

Trehalose or Not-Trehalose: The Question of Direct vs. Indirect Transcriptional Responses to the sugar trehalose-6-phosphate

Thu M Tran^{1,2} and Kyle W Swentowsky^{1,2}

¹Assistant Features Editor, *Plant Physiology*, American Society of Plant Biologists, Rockville, MD, USA

²Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, USA

ORCID: 0000-0001-6877-713X and 0000-0002-5148-3642

For correspondence: tran@cshl.edu

In terrestrial ecosystems, plants act as primary producers by sequestering carbon from CO₂ and incorporating it into the soluble sugar form. These sugars are transported out of the leaves, usually in the form of sucrose, and into sink organs such as roots and fruits. The distribution of sugars within the plant at any given time is non-uniform, and plants must continually monitor their sugar levels to control carbohydrate homeostasis and other signaling processes. In addition to directly sensing the primary soluble sugar, it has been proposed that plants can also perceive trehalose-6-phosphate (Tre6P) as a signal of sugar availability. Tre6P is the intermediate molecule in the synthesis of the sugar trehalose. Trehalose metabolism is facilitated by two enzymes: trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (TPS) and trehalose-phosphate phosphatase (TPP). In the first step, TPS catalyzes the synthesis of Tre6P from uridine diphosphate (UDP)-glucose and glucose-6-phosphate. TPP then dephosphorylates Tre6P to produce trehalose (Figure 1).

In addition to its role in sugar sensing, Tre6P controls developmental processes such as flowering time, inflorescence architecture, and shoot branching (Wahl et al., 2013, Satoh-Nagasawa et al., 2006, Fichtner et al., 2021, reviewed in Fichtner and Lunn, 2021). While it is understood that Tre6P can rapidly induce changes in gene expression, the mechanisms of Tre6P perception and downstream signaling are still elusive.

In this study, **Avidan et al., (2024)** used an inducible TPS enzyme to identify the direct gene-expression targets of Tre6P. The authors used an ethanol-inducible version of the TPS enzyme from *E. coli* (iTPS) transformed into *Arabidopsis* to analyze the short-term (4-6 hours)

transcriptional responses to Tre6P. Previous studies have been limited by genetic interventions that altered TPS and TPP expression causing constitutive changes in both Tre6P and sucrose contents. The short-term inducible system allowed the researchers to capture the rapid responses before Tre6P affected sucrose synthesis, therefore partially overcoming technical issues associated with constitutively altering TPS expression. The authors compared the transcriptional output of iTPS with

the response to increased sugar availability across nine treatments from previous studies and calculated an average response, termed a carbon response factor (CRF). Transcripts that showed a similar response to iTPS and elevated sugar were considered likely targets of Tre6P signaling.

In agreement with previous studies of Tre6P-induced gene expression, elevated Tre6P led to widespread changes in transcript abundance for almost half of the transcriptome. Through this deconvolution process, around 40% of these transcripts are likely responses to Tre6P. This data set was used to elucidate how Tre6P affects related biological processes.

The authors then analyzed the effects of induced Tre6P on global gene expression, focusing on interactions between Tre6P and three well-known sugar-signaling modules: SnRK1, TORC, and S₁ bZIP transcription factors. SUCROSE-NON-FERMENTING1-RELATED KINASE1 (SnRK1 plays a key role in low-energy signaling (Jossie et. al, 2009). Previous studies suggested that Tre6P can act by inhibiting SnRK1, but there is a missing link in the evidence: the *in vitro* inhibition of SnRK1 by Tre6P was only observed in the extraction from sink tissues, and the change of downstream targets of SnRK1 transcripts was only observed in mature leaves (source tissues). The results presented here reveal a complex relationship between Tre6P and SnRK1-signaling modules with implications for cellular responses to sugar availability. Elevated Tre6P levels consistently and primarily inhibit the SnRK1 starvation response. Additionally, Tre6P influences the expression of SnRK1 protein subunits and the expression of its interactors, indicating a tight interplay between Tre6P signaling and SnRK1 function.

Next, the interaction of Tre6P with the TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN COMPLEX (TORC)-signaling module was considered. TORC is a canonical positive regulator of ribosome biogenesis, and SnRK1 represses TORC signaling in plants (Baena-González et al., 2007), suggesting a possible relationship between Tre6P and TORC. Interestingly, the authors found that Tre6P likely influences ribosome production through SnRK1 rather than directly impacting TORC signaling. Additionally, Tre6P affects the expression of TORC phosphorylation targets, suggesting that coordinated actions between Tre6P and TORC regulate cellular responses.

Finally, Avidan et al. (2024) investigated the interactions between Tre6P and bZIP signaling, focusing on sugar translationally-regulated bZIPs (S₁ bZIPs). Under low sugar conditions, S₁ bZIPs activate starvation responses (Ma et al., 2011). There is an overlapping set of transcripts between iTPS and overexpression of the S₁ bZIP, bZIP11, and most of these transcripts showed opposite responses, suggesting that Tre6P adds another control layer, making plants more responsive to low sugar conditions.

In summary, the results from this study improve our understanding of the complex Tre6P signaling networks that plants use to react to changes in internal metabolic status and external conditions. Tre6P coordinates with other important sugar-signaling modules, SnRK1, TORC, and S₁ bZIP, in response to sugar availability, but the main function of Tre6P signaling is to inhibit SnRK1 activity to prevent starvation responses when sugar availability is high (Figure 1). However, as mentioned by the authors, since *E. coli* TPS protein is induced in all cell types while native AtTPS1 is mainly expressed in the companion and guard cells, the iTPS inducible system did not completely remove the complications due to the secondary changes. Therefore, a future study could develop a cell type-specific inducible system to further understand the spatial aspect of Tre6P signaling. Considering the importance of Tre6P signaling and its complex connections with other signaling modules in response to sugar availability, this study provides insight into how plants cope with carbon starvation.

Figure 1. Model of relationship between trehalose-6-phosphate, signaling modules, and biological processes. Simplified metabolic pathway (top panel) resulting in trehalose synthesis. TREHALOSE PHOSPHATE SYNTHASE (TPS) catalyzes the formation of Trehalose-6-phosphate (Tre6P) from Glucose-6-phosphate and UDP-glucose; TREHALOSE PHOSPHATE PHOSPHATASE (TPP) catalyzes the dephosphorylation of Tre6P to Trehalose. Tre6P

87 78 influences *TORC*, *SnRK1*, and *S_l bZIP* signaling modules (middle panel) which control

88 79 biological processes (bottom panel). Solid arrows indicate known connections, dashed arrows
89 80 denote potential connections.

90 84

91 85 **References**

92 86 Avidan O, Martins M, Feil R, Lohse M, Giorgi F, Schlereth R, Lunn J, Stitt M (2024). Direct and
93 87 indirect responses of the Arabidopsis transcriptome to an induced increase in trehalose 6-
94 88 phosphate. *Plant Physiology*.

95 89 Baena-González E, Rolland F, Thevelein JM, Sheen J (2007). A central integrator of
96 90 transcription networks in plant stress and energy signaling. *Nature* 448:938–942

97 91 Fichtner F, Barbier FF, Annunziata MG, Feil R, Olas JJ, Mueller-Roeber B, Stitt M, Beveridge A,
98 92 Lunn JE (2021). Regulation of shoot branching in Arabidopsis by trehalose 6-phosphate. *New*
99 93 *Phytol* 229:2135–2151.

00 94 Fichtner F, Lunn JE (2021). The Role of trehalose 6-phosphate (Tre6P) in plant metabolism and
01 95 development. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 72:737-760.

02 96 Jossier M, Bouly JP, Meimoun P, Arjmand A, Lessard P, Hawley S, Hardie GD, Thomas M
03 97 (2009). SnRK1 (SNF1-related kinase 1) has a central role in sugar and ABA signaling in
04 98 Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* 59:316–328

05 99 Ma J, Hanssen M, Lundgren K, Hernández L, Delatte T, Ehlert A, Liu CM, Schluepmann H,
06 100 Dröge-Laser W, Moritz T, et al (2011). The sucrose-regulated Arabidopsis transcription factor
07 101 bZIP11 reprograms metabolism and regulates trehalose metabolism. *New Phytol* 191:733-745

08 102 Satoh-Nagasawa N, Nagasawa N, Malcomber S, Sakai H, Jackson D (2006). A trehalose
09 103 metabolic enzyme controls inflorescence architecture in maize. *Nature* 441:227–230

10 104 Wahl V, Ponnu J, Schlereth A, Arrivault S, Langenecker T, Franke A, Feil R, Lunn JE, Stitt M,
11 105 Schmid M (2013). Regulation of flowering by trehalose-6-phosphate signaling in *Arabidopsis*
12 106 *thaliana*. *Science* 339:704-707.

13 107

14 108

15 109

16 110

Parsed Citations

Avidan O, Martins M, Feil R, Lohse M, Giorgi F, Schlereth R, Lunn J, Stitt M (2024). Direct and indirect responses of the Arabidopsis transcriptome to an induced increase in trehalose 6-phosphate. Plant Physiology.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Baena-González E, Rolland F, Thevelein JM, Sheen J (2007). Acentral integrator of transcription networks in plant stress and energy signaling. Nature 448:938–942

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Fichtner F, Barbier FF, Annunziata MG, Feil R, Olas JJ, Mueller-Roeber B, Stitt M, Beveridge A, Lunn JE (2021). Regulation of shoot branching in Arabidopsis by trehalose 6-phosphate. New Phytol 229:2135–2151.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Fichtner F, Lunn JE (2021). The Role of trehalose 6-phosphate (Tre6P) in plant metabolism and development. Annu Rev Plant Biol 72:737-760.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Jossier M, Bouly JP, Meimoun P, Arjmand A, Lessard P, Hawley S, Hardie GD, Thomas M (2009). SnRK1 (SNF1-related kinase 1) has a central role in sugar and ABA signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 59:316–328

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Ma J, Hanssen M, Lundgren K, Hernández L, Delatte T, Ehlert A, Liu CM, Schluepmann H, Dröge-Laser W, Moritz T, et al (2011). The sucrose-regulated Arabidopsis transcription factor bZIP11 reprograms metabolism and regulates trehalose metabolism. New Phytol 191:733-745

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Satoh-Nagasawa N, Nagasawa N, Malcomber S, Sakai H, Jackson D (2006). A trehalose metabolic enzyme controls inflorescence architecture in maize. Nature 441:227–230

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

Wahl V, Ponnu J, Schlereth A, Arrivault S, Langenecker T, Franke A, Feil R, Lunn JE, Stitt M, Schmid M (2013). Regulation of flowering by trehalose-6-phosphate signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 339:704-707.

Google Scholar: [Author Only Title Only Author and Title](#)

