

SCHMIDT RANK OF QUARTICS OVER PERFECT FIELDS

DAVID KAZHDAN AND ALEXANDER POLISHCHUK

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a perfect field of characteristic $\neq 2$. We prove that the Schmidt rank (also known as strength) of a quartic polynomial f over $\mathbf{k}$ is bounded above in terms of only the Schmidt rank of f over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$, an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{k}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that the *Schmidt rank* (also known as *strength*) of a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (see [1], [2] and references therein) is defined as the minimal number r such that f admits a decomposition $f = g_1 h_1 + \dots + g_r h_r$, with $\deg(g_i)$ and $\deg(h_i)$ smaller than $\deg(f)$. We denote the Schmidt rank of f as $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f)$.

It is conjectured in [1] that for a homogeneous polynomial f of degree d over a non-closed field $\mathbf{k}$ one has

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq \kappa_d \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^S(f),$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{k}$. This is known to be true for $d \leq 3$ with $\kappa_d = d$ since in this case the Schmidt rank is equal to the *slice rank* defined as the minimal r such that $f \in (l_1, \dots, l_r)$, where $\deg(l_i) = 1$ (see [5, Thm. A] for the case of cubics).

One can also ask a weaker question whether there exists a function $c(r, d)$ such that

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq c(\mathrm{rk}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^S(f), d).$$

Our main result is that this weaker question has a positive answer in the case of quartic polynomials.

Theorem A. *Assume that the ground field $\mathbf{k}$ is perfect of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then there exists a function $r \mapsto c(r)$ such that for any homogeneous quartic polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, such that $\mathrm{rk}_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}}^S(f) = r$, one has $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq c(r)$.*

We find it convenient to use the following refined version of Schmidt rank.

Definition 1.1. For a collection of nonnegative integers $(r_k, \dots, r_1)$ and a homogeneous polynomial f of degree d , we say that the *refined Schmidt rank* of f is at most $(r_k, \dots, r_1)$, and write $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (r_k, \dots, r_1)$, if there exists a decomposition over $\mathbf{k}$,

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} f_{ij} g_{ij},$$

where $\deg(f_{ij}) = d - \deg(g_{ij}) = j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

A.P. is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2001224, and within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program and by the Russian Academic Excellence Project ‘5-100’.

Thus, for a quartic polynomial f , we have $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (r_2, r_1)$ if there exist quadrics $q_1, \dots, q_{r_2}$ and linear forms $l_1, \dots, l_{r_1}$, such that $f \in (q_1, \dots, q_{r_2}, l_1, \dots, l_{r_1})$. In our proof of Theorem A we show the existence of functions $(c_2(r_2, r_1), c_1(r_2, r_1))$, such that if $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (r_2, r_1)$ then $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (c_2(r_2, r_1), c_1(r_2, r_1))$. Then one can set

$$c(r) = \max_{r_1+r_2=r} (c_2(r_2, r_1) + c_1(r_2, r_1)).$$

One can work through our proof of Theorem A and get explicit formulas for $(c_2(r_2, r_1), c_1(r_2, r_1))$. As an illustration of this, we give formulas for $(c_2(1, r_1), c_1(1, r_1))$.

Theorem B. *Let f be a homogeneous quartic polynomial defined over a perfect field $\mathbf{k}$ with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$. Assume that $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (1, r)$. Then $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (2, C(r))$, where*

$$C(r) = 8r(41 + 20 \cdot (10r + 1)^{10r+1}).$$

The main idea of the proof of Theorem A is to study decompositions of a quartic polynomial f of the form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \pmod{P},$$

where q_i, q'_i are of degree 2 and P is a subspace of linear forms. The main result about such decompositions is that if the rank of any linear combination of $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$ is sufficiently large then the above decomposition is essentially unique (possibly after enlarging P): the only way to get a new decomposition is by making an orthogonal change of basis in the linear space with the basis $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$. We then apply this result to the decompositions obtained from a given one over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ by applying the Galois group action. If the rank of $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$ is sufficiently large, then we obtain a 1-cocycle of the Galois group with values in the orthogonal group measuring how the decomposition transforms under the Galois action. We can assume that this 1-cocycle is trivial (after passing to an extension of $\mathbf{k}$ of small degree). We then use a certain linear algebra result from [4] to prove the existence of a decomposition with $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$ defined over $\mathbf{k}$. Furthermore, we have a bound on the slice rank of $f - \sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i$ over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$, and hence over $\mathbf{k}$ by [5, Thm. A]. This gives the required bound on the Schmidt rank of f over $\mathbf{k}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Criterion for an ideal generated by quadrics and linear forms to be prime.

In this subsection we fix a ground field $\mathbf{k}$ (and omit it from the notation). By a *quadric* we mean an element of $\mathbf{k}[V]_2$, i.e., a quadratic form.

Definition 2.1. For a subspace of quadrics Q , and a collection of quadrics $q_1, \dots, q_r$, we define $\text{srk}(q_1, \dots, q_r, Q)$ as the minimum of $\text{srk}(\sum_i c_i q_i + q)$, where $q \in Q$ and c_i are constants, such that either $q \neq 0$ or $(c_\bullet) \neq 0$. In particular, $\text{srk}(Q)$ is the minimal slice rank of a nonzero element of Q .

We denote by $\text{rk}(q)$ the usual rank of a quadric q . It is easy to see that the rank and the slice rank of a quadric q are related by

$$2\text{srk}(q) - 1 \leq \text{rk}(q) \leq 2\text{srk}(q).$$

In other words, we have

$$\text{srk}(q) = \lceil \frac{\text{rk}(q)}{2} \rceil.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $q_1, \dots, q_r$ be quadratic forms such that*

$$R = \min_{(c_1, \dots, c_r) \neq 0} \text{rk}(c_1 q_1 + \dots + c_r q_r) \geq 2r + 1.$$

Then the subscheme $q_1 = \dots = q_r = 0$ is normal connected of codimension r .

Proof. Consider the Jacobian matrix $J(q_1, \dots, q_r)$. The locus $S(q_1, \dots, q_r)$ where $J(q_1, \dots, q_r)$ has rank $< r$ coincides with the union of kernels of $\sum c_j q_j$ over $(c_1, \dots, c_r) \neq 0$. Hence,

$$\dim S(q_1, \dots, q_r) \leq (n - R) + (r - 1),$$

where $n - R$ is the maximal dimension of the kernels and $r - 1$ is the dimension of the base $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of the family of quadrics. Thus,

$$\text{codim}_V S(q_1, \dots, q_r) \geq R - (r - 1) \geq r + 2.$$

Since the codimension of $X := (q_1 = \dots = q_r = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ is $\leq r$, on a nonempty Zariski open subset of X , the rank of the Jacobian equals r . This implies that X has codimension r , and so $S(q_1, \dots, q_r) \cap X$ has codimension ≥ 2 in X . Thus, X is Cohen-Macaulay (as a complete intersection), nonsingular in codimension 1. Therefore, by Serre's $R_1 + S_2$ criterion (see [3, Thm. 8.22A], X is normal. Finally, X is connected as a complete intersection. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. (i) *Let Q be a subspace of quadratic forms such that $\text{srk}(Q) \geq \dim Q + 1$. Then the ideal (Q) is prime.*

(ii) *Let L be a subspace of linear forms, Q a subspace of quadratic forms. Assume that $\text{srk}(Q) \geq \dim Q + \dim L + 1$. Then the ideal (Q, L) is prime.*

Proof. (i) For any $q \in Q$ we have $\text{rk}(q) \geq 2\text{srk}(q) - 1 \geq 2\dim Q + 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, the subscheme defined by (Q) is normal connected, so integral. Therefore, the ideal (Q) is prime.

(ii) Consider the quotient $\bar{S} = S/(L)$ of the algebra of polynomials S by the ideal (L) . For any $q \in Q$ we have $\text{srk}(\bar{q}) \geq \text{srk}(q) - \dim L$, where $\bar{q}$ is the image of q in $\bar{S}$. Hence, the image $\bar{Q}$ of Q in $\bar{S}$ satisfies the assumptions of (i), so the ideal $(\bar{Q})$ in $\bar{S}$ is prime. Therefore, its preimage in S , namely (Q, L) , is also prime. $\square$

2.2. Almost invariant quadratic forms. We will use the following result from [4].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $E/\mathbf{k}$ be a finite Galois extension with the Galois group G , and let V_0, V'_0 be finite dimensional $\mathbf{k}$ -vector spaces. Let us set $V = V_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} E$, $V' = V'_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} E$. Suppose $T : V \rightarrow V'$ is an E -linear operator such that for any $\sigma \in G$, one has*

$$\text{rk}_E(\sigma(T) - T) \leq r,$$

for some $r \geq 0$. Then there exists a $\mathbf{k}$ -linear operator $T_0 : V_0 \rightarrow V'_0$, such that

$$\mathrm{rk}_E(T - T_0) \leq r(2 + (r + 1)^{r+1}),$$

where we view T_0 as an operator $V \rightarrow V'$ by extension of scalars.

We need the following consequence of this theorem for quadratic forms.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $E/\mathbf{k}$ be a finite Galois extension with the Galois group G , where $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$, and let V_0 be a finite dimensional $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space, $V = V_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} E$. Assume that q is a quadratic form on V such that for any $\sigma \in G$, one has $\mathrm{rk}_E(\sigma(q) - q) \leq r$ for some $r \geq 0$, where rk_E is the usual rank of the quadratic form. Then there exists a quadratic form q_0 on V_0 such that $\mathrm{rk}_E(q - q_0) \leq 2r(2 + (r + 1)^{r+1})$.*

Proof. Let $T : V \rightarrow V^*$ be the symmetric linear map associated with q . Our assumption implies that $\mathrm{rk}_E(\sigma(T) - T) \leq r$ for any $\sigma \in G$. By Theorem 2.4, there exists an operator $T_0 : V_0 \rightarrow V_0^*$ such that $\mathrm{rk}_E(T - T_0) \leq r(2 + (r + 1)^{r+1})$. Let $T_0^* : V_0 \rightarrow V_0^*$ be the dual operator. Then

$$\mathrm{rk}_E(T - \frac{1}{2}(T_0 + T_0^*)) \leq 2r(2 + (r + 1)^{r+1}),$$

so we can let q_0 be the quadratic form corresponding to $\frac{1}{2}(T_0 + T_0^*)$. $\square$

3. SCHMIDT RANK FOR QUARTICS

From now on we assume that the ground field $\mathbf{k}$ is perfect and has characteristic $\neq 2$.

3.1. Case $r_2 = 1$. We start with a proof of Theorem B dealing with the case $r_2 = 1$, since it is simpler but still shows the main idea.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{k}'/\mathbf{k}$ be a quadratic extension, and let f be a homogeneous polynomial over $\mathbf{k}$ such that $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}'}^S(f) \leq (r_2, r_1)$. Then $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (2r_2, 2r_1)$.*

Proof. By assumption $f \in (Q, P)$, where Q is a subspace of quadrics and P is a subspace of linear forms, both defined over $\mathbf{k}'$. Hence, $f \in (Q + \sigma(Q), P + \sigma(P))$, where σ is the generator of the Galois group of $\mathbf{k}'/\mathbf{k}$. Since the subspaces $Q + \sigma(Q)$ and $P + \sigma(P)$ are defined over $\mathbf{k}$, this implies the assertion. $\square$

Proof of Theorem B. We have to check that if $E/\mathbf{k}$ is a finite Galois extension, and

$$f \equiv qq' \pmod{(P)},$$

where q, q' are quadratic forms over E and P is an r -dimensional subspace of linear forms defined over E , then $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (2, C(r))$.

If $\mathrm{srk}_E(q) \leq 9r$ or $\mathrm{srk}_E(q') \leq 9r$ then $\mathrm{srk}_E(f) \leq 10r$, and so $\mathrm{srk}_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \leq 40r \leq C(r)$. Thus, we can assume that $\mathrm{srk}_E(q) > 9r$ and $\mathrm{srk}_E(q') > 9r$. Let G be the Galois group of $E/\mathbf{k}$. For any $\sigma \in G$ we have

$$f \equiv \sigma(q)\sigma(q') \pmod{(\sigma(P))}.$$

By assumption, the slice rank of $\bar{q} = q \pmod{(P + \sigma(P))}$ is ≥ 2 , hence the quadric $\bar{q}$ is irreducible. In other words, the ideal $(q, P + \sigma(P))$ is prime. Since $qq' \in (\sigma(q), P + \sigma(P))$,

we have either $q \in (\sigma(q), P + \sigma(P))$ or $q' \in (\sigma(q), P + \sigma(P))$. Since the slice ranks of q and q' are $> 2r$, this means that either

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(q) &\equiv c(\sigma) \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma(P))}, \quad \sigma(q') \equiv c(\sigma)^{-1} \cdot q' \pmod{(P + \sigma(P))}, \quad \text{or} \\ \sigma(q) &\equiv c(\sigma) \cdot q' \pmod{(P + \sigma(P))}, \quad \sigma(q') \equiv c(\sigma)^{-1} \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma(P))}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $c(\sigma) \in E^*$.

Let $H \subset G$ be the set of $\sigma \in G$ for which the first possibility holds. Let us consider separately two cases.

Case $\text{srk}_E(q, q') > 3r$. Assume first that $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in H$. Then we have

$$\sigma_1\sigma_2(q) \equiv \sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) \cdot \sigma_1(q) \equiv c(\sigma_1)\sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma_1(P) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P))}.$$

If $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \notin H$, we would get that a nontrivial linear combination of q and q' is in $(P + \sigma_1(P) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P))$, contradicting the assumption $\text{srk}_E(q, q') > 3r$. Hence, $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \in H$, so we have

$$\sigma_1\sigma_2(q) \equiv c(\sigma_1\sigma_2) \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P))}.$$

Comparing this with the previous congruence, we get

$$[c(\sigma_1)\sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) - c(\sigma_1\sigma_2)] \cdot q \equiv 0 \pmod{(P + \sigma_1(P) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P))}.$$

Since $\text{srk}_E(q) > 9r \geq 3r$, a nonzero multiple of q cannot be contained in $(P + \sigma_1(P) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P))$, we obtain

$$c(\sigma_1)\sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) - c(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = 0,$$

i.e., $c(\sigma)$ is a 1-cocycle of H . A similar argument shows that if exactly one of σ_1, σ_2 belongs to H then $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \notin H$, and if $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \notin H$ then $\sigma_1\sigma_2 \in H$, proving that H is a subgroup of index ≤ 2 in G .

Case $\text{srk}_E(q, q') \leq 3r$. In this case we have $q' \equiv cq \pmod{(P_0)}$ for some subspace of linear forms P_0 of dimension $\leq 3r$ (defined over E) and some $c \in E^*$. This implies that for $\sigma \notin H$ we have

$$\sigma(q) \equiv c(\sigma) \cdot q' \equiv c(\sigma)c \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma(P) + P_0)}.$$

Redefining $c(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \notin H$ we obtain that

$$\sigma(q) \equiv c(\sigma) \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma(P) + P_0)}, \quad \sigma(q') \equiv c(\sigma)^{-1} \cdot q' \pmod{(P + \sigma(P) + P_0)} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\sigma \in G$. Now for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$ we have

$$\sigma_1\sigma_2(q) \equiv \sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) \cdot \sigma_1(q) \equiv c(\sigma_1)\sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma_1(P) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P) + P_0 + \sigma_1(P_0))}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sigma_1\sigma_2(q) \equiv c(\sigma_1\sigma_2) \cdot q \pmod{(P + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P) + P_0)}.$$

Thus, we get

$$[c(\sigma_1)\sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) - c(\sigma_1\sigma_2)] \cdot q \equiv 0 \pmod{(P + \sigma_1(P) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P) + P_0 + \sigma_1(P_0))}.$$

Note that $\dim(P + \sigma_1(P) + \sigma_1\sigma_2(P) + P_0 + \sigma_1(P_0)) \leq 9r$. Since $\text{srk}_E(q) > 9r$, this is possible only if $c(\sigma_1)\sigma_1(c(\sigma_2)) - c(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = 0$, i.e., $c(\sigma)$ is a 1-cocycle of H .

In either case we obtain that for a subgroup $H \subset G$ of index ≤ 2 and a subspace of linear forms P_0 of dimension $\leq 3r$, the congruences (3.1) hold for some 1-cocycle $c : H \rightarrow E^*$.

Let $\mathbf{k}'/\mathbf{k}$ be the subextension of E corresponding to the subgroup $H \subset G$, so that the extension $E/\mathbf{k}'$ is Galois with the Galois group H . By Hilbert's Theorem 90, the cocycle $c(\sigma)$ of H is trivial, so rescaling q and q' , we can assume that

$$\sigma(q) \equiv q \pmod{(P + \sigma(P) + P_0)}, \quad \sigma(q') \equiv q' \pmod{(P + \sigma(P) + P_0)}$$

for all $\sigma \in H$. But this implies that $\text{srk}_E(\sigma(q) - q) \leq 5r$ and $\text{srk}_E(\sigma(q') - q') \leq 5r$. Hence, we obtain

$$\text{rk}_E(\sigma(q) - q) \leq 10r, \quad \text{rk}_E(\sigma(q') - q') \leq 10r$$

for all $\sigma \in H$. By Corollary 2.5, there exist quadrics q_0 and q'_0 defined over $\mathbf{k}'$ such that

$$\max(\text{rk}_E(q - q_0), \text{rk}_E(q' - q'_0)) \leq 20r(2 + (10r + 1)^{10r+1}).$$

Hence,

$$\max(\text{srk}_E(q - q_0), \text{srk}_E(q' - q'_0)) \leq 10r(2 + (10r + 1)^{10r+1}).$$

It follows that

$$\text{srk}_E(f - q_0 q'_0) \leq \text{srk}_E(f - q q') + \text{srk}_E(q q' - q_0 q'_0) \leq r + 20r(2 + (10r + 1)^{10r+1}) = r(41 + 20 \cdot (10r + 1)^{10r+1}).$$

By [5, Thm. A], this implies that

$$\text{srk}_{\mathbf{k}'}(f - q_0 q'_0) \leq 4r(41 + 20 \cdot (10r + 1)^{10r+1}),$$

hence, $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}'}^S(f) \leq (1, 4r(41 + 20 \cdot (10r + 1)^{10r+1}))$. Since the extension $\mathbf{k}'/\mathbf{k}$ is either trivial or quadratic, applying Lemma 3.1 we get the result. $\square$

3.2. Quadratic decompositions of quartics.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume we have a collection of quadrics*

$$q_1, \dots, q_r, q'_1, \dots, q'_r, p_1, \dots, p_s, p'_1, \dots, p'_s,$$

where $r > s$, and a subspace of quadrics Q , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^s p_i p'_i \pmod{(Q)}.$$

Then for some constants $a_1, \dots, a_r, a'_1, \dots, a'_r$ such that $\sum_i a_i a'_i = 0$, we have

$$\text{srk}\left(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), Q\right) \leq c(r, s, \dim Q) := 2^s(r + \dim Q) + 2^{s-1}(s - 2).$$

Proof. We use the induction on s . In the case $s = 0$ we have to prove that

$$\text{srk}\left(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), Q\right) \leq c(r, 0, \dim Q) = r + \dim Q - 1$$

for some isotropic $(a_\bullet, a'_\bullet)$. Indeed, assume this is not true. Then $\text{srk}(q_1, \dots, q_{r-1}, Q) \geq r + \dim Q$, so by Proposition 2.3, the ideal $(q_1, \dots, q_{r-1}, Q)$ is prime. But we have

$$q_r q'_r \in (q_1, \dots, q_{r-1}, Q).$$

Hence, swapping q_r with q'_r if necessary, we deduce that $q_r \in (q_1, \dots, q_{r-1}, Q)$. But this implies that $\text{srk}(q_r + c_1 q_1 + \dots + c_{r-1} q_{r-1} + q) = 0$ for some constants c_i and $q \in Q$, which contradicts the assumption $\text{srk}(q_\bullet, Q) \geq r + \dim Q \geq r > 0$.

Assume the assertion holds for $s - 1$. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=2}^s p_i p'_i \pmod{(p_1, Q)}.$$

Hence, by the induction assumption,

$$\text{srk}\left(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), p_1, Q\right) \leq c(r, s - 1, \dim Q + 1)$$

for some isotropic $(a_\bullet, a'_\bullet)$. Changing the basis in $(q_\bullet)$, we can assume that either $\text{srk}(q_1, Q) \leq c(r, s - 1, \dim Q + 1)$, or there exists a subspace L of linear forms of dimension $\leq c(r, s - 1, \dim Q + 1)$ such that $p_1 \in (q_1, Q, L)$. In the former case we are done since $c(r, s, \dim Q) \geq c(r, s - 1, \dim Q + 1)$. In the latter case, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=2}^s p_i p'_i \pmod{(q_1, Q, L)}.$$

Applying the induction assumption we obtain that there exists an isotropic vector $(a_{>1}, a'_{>1})$ such that

$$\text{srk}_L\left(\sum_{i=2}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), q_1, Q\right) \leq c(r - 1, s - 1, \dim Q + 1),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{srk}\left(\sum_{i=2}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), q_1, Q\right) &\leq c(r - 1, s - 1, \dim Q + 1) + \dim L \leq \\ &c(r - 1, s - 1, \dim Q + 1) + c(r, s - 1, \dim Q + 1) = c(r, s, \dim Q). \end{aligned}$$

Since any linear combination of $\sum_{i=2}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i)$ with q_1 will correspond to an isotropic vector, the assertion follows. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let Q be a subspace of quadrics,*

$$q_1, \dots, q_r, q'_1, \dots, q'_r, p_1, \dots, p_r, p'_1, \dots, p'_r$$

quadratic forms, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r p_i p'_i \pmod{(Q)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Assume that for any constants $a_1, \dots, a_r, a'_1, \dots, a'_r$ such that $\sum_i a_i a'_i = 0$, we have

$$\text{srk}\left(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), Q\right) \geq C(r, \dim Q) := 2^r(r + \dim Q) + 2^{r-1}(r - 2) + 1.$$

Then there exists a subspace of linear forms L of dimension at most

$$D(r, \dim Q) := (2^r - 1)(r + \dim Q - 1) + r \cdot 2^{r-1}$$

and a linear transformation $A : \mathbf{k}^{2r} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{2r}$ preserving the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i$, such that for the linear operator ϕ from $\mathbf{k}^{2r}$ to the space of quadrics sending the standard basis $(e_\bullet, f_\bullet)$ to $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$, we have

$$p_i \equiv \phi(Ae_i), \quad p'_i \equiv \phi(Af_i) \pmod{(Q, L)}.$$

Proof. We use induction on r . In the case $r = 0$ we can take $L = 0$, $D(0, \dim Q) = 0$.

Assume the assertion holds for $r - 1$. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=2}^r p_i p'_i \pmod{(p_1, Q)}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.2, changing $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$ by an orthogonal transformation, we can achieve that

$$\text{srk}(q_1, p_1, Q) \leq c(r, r - 1, \dim Q + 1).$$

Since $\text{srk}(q_1, Q) \geq C(r, \dim Q) \geq c(r, r - 1, \dim Q + 1) + 1$, this implies that there exists a subspace of linear forms L of dimension $\leq c(r, r - 1, \dim Q + 1)$, such that

$$p_1 \in (q_1, Q, L).$$

Note that if $p_1 \in (Q, L)$ then we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=2}^r p_i p'_i \pmod{(Q, L)}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.2, we would get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{srk}\left(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), Q\right) &\leq \text{srk}_L\left(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), Q\right) + \dim L \leq \\ &c(r, r - 1, \dim Q) + c(r, r - 1, \dim Q + 1) \leq C(r, \dim Q) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, rescaling q_1 and q'_1 , we can assume that

$$p_1 \equiv q_1 \pmod{(Q, L)}.$$

Also, from $p_1 \in (q_1, Q, L)$ we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=2}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=2}^r p_i p'_i \pmod{(q_1, Q, L)}.$$

Since for any isotropic vector $(a_{>1}, a'_{>1})$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{srk}_L\left(\sum_{i=2}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), q_1, Q\right) &\geq \text{srk}\left(\sum_{i=2}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i), q_1, Q\right) - \dim L \geq C(r, \dim Q) - \dim L \geq \\ &C(r, \dim Q) - c(r, r - 1, \dim Q + 1) \geq C(r - 1, \dim Q + 1), \end{aligned}$$

we can apply the induction hypothesis and deduce that for some subspace of linear forms $L' \supset L$ of dimension

$$D(r - 1, \dim Q + 1) + \dim L \leq D(r - 1, \dim Q + 1) + c(r, r - 1, \dim Q + 1) = D(r, \dim Q),$$

after changing the basis $(q_2, \dots, q_r, q'_2, \dots, q'_r)$ by an orthogonal transformation, we have

$$p_i \equiv q_i, \quad p'_i \equiv q'_i \pmod{(q_1, Q, L')},$$

for $i \geq 2$. This means that we have

$$p_i \equiv q_i + c_i q_1, \quad p'_i \equiv q'_i + c'_i q_1 \pmod{(Q, L')},$$

for $i \geq 2$. Substituting this into (3.2) and recalling that $p_1 \equiv q_1 \pmod{(Q, L)}$, we get

$$q_1 q'_1 \equiv q_1 \cdot \left[p'_1 + \sum_{i=2}^r (c_i q'_i + c'_i q_i) + \left(\sum_{i=2}^r c_i c'_i \right) q_1 \right] \pmod{(Q, L')}.$$

Since

$$\text{srk}(Q) \geq C(r, \dim Q) \geq \dim Q + D(r, \dim Q) + 1 \geq \dim Q + \dim L' + 1,$$

by Proposition 2.3, the ideal (Q, L') is prime, so we get

$$p'_1 \equiv q'_1 - \sum_{i=2}^r (c_i q'_i + c'_i q_i) - \left(\sum_{i=2}^r c_i c'_i \right) q_1 \pmod{(Q, L')}.$$

It remains to observe that the linear transformation

$$\begin{aligned} A e_1 &= e_1, & A f_1 &= f_1 - \sum_{i=2}^r (c_i f_i + c'_i e_i) - \left(\sum_{i=2}^r c_i c'_i \right) e_1, \\ A e_i &= e_i + c_i e_1, & A f_i &= f_i + c'_i e_1, \text{ for } i \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

preserves the quadratic form $\sum x_i y_i$. □

We are mainly interested in the case $Q = 0$ in the above proposition (the case of general Q was introduced in order for the inductive argument to work).

Corollary 3.4. (i) *Assume that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i p'_i,$$

where q_i, q'_i, p_i, p'_i are quadrics and

$$\text{srk}\left(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i)\right) \geq C(r, 0) = (r-1) \cdot 2^r + r \cdot 2^{r-1} + 1$$

for any isotropic $(a_\bullet, a'_\bullet)$. Then there exists a subspace of linear forms L of dimension at most

$$D(r, 0) = (r-1) \cdot (2^r - 1) + r \cdot 2^{r-1} \leq C(r, 0)$$

and a linear transformation $A : \mathbf{k}^{2r} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{2r}$ preserving the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i$, such that for the linear operator ϕ from $\mathbf{k}^{2r}$ to the space of quadrics sending the standard basis $(e_\bullet, f_\bullet)$ to $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$, we have

$$p_i \equiv \phi(A e_i), \quad p'_i \equiv \phi(A f_i) \pmod{(L)}.$$

(ii) *Assume that*

$$q_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i = p_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r p_i p'_i,$$

where q_i, q'_i, p_i, p'_i are quadrics and for any constants $a_0, \dots, a_r, a'_1, \dots, a'_r$ such that $a_0^2 + 4 \sum_i a_i a'_i = 0$, one has $\text{srk}(a_0 q_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i)) \geq c(r+1, r, 0) + C(r, 0) + 1$. Then there exists a subspace of linear forms L of dimension at most $D(r, 0) + c(r+1, r, 0)$, such that after making a linear change in $(q_0, \dots, q_r, q'_1, \dots, q'_r)$ preserving the quadratic form $a_0^2 + 4 \sum_{i=1}^r a_i a'_i$, one has

$$q_0 \equiv p_0, \quad q_i \equiv p_i, \quad q'_i \equiv p'_i \pmod{(L)}.$$

Proof. (i) This is the case $Q = 0$ of Proposition 3.3.

(ii) We have

$$(q_0 - p_0)(q_0 + p_0) + \sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i p'_i.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.2, there exists a nonzero vector $(a_0, \dots, a_r, a'_1, \dots, a'_r, b)$ such that

$$(a_0 - b)(a_0 + b) + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i a'_i = 0$$

and

$$\text{srk}((a_0 - b)(q_0 + p_0) + (a_0 + b)(q_0 - p_0) + \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i)) \leq c(r+1, r, 0).$$

We can rewrite these conditions as

$$a_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i a'_i = b^2$$

and $\text{srk}(a_0 q_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i) - b p_0, Q) \leq c(r+1, r, 0)$. Note that if $b = 0$ we would get a contradiction with the assumption that $\text{srk}(a_0 q_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i) - b p_0, Q) > c(r+1, r, 0) + C(r, 0) \geq c(r+1, r, 0)$. Hence, we necessarily have $b \neq 0$. Thus, after making an orthogonal transformation of $(q_0, \dots, q_r, q'_1, \dots, q'_r)$, we can assume that $\text{srk}(q_0 - p_0) \leq c(r+1, r, 0)$. Thus, we have $q_0 \equiv p_0 \pmod{(L_0)}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r p_i p'_i \pmod{(L_0)},$$

for some subspace of linear forms L_0 of dimension $\leq c(r+1, r, 0)$.

Now applying part (i), we find a subspace of linear forms $L \supset L_0$ of dimension $\leq D(r, 0) + c(r+1, r, 0)$, such that after an orthogonal change of $(q_1, \dots, q_r, q'_1, \dots, q'_r)$, we have

$$p_i \equiv q_i \pmod{(L)}, \quad p'_i \equiv q'_i \pmod{(L)}.$$

□

3.3. Proof of Theorem A. We will prove the existence of functions $c_1(r_2, r_1)$ and $c_2(r_2, r_1)$ such that if a quartic f satisfies $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (r_2, r_1)$ then $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (c_2(r_2, r_1), c_1(r_2, r_1))$.

We use the induction on r_2 . In the case $r_2 = 0$ we just have the slice rank, so by [5, Thm. A], we can set

$$c_1(0, r_1) = 4r_1, \quad c_2(0, r_1) = 0.$$

Now assume that the functions $c_1(r_1, r_2)$ and $c_2(r_1, r_2)$ are already constructed for $r_2 < r$. Let f be a quartic over $\mathbf{k}$, and $E/\mathbf{k}$ is a finite Galois extension such that $\text{rk}_E^S(f) \leq (r, p)$, i.e., over E we have a decomposition

$$f \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \pmod{(P)}, \tag{3.3}$$

where (q_i, q'_i) are quadrics, and P is a subspace of linear forms of dimension p .

Below we will use constants $C(r, 0)$, $D(r, 0)$ and $c(r, s, 0)$ introduced in Sec. 3.2, and we set

$$N := 2p + C(r, 0).$$

Case 1. Assume first that $\text{srk}(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet) > 6p + 3C(r, 0)$.

Note that for any element σ of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbf{k})$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma(q_i) \sigma(q'_i) \pmod{(P + \sigma(P))}.$$

Since $\text{srk}_{P+\sigma(P)}(\sum_i (a_i q_i + a'_i q'_i)) > 4p + 3C(r, 0) \geq C(r, 0)$ for any nonzero $(a_\bullet, a'_\bullet)$, by Corollary 3.4(i), there exists a subspace of linear forms $L_\sigma \supset P + \sigma(P)$ of dimension $\leq N = 2p + C(r, 0)$ and an E -linear orthogonal transformation A_σ of the $2r$ dimensional space with the basis $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$ such that

$$\sigma(q_i) \equiv A_\sigma(q_i), \quad \sigma(q'_i) \equiv A_\sigma(q'_i) \pmod{(L_\sigma)}$$

(note that here $A_\sigma(q_i)$ and $A_\sigma(q'_i)$ are linear combinations of $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$).

We claim that $\sigma \mapsto A_\sigma$ defines a cocycle with values in the orthogonal group. Indeed, applying an element σ_1 of the Galois group to the congruence $\sigma_2(q_i) \equiv A_{\sigma_2}(q_i) \pmod{(L_{\sigma_2})}$, we get

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_2(q_i) \equiv \sigma_1(A_{\sigma_2})(\sigma_1(q_i)) \pmod{(\sigma_1(L_{\sigma_2}))},$$

where $\sigma_1(A_{\sigma_2})$ is the orthogonal matrix obtained by applying σ_1 to the matrix A_{σ_2} . Hence, we have

$$\sigma_1(A_{\sigma_2}) A_{\sigma_1}(q_i) \equiv \sigma_1(A_{\sigma_2})(\sigma_1(q_i)) \equiv \sigma_1 \sigma_2(q_i) \pmod{(\sigma_1(L_{\sigma_2}) + L_{\sigma_1})},$$

hence,

$$q_i \equiv A_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}^{-1} \sigma_1(A_{\sigma_2}) A_{\sigma_1}(q_i) \pmod{(\sigma_1(L_{\sigma_2}) + L_{\sigma_1} + L_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2})}.$$

Similarly,

$$q'_i \equiv A_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}^{-1} \sigma_1(A_{\sigma_2}) A_{\sigma_1}(q'_i) \pmod{(\sigma_1(L_{\sigma_2}) + L_{\sigma_1} + L_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2})}.$$

Since $\text{srk}(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet) > 3N \geq \dim(\sigma_1(L_{\sigma_2}) + L_{\sigma_1} + L_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2})$, this implies that $A_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \sigma_1(A_{\sigma_2}) A_{\sigma_1}$.

Recall that the nonabelian H^1 of the Galois group of $E/\mathbf{k}$ with values in the group of E -linear transformations preserving the standard quadratic form $Q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i$ classifies equivalence classes of nondegenerate quadratic forms on $\mathbf{k}^{2r}$, which become equivalent to Q_0 over E (see [6, III.1.2]), so that the trivial class in H^1 corresponds to forms equivalent to Q_0 over $\mathbf{k}$.

Let Q be the quadratic form over $\mathbf{k}$ corresponding to our cocycle $\sigma \mapsto A_\sigma$. We can find a basis such that

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i x_i^2 + \mu_i y_i^2),$$

for some $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbf{k}^*$. Let $\mathbf{k}' \supset \mathbf{k}$ denote the field extension obtained by adjoining r square roots $(\sqrt{-\mu_i/\lambda_i})$ to $\mathbf{k}$. Then over $\mathbf{k}'$ we can write

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i (x_i + \sqrt{-\mu_i/\lambda_i} y_i)(x_i - \sqrt{-\mu_i/\lambda_i} y_i),$$

so Q is equivalent to Q_0 over $\mathbf{k}'$. Note that $[\mathbf{k}' : \mathbf{k}] \leq 2^r$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbf{k}' \subset E$. The fact that Q becomes equivalent to Q_0 over $\mathbf{k}'$ means that the cocycle $\sigma \mapsto A_\sigma$ becomes a coboundary when restricted to $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbf{k}')$. Thus, we can make an orthogonal change of basis in $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$ such that

$$\sigma(q_i) \equiv q_i, \quad \sigma(q'_i) \equiv q'_i \pmod{(L_\sigma)}$$

for any σ in the Galois group $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbf{k}')$. By Corollary 2.5, there exist quadratic forms $\bar{q}_\bullet, \bar{q}'_\bullet$ defined over $\mathbf{k}'$, such that

$$\text{srk}(q_i - \bar{q}_i) \leq N', \quad \text{srk}(q'_i - \bar{q}'_i) \leq N',$$

with $N' = N(2 + (2N + 1)^{2N+1})$.

Thus, we have

$$f - \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{q}_i \bar{q}'_i \in (P')$$

for some subspace of linear forms P' over E of dimension $\leq N'' = p + 2rN'$. In other words, the slice rank of $\tilde{f} = f - \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{q}_i \bar{q}'_i$ over E is $\leq N''$. By [5, Thm. A], this implies that the slice rank of $\tilde{f}$ over $\mathbf{k}'$ is $\leq 4N''$. This means that

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}'}^S(f) \leq (r, 4N'').$$

By Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}'}^S(f) \leq (2^r \cdot r, 2^r \cdot 4N'').$$

Case 2. Next, assume that $\text{srk}(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet) \leq 3N$, so there exists a nontrivial linear combination $\sum_i a_i q_i + \sum_i a'_i q'_i$ which has slice rank $\leq 3N$. The restriction of the quadratic form $\sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i$ to the linear subspace $\sum_i a_i x_i + \sum_i a'_i y_i = 0$ has rank $2r - 1$ or $2r - 2$. So enlarging E if necessary we can find linear combinations $\bar{q}_0, (\bar{q}_i, \bar{q}'_i)_{i=1}^{r-1}$ of $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$ (where possibly $\bar{q} = 0$) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r q_i q'_i \equiv \bar{q}_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \bar{q}_i \bar{q}'_i \pmod{(\sum_i a_i q_i + \sum_i a'_i q'_i)}.$$

Hence, renaming $\bar{q}_i, \bar{q}'_i$ by q_i, q'_i , we obtain

$$f \equiv q_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i q'_i \pmod{(P')},$$

where P' is a space of linear forms of dimension $\leq p' = \dim P' \leq p + 3N$.

Furthermore, we claim that we can assume that $\text{srk}(q_0, q_\bullet, q'_\bullet) > 3N'$, where

$$N' = D(r - 1, 0) + c(r, r - 1, 0) + 2p'.$$

Indeed, otherwise arguing as above we obtain a decomposition (3.3) with r replaced by $r - 1$ and p replaced by $p'' = p' + 3N'$. In other words, we would have $\text{rk}_E^S(f) \leq (r - 1, p'')$, so by the induction assumption we would deduce that

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}}^S(f) \leq (c_2(r - 1, p''), c_1(r - 1, p'')).$$

Since for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/\mathbf{k})$, one has

$$q_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} q_i q'_i \equiv \sigma(q_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sigma(q_i) \sigma(q'_i) \pmod{(P' + \sigma P')},$$

by Corollary 3.4(ii) with r replaced by $r-1$, we get that

$$\sigma(q_0) \equiv A_\sigma q_0, \quad \sigma(q_i) \equiv A_\sigma q_i, \quad \sigma(q'_i) \equiv A_\sigma q'_i \pmod{(L_\sigma)},$$

for some orthogonal transformation A_σ and a subspace of linear forms $L_\sigma \supset P' + \sigma P'$ of dimension $\leq N'$ (here to verify the assumptions of Corollary 3.4(ii) we use the inequality

$$3N' \geq 3D(r-1, 0) + 3c(r, r-1, 0) \geq C(r-1, 0) + c(r-1, r-2, 0)$$

which is easy to check). Since $\text{srk}(q_0, q_\bullet, q'_\bullet) > 3N'$, as in Case 1, this implies that $\sigma \mapsto A_\sigma$ is a 1-cocycle.

Arguing as in Case 1, we find a subextension $\mathbf{k}' \subset E$ obtained by adjoining at most $r-1$ square roots to $\mathbf{k}$, such that after making a change of basis in $(q_\bullet, q'_\bullet)$, we get

$$\sigma(q_i) \equiv q_i, \quad \sigma(q'_i) \equiv q'_i \pmod{(L_\sigma)}$$

for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/\mathbf{k}')$. Hence, by Corollary 2.5, there exist quadratic forms $\bar{q}_\bullet, \bar{q}'_\bullet$ defined over $\mathbf{k}'$, such that

$$\text{srk}(q_i - \bar{q}_i) \leq N'' \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, r-1, \quad \text{srk}(q'_i - \bar{q}'_i) \leq N'' \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r-1,$$

with $N'' = N'(2 + (2N' + 1)^{2N'+1})$, and we get

$$\text{srk}_E(f - \bar{q}_0^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \bar{q}_i \bar{q}'_i) \leq M = p' + (2r-1)N''.$$

By [5, Thm. A], this implies that the slice rank of $\tilde{f}$ over $\mathbf{k}'$ is $\leq 4M$, so we get

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}'}^S(f) \leq (r, 4M),$$

and so by Lemma 3.1,

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbf{k}'}^S(f) \leq (2^r \cdot r, 2^r \cdot 4M).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Adiprasito, D. Kazhdan, T. Ziegler, *On the Schmidt and analytic ranks for trilinear forms*, arXiv:2102.03659.
- [2] E. Ballico, A. Bik, A. Oneto, E. Ventura, *Strength and slice rank of forms are generically equal*, arXiv:2102.11549.
- [3] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [4] D. Kazhdan, A. Polishchuk, *Almost invariant subspaces and operators*, arXiv:2107.08085.
- [5] D. Kazhdan, A. Polishchuk, *Linear subspaces of minimal codimension in hypersurfaces*, arXiv:2107.08080.
- [6] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM
91904, ISRAEL

Email address: `kazhdan@math.huji.ac.il`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA; NATIONAL
RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS; AND KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED
STUDY

Email address: `apolish@uoregon.edu`