isomorphisms

$$_{R}$$
  $_{R}$   $_{K}$   $_{r}$   $_{r}$ 

In particular,  $_{r}(Y)$  is isomorphic to a nite direct sum of shifts of Y.

Proof. (1) and (2) We have already observed that preserves arbitrary direct sums. We verify that preserves compacts, equivalently that its right adjoint,  $_r$ , pre-serves arbitrary direct sums. Any compact object in K(ProjA) is of the form  $_AM = Hom_A(p_{A^{op}}M;A)$  for some  $M \ 2 \ D^b(modA^{op})$ . A direct computation gives

$$Hom_A(p_{A^{op}}M;A) = Hom_A(p_{A^{op}}M;A)$$

$$= Hom_{A_{\nu}}((p_{A^{op}}M)$$

 $_{R}$  k;  $A_{k}$ ) Hom $_{A_{k}}$ ( $p_{A^{\circ p}}$ (k

 $^{L}$  M);  $A_{k}$ ):

Since R is regular, k is in Thick(R) in D(Mod R) and hence k  $_{R}$  M is in Thick(M) in D $^{b}$ (mod A $^{op}$ ). Therefore the A $_{k}$ -module H(k  $_{R}$  M) is nitely generated, that is to say, k  $_{L}$   $_{R}$  M is in D $^{b}$ (mod A $^{op}$ ). Thus the conhplex Hom $_{A_{k}}$ (p $_{A^{op}}$ (k  $_{R}$  M); A $_{k}$ ) =  $_{A_{k}}$ (k  $_{R}$  M)

in  $K(\text{Proj}\,A_k)$  is compact. This justies the claim that preserves compacts. Along the way we have established that

$$_{A}(M) = _{A_{k}}(k$$

for M in  $D^b (mod \, A^{op})$ . In other words, restricted to compacts is the functor k

$$^{L}$$
  $_{R}$   $D^{b}$  (mod  $A^{op}$ ) !  $D^{b}$  (mod  $A^{op}$ )

via the identication in (2.3). The functor d is left adjoint to the functor above:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{D(A)}(\ ^dN;M) &= \ \text{Hom}_{D(A_k)}(\ ^dN;R\text{Hom}_R(k;M)) \\ &= \ \text{Hom}_{D(A_k)}(\ ^dN;R\text{Hom}_R(k;R)) \\ &= \ ^LM) \ \text{Hom}_{D(A_k)}(\ ^dN;\ ^dk \\ &= \ ^LM) \\ &= \ ^LM) \end{aligned}$$

The rst isomorphism is standard adjunction, the second uses the fact that k is perfect as an R-complex, since R is regular, and the third one follows from the fact that RHom<sub>R</sub>(k; R) !  $^dk$ ; see Lemma 2.4.

At this point we can apply Lemma 2.6 to deduce that  $_{\rm r}$  also preserves compacts and is isomorphic to the functor  $^{\rm d}$  when restricted to compact objects. This settles both (1) and (2).

(3) The canonical map K

R k! k

 $_{R}\ k\ !\ k$  induces the map

Χ

 $_{\mathsf{R}}$  K

 $_{R}$  k ! X

R k; and this corresponds under the adjunction

isomorphism

$$Hom_{K(A_k)}(X$$

 $_{\mathsf{R}}\ \mathsf{K}$ 

R k; X

 $_{R}$  k) = Hom<sub>K(A)</sub>(X

 $_{R}$  K;  $_{r}$ (X

R k)) to a natural map

(2.10)

R K ! r(X):

It suces to prove that this is an isomorphism. We verify this when X is compact; the general case then follows as and  $_r$  preserve arbitrary direct sums, by (1).

Χ

Since K is a nite free R-complex, the assignment M !  $Hom_R(K; M)$  is an endofunctor on  $D^b(mod\ A^{op})$ . Moreover, it is a simple computation to verify that under the equivalence (2.3), if X corresponds to M in  $D^b(mod\ A^{op})$ , then X R K corresponds to  $Hom_R(K; M)$ , and that the map (2.10) corresponds to the map

$$^{d}(k$$
  $_{R}$   $^{L}$   $M)$  !  $Hom_{R}(K;M)$ :

This latter map is obtained by applying

<sub>R</sub> M to the isomorphism 
$${}^{d}k = RHom_{R}(k; R)$$
  
=  $Hom_{R}(K; R)$ 

and is hence an isomorphism. Here again we are using the hypothesis that R is regular. This completes the proof that (2.7) is the unit of the adjunction. The claim about (2.8) can be veried along the same lines.

(4) The isomorphisms were veried along the way to verifying (3). The last assertion holds because V is a nite graded k-vector space with zero dierential.

Local cohomology and support. In the proof of Theorem 2.1, and later on in the sequel, we require the theory of local cohomology and support from [11], with respect to the action of the ring R on the homotopy category of projective modules. The analogue for the homotopy category of injective modules is described in [28, x7]. One could invoke that theory, for the two homotopy categories are equivalent, at least under certain minor additional constraints on A, but to keep this manuscript self-contained we develop the needed results for K(Proj A) directly.

For any pair of objects X; Y in K(Proj A) there is a natural R-module structure on  $Hom_{K(A)}(X;Y)$ , so that K(Proj A) is an R-linear triangulated category, in the sense of [11, x4]. In particular, for each specialisation closed subset V of Spec R there is an exact triangle

$$(2.11)$$
  $VX ! X ! LVX !$ 

such that the object  $_VX$  is V-torsion and  $Hom_{K(A)}(\ ; L_VX) = 0$  on V-torsion objects. Here an object Y is by denition V-torsion if for each compact object C in K(Proj A) the R-module  $Hom_{K(A)}(C; Y)$  is V-torsion.

For any ideal I R we consider the closed set

2.12. Lemma. Let I R be an ideal and K the Koszul complex on a nite generating set for the ideal I. For each X in K(ProjA) one has

$$Loc(_{V(I)}X) = Loc(X_{R}K):$$

Proof. If K is the Koszul complex on a single element r in R, the complex X R K is isomorphic to the mapping cone of the morphism X ! X; in other words, X R K is the complex denoted X=r in [12, x2.5]. The Koszul complex K on a sequence of elements  $r_1; :::; r_n$  generating the ideal I can be constructed as an iterated mapping cone, so X

 $_{R}$  K represents X=I. Thus the stated result is a special case of [12, Proposition 2.11(2)].

Fix a point p in Spec R and consider the specialisation closed subset

$$Z(p) := Spec R n Spec R_p = fq 2 Spec R jq pg:$$

The localisation functor  $X \mid L_{Z(p)}X$  models localisation at p in the sense that for each compact object C in K(Proj A) the map

$$Hom_{K(A)}(C; X) ! Hom_{K(A)}(C; L_{Z(p)}X)$$

of R-modules induces an isomorphism of R<sub>p</sub>-modules

$$Hom_{K(A)}(C; X)_p$$
 !  $Hom_{K(A)}(C; Lz_{(p)}X)$ :

See [11, Theorem 4.7], and also [12, Proposition 2.3].

The localisation functor  $L_{Z(p)}$  admits an alternative description which will be useful. For a complex X in  $K(Proj\ A)$  set

$$X_p := X_p$$

viewed as a complex of  $A_p\text{-modules},$  where  $A_p$  denotes the  $R_p\text{-algebra}$  A  $_R$   $R_p.$  The assignment X  $\,!\,$  X  $_p$  yields an adjoint pair of functors

(2.13) 
$$K(Proj A) \xrightarrow{\longleftarrow} K(Proj A_p)$$
:

The right adjoint r exists as localisation preserves coproducts.

2.14. Lemma. The right adjoint  $_r$  preserves coproducts. Moreover, for each X in K(Proj A), the unit  $X ! _r(X)$  of the adjunction (2.13) is naturally isomorphic to the localisation  $X ! _{L_{Z(p)}}(X)$ , so that

$$L_{Z(p)}(X) = r(X)$$
:

Proof. Observe that the functor also preserves compact objects, hence its right adjoint  $_{\rm r}$  preserves coproducts. As to the second claim, it suces to verify that for any compact object C in K(Proj A) the map

$$Hom_{K(A)}(C; X) ! Hom_{K(A)}(C; r(X))$$

induced by the unit is localisation at p. Adjunction gives an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K(A)}(C;_{r}(X)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{K(A_{n})}(C;(X)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{K(A_{n})}(C_{p};X_{p})$$

of R-modules, so the module on the left is p-local. Thus one gets an induced map

$$Hom_{K(A)}(C; X)_p$$
 !  $Hom_{K(A)}(C; r(X))$ 

and the desired result is that this is an isomorphism. Since C is compact, and localisation at p, and the functors  $_{\rm r}$  and preserve coproducts, it suces to verify the map above is an isomorphism when X is also compact. Consider again the adjunction isomorphism

$$Hom_{K(A)}(C; _{r}X) = Hom_{K(A_{p})}(C_{p}; X_{p}) :$$

Since  $C_p$  and  $X_p$  are compact in  $K(Proj A_p)$ , by the description of compact objects in K(Proj A), the desired result is that for M; N in  $D^b (mod A^{op})$ , the map

$$Hom_{D(A^{\circ p})}(M; N)_{p}$$
!  $Hom_{D(A^{\circ p})}(M_{p}; N_{p})$ 

is an isomorphism. But this is clear.

The local cohomology functor at p is the functor p on K(Proj A) given by

(2.15) 
$$p(X) := V(p) L_{Z(p)}(X) = r V(pR_p)(X_p);$$

where the isomorphism is the one from Lemma 2.14.

The local cohomology functors reduce the description of localising subcategories to a local problem, because the local-to-global theorem says that

(2.16) Loc(X) = Loc(f 
$$_p$$
X j p 2 Spec Rg) for X 2 K(Proj A); see [12, x3] and also [38, Theorem 6.9].

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The implication (1))(2) is clear since for each p in Spec R the functor given by  $X \,! \, X_{k(p)}$  is exact and preserves all coproducts.

(2))(1) Let X; Y be objects in K(Proj A). By the local-to-global theorem (2.16) it suces to verify for each p 2 Spec R that  $X_{k(p)}$  2 Loc $(Y_{k(p)})$  in K(Proj  $A_{k(p)}$ ) implies  $_p X$  2 Loc $(_p Y)$  in K(Proj A).

We denote by K the Koszul complex on a minimal generating set for the maximal ideal  $pR_p$  of  $R_p$ . We have

$$X_{k(p)} = X_p$$

 $R_p$  k(pRp) and therefore  $X_{k(p)}$  2 Loc( $Y_{k(p)}$ ) implies

R<sub>p</sub> K 2 Loc(Y<sub>p</sub>

 $R_p$  K) in K(Proj  $A_p$ ) by Lemma 2.9. This means

$$V(pR_p)(X_p)$$
 2 Loc( $V(pR_p)(Y_p)$ ) in K(Proj A<sub>p</sub>)

by Lemma 2.12. It remains to apply the functor r. Thus

$$_{r V(pR_p)}(X_p) \ 2 Loc(_{r V(pR_p)}(Y_p))$$
 in  $K(ProjA)$ :

- 2.17. The derived category D(ModA) identies with a localising subcategory of K(ProjA) via the left adjoint of the canonical functor K(ProjA)! D(ModA). Thus Theorem 2.1 implies the analogous description of localising subcategories of D(ModA) from [23]. Here is another noteworthy consequence.
- 2.18. Corollary. Let R be a regular ring, A a nite projective R-algebra, and M; N in  $D^b (mod A)$ . The following conditions are equivalent.
  - (1) M 2 Thick(N) in Db(mod A);
  - (2)  $M_{k(p)}$  2 Thick $(N_{k(p)})$  in  $D^b (mod A_{k(p)})$  for each p 2 Spec R.

Proof. For compact objects X; Y in any compactly generated triangulated category, one has X 2 Thick(Y) if and only if X 2 Loc(Y); see, for instance, [35, Lemma 2.2]. Thus the desired result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 and equivalence 2.3, applied to  $A^{op}$ .

The preceding result applied with N = A implies that M is perfect if and only if it is brewise perfect. Here is a more precise result, for later use.

2.19. Lemma. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, A a nite projective Ralgebra, and M a nitely generated A-module. When M is projective as an R-module, there is an equality

$$proj:dim_A M = supfproj:dim_{A_{k(p)}} M_{k(p)} jp 2 Spec Rg:$$

Moreover, it suces to take the supremum over the maximal ideals in R.

Proof. Even without the hypothesis that M is nite projective over R, one has

$$proj:dim_A M = supfproj:dim_{A_n} M_p j p 2 Spec Rg$$

by [6, Corollary III.6.6]. Thus replacing R, A, and M by their localisations at p we can assume R is a local ring, say with maximal ideal m and residue eld k. Then the desired result is that

$$proj:dim_{\Delta} M = proj:dim_{\Delta} M_k$$
:

Since A is semi-local, [1, Proposition A.1.5] yields

proj:dim<sub>A</sub> M = 
$$\max_{1 \mid r}$$
 fi 2 N j Ext<sub>A</sub><sup>i</sup> (M; L<sub>j</sub>) = 0g

where  $L_1$ ;:::; $L_r$  are the simple A-modules. The  $L_j$  are modules over  $A_k$ := A=mA and M is projective over R, so adjunction yields isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(M;L_{j}) = \operatorname{Ext}_{A_{k}}^{i}(M_{k};L_{j})$$
:

Since the  $L_1$  are the simple modules over  $A_k$  the desired result follows.

# 3. Gorenstein algebras

Let R be a commutative noetherian ring. Following [23, 28], we say A is a Gorenstein R-algebra when it is a nite projective R-algebra such that for each p in supp  $A_R$  the ring  $A_P$  has nite injective dimension on the left and on the right; that is to say, it is Iwanaga{Gorenstein. When this holds  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for each p in supp A. Here is a characterisation of the Gorenstein property that is in the spirit of this work; see also [23, Theorem 6.8].

3.1. Proposition. Let R be a commutative Gorenstein ring and A a nite projective Ralgebra. Then A is Gorenstein if and only the nite dimensional algebra  $A_{k(p)}$  is Iwanaga{Gorenstein for each p in Spec R.

Proof. By [28, Theorem 4.6], the R-algebra A is Gorenstein if and only if the A-bimodule  $Hom_R(A;R)$  is perfect on both sides. Since the A-module  $Hom_R(A;R)$  is nitely generated on both sides, it is perfect if and only if the  $A_{k(p)}$ -module

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A;R)_{k(p)} = \operatorname{Hom}_{k(p)}(A_{k(p)};k(p))$$

is perfect on both sides, for each p in Spec R; this follows from Corollary 2.18 applied with  $M := Hom_R(A;R)$  and N := A. It remains to observe that this latter condition is equivalent to  $A_{k(p)}$  being Iwanaga{Gorenstein.

3.2. One consequence of the Gorenstein condition is that complexes in  $K_{ac}(Proj A)$  are totally acyclic, namely each complex X 2  $K_{ac}(Proj A)$  satises

$$Hom_{K(A)}(X; P) = 0$$
 for any projective A-module P.

See [28, Theorem 5.6] for a proof. The functors

D(Mod A) induce a recollement of triangulated categories

$$(3.3) K_{ac}(Proj A) \xrightarrow{\longleftarrow t} K(Proj A) \xrightarrow{\longleftarrow q} D(Mod A)$$

The functor t, left adjoint to the inclusion of the acyclic complexes of projectives, associates to each complex its complete resolution.

From [28, Theorem 4.6] one gets an equivalence:

RHom<sub>A</sub>(; A): 
$$D^b (mod A)^{op}$$
!  $D^b (mod A^{op})$ :

Composing this with the equivalence (2.3) yields a canonical equivalence

$$(3.4) Db(mod A) ! Kc(Proj A):$$

Gorenstein projective modules. An A-module M is Gorenstein projective if it occurs as a syzygy module in an acyclic complex of projective A-modules. Thus, there is some X 2  $K_{ac}(ProjA)$  such that M =  $Coker(d_X^{-1})$ . We write GProjA for the full subcategory of Mod A consisting of the Gorenstein projective modules, and GprojA for its subcategory of nitely generated modules. Both these are Frobe-nius categories, with projective and injective objects the projective modules in the corresponding categories; see for example [32, Proposition 7.2]. The corresponding stable categories are denoted GProjA and GprojA, respectively. The rst part of the result below was proved by Buchweitz [18, Theorem 4.4.1] when A is Iwanaga{ Gorenstein, but the same argument carries over to this context.

3.5. Theorem. The assignment X! Coker( $d_X^1$ ) induces an equivalence of R-linear triangulated categories  $K_{ac}(ProjA)$ ! <u>GProj</u> A. Moreover, these categories are compactly generated, and <u>Gproj</u> A identies with the full subcategory of compact objects of GProj A.

Proof. In the dual setting of Gorenstein injectives the rst assertion is [32, Proposition 7.2]. In fact, we have an equivalence K(Inj A) ! K(Proj A) by [28, Theorem 5.6] and then the second assertion follows from [28, Theorem 6.5].

The Gorenstein projectivity of a module is inherited by its bres. Without further restrictions, the converse need not hold.

3.6. Lemma. Let R be a regular ring and A a Gorenstein R-algebra. If an A-module M is Gorenstein projective, then so is the  $A_{k(p)}$ -module  $M_{k(p)}$  for each p in  $supp_R A$ .

Proof. Let X be an acyclic complex of projective A-modules in which M is a syzygy. Since R is regular and X is in  $K_{ac}(Proj\,R)$ , it is null-homotopic as an R-complex by Lemma 2.4. Thus  $X_{k(p)}=X_{R}(p)$  is also null-homotopic, and in particular acyclic. It consists of projective  $A_{k(p)}$ -modules and  $M_{k(p)}$  is a syzygy module in it, so the latter is Gorenstein projective.

In view of Theorem 3.5 and the preceding result, one gets an analogue of Theorem 2.1 for Gorenstein projective modules.

3.7. Theorem. Let R be a regular ring and A a Gorenstein R-algebra. For Gorenstein projective R-modules X; Y we have in GProj A

```
X = 2 Loc(Y) ( ) X_{k(p)} = 2 Loc(Y_{k(p)}) for each p 2 Spec R:
```

In the remainder of this section, we focus on a class of Gorenstein algebras for which it is easy to describe the Gorenstein projective modules.

Fibrewise self-injective algebras. The dualising bimodule of a nite projective R-algebra A is the A-bimodule

$$!_{A=R} := Hom_R(A; R)$$
:

As noted in the proof of Proposition 3.1, when A is a Gorenstein R-algebra,  $!_{A=R}$  is perfect on either side, though not necessarily as a bimodule. Moreover, this property characterises the Gorenstein property of A when R is Gorenstein; see [28, Theorem 4.6]. In the sequel, Gorenstein algebras for which the dualising bimodule is projective on either side play a prominent role. The result below characterises these algebras in terms of their bres.

An R-algebra A is brewise self-injective if it is a nite projective R-algebra such that the nite dimensional algebra  $A_{k(p)}$  is self-injective for each p in supp A. Our primary example is the group algebra of a nite group scheme over R.

For a module M we denote by add(M) the full subcategory of nite direct sums of copies of M plus their direct summands.

- 3.8. Lemma. Let R be a Gorenstein ring and A a nite projective R-algebra. The conditions below are equivalent.
  - (1) The R-algebra A is brewise self-injective;
  - (2)  $add(!_{A=R}) = add(A)$  and  $add(!_{A^{op}=R}) = add(A^{op})$ ;
  - (3) The dualising bimodule  $!_{A=R}$  is projective on the left and on the right.

If they hold the R-algebra A is Gorenstein, and one has an equivalences of categories

with inverse  $Hom_A(!_{A=R}; )$ , and similarly for  $Proj A^{op}$ .

Proof. In what follows we use the observation that for each p in Spec R one has an isomorphism of  $A_{k(p)}$ -bimodules:

$$!_{A=R}$$
 $_{R} k(p) = !_{A_{k(p)}=k(p)}$ :

Hence the A-bimodule  $!_{A=R}$  is projective on either side if and only if the  $A_{k(p)}$ -bimodule  $!_{A_{k(p)}=k(p)}$  is projective on either side for each p in Spec R; see Lemma 2.19.

(1))(2) It suces to prove that A is in  $add(!_{A=R})$ , equivalently that the map

$$!_{A=R}$$
A Hom<sub>A</sub>( $!_{A=R}$ ; A) ! A

given by evaluation, is surjective. Since an R-module M is zero if and only if  $M_{k(p)}$  is zero for each p in Spec R, it suces to check the surjectivity of the map on the bres, that is to say, the map

$$!_{A_{k(p)}=k(p)}$$
  
 $A_{k(p)}$  Hom $_{A_{k(p)}}(!_{A_{k(p)}=k(p)}; A_{k(p)})$  !  $A_{k(p)}$ 

is surjective. This holds as the k(p)-algebra  $A_{k(p)}$  is self-injective.

- (2))(3) is clear.
- (3))(1) Given the isomorphism above, condition (3) yields that the dualising bimodule of  $A_{k(p)}$  over k(p) is projective, that is to say,  $A_{k(p)}$  is self-injective.

It remains to verify the last part of the statement. The Gorenstein property follows from Proposition 3.1. The equivalence follows from the fact that  $!_{A=R}$  is projective on both sides and the isomorphism

A ! 
$$Hom_A(!_{A=R}; !_{A=R})$$
:

See also [28, Theorem 4.5].

In what follows dim<sub>R</sub> A denotes the Krull dimension of A viewed as an R-module.

3.9. Proposition. Let R be a Gorenstein ring and A a brewise self-injective Ralgebra. Then

$$inj:dim_A A = dim_R A = inj:dim_{A^{op}} A^{op}$$
:

In particular, A is Iwanaga{Gorenstein if and only if dim<sub>R</sub> A is nite.

Proof. It suces to verify the equality for A; the one for  $A^{op}$  holds, by symmetry. Since the injective dimension of A is detected by the vanishing of  $Ext_A^i$  ( ; A) on nitely generated A-modules, it suces to verify that

inj:
$$dim_{A_p} A_p = dim_{R_p} A_p$$
 for each p in supp<sub>R</sub> A.

We can replace R and A by their localisations at p so that R is local, and hence A is semi-local, and  $\dim_R A = \dim R$ . For any simple A-module L one has

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(L; !_{A=R}) = \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(L; \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A; R)) = \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(A; R)$$
:

Since the ring R is Gorenstein, hence of injective dimension dim R, we deduce that  $Ext_A^i(L; !_{A=R}) = 0$  for i > dim R. Thus Lemma 3.8(3) yields

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(L; !_{A=R}) = 0$$
 for  $i > \dim R$ .

Hence inj:dim<sub>A</sub> A dim R by [18, Lemma B.3.1]. For the converse equality, with k the residue eld of R, one has  $\operatorname{Ext}^d(k_R) = k$ ; see Lemma 2.4. Since A is a non-zero nite free R-module, one gets

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{d}(A \qquad \qquad d$$
 $\operatorname{R} k; A) = \operatorname{Ext}_{R}(k; A) = 0$ :

Thus inj:dim<sub>A</sub> A dim R. This justies the stated equalities.

In the result below, the converse statement need not hold for general Gorenstein algebras, as can be seen by contemplating the case when R is a eld.

3.10. Lemma. Let A be a Gorenstein R-algebra and M an A-module. When M is Gorenstein projective, it is Gorenstein projective also as an R-module. The converse holds when A is brewise self-injective and either M is nitely generated or  $\dim_R A$  is nite.

Proof. Since A is nite projective as an R-module, any projective A-module is also projective as an R-module, and hence any acyclic complex of projective A-modules is an acyclic complex of projective R-modules. It follows that any Gorenstein projective A-module is Gorenstein projective also as an R-module.

Suppose that A is brewise self-injective and that M is Gorenstein projective as an R-module. We verify that  $\operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M; ) = 0$  for i 1 and on Proj A. Given this, if M is nitely generated one can apply [28, Lemma 6.3] to conclude that it is Gorenstein projective also as an A-module. We can draw the same conclusion from [19, Corollary 11.5.3] for a general M when we also know dim<sub>R</sub> A is nite, for then A is Iwanaga{Gorenstein, by Proposition 3.9.

As to the vanishing of Ext, any projective A-module is a direct summand of a free A-module, and any free A-module is of the form A  $_{\rm R}$  F for some free R-module F . Therefore it suces to verify that

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(M; A R F) = 0 \text{ for } i 1.$$

Since A is in add( $!_{A=R}$ ), by Lemma 3.8, the A-module A  $_R$  F is in additive subcategory generated by

```
!<sub>A=R</sub>
<sub>R</sub> F = Hom<sub>R</sub>(A; R)
<sub>R</sub> F = Hom<sub>R</sub>(A; F):
```

Thus it suces to verify that  $Ext^i$  (M;  $Hom_R(A; F)$ ) = 0 for i 1. This follows from the adjunction isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(M; \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(A; F)) = \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M; F)$$

and the hypothesis that M is Gorenstein projective as an R-module.

3.11. Let R be a regular ring of nite Krull dimension, G a nite group, and R G the group algebra. As noted earlier the R-algebra R G is brewise self-injective. Let M be an RG-module that is projective as an R-module. It follows from Lemma 3.10 and Theorem 3.7 that M is projective as an RG-module if and only if for each p in Spec R the k(p)G-module k(p) R M is projective. This result appears to be in conict with the example constructed by Benson and Goodearl in [9, Section 8]. However there is no conict because the claim in [9] that the module k R M is kG-projective is not correct: the image of multiplication by 1 g is strictly contained in the kernel of the multiplication by 1 g.

Indeed, in the example in question one has R := k[t], the ring of power series in the variable t, over a eld k of characteristic two, and G = Z=2. Thus  $RG = R[x]=(x^2)$ , with 1 + x representing the generator of G. The module M in question can be realised as the free R-module R[u] R R[s] with the action of x given by

$$x (f(u); r; g(u)) = (0; 0; f(u) + r)$$

for f(u); g(u) in R[u], and  $r \ge R$ . Evidently the element (1; 1; 0) is in the kernel of multiplication by x but not in its image.

The brewise test for projectivity stated above holds over any noetherian commutative ring R; see [5, Proposition 3.5].

### 4. Cocommutative Hopf algebras

Throughout this section R will be a regular commutative noetherian ring and A a nite cocommutative Hopf algebra over R; this includes the condition that A is projective as an R-module. Then K(ProjA) has a natural structure of a tensor-triangulated category, and one has an analogue of the brewise criterion from Section 2 that takes into account this additional structure. With some further assumption on the cohomology of A we are then able to stratify the tensor-triangulated category K(ProjA) via the action of the cohomology ring of A.

Tensor structure. Given A-modules X and Y, there is a natural diagonal A-module structure on X R Y , obtained by restricting its A R A-module structure along the coalgebra map : A ! A R A.

4.1. Lemma. Let P;Q be A-modules. If P is projective over A and Q is projective over R, then the A-module P

 $_{\mbox{\scriptsize R}}$  Q, with the usual diagonal action, is projective.

Proof. This follows from the standard adjunction isomorphism

$$_{R}$$
 Q; ) = Hom<sub>A</sub>(P; Hom<sub>R</sub>(Q; )):

The preceding result implies that

- R induces a tensor product on K(Proj A).
- 4.2. Lemma. The triangulated category K(Proj A) is tensor-triangulated, with product
- R and unit the A-complex

$$1 := Hom_R(p_{A \circ p} R; R) :$$

Proof. We have already seen that provides a tensor product on K(Proj A), and it remains to verify the assertion about the unit. Since  $A^{op}$  is noetherian, one can assume that for any M in  $D^b (\text{mod } A^{op})$ , its projective resolution  $p_{A^{op}} M$  is nitely generated in each degree and that  $(p_{M^{op}})_i = 0$  for i 0. This fact will be used multiple times in what follows.

The augmentation ": pAop R! R induces the A-linear map

For each X in K(Proj A) this induces the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X &=& X & \xrightarrow{x} \\ R & R & ! & X \\ R & Hom_R(p_{Aop} R; R) \end{array}$$

and the desired result is that this map is an isomorphism.

Since K(ProjA) is compactly generated, and the functors involved preserves coproducts, it suces to verify the claim when X is compact, that is to say, of the form  $Hom_A(p_{A^{op}}M;A)$  for some M in  $mod\,A^{op}$ ; see (2.3). Consider the diagram

Hom<sub>A</sub>(
$$p_{A^{op}}M;A$$
)

Hom<sub>A</sub>( $p_{A^{op}}M;A$ )

Hom<sub>A</sub>( $p_{A^{op}}M;A$ )

Hom<sub>A</sub>( $p_{A^{op}}R;R$ )

Hom<sub>A</sub>( $p_{A^{op}}R;R$ )

where the vertical map is the natural one; it is an isomorphism because  $p_{A \circ P} A$  and  $p_{A \circ P} M$  are degreewise nitely generated. The map is the obvious composition. It suces to check that is an isomorphism.

One can verify that the map is obtained from the map

$$p_{A \circ p} M$$
 $p_{A \circ p} R$ 
 $p_{A \circ p} M$ 
 $p_{A \circ p} M$ 
 $p_{A \circ p} M$ 
 $p_{A \circ p} M$ 

by applying  $Hom_A(\ ;A)$ . Since " is a quasi-isomorphism so is the map above. Since the source and target consist of projective  $A^{op}$ -modules, and are bounded to the right, they are semi-projective complexes over  $A^{op}$ . Thus the map above is a homotopy equivalence in  $K(Proj A^{op})$ . Therefore applying  $Hom_A(\ ;A)$  induces an isomorphism in K(Proj A). This is the desired result.

Rigidity. The tensor-triangulated category K(Proj A), which is always compactly generated, is also rigid when R is regular. We recall briey the notion of rigidity in tensor-triangulated categories and refer to [25, A.2] for details.

Let T be a compactly generated tensor-triangulated category, with product and unit 1. We assume that 1 is compact and that preserves coproducts. Being a compactly generated tensor-triangulated category, T has an internal function object, Hom(;), dened by the property that

 $Y;Z) = Hom_T(X; Hom(Y;Z))$  for X; Y and Z in T. There is

a natural map

and X is rigid if this map is an isomorphism for all Y. Since 1 is compact, every rigid object is compact, and one says that T is rigidly-compactly generated when the converse holds: compact objects and rigid objects coincide. It is straightforward to verify that this property holds if and only if the conditions below hold:

- (1) the subcategory of compact objects is closed under
- ; (2) (4.3) is an isomorphism when X; Y are compact.

Back to the tensor-triangulated category  $K(Proj\ A)$  with product  $_R$ , where A is a cocommutative Hopf algebra over R. In this case, the internal function object can be described quite concretely as

$$Hom(Y; Z) = i Hom_R(Y; Z)$$

where j is the right adjoint to the inclusion of K(ProjA) into the homotopy category of at A-modules; see [27, Proposition 2.4]. Here is the pertinent result; one can also prove that K(ProjA) is not rigid when R is not regular.

4.4. Lemma. If R is regular, the tensor-triangulated category K(ProjA) is rigid.

Proof. As noted above, it suces to verify that for any X;Y in  $K^c(ProjA)$ , the complex X

 $_R$  Y is also in  $K^c(Proj A)$  and that (4.3) is an isomorphism. Given the identication (3.4) of compact objects in K(Proj A), this is tantamount to verifying that for M; N in  $D^b(mod A)$ , the complex M

L N is in Db(mod A) and the map

$$RHom_R(M;R)$$
<sub>R</sub> N !  $RHom_R(M;N)$ 

of A-complexes is an isomorphism. Both properties are clear, since R is regular.

4.5. Remark. The functor: K(Proj A)!  $K(Proj A_k)$  from (2.5) is a tensor functor which ts { together with its adjoints { into the framework discussed in [2].

Fibrewise criterion. Here is the analogue of the brewise criterion for detecting membership in localising subcategories, Theorem 2.1, in the presence of the tensor product.

In the statement Loc

(Y) denotes the tensor ideal localising subcategory generated by Y.

4.6. Theorem. Let R be a regular ring and A a nite cocommutative Hopf Ralgebra. Let X; Y be objects in K(Proj A). The following conditions are equivalent.

```
    (1) X 2 Loc
    (Y) in K(Proj A);
    (2) X<sub>k(p)</sub> 2 Loc
    (Y<sub>k(p)</sub>) in K(Proj A<sub>k(p)</sub>) for each p 2 Spec R.
```

Proof. The argument follows the same lines as that for Theorem 2.1, using in addition that K(ProjA) is a rigidly generated tensor-triangulated category by Lemma 4.4. Again it is straightforward to verify (1))(2), once one observes that the functor from (2.5) respects the tensor products: For X; Y in K(ProjA), there is a natural isomorphism

$$(X_{R Y}) = (X)_{k(p)}(Y) \text{ in } K(Proj A_{k(p)}).$$

For the implication (2))(1) we use the version of the local-to-global theo-rem (2.16) for tensor-triangulated categories in [12, Theorem 7.2]. Then the task reduces to proving for X; Y in K(Proj A) that when (R; m; k) is a local ring and  $X_k$ is Loc complex r(X)  $(Y_k)$ , the is Loc (r(Y)). When reducing to the local case, one uses that for each p in Spec R the category  $K(Proj A_p)$  identies with a localising tensor ideal of K(Proj A) via (2.13). Whilst the functor r need not respect tensor products, the following projec-tion formula holds. For U in K(ProjA) and V in  $K(ProjA_k)$ , there is a natural isomorphism

One can verify this directly, but this is a general fact about tensor functors and their right adjoints between rigidly-compactly generated tensor-triangulated categories; see [2, Theorem 1.3]. This formula and the fact that, up to direct summands, is surjective on objects { see Lemma 2.9 { yield the desired result.

Finite generation. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring and A a nite co-commutative Hopf algebra over R. Set

$$S := Ext_{\Delta}(R; R)$$
:

This is a graded-commutative R-algebra. Van der Kallen [39] has proved that S is nitely generated as an R-algebra; equivalently, that it is noetherian. This generalises earlier work of Friedlander and Suslin [22] that dealt with the case where R is a eld. The ring S can be realised as the graded-ring of morphisms

$$S = Hom_{K(A)}(1; 1)$$
:

Since 1 is the unit of the tensor product on K(Proj A), it has a natural S-linear action on it. For each p in Spec R one has that  $A_{k(p)}$  is a nite dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebra over k(p). Set

(4.7) 
$$S(p) := Ext_{A_{k(p)}}(k(p); k(p)) :$$

Cohomological support. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, A a nite cocommutative Hopf algebra over R, and S the cohomology ring introduced above. We write Spec S for the homogenous prime ideals in S. Following [11, x5], the action of S on K(Proj A) gives rise to a notion of support for objects in K(Proj A). Namely for each q in Spec S there is a local cohomology functor functor  $_{\rm q}$ , dened akin to (2.15). The support of an object X 2 K(Proj A) is by denition the set

$$supp_S X := fq 2 Spec S j _q X = 0g;$$

and for any class of objects X we set

$$X \text{ we set}$$

$$supp_S X := \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & x & 2x & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Support and bres. Theorem 4.6 yields a stratication  $\{$  see the discussion further below  $\{$  of K(ProjA) in terms of subsets of the space

where to each X in K(Proj A) we associate the subset

The task is to relate this to  $supp_S X$ , viewed as a subset of Spec S.

To that end consider the structure map: R! S, which induces a map

The bre of this map over p is

which we identify with a subset of Spec S in the usual way.

The functor

<sub>R</sub> k(p) induces a map of R-algebras

$$S = Ext_A(R; R)$$
 !  $Ext_{A_{k(p)}}(k(p); k(p)) = S(p)$ :

This induces the map of graded k(p)-algebras

Even in the best of cases, one does not expect this to be an isomorphism. Consider the induced map on spectra:

(4.8) 
$$p^{a}$$
 Spec S(p) ! Spec(S R k(p)) Spec S:

The result below tracks the behavior of supports as we pass to the bres.

4.9. Lemma. Let R be a regular ring and A a nite cocommutative Hopf R-algebra. Fix p in Spec R and let  $^a$  be  $_{\beta}$ the map in (4.8). For each X in K(Proj A) there is an equality

$$_{p}$$
(supp<sub>S(p)</sub>  $X_{k(p)}$ ) = supp<sub>S</sub>  $X \setminus (^{a})^{-1}(p)$ :

Proof. We can reduce to the case where (R; m; k) is a local ring and p = m, the maximal ideal of R. Set  $S_k := S_R k = S = mS$ . Via the map  $m: S_k! S(m)$  the S(m)-action on  $K(Proj A_k)$  induces an  $S_k$ -action. Applying [14, Corollary 7.8(1)] to the identity functor on  $K(Proj A_k)$  yields an equality

$$_{m}$$
(supp<sub>S(m)</sub>  $X_{k}$ ) = supp<sub>S<sub>k</sub></sub>  $X_{k}$ :

It thus suces to work with the subset on the right.

Consider the adjunction (2.5). For any compact object C in K(Proj A) one has isomorphisms of graded  $S_k$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ll} Hom_{K(A_k)}(C;X_k) = & Hom_{K(A_k)}(C;X) \\ = & Hom_{K(A)}(C;rX) \\ \underline{-}Hom_{K(A)}(C;X) \\ R & K) \end{array}$$

where the last isomorphism is by Lemma 2.9. Any compact object in  $K(ProjA_k)$  is a direct summand of C for some compact object C in K(ProjA) by Lemma 2.9. By [11, Theorem 5.2], one can compute  $supp_S(X_k)$  from the support of the  $S_k$ -modules  $Hom_{K(A_k)}(C;X_k)$ . This gives the rst equality below

$$supp_{S_k} X_k = supp_S(X R K) = supp_S X \setminus V$$

$$(mS)$$

$$= supp_S X \setminus (a)^{-1}(m):$$

The second one holds because X

R K represents X=mS; see [12, Lemma 2.6].

Stratication. Let S be a graded commutative noetherian ring and T a rigidly-compactly generated tensor-triangulated category. We denote by T<sup>c</sup> the full subcategory of compact objects. We say that T is S-linear to mean that S acts on T via a map of graded rings

```
S ! End_T(1);
```

see [12, x7]. In the context above, one says that the tensor-triangulated category T is stratied by S if for each q in Spec S the category  $_{\rm q}$ T, consisting of the q-local and q-torsion objects in T, is either zero or minimal, in that, it has no proper localising tensor ideals; see [12, x7.2]. When this holds one has a bijection

(4.10) Localising tensor ideals of T  $^{\text{supp}_S()}$  fSubsets of supp<sub>S</sub> 1g:

When in addition the graded S-module  $Ext_{\uparrow}(X; X)$  is nitely generated for each X 2 T<sup>c</sup>, the subset supp X of Spec S is closed and, by [12, Theorem 6.1], the bijection above restricts to a bijection

Here is a slightly dierent perspective on the stratication property.

4.12. Lemma. Let T be an S-linear tensor-triangulated category as above. Then the tensor-triangulated category T is stratied by S if and only if for any X; Y in T there are equivalences

```
 supp_{s} \; X \quad supp_{s} \; Y \quad ( \; ) \quad Loc \\ \qquad \qquad (X \; ) \quad Loc \\ \qquad \qquad (Y \; ) \; ( \; ) \quad X \; \; 2 \; Loc \\ \qquad \qquad (Y \; ) \; :
```

Proof. We use the fact that for each q in Spec S we have

$$_{q}T = fX 2 T j supp_{S} X fqgg$$

by [11, Corollary 5.9]. Evidently when the stated property holds the localising tensor ideal  $_{\rm q}$ T of T is minimal for each q in Spec S, so T is stratied by S as a tensor-triangulated category. The converse is equally clear.

We focus on the case

$$T := K(Proj A)$$
 with  $T^c = D^b (mod A)$ 

for a cocommutative Hopf algebra A over R, and S its cohomology algebra. Here is one of the main results of our work. When it applies<sup>2</sup>, one gets a classication

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>it always does; see the last paragraph of the introduction.

of the localising tensor ideals of K(Proj A) and also the thick tensor ideals of its subcategory of compact objects, which identies with D<sup>b</sup>(mod A).

4.13. Theorem. Let R be a regular ring and A a nite cocommutative Hopf algebra over R. Let S denote the nitely generated R-algebra  $Ext_A(R;R)$ . If the map piP (4.8) is bijective for each p in Spec R, then the tensor-triangulated category K(ProjA) is stratied by the action of S, and  $supp_S K(ProjA) = Spec S$ .

Proof. The main task is to verify that when X; Y are objects in K(Proj A) with supp  $_S$  X  $_S$  supp Y , the complex X is in  $_p$  Loc (Y); see Lemma 4.12. Since  $^a$  is a homeomorphism for each p in Spec R, Lemma 4.9 yields an inclusion

$$supp_{S(p)} X_{k(p)} supp_{S(p)} Y_{k(p)}$$
:

Since  $A_{k(p)}$  is a nite dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebra over k(p), the triangulated category  $K(Proj \, A_{k(p)})$  is stratied by the action of it cohomology algebra, S(p); this is the main result of [16]. Thus the inclusion above implies

$$X_{k(p)}$$
 2 Loc  $(Y_{k(p)})$ :

This holds for each p in Spec R, so we can apply Theorem 4.6 to deduce that  $\boldsymbol{X}$  is in Loc

(Y) as desired.

It remains to observe that  $supp_S 1 = Spec S$ , as follows, from example, from Lemma 4.9, for  $1_{k(p)}$  is the unit of  $K(Proj A_{k(p)})$  and its support is Spec S(p).

In Section 5 we prove that group algebras of nite groups satisfy the hypotheses of the preceding result. Here is one more family of examples to which it applies.

4.14. Example. Let R be a regular ring. Set A :=  $^{\text{R}}$ F, the exterior algebra on a nite free R-module F. We view it as a Z=2-graded Hopf algebra, with coalgebra structure dened by (x) =  $^{\text{A}}$  x 1

x for x 2 F. In this case Ext (R; R) is the symmetric algebra on  $Hom_R(F; R)$ . Given this it is clear that the hypotheses of Theorem 4.13 are satised in this case. Here is another family of examples: Suppose k is eld, R a k-algebra, and that the Hopf algebra A is of the form R A<sup>0</sup> where A<sup>0</sup> is a nite dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebra over k. Then Ext (R; R)

 $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{k}$  Ext<sub>A0</sub> (k; k) as graded R-algebras. With this, it is easy to verify that A falls under the purview of Theorem 4.13.

Next we prepare to prove Theorem 1.2 stated in the introduction.

Gorenstein projective modules. Let R be a regular ring and A a nite cocommutative Hopf R-algebra. The bres  $A_{k(p)}$  are nite dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebras over k(p), hence self-injective [29, Lemma I.8.7]. Thus the R-algebra A is brewise self-injective and therefore Gorenstein, by Proposition 3.1. As R is regular, Gorenstein projective R-modules are projective by Lemma 2.4. Hence a Gorenstein projective A-module is projective as an R-module, and the converse holds if the module is nitely generated or dim R is nite; see Lemma 3.10.

4.15. Lemma. Let R be a regular ring and A a nite cocommutative Hopf algebra. The tensor product with diagonal A-action endows GProj A with a struc-ture of a rigidly-compactly

generated tensor-triangulated category. This structure is compatible with the

equivalence in Theorem 3.5.

Proof. If X is an acyclic complex of projective A-modules and N is a Gorenstein projective A-module. then the complex R N of projective modules is also acyclic, for N is projective as an R-module. It follows that if M is a Gorenstein projective A-module, so is M R N. Thus the category of Gorenstein projective A-modules is closed under R , and R, viewed as an A-module via the augmentation A! R is the unit of this product. Observe that as an A-module R is Gorenstein projective, for it is nitely generated, and projective as an R-module. Since the A-module P <sub>R</sub> N is projective when P is projective, this tensor product induces one on the stable category, GProj A, making it a tensor-triangulated category, with unit R. The function object on GProj A is Hom<sub>R</sub>(-; ), and given this it is easy to verify the rigid objects in it are precisely the compact objects, that is to say, isomorphism classes of the nitely generated Gorenstein projective modules. In summary, GProj A is rigidly compactly generated.

A straightforward computation shows that the assignment in Theorem 3.5 is compatible with the tensor structures.

Let S := Ext(R; R) be the cohomology algebra as before. We write Proj S for the projective spectrum of S, namely, those prime ideals in Spec S that do not contain the ideal  $S^{>1}$  of positive degree elements.

4.16. Lemma. With the assumptions from Theorem 4.13, the full subcategory  $K_{ac}(ProjA)$  of K(ProjA) is a localising tensor ideal with support ProjS.

Proof. For each p in Spec R the functor X !  $X_{k(p)}$  maps the recollement (3.3) for A to the corresponding recollement for  $A_{k(p)}$ . To see this, observe that the recollement (3.3) is determined by functorial exact triangles p X ! X ! t X ! for each X in K(Proj A) such p X is K-projective and t X is acyclic. These properties are preserved by ( ) $_{k(p)}$  since the functor is exact and preserves all coproducts. For K-projectives this is clear, since they are generated by perfect complexes which are preserved by ( ) $_{k(p)}$ . For acyclic complexes, see Lemma 3.6.

The assertion of the lemma now follows since  $K_{ac}(Proj A_{k(p)})$  is a localising tensor ideal of  $K(Proj A_{k(p)})$  with support Proj S(p); see [16, x10].

We are now ready to prove our stratication result for representations of nite cocommutative Hopf algebras.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use the triangle equivalence GProjA!  $K_{ac}(ProjA)$  from Theorem 3.5, which preserves the tensor structure and the S-action thanks to Lemma 4.15. Now the stratication of GProjA via S follows from Theorem 4.13, since  $K_{ac}(ProjA)$  is a localising tensor ideal of K(ProjA) by Lemma 4.16. In particular, the support of GProjA is precisely ProjS.

## 5. Finite groups

Let G be a nite group. The main result of this section is that for A = RG, the group algebra of G over any commutative noetherian ring R, the map (4.8) is a homeomorphism for all primes in the spectrum of R. As a consequence we get a stratication theorem when R is regular; see Theorem 5.7. In this case the cohomology algebra Ext (R; R) is the group cohomology algebra. This is usually denoted H(G; R), and  $w_G^{R}$  follow suit.

Cup products. Let R be a commutative ring, not necessarily noetherian, and M an R-module, both viewed as G-modules with trivial action. The cup product makes H(G;R) an R-algebra and H(G;M) a module over H(G;R). These are dened as follows: Let P be a projective resolution of the trivial ZG-module Z and :

P ! P

z P a diagonal approximation. Given classes x 2 H(G;R) and y 2 H(G;M), represented by cocycles X = H(G;R) and Y = H(G;M) the cup product x

If I R is an ideal, [ denes a product on H(G;I). It is clear from the denition that if I is nilpotent of order n, then so is H(G;I).

Innitesimal deformations of coecients. Let  $R ! R^0$  be a surjective map of commutative rings whose kernel, say I, satises  $I^2 = 0$ ; thus I is an  $R^0$ -module. One thinks of R as an innitesimal deformation of  $R^0$ . The exact sequence

$$(5.1) 0 ! ! R ! R^0 !$$

0 induces a connecting homomorphism

Since I is an  $R^0$ -module H(G; I) is a module over  $H(G; R^0)$ , via the cup product. The statement of the result below, and its proof, are a variation on [7, Lemma 4.3.3].

5.2. Lemma. In the context above, for x; y 2 H(G; R<sup>0</sup>) one has

$$(x [ y) = (x) [ y + (1)^{j \times j} x [ (y) :$$

Proof. As in the proof of [7, Lemma 4.3.3], let P be the projective resolution of the trivial ZG-module Z, and : P ! P  $_{\rm Z}$  P a diagonal approximation. The exact sequence (5.1) induces the exact sequence of complexes

0 ! 
$$Hom_{ZG}(P;I)$$
 !  $Hom_{ZG}(P;R)$  !  $Hom_{ZG}(P;R^0)$  ! 0:

Represent x and y by cocycles x and y on the right hand side of this sequence. Then x [ y is represented by the composite

where is the multiplication map. To compute the eect of the connecting homomorphism, we rst lift x and y to cochains x and y in  $Hom_{ZG}(P;R)$ . Since dx = 0 = dy, the elements dx and dy lie in I. The element x [ y | fts to x [ y , and ]

$$d(\hat{x} [ \hat{y}) = d\hat{x} [ \hat{y} + (1)^{j \times j} \hat{x} [ d\hat{y}$$
$$= d\hat{x} [ \hat{y} + (1)^{j \times j} \hat{x} [ d\hat{y}$$

The second equality holds as d\* and d\* lie in I. This gives the stated equality.

In what follows, we say that an abelian group M is p-local, for a prime number p, if the natural map M!  $M_{(p)}$  is an isomorphism.

5.3. Lemma. Let p be a prime dividing jGj and :  $R ! R^0$  a map of p-local rings, with Ker() nilpotent. Then the map H(G;) has nilpotent kernel, and there exists an integer n such that for any element x 2 H<sup>>1</sup>(G; R<sup>0</sup>), the element x<sup>p<sup>n</sup></sup> is in the image of the map H(G;).

Proof. Set I := Ker(). Since this ideal is nilpotent, so is H(G;I), under cup products. The claim about nilpotence is clear because, by the exact sequence in cohomology arising from (5.1), the kernel of H(G;I) is the image of the map

$$H(G;I)$$
!  $H(G;R)$ 

which respects cup products.

As to the second part of the statement, it suces to consider the case where  $I^2 = 0$ . Let n be the largest integer such that  $p^n$  divides jGj. Since jGj annihilates  $H^{>1}(G; R^0)$ , and the ring  $R^0$  and hence also  $H(G; R^0)$  is p-local, one gets that

$$p^n H^{>1}(G; R^0) = 0$$
:

If jxj is odd, then 2  $x^2 = 0$ , since  $H(G; R^0)$  is graded-commutative. Thus if also p is odd, then  $x^2 = 0$ , since we are in the p-local situation. Thus we can suppose either jxj is even or p = 2. In either case, a repeated application of Lemma 5.2 yields  $(x^i) = ix^{i-1}(x)$  for each i 1. In particular  $(x^{p^n}) = 0$ . It then follows from the exact sequence in group cohomology arising from (5.1) that  $x^{p^n}$  is in the image of the map H(G; R)!  $H(G; R^0)$ .

Modules with bounded torsion. Let M is an abelian group such that its torsion-subgroup, denoted tors(M) is bounded; that is to say, there exists an integer n such that n tors(M) = 0. Fomin [21] proved that inclusion tors(M) M splits; see also [31, Corollary pp. 134]. This result will be used below.

5.4. Lemma. Let p be a prime dividing jGj and M a p-local abelian group such that tors(M) is bounded. For all integers s 0 the map

$$H^{>1}(G; M) ! H^{>1}(G; M=p^sM)$$

induced by the surjection M! M=p<sup>s</sup>M, is one-to-one.

Proof. Since M is p-local, the only torsion is p-torsion. Choose s 0 such that p<sup>s</sup>

$$tors(M) = 0 = p^s H^{>1}(G; M)$$
:

The equality on the left means that the sequence below, where the map  $M \,!\, p^s M$  is given by  $m \,!\, p^s m$ , is exact:

This is split-exact, by Fomin's result recalled above, so the induced map

$$H(G; M) ! H(G; p^s M)$$

is surjective. The map M  $^{p^s}$ ! M factors as M !  $p^sM$ ! M where the one on the right is inclusion. By the choice of  $p^s$ , the composition of the induced maps

$$H(G; M) ! H(G; p^s M) ! H(G; M)$$

is zero in degrees 1. Since the map on the left is surjective, it follows that the one on the right is zero in degrees 1. Then the cohomology exact sequence arising from the exact sequence

$$0 ! p^{s}M ! M ! M = p^{s}M ! 0$$

yields the desired statement.

Noetherian ring of coecients. The result below was proved by Benson and Habegger [10] when R = Z; the argument given here is modeled on their proof. A general result, allowing non-trivial G-action on R, was proved by Lau [33, Section 7].

Recall that a map of rings f : S ! T containing a eld of positive characteristic p is an F-isomorphism if ker(f) is nilpotent, and for each t 2 T there exists an n such that tpn is the image of f.

5.5. Theorem. Let G be a nite group and R a commutative noetherian ring. For each prime number p, the map

 $_R$  R=pR ! H(G; R=pR) is an F-isomorphism.

Proof. Set  $R_{(p)}:=Z_{(p)}$   $_Z$  R. As R=pR is p-local, the map R ! R=pR factors through  $R_{(p)}.$  As localisation is an exact functor, there are natural isomorphisms

$$H(G; R)$$
 $_{R} R=pR = H(\underline{G}; R_{(p)})$ 
 $_{R_{(p)}} R=pR H(\underline{G}; R=pR)$ 
 $H(G; R_{(p)}=pR_{(p)})$ :

Thus replacing R by  $R_{(p)}$  we can assume R is p-local.

For any nitely generated R-module M, the (additive) torsion submodule tors(M) is an R-submodule of M, and hence nitely generated as an R-module, as R is noetherian. It follows that tors(M) is bounded, so Lemma 5.4 applies.

Choose an integer s large enough that the conclusion of op. cit. applies to the R-modules R and pR. Consider the commutative diagram of coecients

 $\xrightarrow{\text{This induces a commutative diagram}}$ 

where 1 and 2 are the connecting maps. The choice of s ensures that and are injective in positive degrees; see Lemma 5.4. Since >1 is injective and the kernel of 2 is nilpotent, by Lemma 5.3, so is the kernel of 1 in positive degrees. This map factors through the map

so the latter is one-to-one up to nilpotence.

Fix x 2 H<sup>>1</sup> (G; R=pR). Applying Lemma 5.3 to the map R=p<sup>s</sup>R! R=pR yields that for some n - 1 the element  $x^{p^n}$  is in the image of  $\cdot$ . So in the diagram above, we have  $_2(x^{p^n}) = 0$ . Since  $^{>1}$  is injective we have  $_1(x^{p^n}) = 0$ . It follows from the exactness of the top row of the diagram that  $x^p$  is in the image of 1. This implies that the map in the statement of the theorem is F-onto.

Here is a consequence of the preceding theorem.

5.6. Corollary. Let G be a nite group and R a commutative noetherian ring. For any map of rings R! k with k a eld of positive characteristic, the natural map

is an F-isomorphism, and hence the induced map on spectra

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Let p be the characteristic of k. The map R ! k factors through R=pR. Applying

<sub>R</sub> k to the F-isomorphism in Theorem 5.5 yields the F-isomorphism

It remains to observe that the right hand side is isomorphic to H(G; k).

5.7. Theorem. With G a nite group and R a regular ring, the tensor-triangulated category K(ProjRG) is stratied by the action of H(G;R).

Proof. The R-algebra H(G; R) is nitely generated by a result of Evens [20] and Venkov [40]. Thus the result follows from Theorem 4.13 and Corollary 5.6.

An immediate, and standard, consequence of the stratication is a classication of thick tensor ideals in the bounded derived category (and also the small stable module category) of RG-modules. An analogous classication with Proj holds for Gproj.

- 5.8. Corollary. Let G be a nite group and R be a regular local ring. There is a one-to-one correspondence between thick tensor ideal subcategories in  $D^b (mod\ RG)$  and specialisation closed subsets in Spec(H(G;R)), the spectrum of homogeneous prime ideals in H(G;R).
- 5.9. Theorem 5.7 yields classications of thick and localising tensor ideals which have recent predecessors. Corollary 5.8 is established as a main theorem in Lau's work [33] where algebraic techniques similar to ours are used. Lau only works with nitely generated modules computing the Balmer spectrum of the category of perfect complexes on the Deligne Mumford stack [(Spec R)=G], that is the derived category of bounded complexes of G-equivariant nitely generated projective R-modules. In the case of a regular ring R this is precisely the derived category D<sup>b</sup>(mod RG). Though Lau stays in the realms of small categories, he allows for non-trivial action of G on the ring R so his result is more general in that setting.

In the series of papers [3, 4], Barthel takes a very dierent approach to the stratication of representations of a nite group G over a ring R, by developing powerful homotopy theory machinery, with an eye towards applications to many other topological situations. In particular, in contrast to our brewise approach, Quillen's philosophy of reducing the question to elementary abelian groups enters into Barthel's homotopy theoretic methods. To make a direct comparison of our specic applications, Barthel's [4, Theorem C], which classies localising tensor ideals in the (exact) category of R-linear representations of a nite group G whose underlying R-module is projective, can be seen as a direct consequence of Theorem 5.7, under the assumption that R is regular. We arrive to this application though through entirely dierent routes.

5.10. For a group algebra RG there are other possible versions of a stable module category. For instance, one can endow the category of RG-modules with the exact structure given by those short exact sequences which are split exact when restricted to the trivial subgroup. Then the category mod RG of nitely presented RG-modules is a Frobenius category, and the corresponding stable category stmod RG is in fact a tensor triangulated category [15]. For general R this category is dierent from Gproj RG, as can be seen from the discussion in [15, x7] for R = Z.

#### References

- [1] L. L. Avramov and S. B. Iyengar, Homological dimensions over Noether algebras, Algebra & Number Theory 15 (2021), 1157(1180, Appendix to C. M. Druipeski and J. R. Kujawa, Support varieties and modules of nite projective dimension for modular Lie superalgebras.
- [2] P. Balmer, I. Dell'Ambrogio, and B. Sanders, Grothendieck(Neeman duality and the Wirthmæller isomorphism, Compositio Math. 152 (2016), no. 8, 1740(1776.
- [3] T. Barthel, Stratifying integral representations of nite groups, arXiv:2109.08135.
- [4] , St<sub>r</sub> atifying integral rep<sub>r</sub> esentations via equivariant homotopy theory, arxiv:2203.14946.
- [5] T. Barthel, D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, H. Krause, and J. Pevtsova, Lattices over nite group schemes and stratication, arxiv:2307.16271.
- [6] H. Bass, Algebraic K-Theory, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York{Amsterdam, 1968.
- [7] D. J. Benson, Representations and Cohomology II: Cohomology of groups and modules, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 31, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [8] D. J. Benson, J. F. Carlson, and J. Rickard, Complexity and varieties for innitely generated modules, II, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 120 (1996), 597(615.
- [9] D. J. Benson and K. Goodearl, Periodic at modules, and at modules for nite groups, Pacic Jour. Math. 196 (2000), 45(67.
- [10] D. J. Benson and N. Habegger, Varieties for modules and a problem of Steenrod, J. Pure & Applied Algebra 44 (1987), 13{34.
- [11] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, and H. Krause, Local cohomology and support for triangulated categories, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) 41 (2008), 575(621.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Stratifying triangulated categories, J. Topology 4 (2011), 641{666.
- [13] , Stratifying modular representations of nite groups, Ann. of Math. 174 (2011), 1643{1684.
- [14] , Colocalising subcategories and cosupport, J. Reine & Angew. Math. 673 (2012), 161{207.
- [15] , Module categories for group algebras over commutative rings, J. K-Theory 11 (2013), no. 2, 297(329, With an appendix by Greg Stevenson.
- [16] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, H. Krause, and J. Pevtsova, Stratication for module categories of nite group schemes, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (2018), no. 1, 265(302.
- [17] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen{Macaulay rings, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, 1998, Revised edition.
- [18] R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen{Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 262, American Math. Society, 2021.
- [19] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, Relative homological algebra I, Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 30, de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 2000.
- [20] L. Evens, The cohomology ring of a nite group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 2246
- [21] S. Fomin, Ober periodische Unter gruppen der unendlichen Abelschen Gruppen, Mat. Sbornik, N.S. 44 (1937), no. 5, 1007(1009.
- [22] E. M. Friedlander and A. Suslin, Cohomology of nite group schemes over a eld, Invent. Math. 127 (1997), 209(270.
- [23] W. Gnedin, S. B. Iyengar, and H. Krause, A class of Gorenstein algebras and their dualities, preprint 2022.

- [24] M. J. Hopkins, Global methods in homotopy theory, Homotopy Theory, Durham 1985 (E. Rees and J. D. S. Jones, eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 117, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [25] M. Hovey, J. H. Palmieri, and N. P. Strickland, Axiomatic stable homotopy theory, vol. 128, Mem. AMS, no. 610, American Math. Society, 1997.
- [26] A. Iacob and S. B. Iyengar, Homological dimensions and regular rings, J. Algebra 322 (2009), no. 10, 3451(3458.
- [27] S. B. Iyengar and H. Krause, A cyclicity versus total acyclicity for complexes over Noetherian rings, Doc. Math. 11 (2006), 207{240.
- [28] , The Nakayama functor and its completion for Gorenstein algebras, Bull. Soc. Math. France (to appear, 2022).
- [29] J. C. Jantzen, R epresentations of algebraic groups, American Math. Society, 2003, 2nd ed.
- [30] P. Jrgensen, The homotopy category of complexes of projective modules, Adv. in Math. 193 (2005). 223(232.
- [31] I. Kaplansky, Modules over Dedekind rings and valuation rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 327{340.
- [32] H. Krause, The stable derived category of a noetherian scheme, Compositio Math. 141 (2005), 1128(1162.
- [33] E. Lau, The Balmer spectrum of certain Deligne-Mumford stacks, Compositio Math. 159 (2023), 1314(1346.
- [34] A. Neeman, The chromatic tower for D(R), Topology 31 (1992), 519{532.
- [35] , The connection between the K-theory localization theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao and the smashing subcategories of Bouseld and Ravenel, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) 25 (1992), no. 5, 547{566.
- [36] , The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bouseld's techniques and Brown representability, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 205{236.
- [37] D. O. Orlov, Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models, 2004, translated from Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 246 (2004), Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh., 240 | 242, pp. 227{248.
- [38] G. Stevenson, Support theory via actions of tensor triangulated categories, J. Reine & Angew. Math. 681 (2013), 219{254.
- [39] W. van der Kallen, A Friedlander{Suslin theorem over a noetherian base ring, Transformations Groups, (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00031-023-09817-0
- [40] B. B. Venkov, Cohomology algebras for some classifying spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 127 (1959), 943{944.

Dave Benson, Institute of Mathematics, University of Aberdeen, King's College, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland U.K.

Srikanth B. Iyengar, Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, U.S.A.

Henning Krause, Fakultat for Mathematik, Universitat Bielefeld, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany.

Julia Pevtsova, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, U.S.A.