



Twisted conformal blocks and their dimension

Jiuzu Hong¹ · Shrawan Kumar¹

Received: 15 June 2023 / Accepted: 30 January 2024

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

Let Γ be a finite group acting on a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and acting on a s -pointed projective curve $(\Sigma, \vec{p} = \{p_1, \dots, p_s\})$ faithfully (for $s \geq 1$). Also, let an integrable highest weight module $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_i)$ of an appropriate twisted affine Lie algebra determined by the ramification at p_i with a fixed central charge c is attached to each p_i . We prove that the space of twisted conformal blocks attached to this data is isomorphic to the space associated to a quotient group of Γ acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms and acting on a quotient of Σ . Under some mild conditions on ramification types, we prove that calculating the dimension of twisted conformal blocks can be reduced to the situation when Γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms and covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with 3 marked points. Assuming a twisted analogue of Teleman's vanishing theorem of Lie algebra homology, we derive an analogue of the Kac–Walton formula and the Verlinde formula for general Γ -curves (with mild restrictions on ramification types). In particular, if the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type D_4 , there are no restrictions on ramification types.

Contents

1	Introduction	
2	Preliminaries	
2.1	Kac–Moody theory	
2.2	Twisted conformal blocks	
3	Reduction from general actions to diagram automorphisms	
3.1	A key lemma	
3.2	Reduction theorem	
3.3	An application	
4	Reduction via degenerations	
5	Kac–Walton formula for twisted conformal blocks	
5.1	Standard automorphisms	
5.2	Affine Weyl group of twisted affine Lie algebras	
5.3	Analogue of Kac–Walton formula	

✉ Jiuzu Hong
jiuzu@email.unc.edu

Shrawan Kumar
shrawan@email.unc.edu

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA

6	Verlinde formula for twisted conformal blocks	
6.1	Verlinde formula for basic cases	
6.2	Verlinde formula for general Γ -curves	
6.3	Examples	
	References	

1 Introduction

Wess–Zumino–Witten model is a type of two dimensional conformal field theory, which associates to an algebraic curve with marked points and integrable highest weight modules of an affine Kac–Moody Lie algebra attached to the points, a finite dimensional vector space consisting of conformal blocks. The space of conformal blocks has many important properties including Propagation of Vacua and Factorization. It is also known that the sheaf of conformal blocks on the Deligne–Mumford stack of stable pointed curves is locally free. The mathematical theory of WZW model was first established by Tsuchiya–Ueno–Yamada [27] where all these properties were obtained. All the above properties are important ingredients in the proof of the celebrated Verlinde formula for the dimension of the space of conformal blocks (cf. [1, 9, 22, 23, 28]).

One can replace algebraic curves by Γ -covers of curves for some finite group Γ , and let Γ act on a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, the theory of *twisted conformal blocks* can be similarly developed. It is related to the two dimensional orbifold conformal field theory in the literature [2], where Birke–Fuchs–Schweigert initiated this theory from the perspective of mathematical physics and conjectured an analogous Verlinde formula for twisted conformal blocks in certain cases. In [13], the authors obtained similar results as in [27] for Γ -curves, including the properties of Propagation of Vacua and Factorization (under a technical assumption that Γ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$; which is automatically satisfied if Γ is cyclic), and we constructed a flat projective connection on the sheaf of twisted covacua on the Hurwitz stack of pointed smooth Γ -curves and we also proved the local freeness of the sheaf of twisted covacua on the Hurwitz stack of stable pointed Γ -curves. Earlier, similar results were obtained by Damiolini [6] under more restrictive conditions; in particular, where the marking points are unramified.

This paper is a continuation of our previous work [13]. As our first main result of this work, in Theorem 3.3, we prove that for any Γ -action on $\mathfrak{g}$, the dimension of the space of twisted conformal blocks is the same as the dimension of twisted conformal blocks attached to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms and acting on a quotient curve $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ , where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the quotient group of Γ by the subgroup of elements acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by inner automorphisms. In particular, when Γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ by inner automorphisms, the dimension of twisted conformal blocks is, in fact, the same as the dimension of standard (nontwisted) conformal blocks on the quotient curve, which can be computed by the usual Verlinde dimension formula, cf. Corollary 3.4. Another application is given in Theorem 3.7, which asserts that if the quotient group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is cyclic, then the sheaf of twisted conformal blocks on the Hurwitz stack of stable pointed Γ -curves is actually locally free of constant rank. Note that the sheaf of twisted conformal blocks on the Hurwitz stack of stable pointed Γ -curves is proved to be locally free in [13, Theorem 8.9]. However, this stack may not be connected in general, and hence a priori it is unclear that the sheaf is of constant rank.

In Sect. 4, we assume the group Γ is cyclic. Under some restriction on ramification type at marked points, in Theorem 4.7 we give a formula for the dimension of the twisted conformal blocks in terms of the dimension of the twisted conformal blocks for covers of

$\mathbb{P}^1$ with 3 marked points together with the usual Verlinde numbers of higher genus. This is achieved mainly by using the degeneration technique to create a node in Σ and then using the Factorization Theorem, thereby reducing the problem to a lower genus base curve $\tilde{\Sigma}$ (cf. Lemma 4.2). Further, by using a similar degeneration technique and the Factorization Theorem, we reduce the problem to a Γ -cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with only two ramified points (cf. Lemma 4.3).

In Sect. 5, we formulate a conjecture which is a twisted analogue of Teleman's vanishing theorem for the Lie algebra homology (cf. Conjecture 5.6). Some partial results on this conjecture appear in [14] by the authors. In Theorem 5.7, assuming the vanishing conjecture, we prove an analogue of the Kac–Walton formula for the dimension of twisted conformal blocks on covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ by a cyclic group Γ and Γ acting on $\mathfrak{g}$ by ‘standard’ automorphisms (defined in Sect. 5.1). The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 5.7 is the generalized Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand resolution for twisted affine Kac–Moody Lie algebras, cf. Proposition 5.4.

The first coauthor derived a Verlinde type formula for the trace of a diagram automorphism and defined twisted fusion rings in [15, 16]. These results (more specifically Theorem 6.2) and Kac–Walton formula Theorem 5.7 are two main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 6.5, which asserts that assuming the homology vanishing Conjecture 5.6, there is a Verlinde type formula for the dimension of twisted conformal blocks associated to covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with 3 marked points and standard automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$. Earlier, we expected a relation between the trace on conformal blocks of diagram automorphism of a simple Lie algebra and the dimension of twisted conformal blocks for another related Lie algebra. Even though this explicit relationship is not exactly achieved, however the way we deduce the dimension of twisted conformal blocks associated to covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with 3 marked points gives an indirect explanation of their relation. In particular, the formula in Theorems 6.2 and 6.5 look fairly similar.

We finally combine all the above results and Conjecture 5.6 to prove our second main result of the paper: Theorem 6.9 determining the dimension of twisted conformal blocks in a fairly general setting (under some mild restriction on the ramification type only in the case of $\mathfrak{g} = D_4$). Specifically, Reduction Theorem 3.3; degeneration results Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 (resulting in Theorem 4.7); and Theorem 6.5 for covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ are the important ingredients in the proof of Theorem 6.9.

Using the machinery of crossed modular categories, under the assumption that Γ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ as in [13], Deshpande–Mukhopadhyay [8] deduced a Verlinde type formula for the dimension of twisted conformal blocks, which is expressed in terms of S-matrices. The basic difference in their approach and ours is that we first of all reduce the problem to the standard automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ and then we use the degeneration technique and the analogue of Kac–Walton formula to arrive at our dimension formula. In our approach, in contrast to [8] we do not need to assume that Γ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra, but we do need to assume that the quotient group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is cyclic.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Kac–Moody theory

let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Let σ be an automorphism of order m of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the field of Laurent series in the parameter t , such that $\sigma(t) = \epsilon^{-1}t$ where $\epsilon = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$ and σ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ trivially. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of formal power series in t . We now define a central

extension $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma) := \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{K})^\sigma \oplus \mathbb{C}C$ of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{K})^\sigma$ under the bracket

$$[x[P] + zC, x'[P'] + z'C] = [x, x'] [P P'] + m^{-1} \text{Res}_{t=0} ((dP)P') \langle x, x' \rangle C, \quad (1)$$

for $x[P], x'[P'] \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{K})^\sigma$, $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$; where $\text{Res}_{t=0}$ denotes the coefficient of $t^{-1}dt$ and $\langle, \rangle$ denotes the normalized invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$ so that the induced form on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ satisfies $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$ for the highest root θ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Throughout the paper, we fix a positive integer (called the level) $c > 0$. We also fix an integer $s > 0$ denoting the number of marked points.

We use $D_{c,\sigma}$ to denote the set of highest weights of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ which parametrizes the integrable highest weight modules of $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ of level c , where the level denotes the action of C , see [13, Sect. 2]. When σ is trivial, we also use D_c to denote this set for brevity. For each $\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}$, we will denote by $(\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda), \rho_\lambda)$ (or for simplicity $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda)$) the associated integrable highest weight module of $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ of level c .

There exists a ‘compatible’ Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and a ‘compatible’ Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \supset \mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ both stable under the action of σ such that

$$\sigma = \tau \epsilon^{\text{adh}}, \quad (2)$$

where τ is a (possibly trivial) diagram automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ of order r preserving $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$, $\alpha(h) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any root α of $\mathfrak{g}$ and ϵ^{adh} is the inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that for any root α of $\mathfrak{g}$, ϵ^{adh} acts on the root space $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ by the multiplication $\epsilon^{\alpha(h)}$, and ϵ^{adh} acts on $\mathfrak{h}$ by the identity. Here h is an element in $\mathfrak{h}^\tau$. In particular, τ and ϵ^{adh} commute. Moreover, r divides m , $\alpha(h) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ for any positive root α of $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$ and $\theta_0(h) \leq \frac{m}{r}$ where $\theta_0 \in (\mathfrak{h}^\tau)^*$ denotes the following weight of $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$:

$$\theta_0 = \begin{cases} \text{highest root of } \mathfrak{g}, & \text{if } r = 1 \\ \text{highest short root of } \mathfrak{g}^\tau, & \text{if } r > 1 \text{ and } (\mathfrak{g}, r) \neq (A_{2n}, 2) \\ 2 \cdot \text{highest short root of } \mathfrak{g}^\tau, & \text{if } (\mathfrak{g}, r) = (A_{2n}, 2). \end{cases}$$

Let $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ denote the Lie algebra with the construction similar to $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ where σ is replaced by τ , m is replaced by r and ϵ is replaced by $\epsilon^{\frac{m}{r}}$. There exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras (cf. [19, Theorem 8.5]):

$$\Psi_\sigma : \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tau) \simeq \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma) \quad (3)$$

given by $C \mapsto C$ and $x[t^j] \mapsto x[t^{\frac{m}{r}j+k}]$, for any x an $\epsilon^{\frac{m}{r}j}$ -eigenvector of τ , and x also a k -eigenvector of $\text{ad } h$. Then, the isomorphism Ψ_σ induces a bijection

$$D_{c,\sigma} \simeq D_{c,\tau}, \quad \lambda \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}. \quad (4)$$

Remark 2.1 The explicit description of $D_{c,\sigma}$ is given in [13, Lemma 2.1] in terms of $\{n_{\lambda,i} \mid i \in \hat{I}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)\}$ defined there. Also, $\tilde{\lambda}$ can be expressed in terms of numbers $a_i, a_i^\vee$ which can be read from [19, pp. 54–55] via [19, Theorem 8.7]. For the convenience of readers, we would like to point out that there is a typo in the formula for $\alpha_i^\vee$ in [19, Theorem 8.7]. The correct expression is: $\alpha_i^\vee = 1 \otimes H_i + \frac{a_i s_i r}{a_i^\vee m} K$.

2.2 Twisted conformal blocks

Let $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ be a group homomorphism, where Γ is a finite group and $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the group of Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let Σ be a reduced projective Γ -curve over

$\mathbb{C}$ with only (simple) nodal singularity, such that no nontrivial element of Γ fixes point-wise any irreducible component of Σ . *Unless otherwise stated, by a Γ -curve we will always mean such a Γ -curve.* For any $p \in \Sigma$, let Γ_p be the stabilizer subgroup of Γ at p . Then, Γ_p is cyclic if p is a smooth point of Σ . Let γ_p be the ramification type at p , i.e., γ_p is a generator of Γ_p such that it acts on the tangent space $T_p\Sigma$ by the scalar multiplication $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{|\Gamma_p|}}$.

Fix a tuple $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s)$ of distinct smooth points in Σ such that any two distinct points are not in the same Γ -orbit. Assume further that each irreducible component of $\bar{\Sigma} := \Sigma/\Gamma$ contains at least one $\Gamma \cdot p_i$. Then, such a $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ is called a s -pointed Γ -curve. For each i , let γ_i be the ramification type at p_i . Let t_i be a γ_i -equivariant formal parameter at p_i , i.e., $\gamma_i t_i = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{|\Gamma_p|}} t_i$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{p_i}$ denote the field $\mathbb{C}((t_i))$ of Laurent series and let $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i)$ be the associated twisted affine Lie algebra. In fact, it does not depend on the choice of equivariant t_i . We are also given a tuple $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ of elements, where $\lambda_i \in D_{c, \gamma_i}$ for each i . Following [13, Sect. 3], we define the following *space of covacua*,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) := \frac{\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_s)}{\mathfrak{g}[\Sigma \setminus \Gamma \cdot \vec{p}]^\Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_s))}, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}[\Sigma \setminus \Gamma \cdot \vec{p}]^\Gamma$ is the Lie algebra of Γ -equivariant regular maps from $\Sigma \setminus \Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$, and the action of $\Sigma \setminus \Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ on $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_k)$ is given by [13, Definition 3.5]. It was proved in [13] that twisted conformal blocks share similar properties with usual conformal blocks, including Propagation, Factorization, WZW connection, etc. Some of these results are also proved in [6] under more restrictive assumptions.

3 Reduction from general actions to diagram automorphisms

3.1 A key lemma

Let G be a connected, simply-connected simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$, and let Γ be a finite group acting on G . Let G_{ad} denote the quotient of G by its center. Then, Γ acts on G_{ad} naturally. Let Σ be a smooth projective connected curve over $\mathbb{C}$ with a faithful action of Γ . We regard G_{ad} as the group of inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is a normal subgroup of the full automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on G_{ad} via conjugation. Let $\text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quotient group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})/G_{\text{ad}}$.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that we are given two group homomorphisms $\phi, \psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\phi \cdot \psi^{-1}: \Gamma \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$, $\gamma \mapsto \phi(\gamma)\psi(\gamma)^{-1}$. For any Γ -stable affine open subset Σ^* in Σ , if the action of Γ on Σ^* is free, then there exists a regular map $F: \Sigma^* \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$ such that*

$$F(\gamma \cdot p) = \phi(\gamma)F(p)\psi(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad \forall p \in \Sigma^*, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Note that $\phi(\gamma)F(p)\psi(\gamma)^{-1}$ is well-defined as an element of G_{ad} , since $\phi(\gamma)\psi(\gamma)^{-1} \in G_{\text{ad}}$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof Let $\mathcal{G}_\phi$ be the following (parahoric) Bruhat–Tits group scheme over $\bar{\Sigma}^*$, $\mathcal{G}_\phi := \pi_*(\Sigma^* \times G_{\text{ad}})^\Gamma$, where $\bar{\Sigma}^* = \Sigma^*/\Gamma$, π_* denote the Weil restriction from Σ^* to $\bar{\Sigma}^*$, and the upper subscript $^\Gamma$ denotes taking Γ -fixed point scheme under the action ϕ of Γ via conjugation on G_{ad} ($\gamma \cdot g := \phi(\gamma)g\phi(\gamma)^{-1}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $g \in G_{\text{ad}}$).

Recall that a $(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}}, \phi)$ -bundle on Σ^* is a right principal G_{ad} -bundle $\mathcal{P}$ on Σ^* with a left Γ -action on $\mathcal{P}$ compatible with Γ -action on Σ^* such that

$$\gamma(x \cdot g) = \gamma(x) \cdot \text{Ad}_{\phi(\gamma)}(g), \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G_{\text{ad}}, x \in \mathcal{P}.$$

We now construct a $(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}}, \phi)$ -bundle structure $\mathcal{P}_\psi$ on $\Sigma^* \times G_{\text{ad}}$ as follows:

- G_{ad} -bundle: $(p, x) \cdot g = (p, x \cdot g)$, for any $p \in \Sigma^*$ and $g, x \in G_{\text{ad}}$;
- Γ -action: $\gamma \cdot (p, x) = (\gamma \cdot p, \psi(\gamma)x\phi(\gamma)^{-1})$, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

The above bundle $\mathcal{P}_\psi$ by taking ϕ instead of ψ is called the *trivial* $(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}}, \phi)$ -bundle $\mathcal{P}^\circ$.

Since all the points in Σ^* are unramified, $\pi_*(\mathcal{P}_\psi)^\Gamma$ is a $\mathcal{G}_\phi$ -bundle, cf. [7, Proposition 2.9]. Now, we are in position to apply Heinloth uniformization theorem (cf. [12, Theorem 1]), which asserts that as $\mathcal{G}_\phi$ -bundles, $\pi_*(\mathcal{P}_\psi)^\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_\phi$. Applying the inverse functor $\pi^*(\cdot) \times_{\pi^*(\mathcal{G}_\phi)} (G_{\text{ad}})_{\Sigma^*}$, we get an isomorphism of $(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}}, \phi)$ -bundles $\Phi: \mathcal{P}_\psi \simeq \mathcal{P}^\circ$ (cf. [7, Theorem 3.2]). From the construction of $\mathcal{P}_\psi$, we see that there exists a regular map $F: \Sigma^* \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$ such that

$$\Phi(p, x) = (p, F(p)x), \quad \text{for any } p \in \Sigma^* \text{ and } x \in G_{\text{ad}}.$$

By consideration of Γ -equivariance, one can easily deduce that F satisfies the desired property:

$$F(\gamma \cdot p) = \phi(\gamma)F(p)\psi(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad \text{for any } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and } p \in \Sigma^*.$$

□

3.2 Reduction theorem

We consider the following setup:

We are given a group homomorphism $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ and a projective irreducible smooth s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$. Let Σ^* be the complement $\Sigma \setminus (\cup \Gamma \cdot p_i)$. Let Γ_0 be the kernel of the map $P \circ \phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $P: \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the projection map and $\text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quotient group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ ($\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ being the group of inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$). Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the quotient group Γ/Γ_0 and let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be the quotient curve Σ/Γ_0 . Let $\tilde{p}_i$ denote the image of p_i in $\tilde{\Sigma}$, and let $\tilde{\Sigma}^*$ denote the complement $\tilde{\Sigma} \setminus (\tilde{\Gamma} \cdot \tilde{p})$, where $\tilde{p} = \{\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_s\}$. Then, $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{p})$ is a s -pointed $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -curve. Let $\tilde{\phi}_i$ be the composition of the following maps:

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where ι is a group homomorphism such that the elements in $\text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$ act on $\mathfrak{g}$ by diagram automorphisms, which preserve a pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})$ and a pinning with respect to the pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is a fixed Borel subalgebra and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra contained in $\mathfrak{b}$. Let ϕ_i be the composition

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}_i} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

For each p_i , choose a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_i$ and a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_i$ contained in $\mathfrak{b}_i$ and both preserved by $\phi(\gamma_i)$ and satisfying the Eq. (2), where γ_i is the ramification type at p_i . Let τ_i be the diagram automorphism part of $\phi(\gamma_i)$ with respect to this choice, i.e., the image of γ_i under the analogue of ϕ_i with respect to the choice $(\mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ and a pinning with respect to $(\mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$.

Lemma 3.2 *For any $1 \leq i \leq s$, there exists an inner automorphism κ_i of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\kappa_i(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}_i$, $\kappa_i(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}_i$, and*

$$\tau_i = \kappa_i \cdot \phi_i(\gamma_i) \cdot \kappa_i^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Proof By an isomorphism theorem of semisimple Lie algebras (cf. [17, Sect. 14.2]), there exists a unique automorphism $\kappa'_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ sending the chosen pinning with respect to $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})$ to the chosen pinning respect to $(\mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$. Since the diagram automorphisms $\phi_i(\gamma_i)$ and τ_i induce the same action on the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$, we must have $\tau_i = \kappa'_i \cdot \phi_i(\gamma_i) \cdot (\kappa'_i)^{-1}$. Let D be the group of diagram automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ preserving $(\mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$ and the given pinning with respect to $(\mathfrak{b}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$. It is well-known that $D \simeq \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, there exists an element $u \in D$ such that $\kappa_i := u\kappa'_i$ is inner, $\kappa_i(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}_i$ and $\kappa_i(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}_i$. Then, τ_i and $\kappa_i \cdot \phi_i(\gamma_i) \cdot \kappa_i^{-1}$ are two elements in D . It follows that $\tau_i = \kappa_i \cdot \phi_i(\gamma_i) \cdot \kappa_i^{-1}$, since τ_i and $\phi_i(\gamma_i)$ have the same image in $\text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$. $\square$

Given a tuple $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ of dominant weights with $\lambda_i \in D_{c, \gamma_i}$, we get another tuple $\vec{\tilde{\lambda}} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_k)$ of dominant weights with $\tilde{\lambda}_i \in D_{c, \tau_i}$ as described in (4). Via κ_i , we can identify D_{c, τ_i} with $D_{c, \tilde{\gamma}_i}$, where $\tilde{\gamma}_i = \phi_i(\gamma_i)$. We denote by $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ the element in $D_{c, \tilde{\gamma}_i}$ corresponding to $\tilde{\lambda}_i \in D_{c, \tau_i}$ under the identification $D_{c, \tau_i} \simeq D_{c, \tilde{\gamma}_i}$.

We attach the space of twisted covacua $\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda})$ to $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ and $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Similarly, we can also attach the space $\mathcal{V}_{\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\phi}}(\vec{\tilde{p}}, \vec{\tilde{\lambda}})$ of twisted covacua to the s -pointed $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -curve $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \vec{\tilde{p}})$ and the group homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 3.3 Assume that $\Sigma^* := \Sigma \setminus (\Gamma \cdot \vec{p})$ does not contain any ramified points in Σ . Then, we have a natural isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\phi}}(\vec{\tilde{p}}, \vec{\tilde{\lambda}}).$$

Proof Let ϕ_i be the composition of the following maps:

$$\Gamma \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{P} \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where ι is as above.

By Lemma 3.1, there exists $F: \Sigma^* \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$ such that

$$F(\gamma \cdot p) = \phi(\gamma)F(p)\phi_i(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad \forall p \in \Sigma^*, \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (7)$$

This gives rise to a Lie algebra homomorphism $\Phi_F: \mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[\Sigma^*]^{\Gamma}$, given by

$$X \mapsto \text{Ad}_F(\pi^* X), \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}},$$

where $\pi^* X$ is the pull-back of the $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued function X on $\tilde{\Sigma}^*$, and Ad_F is the point-wise conjugation by F . One can check that Φ_F is an isomorphism. In fact, we construct its inverse map Ψ_F as follows. For any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}[\Sigma^*]^{\Gamma}$, it is easy to verify that $\text{Ad}_{F^{-1}}(Y) \in \mathfrak{g}[\Sigma^*]^{\Gamma, \phi_i}$, where $(\cdot)^{\Gamma, \phi_i}$ denotes the Γ -invariants via the usual action of Γ on Σ^* and the action on $\mathfrak{g}$ via ϕ_i . Then, $\text{Ad}_{F^{-1}}(Y)$ descends to the desired element $\Psi_F(Y) \in \mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$.

Let F_i be the image of F in $G_{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K}_{p_i})$. Define $\widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}: \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i) \rightarrow \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i)$ as follows:

$$x[f] \mapsto \text{Ad}_{F_i}(x[f]) + \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{p_i}|} \text{Res}_{p_i} \langle F_i^{-1} dF_i, x[f] \rangle C_i, \quad \text{and } C_i \mapsto C_i,$$

where Ad_{F_i} is the point-wise adjoint action, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the normalized invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$, and C_i is the canonical central element. Moreover, $F_i^{-1} dF_i$ is the $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form, which can be defined via an embedding $\rho: G_{\text{ad}} \rightarrow GL(V)$. We regard $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{p}_i} = \mathbb{C}((\tilde{t}_i))$ the subfield of $\mathcal{K}_{p_i} = \mathbb{C}((t_i))$, where $\tilde{t}_i = (t_i)^{e_i}$ and e_i is the ramification index of $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$ at p_i . It $\widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}(x[f]) \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{p}_i})$. It is routine to check that $\widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Let $\oplus \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i)$ denote the direct sum of twisted affine Lie algebras $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i)$, and let $\overline{\oplus} \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i)$ denote the quotient of $\oplus \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i)$ by the central elements $C_i - C_j$ with $i \neq j$. Let C denote the image of any C_i . Then, $\overline{\oplus} \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i)$ has the 1-dimensional center $\mathbb{C} \cdot C$. Similarly, we define the Lie algebra $\overline{\oplus} \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i)$ with the canonical center C . Let $\widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i} : \overline{\oplus} \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i) \rightarrow \overline{\oplus} \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i)$ be the Lie algebra isomorphism induced from $\widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}$.

We now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Loc}_{\tilde{p}}} & \overline{\oplus} \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i) \\ \downarrow \Phi_F & & \downarrow \widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i} \\ \mathfrak{g}[\Sigma^*]^{\Gamma} & \xrightarrow{\text{Loc}_{\tilde{p}}} & \overline{\oplus} \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i), \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where $\text{Loc}_{\tilde{p}}(Y) = \sum_i Y_{\tilde{p}_i}$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$, and $\text{Loc}_{\tilde{p}}$ is defined similarly. By (7), $F^{-1}dF$ is (Γ, ϕ_i) -equivariant. It follows that the pairing $\langle F^{-1}dF, \pi^*Y \rangle$ is Γ -invariant 1-form on Σ^* . Hence, for any $q \in \Gamma \cdot p_i$, the residue of $\langle F^{-1}dF, \pi^*Y \rangle$ at q is equal to the residue at p_i . Finally, the commutativity of the diagram (8) follows from the following identity for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$:

$$\sum_i \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{p_i}|} \text{Res}_{p_i} \langle F^{-1}dF, \pi^*Y \rangle = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{q \in \Gamma \cdot \tilde{p}} \text{Res}_q \langle F^{-1}dF, \pi^*Y \rangle = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the Residue Theorem for $\langle F^{-1}dF, \pi^*Y \rangle$ on Σ (cf. [11, Chap. III, Theorem 7.14.2]).

From the commutative diagram (8), we have the following natural isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_s)}{\mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}} \cdot (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_s))}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_i)$ is regarded as a representation of $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i)$ via the isomorphism $\widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}$.

Recall the isomorphism $\Psi_{\gamma_i} : \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tau_i) \simeq \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i)$ from (3). It is an easy observation that $\Psi_{\gamma_i} = \widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F'_i}$, where $F'_i = t_i^{\text{adh}_i} \in G_{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K}_{p_i})$, $h_i \in \mathfrak{h}_i^{\tau_i}$ is determined by γ_i as in Sect. 2.1, and t_i is the uniformizer in $\mathcal{K}_{p_i}$. Moreover, by the Eq. (2), $\phi(\gamma_i) = \epsilon_i^{\text{adh}_i} \tau_i$, where ϵ_i is an e_i -th primitive root of unity. Set $g_i = F'_i \cdot \kappa_i \cdot F_i^{-1} \in G_{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K}_{p_i})$, where $\kappa_i \in G_{\text{ad}}$ is as in Lemma 3.2 thought of as an element of $G_{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K}_{p_i})$. Then, $g_i \in G_{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{K}_{p_i})^{\Gamma_{p_i}}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(\gamma_i \cdot) &= F'_i(\gamma_i \cdot) \cdot \kappa_i \cdot F_i(\gamma_i \cdot)^{-1} \\ &= t_i^{\text{adh}_i}(\cdot) \epsilon_i^{\text{adh}_i} \kappa_i \phi_i(\gamma_i) F_i(\cdot)^{-1} \phi(\gamma_i)^{-1} \\ &= t_i^{\text{adh}_i}(\cdot) \epsilon_i^{\text{adh}_i} \tau_i \kappa_i F_i(\cdot)^{-1} \phi(\gamma_i)^{-1} \\ &= t_i^{\text{adh}_i}(\cdot) \phi(\gamma_i) \kappa_i F_i(\cdot)^{-1} \phi(\gamma_i)^{-1} \\ &= \phi(\gamma_i) t_i^{\text{adh}_i}(\cdot) \kappa_i F_i(\cdot)^{-1} \phi(\gamma_i)^{-1} \\ &= \phi(\gamma_i) g_i(\cdot) \phi(\gamma_i)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (7), the third equality follows from (6), and the fifth equality holds since $h_i \in \mathfrak{h}_i^{\gamma_i}$.

By a twisted analogue of Faltings' lemma (cf. [13, Proposition 10.2]), there exists an intertwining operator

$$I_{g_i} : (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_i), \rho_{\lambda_i}) \simeq (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_i), \rho_{\lambda_i} \circ \widehat{\text{Ad}}_{g_i})$$

as isomorphisms of $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i)$ -modules. This induces the following isomorphism of $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tau_i)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_{g_i} : (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_i), \rho_{\lambda_i} \circ \widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}) &\simeq (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_i), \rho_{\lambda_i} \circ \widehat{\text{Ad}}_{g_i} \circ \widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}) \\ &\simeq (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_i), \rho_{\lambda_i} \circ \widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F'_i} \circ \kappa_i) \\ &\simeq (\mathcal{H}_c(\tilde{\lambda}_i), \rho_{\tilde{\lambda}_i} \circ \kappa_i), \text{ by equation (4)} \\ &\simeq (\mathcal{H}_c(\tilde{\lambda}_i), \rho_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the operator (obtained from the above isomorphism $\tilde{I}_{g_i}$ identifying $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\gamma}_i)$ with $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \gamma_i)$ under $\widehat{\text{Ad}}_{F_i}$ as above):

$$\otimes \tilde{I}_{g_i} : \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_s) \simeq \mathcal{H}_c(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\tilde{\lambda}_s)$$

descends to the following isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_k)}{\mathfrak{g}[\tilde{\Sigma}^*]^{\tilde{\Gamma}} \cdot (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda_s))} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\phi}_i}(\tilde{\vec{p}}, \tilde{\vec{\lambda}}). \quad (10)$$

Combining the isomorphisms (9) and (10), we conclude the proof of this theorem. $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 3.3, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.4 *Let $\Gamma, \phi, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\Gamma}, (\Sigma, \vec{p})$ be as in the beginning of this Sect. 3.2. Assume that $\tilde{\Gamma} = (1)$. We further assume that $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ contains all the ramified points. Then, for any $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ attached to $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$ with $\lambda_i \in D_{c, \gamma_i}$,*

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) = N_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_s),$$

where $\tilde{g}$ is the genus of $\tilde{\Sigma} := \Sigma/\Gamma$, $\tilde{\lambda}_i \in D_c$ is attached to λ_i as in Sect. 3.2 and $N_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_s)$ is the dimension of the untwisted conformal blocks attached to a genus $\tilde{g}$ smooth irreducible curve and weights $(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_s)$ attached to any distinct points.

For an explicit expression of $N_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_s)$ see [22, Theorem 4.2.19] or Theorem 6.9.

In particular, the corollary applies for any non-simply laced $\mathfrak{g}$ (i.e., if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $B_\ell (\ell \geq 2)$, $C_\ell (\ell \geq 2)$, F_4 or G_2).

3.3 An application

We first recall the definition of stable s -pointed Γ -curves from [13, Definition 8.1] (a variant of [4, Definition 6.2.1]).

Definition 3.5 A s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ (cf. Section 2.2) is called *stable s -pointed Γ -curve* if Σ is connected, $\tilde{\Sigma} := \Sigma/\Gamma$ is a stable curve, i.e., it has at most nodal singularity and the automorphism group of $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \vec{p})$ is finite (cf. [22, Definition 2.1.1]), where $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}$ is the projection. Moreover, we require that for any node $q \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\dot{\sigma}) &= 1, & \text{if } \sigma \text{ fixes the two branches at } q \\ &= -1, & \text{if } \sigma \text{ exchanges the two branches at } q, \end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{\sigma}$ is the derivative of σ acting on the Zariski tangent space $T_q(\Sigma)$.

We consider a stable s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma, \vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_s))$ of genus g with marking data $\eta = ((\Gamma_1, \chi_1), (\Gamma_2, \chi_2), \dots, (\Gamma_s, \chi_s))$ (cf. [13, Definition 8.7]). By definition, Γ_i is the isotropy subgroup of Γ at p_i . We abbreviate (Γ_i, χ_i) by γ_i , where γ_i is the generator of Γ_i such that its action on the tangent space $T_{p_i}(\Sigma)$ is via $e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m_i}} \text{Id}$, where m_i is the order of Γ_i . Thus, the marking data η can be identified with the ramification types $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_s)$ at $\vec{p}$. We assume that $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ contains all the ramified points in Σ .

Remark 3.6 Under the assumption that $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ contains all the ramified points in Σ , at any nodal point $q \in \Sigma$, q being unramified and stable, $\det(\dot{\sigma}) = 1$, σ fixes the two branches for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_q$ and Γ_q is cyclic (cf. [4, Corollaire 4.3.3 and the comment after Definition 6.2.3]). In this case, any stable s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ is exactly an admissible s -pointed Γ -cover in the sense of Jarvis–Kaufmann–Kimura [18, Definition 2.1.2.2]. The only difference is that, in our definition, stable s -pointed Γ -curves are connected, and admissible s -pointed Γ -covers defined in [18] can be disconnected.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$ be the Hurwitz stack of stable s -pointed Γ -curves of genus g with marking data $\vec{\gamma}$, cf. [13, Sect. 8]. Then, $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$ is a proper and smooth Deligne–Mumford stack of finite type, cf. [13, Theorem 8.8]. We can attach the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{g,\Gamma,\phi}(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\lambda})$ of twisted covacua on $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$, where $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ with $\lambda_i \in D_{c,\gamma_i}$. When Γ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{g,\Gamma,\phi}(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\lambda})$ is locally free over $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$, cf. [13, Theorem 8.9]. When Γ is cyclic, $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$ is irreducible [13, Remark 8.11 (1)]. Thus, $\mathcal{V}_{g,\Gamma,\phi}(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\lambda})$ is locally free of constant rank for cyclic Γ . For general Γ , $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$ could be disconnected. Nevertheless, we have the following theorem, which is an application of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.7 *With the notation as in Sect. 3.2 and with same assumption as in Theorem 3.3, suppose that the quotient group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ is cyclic and Γ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{g,\Gamma,\phi}(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\lambda})$ on $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$ is locally free of constant rank. Observe that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is cyclic for any $\mathfrak{g}$ of type other than D_4 .*

Proof We freely follow the notation from Sect. 3.2. Given any s -pointed smooth Γ -curve $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ with ramification data $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$ at $\vec{p}$, taking the quotient of Σ by Γ_0 we get a smooth s -pointed $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -curve with ramification data $\vec{\tilde{\gamma}} = (\tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_s)$ at $\vec{\tilde{p}}$. Let $\tilde{g}$ be the genus of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. The Hurwitz stack of stable s -pointed $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -curves with marking data $\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}$ is irreducible, since by assumption $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is cyclic. By [13, Theorem 8.9], the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{\tilde{g},\tilde{\Gamma},\tilde{\phi}_i}(\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}, \vec{\tilde{\lambda}})$ of twisted covacua on $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{\tilde{g},\tilde{\Gamma},\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}}$ is locally free of constant rank, where $\tilde{\phi}_i$ is the group action of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\vec{\tilde{\lambda}}$ is the s -tuple of dominant weights attached to $\vec{\tilde{p}}$ as in Theorem 3.3. By Theorem 3.3, when $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ is a smooth s -pointed Γ -curve, we have

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma,\Gamma,\phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{\Sigma},\tilde{\Gamma},\tilde{\phi}_i}(\vec{\tilde{p}}, \vec{\tilde{\lambda}}).$$

This in particular implies that $\dim \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma,\Gamma,\phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda})$ is constant along the smooth s -pointed Γ -curves $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ in $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$. By [13, Theorem 8.9] again, the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{g,\Gamma,\phi}(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\lambda})$ is locally free. To conclude the theorem, it suffices to show that every component of $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g,\Gamma,\vec{\gamma}}$ must contain a smooth s -pointed Γ -curve. Indeed this is true, as any stable s -pointed Γ -curve with nodal points admits a smoothing deformation (cf. [13, Lemma 8.3 and Proof of Theorem 8.9]). $\square$

4 Reduction via degenerations

In this section, we are in the same setup as in Sect. 3.3 and we further assume that Γ is cyclic of order m .

Let $\bar{g}$ be the genus of $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma/\Gamma$. By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula when Σ is a smooth irreducible Γ -curve, the genus $\bar{g}$ satisfies the following equation (cf. [11, Corollary 2.4, Chap. IV]):

$$2g - 2 = |\Gamma|(2\bar{g} - 2) + \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{|\Gamma|}{|\Gamma_i|} (|\Gamma_i| - 1). \quad (11)$$

Lemma 4.1 *Let $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ be a stable s -pointed smooth Γ -curve. Then, the dimension of $\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda})$ only depends on $\phi, \bar{g}, \Gamma, \vec{\gamma} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}, \vec{\lambda}$ and the level c .*

Proof By Riemann–Hurwitz formula (11), g is determined by $\bar{g}, m$ and $\vec{\gamma}$. Observe that Γ being cyclic, Γ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus, the lemma follows from Theorem 3.7. $\square$

Set (for fixed ϕ and $c > 0$)

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}) = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}). \quad (12)$$

Lemma 4.2 *Let $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ be an irreducible s -pointed smooth Γ -curve with ramification data $\vec{\gamma}$ such that $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ contains all the ramified points in Σ . Assume that the quotient $\bar{\Sigma}$ has genus $\bar{g} \geq 1$ (in particular, $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ is stable Γ -curve). Then, $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ admits a degeneration to a stable s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma', \vec{p}')$ (in particular, Σ' is connected) such that the nodal points of Σ' form a single Γ -orbit $\Gamma \cdot y$ and the action of Γ on $\Gamma \cdot y$ is free. Moreover, $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}'$ contains all the ramified points of Σ' .*

If $\bar{g} \geq 2$ or if $\bar{g} = 1$ and $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}$ generate Γ , then Σ' can be taken to be irreducible. In any case, Σ'/Γ is irreducible and hence we can take $\vec{p}'$ to lie in an irreducible component of Σ' .

Proof Let $\vec{p}$ be the image of $\vec{p}$ in $\bar{\Sigma}$. Then, the fundamental group of $\bar{\Sigma} \setminus \vec{p}$ has the following presentation:

$$\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\bar{g}}, \beta_{\bar{g}}, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_s \mid [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cdots [\alpha_{\bar{g}}, \beta_{\bar{g}}] \eta_1 \cdots \eta_s = 1\},$$

where η_i represents the loop around the marked point $\vec{p}_i$, and α_j, β_j represent loops around each handle of $\bar{\Sigma}$. The Γ -curve Σ being irreducible gives rise to a *surjective* group homomorphism $f: \pi_1(\bar{\Sigma} \setminus \vec{p}) \rightarrow \Gamma$, where η_i is mapped to γ_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. In particular, we get $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_s = 1$ (since Γ is cyclic by assumption; in particular, abelian).

Let $(C', \vec{p}')$ be a stable degeneration of $(\bar{\Sigma}, \vec{p})$ with C' irreducible and with one single node $\bar{x}$ (which is possible since $\bar{g} \geq 1$). Let $\tilde{C}'$ be the normalization of C' with $\bar{x}^+, \bar{x}^-$ over $\bar{x}$. Then, $\tilde{C}'$ is smooth and irreducible with genus $\bar{g} - 1$. Let U be the complement

$$C' \setminus \{\bar{x}, \bar{p}'_1, \dots, \bar{p}'_s\} = \tilde{C}' \setminus \{\bar{x}^+, \bar{x}^-, \bar{p}'_1, \dots, \bar{p}'_s\}.$$

Then, the fundamental group of U has the following presentation:

$$\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\bar{g}-1}, \beta_{\bar{g}-1}, \alpha^+, \alpha^-, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_s \mid [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \cdots [\alpha_{\bar{g}-1}, \beta_{\bar{g}-1}] \cdot \alpha^+ \alpha^- \eta_1 \cdots \eta_s = 1\},$$

where η_i represents the loop around $\bar{p}'_i$, $\alpha^\pm$ represent the loops around $\bar{x}^\pm$, and α_j, β_j represent loops around each handle of $\tilde{C}'$. We now construct a group homomorphism $f': \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $f'(\eta_i) = \gamma_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq s$, $f'(\alpha^+) = f'(\alpha^-) = 1$, and $f'(\alpha_j) = \gamma, f'(\beta_j) = \gamma^{-1}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq \bar{g} - 1$, where γ is a generator of the cyclic group Γ . Since $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_s = 1$, f' is indeed a group homomorphism. The group homomorphism f' gives rise to a Γ -bundle $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ with $\tilde{U}$ a smooth (but not necessarily connected) curve. By taking the unique smooth projective closure $\Sigma_{f'} \supset \tilde{U}$, we get a smooth s -pointed Γ -cover $\pi: \Sigma_{f'} \rightarrow \tilde{C}'$ with marked points $\bar{p}'$, such that the ramification data at $\bar{p}'$ is $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$ and the ramification data above $\bar{x}^\pm$ is trivial. Let $y^\pm$ be a point above $\bar{x}^\pm$, chosen so that y^+ and y^- are in the same component of the curve $\Sigma_{f'}$. Thus, $\pi^{-1}(\bar{x}^+) = \{\gamma^i \cdot y^+ \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}$ and $\pi^{-1}(\bar{x}^-) = \{\gamma^i \cdot y^- \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}$ are free Γ -orbits. By identifying $\gamma^i \cdot y^+$ and $\gamma^{i+1} \cdot y^-$, for each $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, we get a stable (in particular, connected) s -pointed Γ -curve Σ' from $\Sigma_{f'}$ whose quotient by Γ is exactly C' . Then, $(\Sigma', \bar{p}')$ is the desired stable s -pointed Γ -curve with nodal points $\pi^{-1}(\bar{x}^+)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 *Let $(\Sigma, \bar{p})$ be a stable s -pointed (irreducible) smooth Γ -cover of $(\mathbb{P}^1, \bar{p})$ (in particular, $s \geq 3$) such that $\Gamma \cdot \bar{p}$ contains all the ramified points in Σ and has ramification data $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$. Suppose that $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_t = 1$ for some t with both $t, s-t \geq 2$. Then, the Γ -cover $\Sigma \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma} = \mathbb{P}^1$ degenerates to a stable s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma', \bar{p}')$ whose quotient is a union of two projective lines intersecting at a point x , such that above one projective line the ramification data is $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t)$, and above another projective line the ramification data is $(\gamma_{t+1}, \dots, \gamma_s)$. Moreover, the fiber over x is a free Γ -orbit consisting of all the nodal points of Σ' . Further, $\Gamma \cdot \bar{p}'$ contains all the ramified points of Σ' .*

If $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t\}$ generate Γ , then the curve over the first projective line can be taken to be irreducible.

Proof The fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \dots, \bar{p}_s\}$ has a presentation:

$$\langle \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_s \mid \eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_s = 1 \rangle,$$

where η_i are loops around $\bar{p}_i$.

The irreducible Γ -cover $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ gives rise to a surjective group homomorphism $f: \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \bar{p}) \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $f(\eta_i) = \gamma_i$. Let U_1 be $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_t\}$ and let U_2 be $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\bar{p}_{t+1}, \dots, \bar{p}_s\}$. For each $k = 1, 2$, we construct a group homomorphism $f_k: \pi_1(U_k) \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that

$$f_1(\eta_i) = \gamma_i, \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq t, \quad f_2(\eta_j) = \gamma_j, \text{ for any } t+1 \leq j \leq s.$$

Observe that f_1 and f_2 are group homomorphisms, since by assumption $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_t = 1$. For each $k = 1, 2$, let $(\Sigma_{f_k}, \bar{p}_k)$ be the unique smooth Γ -cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ associated to f_k (Σ_{f_k} could be disconnected), such that $\bar{p}_k$ has the ramification data $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t)$ and $(\gamma_{t+1}, \dots, \gamma_s)$ for $k = 1, 2$ respectively. Fix any (unramified) Γ -orbits $\Gamma \cdot x_1 \subset \Sigma_{f_1}$ and $\Gamma \cdot x_2 \in \Sigma_{f_2}$ over points in U_1 and U_2 respectively. We glue Σ_{f_1} and Σ_{f_2} along any Γ -equivariant map between $\Gamma \cdot x_1$ and $\Gamma \cdot x_2$. Since f is surjective, we get a connected s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma', \bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2)$ whose quotient is a union of two projective lines intersecting at a point x , with marked points $(\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_t, \bar{p}_{t+1}, \dots, \bar{p}_s)$. This s -pointed Γ -curve has the desired properties. $\square$

Let $\Gamma, \phi, \Sigma, \vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_s), \vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$ and $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ be as in Sect. 2.2. Assume further that Σ is smooth and it has an irreducible component Σ^o such that each p_i belongs to Σ^o . Moreover, $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma/\Gamma$ is irreducible. Let Γ^o be the subgroup of Γ stabilizing

Σ^o . Then, $\Gamma^o, \phi^o := \phi|_{\Gamma^o}, \Sigma^o, \vec{p}, \vec{\gamma}$ and $\vec{\lambda}$ also satisfy the assumptions of Sect. 2.2 (observe that $\Gamma_{p_i} = \Gamma_{p_i}^o$).

We have the following reduction lemma.

Lemma 4.4 *With the assumption as above, we have:*

1. *There exists an isomorphism $\beta : \Gamma \times_{\Gamma^o} \Sigma^o \simeq \Sigma$ of Γ -curves given by $[\gamma, x] \mapsto \gamma \cdot x$.*
2. *There exists an isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma^o, \Gamma^o, \phi^o}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda})$. In particular,*

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma^o, \Gamma^o, \phi^o}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}).$$

Proof For part (1), clearly the map β is surjective (since $\bar{\Sigma}$ is irreducible) and Γ -equivariant. The injectivity follows from the definition of Γ^o since, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \Sigma^o \cap \Sigma^o \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\gamma \in \Gamma^o$ (this uses the smoothness of Σ).

For part (2), it suffices to check that the restriction map

$$\text{Res} : \mathfrak{g}[\Sigma \setminus \Gamma \cdot \vec{p}]^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[\Sigma^o \setminus \Gamma^o \cdot \vec{p}]^{\Gamma^o}$$

is an isomorphism: For any Γ -equivariant map $X : \Sigma \setminus \Gamma \cdot \vec{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, if X vanishes on the component $\Sigma^o \setminus \Gamma^o \cdot \vec{p}$, then, by Γ -equivariance, X vanishes everywhere. Thus, the restriction map Res is injective.

For any Γ^o -equivariant map $Y : \Sigma^o \setminus \Gamma^o \cdot \vec{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, construct an extension $\tilde{Y} : \Sigma \setminus \Gamma \cdot \vec{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by $\tilde{Y}(q) = \phi_{\gamma^{-1}}(Y(\gamma \cdot q))$ for any γ such that $\gamma \cdot q \in \Sigma^o \setminus \Gamma^o \cdot \vec{p}$, where $\phi_{\gamma^{-1}}$ is the automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to γ^{-1} . One can check easily that $\tilde{Y}$ is a well-defined Γ -equivariant regular map. Thus, the restriction map Res is an isomorphism. $\square$

Notation 4.5 1. Let $N_g(\vec{\lambda})$ denote the dimension of the space of (untwisted) conformal blocks attached to an irreducible smooth projective curve C of genus g and $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ at s -points in C with $\lambda_i \in D_C$.

2. For an integer $m \geq 1$, let $\Gamma_m = \langle \gamma \rangle$ (cyclic group of order m) act on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\gamma \cdot z = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z$ for $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\phi : \Gamma_m \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$. Let (λ, μ, ν) be a set of dominant weights, such that $\lambda \in D_{C, \gamma}, \mu \in D_{C, \gamma^{-1}}$ and $\nu \in D_C$ attached to the points $(0, \infty, 1)$ respectively. We denote by $N_{\phi}(\gamma; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ the dimension of the twisted conformal blocks attached to this data.

It is well-known that $N_g(\vec{\lambda})$ can be computed by the usual Verlinde formula (cf. [22, Theorem 4.2.19]). By the reduction theorem in Theorem 3.3, the computation of $N_{\phi}(\gamma; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ can be reduced to the case when γ acts via a diagram automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. In fact, by the same reason it suffices to assume that γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ via a standard automorphism in the sense of Sect. 5.1. In Sect. 6, we will prove a Verlinde type formula for $N_{\phi}(\gamma; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ when γ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ via a standard automorphism.

Lemma 4.6 *Let Γ be a cyclic group of order $m \geq 2$. Then, any irreducible smooth Γ -cover Σ of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with two branched points in $\mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $z \mapsto z^m$ and the action of Γ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is generated by $z \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z$.*

Proof Let g be the genus of Σ . Let $\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2$ be the branched points in $\mathbb{P}^1$ with ramification indices e_1 and e_2 . By Riemann–Hurwitz formula (cf. the identity (11)),

$$2g - 2 = -2m + \frac{m}{e_1}(e_1 - 1) + \frac{m}{e_2}(e_2 - 1) = -\frac{m}{e_1} - \frac{m}{e_2} \leq -2.$$

Thus, $g = 0$, and $e_1 = e_2 = m$. It is easy to see that such a Γ -cover Σ over $\mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $z \mapsto z^m$. $\square$

The following theorem reduces the problem of calculating the dimension of twisted conormal blocks to that of the classical Verlinde numbers together with N_ϕ as in Notation 4.5

Theorem 4.7 *Let $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ be an irreducible smooth s -pointed Γ -curve, where $s \geq 1$ if $\bar{g} \geq 1$ and $s \geq 3$ if $\bar{g} = 0$ (so that $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ is a stable s -pointed Γ -curve) and Γ is any finite cyclic group. Assume that $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ contains all the ramified points, and that we can write $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$ so that $p_1, \dots, p_{2a}$ are ramified (for some $a \geq 0$) and $p_{2a+1}, \dots, p_s$ are unramified. Assume that $\gamma_{2k-1}\gamma_{2k} = 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq a$. Let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2a})$ be attached to $(p_1, \dots, p_{2a})$ with each $\lambda_i \in D_{c, \gamma_i}$, and $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_b)$ attached to $(p_{2a+1}, \dots, p_s)$ with each $\mu_j \in D_c$, where $s = 2a + b$. Then, we have the following formula:*

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) = \sum_{\vec{v}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a N_\phi(\gamma_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, v_k) \right) \cdot N_{\bar{g}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{v}^*),$$

where the summation is over $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_a)$ with $v_i \in D_c$. Here, $N_\phi(\gamma_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, v_k)$ and $N_{\bar{g}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{v}^*)$ are defined in Notation 4.5.

Proof We prove the theorem by reducing the problem for $\bar{g}$ to that of $\bar{g} - 1$. So, assume that $\bar{g} \geq 1$.

By Lemma 4.2, there exists a stable s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma', \vec{p}')$ in $\overline{\mathcal{HM}}_{g, \Gamma, \vec{\gamma}}$ (in particular, Σ' is connected) and Σ' has a Γ -free single Γ -orbit of nodal points. Moreover, $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}'$ contains all the ramified points of Σ' . Further, by part (1) of Lemma 4.4, the normalization of Σ' at nodal points is isomorphic to $\Gamma \times_{\Gamma^1} \Sigma^1$, where Σ^1 is an irreducible smooth projective Γ^1 -curve for some subgroup Γ^1 of Γ . Applying the Factorization Theorem [13, Theorem 5.4] and part (2) of Lemma 4.4, we get:

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) = \sum_{\eta_1 \in D_c} N_{\bar{g}-1, \Gamma^1}(\vec{\gamma}, 1, 1; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}, \eta_1, \eta_1^*). \quad (13)$$

Thus, inducting on $\bar{g}$ and keep using the Factorization Theorem, Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4, we get

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) = \sum_{\vec{\eta} \in (D_c)^{\bar{g}}} N_{0, \Gamma'}(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{1}_{2\bar{g}}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*), \quad (14)$$

for some subgroup Γ' of Γ , where $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{g}}) \in (D_c)^{\bar{g}}$ and $\vec{\eta}^* := (\eta_1^*, \dots, \eta_{\bar{g}}^*) \in (D_c)^{\bar{g}}$. We emphasize here that $N_{0, \Gamma'}(\cdot)$ denotes the dimension of the space of twisted conormal blocks attached to an irreducible smooth projective Γ' -cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Similarly, keep using the Factorization Theorem, Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 for the pair (p_{2k-1}, p_{2k}) of points with $1 \leq k \leq a$, we get [using the Eq. (14)]:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) \\ = \sum_{\vec{v}, \vec{\eta}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a N_{0, \Gamma_k}(\gamma_{2k-1}, \gamma_{2k}, 1; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, v_k) \right) \cdot N_{0, \Gamma''}(\vec{1}_{2\bar{g}+b+a}; \vec{\mu}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, \vec{v}^*), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for some subgroups Γ'' and Γ_k of Γ for each $1 \leq k \leq a$, where the summation is over $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_a)$ and $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{\bar{g}})$ with $v_i, \eta_j \in D_c$.

Note that any étale Γ'' -cover Σ'' over $\mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \Gamma''$. Since Σ'' is irreducible, it follows that $\Gamma'' = 1$. Then, by Notation 4.5, we have

$$N_{0, \Gamma''}(\vec{1}; \vec{\mu}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, \vec{v}^*) = N_0(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, \vec{v}^*).$$

By Lemma 4.6, any irreducible smooth Γ_k -cover over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with ramification data $(\gamma_{2k-1}, \gamma_{2k})$ is isomorphic to a standard Γ_k -action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ as in Lemma 4.6.

Then, by Notation 4.5, for each k we have

$$N_{0, \Gamma_k}(\gamma_{2k-1}, \gamma_{2k}, 1; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, \nu_k) = N_\phi(\gamma_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, \nu_k).$$

Thus, from (15) we get

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) = \sum_{\vec{v}, \vec{\eta}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a N_\phi(\gamma_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, \nu_k) \right) \cdot N_0(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, \vec{v}^*) \quad (16)$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{v}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a N_\phi(\gamma_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, \nu_k) \right) \cdot \sum_{\vec{\eta}} N_0(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, \vec{v}^*) \quad (17)$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{v}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a N_\phi(\gamma_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, \nu_k) \right) \cdot N_{\bar{g}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{v}^*), \quad (18)$$

where the last equality follows from factorization of fusion rules for conformal blocks in untwisted setting, cf. [22, Corollary 3.5.10 (a)]. This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 4.8 Assume that $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ be a stable smooth s -pointed Γ -curve such that $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ contains all the ramified points in Σ . By Riemann–Hurwitz formula (11), there are even number of ramified points in Σ . Thus, up to ordering we can always write

$$\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_{2a}, p_{2a+1}, \dots, p_s),$$

so that $p_1, \dots, p_{2a}$ are ramified and $(p_{2a+1}, \dots, p_s)$ are unramified. Then, by Theorem 4.7, the dimension of the space of twisted conformal blocks attached to any ramification data can be reduced to compute $N_\phi(\gamma; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ and $N_{\bar{g}}(\vec{\lambda})$.

Remark 4.9 Assume that $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. We have an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{P}^1$ as a Γ -cover. The ramification type of E is (γ, γ, γ) , where γ is a generator of Γ . In this case, Theorem 4.7 is not applicable since $\gamma^2 \neq 1$.

5 Kac–Walton formula for twisted conformal blocks

5.1 Standard automorphisms

An automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called **special** if σ is a diagram automorphism (which includes the identity automorphism), or an order 4 automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} , which is defined as follows. Let $e_i, f_i, h_i, i = 1, \dots, 2n$, be the set of Chevalley generators. The automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined such that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(e_i) = e_{\tau(i)}, & \text{if } i \neq n, n+1; \\ \sigma(e_i) = \sqrt{-1}e_{\tau(i)}, & \text{if } i \in \{n, n+1\}; \\ \sigma(f_\theta) = f_\theta, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where θ is the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$ and τ is the nontrivial diagram automorphism. In fact, we can write

$$\sigma = \tau \sqrt{-1}^{\text{adh}}, \quad (20)$$

where $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ is such that $\alpha_i(h) = 0$ if $i \neq n, n+1$ and $\alpha_i(h) = 1$ if $i = n, n+1$.

We call σ to be a *standard special* automorphism (or simply a *standard* automorphism) if σ is the identity automorphism or a nontrivial diagram automorphism when $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n} or σ is the order 4 special automorphism as above. (Observe that for a standard automorphism, $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ is the same as $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ as defined in [19].) So, the only difference between special and standard automorphism is that we exclude the nontrivial diagram automorphism of A_{2n} .

The following table describes the fixed point Lie algebra for all the nontrivial special automorphisms, cf. [3, Sect. 2.1]:

$(\mathfrak{g}, m)$	$(A_{2n-1}, 2)$	$(A_{2n}, 4)$	$(A_{2n}, 2)$	$(D_{n+1}, 2)$	$(D_4, 3)$	$(E_6, 2)$
$\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$	C_n	C_n	B_n	B_n	G_2	F_4

(21)

where by convention C_1 and B_1 are A_1 and $n \geq 3$ for D_{n+1} .

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type A_{2n} and let σ be the standard nontrivial automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, the bijection $D_{c,\sigma} \simeq D_{c,\tau}$ in (4) is given by $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i^C \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \lambda_i^B + (2a_n + c) \lambda_n^B$, where $\{\lambda_i^C \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, and $\{\lambda_i^B \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$. (We follow the labelings in [19, Table Fin, p. 53].)*

Proof Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}$ be the set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\check{\alpha}_1, \dots, \check{\alpha}_{2n}$ be the set of simple coroots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, $\alpha_1|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}, 2\alpha_n|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}$ form a set of simple roots for $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, cf. [3, Sect. 2.1], and $\{\check{\alpha}_i + \check{\alpha}_{2n+1-i} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of simple coroots of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$. On the other hand, $\{\alpha_1|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}, \alpha_n|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}\}$ form a set of simple roots for $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$, and $\{\check{\alpha}_1 + \check{\alpha}_{2n}, \dots, \check{\alpha}_{n-1} + \check{\alpha}_{n+2}, 2(\check{\alpha}_n + \check{\alpha}_{n+1})\}$ form the set of simple coroots of $\mathfrak{g}^\tau$. The lemma now easily follows from [13, Formula (6)]. $\square$

5.2 Affine Weyl group of twisted affine Lie algebras

Let σ be a standard nontrivial automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ be the Lie algebra $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma) \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, where

$$[d, x[t^k]] = kx[t^k], \quad [d, C] = 0, \quad \text{for any } x[t^k] \in \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma).$$

Then, $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ is a Kac–Moody Lie algebra of twisted type with canonical center C and the scaling element d , and the fixed subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ is the “standard” finite part of $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ in the sense of [19, Sect. 6.3]. This is obvious when $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n} . When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} , using the formula (20) this can be seen from [19, Theorem 8.7] or [13, Sect. 2].

Set $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} := \mathfrak{h}^\sigma \oplus \mathbb{C}C \oplus \mathbb{C}d$. Then, the dual $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^* = (\mathfrak{h}^\sigma)^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta \oplus \mathbb{C}\Lambda_0$, where δ and Λ_0 are defined as follows

$$\delta|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma} = 0, \quad (\delta, C) = 0, \quad (\delta, d) = a_0, \quad \Lambda_0|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma} = 0, \quad (\Lambda_0, C) = 1, \quad (\Lambda_0, d) = 0,$$

where

$$a_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\mathfrak{g}, m) \neq (A_{2n}, 4) \\ 2 & \text{if } (\mathfrak{g}, m) = (A_{2n}, 4). \end{cases}$$

Note that Λ_0 is a fundamental dominant weight of $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ of level one.

Let $W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)}$ denote the Weyl group of $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$. Let Q_σ (resp. P_σ) be the root (resp. weight) lattice of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$. Set

$$M = \begin{cases} Q_\sigma & \text{if } (\mathfrak{g}, m) \neq (A_{2n}, 4) \\ \frac{1}{2}Q_{\sigma,l} & \text{if } (\mathfrak{g}, m) = (A_{2n}, 4), \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where $Q_{\sigma,l}$ is the lattice spanned by the long roots. Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, the fixed subgroup W^σ can be identified with the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$. Let $W_{\sigma,c}$ denote the affine Weyl group $W^\sigma \ltimes cM$.

Set

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}^* := P_\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^* := P_\sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}\Lambda_0 + \mathbb{R}\delta.$$

Note that $W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)}$ keeps δ invariant (cf. [19, Sect. 6.5]). Hence, $W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)}$ acts on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^*$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^* := \{x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid (x, C) = c\} / \mathbb{R}\delta.$$

With respect to the isomorphism $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}^* \simeq \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^*$ given by $\lambda \mapsto c\Lambda_0 + \lambda$, we have the following lemma (cf. [19, Proposition 6.5, Sect. 6.5] or [15, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 5.2 *There exists an isomorphism $\text{af} : W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)} \simeq W_{\sigma,c}$ of groups such that for any $\Lambda = c\Lambda_0 + \lambda \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^*$ with $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}^*$ and $w \in W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)}$, the following formula holds,*

$$w \cdot \Lambda = c\Lambda_0 + \text{af}(w) \cdot \lambda \text{ in } \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^*.$$

Let $\hat{\rho}$ be the sum of all the fundamental weights of $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$. By [19, Identity 6.2.8],

$$\hat{\rho} = \rho_\sigma + \check{h}\Lambda_0, \quad (23)$$

where ρ_σ is the sum of all the fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, and $\check{h}$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$, cf. [19, Sect. 6.1]. Observe that $\check{h}$ is the same as the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$ (cf. [19, Remark 6.1]).

We define $\star$ action of $W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)}$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^*$ as follows:

$$w\star\Lambda = w \cdot (\Lambda + \hat{\rho}) - \hat{\rho}, \quad w \in W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)}, \Lambda \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^*.$$

Similarly, we still denote by $\star$ the following action of $W_{\sigma,c}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}^*$:

$$w\star\lambda = w \cdot (\lambda + \rho_\sigma) - \rho_\sigma, \quad w \in W_{\sigma,c}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}^*. \quad (24)$$

Lemma 5.3 *Given $\Lambda = c\Lambda_0 + \lambda \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R},c}^*$ and $w \in W_{\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)}$, we have*

$$w\star\Lambda = \lambda + \text{af}_{\check{h}}(w)\star\lambda, \quad \text{where } \text{af}_{\check{h}}(w) \text{ is taken in } W_{\sigma,c+\check{h}}.$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 5.2 and the formula $\hat{\rho} = \rho_\sigma + \check{h}\Lambda_0$ as in (23). $\square$

Set

$$\theta_\sigma = \begin{cases} \text{highest short root of } \mathfrak{g}^\sigma, & (\mathfrak{g}, m) \neq (A_{2n}, 4) \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{ highest root of } \mathfrak{g}^\sigma, & (\mathfrak{g}, m) = (A_{2n}, 4) \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\check{\theta}_\sigma = \begin{cases} \text{highest coroot of } \mathfrak{g}^\sigma, & (\mathfrak{g}, m) \neq (A_{2n}, 4) \\ 2 \cdot \text{highest short coroot of } \mathfrak{g}^\sigma, & (\mathfrak{g}, m) = (A_{2n}, 4). \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ denote the normalized bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^\sigma$ (which is the restriction of the normalized invariant form on $\mathfrak{h}$). Let $\nu : \mathfrak{h}^\sigma \simeq (\mathfrak{h}^\sigma)^*$ be the induced isomorphism. Then, $\nu(\check{\theta}_\sigma) = \frac{1}{a_0}\theta_\sigma$, cf. [19, Sect. 6.4].

When σ is standard and nontrivial, using formula (20) (for the case $(\mathfrak{g}, m) = (A_{2n}, 4)$) combined with [13, Sect. 2] for the diagram automorphisms, we get:

$$D_{c,\sigma} = \{\lambda \in P_{\sigma}^+ \mid (\lambda, \check{\theta}_{\sigma}) \leq c\}, \quad (27)$$

where P_{σ}^+ is the set of dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$.

Let $W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^{\dagger}$ denote the set of minimal representatives of the right cosets of W^{σ} in $W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}$. Then, for any $w_1 \in W^{\sigma}$ and $w_2 \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^{\dagger}$, we have $\ell(w_1 w_2) = \ell(w_1) + \ell(w_2)$. For any $w \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^{\dagger}$ and $\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}$, one has $w\star\lambda \in P_{\sigma}^+$, and $w\star\lambda \neq w'\star\lambda$ for any two distinct $w, w' \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^{\dagger}$ (cf. [20, Remark 1.3]). Since $(\rho_{\sigma}, \check{\theta}_{\sigma}) = \check{h} - 1$, $D_{c,\sigma}$ can be identified with the interior integral points in the fundamental alcove of $W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}$ with respect to the $\star$ action.

5.3 Analogue of Kac–Walton formula

Let $\Gamma = \langle \sigma \rangle$ of order m act on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\sigma(z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z$ (for $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$), and σ acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ via a standard automorphism of order m . For any $z \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and any finite dimensional representation V of $\mathfrak{g}^{\Gamma_z}$, where Γ_z is the stabilizer subgroup of Γ at z , we denote by V_z the representation of $\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]^{\sigma}$ via the evaluation map $\text{ev}_z: \mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]^{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\Gamma_z}$ by letting $t = z$. Recall that for any $\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}$, we have an integrable highest weight representation $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda)$ of $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ of level c and highest weight λ . Let $H_i((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^{\sigma}, \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)_1)$ denote the i -th homology of $(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^{\sigma}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)_1$.

Proposition 5.4 *For any $\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}$ and $\mu \in P^+$ (where P^+ is the set of dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{g}$), the homology groups $H_*((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^{\sigma}, \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)_1)$ can be computed as the homology groups of a complex of $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$ -representations,*

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{\delta_p} \cdots F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} 0,$$

where as representations of $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$,

$$F_p \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^{\dagger}, \ell(w)=p} V(w\star\lambda) \otimes (V(\mu)|_{\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}}), \quad (28)$$

$V(w\star\lambda)$ is the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$ with highest weight $w\star\lambda$ and $V(\mu)|_{\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}}$ denotes the irreducible representation $V(\mu)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ considered as a representation of $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma}$ via restriction.

Proof Recall the generalized BGG resolution for Kac–Moody algebras from [21, Definition 9.2.17]. By Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, we can express the generalized BGG resolution of $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda)$ as follows:

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_p \xrightarrow{\delta_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_1} M_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda),$$

where

$$M_p := \bigoplus_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^{\dagger}, \ell(w)=p} \hat{M}(w\star\lambda), \quad (29)$$

and $\hat{M}(w\star\lambda)$ is the generalized Verma module $U(\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}[t]^{\sigma} \oplus \mathbb{C}C)} V(w\star\lambda)$, with C acting on $V(w\star\lambda)$ by the scalar c and $\mathfrak{g}[t]^{\sigma}$ acting via the evaluation at $t = 0$.

By tensoring with $V(\mu)_1$, we get a resolution of $\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)_1$. As $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ -modules, we have the coinvariant

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{M}(w\star\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)_1)_{(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma} &\simeq ((U((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V(w\star\lambda)) \otimes V(\mu)_1)_{(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma} \\ &\simeq (V(w\star\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma)_{(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma}, \\ &\quad \text{by the Hopf Principle [21, Proposition 3.1.10]} \\ &\simeq V(w\star\lambda) \otimes V(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the complex $(M_\bullet \otimes V(\mu)_1)_{(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma}$ is isomorphic to

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_p \xrightarrow{\delta_p} \cdots F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} 0,$$

where F_p is given in (28). Thus, the proposition follows. $\square$

Consider the automorphism σ of $\mathbb{P}^1$ given by $\sigma(z) = \xi z$ for $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\xi = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$. With respect to the Galois cover $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $z \mapsto z^m$, the ramification type at $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is σ and the ramification type at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is σ^{-1} . For twisted conformal blocks associated to the Galois cover π and an automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ of order m , we attach a dominant weight in $D_{c,\sigma}$ at $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and a dominant weight in $D_{c,\sigma^{-1}}$ at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5 *For any standard nontrivial automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $D_{c,\sigma} = D_{c,\sigma^{-1}}$. Moreover, for any $\mu \in D_{c,\sigma}$, $\mu = \mu^*$ where μ^* is the dominant weight corresponding to the dual representation $V(\mu)^*$ of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$.*

Proof By [13, Lemma 5.3 (2)], $\mu \in D_{c,\sigma}$ if and only if $\mu^* \in D_{c,\sigma^{-1}}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ is non simply-laced or A_1 , $\lambda^* = -w_0^\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda$ for any weight λ of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, where w_0^σ is the longest element of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$. $\square$

Thus, we can use $D_{c,\sigma}$ for the common set of dominant weights of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ to attach to 0 as well as $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

Similar to Teleman's vanishing theorem [26, Theorem 0], we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.6 *Let σ be a standard automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then, for any $\lambda, \mu \in D_{c,\sigma}$, $\nu \in D_c$, and for any $i \geq 1$, the representation $V(\mu)^*$ does not occur in $H_i((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma, \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(\nu)_1)$ as a $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ -representation.*

This conjecture has been confirmed in [14, Corollary 3.20] under strong constraints. In particular, when the level c is sufficiently large comparing to λ, μ and ν , this conjecture always holds.

We are now ready to deduce the following analogue of Kac–Walton formula for twisted conformal blocks.

Theorem 5.7 *Take any standard automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ of order m and the Galois cover $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $z \mapsto z^m$. Let $\vec{p} = (0, \infty, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$, and $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ with $\lambda, \mu \in D_{c,\sigma}$ and $\nu \in D_c$. Suppose that Conjecture 5.6 holds, then*

$$\dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{P}^1, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) = \sum_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\hbar}^\dagger} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \dim \left((V(w\star\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \otimes V(\nu))^{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma} \right), \quad (30)$$

where Γ is the cyclic group of order m generated by σ and $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ is the one generated by σ .

Proof By the Propagation Theorem for twisted conformal blocks (cf. [13, Theorem 4.3]), we have the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{P}^1, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}) &\simeq (\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu)_\infty \otimes V(v)_1)_{\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]^\sigma} \\ &\simeq ((\mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(v)_1)_{(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma} \otimes V(\mu))_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}, \\ &\quad \text{since } (t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma \text{ acts trivially on } V(\mu)_\infty \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}(V(\mu^*), H_0((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma, \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(v)_1)).\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}(V(\mu^*), H_0((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma, \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(v)_1))) \\ = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}(V(\mu^*), H_i((t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])^\sigma, \mathcal{H}_c(\lambda) \otimes V(v)_1))) \\ = \sum_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^+} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \dim(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}(V(\mu^*), V(w\star\lambda) \otimes V(v))) \\ = \sum_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^+} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \dim((V(\mu) \otimes V(w\star\lambda) \otimes V(v))^{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}),\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

where the first equality follows from Conjecture 5.6, and the second equality follows from Proposition 5.4. Combining the isomorphism (31) and the identity (32), we get the theorem. $\square$

6 Verlinde formula for twisted conformal blocks

6.1 Verlinde formula for basic cases

In this section, we assume that σ is a standard nontrivial automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall the lattice M introduced in (22). Then, M is the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is not A_{2n} , and by [15, Lemma 2.3], M is the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_{2n} . Let G be the connected and simply-connected (simple) algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let T be the maximal torus with Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ as its Lie algebra.

Lemma 6.1 *The fixed group G^σ is connected and simply-connected.*

Proof When G is not of type A_{2n} , σ is a diagram automorphism. In this case, the lemma is well-known. For the connectedness see [25, Theorem 8.1], and the simply-connectedness follows from [24, Sect. 10.3]. We now assume that G is of type A_{2n} . Let τ be the diagram automorphism part of σ . Then, $T^\sigma = T^\tau$. It is known that T^τ is connected. Thus, G^σ is connected of type C_n , see Table (21). Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}\}$ be the set of simple roots of A_{2n} with the standard labelling and let $\{\check{\alpha}_1, \dots, \check{\alpha}_{2n}\}$ be the set of corresponding simple coroots of G . Then, $\alpha_1|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}, 2\alpha_n|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}$ form a set of simple roots for G^σ (cf. [3, Sect. 2.1]) and $\{\check{\alpha}_i + \check{\alpha}_{2n+1-i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ form the set of simple coroots. Using simple coroots, we can introduce a coordinate system of T , $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2n} \simeq T$. Then, it is easy to verify that $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T^\sigma$ given by $a \mapsto \check{\alpha}_i(a)\check{\alpha}_{2n+1-i}(a)$ is a simple coroot of G^σ , for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T^\sigma)$ is the lattice of coroots. It follows that G^σ is simply-connected. $\square$

With this lemma, we may regard the lattice M as a sub-lattice in the weight lattice $X^*(T^\sigma)$. We now define

$$T_c^\sigma := \{t \in T^\sigma \mid e^\lambda(t) = 1, \forall \lambda \in (c + \check{h})M\}. \quad (33)$$

Let $T_c^{\sigma, reg}$ denote the set of regular elements in T_c^σ , i.e., those elements with trivial W^σ -stabilizer. Let $R_c^\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the fusion ring associated to the twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$, which is defined in [16]. For any regular function f on T^σ or T , we will denote by $\tilde{f}$ the restriction of f to $T_c^{\sigma, reg}$. In [16], the ring $R_c^\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is realized as the function space $\mathbb{C}[T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma] = \mathbb{C}[T_c^{\sigma, reg}]^{W^\sigma}$ (with the ring structure coming from the product of functions), with a basis $\{\tilde{\chi}_\lambda \mid \lambda \in D_{c, \sigma}\}$. (We describe $\tilde{\chi}_\lambda$ explicitly after Remark 6.3.) The following theorem is proved in [15, 16].

Theorem 6.2 *For any $\lambda, \mu \in D_{c, \sigma}$, we have*

$$\tilde{\chi}_\lambda \cdot \tilde{\chi}_\mu = \sum_{\eta \in D_{c, \sigma}} c_{\lambda, \mu}^\eta \tilde{\chi}_\eta,$$

where $c_{\lambda, \mu}^\eta$ is given by

$$c_{\lambda, \mu}^\eta = \frac{1}{|T_c^\sigma|} \sum_{t \in T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma} \tilde{\chi}_\lambda(t) \tilde{\chi}_\mu(t) \tilde{\chi}_{\eta^*}(t) \Delta_\sigma(t). \quad (34)$$

Here Δ_σ is given by

$$\Delta_\sigma := \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_\sigma} (e^\alpha - 1),$$

where Φ_σ is the set of all the roots of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$.

Remark 6.3 Given a simply-laced simple Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with a diagram automorphism $\dot{\tau}$ of order $\dot{r} > 1$, a fusion ring $R_c(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \dot{\tau})$ is defined in [15] for the purpose of deducing a formula for the trace of $\dot{\tau}$ on the space of untwisted conformal blocks associated to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. In fact, there is an isomorphism of rings $R_c^\sigma(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq R_c(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \dot{\tau})$, with a correspondence between $(\mathfrak{g}, m)$ and $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \dot{r})$ as follows (cf. [16, Sect. 3.1]):

$(\mathfrak{g}, m)$	$(A_{2n-1}, 2)$	$(A_{2n}, 4)$	$(D_{n+1}, 2)$	$(D_4, 3)$	$(E_6, 2)$
$(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \dot{r})$	$(D_{n+1}, 2)$	$(A_{2n}, 2)$	$(A_{2n-1}, 2)$	$(D_4, 3)$	$(E_6, 2)$

(35)

Moreover, Theorem 6.2 is equivalent to the formula for the trace of $\dot{\tau}$ on the space of conformal blocks associated to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

As mentioned above, $R_c^\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ can be identified with the ring $\mathbb{C}[T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma]$. Thus, there is a natural ring homomorphism $\pi: R(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma) \rightarrow R_c^\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $[V_\lambda] \mapsto \tilde{\chi}_\lambda$, where $R(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma)$ is the representation ring of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, χ_λ is the character of $V(\lambda)$ as a function on T^σ and $\tilde{\chi}_\lambda$ is the restriction of χ_λ to $T_c^{\sigma, reg}$ (which descends to a function on $T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma$). This allows us to define $\tilde{\chi}_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in P_\sigma^+$.

Lemma 6.4 *For any $\lambda \in D_{c, \sigma}$ and $w \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^\dagger$, we have*

$$\tilde{\chi}_{w \star \lambda} = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \tilde{\chi}_\lambda.$$

Moreover, by [15, Corollary 5.17], for any $\eta \in P_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^+ \setminus (W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^\dagger \star D_{c, \sigma})$, $\pi(V_\eta) = 0$.

Proof Write $w = z\tau_\eta$, where $z \in W^\sigma$ and τ_η is the translation by $\eta \in (c + \check{h})M$. By Weyl character formula,

$$\delta_\sigma \cdot \chi_{w\star\lambda} = \sum_{y \in W^\sigma} (-1)^{\ell(y)} e^{y(w\star\lambda + \rho_\sigma)} = \sum_{y \in W^\sigma} (-1)^{\ell(y)} e^{y(z(\lambda + \rho_\sigma + \eta))}, \quad (36)$$

where δ_σ is the Weyl denominator of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ given by:

$$\delta_\sigma := e^{\rho_\sigma} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_\sigma^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})$$

(Φ_σ^+ being the set of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$).

For any $t \in T_c^{\sigma, reg}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\sigma(t) \cdot \chi_{w\star\lambda}(t) &= \sum_{y \in W^\sigma} (-1)^{\ell(y)} e^{y(z(\lambda + \rho_\sigma))}(t) = (-1)^{\ell(z)} \sum_{y \in W^\sigma} (-1)^{\ell(y)} e^{y(\lambda + \rho_\sigma)}(t) \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(w)} \sum_{y \in W^\sigma} (-1)^{\ell(y)} e^{y(\lambda + \rho_\sigma)}(t) = (-1)^{\ell(w)} \delta_\sigma(t) \cdot \chi_\lambda(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality holds since $\eta \in (c + \check{h})M$, and second to the last equality holds since $\ell(\tau_\eta)$ is even, cf. [15, Lemma 2.8]. Thus, the lemma follows. $\square$

Let $\vec{p} = (0, \infty, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$, and $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ with $\lambda, \mu \in D_{c,\sigma}$ and $\nu \in D_c$. Recall the following notation from Notation 4.5 (we have dropped ϕ from $N_\phi(\sigma; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ since in this section we are only dealing with ϕ generated by the nontrivial standard automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$):

$$N(\sigma; \lambda, \mu, \nu) := \dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{P}^1, \Gamma, \phi}(\vec{p}, \vec{\lambda}), \quad (37)$$

where Γ and ϕ are the same as in Theorem 5.7.

We now prove the following Verlinde formula for $N(\sigma; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$, which uses Theorem 5.7.

Theorem 6.5 *With the notation as above, suppose that Conjecture 5.6 holds. Then, we have*

$$N(\sigma; \lambda, \mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{|T_c^\sigma|} \sum_{t \in T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma} \chi_\lambda(t) \chi_\mu(t) \chi_\nu(t) \Delta_\sigma(t), \quad (38)$$

where $\chi_\lambda, \chi_\mu, \chi_\nu$ represent the characters of $V(\lambda), V(\mu), V(\nu)$ as representations of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma, \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ respectively.

Proof For any $\mu \in D_{c,\sigma}$ and $\nu \in D_c$, consider the following decomposition as representations of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$,

$$V(\mu) \otimes (V(\nu)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}) = \bigoplus_{\eta \in P_\sigma^+} V(\eta)^{\oplus m_{\mu,\nu}^\eta},$$

where $m_{\mu,\nu}^\eta := \dim(\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}(V(\eta), V(\mu) \otimes V(\nu)))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &V(\mu) \otimes (V(\nu)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}) \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}} \bigoplus_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^\dagger} V(w\star\lambda)^{\oplus m_{\mu,\nu}^{w\star\lambda}} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \in P_\sigma^+ \setminus (W_{\sigma, c+\check{h}}^\dagger \star D_{c,\sigma})} V(\eta)^{\oplus m_{\mu,\nu}^\eta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 6.4, we have

$$\pi(V(\mu) \otimes (V(v)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma})) = \sum_{\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}} \sum_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\tilde{h}}^\dagger} m_{\mu, v}^{w\star\lambda} \tilde{\chi}_{w\star\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}} \sum_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\tilde{h}}^\dagger} (-1)^{\ell(w)} m_{\mu, v}^{w\star\lambda} \tilde{\chi}_\lambda. \quad (39)$$

By the analogue of the Kac–Walton formula as in Theorem 5.7, we get using the equation (39):

$$\pi(V(\mu) \otimes (V(v)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma})) = \sum_{\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}} N(\sigma; \lambda^*, \mu, v) \tilde{\chi}_\lambda. \quad (40)$$

(Observe that, by Lemma 5.5 $\lambda^* = \lambda$.)

On the other hand, consider the following decomposition as $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ -representations:

$$\begin{aligned} V(v)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma} &= \bigoplus_{\eta \in P_\sigma^+} V(\eta)^{\oplus b_v^\eta} \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda' \in D_{c,\sigma}} \bigoplus_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\tilde{h}}^\dagger} V(w\star\lambda')^{\oplus b_v^{w\star\lambda'}} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\eta \in P_\sigma^+ \setminus (W_{\sigma, c+\tilde{h}}^\dagger \star D_{c,\sigma})} V(\eta)^{\oplus b_v^\eta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Then, π being a ring homomorphism,

$$\pi(V(\mu) \otimes (V(v)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma})) = \sum_{\lambda' \in D_{c,\sigma}} \sum_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\tilde{h}}^\dagger} b_v^{w\star\lambda'} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \tilde{\chi}_\mu \cdot \tilde{\chi}_{\lambda'}. \quad (42)$$

By Theorem 6.2 and equation (42), $\pi(V(\mu) \otimes (V(v)|_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}))$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\lambda' \in D_{c,\sigma}} \sum_{w \in W_{\sigma, c+\tilde{h}}^\dagger} b_v^{w\star\lambda'} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \sum_{\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}} \left(\frac{1}{|T_c^\sigma|} \sum_{t \in T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma} \chi_{\lambda^*}(t) \chi_\mu(t) \chi_{\lambda'}(t) \Delta_\sigma(t) \right) \tilde{\chi}_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}} \frac{1}{|T_c^\sigma|} \sum_{t \in T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma} \chi_{\lambda^*}(t) \chi_\mu(t) \chi_v(t) \Delta_\sigma(t) \tilde{\chi}_\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where the above equality follows from (41) and Lemma 6.4. Comparing formulae (40) and (43), we conclude that

$$N(\sigma; \lambda^*, \mu, v) = \frac{1}{|T_c^\sigma|} \sum_{t \in T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma} \chi_{\lambda^*}(t) \chi_\mu(t) \chi_v(t) \Delta_\sigma(t).$$

Thus, the theorem follows. $\square$

Following [16], we now describe the set $T_c^{\sigma, reg}/W^\sigma$ explicitly. Let θ_l be the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$. Let $\check{P}_\sigma^+$ denote the set of dominant coweights of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, where the fundamental coweights in $\mathfrak{h}^\sigma$ are defined as the dual of simple roots. When $(\mathfrak{g}, m) \neq (A_{2n}, 4)$, set

$$\check{D}_{c,\sigma} = \{\check{\lambda} \in \check{P}_\sigma^+ \mid (\check{\lambda}, \theta_l) \leq c\},$$

and

$$\Sigma_c := \{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{c+\tilde{h}}}(\check{\rho}_\sigma + \check{\lambda}, \cdot) \in T^\sigma \mid \check{\lambda} \in \check{D}_{c,\sigma}\} \subset T_c^{\sigma, reg}.$$

Here we identify $T^\sigma = \text{Hom}(P_\sigma, \mathbb{C}^*)$, where P_σ is the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ and $\check{\rho}_\sigma$ is the sum of the fundamental coweights.

When $(\mathfrak{g}, m) = (A_{2n}, 4)$, set

$$\Sigma_c := \{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{c+h}(\rho_\sigma + \lambda|\cdot)} \in T^\sigma \mid \lambda \in D_{c,\sigma}\} \subset T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}},$$

where $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is the invariant form on $(\mathfrak{h}^\sigma)^*$ such that $\langle \theta_l | \theta_l \rangle = 4$, equivalently $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ is induced from the normalized invariant form on the twisted affine algebra $\hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ (cf. [19, Identity 8.3.8]). The following lemma follows from [15, Sect. 5.4] and [16, Sect. 2].

Lemma 6.6 *Any element $t \in T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}}$ can be translated to a unique element in Σ_c by a unique element of W^σ .*

6.2 Verlinde formula for general Γ -curves

Let σ be a standard nontrivial automorphism of a simple and simply-connected algebraic group G preserving a maximal torus T . Set

$$T_c = \{t \in T \mid \lambda(t) = 1, \text{ for any } \lambda \in (c + \check{h})Q_{lg}\},$$

where Q_{lg} is the sublattice of the root lattice of G generated by the long roots (if all the root lengths are equal, we call them long roots). Let T_c^{reg} be the set of regular elements in T_c , i.e., those elements $t \in T_c$ whose stabilizers in the Weyl group W is trivial. Recall that T_c^σ is defined in (33).

Lemma 6.7 1. T_c^σ is the set of σ -invariants in T_c .

2. The set $T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}}/W^\sigma$ can be identified with the set of σ -invariants in T_c^{reg}/W .

Proof For part (1), it suffices to check that the lattice M defined in (22) is exactly the set of coinvariants of σ in Q . When $\mathfrak{g}$ is not of type A_{2n} , this is obvious. When $(\mathfrak{g}, m) = (A_{2n}, 4)$, this follows from the description of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ in [3, Sect. 2.1] and [15, Lemma 2.2].

We now prove part (2). Since G and G^σ are simply-connected (cf. Lemma 6.1), there exist σ -equivariant bijections

$$\check{P}/(c + \check{h})\check{Q} \simeq T_c, \quad M^\vee/(c + \check{h})\check{Q}^\sigma \simeq T_c^\sigma, \quad (44)$$

given by $\lambda \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i}{c+h}\lambda}$, where $\check{P}, \check{Q}$ are respectively the coweight and coroot lattices of G , $\check{Q}^\sigma$ is the coroot lattice of G^σ (it can also be identified with the set of σ -invariants in $\check{Q}$), and $M^\vee \subset \mathfrak{h}^\sigma$ is the dual lattice of $M \subset (\mathfrak{h}^\sigma)^*$. In particular, $M^\vee$ is the coweight lattice of G^σ when G is not of type A_{2n} ; $M^\vee$ is the coroot lattice of $G^\vee$ when G is of type A_{2n} , as in this case M is the weight lattice of G^σ . From the descriptions of coroots and coweights of G^σ in [3, Sect. 2.1], we observe that in any case $M^\vee = (\check{P})^\sigma$.

Then, T_c^{reg}/W can be identified with the set of interior $\check{P}$ -integral points in the fundamental alcove of the affine Weyl group $W \ltimes (c + \check{h})\check{Q}$ (cf. [22, Lemma 4.2.6 (b)]). Similarly, $T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}}/W^\sigma$ can be identified with the set of interior $M^\vee$ -integral points in the fundamental alcove of the affine Weyl group $W^\sigma \ltimes (c + \check{h})\check{Q}^\sigma$. By the same proof as in [15, Proposition 2.7], the natural map $T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}}/W^\sigma \rightarrow (T_c^{\text{reg}}/W)^\sigma$ is a bijection. $\square$

Given any two finite order automorphisms γ, γ' of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that they have the same images in $\text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$, we can naturally identify $D_{c,\gamma}$ and $D_{c,\gamma'}$. More precisely, we first decompose $\gamma = \tau\epsilon^{adh}$ with respect to a γ -stable pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h})$, and decompose $\gamma' = \tau'\epsilon^{adh'}$ with respect

to a γ' -stable pair (b', h') , as in (2). By (4), there exists identifications $D_{c,\gamma} \simeq D_{c,\tau}$ and $D_{c,\gamma'} \simeq D_{c,\tau'}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2, there exists a canonical identification $D_{c,\tau} \simeq D_{c,\tau'}$ (note that this identification does not depend on the choice of the inner automorphisms in Lemma 3.2). Thus, we get an identification

$$D_{c,\gamma} \simeq D_{c,\gamma'}. \quad (45)$$

Consider a group homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$, a stable smooth (and hence irreducible) s -pointed Γ -curve $(\Sigma, \vec{p})$ with ramification type $\vec{\gamma}$ attached to $\vec{p}$, and a s -tuple of dominant weights $\vec{\lambda}$ attached to $\vec{p}$. We assume that

- Assumption 6.8**
1. $\Gamma \cdot \vec{p}$ contains all the ramified points;
 2. $\tilde{\Gamma} := \Gamma / \Gamma_0$ is cyclic of order r , where Γ_0 is the kernel of the map $P \circ \phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$; P being the projection $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathfrak{g})$;
 3. By reordering $\vec{p}$, we can write $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_{2a}, p_{2a+1}, \dots, p_s)$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_k \neq 1$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{2k-1}\tilde{\gamma}_{2k} = 1$ for any $1 \leq k \leq a$ ($a \geq 0$), and $\tilde{\gamma}_i = 1$ for any $2a+1 \leq i \leq s$, where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the image of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

When $\tilde{\Gamma} = (1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the condition (3) in the above assumption holds automatically.

Let $\tilde{\phi}_i : \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the group homomorphism defined in Sect. 3.2, which preserves a fixed pair (b, h) . For any $1 \leq i \leq s$, $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ acts on G by a diagram automorphism (possibly trivial) via $\tilde{\phi}_i$, denoted by τ_i . Let σ_i be the standard automorphism associated to τ_i (note that $\sigma_i = \tau_i$ if $\mathfrak{g} \neq A_{2n}$). Let $\vec{\lambda}^\dagger$ be the s -tuple of dominant weights with $\lambda_i^\dagger \in D_{c,\sigma_i}$ associated to $\lambda_i \in D_{c,\gamma_i}$ via the bijection (45). (The bijection $D_{c,\tau_i} \simeq D_{c,\sigma_i}$ between the diagram automorphism τ_i and the standard automorphism σ_i for $\mathfrak{g} = A_{2n}$ is explicitly given by Lemma 5.1.)

For convenience, we fix a standard automorphism σ corresponding to a generator of $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 6.9 *With the same notation and Assumption 6.8 as above for any finite group Γ , we further assume that the vanishing Conjecture 5.6 holds. Then, the dimension of the twisted conformal blocks*

$$N_{\tilde{g},\Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}) = \frac{|T_c|^{\tilde{g}-1+a}}{|T_c^\sigma|^a} \sum_{t \in T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}} / W^\sigma} \frac{\chi_{\vec{\lambda}^\dagger}(t) \Delta_\sigma(t)^a}{\Delta(t)^{\tilde{g}-1+a}},$$

where T is the maximal torus of G with its Lie algebra the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, $\tilde{g}$ is the genus of the quotient curve $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma / \Gamma$, and $\chi_{\vec{\lambda}^\dagger}(t) := \chi_{\lambda_1^\dagger}(t) \cdots \chi_{\lambda_s^\dagger}(t)$ with $\chi_{\lambda_i^\dagger}$ being the character of the irreducible representation of the group G^{σ_i} with highest weight $\lambda_i^\dagger$. (For the notation Δ_σ , see Theorem 6.2 and $\Delta := \Delta_{\text{identity}}$.)

Proof If $\tilde{\Gamma} = (1)$, the theorem follows from the reduction Corollary 3.4 and the classical Verlinde formula (cf. [22, Theorem 4.2.19 and the identities (3) and (8) in its proof]). So, we now assume that $\tilde{\Gamma} \neq (1)$ in what follows.

Set $A_c = T_c^{\text{reg}} / W$ and $A_c^\sigma = T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}} / W^\sigma$. By Lemma 6.7, A_c^σ can be regarded as the subset of σ -invariants in A_c . Clearly, $A_c^\sigma = A_c^{\sigma_i}$ if $\langle \sigma \rangle = \langle \sigma_i \rangle$, and $A_c^\sigma = A_c$ if σ is trivial.

By Theorem 3.3, we are reduced to the following situation: Γ is cyclic of order 2 or 3 (in particular, every non-trivial element is a generator of Γ) and the elements of Γ act on G by diagram automorphisms (possibly trivial), and the ramification type of Γ action on Σ is $\vec{\gamma}$ with each γ_i acting on G by a diagram automorphism τ_i and $\tau_i \neq 1$ exactly when $1 \leq i \leq 2a$ ($a \geq 0$). The s -tuple $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2a}, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_b)$ of dominant weights is attached to $\vec{p}$

with $\lambda_i \in D_{c, \gamma_i}$ and $\mu_j \in D_c$, where $s = 2a + b$. (Observe that $\mu_j := \lambda_{2a+j}$.) They satisfy $\tau_{2k-1}\tau_{2k} = 1$ for any $1 \leq k \leq a$ as in Assumption 6.8. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{\vec{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) &= \sum_{\vec{v} \in D_c^a} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a N(\tau_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}, v_k) \right) \cdot N_{\vec{g}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{v}^*) \\
 &= \sum_{\vec{v}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a N(\sigma_{2k-1}; \lambda_{2k-1}^\dagger, \lambda_{2k}^\dagger, v_k) \right) \cdot N_{\vec{g}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{v}^*) \\
 &= \frac{|T_c|^{\bar{g}-1}}{|T_c^\sigma|^a} \sum_{\vec{v}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^a \left(\sum_{t_k \in A_c^\sigma} \chi_{\lambda_{2k-1}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{\lambda_{2k}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{v_k}(t_k) \Delta_\sigma(t_k) \right) \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{t \in A_c} \chi_{\vec{\mu}}(t) \chi_{\vec{v}^*}(t) \Delta(t)^{1-\bar{g}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{|T_c|^{\bar{g}-1}}{|T_c^\sigma|^a} \sum_{\vec{v}} \sum_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_a \in A_c^\sigma \\ t \in A_c}} \Delta(t)^{1-\bar{g}} \chi_{\vec{\mu}}(t) \prod_{k=1}^a \\
 &\quad \left(\chi_{\lambda_{2k-1}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{\lambda_{2k}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{v_k}(t_k) \chi_{v_k^*}(t) \Delta_\sigma(t_k) \right), \tag{46}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Theorem 4.7, the second equality follows from Theorem 3.3, the third equality follows from Theorem 6.5 and the usual Verlinde formula (cf. [22, Theorem 4.2.19 and the identities (3) and (8) in its proof]).

Recall the following orthogonality relation (cf. [16, Theorem 2.1(2)]):

$$\frac{1}{|T_c|} \sum_{t \in A_c} \chi_v(t) \chi_{v'^*}(t) \Delta(t) = \delta_{v, v'},$$

where δ denotes the Kronecker symbol. Similarly, for any $t', t \in A_c$, the following orthogonality relation holds

$$\frac{1}{|T_c|} \sum_{v \in D_c} \chi_v(t') \chi_{v^*}(t) \Delta(t) = \delta_{t', t}. \tag{47}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{\vec{v}} \sum_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_a \in A_c^\sigma \\ t \in A_c}} \Delta(t)^{1-\bar{g}} \chi_{\vec{\mu}}(t) \prod_{k=1}^a \left(\chi_{\lambda_{2k-1}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{\lambda_{2k}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{v_k}(t_k) \chi_{v_k^*}(t) \Delta_\sigma(t_k) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_a \in A_c^\sigma \\ t \in A_c}} \Delta(t)^{1-\bar{g}-a} \chi_{\vec{\mu}}(t) \left(\prod_{k=1}^a \chi_{\lambda_{2k-1}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{\lambda_{2k}^\dagger}(t_k) \Delta_\sigma(t_k) \right) \left(\sum_{v_1} \chi_{v_1^*}(t) \chi_{v_1}(t_1) \Delta(t) \right) \dots \\
 &\quad \left(\sum_{v_a} \chi_{v_a^*}(t) \chi_{v_a}(t_a) \Delta(t) \right) \\
 &= |T_c|^a \sum_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_a \in A_c^\sigma \\ t \in A_c}} \Delta(t)^{1-\bar{g}-a} \chi_{\vec{\mu}}(t) \prod_{k=1}^a \left(\chi_{\lambda_{2k-1}^\dagger}(t_k) \chi_{\lambda_{2k}^\dagger}(t_k) \Delta_\sigma(t_k) \delta_{t, t_k} \right), \text{ by using equation (47)} \\
 &= \sum_{t \in A_c^\sigma} \Delta(t)^{1-\bar{g}-a} \chi_{\vec{\mu}}(t) \chi_{\vec{\lambda}^\dagger}(t) (\Delta_\sigma(t))^a |T_c|^a. \tag{48}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the Eqs. (46) and (48), we get

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\mu}) = \frac{|T_c|^{\bar{g}-1+a}}{|T_c^\sigma|^a} \sum_{t \in A_c^\sigma} \frac{\chi_{\vec{\lambda}}(t) \chi_{\vec{\mu}}(t) \Delta_\sigma(t)^a}{\Delta(t)^{\bar{g}-1+a}}.$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 6.10 By [8, Proposition 9.6], the formulae for S -matrices described in [16, Sect. 5] and Lemma 6.7, one observes that the dimension formula in Theorem 6.9 agrees with the dimension formula in [8, Theorem 1.2].

6.3 Examples

We now consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}$ with an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ generated by a diagram automorphism σ , and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on Σ with $2a$ ramified points. Then, $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. From (44),

$$|T_c| = 2n(c+2n)^{2n-1} 2n, \quad |T_c^\sigma| = 2(c+2n)^n.$$

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}$ be the simple roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}$ with standard labelling. Set $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i|_{\mathfrak{h}^\sigma}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_n$ form a set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. Note that $\bar{\alpha}_{n+i} = \bar{\alpha}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $\check{\omega}_i$ (resp. $\check{\omega}_{\sigma,i}$) be the fundamental coweight of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}$ associated to α_i (resp. $\bar{\alpha}_i$). By Lemma 6.6, $T_c^{\sigma, \text{reg}}/W^\sigma$ can be identified with $\Sigma_c \simeq \check{D}_{c,\sigma}$. The set $\check{D}_c$ can be described as

$$\check{D}_{c,\sigma} = \{k_1 \check{\omega}_{\sigma,1} + \dots + k_n \check{\omega}_{\sigma,n} \mid 2k_1 + \dots + 2k_{n-1} + k_n \leq c, k_i \geq 0 \forall i\}.$$

Following [3, p. 7], we may embed the coweight lattice $\check{P}_{\mathfrak{g}^\sigma}$ into $\check{P}$ via the identifications

$$\check{\omega}_{\sigma,i} = \check{\omega}_i + \check{\omega}_{2n-i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \quad \check{\omega}_{\sigma,n} = \check{\omega}_n.$$

Thus, $\check{\rho}_\sigma$ can be identified with $\check{\rho}$. Each $\check{\lambda} \in \check{D}_{c,\sigma}$ associates to an element $t_\lambda^\sigma \in T_c^\sigma \subset T$. The set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}$ can be described as

$$\Phi^+ = \{\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2n-1\}$$

and the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ can be identified as

$$\Phi_\sigma^+ = \{\bar{\alpha}_i + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2n-1, i+j \leq 2n\}.$$

Set $k_{n+i} := k_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. If $\check{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \check{\omega}_{\sigma,i}$, then

$$\Delta(t_\lambda^\sigma) = 4^{n(2n-1)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2n-1} \sin \left(\frac{\sum_{a=i}^j k_a + j - i + 1}{c + 2n} \pi \right)^2$$

$$\Delta_\sigma(t_\lambda^\sigma) = 4^{n^2} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2n-1, i+j \leq 2n} \sin \left(\frac{\sum_{a=i}^j k_a + j - i + 1}{c + 2n} \pi \right)^2.$$

When $n = 2$, $\bar{g} = 0$, $c = 1$, $\vec{\lambda} = \vec{0}$, $\vec{\mu} = \vec{0}$, we have $\check{D}_{c,\sigma} = \{0, \check{\omega}_{\sigma,2}\}$. Then,

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{0}) = 5^{a-3} 2^{-3a+11} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{5} \right)^{6-2a} \sin \left(\frac{2\pi}{5} \right)^{6-2a} = 2^{a-1},$$

since $\sin(\frac{\pi}{5})^2 \sin(\frac{2\pi}{5})^2 = \frac{5}{16}$. In particular, when $a = 1$, $N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{0}) = 1$ (this agrees with the computation in [3, Lemma 3.12]).

When $n = 2$, $\bar{g} = 1$, $c = 1$,

$$N_{\bar{g}, \Gamma}(\vec{\gamma}; \vec{0}) = 10^a \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right)^{-2a} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right)^{-2a} = 32^a.$$

Acknowledgements We thank Constantin Teleman for some helpful correspondences and conversations. The first author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2001365 and the second author was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS-1802328.

References

1. Beauville, A.: Conformal blocks, fusion rules and the Verlinde formula. In: Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993), pp. 75–96, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 9, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan (1996)
2. Birke, L., Fuchs, J., Schweigert, C.: Symmetry breaking boundary conditions and WZW orbifolds. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3**(3), 671–726 (1999)
3. Besson, M., Hong, J.: Smooth locus of twisted affine Schubert varieties and twisted affine Demazure modules. [arXiv:2010.11357](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.11357)
4. Bertin, J., Romagny, M.: Champs de Hurwitz, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France. Numéro 125–126, Société Mathématique de France (2011)
5. Bourbaki, N.: Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Ch. 4–6. Masson, Paris (1981)
6. Damiolini, C.: Conformal blocks attached to twisted groups. *Math. Z.* **295**(3–4), 1643–1681 (2020)
7. Damiolini, C.: On equivariant bundles and their moduli spaces. *AC. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **362** (2024)
8. Deshpande, T., Mukhopadhyay, S.: Crossed modular categories and the Verlinde formula for twisted conformal blocks. *Camb. J. Math.* **11**, 159–297 (2023)
9. Faltings, G.: A proof for the Verlinde formula. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **3**(2), 347–374 (1994)
10. Frenkel, E., Szczesny, M.: Twisted modules over vertex algebras on algebraic curves. *Adv. Math.* **187**, 195–227 (2004)
11. Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic Geometry. GTM 52. Springer, Berlin (1977)
12. Heinloth, J.: Uniformization of $\mathcal{G}$ -bundles. *Math. Ann.* **347**(3), 499–528 (2010)
13. Hong, J., Kumar, S.: Conformal blocks for Galois covers of algebraic curves. *Compos. Math.* **159**, 2191–2259 (2023)
14. Hong, J., Kumar, S.: Lie algebra Cohomology of the positive part of twisted affine Lie algebras. [arXiv:2302.11105](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11105)
15. Hong, J.: Conformal blocks, Verlinde formula and diagram automorphisms. *Adv. Math.* **354**(1), 1–50 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2019.106731>
16. Hong, J.: Fusion ring revisited. Representations of Lie algebras, quantum groups and related topics, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 713, pp. 135–147. Amer. Math. Soc., RI (2018)
17. Humphreys, J.E.: Linear Algebraic Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 21. Springer, New York (1975)
18. Jarvis, T., Kaufmann, R., Kimura, T.: Pointed admissible G-covers and G-equivariant cohomological field theories. *Compos. Math.* **141**(4), 926–978 (2005)
19. Kac, V.: Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras, 3rd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
20. Kostant, B.: Powers of the Euler product and commutative subalgebras of a complex simple Lie algebra. *Invent. Math.* **158**, 181–226 (2004)
21. Kumar, S.: Kac-Moody Groups, Their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204. Birkhäuser, Boston (2002)
22. Kumar, S.: Conformal Blocks, Generalized Theta Functions and the Verlinde Formula. New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 42. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2022)
23. Sorger, C.: La formule de Verlinde, Séminaire Bourbaki, 47ème année, n° **794** (1994)
24. Springer, T.A.: Linear Algebraic Groups. Modern Birkhäuser Classics, 2nd edn. Birkhäuser, Boston (2009)
25. Steinberg, R.: Endomorphisms of Linear Algebraic Groups, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 80. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1968)
26. Teleman, C.: Lie algebra Cohomology and the fusion rules. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **173**(2), 265–311 (1995)

27. Tsuchiya, A., Ueno, K., Yamada, Y.: Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries. In: Integrable Systems in Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics, Volume 19 of Adv. Stud. Pure Math., pp. 459–566 (1989)
28. Verlinde, E.: Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2D conformal field theory. Nucl. Phys. B **300**, 360–376 (1988)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.