

ORDINARY DEFORMATIONS ARE UNOBSTRUCTED IN THE CYCLOTOMIC LIMIT*

ASHAY BURUNGALÉ[†] AND LAURENT CLOZEL[‡]

Abstract. The deformation theory of ordinary representations of the absolute Galois groups of totally real number fields (over a finite field k) has been studied for a long time, starting with the work of Hida, Mazur and Tilouine, and continued by Wiles and others. Hida has studied the behaviour of these deformations when one considers the p -cyclotomic tower of extensions of the field. In the limit, one obtains a deformation ring R_∞ classifying the ordinary deformations of the (Galois group of) the p -cyclotomic extension. We show that if R_∞ is Noetherian and certain adjoint μ -invariants vanish (as is often expected), then R_∞ is free over the ring of Witt vectors of k .

Key words. Galois deformation theory, ordinary deformations, Iwasawa theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F80, 11R23, 11S25.

1. Introduction.

1.1. Setup. Let p be an odd prime. Let F be a totally real field of degree d over $\mathbb{Q}$, unramified at p . All extensions of F are contained in a fixed algebraic closure. Let F_∞ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of F , and $F_n \subset F_\infty$ the subextension of degree p^n . Thus $F_0 = F$. Note that F (and therefore F_n) does not contain the p -th roots of unity.

We write $\mathfrak{p}$ for a prime of F dividing p . Since F is unramified at p , we have (ram) F_n/F_0 is totally ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Let S be a finite set of places of F , containing the infinite and p -adic places, and let F_S be the maximal extension of F unramified outside S ; ditto $F_{n,S}$. We define $\Gamma_0 = \text{Gal}(F_S/F)$ and similarly $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F_{n,S}/F_n)$.

In this setting, given an ordinary residual representation $\bar{\rho} : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(k)$ for k a finite field of characteristic p (cf. §1.3) one has the ordinary deformation ring R_n of $\bar{\rho}|_{\Gamma_n}$, classifying weight two ordinary deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_{\Gamma_n}$ unramified outside S . It has been first studied by Hida [15]. One expects the size of R_n to grow as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We can form the inverse limit $R_\infty = \varprojlim R_n$. Suitably interpreted (below), it is the ordinary deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}|_{F_\infty}$. Our goal is to show that, under certain natural assumptions, such ordinary deformations are unobstructed:

$$R_\infty \cong W(k)[[X_1, \dots, X_s]]$$

for $W(k)$ the Witt ring and $s \geq 1$ an integer. Theorem 1.6 is our main result. The assumptions are R_∞ is Noetherian, and certain adjoint μ -invariants vanish (see §4.2).

In general, the obstructions are measured by the second adjoint Galois cohomology. Note that the p -cohomological dimension of F_∞ is 1, cf. Serre [23, Ch.2, Prop. 9]. (Recall that primes of F over p are totally ramified in F_∞ , and that primes not dividing p are inert, at least after a finite extension F_n of F .) So, without the ‘ordinary’ condition, the deformations are unobstructed over F_∞ . The corresponding deformation ring is however non-Noetherian. In contrast the ordinary deformation ring R_∞ is

*Received April 8, 2021; accepted for publication March 15, 2023.

[†]California Institute of Technology, 1200 E California Blvd, Pasadena CA 91125, USA; and The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA (ashayburungale@gmail.com).

[‡]Mathématiques Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France (laurent.clozel@math.u-psud.fr).

expected to be often Noetherian and well-controlled (cf. Hida's non-abelian Leopoldt conjecture [13]). To investigate whether it is smooth, one needs appropriately to account for the ordinary condition, which could yield obstructions. Much of our work will consist in proving the vanishing of the relevant H^2 's over F_∞ . There will be two main steps: a calculation of tangent spaces for infinite level local deformation problems (cf. section 2) and a weak Leopoldt-type result (cf. section 4). The latter relies on the finiteness of the adjoint Bloch-Kato Selmer groups over F_n (due to Allen [1]), and is also closely related to the adjoint μ -invariants.

1.2. Context. Following Hida's discovery of p -adic families of modular forms (cf. [10], [11]), Mazur [17] introduced Galois deformation theory in the mid 80's. It has a rich history (cf. [27]), and continues to be fundamental to the study of Galois representations and their arithmetic. Iwasawa theory of deformation rings was initiated by Hida in the late 90's (cf. [14], [15]). It arose in the context of Iwasawa theory of the adjoint of a p -adic family of modular forms.

The problem of the growth of deformation rings in the cyclotomic tower has been posed by Hida [15, pp. 354–357]. He proved that the vanishing of an adjoint μ -invariant implies R_∞ is Noetherian (cf. [15, Cor. 5.11]). The mysterious invariant $s \geq 1$ encodes the growth. In [3] we will provide examples with $s > 1$ for $\bar{\rho}$ verifying suitable conditions, and for a large set of ramification S . One may seek arithmetic significance of the invariant s , such as its link with the adjoint Iwasawa theory. It is especially instructive to consider the residually CM case, which may lead to link with CM Iwasawa theory (cf. [19], [16]). Another basic problem is to explore connections with infinite level modular forms introduced in [5], [6].

As for the assumptions in our main theorem, it is expected that the μ -invariant typically vanishes if the underlying Galois representation is residually irreducible (cf. [24]). We are not aware of any general result towards it. Nevertheless, Remark 1.7 (2) presents some examples which illustrate the main theorem. The vanishing of the μ -invariant seems critical (following Perrin-Riou) for Proposition 4.5.

We may ask¹ if the main result can be proved for ${}^L G$ -valued deformations of a ${}^L G$ -valued mod p Galois representation with G a reductive group. To follow the current approach, it seems essential to impose adequacy for the image of the mod p Galois representation and suppose the vanishing of certain adjoint μ -invariants. We remark that a key input in the current approach due to Allen [1] is already available for $G = \mathrm{GL}_d$.

Acknowledgements. This work was begun by one of us (LC) in 2015, in collaboration with Akshay Venkatesh. Although he contributed a large part of its content, Venkatesh has declined to sign the final version. We wish to thank him for the impetus to this work.

We are grateful to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions. We also thank Patrick Allen, Gebhard Boeckle, Haruzo Hida, Chandrashekhara Khare, Barry Mazur, Richard Taylor and Jacques Tilouine for helpful exchanges.

NOTATIONS. Let $F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$ be the localisation of F_n at the unique prime above $\mathfrak{p}$. When $\mathfrak{p}$ is understood we will write $K_n := F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $[K_n : K_0] = p^n$.

We set $\Delta_n = \mathrm{Gal}(F_n/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\Delta_\infty = \varprojlim \Delta_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Also put

$$\Omega = \varprojlim k[\Delta_n] \cong k[[T]]$$

¹Tilouine and Urban have recently announced such a generalisation.

for the (modular) Iwasawa algebra, where k is a finite field of characteristic p , and

$$\Lambda = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]].$$

If V is a k -vector space we write V^* for its linear dual.

If L is a perfect field, we write G_L for its absolute Galois group (for a choice of an algebraic closure).

1.3. Ordinarity. Let K be a p -adic field, k its residue field, and A a local $W(k)$ -algebra. A representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ is called *ordinary of weight two* if it has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega\varepsilon & * \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varepsilon : G_K \rightarrow A^\times$ is unramified, $\bar{\varepsilon}^2 \neq 1$ for $\bar{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A$, and

$$\omega : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow A^\times$$

is the cyclotomic character. (Actually, $\bar{\varepsilon}^2 \neq 1$ is an additional hypothesis, often referred to as the p -distinguished hypothesis.)

We will write $A[\chi]$ for the free A -module of rank 1 on which G_K acts by the character χ . The coefficient $*$ defines a class $e \in \mathrm{Ext}_K^1(A[\varepsilon^{-1}], A[\omega\varepsilon]) = H^1(K, A[\omega\varepsilon^2])$.

For a global field F , a representation ρ of the Galois group into $\mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ is called *ordinary of weight two* if its restriction to F_v (for any prime v above p) is ordinary of weight two. We also assume that the determinant of ρ is the cyclotomic character.

We will consider representations of Γ_n , thus unramified outside S . For the places in S away from p , we impose no conditions (‘unrestricted deformations’.) (We could impose local conditions, given by compatible deformation data $(\mathcal{D}_{n,q})$ for the primes q dividing $S \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}|p\}$, the conditions being compatible with respect to the field extensions. However it seems delicate to check the arguments of §4 in this more general situation.)

Let k be a finite field of characteristic p . Let $\bar{\rho} : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be an absolutely irreducible representation satisfying the following.

- (ord) $\bar{\rho}$ is ordinary of weight 2.
- (irr $_{F(\zeta_p)}$) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible.
- (NS) The restriction of $\bar{\rho}$ to $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is absolutely indecomposable² for all $\mathfrak{p}$.
- (det) The determinant is the cyclotomic character.

In particular $\bar{\rho}$ is totally odd (the image of each complex conjugation has determinant -1).

Note that these conditions remain satisfied when $\bar{\rho}$ is restricted to F_n : ε^2 remains non-trivial as $F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}/F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is totally ramified, and then inflation-restriction implies that $H^1(K, k[\omega\varepsilon^2]) \rightarrow H^1(K_n, k[\omega\varepsilon^2])$ is injective ($K = F_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset K_n = F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$). In particular, for all n , $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{K_n}}$ is indecomposable. The same argument applies to the restriction to $G_{K_n(\zeta_p)}$. Thus $\bar{\rho}$, restricted to $G_{K_n(\zeta_p)}$, is semi-simple by Clifford theory ([7, Thm. 1.1]) and indecomposable, and therefore irreducible. In this paragraph and henceforth, we let $\varepsilon = \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the latter as in (1.1) for $\bar{\rho}$ and ω also denotes the mod p cyclotomic character of $G_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

²See Remark 1.7 (3) for the general case.

Write $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_W$ for the category of complete local W -rings ($W = W(k)$) with residue field k ; write $\mathcal{C}_W$ for the subcategory of *Artinian* objects in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_W$. (Cf. [18, p. 267]. Note however that we do not assume rings in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_W$ to be Noetherian.) We simply write $\mathrm{Hom}(-, -)$ for the *continuous* homomorphisms in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_W$. For the representability properties it suffices to consider liftings of $\bar{\rho}$ to elements of $\mathcal{C}_W$.

For any non-negative integer n , there exists a universal deformation ring R_n over $W(k)$, the *ordinary deformation ring* for F_n parametrisising ordinary liftings (of weight 2) of $\bar{\rho}$ over algebras in $\mathcal{C}_W$. By results which are now well-known, we have

THEOREM 1.1. *R_n is a complete Noetherian algebra in $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_W$ for finite n .*

1.4. Deformation rings over F_∞ . By construction, for $A \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_W$, there exists a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}(R_n, A) \leftrightarrow \rho_A^n = \{\text{ordinary deformations of } \bar{\rho}|_{\Gamma_n} \text{ over } A\}$$

(the representations on the right taken modulo conjugation by $1 + \mathfrak{m}_A M_2(A)$).

By restriction ρ_A^n yields an ordinary representation for Γ_{n+1} . Taking $A = R_n$ we see that there exists a natural homomorphism $R_{n+1} \rightarrow R_n$.

LEMMA 1.2. *The homomorphism $R_{n+1} \rightarrow R_n$ is surjective.*

Proof. We have the tangent spaces

$$(\mathfrak{m}_R/(p, \mathfrak{m}_R^2))^* = H_{\mathrm{ord}}^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$$

where $\mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$ is the representation of Γ on the traceless endomorphisms of the space of $\bar{\rho}$ (see §2) for $\Gamma = \Gamma_n, \Gamma_{n+1}$, and $R = R_n, R_{n+1}$ (cf. [4]). The definition of H_{ord}^1 is recalled in §3.1.

Note that $F_{n+1, S} = F_{n, S}$. Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{n+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_n \rightarrow \Delta_{n, n+1} \rightarrow 1$$

where $\Delta_{n, n+1} = \mathrm{Gal}(F_{n+1}/F_n)$. This yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\Delta, H^0(\Gamma_{n+1}, W)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, W) \rightarrow H^0(\Delta, H^1(\Gamma_{n+1}, W)).$$

Since the representation of Γ_{n+1} on V is indecomposable, $H^0(\Gamma_{n+1}, W) = 0$, whence an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_{n+1}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \quad (1.2)$$

Now the definition of ordinary cohomology (see §3.1) yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n, W) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathrm{ord}}^1(\Gamma_{n+1}, W) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\Gamma_n, W) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_{n+1}, W) \end{array}$$

(the local conditions defining H_{ord}^1 being compatible), with injective vertical maps, whence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Gamma_{n+1}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \quad (1.3)$$

This yields first $R_{n+1} \otimes k \twoheadrightarrow R_n \otimes k$ since these algebras are Noetherian and complete, and then $R_{n+1} \rightarrow R_n$ as both algebras are p -complete. $\square$

Now we define

$$R_\infty = \varprojlim R_n.$$

It belongs to $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_W$. It is *not* known to be Noetherian. (Compare [15, pp. 354-357].)

We now want to consider ordinary deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_{F_\infty}$. First note that $\bar{\rho}|_{\text{Gal}(F_{\infty,S}/F_\infty)}$ remains ordinary of weight 2 (with the previous definition); in particular $\varepsilon^2 \neq 1$ on this subgroup. The exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow 1$$

where $\Delta \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, yields again

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\Delta, H^0(\bar{K}/K_\infty, k)) \rightarrow H^1(\bar{K}/K, k) \rightarrow H^0(\Delta, H^1(\bar{K}/K_\infty, k))$$

where k is endowed with the representation $\omega\varepsilon^2$, so the class of e in $H^1(\bar{K}/K_\infty, k)$ is non-zero as the first term vanishes ($\omega\varepsilon^2$ being equal to ε^2 on the subgroup).

However standard deformation theory does not seem to apply here. Indeed:

- (i) The group $\Pi = \text{Gal}(F_S/F_\infty)$ does not satisfy the usual finiteness condition, viz., $\text{Hom}(\Pi, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ being finite. In fact all we seem to know is that Π^{ab} is finitely generated over the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -Iwasawa algebra Λ (Cf. [20, p. 735]).
- (ii) Even with a proper definition of $H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Pi, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho}))$, this may not be finite without further conditions.

Nevertheless we will see that R_∞ still represents the natural deformation problem. (See also Dickinson's appendix to [9].) We first have:

LEMMA 1.3. *For $A \in \mathcal{C}_W$,*

$$\text{Hom}(R_\infty, A) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}(R_n, A).$$

Proof. This is clear since A is finite and R_∞ is the projective limit of compact rings. Note that $\text{Hom}(R_n, A) \subset \text{Hom}(R_{n+1}, A)$. $\square$

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let (A, ρ_A) be an ordinary deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_\Pi$ to $A \in \mathcal{C}_W$. Then there exists $n < \infty$ such that ρ_A extends to $\text{Gal}(F_S/F_n)$.*

(By ‘ordinary’ we mean henceforth verifying the condition (1.1).)

Proof. As before we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F_S/F) \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow 1$$

with $\Delta \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. The choice of a lifting of a topological generator of Δ gives a splitting; we identify Δ with its image by this section.

Now Δ acts continuously on Π by conjugation. Let $\Pi_1 \subset \Pi$ be the kernel of ρ_A , an invariant subgroup of finite index. There exists a subgroup of finite index $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$ such that

$$\delta g \delta^{-1} \equiv g \pmod{\Pi_1}$$

for $\delta \in \Delta_1$.

We can then set $\rho_A(g\delta) = \rho_A(g)$ for $g \in \Pi, \delta \in \Delta_1$; Δ_1 corresponds to a finite extension F_n and ρ_A extends to $\text{Gal}(F_S/F_n)$ (cf. [6, §3.3]).

This yields a representation of Γ_n , but it is not yet ordinary. However the lower left coefficient of the matrix is a continuous function with values in A , vanishing on Π . Thus it vanishes on $\Gamma_{n'}$ for some $n' \geq n$. Likewise, the diagonal will be given by $(\omega\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1})$ upon restriction to $\Gamma_{n''}$, since A is finite. Similarly, one checks that the deformation of this extension (rather than the lifting) is well-defined. $\square$

COROLLARY 1.5. R_∞ represents the ordinary deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_\Pi$.

Note in particular that there is a natural universal deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_\Pi$, over R_∞ , defined by $\varprojlim \rho_n$.

1.5. Main result. The purpose of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(k)$ be an absolutely irreducible representation as in §1.3. Let (ρ, V) be a deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ over the integer ring of a p -adic field, V the underlying vector space and let $T \subset \text{Ad}^0 V$ be a G_F -stable lattice. Assume R_∞ is Noetherian. Assume further that*

- (Aut) ρ is automorphic,
 - (ad $_{F(\zeta_p)}$) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is adequate and
 - (μ) $\mu(X^1(F, T^*(1))_{\text{tor}}) = 0 = \mu(X^1(F, T)_{\text{tor}})$
- Then it is formally smooth, i.e.*

$$R_\infty \simeq W(k)[[X_1, \dots, X_s]]$$

for some $s \geq 1$.

(Refer to §4 for the definition of the Iwasawa modules X^1 and the corresponding μ -invariants, and the notion of ‘adequate’.)

REMARK 1.7.

- (1) For conditions on the data ensuring that R_∞ is Noetherian, see [15, Cor. 5.11].
- (2) Let F be $\mathbb{Q}$ and

$$p \in \{11, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 59, 61, 67\}.$$

Then there exists a p -ordinary $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(p))$ such that $R_\infty \simeq W(k)[[X]]$ (cf. [15, Ex. 1.68]). Here we consider deformations of the associated mod p Galois representation.

- (3) The hypothesis (NS) is inessential. It is currently used for arguments in section 2, specifically Lemma 2.7, which is key for the proof of Theorem 1.6. However, even otherwise, the lemma remains true. (Basically, various exact sequences in section 2 are split otherwise and can be analysed directly.) The details will appear in [3].

REMARK 1.8. In light of Hida theory, one has $\dim(R_\infty) \geq 2$. An outline:

First, assume $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $F_\infty = \mathbb{Q}_\infty$ the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. In this case the R_n 's are finite over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ but R_∞ has Krull dimension at least two: let f be a weight 2 eigenform, ordinary. Then there is a Hida family $\mathcal{F}$ through f (cf. [10, Cor. 3.2]), whence

$$\tilde{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{I})$$

for $\mathbb{I}$ finite over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ (cf. [11, Thm. II]). In Hida's construction, $\tilde{\rho}$ parametrises a family of representations of varying weights. However:

LEMMA 1.9. $\tilde{\rho}|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty,S}/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)}$ is of weight 2.

Proof. For example, suppose that the Hida family $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to (f_k) where f_k has weight k , and $f_2 = f$. Set

$$n_r = 2 + (p-1)p^r.$$

Then the base change of f_{n_r} to F_r , reduced modulo p^r , has weight 2. $\square$

Thus the surjection $R_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ yields $\dim(R_\infty) \geq 2$ without the hypotheses in Theorem 1.6. (The surjectivity just follows by considering the traces of Frobenii for the universal representation.) A similar argument applies to the general case (cf. [12, Thm. II]).

2. Local cohomology. In this section $K = F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$ is local and $\bar{\rho}$ is an ordinary representation of G_K (verifying the conditions of §1). In particular the extension class arising from $\bar{\rho}$ is non-split (cf. (NS)). For simplicity we write d for $d_{\mathfrak{p}} = [F_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

Let $V = k^2$ be the space of $\bar{\rho}$, and $W = \mathrm{End}^0(V)$ be the space of traceless endomorphisms of V . It is endowed with the natural representation $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho})$. Let $\mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)$ be the Tate twist, the tensor product $\mathrm{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho}) \otimes k[\omega]$ with the cyclotomic character. (Recall that $k[\chi]$ is the module associated to a character χ ; $V(1) = V \otimes k[\omega]$.) The main result is Lemma 2.7.

2.1. Local cohomology of the adjoint. Let $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 = W$ be the filtration of W :

$$W_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad W_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

preserved by G_K . Then as G_K -modules,

$$W_0 \cong k[\varepsilon^2 \omega], \quad W_1/W_0 \cong k[\mathbb{1}], \quad W_2/W_1 \cong k[\omega^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2}]$$

for $\mathbb{1}$ being the trivial character.

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W_1/W_0 \rightarrow 0$$

induces

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(K, W_1) & \rightarrow & H^0(K, W_1/W_0) & \rightarrow & H^1(K, W_0) & \rightarrow & H^1(K, W_1) \\ H^1(K, W_1/W_0) & \rightarrow & H^2(K, W_0) & \rightarrow & H^2(K, W_1) & \rightarrow & H^2(K, W_1/W_0) \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Write $h^i(K, -) = \dim_k H^i(K, -)$.

LEMMA 2.1.

- (i) $h^0(K, W_1/W_0) = 1$ and the map $H^0(K, W_1/W_0) \rightarrow H^1(K, W_0)$ is injective.
- (ii) $h^1(K, W_0) = p^n d$.
- (iii) $h^1(K, W_1) = 2p^n d$.
- (iv) $h^1(K, W_1/W_0) = p^n d + 1$.
- (v) $h^2(K, W_1) = 0$.

Proof. Write $V' = V^*(1)$. Then

$$W'_0 \cong k[\varepsilon^{-2}], \quad (W_1/W_0)' \cong k[\omega].$$

By Tate duality we see that $h^2(K, W_0) = h^2(K, W_1/W_0) = 0$. This implies (v).

The first part of (i) is obvious; we have $h^0(K, W_1) = 0$ since the extension is non-split, so the map is injective. The map $H^1(K, W_1) \rightarrow H^1(K, W_1/W_0)$ is surjective since $H^2(K, W_0) = 0$. Now the formulas (ii)-(iii) follow from Tate's Euler-Poincaré formula and (iv) from the exact sequence. $\square$

Now recall that for X a representation of G_K on a k -vector space,

$$H^1_{\text{nr}}(K, X) = \ker\{H^1(G_K, X) \rightarrow H^1(I_K, X)\}$$

where I_K is the inertia. We define the unramified classes $H^1_{\text{ur}}(K, W_1)$ to be the inverse image of $H^1_{\text{nr}}(K, W_1/W_0)$.

At this point we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(K, W_1/W_0) \rightarrow H^1(K, W_0) \rightarrow H^1(K, W_1) \rightarrow H^1(K, W_1/W_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where the corresponding dimensions are $(1, p^n d, 2p^n d, p^n d + 1)$. Since W_1/W_0 is with trivial G_K -action, $H^1_{\text{nr}}(K, W_1/W_0) \cong k$. Thus

$$\dim_k H^1_{\text{ur}}(K, W_1) = p^n d.$$

Now the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow W/W_1 \rightarrow 0$$

induces

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(K, W_1) \rightarrow H^1(K, W) \rightarrow H^1(K, W/W_1) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.3)$$

by Lemma 2.1.

We define $H^1_{\text{ord}}(K, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ as the image of $H^1_{\text{ur}}(K, W_1)$ in $H^1(K, W)$. We also note the vanishing of $H^2(K, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ by the analogue of (2.3) for H^2 , and Tate duality for W/W_1 .

We summarise the results obtained so far:

LEMMA 2.2.

- (i) $H^0(K, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = H^2(K, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = 0$.
- (ii) $\dim_k H^1_{\text{ord}}(K, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = p^n d$.
- (iii) $\dim_k H^1(K, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = 3p^n d$.

(The third equality coming from (i) and the Euler-Poincaré formula applied to W .)

Now consider the extension $K = F_{n, \mathfrak{p}} = K_n$ of $K_0 = F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, whence an action of $\Delta_n = \text{Gal}(K_n/K_0)$ on the cohomology groups $H^*(K_n, -)$.

LEMMA 2.3. $H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ is free over $k[\Delta_n]$ of rank $3d$.

Proof. Write $M_n = H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$. Note that W is self-dual, so $\dim_k M_n = 3p^n d$ by Lemma 2.2 and Tate duality.

We show that the space of coinvariants $H_0(\Delta_n, M_n)$ has dimension $3d$: this implies by Nakayama's lemma that there is a surjective map $k[\Delta_n]^{3d} \rightarrow M_n$, and we conclude by counting dimensions.

However, the dual of $H_0(\Delta_n, M_n)$ is $H^0(\Delta_n, H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}))$; this is isomorphic to $H^1(K_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ by inflation-restriction as $H^0(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2, the dimension of this space is $3d$. $\square$

We now consider the subspace $H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$, of dimension $p^n d$. Note that the filtration W_i of W gives rise to cohomology spaces on which Δ_n acts.

LEMMA 2.4. $H_{\text{nr}}^1(K_n, W_1/W_0)$, $H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_n, W_1)$ and $H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ are invariant by the action of Δ_n .

Proof. It suffices to check this for the first space, and this is obvious as the inertia I_n is invariant by Δ_n . $\square$

In $k[\Delta_n]$, the space of Δ_n -invariants is

$$\left\{ f = x \cdot \sum_{\Delta_n} \delta | x \in k \right\}.$$

The space $H^0(\Delta_n, k[\Delta_n]^d)$ is the sum of these lines. If j_1, j_2 are two injections of the trivial Δ_n -module into $H^0(\Delta_n, k[\Delta_n]^d)$, it follows that there is a Δ_n -equivariant isomorphism of $k[\Delta_n]^d$ conjugating them. We write $k[\Delta_n]^d/k$ for the quotient, independent of the map up to isomorphism as a $k[\Delta_n]$ -module.

LEMMA 2.5. $H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ is isomorphic, as a $k[\Delta_n]$ -module, to

$$(k[\Delta_n]^d/k) \oplus k$$

with k being the trivial $k[\Delta_n]$ -module.

Proof. Indeed the exact sequence (2.2) yields first

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(K_n, W_0)/\text{Im} H^0(K_n, W_1/W_0) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_n, W_1) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^1(K_n, W_1/W_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.4)$$

with $H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_n, W_1) \cong H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ and the dimensions being $(p^n d - 1, p^n d, 1)$. The argument given for Lemma 2.3 shows that $H^1(K_n, W_0)$ is free of rank d over $k[\Delta_n]$.

Recall that W_1/W_0 is the trivial module (for G_{K_0}). It follows that

$$H_{\text{nr}}^1(K_n, W_1/W_0) = \text{Hom}(U, W_1/W_0),$$

where $U = \text{Gal}(K_n^{\text{nr}}/K_n) = \text{Gal}(K_0^{\text{nr}}/K_0)$, is the trivial module for $k[\Delta_n]$. Similarly, the image of $H^0(K_n, W_1/W_0) \cong k$ is trivial.

Finally, the exact sequence is split: by the previous argument computing $H_{\text{nr}}^1(K_n, W_1/W_0)$ we can fix an element $\alpha \in H_{\text{nr}}^1(K_0, W_1/W_0)$ that is a basis of $H_{\text{nr}}^1(K_n, W_1/W_0)$. We then lift it to $\beta \in H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_0, W_1)$: its restriction to K_n is an element $\beta_n \in H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_n, W_1)$ that is Δ_n -invariant. $\square$

Consider now $\pi : \Delta_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_n$. This induces a natural map $k[\Delta_n] \hookrightarrow k[\Delta_{n+1}]$, $f(\delta) \mapsto f(\pi\delta)$, dual to the projection of Iwasawa theory. It is equivariant under the action of Δ_{n+1} , acting on $k[\Delta_n]$ via the quotient map.

LEMMA 2.6. *The restriction $H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_{n+1}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ is injective. It is compatible with the splitting of Lemma 2.5, and equivariant for the action of Δ_{n+1} .*

Proof. Write $0 \rightarrow H'_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow 0$ for the exact sequence (2.4), with $H_n = H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_n, W_1) \cong H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$. We get natural maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H'_n & \rightarrow & H_n & \rightarrow & L_n \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H'_{n+1} & \rightarrow & H_{n+1} & \rightarrow & L_{n+1} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

As shown in the proof of Lemma 2.5, $L_n = \text{Hom}(U, W_1/W_0) = L_{n+1}$ since $\text{Gal}(K_n^{\text{nr}}/K_n) = \text{Gal}(K_{n+1}^{\text{nr}}/K_{n+1})$. We are reduced to looking at the map $\text{Res} : H^1(K_n, W_0) \rightarrow H^1(K_{n+1}, W_0)$. Both spaces contain the line $k = \text{Im}(H^0)$, on which restriction is an isomorphism. Finally,

$$H^1(K_n, W_0) \cong k[\Delta_n]^d, \quad H^1(K_{n+1}, W_0) \cong k[\Delta_{n+1}]^d$$

by the exact analogue of Lemma 2.2. The two isomorphisms are respectively as modules over Δ_n and Δ_{n+1} . As $W_0 = k[\varepsilon^2 \omega]$, $H^1(K_n, W_0) \rightarrow H^1(K_{n+1}, W_0)$ is injective. This proves the first part of the lemma.

In fact we can be more precise. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, $H^1(K_n, W_0) \cong k[\Delta_n]^d$ was deduced, through Nakayama's lemma, from

$$H^0(\Delta_n, H^1(K_n, W_0^*(1))) \cong H^1(K_0, W_0^*(1)) \cong k^d,$$

dual to $H^1(K_0, W_0) \cong k^d$. The last isomorphism is independent of n . As a consequence, the restriction $H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_{n+1}, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho}))$ is given (on the spaces H'_n), in a suitable basis of the free modules, by taking the natural map

$$k[\Delta_n]^d \hookrightarrow k[\Delta_{n+1}]^d$$

and quotienting through a line $\sum_1^d x_i \sum_{\Delta_n} \delta$, sent to $\sum_1^d x_i \sum_{\Delta_{n+1}} \delta$ ($x_i \in k$).

The other assertions of the lemma are now clear. $\square$

2.2. Local cohomology, dualised. We now use the Tate pairing

$$H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \times H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \rightarrow k.$$

Let $H_{\text{ord}, \perp}^1 \subset H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ be the orthogonal space of H_{ord}^1 . We set

$$H_{\text{ord}, *}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) = H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) / H_{\text{ord}, \perp}^1.$$

So this is naturally dual to H_{ord}^1 . When K_n is concerned, we write $H_{\text{ord}, n}^1$ etc. We can take the limit of these spaces under corestriction. In fact we obtain naturally a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ord}, *, n+1}^1 & \cong & (H_{\text{ord}, n+1}^1)^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{ord}, *, n}^1 & \cong & (H_{\text{ord}, n}^1)^* \end{array}$$

where the surjection on the right comes from the previous injection (Lemma 2.6) and the surjection on the left completes the diagram. We must however check that this is given by corestriction on the left: i.e., that for $\beta \in H_{\text{ord},*,n+1}^1$ and $\alpha \in H_{\text{ord},n}^1$,

$$(\text{Cor } \beta, \alpha) = (\beta, \text{Res } \alpha) \in (1/p)\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_p.$$

(We assume $k = \mathbb{F}_p$; in general an easy argument of restriction of scalars reduces to this case.)

The duality is given by the cup-product, with values in $H^2(K, \mu_{p^\infty})[p] = (1/p)\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_p$. The general formula is $\text{Cor}(\beta \cup \text{Res}\alpha) = \text{Cor}\beta \cup \alpha$. For the canonical identification of $\text{Br}(K)$ with $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, the restriction $\text{Br}(K_n) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K_{n+1})$ is given by $\alpha \mapsto p\alpha$ (cf. [22, XIII, §3]); on the other hand $\text{Cor} \circ \text{Res} : \text{Br}(K_n) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K_n)$ is also $\alpha \mapsto p\alpha$. Thus $\text{Cor}(p\alpha) = p\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \text{Br}(K_{n+1})$ and $\text{Cor} : H^2(K_{n+1}, \mu_{p^\infty})[p] \rightarrow H^2(K_n, \mu_{p^\infty})[p]$ is bijective³.

We now dualise the expression of $H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ obtained in Lemma 2.5. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, write M_n for $H^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ and M_n^0 for M_n/k . Thus

$$H_{\text{ord}}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})^* \cong (M_n^0 \oplus k)^* \cong (M_n^0)^* \oplus k,$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow k[\Delta_n]^d \rightarrow M_n^0 \rightarrow 0$$

where $E_n \cong k$.

If we restrict to K_{n+1} , the corresponding map $k \rightarrow k$ is an isomorphism as was seen in the proof of Lemma 2.5. We can now choose the line E_n equal to $(e_n, 0, \dots, 0) \in k[\Delta_n]^d$ with $e_n = \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_n} \delta$. Then $(k[\Delta_n]/E_n)^* = I_n$ is the augmentation ideal of $k[\Delta_n]$. We obtain

$$\varprojlim H_{\text{ord},*,n}^1 = \Omega^{d-1} \oplus \varprojlim I_n \oplus k.$$

The limit of the augmentation ideals is nothing but the augmentation ideal in Ω :

$$I = T \cdot k[[T]] \subset k[[T]] = \Omega.$$

Thus we have proved:

LEMMA 2.7. *As an Ω -module,*

$$\varprojlim H_{\text{ord},*,n}^1(K_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \cong \Omega^d \oplus k.$$

3. Ordinary global Galois cohomology. In this section we return to the global setup of ordinary deformation rings in §1.

3.1. Tangent and obstruction space. We will now compute, first for fixed n , the tangent and obstruction space of the ordinary deformation space for $\bar{\rho}|_{F_n}$.

Note that we are looking at deformations with fixed determinant. The tangent and obstruction space are then $H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho}))$ and $H_{\text{ord}}^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho}))$, which are

³This is certainly well-known but we could not find a reference.

given by the following exact sequence (see [4, §2.2]; recall that we are considering unrestricted deformations at the places in S away from p):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} H^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) / H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \\ H_{\text{ord}}^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} H^2(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ord}}^3(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \\ H^3(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

For the definition of H_{ord}^2 and H_{ord}^3 see [4, Def.2.2.7]. Note that restriction yields natural morphisms between these exact sequences relative to F_n and F_{n+1} .

In particular, we obtain for the direct limits:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \varinjlim H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow \varinjlim H^1(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow \\ \bigoplus \varinjlim H^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) / H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \varinjlim H_{\text{ord}}^2(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where the coefficients are in $\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$.

The full cohomology spaces $H^i(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ can be fitted together by means of Shapiro's lemma:

$$H^i(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = H^i(\Gamma_0, \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_n}^{\Gamma_0} \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = H^i(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes k[\Delta_n])$$

since $\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$ extends to Γ_0 . The group Γ_0 acts diagonally.

For $m \geq n$, the restriction map is then given by $k[\Delta_n] \hookrightarrow k[\Delta_m]$ (cf. before Lemma 2.6). Dually, the corestriction map $: H^i(\Gamma_m, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^i(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ is then given by

$$H^i(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes k[\Delta_m]) \rightarrow H^i(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes k[\Delta_n]) \quad (3.3)$$

(Cf. [26, §6.3]⁴) where $k[\Delta_m] \rightarrow k[\Delta_n]$ is the surjection defining the Iwasawa algebra.

3.2. Continuous Galois cohomology. Before passing to the limit in (3.3), we must make some remarks on Galois cohomology. So far our Galois modules were discrete, and we were using the corresponding version of cohomology (cf. [23]). However (3.3) leads us to the limit

$$\varprojlim k[\Delta_n] := \varprojlim \Omega_n = \Omega,$$

seen as a Γ_0 -module via $\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$. It is easy to see that this Γ_0 -module is not discrete. On the other hand, if we endow Ω with its compact topology, Δ acts continuously. We therefore consider the continuous cohomology $H_{\text{ct}}^i(\Gamma_0, -)$ (cf. [20, II.7]).

We now have, with $\Omega_n = k[\Delta_n]$:

LEMMA 3.1. *For all $i \geq 0$, there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 H^{i-1}(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes \Omega_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ct}}^i(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes \Omega) \rightarrow \varprojlim H^i(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes \Omega_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

(Cf. [20, 2.7.5 Theorem]⁵)

In our case, the groups of continuous cohomology are limits of finite-dimensional vector spaces, so the Mittag-Leffler condition is satisfied and $\varprojlim^1$ vanishes [26, Ex. 3.5.2]. In particular,

$$\varprojlim H^1(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes \Omega_n) = H_{\text{ct}}^1(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \otimes \Omega). \quad (3.4)$$

⁴Note that there the induced module is called coinduced.

⁵This is a general result, cf [26, p. 84].

4. Weak Leopoldt for adjoint. In this section we consider the vanishing of the second global Galois cohomology for adjoint over the cyclotomic tower.

4.1. Weak Leopoldt I. In this subsection we consider the vanishing of the second global Galois cohomology for adjoint with rational coefficients over the cyclotomic tower.

Let the notation and hypotheses be as in §1-§3. Let ρ be a deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ over the ring of integers A of a p -adic field; we also denote by ρ the corresponding rational representation, on a space V . Let W denote $\mathrm{Ad}^0 \rho(1)$ or $\mathrm{Ad}^0 \rho$ and $T \subset W$ a Galois-stable lattice.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Suppose that*

(i) $H^0(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, W^*(1)) = 0$ for $\mathfrak{p}|p$ and

(ii) *the localisation $H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(F, W^*(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, W^*(1))$ is injective.*

Then,

$$\varinjlim_n H^2(\Gamma_n, W/T) = 0$$

(See Perrin-Riou [21, Prop. B.5]).

REMARK 4.2. The above criteria for weak Leopoldt holds rather generally (cf. [21]).

In view of Allen's result [1, Thm. B], we deduce the following.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Suppose that $W = \mathrm{Ad}^0 \rho$ or $\mathrm{Ad}^0 \rho(1)$ and*

(Aut) ρ *is automorphic and*

(ad $_{F(\zeta_p)}$) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ *is adequate ([1, Def. 3.1.1]).*

Then,

$$\varinjlim_n H^2(\Gamma_n, W/T) = 0.$$

Proof. The first hypothesis in Proposition 4.1 follows from our assumptions on $\bar{\rho}$ (§1.5).

From [1, Thm. B], we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{f}}^1(F, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \rho^*(1)) = 0.$$

We thus conclude

$$\varinjlim_n H^2(\Gamma_n, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \rho/T) = 0.$$

As weak Leopoldt (i.e., the conclusion of Proposition 4.1) for a p -adic Galois representation W implies the same for $W(j)$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ([21, 1.3.3]), this finishes the proof. $\square$

REMARK 4.4.

(1) For $p > 5$, adequacy is equivalent to absolute irreducibility ([25, Thm. A.9]).

(2) The automorphy hypothesis (Aut) can be replaced with an analogous one involving potential automorphy ([1, Thm. B]). Such a potential automorphy is indeed available under mild hypotheses ([2, Thm. 4.5.2]).

4.2. Weak Leopoldt II. In this subsection we consider the vanishing of the second global Galois cohomology for adjoint with mod p coefficients over the cyclotomic tower.

Let the notation and hypotheses be as in §4.1. Let

$$X^1(F, T) = (\varinjlim_n H^1(\Gamma_n, W^*(1)/T^*(1)))^*,$$

cf. [21, 1.3.1]. Recall that these groups are Λ -modules of finite type ([21], *ibid.*)

PROPOSITION 4.5. *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $\varinjlim_n H^2(\Gamma_n, p^{-1}T/T) = 0$
 - (ii) $\varinjlim_n H^2(\Gamma_n, W/T) = 0$ and $\mu(X^1(F, T^*(1))_{\text{tor}}) = 0$ for $\mu(\cdot)$ the Iwasawa μ -invariant⁶.
- ([21, p. 126]).

COROLLARY 4.6. *Suppose that $W = \text{Ad}^0 \rho$ or $\text{Ad}^0 \rho(1)$ for an automorphic lift ρ and $T \subset W$ is a stable lattice. Assume*
(irr $_{F(\zeta_p)}$) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible and
(μ') $\mu(X^1(F, T^(1))_{\text{tor}}) = 0$*
Then, the dimensions

$$\dim_k H^2(\Gamma_n, p^{-1}T/T)$$

are bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the dimensions

$$\dim_k H^1(\Gamma_n, W/T)/p, \quad \dim_k H^2(\Gamma_n, W/T)[p]$$

are bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- From [21, (1.2) p. 10] and (irr $_{F(\zeta_p)}$),

$$H^1(\Gamma_n, W/T) \simeq (\varinjlim_m H^1(\Gamma_m, W/T))^{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that the Pontryagin dual of $(\varinjlim_m H^1(\Gamma_m, W/T))^{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)}/p$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -submodule of $X^1(F, T^*(1))_{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)}$ annihilated by p ([21, p. 126]).

In view of structure theorem for finitely generated Λ -modules,

$$X^1(F, T^*(1))[p]_{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)} \sim X^1(F, T^*(1))_{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)}[p].$$

Here ‘ $\sim$ ’ denotes up to bounded kernel and cokernel.

From hypothesis (μ'), the Λ -module $X^1(F, T^*(1))[p]$ is trivial ([21, p. 126]). Thus, the k -modules $X^1(F, T^*(1))_{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)}[p]$ are bounded (for example, [8, Prop. 2.3.1]).

We conclude that the dimensions $\dim_k H^1(\Gamma_n, W/T)/p$ are bounded.

- From Corollary 4.3,

$$\varinjlim_n H^2(\Gamma_n, W/T) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

⁶See for example [24, §2]

Thus, from [21, (1.3) p. 10]

$$H^1(\Gamma_n, \varinjlim H^1(\Gamma_m, W/T)) \simeq H^2(\Gamma_n, W/T). \quad (4.3)$$

Note that the Pontryagin dual of $H^1(\Gamma_n, \varinjlim H^1(\Gamma_m, W/T))[p]$ is $X^1(F, T^*(1))^{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)}/p$. (Use the exact sequences (1.3) and (1.5) p.10,11 in [21]). As $X^1(F, T^*(1))$ is a finitely generated Λ -module, the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules $X^1(F, T^*(1))^{\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)}$ have bounded rank ([21, p. 11]).

We conclude that the dimensions $\dim_k H^2(\Gamma_n, W/T)[p]$ are bounded.

□

5. Main result. In this section we consider the vanishing of the second ordinary global Galois cohomology for adjoint over the cyclotomic tower.

Let the notation and hypothesis be as in §1-§3.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Suppose that*

- (Aut) $\bar{\rho}$ is automorphic,
 - (ad $_{F(\zeta_p)}$) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is adequate ([1, Def. 3.1.1]) and
 - (μ) $\mu(X^1(F, T^*(1))_{\text{tor}}) = 0 = \mu(X^1(F, T)_{\text{tor}})$ for T corresponding to $\text{Ad}^0(\rho)$ with ρ arising from an automorphic lift.
- Then, $H^1_{\text{ct}}(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)$ is free over Ω of rank $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Proof. We first show that $H^1_{\text{ct}}(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)$ is free as a Ω -module. It's enough to show that it's a Ω -submodule of a free Ω -module, since Ω is a PID. However, the map

$$H^1_{\text{ct}}(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \underbrace{H^1_{\text{ct}}(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)}_{\text{free by Lemma 2.3 and §3.2}}$$

is injective: Tate duality and Corollary 4.6 imply that

$$\varprojlim \left(\ker \left(H^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \right) \right) = 0.$$

Indeed, the left hand side is dual to $\varinjlim \text{III}^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$, which by Corollary 4.6 vanishes as Γ_∞ has cohomological dimension 1.

At this point we know that $H^1(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)$ is free of rank r , and must just show $r = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Note that, in view of the oddness of $\bar{\rho}$, the eigenvalues of complex conjugation on $\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$ are $-1, -1, +1$, and therefore the eigenvalues of complex conjugation on $\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)$ are $+1, +1, -1$. By Tate's global Euler-Poincaré formula,

$$-\dim_k H^0(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) + \dim_k H^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) - \dim_k H^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) = p^n [F : \mathbb{Q}].$$

The first term is vanishing, and $\dim_k H^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ remains bounded by Corollary 4.6. We conclude that there exists a constant C such that

$$|\dim_k H^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) - p^n [F : \mathbb{Q}]| \leq C \quad (5.1)$$

As before we can identify $\Omega \simeq k[[T]]$ in such a way that the quotient $k[[T]]/(T^{p^n})$ is identified with the natural map $\Omega \rightarrow k[\Delta_n]$. Then from the sequence $0 \rightarrow (T^{p^n}) \rightarrow$

$k[[T]] \rightarrow k[[T]]/(T^{p^n}) \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\underbrace{H_{\text{ct}}^1(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)/T^{p^n}}_{rp^n} \hookrightarrow \underbrace{H_{\text{ct}}^1(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes k[\Delta_n])}_{\approx [F:\mathbb{Q}]p^n} \rightarrow H_{\text{ct}}^2(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)[T^{p^n}]$$

The final term is $\varprojlim H^2(\Gamma_m, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))[T^{p^n}]$, and we saw in Corollary 4.6 that each term of the projective limit has dimension bounded above by C , thus the projective limit does too.

We conclude by comparing dimensions that $r = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. $\square$

REMARK 5.2. The freeness of $H_{\text{ct}}^1(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)$ as an Ω -module may be seen more directly: it's Ω -torsion submodule is $(\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Omega)^{\Gamma_\infty}$ (cf. [21, p. 12]), which vanishes since $(\text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))^{\Gamma_0} = 0$ by our hypotheses.

We are ready for the main theorem:

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose that*

- (Aut) $\bar{\rho}$ is automorphic,
- (ad $_{F(\zeta_p)}$) $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is adequate ([1, Def. 3.1.1]) and
- (μ) $\mu(X^1(F, T^*(1))_{\text{tor}}) = 0 = \mu(X^1(F, T)_{\text{tor}})$ for T arising from an automorphic lift.

Moreover, suppose that R_∞ is Noetherian. Then $\varinjlim H_{\text{ord}}^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = 0$; in particular

$$R_\infty \simeq W(k)[[X_1, \dots, X_s]].$$

Proof. To verify smoothness it is enough to check that a map $R_n \rightarrow A$ lifts to an infinitesimal extension $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A$ possibly after pullback via $R_m \rightarrow R_n$ for some $m > n$. Equivalently, it is enough to verify the vanishing of

$$\varinjlim H_{\text{ord}}^2(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$$

By a duality argument, we have

$$H_{\text{ord},n}^2 \text{ is dual to } \ker(H^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))/H_{\text{ord},*,n}^1).$$

Moreover, restriction maps for $H_{\text{ord},n}^2$ are identified with corestriction maps under the duality.

It remains to check that

$$\varprojlim_n \ker(H^1(\Gamma_n, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))/H_{\text{ord},*,n}^1)$$

(projective limit with respect to corestriction maps) vanishes. Applying Shapiro's lemma as before (§3.2), and noting that all the involved modules are finite and we can therefore commute cohomology and inverse limits (Mittag-Leffler) this is equivalent to checking the injectivity of

$$\underbrace{H_{\text{ct}}^1(\Gamma_0, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho})(1) \otimes \Omega)}_{\simeq \Omega^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \underbrace{H_{\text{ct}}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1) \otimes \Lambda)/H_{\text{ord},*}^1}_{k \oplus \Omega^{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}:\mathbb{Q}_p]}} \quad (5.2)$$

where we used the results of Proposition 5.1, Lemma 2.7.

We will show that

$$R_\infty \text{ Noetherian} \implies \dim_k \operatorname{coker}(\varphi) < \infty$$

which implies φ is injective.

Now the cokernel of φ is

$$\varprojlim_n \operatorname{coker}(H^1(\Gamma_n, \operatorname{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, \operatorname{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) / H_{\operatorname{ord},*,n}^1)$$

and thus we deduce

$$\operatorname{coker}(\varphi) \hookrightarrow \varprojlim H_{\operatorname{ord},*,n}^2$$

where the group $H_{\operatorname{ord},*,n}^2$ is defined as in §3.1.

From Tate global duality $H_{\operatorname{ord},*,n}^2 \simeq (H_{\operatorname{ord},n}^1)^*$. Recall that

$$\varinjlim H_{\operatorname{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n, \operatorname{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$$

is isomorphic to the tangent space of R_∞ : indeed, $H_{\operatorname{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n, \operatorname{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = \operatorname{Hom}(R_n, k[\varepsilon])$ and the tangent space of R_∞ , $\operatorname{Hom}(R_\infty, k[\varepsilon])$ is then the injective limit. In particular, it is finite-dimensional if R_∞ is Noetherian.

We thus obtain

$$\dim_k \operatorname{coker}(\varphi) \leq \dim_k \varinjlim H_{\operatorname{ord}}^1(\Gamma_n, \operatorname{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})^*.$$

This concludes our argument. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. ALLEN, *Deformations of polarized automorphic Galois representations and adjoint Selmer groups*, Duke Math. J., 165:13 (2016), pp. 407–2460.
- [2] T. BARNET-LAMB, T. GEE, D. GERAGHTY AND R. TAYLOR, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, Ann. of Math. (2), 179:2 (2014), pp. 501–609.
- [3] A. BURUNGALÉ, L. CLOZEL AND B. MAZUR, *Dimension of the deformation space of ordinary representations in the cyclotomic limit*, in preparation.
- [4] L. CLOZEL, M. HARRIS AND R. TAYLOR, *Automorphy for some ℓ -adic lifts of automorphic mod ℓ Galois representations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci., 108 (2008), pp. 1–181.
- [5] L. CLOZEL, *Formes modulaires sur la $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension cyclotomique de $\mathbb{Q}$* , Pacific J. Math., 268:2 (2014), pp. 259–274.
- [6] L. CLOZEL, *Formes modulaires modulo p , changement de base et théorie d’Iwasawa.*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7), 35:1-2 (2014), pp. 35–46.
- [7] C. CURTIS AND I. REINER, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I. With applications to finite groups and orders*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981.
- [8] R. GREENBERG, *Topics in Iwasawa Theory*, available at <https://sites.math.washington.edu/~greenber/research.html>.
- [9] F. GOUVÊA, *Deformations of Galois representations*, Appendix 1 by Mark Dickinson, Appendix 2 by Tom Weston and Appendix 3 by Matthew Emerton. IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 9, Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1999), pp. 233–406, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [10] H. HIDA, *Iwasawa modules attached to congruences of cusp forms*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), 19:2 (1986), pp. 231–273.
- [11] H. HIDA, *Galois representations into $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]])$ attached to ordinary cusp forms*, Invent. Math., 85:3 (1986), pp. 545–613.
- [12] H. HIDA, *On p -adic Hecke algebras for GL_2 over totally real fields*, Ann. of Math. (2), 128:2 (1988), pp. 295–384.

- [13] H. HIDA, *Automorphic induction and Leopoldt type conjectures for $GL(n)$* , Mikio Sato: a great Japanese mathematician of the twentieth century. Asian J. Math., 2:4 (1998), pp. 667–710.
- [14] H. HIDA, *Adjoint Selmer groups as Iwasawa modules*, Proceedings of the Conference on p -adic Aspects of the Theory of Automorphic Representations (Jerusalem, 1998). Israel J. Math., 120 (2000), part B, pp. 361–427.
- [15] H. HIDA, *Hilbert modular forms and Iwasawa theory*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006. xiv+402 pp.
- [16] H. HIDA AND J. TILOUINE, *On the anticyclotomic main conjecture for CM fields*, Invent. Math., 117:1 (1994), pp. 89–147.
- [17] B. MAZUR, *Deforming Galois representations*, Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Berkeley, CA, 1987), pp. 385–437. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 16, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [18] B. MAZUR, *An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations*, Modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), pp. 243–311, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [19] B. MAZUR AND J. TILOUINE, *Représentations galoisiennes, différentielles de Kähler et “conjectures principales”*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 71 (1990), pp. 65–103.
- [20] J. NEUKIRCH, A. SCHMIDT AND K. WINGBERG, *Cohomology of number fields*, Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 323. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. xvi+825 pp.
- [21] B. PERRIN-RIOU, *p -adic L -functions and p -adic representations*, Translated from the 1995 French original by Leila Schneps and revised by the author. SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs, 3. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [22] J.-P. SERRE, *Corps locaux*, Deuxième édition. Publications de l’Université de Nancago, No. VIII. Hermann, Paris, 1968. 245 pp.
- [23] J.-P. SERRE, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, Fifth edition. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 5. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. x+181 pp.
- [24] R. SUJATHA, *On the μ -invariant in Iwasawa theory*, WIN-Women in numbers, pp. 265–276, Fields Inst. Commun., 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [25] J. THORNE, *On the automorphy of l -adic Galois representations with small residual image*, With an appendix by Robert Guralnick, Florian Herzig, Richard Taylor and Thorne. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 11:4 (2012), pp. 855–920.
- [26] C. WEIBEL, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xiv+450 pp.
- [27] A. WILES, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2), 141:3 (1995), pp. 443–551.