## Appendix to: valuations, completions, and hyperbolic actions of metabelian groups

Carolyn R. Abbott Sahana Balasubramanya Sam Payne Alexander J. Rasmussen

## 1 Appendix: classification of ideals and factorization of formal power series

Consider a monic polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with constant term which does not lie in the set  $\{-1,0,1\}$ . We consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f)$  and the element  $\gamma = x + (f)$ . We consider the  $(\gamma)$ -adic completion  $\widehat{R}$  of R. There is a pre-order on ideals of  $\widehat{R}$  defined by  $\mathfrak{a} \preceq \mathfrak{b}$  if  $\gamma^n \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . This defines an equivalence relation in the usual way:  $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{b}$  if  $\mathfrak{a} \preceq \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \preceq \mathfrak{a}$ . The pre-order  $\preceq$  descends to a partial order  $\preceq$  on equivalence classes of ideals. We will call the resulting poset the poset of ideals of  $\widehat{R}$  up to multiplication by  $\gamma$ . Our goal is to describe this poset. By a Theorem of Bourbaki ([2, Ch. 2 Sec. 2 No. 4 Proposition 10]), this poset is isomorphic to the poset of ideals of the localization  $\gamma^{-1}\widehat{R}$ . So equivalently, we will describe the poset of ideals of  $\gamma^{-1}\widehat{R}$ .

First we give an alternative description of the  $(\gamma)$ -adic completion  $\widehat{R}$  of R.

**Lemma 1.1.** Consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f)$ . Then the completion  $\widehat{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f)$ .

*Proof.* The sequence

$$0 \to (f) \to \mathbb{Z}[x] \to R \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of finitely-generated  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ -modules. We consider the completions of these modules with respect to the ideals  $(x^i)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is Noetherian, the sequence of (x)-adic completions

$$0 \to \widehat{(f)} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}[x]} \to \widehat{R} \to 0$$

is exact by [1, Proposition 10.12]. Since x acts on R in the same way as  $\gamma$ , the (x)-adic completion  $\widehat{R}$  is just the usual  $(\gamma)$ -adic completion. Of course  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}[x]}$  is just the formal power series ring  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ -module homomorphism  $(f) \to \widehat{(f)}$  defines a  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ -module homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[x]} (f) \to \widehat{(f)}$ . By [1, Proposition 10.13] this homomorphism is an isomorphism. The image of the homomorphism is exactly the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  generated by f.  $\square$ 

We will prove the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let f be a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with constant term not lying in  $\{-1,0,1\}$ . Then the poset of ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f)$  up to multiplication by  $\gamma = x + (f)$  is isomorphic to the poset of divisors of f in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  considered up to associates. Equivalently, the poset of ideals of  $\gamma^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f))$  is isomorphic to the poset of divisors of f in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  considered up to associates.

Denote by  $\overline{g} = g + (f)$  the equivalence class of a power series g. We will switch between writing  $\overline{g}$  and g + (f) interchangeably. The localization  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  with respect to the powers of x is the ring of formal Laurent series with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In other words, there may be infinitely many terms but only finitely many with negative exponents on x. We first prove the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  which is neither a unit nor divisible by x. Then the quotient  $(x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]])/(g)$  (where (g) denotes the ideal generated by g in  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ ) is isomorphic to the localization  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g))$  (where (g) denotes the ideal generated by g in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ ).

*Proof.* Note that since g is not divisible by x,  $\overline{x}$  is not a zero divisor in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g)$ . Thus an element  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^i$  in  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g))$  is zero only if  $\overline{h}=\overline{0}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ .

Consider the natural homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]] \to \overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g))$ . As the image of x is a unit, there is an induced homomorphism  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]] \to \overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g))$  sending  $h/x^i$  to  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^i$  for  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . We see immediately that this homomorphism is surjective. The kernel contains (g) (the ideal of  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ ). To see that the kernel is contained in (g), consider  $h/x^i$  in the kernel. Then  $\overline{h} = \overline{0}$  so that h lies in the ideal generated by g in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . That is,  $h/x^i = fg/x^i$  for some  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  and we see that the kernel is contained in (g), as desired.

We first consider quotients  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i)$  where g is an irreducible power series which is not associate to a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  or the monomial x. By [4, Proposition 3.1.3] (see also [3, Theorem 1.4]) there is an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g) \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha]$  where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime and  $\alpha$  is a root of an irreducible polynomial  $w(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  satisfying the following condition:

$$w(x) = pu(x) + x^n$$
 where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  satisfies  $\deg(u) \le n - 1$ .

First we consider the case i = 1.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  be an irreducible power series not associate to a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  or to x. Then the localization  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g))$  is a field.

Proof. Consider the prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the polynomial  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  as described in the last paragraph. Then the localization  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g))$  is isomorphic to the localization  $\alpha^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha]$ . We have the equation  $\alpha^n = -pu(\alpha)$  and therefore p is a unit in  $\alpha^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha]$ . There is a natural injection from  $\alpha^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha]$  to the finite field extension  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$ . Namely,  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}_p[x]/(w)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(w)$  includes into  $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]/(w)$  in such a way that x + (w) is a unit. We claim that this homomorphism is also surjective and thus an isomorphism of fields. We may write an element of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$  as  $h(\alpha)$  with  $h \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ . Multiplying by a high enough power of p to clear the denominators of the coefficients of h, we have  $p^ih(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  for some i. Since p is a unit in  $\alpha^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha]$  we have  $p^{-i}(p^ih(\alpha)) \in \alpha^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha]$  and the image of this element is  $h(\alpha)$ . Thus, the natural map  $\alpha^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha] \to \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha)$  is surjective as desired.

Now we consider the case  $i \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  be an irreducible power series not associate to a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  or to x. Let  $i \geq 1$ . Then the ideal  $(\overline{g})$  is the unique non-zero prime ideal of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i))$ .

*Proof.* The quotient of the localization  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i))$  by  $(\overline{g})$  is a field by Lemma 1.4 and thus  $(\overline{g})$  is prime. Moreover, we have  $(\overline{g})^i = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a non-zero prime ideal of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i))$ . Then  $(\overline{g})^i \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, we must have in fact  $(\overline{g}) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $(\overline{g})$  is maximal, it must be equal to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  be an irreducible power series not associate to a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  or to x. Let  $i \geq 1$ . Then the ideals of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i))$  are exactly the ideals  $(\overline{g}^j)$  generated by powers  $\overline{g}^j$  for  $0 \leq j \leq i$ .

Proof. By Lemma 1.5,  $(\overline{g})$  is the unique prime ideal of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i))$ . By [1, Exercise 1.10], every element of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i))$  is either nilpotent or a unit. We may write an element of this ring as  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k$  with  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . If g divides h then  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k$  is nilpotent. On the other hand, if g does not divide h then  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k$  is a unit. To see this, suppose for contradiction that  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k \in (\overline{g})$ . Then  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k = \overline{g}\overline{h}'/\overline{x}^l$  for some  $h' \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  and  $\overline{h}\overline{x}^l = \overline{g}\overline{h}'\overline{x}^k$ . In other words,  $hx^l + (g^i) = gh'x^k + (g^i)$  so that  $hx^l = gh'x^k + g^ih''$  for some  $h'' \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . However, g divides the right hand side of this equation while it does not divide the left. This is a contradiction. Thus, if g does not divide h then  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k$  does not lie in  $(\overline{g})$  and therefore it is a unit.

Now, given any  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k \in \overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g^i))$  we may write  $h = h'g^j$  with h' not divisible by g. We have  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k = (\overline{h'}/\overline{x}^k)(\overline{g}^j/1)$  and by the previous paragraph,  $\overline{h'}/\overline{x}^k$  is a unit. In other words,  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k$  is associate to  $\overline{g}^j$ . Consider an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Choose j to be the minimum such that there is an element of  $\mathfrak{a}$  associate to  $\overline{g}^j$ . Then clearly  $\mathfrak{a} \subset (\overline{g}^j)$  but also  $\overline{g}^j \in \mathfrak{a}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{a} = (\overline{g}^j) = (\overline{g})^j$ .

Now we consider the case of a monic polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with constant term not lying in  $\{-1,0,1\}$ . We consider its prime factorization  $f = uf_1^{n_1} \cdots f_r^{n_r}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . Here  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is a unit and the  $f_i$  are prime. Moreover, since the constant term of f is not  $\pm 1$ , f is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  and therefore there is at least one prime in its prime factorization. The prime power series of  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  are either:

- associate to x;
- associate to a prime integer  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- not associate to x or to any prime integer. Such a power series has constant term equal to a power of a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  times  $\pm 1$ .

Note that any prime of the first type has constant term 0. Any prime of the second type has all its coefficients divisible by p. Since f has non-zero constant term, the same holds for each  $f_i$ . That is, no  $f_i$  is associate to x. Since the coefficients of f are not all divisible by a common prime integer p, the same holds for each  $f_i$ . That is, no  $f_i$  is associate to a prime integer p.

Note that the localization of a unique factorization domain is a unique factorization domain. Thus  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is a unique factorization domain and its prime and irreducible elements coincide.

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $g \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  be a prime power series which is neither associate to x nor to a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then g is prime as an element of  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . Moreover, consider two prime powers  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  neither of which is associate to x nor to a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are not associate to each other in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . Let  $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ . Then the ideal  $(g_1^{n_1}, g_2^{n_2})$  generated by  $g_1^{n_1}$  and  $g_2^{n_2}$  in  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is all of  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ .

*Proof.* Let g be a prime power series with the desired properties. First we show that g is not a unit in  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . If g is a unit then there is an element  $h/x^k \in x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  with  $gh/x^k = 1$  in  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . Hence  $gh = x^k$ . However, the prime g does not divide the prime power  $x^k$  so this is a contradiction.

Consider a product of elements of  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  which is equal to g. We may write this product as  $g = (h_1/x^{k_1})(h_2/x^{k_2})$  where  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . This yields  $gx^{k_1}x^{k_2} = h_1h_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . Hence g divides one of the  $h_i$ . Say g divides  $h_1$ . Thus g and  $h_1/x^{k_1}$  divide each other in the domain  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  and therefore they are associates while  $h_2/x^{k_2}$  is a unit. This proves that g is irreducible in  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  and hence also prime.

For the last statement, consider two non-associate prime power series  $g_1,g_2\in\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  with the desired properties. By the proof of Lemma 1.6, an element of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g_2^{n_2}))$  is either a unit or nilpotent. Moreover, an element  $\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k$  with  $h\in\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is nilpotent exactly if  $g_2$  divides h in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . Consider the element  $\overline{g_1^{n_1}}\in\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(g_2^{n_2}))$ . Since  $g_2$  does not divide  $g_1^{n_1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ ,  $\overline{g_1}^{n_1}$  is a unit. Thus,  $\overline{g_1}^{n_1}(\overline{h}/\overline{x}^k)=\overline{1}$  for some  $h\in\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . In other words,

$$g_1^{n_1}h + (g_2^{n_2}) = x^k + (g_2^{n_2})$$
 and hence  $g_1^{n_1}h = x^k + g_2^{n_2}h'$  for some  $h' \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ .

So 
$$1 = g_1^{n_1}(h/x^k) - g_2^{n_2}(h'/x^k) \in (g_1^{n_1}, g_2^{n_2}).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider a monic polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with constant term not lying in  $\{-1,0,1\}$ . By the discussion above, there is a prime factorization  $f = uf_1^{n_1} \cdots f_r^{n_r}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  with  $r \geq 1$  and all the prime factors  $f_i$  are neither associate to x nor to a prime  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 1.3 we have  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f)) \cong (x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]])/(f)$  where (f) denotes the ideal generated by f in the localization  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . We have  $(f) = (f_1^{n_1}) \cdots (f_r^{n_r})$  in  $x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  and by Lemma 1.7, the ideals  $(f_i^{n_i})$  are pairwise coprime. Thus by the Chinese Remainder Theorem we have

$$\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f)) \cong (x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]])/(f) \cong (x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]])/(f_1^{n_1}) \times \cdots \times (x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[[x]])/(f_r^{n_r}).$$

Applying Lemma 1.3 again we have

$$\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f)) \cong \overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f_1^{n_1})) \times \cdots \times \overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f_r^{n_r})).$$

An ideal of this ring has the form  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{a}_r$  where  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  is an ideal of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f_i^{n_i}))$  for each i. By Lemma 1.6 we see that in fact an ideal has the form  $(\overline{f_1}^{j_1}) \times \cdots \times (\overline{f_r}^{j_r})$  where  $0 \leq j_i \leq n_i$  for each i.

The divisors of f in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  up to associates are  $f_1^{j_1} \cdots f_r^{j_r}$  and the function

$$f_1^{j_1}\cdots f_r^{j_r}\mapsto (\overline{f_1}^{j_1})\times\cdots\times(\overline{f_r}^{j_r})$$

is a bijection from the poset of divisors of f with the order of divisibility to the poset of ideals of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f))$ . This bijection is *order-reversing*. Thus the poset of ideals of  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f))$  is isomorphic to the opposite of the poset of divisors of f in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . However the poset of divisors of f in  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is isomorphic to its own opposite, so  $\overline{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[[x]]/(f))$  is also isomorphic to the poset of divisors of f.

## References

- [1] M. Atiyah and I. MacDonald. *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.
- [2] N. Bourbaki. Commutative Algebra. Elements of Mathematics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1972.
- [3] J. Elliott. Factoring formal power series over principal ideal domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(8):3997–4019, 2014.
- [4] J. M. McDonough. Integral domains arising as quotient rings of  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ . Master's thesis, California State University Channel Islands, 2011.