



# Spherical Schubert varieties and pattern avoidance

Christian Gaetz<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 4 January 2022 / Published online: 31 January 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

## Abstract

A normal variety  $X$  is called  $H$ -spherical for the action of the complex reductive group  $H$  if it contains a dense orbit of some Borel subgroup of  $H$ . We resolve a conjecture of Hodges–Yong by showing that their *spherical permutations* are characterized by permutation pattern avoidance. Together with results of Gao–Hodges–Yong this implies that the sphericity of a Schubert variety  $X_w$  with respect to the largest possible Levi subgroup is characterized by this same pattern avoidance condition.

**Keywords** Spherical variety · Schubert variety · Permutation pattern avoidance

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 05E14 · 14M15 · 05A05

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Spherical varieties

Following [3, 11], a normal variety  $X$  is called  $H$ -spherical for the action of the complex reductive group  $H$  if it contains a dense orbit of some Borel subgroup of  $H$ . Important examples of spherical varieties include projective and affine toric varieties, complexifications of symmetric spaces, and flag varieties (see Perrin’s survey [13]). Producing families of examples of and classifying spherical varieties is of significant interest [10]. In this paper we resolve a conjecture of Hodges–Yong [7], thereby classifying (maximally) spherical Schubert varieties by a permutation pattern avoidance condition.

---

The author was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. 1122374.

---

✉ Christian Gaetz  
gaetz@math.harvard.edu

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

## 1.2 Schubert varieties and pattern avoidance

Let  $G$  be a complex reductive algebraic group and  $B$  be a Borel subgroup. The Bruhat decomposition decomposes  $G$  as

$$G = \coprod_{w \in W} BwB,$$

where  $W$  denotes the Weyl group of  $G$ . The closures

$$X_w = \overline{BwB/B}$$

of the images of these strata in the flag variety  $G/B$  are the *Schubert varieties*, of fundamental importance in algebraic geometry and representation theory.

In the case  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the Weyl group  $W$  is the symmetric group  $S_n$ . Beginning with the groundbreaking result of Lakshmibai–Sandhya [9] characterizing smooth Schubert varieties, it has been found that many important geometric and combinatorial properties (see, for example [8, 17]) of  $X_w$  are determined by *permutation pattern avoidance* conditions on  $w$ . Let  $w = w_1 \cdots w_n \in S_n$  be a permutation written in one-line notation, and let  $p \in S_k$  be another permutation. Then  $w$  is said to have an *occurrence* of the pattern  $p$  at positions  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$  if  $w_{i_1} \dots w_{i_k}$  are in the same relative order as  $p_1 \dots p_k$ . If  $w$  does not contain any occurrences of  $p$ , then  $w$  is said to *avoid*  $p$ .

Under the natural left action of  $G$  on  $G/B$ , the stabilizer of  $X_w$  is the parabolic subgroup  $P_{J(w)} \subset G$  corresponding to the left descent set  $J(w)$  of  $w$ . The parabolic subgroup  $P_{J(w)}$  is not reductive, but contains the *Levi subgroup*  $L_{J(w)}$  as a maximal reductive subgroup. Following [7], we say  $X_w$  is *maximally spherical* if it is  $L_{J(w)}$ -spherical for the induced action of  $L_{J(w)}$ . Since all Schubert varieties are known to be normal by the work of DeConcini–Lakshmibai [4] and Ramanan–Ramanathan [14], this is equivalent to the existence of a dense orbit inside  $X_w$  of a Borel subgroup of  $L_{J(w)}$ .

## 1.3 Hodges and Yong's conjecture

We consider the symmetric group  $S_n$  as a Coxeter group with simple generating set  $I = \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ , where  $s_i$  is the adjacent transposition  $(i \ i+1)$ , and we write  $J(w)$  for the left descent set of  $w \in S_n$ . See Sect. 2 for background and basic definitions.

**Definition 1.1** (Hodges and Yong [7]) A permutation  $w \in S_n$  is *spherical* if it has a reduced word  $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$  such that:

- (S.1)  $|\{t \mid s_{i_t} = s_j\}| \leq 1$  for  $s_j \in I \setminus J(w)$ , and
- (S.2)  $|\{t \mid s_{i_t} \in C\}| \leq \ell(w_0(C)) + |C|$  for any connected component  $C$  of the induced subgraph of the Dynkin diagram on  $J(w)$ .

**Remark** Hodges and Yong consider a more general class of spherical elements in finite Coxeter groups. Definition 1.1 is the special case which is relevant to Conjecture 1.3 ( $J(w)$ -spherical elements in  $S_n$ ).

Spherical permutations were defined because of Conjecture 1.2, which was recently proven [6] by Gao–Hodges–Yong.

**Conjecture 1.2** (Conjectured by Hodges and Yong [7]; proof by Gao–Hodges–Yong [6]) The Schubert variety  $X_w$  is maximally spherical if and only if  $w$  is spherical.

This geometric property is linked to permutation pattern avoidance by Conjecture 1.3.

**Conjecture 1.3** (Hodges and Yong [7]) A permutation  $w$  is spherical if and only if it avoids the twenty one patterns in  $P$ :

$$P = \{24531, 25314, 25341, 34512, 34521, 35412, 35421, 42531, 45123, \\ 45213, 45231, 45312, 52314, 52341, 53124, 53142, 53412, \\ 53421, 54123, 54213, 54231\}.$$

Our main result resolves Conjecture 1.3:

**Theorem 1.4** *A permutation  $w$  is spherical if and only if it avoids the patterns in  $P$ .*

Combining this result with Gao–Hodges–Yong’s proof of Conjecture 1.2, we thus obtain a characterization of maximally spherical Schubert varieties in terms of pattern avoidance.

**Corollary 1.5** *The Schubert variety  $X_w$  is maximally spherical if and only if  $w$  avoids the patterns from  $P$ .*

The following result, an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.4, was conjectured in [7] and proven in [2] using probabilistic methods.

**Corollary 1.6**

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\{\text{spherical permutations } w \in S_n\}|/n! = 0.$$

**Proof** The Stanley–Wilf Conjecture, now a theorem of Marcus and Tardos [12], says that the number of permutations in  $S_n$  avoiding any fixed set  $Q$  of patterns is bounded above by  $C^n$  for some constant  $C$ . Thus Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$|\{\text{spherical permutations } w \in S_n\}|$$

grows at most exponentially. □

## 1.4 Outline

Section 2 recalls some basic definitions and facts about Bruhat order as well as a result of Tenner [15] characterizing Boolean intervals in Bruhat order. In Sect. 3 we introduce the notion of *divisible pairs* of permutations and connect these to Boolean permutations and spherical permutations. Divisible pairs, along with a helpful decomposition of the set  $P$  of patterns, are applied in Sect. 4 to prove Theorem 1.4.

## 2 Background

### 2.1 Bruhat order

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $s_i$  denote the adjacent transposition  $(i \ i + 1)$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$ ; the symmetric group is a Coxeter group with respect to the generating set  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$  (see [1] for background on Coxeter groups). For  $w \in S_n$ , and expression

$$w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$$

of minimum length is a *reduced word* for  $w$ , and in this case  $\ell = \ell(w)$  is the *length* of  $w$ .

The (*right*) *weak order* is the partial order  $\leq_R$  on  $S_n$  with cover relations  $w <_R ws_i$  whenever  $\ell(ws_i) = \ell(w) + 1$ . The *Bruhat order* is the partial order  $\leq$  on  $S_n$  with cover relations  $w < wt$  for  $t$  a 2-cycle such that  $\ell(wt) = \ell(w) + 1$ . Both posets have the identity permutation  $e$  as their unique minimal element.

For a permutation  $w = w_1 \dots w_n \in S_n$  and integers  $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n$ , we write  $w[a, b]$  for the set  $\{w_a, w_{a+1}, \dots, w_b\}$ . For two  $k$ -subsets  $A, B$  of  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$  write  $A \leq B$  if  $a_1 \leq b_1, \dots, a_k \leq b_k$ , where  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$  with  $a_1 < \dots < a_k$  and  $b_1 < \dots < b_k$ . The following well-known property of Bruhat order will be useful:

**Proposition 2.1** (Ehresmann [5]) *Let  $v, w \in S_n$ , then  $v \leq w$  if and only if*

$$v[1, i] \leq w[1, i]$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

A generator  $s_i$  is a (*left*) *descent* of  $w$  if  $\ell(s_i w) < \ell(w)$  (equivalently, if  $w^{-1}(i+1) < w^{-1}(i)$ ). We write  $J(w)$  for the set of descents of  $w$ . For any  $J \subseteq \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ , we write  $w_0(J)$  for the unique permutation of maximum length lying in the subgroup of  $S_n$  generated by  $J$ . Explicitly, the one-line notation for  $w_0(J)$  is an increasing sequence of consecutive decreasing runs, where decreasing run consists of  $i+d, i+d-1, \dots, i$  whenever  $s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{i+d-1} \in J$  while  $s_{i-1}, s_{i+d} \notin J$ .

### 2.2 Boolean permutations

**Theorem 2.2** (Tenner [15]) *The following are equivalent for a permutation  $w \in S_n$ :*

- (1) *The interval  $[e, w]$  in Bruhat order is isomorphic to a Boolean lattice,*
- (2) *No simple generator  $s_i$  appears more than once in a reduced word for  $w$ ,*
- (3)  *$w$  avoids the patterns 321 and 3412.*

We will call a permutation satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.2 a *Boolean permutation*. Theorem 2.3 suggests a connection between Boolean permutations and spherical varieties.

**Theorem 2.3** (Karuppuchamy [8]) *The Schubert variety  $X_w$  is a toric variety if and only if  $w$  is a Boolean permutation.*

### 3 Divisible pairs of permutations

**Definition 3.1** Given a pair  $(v, w)$  of permutations from  $S_n$ , we say that  $(v, w)$  is *divisible after position  $i$*  if

$$|v[1, i] \cap w[1, i]| \leq i - 2,$$

and *divisible at position  $i$*  if  $v_i = w_i$  and

$$|v[1, i] \cap w[1, i]| \leq i - 1.$$

We say simply that  $(v, w)$  is *divisible* if there exists  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $(v, w)$  is divisible at or after position  $i$ .

The term “divisible” is meant to refer to the fact that if  $(v, w)$  is divisible after position  $i$ , then if the one-line notation for  $v$  is written on top of that for  $w$ , a vertical line drawn after position  $i$  will divide the occurrences (on the left side of the line) of at least two values in  $v$  from the occurrences (on the right side of the line) of these values in  $w$ .

**Proposition 3.2** *A pair  $(v, w)$  of permutations from  $S_n$  is divisible if and only if  $v^{-1}w$  is not Boolean.*

**Proof** It is clear from the definition that  $(v, w)$  is divisible if and only if  $(uv, uw)$  is divisible for all  $u \in S_n$ , so it suffices to prove the case  $v = e$ .

Suppose that  $w$  is not Boolean, so that  $w$  contains a pattern  $p \in \{321, 3412\}$  by Theorem 2.2. If  $p = 3412$  occurs as  $w_{i_1}w_{i_2}w_{i_3}w_{i_4}$  then  $(e, w)$  is divisible after position  $i_2$ , since  $\{w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}\} \subseteq w[1, i_2]$  while  $e[1, i_2] = \{1, \dots, i_2\}$  must contain  $w_{i_3}$  and  $w_{i_4}$  if it contains either  $w_{i_1}$  or  $w_{i_2}$ . If  $p = 321$  occurs as  $w_{i_1}w_{i_2}w_{i_3}$ , consider three cases: If  $w_{i_2} = i_2$  then  $(e, w)$  is divisible at  $i_2$ , since  $w_{i_1} > i_2 \notin e[1, i_2]$ ; If  $w_{i_2} < i_2$ , then  $(e, w)$  is divisible after  $i_2 - 1$ , since  $w_{i_2}, w_{i_3}$  both lie in  $e[1, i_2 - 1]$  but not in  $w[1, i_2 - 1]$ ; Similarly, if  $w_{i_2} > i_2$ , then  $(e, w)$  is divisible after  $i_2$ , since  $w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}$  both lie in  $w[1, i_2]$  but not in  $e[1, i_2]$ .

Conversely suppose that  $w$  is divisible. If  $w$  is divisible after position  $i$ , then there are two elements  $a < b \in w[1, i]$  which are not in  $e[1, i] = \{1, \dots, i\}$  and therefore also two elements  $c, d \in w[i+1, n]$  with  $c < d \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ . Either  $w^{-1}(a) < w^{-1}(b)$  and  $w^{-1}(c) < w^{-1}(d)$  in which case  $w$  contains 3412 or at least one of these statements fails and  $w$  contains 321; in either case  $w$  is not Boolean. If  $w$  is divisible at position  $i$ , then  $w_i = e_i = i$  and there is some  $a > i$  in  $w[1, i-1]$  and some  $b < i$  in  $w[i+1, n]$ ; then the values  $a, i, b$  form a 321 pattern in  $w$ , so  $w$  is not Boolean.  $\square$

**Remark** The anonymous referee has helpfully pointed out that Proposition 3.2 is closely related to Theorem 2.2 of [16].

**Proposition 3.3** (Gao–Hodges–Yong [6]) *A permutation  $w \in S_n$  is spherical if and only if  $w_0(J(w))w$  is a Boolean permutation.*

The following characterization of spherical permutations will be convenient for our arguments in Sect. 4.

**Corollary 3.4** *A permutation  $w \in S_n$  is spherical if and only if  $(w_0(J(w)), w)$  is not divisible.*

**Proof** By Proposition 3.3,  $w$  is spherical if and only if  $w_0(J(w))w$  is Boolean. Since  $w_0(J(w))$  is an involution, Proposition 3.2 implies that this is equivalent to  $(w_0(J(w)), w)$  not being divisible.  $\square$

#### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The following decomposition of the set  $P$  of twenty one patterns will be crucial to the proof of Theorem 1.4:  $P = P^{321} \cup P^{3412}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} P^{321} &= \{24531, 25314, 25341, 42531, 45231, 45312, 52314, 52341, \\ &\quad 53124, 53142, 53412\} \\ &= \{p \in P \mid w_0(J(p))p \text{ contains the pattern } 321\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P^{3412} &= \{34512, 34521, 35412, 35421, 45123, 45213, 45231, 53412, 53421, \\ &\quad 54123, 54213, 54231\} \\ &= \{p \in P \mid w_0(J(p))p \text{ contains the pattern } 3412\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that 45231 and 53412 lie in both  $P^{321}$  and  $P^{3412}$ . A simple check shows that  $P^{321}$  and  $P^{3412}$  are also characterized by the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{321} &= \{p \in S_5 \mid p^{-1}(5) < p^{-1}(3) < p^{-1}(1), p^{-1}(4) \notin [p^{-1}(5), p^{-1}(3)], \\ &\quad p^{-1}(2) \notin [p^{-1}(3), p^{-1}(1)]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^{3412} &= \{p \in S_5 \mid \max(p^{-1}(4), p^{-1}(5)) < \min(p^{-1}(1), p^{-1}(2)), \\ &\quad p^{-1}(3) \notin [p^{-1}(4), p^{-1}(2)]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The following proposition is obvious from the definitions, but will be useful to keep in mind throughout the proofs of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3.

**Proposition 4.1** *For  $w \in S_n$ , let  $v = w_0(J(w))$  and  $1 \leq a < b \leq n$ . Then  $v^{-1}(b) < v^{-1}(a)$  if and only if  $w^{-1}(b) < w^{-1}(b-1) < \dots < w^{-1}(a)$ .*

**Lemma 4.2** *If  $w \in S_n$  avoids the patterns from  $P$  then  $w$  is spherical.*

**Proof** We reformulate using Corollary 3.4 and prove the contrapositive: if  $(w_0(J(w)), w)$  is divisible, then  $w$  contains a pattern from  $P$ .

*Case 1:* Write  $v$  for  $w_0(J(w))$  and suppose that  $(v, w)$  is divisible after  $i$ , and furthermore that  $i$  is the smallest index for which this is true. Then we have:

$$v[1, i] \setminus w[1, i] = \{a, b\},$$

$$w[1, i] \setminus v[1, i] = \{c, d\},$$

where we may assume without loss of generality that  $a < b$  and  $c < d$ . We have  $v \leq_R w$ , so in particular  $v \leq w$  in Bruhat order; thus by Proposition 2.1 we must have  $a < c$  and  $b < d$ . Suppose that  $c \leq b + 1$ ; if  $c < b$ , then, since  $c$  appears after  $b$  in  $v$ , it must be that  $b, c$  lie in the same decreasing run of  $v$ , but by Proposition 4.1 this implies that  $b$  appears before  $c$  in  $w$ , a contradiction. If  $c = b + 1$ , then  $s_c$  is a left descent of  $w$ , so  $c$  appears before  $b$  in  $v$ , again a contradiction. Thus we have  $a < b < b + 1 < c < d$ .

We wish to conclude that  $w$  contains a pattern from  $P$ . If any value  $x \in \{b + 1, b + 2, \dots, c - 1\}$  does not lie between  $b$  and  $c$  in  $w$ , then we are done by (2), since the values  $\{a, b, c, d, x\}$  form a pattern from  $P^{3412}$  in  $w$ . Otherwise, all of these values appear between  $b$  and  $c$  in  $w$ . Suppose that they do not appear in decreasing order, so  $w^{-1}(b + j) < w^{-1}(b + j + 1)$  for some  $j + 1 < c - b$ . Then the values  $\{c, d, b + j, b + j + 1, a, b\}$  either contain a pattern from  $P^{3412}$ , or appear in  $w$  in the order  $c, b + j, d, a, b + j + 1, b$ . In this last case  $c, b + j, a, b + j + 1, b$  forms an occurrence of the pattern 53142 from  $P^{321}$ . Finally, suppose that  $\{b + 1, b + 2, \dots, c - 1\}$  appear in decreasing order in  $w$  between  $b$  and  $c$ ; then by Proposition 4.1  $c$  appears before  $b$  in  $v$ , a contradiction. Thus in all cases  $w$  contains a pattern from  $P$ .

*Case 2:* Write  $v$  for  $w_0(J(w))$  and suppose that  $(v, w)$  is divisible at  $i$ , and furthermore that  $i$  is the smallest index at or after which  $(v, w)$  is divisible. Then we have  $v_i = w_i$  and

$$v[1, i - 1] \setminus w[1, i - 1] = \{a\},$$

$$w[1, i - 1] \setminus v[1, i - 1] = \{c\},$$

with  $a < c$  by Proposition 2.1. We claim that  $a$  is the minimal element in a decreasing run of  $v$ . Indeed, otherwise  $a - 1$  appears immediately after  $a$  in  $v$ , and thus  $a - 1$  also appears after  $a$  in  $w$ . But then  $a - 1 \in v[1, i] \setminus w[1, i]$ , contradicting the minimality of  $i$ , thus  $a$  is the minimal element in a decreasing run, and is smaller than all values appearing after it in  $v$ . Similarly,  $c$  is the maximal element in a decreasing run of  $v$  and is larger than all values appearing before it in  $v$ . Also note that  $a < v_i - 1$  and  $c > v_i + 1$ , for if  $a = v_i - 1$  then  $w^{-1}(a + 1) < w^{-1}(a)$  but  $v^{-1}(a + 1) > v^{-1}(a)$ , contradicting Proposition 4.1, and similarly for  $c$ .

We will now see that  $c, v_i, a$  participate in an occurrence in  $w$  of some pattern  $p \in P$ . Suppose first that all values  $c - 1, c - 2, \dots, v_i + 1$  lie in between  $c$  and  $v_i$  in  $w$ . If these occur in decreasing order, then  $c$  and  $v_i$  must occur in the same decreasing run of  $v$ , but this is not the case since  $c$  appears at the beginning of its run, but after  $v_i$  in  $v$ . Thus there is some  $j \leq c - v_i - 2$  such that  $w^{-1}(c - j - 1) < w^{-1}(c - j)$ . In this case  $c, c - j - 1, c - j, v_i, a$  form an occurrence in  $w$  of the pattern 53421  $\in P^{3412}$ . Similarly, if all values  $v_i - 1, v_i - 2, \dots, a + 1$  lie in between  $v_i$  and  $a$  in  $w$ , then  $w$  contains an occurrence in  $w$  of the pattern 54231  $\in P$ .

In the only remaining case, there is some  $x \in \{c - 1, c - 2, \dots, v_i + 1\}$  not lying between  $c$  and  $v_i$  in  $w$  and some  $y \in \{v_i - 1, v_i - 2, \dots, a + 1\}$  not lying between  $v_i$

and  $a$  in  $w$ . Then the values  $\{c, v_i, a, x, y\}$  form a pattern  $p$  from  $P^{321}$  in  $w$  by (1), with  $c, v_i, a$  corresponding to the values 5, 3, 1 in  $p$  respectively and  $x, y$  corresponding to 4, 2.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3** *If  $w \in S_n$  is spherical then  $w$  avoids the patterns from  $P$ .*

**Proof** We will apply Proposition 3.3 and prove the contrapositive: if  $w$  contains a pattern  $p$  from  $P$ , then  $vw$  is not Boolean, where  $v = w_0(J(w))$ .

Suppose first that  $w$  contains a pattern  $p$  from  $P^{321}$  and that  $w_i, w_j, w_k$  with  $i < j < k$  correspond to the values 5, 3, 1 in  $p$  respectively. Since the 2 and 4 in the pattern  $p$  do not lie between  $w_j, w_k$  and  $w_i, w_j$  respectively, Proposition 4.1 implies that  $v(w_i) > v(w_j) > v(w_k)$  since  $v = v^{-1}$ . Thus  $vw$  contains the pattern 321 and is not Boolean by Theorem 2.2.

Suppose now that  $w$  contains a pattern  $p$  from  $P^{3412}$ . Let  $w_i, w_j, w_k, w_\ell$  with  $i < j < k < \ell$  correspond to the values  $\{1, 2, 4, 5\}$  from  $p$  (thus one of  $w_i, w_j$  corresponds to 4 and the other to 5, while one of  $w_k, w_\ell$  corresponds to 1 and the other 2). Since the 3 in the pattern  $p$  does not lie between the 2 and the 4, Proposition 4.1 implies that  $\min(v(w_i), v(w_j)) > \max(v(w_k), v(w_\ell))$ . Thus either  $vw$  contains the pattern 3412 in these positions or contains a 321 pattern in some subset of them. In either case  $vw$  is not Boolean.  $\square$

Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 together yield Theorem 1.4.

## References

1. Björner, A., Brenti, F.: Combinatorics of Coxeter groups, volume 231 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York (2005)
2. Brewster, D., Hodges, R., Yong, A.: Proper permutations, Schubert geometry, and randomness. J. Comb. (2020). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09749> [math.CO] (to appear)
3. Brion, M., Luna, D., Vust, T.: Espaces homogènes sphériques. Invent. Math. **84**(3), 617–632 (1986)
4. De Concini, C., Lakshmibai, V.: Arithmetic Cohen-Macaulayness and arithmetic normality for Schubert varieties. Am. J. Math. **103**(5), 835–850 (1981)
5. Ehresmann, C.: Sur la topologie de certains espaces homogènes. Ann. of Math. (2) **35**(2), 396–443 (1934)
6. Gao, Y., Hodges, R., Yong, A.: Classification of Levi-spherical Schubert varieties (2021). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.10101> [math.CO]
7. Hodges, R., Yong, A.: Coxeter combinatorics and spherical Schubert geometry. J. Lie Theory (2020). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.09238> [math.RT] (To appear)
8. Karuppuachamy, P.: On Schubert varieties. Commun. Algebra **41**(4), 1365–1368 (2013)
9. Lakshmibai, V., Sandhya, B.: Criterion for smoothness of Schubert varieties in  $Sl(n)/B$ . Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **100**(1), 45–52 (1990)
10. Luna, D.: Variétés sphériques de type A. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (94), 161–226 (2001)
11. Luna, D., Vust, T.: Plongements d’espaces homogènes. Comment. Math. Helv. **58**(2), 186–245 (1983)
12. Marcus, A., Tardos, G.: Excluded permutation matrices and the Stanley–Wilf conjecture. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **107**(1), 153–160 (2004)
13. Perrin, N.: On the geometry of spherical varieties. Transform. Groups **19**(1), 171–223 (2014)
14. Ramanan, S., Ramanathan, A.: Projective normality of flag varieties and Schubert varieties. Invent. Math. **79**(2), 217–224 (1985)
15. Tenner, B.E.: Pattern avoidance and the Bruhat order. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **114**(5), 888–905 (2007)
16. Tenner, B.E.: The range of repetition in reduced decompositions. Adv. Appl. Math. **122**(102107), 16 (2021)



17. Woo, A., Yong, A.: When is a Schubert variety Gorenstein? *Adv. Math.* **207**(1), 205–220 (2006)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.