## Unlocking the Secret of Fruit Size: Tomato FW2.2/CNR Regulates Fruit Size via Plasmodesmata Callose Deposition

3 4 5

1

2

Thu M Tran <sup>1,2</sup>, Kumari Billakurthi <sup>1,3</sup>

1 Assistant Features Editor, Plant Physiology, American Society of Plant Biologists, Rockville,
USA

- 2 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724, USA
- 9 3 Department of Plant Sciences, Downing Street, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2
- 10 3EA, UK. (0000-0003-4468-2106).

11

8

- 12 How do we grow a bigger fruit? This question excites everyone from backyard gardeners
- 13 growing giant pumpkins for local Fall festival contests to large-scale agriculture companies
- producing commercial fruit crops. Fruits are essential for plant reproduction, as they protect the
- developing seeds and aid in the dispersal of mature seeds. In agriculture, fruits are
- harvested and consumed. Despite the functional and agricultural importance of fruit size, its
- underlying genes and mechanisms still need to be better understood.
- 18 Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) presents a wide variety of fruit sizes and shapes which
- contributes to its popularity as one of the most highly consumed vegetables in the world. In
- 20 addition to their fruit phenotypic diversity, tomatoes have extensive genetic resources and
- 21 efficient genome editing, making them ideal systems for studying the mechanism of fruit size
- 22 (Alonge et al., 2020). Thirty years ago, Frary et al. first isolated the gene underlying FW2.2, a
- 23 major Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) that accounts for up to 30% of tomato fruit size (Frary et
- 24 al., 2000). FW2.2 encodes a CELL NUMBER REGULATOR (CNR) family protein. CNR
- 25 family proteins harbor an ancient eukaryotic PLAC8 (placenta-specific) domain, and they
- 26 exhibit diverse functions, includes heavy metal transport, calcium uptake and signaling, and
- 27 regulating organ size (reviewed in Beauchet et al., 2021). Their roles in regulating organ size,
- particularly in tomatoes, maize, and rice, are well-established (Cong et al., 2002, Guo et al.
- 29 2010, Ruan et al. 2020). These previous studies suggest that CNR proteins act as negative
- 30 regulators of the cell cycle in controlling organ size, although their precise molecular
- 31 mechanisms remain to be elucidated.
- 32 In this issue of *Plant Physiology*, Beauchet et al. (2024) investigated the cellular and
- 33 molecular mechanism underlying the mode of action of FW2.2. Using transient expression in
- 34 tobacco leaves and stable transformation in tomato, they demonstrated that FW2.2 is enriched at
- 35 plasmodesmata (PD) with N- and C-termini facing the apoplast. PD are plant-specific
- 36 structures: plasma membrane-lined cytoplasmic channels connecting adjacent cells. These
- 37 structures are essential for transporting nutrients, metabolites, and signaling macromolecules
- 38 from cell-to-cell. The localization of FW2.2 suggested its potential involvement in cell-to-cell
- 39 transport through PD.
- 40 To examine the roles on FW2.2 in cell-to-cell transport, the authors applied Drop-ANd-See
- 41 (DANS) assays (Cui et al., 2015). The DANS assay utilizes membrane permeable, non-

- 42 fluorescent CFDA dye, which is converted to fluorescent membrane-impermeable CF upon
- cleavage by cellular esterases. This assay allows visualization and quantification of PD
- 44 permeability in plant leaves via fluorescent microscopy. The authors observed an enhanced
- 45 diffusion of fluorescent dye in leaf cells of overexpressing FW2.2 lines, demonstrating the role
- of FW2.2 in controlling PD permeability.
- 47 PD permeability is controlled in part by the deposition and degradation of callose around the
- 48 neck of PD. To validate if FW2.2 controls PD permeability through callose deposition, the
- 49 authors examined the callose level in the leaves of the overexpression of FW2.2 and loss-of-
- function lines. The results revealed that overexpression of FW2.2 reduces callose accumulation
- at PD, potentially enhancing cell-to-cell diffusion, while loss-of-function fw 2.2 mutations
- show no impact on callose levels. These findings suggest that FW2.2 plays a role in
- 53 modulating PD callose deposition.
- 54 The authors established that FW2.2 controls accumulation of callose at PD, and now ask how
- does FW2.2 contribute to the fruit size? To answer this question, the authors investigated the
- 56 fruit development in genotypes with altered levels of FW2.2. The results reveal that
- overexpression of FW2.2 led to reduced fruit weight, locule number, and pericarp callose
- deposition compared to the wild-type, while loss-of-function mutations showed opposite
- 59 phenotype effects. These observations support the hypothesis that FW2.2 negatively regulates
- callose deposition at PD within the fruit pericarp, thus the mobile signals that inhibit cell
- division may be reaching the fruit tissue when callose was reduced due to overexpression of
- 62 *FW2.2*.
- However, identifying FW2.2's function in callose deposition raises another question: How
- does FW2.2 regulate callose deposition if it does not have callose synthesis enzyme
- activity? Using an IP-MS/MS proteomics approach, the authors found that FW2.2 is part of a
- protein complex containing various callose synthases (CalS) known to regulate callose
- 67 homeostasis at PD. This interaction between FW2.2 and CalS suggests a mechanism for
- balancing callose synthesis at PD, with FW2.2 potentially negatively regulating CalS activity
- 69 (Figure 1).
- 70 Even though more work is necessary to understand the mechanism by which FW2.2
- 71 interacts and regulates CalS activity the study by Beauchet et al. provides a mechanism for
- 72 FW2.2, an important QTL to regulate tomato fruit size through regulation symplastic
- 73 transport and improves our understanding of the genomic basis for the complex fruit size
- 74 trait. Some questions remain: how does FW2.2 regulation of PD permeability affect fruit
- size? Moreover, how is FW2.2 regulation of PD permeability associated with cell division?
- And which signaling molecules are diffusing through PD? One hypothesis is that FW2.2 may
- interact with cell cycle repressors, such as Kip-Related Proteins (KRPs), to control cell
- 78 division, as demonstrated in rice by Ruan et al. (2020).

- 79 **Figure 1:** FW2.2 regulates callose deposition at PD via interactions with the callose synthase
- protein complex. FW2.2 negatively regulate callose synthase activity, thus impacting PD
- 81 permeability and facilitating cell-to-cell symplastic transport. The figures are adapted from
- 82 Beauchet et al. (2024)

83 84

## Acknowledgment

- The authors thank Dr. Kyle Swentowsky, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory for his invaluable
- 86 comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

87 88

## References

89

Alonge et. al (2020). Major Impacts of Widespread Structural Variation on Gene Expression and Crop Improvement in Tomato. Cell 182, 1: 145-161.

92

- Beauchet, A., Gévaudant, F., Gonzalez, N. and Chevalier, C. (2021). In search of the till unknown function of FW2.2/CELL NUMBER REGULATOR, a major regulator of fruit size in
- 95 tomato. J. Exp. Bot. 72: 5300–5311.

96

- 97 Beauchet et al. (2024). The CELL NUMBER REGULATOR FW2.2 protein regulates cell-to-
- 98 cell communication in tomato by modulating callose deposition at plasmodesmata, Plant
- 99 Physiology.

100

- 101 Cong, B., Liu, J. and Tanksley, S. D. (2002). Natural alleles at a tomato fruit size quantitative
- trait locus differ by heterochronic regulatory mutations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 13606–
- 103 13611.

104

- 105 Cui, W., Wang, X. and Lee, J.-Y. (2015). Drop-ANd-See: A Simple, Real-Time, and
- Noninvasive Technique for Assaying Plasmodesmal Permeability. In Plasmodesmata: Methods
- and Protocols, M. Heinlein, ed, Methods in Molecular Biology (Springer: New York, NY), 149-
- 108 156.

109

- Frary, A. et al. (2000). fw2.2: A Quantitative Trait Locus Key to the Evolution of Tomato Fruit
- 111 Size. Science 289: 85–88.

112

- Ruan, B. et al. (2020). Natural variation in the promoter of TGW2 determines grain width and
- 114 weight in rice. New Phytol. 227:629-640

## **Parsed Citations**

Alonge et. al (2020). Major Impacts of Widespread Structural Variation on Gene Expression and Crop Improvement in Tomato. Cell 182, 1: 145-161.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Beauchet, A, Gévaudant, F., Gonzalez, N. and Chevalier, C. (2021). In search of the till unknown function of FW2.2/CELL NUMBER REGULATOR, a major regulator of fruit size in tomato. J. Exp. Bot. 72: 5300–5311.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Beauchet et al. (2024). The CELL NUMBER REGULATOR FW2.2 protein regulates cell-to-cell communication in tomato by modulating callose deposition at plasmodesmata, Plant Physiology.

Cong, B., Liu, J. and Tanksley, S. D. (2002). Natural alleles at a tomato fruit size quantitative trait locus differ by heterochronic regulatory mutations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 13606–13611.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cui, W., Wang, X. and Lee, J.-Y. (2015). Drop-ANd-See: A Simple, Real-Time, and Noninvasive Technique for Assaying Plasmodesmal Permeability. In Plasmodesmata: Methods and Protocols, M. Heinlein, ed, Methods in Molecular Biology (Springer: New York, NY), 149–156.

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Frary, A et al. (2000). fw2.2: A Quantitative Trait Locus Key to the Evolution of Tomato Fruit Size. Science 289: 85–88. Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ruan, B. et al. (2020). Natural variation in the promoter of TGW2 determines grain width and weight in rice. New Phytol. 227:629-640

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>