

# Dipolar focusing in laser-assisted positronium formation


H. B. Ambalampitiya, M. K. Matfunjwa and I. I. Fabrikant

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0299, USA*

(Dated: February 5, 2024)


We consider theoretically positronium formation in collisions of positrons with excited hydrogen atoms  $H(n)$  in an infrared laser field. This process is assisted by the dipolar focusing effect: a positron moving in a superposition of a laser field and the dipolar field can approach the atomic target even if its trajectory starts with a very large impact parameter, leading to a significant enhancement of the Ps formation cross section. Classical trajectory Monte Carlo method, which is justified for  $n \geq 3$ , allows efficient calculation of this enhancement. A similar effect can occur in collisions of positrons with other atoms in excited states which can lead to improvements in efficiency of positronium formation.

Collisions involving Ps are important for studies and testing of the fundamental symmetry laws of the universe. Ps-antiproton collisions can be used to produce antihydrogen (the smallest antimatter atom) via the charge-transfer process [1–4]. In support of these endeavors, beams of Ps atoms need to be first produced via an efficient mechanism. The charge-exchange reaction,



where  $A$  is a neutral atom or molecule, is often used to produce Ps beams. In particular, Ps beams for Ps collision studies [6–8] are formed by the process of Ps formation in collisions of positrons with neutral targets. In antihydrogen ATRAP experiments [1, 2] laser-excited Cs atoms were used in a charge exchange reaction with a cloud of positrons to produce excited state Ps.

The problem of Ps formation in positron collisions with hydrogen in its ground state is well studied theoretically [9–13]. The process of Ps formation in collisions of  $e^+$  with excited hydrogen atoms ,



where  $n \geq 2$  is the principal quantum number of the hydrogen atom, is less investigated [14], although it is of a fundamental interest. In the present letter, we show that when an external laser field is introduced to the process (1), an effect termed as dipolar focusing will be in action resulting in a significant enhancement of the Ps formation cross section. A hydrogen atom in an excited state possesses an effective dipole moment leading to a long-range interaction between  $e^+$  and  $H(n)$  [15]. A combination of the dipolar field and a monochromatic laser field can produce the dipolar focusing effect similar to the Coulomb focusing effect in the processes of the double photoionization [16, 17], electron bremsstrahlung [18], radiative recombination [19], and dissociative recombination [20]. The motion of low-energy electrons in a combined laser and Coulomb field can be treated classically: because of the long-range feature of the Coulomb force the de Broglie wavelength is small compared to the radius of the Coulomb interaction if the Coulomb parameter  $e^2/(\hbar v)$

is large [21]. Here  $e$  is the elementary charge and  $v$  is the electron velocity. Similarly the classical treatment of  $e^- + H(n)$  or  $e^+ + H(n)$  interaction is valid if the de Broglie wavelength  $\lambda$  is small compared to the effective radius of the dipolar interaction,  $r_c \sim (ed/E)^{1/2}$  where  $d$  is the effective dipole moment of the target and  $E$  is the projectile energy. Estimating  $d \sim ea_0n^2$ , where  $a_0$  is the Bohr radius and  $n$  is the principal quantum number, we obtain  $n^2 \gg 1$ , i.e. the classical treatment is valid if the target is in a highly excited state. For higher-lying orbits the dipole moment grows and the effect of the dipolar interaction increases accordingly. Numerical Classical Trajectory Monte Carlo (CTMC) simulations and their comparison with quantum convergent close coupling calculations [14, 22] showed that the classical approach works in the low-energy region if  $n \geq 3$ . In the present paper we investigate the dipolar focusing and the cross section enhancement in the laser-assisted reaction (1) for  $n = 3$ .

From now on we are using atomic units. We use the dipole approximation to describe the positron-laser interaction. Consider linearly polarized monochromatic electromagnetic wave with the electric field oscillating according to

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \mathbf{e}F_0 \cos(\omega t + \phi_0),$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  is the polarization vector and  $F_0$  the electric field amplitude. Consider the positron beam whose initial velocity  $\mathbf{v}_0$  is parallel to the laser polarization vector. This relative orientation of  $\mathbf{v}_0$  and  $\mathbf{e}$  is the most efficient for the Coulomb focusing effect [19, 20], therefore we expect that this geometry will be efficient for the dipolar focusing as well. Far away from the target the positron performs one-dimensional motion with the mean velocity

$$\bar{v} = v_0 - \frac{F}{\omega} \sin \phi_0, \quad (2)$$

where  $v_0 > 0$  is the velocity at  $t = 0$ . If positron enters the field region at time  $\tau$ , this results in replacing  $\phi_0$  by  $\phi_0 + \omega\tau$ , therefore averaging over  $\tau$  is equivalent to averaging over  $\phi_0$ . The positron will approach the target if  $\bar{v} > 0$ , and from Eq. (2) we have  $0 < \phi_0 < \phi_1$ , and




FIG. 1.  $xz$  projection of positron and electron trajectories in  $e^+ - H(n = 3)$  collisions for  $b = 50$  a.u. Purple line:  $e^+$ ,  $F = 0$ ; green and blue lines:  $e^+$  and  $e^-$  trajectories for  $F = 0.000244$  a.u.,  $\omega = 0.002$  a.u.,  $\phi_0 = 0.8$  and a random choice of the parameters of the electron orbit; the positron trajectory is shown by the thick line, and electron trajectory by the thin line.

$$\pi - \phi_1 < \phi_0 < 2\pi \text{ where}$$

$$\sin \phi_1 = \frac{\omega v_0}{F_0}, \quad (3)$$

therefore the probability of Ps formation will be nonzero in this range of  $\phi_0$ . The probability peaks at values of  $\phi_0$  close to  $\phi_1$  and  $\pi - \phi_1$ . For an illustrative example we choose such field parameters that  $\omega v_0 / F_0$  is close to 1. This example is typical for a broad parameter subspace where the dipolar focusing is important.

In Figs. 1 and 2 we present  $xz$  projections of electron and positron trajectories for collisions of  $e^+$  with  $H(n = 3)$  with the impact parameter  $b = 50$  a.u. in the field with the amplitude  $F_0 = 0.000244$  a.u. (intensity  $I = 2.09$  GW/cm $^2$ ) and frequency  $\omega = 0.002$  a.u. (wavelength  $\lambda = 22.8\mu\text{m}$ ), positron energy 0.14 eV corresponding to the velocity 0.1015 a.u., so that  $\omega v_0 / F_0 = 0.832$ . Parameters of the initial electron orbit are chosen randomly. The impact parameter vector is directed along the  $x$  axis, and the initial velocity  $\mathbf{v}_0$  along the  $z$  axis. Note that for these field parameters the oscillatory positron motion in the absence of the  $e^+$ -H interaction is characterized by the displacement amplitude 61 a.u., and the velocity amplitude 0.122 a.u. For the zero field the positron trajectory is very slightly affected by the presence of the hydrogen atom whereas in the presence of the field it is distorted enough for positron to be captured by the electron and form Ps. This is clearly an example of the dipolar focusing. For  $\phi_0$  very close to  $\phi_1$  and  $\pi - \phi_1$  this dipolar focusing effect occurs for any impact param-




FIG. 2. The same as in Fig. 1 for a different choice of parameters of the electron orbit.

eter resulting in theoretically infinite Ps formation cross section.

This is illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4 where we present the probability of charge transfer in collisions of  $e^+$  with  $H(n = 3)$  in the field with the same field parameters as in Fig. 1, and several values of phase  $\phi_0$ . It is important that for the chosen field parameters the multiphoton ionization rate is very small, about  $0.5 \times 10^{-6}$  s $^{-1}$  [19], therefore the  $n = 3$  state is not ionized by the laser field during the charge transfer process.

For low impact parameters the probability of Ps formation in nonzero field is not necessarily greater than that for the zero field. However, in the former case it extends to much greater impact parameters resulting in cross sections  $8.61 \times 10^3$ ,  $1.59 \times 10^4$  and  $1.54 \times 10^5$  a.u. for  $\phi_0 = 0.80$ , 0.85 and 0.95 respectively, whereas the corresponding zero-field cross section is  $1.12 \times 10^3$  a.u. The range of impact parameters contributing to the cross section grows with  $\phi_0$ , and is diverging when  $\phi_0$  approaches  $\phi_1$ . For  $\phi_1 < \phi_0 < \pi - \phi_1$  the mean positron velocity in the electric field becomes negative resulting in the zero cross section. However it turns positive again for  $\phi_0 > \pi - \phi_1$ , and a similar divergence of the cross section is observed when  $\phi_0$  approaches  $\pi - \phi_1$  from above.

Another interesting feature of the present problem is the absence of chaos: the probability as a function of the impact parameter does not exhibit fractal structures; irregularities in the  $P(b)$  dependence simply represent statistical uncertainties in the CTMC calculations. This is in contrast to the problem of motion of a charged particle in a combined laser and Coulomb fields whereby the function  $P(b)$  is chaotic [19, 20, 23, 24].

In Fig. 5 we present the Ps formation cross section as a function of  $\phi_0$ . For comparison the field-free cross




FIG. 3. Probability of Ps formation in  $e^+ - H(n = 3)$  collisions as a function of the impact parameter at  $E = 0.14$  eV, comparison of zero-field probability with the probability for  $F_0 = 0.000244$  a.u.,  $\omega = 0.002$  a.u.,  $\phi_0 = 0.8$ .




FIG. 4. Probability of Ps formation in  $e^+ - H(n = 3)$  collisions as a function of the impact parameter at  $E = 0.14$  eV,  $F_0 = 0.000244$  a.u.,  $\omega = 0.002$  a.u. comparison of probabilities for  $\phi_0 = 0.85, 0.95$ , and  $0.96$ . For  $\phi_0 = 0.96$  the probability is shown starting from  $b = 800$  a.u.

section in this case is 1120 a.u. The cross section divergence when  $\phi_0$  approaches  $\phi_1$  or  $\pi - \phi_1$  is strong, approximately as  $(\phi_1 - \phi_0)^{-1.33}$  in the first case, and similar in the second, therefore the averaging over  $\phi_0$  leads to an infinite cross section. In real experimental conditions it might become finite because of instrumental functions. For example, if the duration of the laser pulse  $t$  is finite, for large enough impact parameters the positron would not reach the target during the time period  $t$ , and this would provide the cross section cut-off. This possibility




FIG. 5. Cross section for Ps formation in  $e^+ - H(n = 3)$  collisions as a function of the phase  $\phi_0$ . for  $E = 0.14$  eV,  $F_0 = 0.000244$  a.u.,  $\omega = 0.002$  a.u.

was discussed in detail in treatment of laser-assisted radiative recombination [19]. Another possibility is the angular spread of the positron beam. If the initial positron velocity is not parallel to the laser polarization, the focusing effect is not as efficient, and the averaging over the angular divergence would provide another cut-off. As an example, we have calculated the averaged cross sections for the pulse durations  $t = 5$  and  $10$  ps for the parameters indicated in the figure caption. The calculated cross sections are 3917 and 4650 a.u. respectively which are factors of 3.50 and 4.15 higher than the field-free cross section.

The discussed focusing effect can be of practical importance for collisions with targets other than hydrogen atoms. For example, in antihydrogen ATRAP experiments [1, 2] laser-excited Cs atoms were used in a charge exchange reaction with a cloud of positrons to produce excited state Ps with very high cross sections [26]. Although the alkali-metal atoms do not exhibit the same level of degeneracy as hydrogen, some of their excited levels of opposite parity, for example  $6p$  and  $5d$  in Cs, are close enough to induce a strong long-range interaction with  $e^+$ . For highly-excited Rydberg states the energy levels are becoming hydrogen-like, and the dipolar focusing effect in collisions of  $e^+$  with atoms in these states will grow.

In conclusion we have predicted a strong dipolar focusing effect in collisions of positrons with the hydrogen atoms in an excited state leading to Ps formation. To demonstrate this effect we have employed the CTMC method which has been shown to be valid for description of  $e^+ + H(n)$  collisions if  $n \geq 3$ . The predicted effect can increase the efficiency of Ps production used for the

fundamental research and applications. Collisions involving Rydberg states with higher  $n$  can lead to an efficient production of Ps atoms with long lifetimes.

The authors are grateful to Marcel Ngoko Djokap for useful comments. This work has been supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant Nos. PHY-1803744 and PHY-2309261. HBA was supported by the US Department of Energy Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, under Grant No. DE-SC0021054.

---

[1] C. H. Storry, A. Speck, D. Le Sage, N. Guise, G. Gabrielse, D. Grzonka, W. Oelert, G. Schepers, T. Se fzick, H. Pittner, M. Herrmann, J. Walz, T. W. Hänsch, D. Comeau, and E. A. Hessels, First Laser-Controlled Antihydrogen Production, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 263401 (2004).

[2] M. Charlton and D. P. van der Werf, Advances in anti-hydrogen physics. *Science Progress*, **98**, 34 (2015).

[3] W. A. Bertsche, E. Butler, M. Charlton, and N. Madsen, Physics with antihydrogen, *J. Phys. B* **48**, 232001 (2015).

[4] M. Ahmadi *et al.* (ALPHA collaboration) Antihydrogen accumulation for fundamental symmetry tests, *Nature Commun.* **8**, 681 (2017).

[5] R. J. Hughes, Fundamental symmetry tests with antihydrogen, *Nucl. Phys. A* **558**, 605 (1993).

[6] G. Laricchia, S.A. Davies, M. Charlton, T.C. Griffith, The production of a timed tunable beam of positronium atoms, *J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrum.* **21**, 886 (1988)

[7] A.J. Garner, A. Özen, G. Laricchia, Positronium beam scattering from atoms and molecules, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B* **143**, 155 (1998).

[8] S.J. Brawley, S.E. Fayer, M. Shipman, G. Laricchia, Positronium Production and Scattering below Its Breakup Threshold, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 223201 (2015)

[9] S. E. A. Wakid and R. W. LaBahn, Positronium Formation in Positron-Hydrogen Collisions, *Phys. Rev. A* **6**, 2039 (1972).

[10] X. J. Chen, B. Wen, Y. W. Xu and Y. Y. Zheng, Positronium formation in positron-hydrogen collisions, *J. Phys. B* **25**, 4661 (1992).

[11] J. Mitroy and K. Ratnavelu, The positron-hydrogen system at low energies, *J. Phys. B* **28**, 287 (1995).

[12] A. A. Kernoghan, D. J. R. Robinson, M. T. McAlinden and H. R. J. Walters, Positron scattering by atomic hydrogen, *J. Phys. B* **29** 2089 (1996).

[13] A. S. Kadyrov and I. Bray, Two-center convergent close-coupling approach to positron-hydrogen collisions, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 012710 (2002).

[14] H. B. Ambalampitiya, J. Stallbaumer, I. I. Fabrikant, I. Kalinkin, D. V. Fursa, A. S. Kadyrov, I. Bray, Near-threshold collisional dynamics in the  $e^-e^+p$  system, *Phys. Rev. A* **108**, 032808 (2023).

[15] M. Gailitis, The influence of finite masses on the threshold behaviour of scattering in a three charged particle system, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **15**, 3423 (1982).

[16] Th. Brabec, M. Yu. Ivanov, and P. B. Corkum, Coulomb focusing in intense field atomic processes, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, R2551 (1996).

[17] G. L. Yudin and M. Y. Ivanov, Physics of correlated double ionization of atoms in intense laser fields: Quasistatic tunneling limit, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 033404 (2001).

[18] H. B. Ambalampitiya and I. I. Fabrikant, Classical theory of laser-assisted spontaneous bremsstrahlung, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 063404 (2019)

[19] I. I. Fabrikant and H. B. Ambalampitiya, Semiclassical theory of laser-assisted radiative recombination, *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 053401 (2020).

[20] I. I. Fabrikant, H. B. Ambalampitiya, I. F. Schneider, Semiclassical theory of laser-assisted dissociative recombination, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 053115 (2021).

[21] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics (Nonrelativistic Theory)* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1977).

[22] M. Charlton, H. B. Ambalampitiya, I. I. Fabrikant, I. Kalinkin, D. V. Fursa, A. S. Kadyrov, I. Bray, Antiproton collisions with excited positronium, *Phys. Rev. A* **107**, 012814 (2023)

[23] L. Wiesenfeld, Irregular classical scattering of an electron in Coulomb and oscillatory electric fields, *Phys. Lett. A* **144**, 467 (1990).

[24] L. Wiesenfeld, The Coulomb potential or scattering chaos in a non-generic case, *Acta Phys. Polonica B* **23**, 271 (1992).

[25] E. A. Hessels, D. M. Homan, M. J. Cavagnero, Two-stage Rydberg charge exchange: An efficient method for production of antihydrogen, *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 1668 (1998).

[26] A. Speck, C. H. Storry, E. A. Hessels, G. Gabrielse, Laser-controlled production of Rydberg positronium via charge exchange collisions, *Phys. Lett. B* **597**, 257 (2004).