

#### Research Article

# Ribbon worms (phylum Nemertea) from Bodega Bay, California: A largely undescribed diversity

Christina I. Ellison<sup>10</sup>, Madeline R. Frey<sup>2</sup>, Eric Sanford<sup>2,30</sup>, Svetlana Maslakova<sup>10</sup>

- 1 Department of Biology, Oregon Institute of Marine Biology, University of Oregon, Charleston, OR, USA
- 2 Bodega Marine Laboratory, Bodega Bay, CA 94923, USA
- 3 Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Corresponding author: Christina I. Ellison (cellison@uoregon.edu)

#### **Abstract**

The diversity of nemerteans along the Pacific coast of the United States is regarded as well characterized, but there remain many cryptic, undescribed, and "orphan" species (those known only in their larval form). Recent sampling of nemerteans in Oregon and Washington has begun to fill in these taxonomic gaps, but nemertean diversity in California has received relatively little attention over the past 60 years. During the summers of 2019 and 2020, nemertean specimens were collected from 20 locations in the Bodega Bay region of northern California, USA, including rocky intertidal shores, sandy beaches, mudflats, and other habitats. Based on morphological assessment and DNA sequence analysis (partial Cytochrome Oxidase I and 16S rRNA genes), our surveys identified 34 nemertean species. Only 13 of these (38%) can be confidently assigned to described species. Another 11 represent species that are new to science, including members of the genera Riserius, Nipponnemertes, Poseidonemertes, Zygonemertes, Nemertellina, Oerstedia, and three species of uncertain affiliation. The remaining ten species include undescribed or cryptic species of uncertain status that have been found previously along the Pacific Coast of North America. Our surveys also document extensions of known geographic ranges for multiple species, including the first records in California of Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola, Cephalothrix hermaphroditica, and Maculaura oregonensis. This is the first report of the genus Nemertellina in the northeast Pacific and Riserius in California. Overall, our findings highlight how much remains to be learned about the diversity and distribution of nemerteans in the northeast Pacific.

**Key words:** Biodiversity, cryptic species, Hoplonemertea, invertebrate, marine, Palaeonemertea, Pilidiophora



Academic editor: Jon Norenburg Received: 27 December 2023 Accepted: 25 February 2024 Published: 4 June 2024

**ZooBank:** https://zoobank. org/86BD3637-DE34-4E25-B236-8B076B94F29B

Citation: Ellison CI, Frey MR, Sanford E, Maslakova S (2024) Ribbon worms (phylum Nemertea) from Bodega Bay, California: A largely undescribed diversity. ZooKeys 1204: 15–64. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1204.117869

Copyright: © Christina I. Ellison et al.

This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution

License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

#### Introduction

Nemerteans, or ribbon worms, are a phylum of approximately 1300 species (Gibson 1995; Kajihara et al. 2008; WoRMS Editorial Board 2023) of soft-bodied, non-segmented worms with an eversible proboscis housed within a fluid-filled rhynchocoel. They are found in all the world's oceans, with most species known from benthic marine habitats, although some have adapted to pelagic, fresh-water, and terrestrial environments as well. Most nemerteans

are predators that feed on annelids, crustaceans, and mollusks (McDermott and Roe 1985), some throughout their life cycle, i.e., even in the plankton as larvae (Maslakova and Hiebert 2014; von Dassow et al. 2022; Maslakova et al. 2024). Some nemertean species impact commercially important crab, clam, and eel fisheries (e.g., Kuris and Wickham 1987; Bourque et al. 2001; Park et al. 2019), and some have been shown to produce compounds with biomedical potential (Kem et al. 2006; Whelan et al. 2014; Göransson et al. 2019; Verdes et al. 2022). Despite their ecological and applied importance, nemerteans remain understudied as a group, and the vast majority of the phylum's diversity has yet to be characterized (e.g., Mahon et al. 2010; Chernyshev and Lutaenko 2011; Kajihara 2017; Maslakova et al. 2022).

Nemerteans are challenging to identify given their relatively small number of external features, many of which do not fall into discrete character states (e.g., color and shape of body), and the fact that many species have been described and are known only from histological study of formalin-preserved material. The phylum is known to possess large numbers of cryptic species, that is, morphologically indistinguishable, but nevertheless distinct, species (e.g., Chen et al. 2010; Leasi and Norenburg 2014; Hao et al. 2015; Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a). Given that morphological approaches often fail to distinguish between look-alikes, DNA-based approaches are increasingly used to identify and delimit species, resolve phylogenies, and infer biogeographical patterns (e.g., Chernyshev et al. 2021a; Mendes et al. 2021; Kajihara et al. 2022a, 2022b).

The nemertean fauna of the Cold Temperate Northern Pacific province (as per Spalding et al. 2007) is among the best-characterized in the world, as it has been studied by several nemertinologists during the course of the past century and a half (e.g., Stimpson 1857; Griffin 1898; Coe 1901, 1904, 1905, 1940; Corrêa 1964). However, new nemertean species are routinely discovered by researchers working in this region as molecular techniques are applied, and as new locations, life stages, habitats and depths are sampled and living specimens studied (e.g., Maslakova and von Dassow 2012; Hiebert et al. 2013; Hao et al. 2015; Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a, 2015b; Hunt and Maslakova 2017; Chernyshev et al. 2021b). Roe et al. (2007) report 65 intertidal nemertean species for the Oregonian Biogeographic Province (Oregon to Central California). However, recent sampling and DNA-barcoding of adults and planktonic larvae increased the known number of nemertean species in Oregon alone to more than a hundred (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022). In contrast, the diversity of nemerteans in California has received relatively little attention during the past 60 years, and molecular methods have not been applied broadly to the nemertean fauna of California.

The Bodega Bay region in northern California supports biodiverse ecosystems including rocky shores, kelp forests, sandy beaches, seagrass beds, and mudflats. The diversity of nemerteans in the Bodega Bay region has received some attention historically. A student report by Tamura (1957) identified 12 nemertean species in this region. Corrêa (1964) surveyed the southern end of Bodega Bay, including Dillon Beach, Tomales Point, and Tomales Bay, and identified 18 nemertean species. A pair of surveys conducted of Bodega Harbor (Standing et al. 1975) and the open coast of Bodega Marine Reserve (Ristau et al. 1978) identified seven nemertean species. Collectively, these previous studies identified a total of 21 different species of nemerteans in this region. The goal of this study was to extend the geographic scope of recent investigations

of nemertean diversity in the northeast Pacific (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022) to include northern California. In particular, we collected nemerteans from a variety of habitats in the Bodega Bay region and used DNA barcoding to examine this fauna for the first time.

### Materials and methods

# Specimen collection and preservation

During the summers of 2019 and 2020, we collected samples from 20 locations around Bodega Bay, California (Fig. 1, Tables 1, 2).

We selected the locations to encompass a variety of habitat types, including rocky substrate and soft sediments in both wave-exposed/outer coast and wave-protected, estuarine/harbor environments. Most of our collections were from the intertidal zone, but some subtidal collections were made as well. In the field, we haphazardly searched for visible nemerteans and additionally collected complex habitat material to extract small worms in the laboratory. Complex material (e.g., sedentary/colonial animals, algal holdfasts, surfgrass roots, sand, mud, etc.) was collected in the field and placed into sealed plastic bags. In the laboratory, we transferred these materials into clear aguaria, and covered the material with seawater. These samples were left for several days and checked regularly to remove any nemerteans observed crawling on the walls or water's surface. After several days, we broke apart and sorted through complex habitat material to remove hidden worms. Colonial ascidians and other invertebrates from samples of benthic communities tended to deteriorate rapidly in the laboratory and caused any nemertean specimens to become unusable, so these materials were sorted soon after collection.




Figure 1. Map of collection stations (1-20) within Bodega Bay, California, USA.

**Table 1.** Nemertean collection locations in the Bodega Bay region. Locations #8 and #11-#18 are within the Bodega Marine Reserve.

Station	GPS Coordinates	Site Description					
1	38.2573, -122.9713	Dillon Beach: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops					
2	38.3055, -123.0171	Pinnacle Gulch: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops					
3	38.3064, -123.0514	Doran Beach: subtidal, soft sediment habitat					
4	38.3059, -123.0524	dega Harbor North Jetty: intertidal jetty with boulders					
5	38.3106, -123.0556	Bodega Harbor: intertidal flats with soft sediments					
6	38.3131, -123.0514	Bodega Harbor, near Coast Guard dock: intertidal breakwater with boulders					
7	38.3141, -123.0515	Bodega Harbor, near Coast Guard dock: intertidal breakwater with boulders					
8	38.3135, -123.0543	Bodega Harbor, Gaffney Point: intertidal flats with soft sediments					
9	38.3289, -123.0573	Bodega Harbor, Spud Point Marina: subtidal fouling community on floating docks					
10	38.3002, -123.0617	Bodega Head, south end: wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitat with shaded caves					
11	38.3150, -123.0712	Bodega Head, Horseshoe Cove: subtidal holdfasts of bull kelp (Nereocystis luetkeana)					
12	38.3159, -123.0693	Bodega Head, south side of Horseshoe Cove: rocky intertidal benches, among the roots of surfgrass ( <i>Phyllospadix</i> sp.)					
13	38.3162, -123.0691	Bodega Head, Horseshoe Cove Beach: intertidal sandy beach with coarse sediments					
14	38.3168, -123.0709	Bodega Head, north side of Horseshoe Cove: rocky intertidal benches, among the roots of surfgrass ( <i>Phyllospadix</i> sp.)					
15	38.3161, -123.0719	Bodega Head, Horseshoe Cove Point: wave-exposed rocky intertidal benches at northern edge of the cove					
16	38.3185, -123.0740	Bodega Head: wave-exposed rocky intertidal benches					
17	38.3235, -123.0785	Bodega Head, Mussel Point: wave-exposed rocky intertidal benches					
18	38.3231, -123.0766	Bodega Head: rocky intertidal benches south of Salmon Creek Beach					
19	38.3631, -123.0709	Coleman Beach: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops					
20	38.3747, -123.0789	Schoolhouse Beach: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops					

**Table 2.** Species identity, collection location, and accession numbers of nemertean specimens from Bodega Bay, CA. "Station" refers to collection location (Fig. 1, Table 1), USNM (United States National Museum) numbers refers to vouchers deposited at the Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Natural History.

Specimen ID	OTU (ASAP subset)	Species ID	Station	BOLD process ID	GenBank accession number	USNM voucher
B01	BOBA001	Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a	6	NONEP001-21	COI: OQ075685 16S: OQ075747	USNM 1673940
B02	BOBA009	Paranemertes sp. BOBA009	6	NONEP002-21	COI: OQ075698 16S: OQ075759	USNM 1673941
B03	BOBA011	Nemertellina sp. BOBA011	6	NONEP003-21	COI: OQ075690 16S: OQ075753	N/A
B04	BOBA029	Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029	6	NONEP004-21	COI: 0Q075707	N/A
B05	BOBA012	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012	6	NONEP005-21	COI: OQ075710 16S: OQ075767	N/A
B06	BOBA012	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012	6	NONEP006-21	COI: 0Q075709	N/A
B07	BOBA014	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014	6	NONEP007-21	COI: 0Q075721 16S: 0Q075778	N/A
B08	BOBA014	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014	6	NONEP008-21	COI: 0Q075717 16S: 0Q075774	N/A
B09	BOBA012	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012	6	NONEP009-21	16S: 0Q075773	N/A
B10	BOBA031	Tubulanus sexlineatus (Griffin, 1898)	10	NONEP010-21	COI: OQ075708 16S: OQ075766	USNM 1673942
B11	BOBA007	Riserius sp. BOBA007	13	NONEP011-21	COI: OQ075703 16S: OQ075764	USNM 1673943
B12	BOBA025	Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952)	9	NONEP012-21	COI: 0Q075671 16S: 0Q075732	USNM 1673944
B13	BOBA026	Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez & Méndez, 1990)	15	NONEP013-21	COI: OQ075668 16S: OQ075729	USNM 1673945

Specimen ID	OTU (ASAP subset)	Species ID	Station	BOLD process ID	GenBank accession number	USNM voucher
B14	BOBA010	Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA010	3	NONEP014-21	COI: 0Q075700 16S: 0Q075761	USNM 1673946
B15	BOBA016	Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016	9	NONEP015-21	COI: 0Q075687 16S: 0Q075750	USNM 1673947
B16	BOBA027	Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857	1	NONEP016-21	COI: 0Q075674 16S: 0Q075735	USNM 1673948
B18	BOBA021	Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904	1	NONEP017-21	COI: 0Q075705	USNM 1673949
B19	BOBA006	Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015	16	NONEP018-21	COI: 0Q075682 16S: 0Q075743	USNM 1673950
B20	BOBA017	Amphiporus sp. BOBA017	16	NONEP019-21	COI: OQ075662 16S: OQ075723	USNM 1673951
B21	BOBA011	Nemertellina sp. BOBA011	9	NONEP020-21	COI: 0Q075691 16S: 0Q075754	N/A
BON01	BOBA019	Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904	17	NONEP021-21	COI: 0Q075706	USNM 1673952
BON02	BOBA002	Micrura verrilli	18	NONEP022-21	COI: 0Q075686 16S: 0Q075748	USNM 1673953
BON03	BOBA003	Kulikovia sp. BOBA003	10	NONEP023-21	COI: OQ075675 16S: OQ075736	USNM 1673954
BON04	BOBA026	Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez & Méndez, 1990)	10	NONEP024-21	COI: OQ075670 16S: OQ075731	USNM 1673955
BON06	BOBA005	Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904	6	NONEP025-21	COI: OQ075679 16S: OQ075740	USNM 1673956
BON10	BOBA001	Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a	8	NONEP026-21	16S: OQ075746	USNM 1673957
BON11	BOBA005	Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904	8	NONEP027-21	COI: 0Q075681 16S: 0Q075742	USNM 1673958
BON13	BOBA028	Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028	7	NONEP028-21	COI: OQ075693 16S: OQ075756	USNM 1673959
BON16	BOBA018	Amphiporus sp. BOBA018	19	NONEP029-21	COI: OQ075660 16S: OQ075722	USNM 1673960
BON24	BOBA032	Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905	8	NONEP030-21	COI: 0Q075667 16S: 0Q075728	USNM 1673961
BON27	BOBA013	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013	7	NONEP031-21	COI: 0Q075716 16S: 0Q075772	N/A
BON32	BOBA022	Oerstedia sp. BOBA022	4	NONEP032-21	COI: 0Q075694 16S: 0Q075757	N/A
BON33	BOBA022	Oerstedia sp. BOBA022	4	NONEP033-21	COI: OQ075695 16S: OQ075758	N/A
BON35	BOBA033	Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033	8	NONEP034-21	COI: OQ075699 16S: OQ075760	USNM 1673962
BON36	BOBA024	Amphiporus sp. BOBA024	18	NONEP035-21	COI: 0Q075658	USNM 1673963
BON38	BOBA026	Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez & Méndez, 1990)	18	NONEP036-21	COI: 0Q075669 16S: 0Q075730	USNM 1673964
BON40	BOBA005	Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904	2	NONEP037-21	COI: 0Q075680 16S: 0Q075741	USNM 1673965
BON41	BOBA034	Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904)	7	NONEP038-21	16S: OQ075749	USNM 1673966
BON44	BOBA018	Amphiporus sp. BOBA018	18	NONEP039-21	COI: OQ075663	USNM 1673967
BON47	BOBA017	Amphiporus sp. BOBA017	18	NONEP040-21	COI: 0Q075661	USNM 1673968
BON50	BOBA005	Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904	2	NONEP041-21	COI: 0Q075676 16S: 0Q075737	USNM 1673969
BON51	BOBA025	Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952)	9	NONEP042-21	COI: 0Q075672 16S: 0Q075733	USNM 1673970
BON59	BOBA004	Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004	15	NONEP043-21	COI: 0Q075704 16S: 0Q075765	USNM 1673971
BON60	BOBA005	Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904	15	NONEP044-21	COI: 0Q075677 16S: 0Q075738	USNM 1673972
BON61	BOBA024	Amphiporus sp. BOBA024	14	NONEP045-21	COI: OQ075659	USNM 1673973
BON62	BOBA015	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015	14	NONEP046-21	COI: 0Q075715	USNM 1673974

Specimen ID	OTU (ASAP subset)	Species ID	Station	BOLD process ID	GenBank accession number	USNM voucher
BON63	BOBA015	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015	14	NONEP047-21	COI: 0Q075714 16S: 0Q075771	N/A
BON64	BOBA007	Riserius sp. BOBA007	13	NONEP048-21	COI: 0Q075701 16S: 0Q075762	USNM 1673975
BON65	BOBA007	Riserius sp. BOBA007	13	NONEP049-21	COI: 0Q075702 16S: 0Q075763	USNM 1673976
BON67	BOBA023	Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985)	14	NONEP050-21	COI: 0Q075664 16S: 0Q075724	USNM 1673977
BON68	BOBA023	Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985)	14	NONEP051-21	COI: 0Q075665 16S: 0Q075725	USNM 1673978
BON69	BOBA011	Nemertellina sp. BOBA011	14	NONEP052-21	COI: 0Q075689 16S: 0Q075752	N/A
BON70	BOBA008	Cerebratulus sp. BOBA008	5	NONEP053-21	COI: 0Q075673 16S: 0Q075734	USNM 1673979
BON75	BOBA020	Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020	13	NONEP054-21	COI: 0Q075688 16S: 0Q075751	N/A
BON76	BOBA013	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013	10	NONEP055-21	COI: 0Q075718 16S: 0Q075775	USNM 1673986
BON77	BOBA030	Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030	13	NONEP056-21	COI: 0Q075696	N/A
BON78	BOBA030	Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030	13	NONEP057-21	COI: 0Q075697	N/A
BON80	BOBA013	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013	10	NONEP058-21	COI: 0Q075719 16S: 0Q075776	USNM 1673980
BON81	BOBA015	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015	10	NONEP059-21	COI: 0Q075720 16S: 0Q075777	USNM 1673981
BON83	BOBA006	Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a	12	NONEP060-21	COI: OQ075684 16S: OQ075745	USNM 1673982
BON85	BOBA006	Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a	12	NONEP061-21	COI: OQ075683 16S: OQ075744	USNM 1673983
BON86	BOBA005	Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904	10	NONEP062-21	COI: OQ075678 16S: OQ075739	USNM 1673984
BON87	BOBA013	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013	11	NONEP063-21	COI: 0Q075711 16S: 0Q075768	N/A
BON88	BOBA014	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014	6	NONEP064-21	COI: 0Q075712 16S: 0Q075769	USNM 1673985
BON91	BOBA014	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014	6	NONEP065-21	COI: 0Q075713 16S: 0Q075770	N/A
BON93	BOBA032	Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905	8	NONEP066-21	16S: 0Q075726	USNM 1673987
BON94	BOBA032	Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905	8	NONEP067-21	COI: OQ075666 16S: OQ075727	USNM 1673988
BON95	BOBA028	Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028	7	NONEP068-21	COI: OQ075692 16S: OQ075755	USNM 1673989

Photographs and videos of worms were taken using a Leica MC170HD digital camera mounted to a Leica M125 dissecting microscope or a Leica DM1000 compound microscope, with accompanying software (Leica Application Suite v. 4.4). For hoplonemerteans, the stylet and basis of living specimens were photographed, when possible, using a compound microscope. We made initial species identifications using available morphological keys and geographically relevant inventories of Nemertea (Coe 1905; Roe et al. 2007; Hiebert 2016). Tissue samples were then preserved in 95% ethanol for DNA extraction, and some specimens were preserved in 4% formalin as morphological vouchers. Specimens collected in 2019 were identified with numbers preceded by the letter "B" and those collected in 2020 were given identifiers beginning with the label "BON." Morphological and tissue vouchers have been deposited in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. (Table 2, see also BOLD dataset https://dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-NEMBBCA).

# DNA extraction, PCR, sequence analysis, and species delimitation

We extracted DNA from 76 individuals using DNEasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. We attempted to PCR-amplify portions of two mitochondrial genes, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and 16S rRNA, from each individual, using universal and nemertean-specific primers (Table 3).

Each PCR was performed in a 20 µl volume, with 1 unit per reaction of Go Taq Polymerase (Promega) with supplied buffer, 200 µM dNTPs, and 500 nM of each primer. We used the following thermocycle profile: 95 °C 2 min; 34 cycles of: 95 °C 40 s, 45 °C (COI) 48-50 °C (16S) 40 s, and 72 °C 1 min; followed by final extension for 2 min (72 °C). Some DNA extracts required dilution (~ 1:20) for PCR success. PCR products were assessed with gel electrophoresis, purified with Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean Up Kit (Promega), and sequenced at Sequetech (Mountain View, CA) in both directions using PCR primers. We used Geneious Prime for sequence analysis. Sequences with initial HQ < 50% were discarded. Each sequence was manually trimmed to eliminate primers and low-quality end regions. Forward and reverse strands were aligned, proofread against each other using quality PHRED scores and chromatograms, and contigs used to generate consensus sequences. Nucleotides with combined PHRED score of less than 20 in consensus sequences were trimmed off or converted to "N". We translated each COI nucleotide sequence into amino acids using the Invertebrate Mitochondrial translation table and checked for the presence of stop codons.

Consensus sequences were checked against the NCBI database (GenBank) using nucleotide BLAST to screen for contamination and to aid with specimen identification. A 4% p-distance divergence was previously identified as appropriate for species delineation in large scale COI-barcoding studies of nemerteans (e.g., Kvist et al. 2014; Maslakova et al. 2022), and thus was used here as a criterion for selection of conspecific reference sequences. When available, conspecific sequences from GenBank were added to the alignment. Reference sequences from closely related species were added where no conspecific reference sequences were available (Suppl. material 1). All newly generated sequences have been deposited in BOLD and GenBank (Table 2, see also BOLD dataset <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-NEMBBCA">https://dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-NEMBBCA</a>). We aligned sequences using the MAFFT plug-in within Geneious, using default parameters, visually inspected alignments for gaps and irregularities, then used them to construct unrooted neighbor–joining trees (Tamura Nei substitution model). Final COI alignment

Table 3. PCR primers used in this study.

Locus	Primer name	Primer sequence	Reference
COI	LCO1490 HCO2198	5' GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG 5' TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA	Folmer et al. 1994
COI	COI LF COI DR	5' TTTCAACAAATCATAAAGATAT 5' GAGAAATAATACCAAAACCAGG	Cherneva et al. 2023
16S	16SARL 16SBRH	5' CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT 5' CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT	Palumbi et al. 1991
16S	16S AF 16S KR	5' TCGTCTGTTTATCAAAAACATAGY 5' AATAGATAGAAACCAACCTGGC	Cherneva et al. 2023

was trimmed to 658 bp and contained a total of 107 sequences (including 64 generated in this study), and 16S alignment was 568 bp long, and contained 93 sequences (57 generated in this study). We used ASAP (Assembling Species by Automatic Partitioning) analysis (Puillandre et al. 2021) of the COI data to partition the dataset into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs), putative species. The 16S rRNA sequences were used to verify species identity, where we lacked COI sequence data, but were not used for species delineation, because they are more conservative and can fail to differentiate between closely related species. Below we refer to reference sequences by either GenBank accession number or BOLD Process ID, where accession numbers are not available.

#### Results

Of the 76 specimens from which DNA was extracted, we were able to successfully obtain sequences from 68; a total of 64 COI sequences and 57 16S sequences (see Table 2, BOLD dataset https://dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-NEMBB-CA). Results of the ASAP analysis of the COI data suggested the presence of a barcoding gap (i.e., the separation between the maximum intraspecific and the minimum interspecific variation) between 3–5%, similar to previous large-scale DNA-barcoding studies of nemerteans (e.g., Kvist et al. 2014; Sundberg et al. 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022). Using 0.038 simple p-distance as the threshold, ASAP partitioned the dataset into 52 OTUs, 33 of which contained all our samples (Table 4). BOLD species delineation algorithm partitioned our sequences into 34 barcode index numbers (BINs, Table 4), splitting Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015 into two separate BINs. Of the 34 BINs, ten are unique, meaning they lacked representation in BOLD (likely not previously sequenced). We were not able to obtain a COI sequence from specimen BON41, but its identity was determined morphologically as Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904) and was confirmed by the 16S rRNA sequence data. There is no evidence of cryptic species of M. wilsoni in the region thus far. Hence, we report a total of 34 putative species of nemerteans from Bodega Bay, CA (Table 4). COI sequences were obtained for 33/34 species, and 16S sequences for 28/34 species. Neighbor-Joining trees of the COI and 16S rRNA sequence alignments are shown on Figs 2, 3, respectively.

Because of the ubiquitous presence of cryptic species among nemerteans, species distributions listed below refer to reports verified by DNA sequence data, unless otherwise noted. Undescribed species, as well as species of uncertain status, were assigned temporary alphanumeric OTU codes (e.g., BOBAOXX) for tracking purposes, until their taxonomy is resolved.


#### Class PALAEONEMERTEA

Order Archinemertea Iwata, 1960 Family Cephalotrichidae McIntosh, 1873 Genus *Cephalothrix* Örsted, 1843

A species-rich genus of mostly white, thread-like worms, which have a long pre-oral region and lack ocelli as adults. Given their general lack of distinguishing features, the species therein are difficult to differentiate morphologically

**Table 4.** Nemertean species identified from Bodega Bay, California. The term "unresolved" refers to cryptic species of uncertain taxonomic status.

Class	Species	Status	OTU code (this study)	BOLD Barcode Identification Number (BIN)	Maslakova et al. (2022) OTU
Palaeonemertea	Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez & Méndez, 1990)	described	BOBA026	BOLD:ADM3467	-
Palaeonemertea	Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952)	described	BOBA025	BOLD:AAM5519	-
Palaeonemertea	Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905	described	BOBA032	BOLD:AEJ8707	-
Palaeonemertea	Tubulanus sexlineatus (Griffin, 1898)	described	BOBA031	BOLD:ADM0945	OTU 83
Pilidiophora	Cerebratulus sp. BOBA008	previously reported, undescribed	BOBA008	BOLD:AAE9633	OTU 62
Pilidiophora	Kulikovia sp. BOBA003	previously reported, undescribed	BOBA003	BOLD:ADX1401	OTU 49
Pilidiophora	Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a	described	BOBA006	BOLD:AAP1201	OTU 54
Pilidiophora	Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a	described	BOBA001	BOLD:ADM2641	OTU 61
Pilidiophora	Riserius sp. BOBA007	new to science	BOBA007	BOLD:AEJ1230	-
Pilidiophora	Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904	described	BOBA005	BOLD:ADS0049	OTU 45
Pilidiophora	Micrura verrilli Coe, 1901	described	BOBA002	BOLD:ADW4746	OTU 65
Pilidiophora	Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904)	described	BOBA034	BOLD:ADW9830	OTU 90
Pilidiophora	Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004	new to science	BOBA004	BOLD:ADR9817	-
Hoplonemertea	Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028	new to science	BOBA028	BOLD:AEJ7531	-
Hoplonemertea	Amphiporus sp. BOBA024	new to science	BOBA024	BOLD:AEI5687	-
Hoplonemertea	Amphiporus sp. BOBA017	previously reported, undescribed	BOBA017	BOLD:ADR7530	OTU 6
Hoplonemertea	Amphiporus sp. BOBA018	previously reported, undescribed	BOBA018	BOLD:AEA1922	OTU 5
Hoplonemertea	Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857	described	BOBA027	BOLD:AAP1200	OTU 17
Hoplonemertea	Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030	previously reported, unresolved	BOBA030	BOLD:ADM3126	-
Hoplonemertea	Paranemertes sp. BOBA009	previously reported, undescribed	BOBA009	BOLD:ADM0221	OTU 10
Hoplonemertea	Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA010	new to science	BOBA010	BOLD:AEK1697	-
Hoplonemertea	Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033	new to science	BOBA033	BOLD:AEK1698	-
Hoplonemertea	Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904	described	BOBA019	BOLD:ADX0572	OTU 18
Hoplonemertea	Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029	previously reported, undescribed	BOBA029	BOLD:ADW8618	OTU 20
Hoplonemertea	Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020	new to science	BOBA020	BOLD:AEJ7493	-
Hoplonemertea	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012	previously reported, unresolved	BOBA012	BOLD:ADL9636	OTU 23
Hoplonemertea	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013	previously reported, unresolved	BOBA013	BOLD:ADW7912	OTU 26
Hoplonemertea	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014	new to science	BOBA014	BOLD:AEK0256	-
Hoplonemertea	Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015	new to science	BOBA015	BOLD:AEJ0120, BOLD:ADR7155	-
Hoplonemertea	Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016	previously reported, undescribed	BOBA016	BOLD:AEJ6897	OTU 13
Hoplonemertea	Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985)	described	BOBA023	BOLD:ACH3602	-
Hoplonemertea	Nemertellina sp. BOBA011	new to science	BOBA011	BOLD:AEJ4336	-
Hoplonemertea	Oerstedia sp. BOBA022	new to science	BOBA022	BOLD:AEJ2779	-
Hoplonemertea	Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904	described	BOBA021	BOLD:ADW8130	OTU 29




**Figure 2.** Neighbor-joining tree of COI sequences. Sequences generated in this study are shown in blue. BOBA numbers reflect subsets (OTUs) from the ASAP analysis.

(e.g., Chen et al. 2010; Leasi and Norenburg 2014; Kajihara 2019; Sagorny et al. 2019). COI sequences are available for at least ten species from Oregon and California, most of which have not been described and some are only known in their larval form (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022).

# Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez & Méndez, 1990)

Procephalothrix hermaphroditicus Gibson et al., 1990: 279, figs 1–15; Sundberg and Hylbom 1994: 358; McDermott 2001: 12; Carroll et al. 2003: 52; Sundberg et al. 2003: 281.

Cephalothrix hermaphroditicus: Paule et al. 2021: 5.




**Figure 3.** Neighbor-joining tree of 16S sequences. Sequences generated in this study are shown in blue. BOBA numbers reflect subsets (OTUs) from ASAP analysis on COI data.

#### BIN. BOLD:ADM3467.

Material examined. B13, B0N4, B0N38.

**Morphology.** Filiform body, 15–51 mm long. Body color orange with translucent margins, somewhat paler ventrally, with a deeper orange anterior tip (Fig. 4A). Preoral region relatively shorter than in other *Cephalothrix* species.

**Identification.** Our specimens share high sequence similarity (99–100% COI) with specimens reported as *C. hermaphroditicus* from Chile (KU840171), France (MH681952), Spain (KM230034), and Argentina (KM230037). The type locality of the species is Cocholgue, Chile, but given the comparatively low haplotype diversity in Chile, and high haplotype diversity in France, Sagorny et al. (2019) suggested the species may have been introduced to Chile from Europe.



**Figure 4.** Palaeonemerteans of Bodega Bay **A** *Cephalothrix hermaphroditica*, individual B13 **B** *Cephalothrix simula*, individual B0N51 **C** *Carinomella lactea*, individual B0N24 **D** *Tubulanus sexlineatus*, individual B10.

COI barcodes for the Bodega Bay specimens are identical to the Chilean ones, consistent with the idea of a single introduction to the Pacific from the Atlantic.

**Habitat.** Collected from wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitats, among colonial ascidians. Stations 10, 15, 18 (Fig. 1, Table 1).

**Distribution.** Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); Coquimbo, Chile (Sundberg et al. 2016); Camarones Bay, Argentina; Vilan Cape, Spain (Fernández-Álvarez et al., unpublished); Roscoff, France (Sagorny et al. 2019; Paule et al. 2021).

**Notes.** The only available sequences of *Cephalothrix hermaphroditica* from Chile were collected ~ 1,000 km north of its type locality. Given that biogeographical patterns among nemertean species are variable, we tentatively include the species as synonymous with the species we encountered in our surveys. This is the first report of the species in the Cold Temperate Northern Pacific, and first 16S barcode for the species. *Cephalothrix hermaphroditica* provides the only known example of hermaphrodism among the Palaeonemertea. Reproductive features were not observed by us.

### Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952)

Procephalothrix simulus Iwata, 1952: 132.

Cephalothrix simula: Kajihara et al. 2013: 987, figs 2–11 (see publication for full synonymy up through 2013).

Cephalothrix simula: Chernyshev and Polyakova 2021: 586.

Cephalothrix sp.: Nam and Rhee 2020: 2012.

BIN. BOLD:AAM5519.

Material examined. B12, B0N51.

**Morphology.** Filiform body,  $\sim 50$  mm long, pale yellowish to orange, color brighter in the head and foregut regions, paler and somewhat translucent posteriorly (Fig. 4B).

**Identification.** Our specimens share high (99.6% COI) sequence similarity to the topogenetype of *C. simula* (GU726622), and other *C. simula* sequences, as defined by Kajihara et al. (2013). The type locality of *C. simula* is Japan, but it has since been reported from the Pacific coast of the U.S., the Atlantic, and the Mediterranean. Remarkably, and in contrast to many other reported cases of such widespread distribution among nemertean species, these reports refer to the same species, as assessed by genetic data (Chen et al. 2010; Kajihara et al. 2013; Sagorny et al. 2019). This suggests that *C. simula* has been broadly introduced to many geographic regions.

Habitat. Collected among fouling organisms on marina docks (station 9).

**Distribution.** Changdao, China (Chen et al. 2010, 2011); South Korea (Chen et al. 2010; Nam and Rhee 2020; Chernyshev and Polyakova 2021); Japan: Fukue, Hiroshima, Oshoro, Seto, Shimoda (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Chen et al. 2010; Kajihara et al. 2013); Iturup Island, Russia (Chernyshev and Polyakova 2021); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); San Diego, CA, USA (Chen et al. 2010); Spain: Aramar, Blanes, Cap de Creus, Islares, San Vicente do Mar (Fernández-Álvarez and Machordom 2013; Sagorny et al. 2019); France: Concarneau, Roscoff (Sagorny et al. 2019); Italy: Giglio, Trieste (Chen et al. 2010; Sagorny et al. 2019); Netherlands: Sint Annaland, Zierikzee (Faasse and Turbeville 2015).

**Notes.** Previously reported from southern California (Chen et al. 2010), this is the first report of the species from northern California. Following the detection of *C. simula* in the Mediterranean, the species was identified as non-native (Fernández-Álvarez and Machordom 2013), likely introduced to locations outside of East Asia in the 21<sup>st</sup> century via transport of larvae and juveniles in the ballast water of ships (Chernyshev 2014) or with oyster aquaculture (e.g., Ruesink et al. 2005). Apart from being one of the few known cases of species introductions amongst the nemerteans (but see Moore et al. 2001), *C. simula* has attracted interest for the high levels of neurotoxin tetrodotoxin (TTX) found in its tissues, sparking concern about potential contamination of shellfish (e.g., Kajihara et al. 2013; Turner et al. 2018).

Order Tubulaniformes Chernyshev, 1995 Family Carinomellidae Chernyshev, 1995 Genus *Carinomella* Coe, 1905

A monotypic genus. Morphology resembles *Tubulanus*; likely related to *Carinella*, *Parahubrechtia* and other unpigmented tubulanids (Chernyshev et al. 2021b).

Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905

Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905: 127, pls V-XI, figs 45-61, 63-72.

BIN. BOLD:AEJ8707.

Material examined. BON24, BON93, BON94.

**Morphology.** Eyeless white worm 65–87 mm long (Fig. 4C); spatulate head demarcated from the body by width and an indistinct transverse furrow; the mouth a small slit just posterior to the furrow on the ventral side. With large, oblong, conspicuous lateral sense organs located posterior to the tubulanid ring.

Identification. Specimens at hand most resemble Carinomella lactea described by Coe (1905) from San Pedro, California and, to a lesser extent, Tubulanus pellucidus (Coe, 1895). The latter was described from the coast of New England, but later also reported from Monterey Bay, San Pedro, and San Diego, California by Coe (1905). In his description of the species, Coe (1905) notes that T. pellucidus often co-occurs with C. lactea in southern California, but the former is smaller in size (10-25 mm long). The species encountered here did not locate any close sequence matches within GenBank, and there are no previously published sequences of C. lactea. A COI sequence (OK081469) derived from a small unpigmented tubulanid reported from Oregon by Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 89), is distinct from those of Bodega Bay specimens, and so is the only available COI sequence (HQ848625) purported to belong to an Atlantic T. pellucidus, from North Carolina (Andrade et al. 2012). The 16S sequences place Bodega Bay specimens within a clade of other unpigmented tubulanids including species of Tubulanus, Carinella, and Parahubrechtia (Fig. 3).

**Habitat.** Mudflats. Collected among polychaete tubes on intertidal mudflats, just below the surface of the sediments (station 8).

**Distribution.** Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study). The species has been reported from the Atlantic (Corrêa 1961), but it is unlikely this report refers to the same species.

Notes. Species not previously sequenced.

Family Tubulanidae sensu Chernyshev, 2022 Genus *Tubulanus* Renier, 1804

Tubulanus sexlineatus (Griffin, 1898)

*Carinella sexlineata* Griffin, 1898: 201, fig. 15; Coe 1904: 118; 1905: 85, pl. I, figs 2, 3. *Carinella dinema* Coe, 1901: 15, pl. I, figs 2, 3.

BIN. BOLD:ADM0945.

Material examined. B10.

**Morphology.** Slender reddish brown worm with elaborate white markings (Fig. 4D), including a series of transverse rings, six longitudinal lines (one mid-dorsal, originating from the first ring; two paired lateral and one mid-ventral originating from the second ring), and small white dots. Paler ventrally. Spatulate head well demarcated from the body by width and a pair of transverse cerebral organ furrows; with tubulanid ring and lateral sense organs. No ocelli.

**Identification.** Morphology agrees with *Tubulanus sexlineatus*, a species described from Puget Sound, Washington and Alaska, and DNA sequences show high percent similarity to previously published sequences of *T. sexlineatus*. Also resembles *T. punctatus* (Takakura, 1898) from Japan, and *T. superbus* (Kölliker, 1845) from the Mediterranean. Two described *Tubulanus* species from

the northeast Pacific with similar coloration have no published sequences but can be differentiated from *T. sexlineatus* by pattern: *T. cingulatus* (Coe, 1904) is deep brown, with four dorsal longitudinal white lines, and *T. capistratus* (Coe, 1901) is brown with many narrow white rings, and only three white longitudinal lines (Coe 1901; Corrêa 1964).

**Habitat.** Relatively common in the Bodega Bay region. Collected from the wave-exposed, rocky intertidal zone, among colonial ascidians (stations 2, 10, 15), and observed among surfgrass roots (stations 12, 14), kelp holdfasts (station 7), and on the underside of rocks in pale cellophane-like tubes of its own secretion (station 2, 7).

**Distribution.** Discovery Island, BC, Canada (QHAK2597-22, QHAK2649-22 in BOLD); Puget Sound, WA, USA (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Andrade et al. 2012; Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); Malibu, CA, USA (DISA603-19 in BOLD).

# Class PILIDIOPHORA Thollesson & Norenburg, 2003

Order Heteronemertea Bürger, 1892 Family Lineidae Genus *Cerebratulus* Renier, 1804

Cerebratulus is one of three non-monophyletic mega-genera in the Class Pilidiophora, with Lineus and Micrura (e.g., see Kajihara et al. 2022b). The morphological diagnosis of the genus is based on combinations of non-unique characters (Schwartz 2009). However, since Cerebratulus marginatus is the type species of the genus, and the species below is closely related (Verdes et al. 2021), it will likely retain the generic affiliation.

#### Cerebratulus sp. BOBA008

Cerebratulus marginatus: Andrade et al. 2012: 6; Thollesson and Norenburg 2003: 408; Roe et al. 2007: 224, pl. 88, figs C, D.

Cerebratulus cf. marginatus: Hiebert 2016: 48; Verdes et al. 2021: 898; Maslakova et al. 2022.

# BIN. BOLD:AAE9633.

Material examined. BON70.

**Morphology.** Large (25 cm long), dorsoventrally flattened dull reddish brown worm with lateral margins distinct both in color (pale) and shape (flattened). Spade-shaped head bordered by deep cephalic slits, with a long slit-like mouth located posterior to their endings on the ventral side (Fig. 5C). Capable of swimming. Young individuals have a pair of inconspicuous ocelli near the anterior tip of the head.

**Identification.** A common intertidal mudflat species, previously reported from Washington and Oregon as *Cerebratulus marginatus* or *Cerebratulus* cf. *marginatus* (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Roe et al. 2007; Andrade et al. 2012; Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022). The type locality of *C. marginatus* is Naples, Italy, but the closest available sequenced specimens are from Spain. Although the nominal species *C. marginatus* is reported from the eastern and




Figure 5. Pilidiophoran nemerteans of Bodega Bay **A**, **B** *Riserius* sp. BOBA007, a new species, individual BON064 **B** close up of head in transmitted light showing ocelli (arrowhead) **C** *Cerebratulus* sp. BOBA008, individual BON070, ventrolateral view of head **D** *Maculaura cerebrosa*, individual BON085 **E** *Maculaura oregonensis*, individual B1 **F** *Kulikovia* sp. BOBA003, anterior end of individual BON003 **G** *Micrura wilsoni*, individual BON041 **H** *Micrura verrilli*, individual BON002 **I**, **J** Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004, a new species, individual BON059 **J** close up of anterior end, showing ocelli (arrowhead) **K-M** *Lineus flavescens* **K**, **L** individual BON040 **L** close up of head showing ocelli (arrowhead) **M** individual BON006, a color morph with a white anterior patch and reddish ocelli. Abbreviations: cg – cerebral ganglia, cs – cephalic slit, m – mouth, pp – proboscis pore.

western Pacific and Atlantic oceans, and the Baltic, North, and Mediterranean seas, it has been shown to constitute a large cryptic species complex with at least six lineages in Europe (Verdes et al. 2021). The seventh, NE Pacific, lineage most certainly represents an undescribed species. At least one closely related look-alike, *Cerebratulus* sp. "spade head", occurs in southern Oregon (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 63) and another, recently re-described as *Cerebratulus orochi* Kajihara, 2020, in the Sea of Japan.

**Habitat.** Intertidal mudflats in areas with sandy sediments; often > 30 cm below the surface (station 5).

**Distribution.** Discovery Islands, BC, Canada (QHAK2554-22 in BOLD), Puget Sound, WA, USA (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Andrade et al. 2012; Verdes et al. 2021); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

# Genus Kulikovia Chernyshev et al. 2018

# Kulikovia sp. BOBA003

Lineus sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022. Lineus sp. 2: Hiebert 2016: 54, fig. 4.16.

#### BIN. BOLD:ADX1401.

Material examined. BON3.

Morphology. Body 27 cm long, reddish brown with a white anterior tip (Fig. 5F). Identification. Resembles *Kulikovia* spp., and several other species in the Siphonenteron clade (see Lineidae incertae sedis below). COI sequences show that it is conspecific (99–100% similarity) with one of two sister lineages previously reported from southern Oregon in their larval form as *Lineus* sp. 2 by Hiebert (2016) and as *Lineus* sp. by Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 49) in both larval and adult form. Phylogenetic analysis based on five genetic markers places this species within the Siphonenteron clade, more specifically within the genus *Kulikovia* (Chernyshev et al. 2018; Kajihara et al. 2022b). Two other members of the genus are reported from the northeast Pacific: *K. montgomeryi* from Kachemak, Alaska and San Juan Island, Washington (as *Cerebratulus montgomeryi* in Schwartz 2009; Hiebert 2016), and an undescribed species reported from southern Oregon as *Lineus* sp. 'red' by Hiebert and Maslakova (2015b) and as *Kulikovia* sp. by Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 59).

Habitat. Collected from a sandy, low intertidal pool, under stones (station 10). Distribution. Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** This is the first record of the species in California.

# Genus Maculaura Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a

The genus *Maculaura* was erected for five cryptic species occurring in NE Pacific that were previously recognized under the name *Micrura alaskensis* Coe, 1901. A phylogenetic analysis by Kajihara et al. (2022b) shows *Maculaura* as a well-supported lineid clade.

# Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a

Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a: 628, fig. 4G-J.

BIN. BOLD:AAP1201.

Material examined. B19, B0N83, B0N85.

**Morphology.** Body 15–18 mm long. Anterior tip white, with lateral cephalic slits, no ocelli, and pink cerebral ganglia visible through the body wall (Fig. 5D). One individual quite pink, with color beginning near the brain, another white throughout the foregut region, with brown coloration beginning in the intestinal area.

**Identification.** Bodega Bay individuals conform to the morphological description of *Maculaura* spp., and COI sequences exhibit 99–100% similarity to those of *M. cerebrosa* from Oregon (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 54).

**Habitat.** Collected from the open coast among the roots of surfgrass (*Phyllospadix* sp., station 12) and in mid-intertidal mussel beds (station 16). In southern Oregon, this species has also been found within estuaries, especially under rocks at the edges of mudflats (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a).

**Distribution.** Wrangell, AK, USA (MOBIL9484-19 in BOLD); Bamfield, BC, Canada (OPQCS038-10 in BOLD); Charleston, OR, USA; Crescent City, CA, USA (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

# Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a

Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert & Maslakova, 2015a: 630, 4L-Q.

#### BIN. BOLD:ADM2641.

Material examined. B1.

**Morphology.** Body ~ 18 mm long; anterior tip white, eyeless, with lateral cephalic slits, the rest of the body pink. Anterior tip rounded in extension, head not demarcated from the body. Cerebral ganglia rosy and visible through the body wall (Fig. 5E). Body somewhat transparent. Posterior end with translucent, somewhat thickened caudal cirrus.

**Identification.** Bodega Bay individuals conform to the morphological description of *Maculaura* spp., and COI sequences exhibit > 99% similarity to those of *M. oregonensis* from Oregon (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 61).

**Habitat.** Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfast of subtidal Giant Kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) at a depth of 3–4 m (station 6). In Oregon, individuals have also been found intertidally in sand and mud (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a).

**Distribution.** Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** This is the first record of the species in California.

### Genus Riserius Norenburg, 1993

Riserius is the only known genus of Pilidiophora to exhibit a mesopsammic lifestyle, i.e., living interstitially among sand grains. Its members display a curious suite of features: lack of cutis, cerebral organs opening via lateral pits rather than longitudinal slits, and a transverse cephalic furrow that encircles the body in front of the mouth. Possesses a unique sock-like pilidium recurvatum larva (Hiebert et al. 2013). A single species, Riserius pugetensis Norenburg, 1993, is described from coarse marine sediments of Puget Sound, Washington. Two additional, undescribed species, R. sp. "eyes" and R. sp. "no eyes," are known from southern Oregon, and two others, R. sp. 3 and R. sp. 4, from the Sea of Japan

(Vostok Bay, Russia), all collected exclusively in larval form, but some raised in the laboratory to sexual maturity (Hiebert et al. 2013; Hiebert 2016). Similar larval forms are reported from other parts of the world, but sequence data are lacking (reviewed in Hiebert et al. 2013). Phylogenetic analysis by Kajihara et al. (2022b) suggests *Riserius* is a derived lineid that lost the lateral cephalic slits.

### Riserius sp. BOBA007

#### BIN. BOLD:AEJ1230.

Material examined. B11, B0N64, B0N65.

**Morphology.** Thread-like cream-colored worm, 50–100 mm long (Fig. 5A), with a long and narrow pointed head not well demarcated from the body, except by the cerebral organ pits. With four ocelli in a small cluster on each side of the head just in front of the pink tinged cerebral ganglia (Fig. 5B). Cerebral organs just posterior to the brain, opening via conspicuous lateral pits. With a V-shaped transverse furrow located posteriorly ~ 3/4 of the length from the tip of the head to the cerebral organs.

**Identification.** Morphology of our specimens agrees with that of *Riserius* spp. (Norenburg 1993; Hiebert et al. 2013), though specimens observed here are much longer than *R. pugetensis*, which is ~ 15 mm. Sequences from Bodega Bay specimens are distinct from those of the previously reported species, and 16S rRNA tree places these individuals within a monophyletic *Riserius* clade (Fig. 3). Specimens from Bodega Bay represent a new species of *Riserius*.

**Habitat.** Collected on wave-exposed, sandy beaches from among very coarse sand in the low intertidal zone at  $\sim$  -0.15 m to +0.30 m above Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW, station 13). Small numbers of individuals were also observed on other local beaches among very coarse sand (station 20).

**Distribution.** Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Species new to science. First record of the genus in California.

#### LINEIDAE incertae sedis

Lineus and Micrura are non-monophyletic mega-genera within the family Lineidae (e.g., see Kajihara et al. 2022b). The morphological diagnoses of these genera are based on combinations of non-unique characters (Schwartz 2009). Here we use names Lineus and Micrura as taxonomic artifacts, and not to imply shared common ancestry.

### Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904

Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904: 184, pl. XVII, figs 3, 4.

### BIN. BOLD:ADS0049.

Material examined. BON6, BON11, BON40, BON50, BON60, BON86.

**Morphology.** Body 8–52 mm long, with significant variation in color: pale (semi-transparent), tan, reddish brown, and rosy-orange varieties observed (Fig. 5K), with lighter coloration ventrally, and smaller individuals appearing paler in color. Head not demarcated from the body, with pale margins, and 3–7

red, purple, or black ocelli arranged closely in a single row on each side of the anterior tip along the head margin (Fig. 5L, M). In some individuals, there is an irregular transverse band of white pigment granules just posterior to and between the two rows of ocelli (Fig. 5M). Cerebral ganglia are rosy, but this is faint in some individuals. Mouth just posterior to the end of the lateral cephalic slits on the ventral side. Body tapers posteriorly.

**Identification.** The six individuals included here match closely (99–100% similarity, COI) to *Lineus flavescens* reported from southern Oregon (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 45) and agree with Coe's description of the species. A closely related species (5–6% divergence, COI) is reported from Dutch Harbor, Alaska (OR590584), Puget Sound, Washington (BBPS027-19 in BOLD) and Charleston, Oregon (Fig. 2; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 46). The two species closely resemble each other, but one is known to occur from Alaska to Oregon, while the other from Puget Sound, Washington to Long Beach, California. Because the type locality of *L. flavescens* Coe, 1904 is San Pedro, California, we assume that we encountered the "real" *L. flavescens*, and not its more northerly look-alike.

**Habitat.** Collected from among colonial ascidians, algae, and other low intertidal organisms on rocky intertidal shores (stations 2, 6, 10, 15). Also collected just below the surface on intertidal mudflats (station 8).

**Distribution.** Puget Sound, WA, USA (BBPS722-19 in BOLD); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay (this study), Point Mugu (DISA800-19 in BOLD) and Long Beach, CA, USA (DISA619-19 in BOLD).

**Notes.** With *Kulikovia* sp. BOBA003 (above) and Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004 (below), this species belongs to the Siphonenteron clade, defined by Chernyshev et al. (2018), also referred to as "lineid lineage N" by Kajihara et al. (2022b), and not closely related to the type species of the genus, *Lineus longissimus* (Gunnerus, 1770).

#### Micrura verrilli Coe, 1901

Lineus striatus Griffin, 1898: 214.

Micrura verrilli Coe, 1901: 68, pl. V, figs 1–3.

#### BIN. BOLD: ADW4746.

Material examined. BON2.

**Morphology.** Body  $\sim$  12 cm long, margins and ventral surface white, dorsally with an orange patch at the anterior tip, bordered posteriorly by white, and followed by a broad purple stripe, which is interrupted at intervals by thin, transverse white lines (Fig. 5H). With lateral cephalic slits and a slender caudal cirrus.

**Identification.** Morphologically, specimens from Bodega Bay resemble *Lineus striatus* briefly described by Griffin (1898) from Puget Sound, Washington and *Micrura verrilli* described by Coe (1901) from Prince William Sound, Alaska. In addition, three similar species have been reported from the western Pacific: *Micrura bella* (Stimpson, 1857), *Micrura impressa* (Stimpson, 1857), and *Micrura festiva* Takakura, 1898. Coe synonymized Griffin's taxon with his own (despite Griffin's having priority), and *M. impressa* and *M. festiva* and have been treated as synonyms of *M. bella* (Crandall and Norenburg 2001), although *M. impressa* 

is still listed as an accepted species in WoRMS (WoRMS Editorial Board 2023). ASAP analysis of the COI data suggests that all available *M. verrilli* sequences from the west coast of USA and Canada comprise a single OTU (Fig. 2). A closely related species is reported by Chernyshev and Polyakova (2022) from the Bering Sea as *Evelineus* sp., but there is no mention of its appearance, and it has only been encountered at depths 350 m and below. COI sequences suggest that Bodega Bay specimens are conspecific (97–99% similarity COI) with *M. verrilli* reported from Bamfield, BC, Canada (EF125007), Puget Sound, Washington (KF935508), and Charleston, Oregon (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 65). One additional available COI sequence (EF125001) of *M. verrilli* from Puget Sound, Washington contains many ambiguities, which causes some algorithms (e.g., Geneious Prime distance calculations) to interpret it as substantially different from the others, however ASAP analysis places it within the same OTU. A COI sequence of *M. bella* reported from the Sea of Japan (NC\_027727) is ~ 10% different from that of *M. verrilli*, and thus belongs to a separate species.

Crandall and Norenburg (2001) suggest the extent of the anterior orange patch may help differentiate the eastern (exclusively dorsal) and western (extends onto ventral side) Pacific forms, however one of the *M. verrilli* specimens found in Washington had pigmentation on both sides (Schwartz 2009).

**Habitat.** Collected from the low intertidal zone among colonial ascidians (station 18) and observed among kelp holdfasts washed ashore in the Bodega Bay region.

**Distribution.** Bamfield, BC, Canada (Schwartz 2009); Puget Sound, WA, USA (Kvist et al. 2014); Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** The species reported here belongs to a clade of lineids with orange or magenta red anterior tip that may be synonymous with *Evelineus* (Schwartz 2009), also referred to as "lineid lineage A" (Kajihara et al. 2022b) and is not closely related to the type species of the genus, *Micrura fasciolata* Ehrenberg, 1828 (Chernyshev et al. 2018; Chernyshev and Polyakova 2019).

# Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904)

Lineus wilsoni Coe, 1904: 195, pl. XVI, figs 10, 11.

### BIN. BOLD:ADW9830.

Material examined. BON41.

**Morphology.** Dark brown worm, ~ 45 mm long, slightly paler ventrally, cephalic lobe bordered by white at the anterior tip and along the lateral cephalic slits; thin white transverse bands at irregular intervals along most of the body length (Fig. 5G). No ocelli; rosy cerebral ganglia visible through the body wall. With a small white caudal cirrus.

**Identification.** Conforms to the description of *Micrura wilsoni* (Coe, 1904), described from Monterey and San Pedro, California. No look-alikes are currently known in the northeast Pacific. Although we were not able to obtain a high–quality COI sequence, 16S rRNA sequence from the Bodega Bay individual is 99–100% identical to those of *M. wilsoni* reported by Hiebert (2016) from southern Oregon. These individuals correspond to the COI–delimited *M. wilsoni* of Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 90).

**Habitat.** Collected from kelp holdfasts (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) in the subtidal (station 6) and very low intertidal zones (station 7), also among holdfasts of subtidal bull kelp (*Nereocystis luetkeana*) washed ashore. In southern Oregon found on the exposed rocky shore under boulders and in rock crevices of the low intertidal zone.

**Distribution**. British Columbia, Canada (Gustav Paulay et al., unpublished BOLD records), Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study). Records from San Juan Islands, WA (Maslakova, unpublished) and south to Mexico (Roe et al. 2007) are not currently substantiated by DNA data.

**Notes**. According to a recent phylogenetic analysis of the family Lineidae this species is not closely related to the type species of the genus, *Micrura fasciolata*, but is a member of a clade called "lineid lineage G" by Kajihara et al. (2022b).

# Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004

#### BIN. BOLD: ADR9817.

Material examined. BON59.

**Morphology.** Body 97 mm long, uniformly orange (Fig. 5I). Head the same width as the body, with pale margins, lateral cephalic slits. Ocelli arranged in two rows, one along each anterolateral margin (Fig. 5J), ~ 7 ocelli each, but it is difficult to know the true number as the pigment granules appear broken up and irregular.

**Identification.** BON59 resembles other species from the Siphonenteron clade (defined by Chernyshev et al. 2018), such as *Lineus flavescens*, *Kulikovia* spp. (Kajihara et al. 2022b; this study), and several undescribed representatives of the Siphonenteron clade from southern Oregon previously reported as *Lineus* sp. 1, *Lineus* sp. 2, *Lineus* sp. crescent, *Lineus* sp. red (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015b; Hiebert 2016) or *Lineus* sp. and *Kulikovia* sp. (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 47, 49–51, 59). Among our collections from Bodega Bay, it most resembles *Lineus flavescens* and *Kulikovia* sp. BOBA003 (above). COI and 16S sequences do not have any species-level matches in GenBank; both place this species within the Siphonenteron clade (Figs 2, 3).

**Habitat.** Collected from a wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitat among colonial ascidians and coralline algae (station 15).

**Distribution.** Discovery Island, Canada (QHAK2948-23 in BOLD); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Species new to science.

### Class HOPLONEMERTEA Hubrecht, 1879

Order Monostilifera Brinkmann, 1917 Suborder Cratenemertea Familial classification suspended as per Kajihara, 2021 Genus *Nipponnemertes* Friedrich, 1968

Of the seven *Nipponnemertes* species described from the northeast Pacific, *N. bi-maculata* (Coe, 1901), *N. drepanophoroides* (Griffin, 1898), *N. fernaldi* Iwata, 2001, *N. occidentalis* (Coe, 1905), *N. pacifica* (Coe, 1905), *N. punctatula* (Coe, 1905), and *N. rubella* (Coe, 1905), only two have been reported and/or barcoded in recent

years, *N. bimaculata* and *N. punctatula*. The type locality of the latter species is southern California, but the only available barcodes are from Japan (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Hookabe et al. 2022), so the two may represent distinct species. An unknown species was reported from southern California (Andrade et al. 2012), from 360–390 m, but its identity and relationship to the above species is not yet known. Clearly, there is a diversity of *Nipponnemertes* species along the Pacific coast of North America, including several cryptic species.

Hookabe et al. (2022), in their revision of the genus that includes descriptions of ten new species, reported three clades of *Nipponnemertes* that are supported by molecular data as well as morphology: degree of head demarcation and presence/absence of a cephalic patch. The species we encountered at Bodega Bay most closely resembles *N. bimaculata* (Coe, 1901), which belongs to Clade B of Hookabe et al. (2022), with the northwest Pacific species *N. jambio* Hookabe et al., 2022, *N. neonilae* Hookabe et al., 2022, *N. ojimaorum* Hookabe et al., 2022, *N. crypta* Hookabe et al., 2022, and a potential trans-Pacific complex of species currently known as *N. punctatula* (Coe, 1905). Species in this complex vary in the degree of development of dorsal and cephalic pigmentation, but most have more or less distinct cephalic pigment patch(es).

#### Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028

BIN. BOLD:AEJ7531.

Material examined. BON13, BON95.

**Morphology.** Body 55–67 mm long, broad, reddish brown dorsally, much paler (almost white) ventrally. Head white, pointed, narrower than the body, with two maroon, triangular pigment patches placed symmetrically on either side of a mid-dorsal ridge (Fig. 6A). Numerous large ocelli lie in the space between the anterolateral margins and the pigment patches, ~ 20 per side. With two pairs of cephalic furrows. The anterior, cerebral organ furrows are equipped with numerous secondary furrows, as is characteristic of other members of the genus (Fig. 6A, inset). The posterior neck furrow forms a posteriorly directed V on the dorsal surface. Pink cerebral ganglia are discernible from the ventral side, just posterior to the cerebral organ furrows (Fig. 6A, inset). Proboscis and stylet apparatus not observed.

**Identification.** The specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of Nipponnemertes bimaculata (Coe, 1901) except for the shape of the cephalic patches, which are triangular in our specimens as opposed to oval in the original description. However, Coe (1905) later reported triangular patches in other specimens. COI sequences from Bodega Bay individuals form a separate OTU from those of the species previously reported as N. bimaculata from Washington and Oregon (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 28), as well as an additional species collected in Puget Sound, Washington by CIE (BHFL\_5974, Fig. 2), which can be distinguished from other northeast Pacific look-alikes by mottling on the dorsum. The N. bimaculata reported from Washington and Oregon seems to have narrower cephalic patches than the species from Bodega Bay. We have not observed any individuals with oval cephalic patches. The type locality of N. bimaculata is Alaska and Puget Sound, Washington (Coe 1901), although the species was later reported south to Ensenada, Mexico (Coe 1940). We suggest to reserve the name N. bimaculata for the northern form with solid dorsal pigmentation (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 28), and to treat Bodega Bay specimens as a new species.




Figure 6. Hoplonemerteans of Bodega Bay A Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028, a new species, individual BON95. Dorsal and ventral (inset) view of the anterior, showing cerebral organ furrows with numerous secondary furrows (arrowhead) and pink cerebral ganglia B Amphiporus sp. BOBA024, a new species, individual BON61. Inset a close up lateral view of the anterior, showing red blood vessels (arrowhead) C, D Amphiporus sp. BOBA018 individual BON44 D close up of head in transmitted light, showing pattern of ocelli E, F Amphiporus sp. BOBA017 individual B20 F close up of head in transmitted light, showing pattern of ocelli G Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA010, a new species, individual B14. Inset a close up of the head showing ocelli H Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033, a new species, individual BON35, full body. Inset a close up of the head showing ocelli I Tetrastemma nigrifrons, anterior end of BON01 J Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029, close up of head of B04 in transmitted light K Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012, individual B09 L Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014, a new species, close up of anterior of BON88 in reflected light, showing post-cerebral ocelli (arrowheads) M, N Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013, individual BON87 N close up of anterior showing post cerebral ocelli (arrowheads) O Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015, a new species, individual BON63 P Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola, individual BON67 Q Nemertellina sp. BOBA011, new to science, individual BON69 R-S Oerstedia sp. BOBA022, new to science, showing differences in color pattern R individual BON32 S individual BON33 T Tetrastemma bilineatum, individual B18.

**Habitat.** Collected from the holdfasts of kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) in the very low intertidal zone (station 7).

**Distribution.** Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Species new to science.

# Suborder EUMONOSTILIFERA Kajihara, 2021

### Infraorder AMPHIPORINA Kajihara, 2021

Familial classification suspended as per Kajihara (2021)

#### Genus Amphiporus Ehrenberg, 1831

A diverse and non-monophyletic genus of the class Hoplonemertea with 74 species listed in the WoRMS database, many more having been declared *nomen dubium*, or transferred to other genera (Gibson and Crandall 1989). We refer to the species below as *Amphiporus* merely to emphasize the close relationship to previously described species within the genus, not to imply that they constitute a monophyletic group.

### Amphiporus sp. BOBA024

BIN. BOLD: AFI5687.

Material examined. BON36, BON61.

**Morphology.** Body slender, 13 mm long, yellowish white (Fig. 6B). Red blood vessels show prominently through the body wall (Fig. 6B, inset). Head narrow, with a single row of  $\sim$  8 ocelli on either side (Fig. 6B, inset). Very slender, cylindrical basis slightly longer than the central stylet (Fig. 7A), with two accessory stylet pouches.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay resemble *Amphiporus cruentatus* Verrill, 1879, originally described from Vineyard Sound, Massachusetts, but later reported from Puget Sound, Washington to San Diego, California (Coe 1905, 1940), in having a small and slender pale yellow body, a single row of ocelli on each side of head, red blood, and a very slender basis of central stylet, with ratio of stylet length to basis length (S/B ratio) close to 1. DNA sequence data are not available for *A. cruentatus* from the Atlantic Coast of North America. Maslakova et al. (2022) published COI sequences of two other Pacific *A. cruentatus* look-alikes: one from southern Oregon (OTU 27), and another from the Bay of Panama (OTU 143), and a third from the Caribbean coast of Panama (OTU 274, as Monostilifera gen. sp.). Given this abundance of cryptic species, it seems likely that the Pacific forms are distinct from the originally described Atlantic *A. cruentatus*. Bodega Bay individuals form a separate OTU from the Oregon individuals (Fig. 2; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 27).

**Habitat.** Collected from wave-exposed rocky shores among surfgrass roots and other low intertidal organisms (stations 14, 18).

**Distribution.** Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Species new to science.

#### Amphiporus imparispinosus Griffin, 1898 species complex

# Amphiporus sp. BOBA017

Amphiporus imparispinosus: Maslakova et al. 2022.

BIN. BOLD:ADR7530.

Material examined. B20, B0N47.




Figure 7. Stylets of Hoplonemerteans of Bodega Bay **A** *Amphiporus* sp. BOBA024, individual BON61 **B** *Amphiporus* sp. BOBA018, individual BON16 **C** *Amphiporus* sp. BOBA017, individual B20 **D** *Ototyphlonemertes* sp. BOBA030, individual BON78 **E** *Poseidonemertes* sp. BOBA010, individual B14 **F** *Tetrastemma nigrifrons*, individual BON01 **G** *Zygonemertes* sp. BOBA012, individual B06 **H** *Zygonemertes* sp. BOBA014, individual B07 **I** *Zygonemertes* sp. BOBA015, individual BON76 **J** *Zygonemertes* sp. BOBA015, individual BON62 **K** *Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola*, individual BON67 **L** *Nemertellina* sp. BOBA011, individual BON69 **M** *Oerstedia* sp. BOBA022, individual BON32 **N** Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016, individual B15 **O** *Tetrastemma* sp. BOBA020, individual BON75.

**Morphology.** Body 63 mm long, pale yellow to pale peach color (Fig. 6E). Head rounded and wider than the adjacent body, with 20–25 ocelli on each side, arranged as a row along the anterior margin, and another, more irregular grouping, medially (Fig. 6F). Cerebral ganglia pinkish in color. Basis pear-shaped, broadening posteriorly, S/B ~ 1 (Fig. 7C). With three accessory stylet pouches.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of *Amphiporus imparispinosus* Griffin, 1898 from Port Townsend, Washington and Sitka, Alaska. Two similar species have been described from the northeast Pacific: *Amphiporus leuciodus* Coe, 1901, from Victoria, BC, Canada and New Metlakatla and Glacier Bay, Alaska, and *Amphiporus similis* Coe, 1905, from Monterey, California, though Coe later treated the former as a synonym (1905), and the latter as a variety (1940) of *A. imparispinosus*. Subsequent authors retained all three as valid species (Gibson and Crandall 1989; Crandall and Norenburg 2001; WoRMS; but see Roe et al. 2007). Coe (1905) notes that *A. imparispinosus* has a pink brain, small cerebral sense organs, three accessory stylet pouches, and is longer (to 75 mm) than *A. similis*, which is 10–15 mm, with a clear brain, large cerebral sense organs, fewer ocelli and two accessory stylet pouches. Griffin (1898) does not mention color of the cerebral ganglia in *A. imparispinosus*.

Maslakova et al. (2022) report, based on COI sequence data, three distinct *A. imparispinosus*-like species (OTUs 4–6) from the northeast Pacific. One of those (OTU 4) is distributed from Dutch Harbor, AK to Charleston, OR, and overlaps the original range of *A. imparispinosus* (including samples from Puget Sound, WA), so may represent the true *A. imparispinosus*. The other two OTUs have not been reported north of Oregon (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 5 and 6). Another look-alike is reported from the Sea of Japan (Chernyshev and Polyakova 2019: MN211508). The pinkish color of cerebral ganglia and the three accessory stylet pouches in our specimens suggests that it is not *A. similis*, but an undescribed cryptic species.

**Habitat.** Collected from wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitats (stations 16, 18), including on holdfasts of the kelp *Egregia menziesii* and within mid-intertidal mussel beds; among algal turf.

**Distribution.** Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 6); Bodega Bay (this study); Point Mugu, CA, USA (DISA798-19 in BOLD).

# Amphiporus sp. BOBA018

Amphiporus imparispinosus: Maslakova et al. 2022.

# BIN. BOLD:AEA1922.

Material examined. BON16, BON44.

**Morphology.** Body 38–70 mm long, white. Head rounded and wider than body (Fig. 6C). Four clusters of ocelli; two rows following the anterolateral margins, and two more located posteriorly and medially, above the colorless cerebral ganglia (Fig. 6D). The posterior clusters of ocelli appear reddish, while the anterior rows appear brown in reflected light. The neck furrow is obvious and forms a dorsal V-shape posterior to the cerebral ganglia. Basis with rounded posterior margin and slight medial constriction. Central stylet equal in length to the basis (Fig. 7B). Proboscis with three accessory stylet pouches.

**Identification.** See *Amphiporus* sp. BOBA018 above. The presence of three pouches of accessory stylets and the length of the worms suggest that this is not *A. similis*, but an undescribed cryptic species.

**Habitat.** Collected from wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitats (stations 18, 19), including on holdfasts of the kelp *Egregia menziesii* and crawling across other low intertidal surfaces.

**Distribution.** Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 5); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** This is the first record of the species in California.

# Genus Emplectonema Stimpson, 1857

#### Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857

Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857: 163.

Emplectonema gracile: Coe 1901: 23, pl. VIII, fig. 3; 1905: 207, pl. I, figs 14, 15; 1940: 279, pl. XXX, fig. 40; Roe et al. 2007: 229, pl. 89, fig. I.

#### BIN. BOLD: AAP1200.

Material examined. B16.

**Morphology.** Body long and slender, green dorsally, cream-colored ventrally. Head not especially demarcated from the body. With numerous ocelli distributed along the colorless anterolateral margins of the head. Pink cerebral ganglia. Basis of central stylet much longer than the slightly curved central stylet.

**Identification.** Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of *Emplectonema viride* Stimpson, 1857, as redescribed by Mendes et al. (2021). There are no known look-alikes in the northeast Pacific. For years, the species has been reported as a synonym of its Atlantic look-alike *Emplectonema gracile* (Johnston, 1837) (e.g., Roe et al. 2007 and references therein). The two species were recently shown to be distinct, the name *E. viride* resurrected, and the Pacific species redescribed (Mendes et al. 2021).

**Habitat.** Collected from a mid-intertidal mussel bed (station 1), and commonly observed in many intertidal habitats throughout the Bodega Bay region. Typically associated with acorn barnacles, which it preys upon.

**Distribution:** Amaknak (MZ580909) and Fox (MZ580901) Islands, AK, USA; Bamfield (MG423290) and Discovery Islands (QHAK2422-22, QHAK2449-22 in BOLD), BC, Canada; Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Mendes et al. 2021; Maslakova et al. 2022; von Dassow et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study). The species is reported as far south as Mexico (Roe et al. 2007), but so far, there are no DNA sequence data to confirm.

Notes. For photographs of this species, see Mendes et al. (2021: fig. 3)

# Genus Ototyphlonemertes Diesing, 1863

Species of *Ototyphlonemertes* are exclusively mesopsammic, living in the interstices of well-sorted, coarse marine sediments. They are easily distinguished from other small, slender, white eumonostiliferans by the presence of a pair of statocysts in the cerebral ganglia, and the lack of ocelli in adults, but most of the described species appear to represent cryptic species complexes (e.g., Leasi and Norenburg

2014; Leasi et al. 2016). Two species of *Ototyphlonemertes* with spirally sculpted stylets are described from the Pacific coast of the U.S.: *O. americana* Gerner, 1969 and *O. spiralis* Coe, 1940, and a third species, with a smooth stylet, was reported near San Francisco (Roe et al. 2007). However, the *Ototyphlonemertes* spp. of the Pacific coast are not well sampled, and "forms that key out to either of the known species have a reasonable probability of not being those species" (Roe et al. 2007).

### Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030

Ototyphlonemertes lactea: Leasi et al. 2016.

#### BIN. BOLD:ADM3126.

Material examined. BON77, BON78.

**Morphology.** Body 3.5 mm long and less than 1 mm wide, white; foregut region transparent, intestinal region cream-colored. Head slightly demarcated from the body by a transverse cephalic groove. With a pair of statocysts, one in each of the two ventral cerebral ganglia. Statocysts of the polygranular type. Basis slender, irregularly cylindrical, and longer than the spirally sculpted central stylet (Fig. 7D). Proboscis diaphragm not especially long, middle chamber bulbous.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of O. americana Gerner, 1969 from Puget Sound, Washington, but DNA sequence data are not available from Puget Sound region to confirm identification. COI sequences from Bodega Bay specimens in this study match closely (> 99% similarity, COI) with a species previously reported from San Diego, California as Ototyphlonemetes lactea by Leasi et al. (2016: KU230123). O. lactea was described from Brazil (Corrêa 1954), but the name was later used to refer to a group of species with similar morphologies and presumed shared ancestry, called phylomorphs (Envall and Norenburg 2001). O. americana belongs to the lactea type, which was later synonymized with the macintoshi type (Kajihara et al. 2018), a decision supported by a multigene phylogenetic analysis (Leasi et al. 2016). Relative to macintoshi type worms, O. americana and O. lactea have a shorter proboscis diaphragm and a bulbous middle chamber, as opposed to a long, tubular one. Another OTU of a lactea type reported from Half Moon Bay, California by Leasi et al. (2016: KU230128) is likely to be confused with the Bodega Bay species. Sampling of Ototyphylonemertes in Puget Sound is needed to resolve the specific identity of these lineages.

**Habitat.** Collected from low intertidal, coarse marine sediments on a wave-exposed sandy beach (station 13).

**Distribution.** Wright's Beach, CA, USA (Leasi et al. 2016); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

### Genus Paranemertes Coe, 1901

#### Paranemertes sp. BOBA009

Paranemertes peregrina: Hiebert 2016: 78. Paranemertes sp.: Hao et al. 2015: 572, fig. 1J.

BIN. BOLD:ADM0221.

Material examined. B2.

**Morphology.** Body  $\sim 5$  cm long, orangish purple dorsally, paler ventrally. Head slightly demarcated from the body, with red cerebral ganglia visible through the body wall. Stylet apparatus not observed.

**Identification.** Paranemertes peregrina is a cryptic species complex composed of at least seven distinct lineages (Hao et al. 2015). A single widely distributed lineage likely corresponding to the *P. peregrina* Coe, 1901 originally described from Alaska has been identified on the basis of proximity to the type locality and habitat, and is confirmed by DNA sequence data to occur from British Columbia, Canada to southern Oregon, USA on the northeast Pacific coast, and from Kuril Islands, Russia to Shandong, China on the northwest Pacific coast (Hao et al. 2015). The remaining six species, including the two previously reported from Bodega Bay, remain undescribed.

**Habitat.** Collected from kelp holdfasts in shallow subtidal zone within Bodega Harbor (station 6).

**Distribution.** Unalaska Island, AK, USA (DUTCH345-19 in BOLD); Discovery Islands, BC, Canada (QHAK177-20 in BOLD); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 10); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (Hao et al. 2015; this study).

**Notes.** Hao et al. (2015) report that the two *Paranemertes* cf. *peregrina* OTUs from Bodega Bay are well-separated by habitat, with one occurring in mudflats and the other in rocky intertidal contexts, under stones, among algae, etc. Interestingly, the species encountered in this survey came from among kelp holdfasts but matches the one previously found in mudflats by Hao et al. (2015). This might not be as surprising as it appears at first because the kelp holdfasts collected by us (station 6) were from a small kelp bed within Bodega Harbor immediately adjacent to extensive mudflats. For photographs of this species, see Hao et al. (2015: fig. 1J).

#### Genus Poseidonemertes Kirsteuer, 1967

#### Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA010

#### BIN. BOLD: AEK1697.

Material examined. B14.

**Morphology.** Stout, pale, rust-colored worm with thick, clear margins; body widens posteriorly. Anterior end sharply pointed, with two ocelli near its tip (Fig. 6G). Basis cylindrical, slender, of a similar length as the central stylet (Fig. 7E). With two accessory stylet pouches.

**Identification.** The two *Poseidonemertes* specimens from this study (B14 and B0N35 listed below) resemble *Poseidonemertes collaris* Roe & Wickham, 1984 described from Bodega Bay, California, and other light-colored members of the genus, e.g., *Poseidonemertes maslakovae* Chernyshev, 2002 and *Poseidonemertes* sp. 508 from the Sea of Japan. *P. collaris* is the only member of the genus previously reported from the Pacific coast of the U.S. COI sequence data suggest B14 represents a distinct OTU (8.2% divergent) from what was reported as *P. collaris* by Thollesson and Norenburg (2003) and from B0N35 (described below, 19% divergent), or any other previously sequenced members of the genus.

**Habitat.** Collected just offshore, < 200 m from an open coast beach, among subtidal sand/mud sediments from a depth of 6–7 m (station 3).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Species new to science.

# Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033

#### BIN. BOLD: AEK1698.

Material examined. BON35.

**Morphology.** Stout, cream-colored worm, ~ 25 mm long, with branched intestinal diverticula, greenish in color, highly visible through the body wall (Fig. 6H). Body widens posteriorly. Head pointed, with two ocelli near its tip (Fig. 6H, inset). Stylet apparatus not observed.

Identification. See B14 above.

**Habitat.** Collected from an intertidal mudflat, just below the surface of the sediment, among polychaete tubes (station 8).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Species new to science.

### Genus Tetrastemma Ehrenberg, 1831

This non-monophyletic genus of small four eyed eumonostiliferans containing > 100 species was recently redefined by Chernyshev et al. (2021a) based on a multigene phylogenetic analysis. *Tetrastemma nigrifrons* is part of the *Tetrastemma* clade sensu Chernyshev et al. 2021a. The other two species are included here tentatively.

# Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904

Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904: 159, pl. XV, fig. 7, pl. XVI, figs 6–9, pl. XVII, fig. 1, pl. XX, fig. 16, pl. XXI, figs 15–23; Maslakova et al. 2022. Quasitetrastemma nigrifrons Chernyshev, 2004: 154; Chernyshev et al. 2021a.

# BIN. BOLD:ADX0572.

Material examined. BON1.

**Morphology.** Body 27 mm long, brown dorsally, pale ventrally. Head rounded with colorless margins and a broad brown patch, differentiated only slightly from the body by the colorless transverse band (Fig. 6I). With four eyes; the anterior pair halfway between the anterior tip and the cerebral organ furrows, the posterior pair just below the posterior furrow. With bright red blood vessels. Cylindrical basis, slightly longer than the central stylet (Fig. 7F). With two accessory stylet pouches.

**Identification.** Fits the description of *Tetrastemma nigrifrons* Coe, 1904, described from Monterey Bay, California. A look-alike, *Tetrastemma stimpsoni* Chernyshev, 1992 occurs in the northwest Pacific and the Sea of Japan (Chernyshev et al. 2021a). A third, closely related species has been documented from Dutch Harbor, Alaska (6–7% divergence, BIN: BOLD:AEC4254). No pictures are available, but the description ("brown dorsally, white band separates head, 2 prs eye spots") matches that of this species.

**Habitat.** Collected from the low intertidal zone among red algal blades and colonies of the kamptozoan *Barentsia conferta* (station 17). Similar specimens also observed intertidally among low zone tunicates and algae (station 2), kelp holdfasts (station 7), and surfgrass roots (station 14). Subtidally, among organisms on marina docks (station 9).

**Distribution.** Unalaska, AK, USA (DUTCH209-19 in BOLD); Canada (Chernyshev et al. 2021a); Charleston, OR, USA (Chernyshev et al. 2021a; Maslakova et al. 2022); CA, USA (Chernyshev et al. 2021a); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** This species has variable coloration, both in terms of pattern and the amount of pigmentation (Roe et al. 2007; Maslakova, unpublished). Some specimens are almost completely dark brown dorsally with a colorless transverse bar separating the cephalic patch from the dorsum, while others have much less dorsal pigment (e.g., it may be separated into two more or less continuous stripes by a pigment-less mid-dorsal region). Some specimens may be almost entirely devoid of pigment, except for the cephalic patch (which may be broken into two by a mid-dorsal gap). With four ocelli. Blood vessels are red, and clearly show through the body wall.

### Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029

Tetrastemma sp. 1: Hiebert 2016: 84, fig. 2.14. Tetrastemma sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022.

#### BIN. BOLD:ADW8618.

Material examined. B4.

**Morphology.** Small and slender, transparent, fast-moving worm; internal structures appear yellowish through the body wall. Anterior tip with a small white patch. With four ocelli (Fig. 6J). Stylet apparatus not observed.

**Identification.** Anterior white patch and otherwise featureless body distinguishes this from other species of *Tetrastemma* reported from northeast Pacific (Roe et al. 2007). DNA sequences from the Bodega Bay specimen match those of *Tetrastemma* sp. 1 first reported from southern Oregon by Hiebert (2016) and Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 19 as *Tetrastemma* sp.). Two other overall similar species lacking the anterior white patch occur in southern Oregon (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 15 and 20).

**Habitat.** Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfasts of subtidal Giant Kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) at a depth of 3–4 m (station 6). In Oregon, collected from among surfgrass (*Phyllospadix* spp.) in the rocky intertidal zone (Hiebert 2016).

**Distribution.** Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** First record of the species in California. This species is very common in southern Oregon. Reproductive individuals were found in July in southern Oregon, and deposited egg masses in laboratory dishes upon collection, with crawl-away juveniles hatching a week or two later.

# Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020

#### BIN. BOLD:AEJ7493.

Material examined. BON75.

**Morphology.** Body 1.7 mm long, transparent, with an orange gut. With four eyes and two pairs of cephalic furrows: cerebral organ furrows at the level of the posterior pair of eyes, and a V-shaped neck furrow posteriorly, overlying the

anterior portion of the cerebral ganglia. Conical basis, significantly longer than the central stylet (Fig. 70).

**Identification.** Resembles other featureless species of *Tetrastemma*. COI sequences show it to be distinct from any previously sequenced species.

**Habitat.** Collected from low intertidal, coarse marine sediments on a wave-exposed sandy beach (station 13).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Species new to science.

#### Genus Zygonemertes Montgomery, 1897

Members of the genus *Zygonemertes* are distinct from other eumonostiliferans in having a single row of post cerebral ocelli on each side, along the lateral nerve cords, in addition to the more typical ocelli found in rows or groups on the head. In addition, all species we have had the opportunity to examine possess sickle-shaped microscopic inclusions in the epidermis, and most have a characteristically truncated basis of the central stylet.

Three species of *Zygonemertes* are reported from the northeast Pacific coast: *Z. albida* Coe, 1901, *Z. thalassina* Coe, 1901, and *Z. virescens* (Verrill, 1879). The first two were described by Coe from British Columbia and Alaska, respectively. *Zygonemertes thallasina* has never been reported outside its type locality, *Z. albida* was subsequently reported by Coe to occur as far south as Ensenada, Mexico (Coe 1944), and *Z. virescens* is reported to have a very wide geographic distribution including Pacific (British Columbia to Mexico), Atlantic (Maine to Florida) and Gulf coasts of North America, as well as Curaçao (Coe 1940; Gibson 1995; Roe et al. 2007), but clearly represents a large cryptic species complex (Maslakova, unpublished). The type locality of *Z. virescens* is New England. Presently we are aware of several genetically distinct Atlantic lookalikes (e.g., from Florida, Colombia, and Caribbean Panama); these are also distinct from several Pacific *Z. virescens*-like forms. Based on this, it seems most reasonable to exclude *Z. virescens* from the list of Pacific fauna, and to describe the Pacific forms as new species.

Zygonemertes thalassina was regarded as being extremely similar to Z. virescens, except often longer (to 60 mm), darker in color (olive green), with a smaller S/B ratio, a shorter, stubbier central stylet, and with five stylets per accessory pouch, rather than two or three (Coe 1901, 1905). Zygonemertes albida was distinguished on the basis of its small size, lack of color, longer proboscis, and differences in the stylet apparatus, appearing similar to juveniles of the other two species. Among individuals of Z. virescens, Coe noted variation in color, number and arrangement of ocelli, and relative proportions of central stylet and basis. While some of these features may be variable (e.g., with age or environment), we consider it likely that he encountered more than one species, as there are at least four Z. virescens-like species in southern Oregon alone (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 23-26), and we identified an additional two species in this study. The increasing number of Zygonemertes species uncovered with genetic data, and the lack of barcodes from type localities make it difficult to assign existing names to these species. For now, we refer to them as Zygonemertes spp. until formal descriptions are made. We consider reports of Z. albida from the Atlantic coast dubious (Zattara et al. 2019).

#### Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012

*Zygonemertes* sp. 1: Hiebert 2016: 70. *Zygonemertes* sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022.

Nemertea sp.: Leray and Paulay unpublished (MH242861).

#### BIN. BOLD: ADL9636.

Material examined. B5, B6, B9.

**Morphology.** Body somewhat transparent, greenish yellow with clear margins; 15-25 ocelli arranged in two irregular rows on each side of the head, with a single row of post cerebral ocelli on each side along the lateral nerve cords. Cerebral ganglia pink, visible through the body wall (Fig. 6K). With two pairs of cephalic furrows; cerebral organ furrows are simple ventrolateral arches located 1/2 to 2/3 of the way between the anterior tip and the neck furrow, which overlays the anterior portion of the cerebral ganglia. Specimens B5 and B9 had long slender basis with a slightly concave to flat posterior margin, B6 had a flared stylet basis similar to the illustration for *Z. virescens* in Roe et al. (2007). Central stylet shorter than basis, S/B  $\sim 0.5$  (Fig. 7G); two accessory stylet pouches, with two stylets each.

**Identification.** See above on species of *Zygonemertes*. COI sequences from Bodega Bay specimens match those of Nemertea sp. from Puget Sound, Washington (Paulay and Leray, unpublished, MH242862) and those reported as *Zygonemertes* sp. 1 (Hiebert 2016) or *Zygonemertes* sp. (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 23) from southern Oregon.

**Habitat.** Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfasts of subtidal Giant Kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) at a depth of 3–4 m (station 6).

**Distribution.** Puget Sound, WA, USA (MH242861; Maslakova, unpublished); Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Species not previously reported from California. In the first round of PCR with universal primers, we apparently amplified the gut contents of these worms, the barnacle *Balanus glandula*. Like another barnacle-eating nemertean, *Emplectonema viride*, some specimens of this species have a long, slender basis of central stylet. The basis in this species appears slightly narrower than in other species of *Zygonemertes*.

# Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013

Zygonemertes sp. 1: Hiebert 2016: 70.

*Zygonemertes* sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022; O'Mahoney et al. unpublished (MZ580839).

#### BIN. BOLD: ADW7912.

Material examined. BON27, BON76, BON80, BON87.

**Morphology.** Body 4–15 mm long; color ranging from white with a tinge of yellow to orange, sometimes with dark pigment spots along the sides of the body or at the posterior (Fig. 6M). 25-50+ ocelli arranged in four irregular rows on the head, a single row of  $\sim 10$  post-cerebral ocelli along the lateral nerve cords (Fig. 6N). With two pairs of cephalic furrows: cerebral organ furrows are simple ventrolateral arches located 2/3 of the distance from the tip of the head

to the V-shaped neck furrow, which overlies the cerebral ganglia. Basis slender, much longer than the central stylet, S/B  $\sim 0.5-0.65$ , sometimes with slight medial constriction posteriorly, and with flat or slightly concave posterior margin (Fig. 7I). Two accessory stylet pouches with two or three stylets each.

**Identification.** See above on species of *Zygonemertes*. COI sequences from Bodega Bay specimens match a subset of those reported as *Zygonemertes* sp. 1 (Hiebert 2016) and *Zygonemertes* sp. (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 26) from southern Oregon, and two specimens from Dutch Harbor, Alaska. This species may correspond to *Z. albida* or a cryptic undescribed species.

**Habitat.** Collected from the low intertidal zone among colonial ascidians and polychaete worm tubes (stations 7, 10). Collected subtidally from within hold-fasts of bull kelp (*Nereocystis luetkeana*), station 11.

**Distribution.** Amaknak (MZ580839) and Unalaska Islands, AK, USA (MZ580813); San Juan Island, WA, USA (Maslakova, unpublished), Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** First record of the species in California. Reproductive individuals encountered in August in Bodega Bay.

# Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014

#### BIN. BOLD: AEK0256.

Material examined. B7, B8, B0N88, B0N91.

**Morphology.** Body up to 31 mm long, greenish yellow, digestive tract appearing bright reddish orange ventrally. With  $\sim$  15 ocelli on each side of the head, arranged in four irregular rows, and a single row of post-cerebral ocelli ( $\sim$  8) along each lateral nerve cord (Fig. 6L). Cerebral organ furrows 2/3 the distance between the anterior tip and the posterior V-shaped neck furrow. Cylindrical basis, longer than the central stylet, with truncated posterior margin (Fig. 7H). S/B  $\sim$  0.5–0.65. Two accessory stylet pouches, with two or three stylets each.

**Identification.** See above on species of *Zygonemertes*.

**Habitat.** Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfasts of subtidal Giant Kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) in the shallow subtidal zone (< 5 m depth, station 6). **Distribution.** Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Species new to science. Closely related to *Zygonemertes* sp. BOBA015 (6% divergence, COI).

#### Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015

#### BIN. BOLD:AEJ0120, BOLD:ADR7155.

Material examined. BON62, BON63, BON81.

**Morphology.** Body 4–15 mm long, brownish, with numerous ocelli arranged in four irregular rows on the head,  $\sim$  25+ on each side (Fig. 60). Basis quite massive compared to central stylet, in terms of length and width (Fig. 7J), though this is not as obvious in smaller specimens (BON63). S/B 0.4–0.75. One individual (BON81) had an unusual triangle-shaped basis, widening significantly posteriorly, with a flat posterior margin. Two accessory stylet pouches with two or three stylets each.

**Identification.** See above on species of *Zygonemertes*.

**Habitat.** Low intertidal zone among surfgrass roots (station 14) and colonial ascidians (station 10).

**Distribution.** Calvert Island, BC, Canada (BHAK2541-20 in BOLD). Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); Point Mugu, CA, USA (DISA797-19 in BOLD).

**Notes.** Species new to science. Closely related to *Zygonemertes* sp. BOBA014 (6% divergence, COI).

#### Amphiporina incertae sedis

### Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016

Monostilifera sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022.

#### BIN. BOLD:AEJ6897.

Material examined. B15.

**Morphology.** Body orange, with 15 ocelli on each side of the cephalic lobe. Basis nearly conical, rounded at the bottom, a bit shorter than the central stylet (Fig. 7N). Two accessory stylet pouches with two stylets each.

**Identification.** Resembles individuals of *Amphiporus* sp. BOBA017 and BOBA018 described above, but COI sequences do not match any previously sequenced species, and do not group closely with *Amphiporus* cf. *imparispinosus*. Taxonomic affiliation is uncertain until a more thorough phylogenetic analysis (with more conservative markers than COI and 16S) is carried out. Overall morphology and 16S tree (Fig. 3) suggest it belongs within Amphiporina.

Habitat. Among fouling organisms on marina docks (station 9).

**Distribution.** San Juan Island, WA, USA (Maslakova, unpublished); Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** First record of the species in California. The eggs of this species (which have a polyhedral chorion) have been collected in the plankton in Charleston, OR (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 13) and matching COI barcodes have been obtained from adults collected from Friday Harbor, WA (Maslakova, unpublished).

Infraorder Oerstediina Kajihara, 2021 Family Oerstediidae Chernyshev, 1993 Genus *Antarctonemertes* Friedrich, 1955

# Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985)

Tetrastemma phyllospadicola Stricker, 1985: 682, figs 1–28; Stricker and Cavey 1986: 2188; McDermott 1997: 254; Stricker and Folsom 1997: 57; Stricker et al. 2001: 214.

#### BIN. BOLD:ACH3602.

Material examined. BON67, BON68.

**Morphology.** Body short and stout, pale yellow to pale peach color, 6–7 mm long (Fig. 6P), with four eyes occupying the corners of a square, and a prominent pointed snout (not apparent on Fig. 6P). Cephalic lobe at its widest at the level of cerebral organ furrow, between the first and second pairs of eyes. Cerebral organ furrows are limited to the ventral side. Transverse neck furrow posterior to the second pair of eyes. Rounded in cross section, proboscis extending

to posterior end of the body. Basis oval, widening a bit posteriorly, with a slender central stylet, S > B (Fig. 7K). With two accessory stylet pouches, with one or two stylets each.

**Identification.** Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of *Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola* (Stricker, 1985) described from San Juan Island, Washington, and the COI sequences match those of *A. phyllospadicola* from San Juan Island, Washington (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003) and southern Oregon (Maslakova, unpublished).

**Habitat.** Collected with intertidal samples of surfgrass, *Phyllospadix scouleri* (station 14). In the San Juan Islands, WA this species is found on blades and inside female inflorescences of *P. scouleri* in the low intertidal zone.

**Distribution.** Bamfield Marine Science Centre, Canada (Chernyshev and Polyakova 2019); Puget Sound, WA, USA (Maslakova and von Döhren 2009); Charleston, OR (Maslakova, unpublished); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. This is the first record of this genus and species for California.

#### Genus Nemertellina Friedrich, 1935

The genus *Nemertellina* has never been reported from the northeast Pacific and currently contains five valid species, three occurring in Kiel Bay, Germany, and one each in Madagascar and Japan. Members of this genus have four eyes, with the anterior and posterior pairs widely separated; small and simple cerebral organs located far anterior to the brain and opening ventrally near the tip of the head; short rhynchocoel; conical or pear-shaped basis, with 2–4 accessory stylet pouches. *Nemertellina canea* Friedrich, 1935b, *N. minuta* Friedrich, 1935a, *N. oculata* Friedrich, 1935b and *N. tropica* Kirsteuer, 1965 are reported to completely lack cephalic furrows, while *N. yamaokai* Kajihara, Gibson & Mawatari, 2000 has two pairs.

#### Nemertellina sp. BOBA011

# BIN. BOLD:AEJ4336.

Material examined. B3, B21, B0N69.

**Morphology.** Body small and slender,  $\sim 15$  mm long, cylindrical in cross-section (Fig. 6Q). Head the same width as adjacent body. Four eyes occupy the corners of a rectangle, the distance between the anterior and posterior pairs of eyes is considerably larger than the distance between the two eyes of each pair. With two pairs of cephalic furrows: cerebral organ furrows are just posterior to the anterior pair of eyes, and the posterior neck furrow overlies the anterior margin of the cerebral ganglia, which are translucent. Stylet basis cylindrical, rounded posteriorly, S/B  $\sim 1$  (Fig. 7L); two accessory stylet pouches. The rhynchocoel extends 3/4 of the body length.

**Identification.** The species encountered here is most similar to *Nemertellina yamaokai* in possessing two sets of cephalic furrows. The Bodega Bay specimens are ~ 10% divergent (COI) from *N. yamaokai*, suggesting the presence of a sixth *Nemertellina* species, and the first reported from the northeast Pacific.

**Habitat.** Collected subtidally in Bodega Harbor from kelp holdfasts (station 6) and among fouling organisms on boat marina docks (station 9). Collected intertidally from rocky shores on the open coast among surfgrass roots (station 14).

**Distribution.** Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova, unpublished); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Species new to science, and new record of the genus for North America.

# Genus Oerstedia Quatrefages, 1846

# Oerstedia sp. BOBA022

# BIN. BOLD:AEJ2779.

Material examined. BON32, BON33.

**Morphology.** Short and stout cylindrical body, 3–6 mm long, with a head narrower than the body. One individual pale, with a bright orange gut (Fig. 6S), the other with the dorsal surface completely covered with blotches of various shades of brown (Fig. 6R). With four large eyes. Basis conical, rounded posteriorly, shorter than the slender central stylet (Fig. 7M). Two accessory stylet pouches, with three or four stylets each.

Identification. The only species of *Oerstedia* reported to occur in the northeast Pacific (from Washington to Mexico) is *Oerstedia dorsalis* (Abildgaard, 1806). The type locality of *O. dorsalis* is northern Europe, but the species has been reported throughout the northern hemisphere and is famously polymorphic. Sundberg et al. (2009) demonstrated that there are at least nine cryptic species within *O. dorsalis* in northern Europe alone, each exhibiting color polymorphism. Reports of *Oerstedia* on this coast likely refer to undescribed species. Bodega Bay specimens are sufficiently divergent from any previously sequenced *Oerstedia*, including a species occurring in southern Oregon (Maslakova, unpublished).

**Habitat.** Collected among low intertidal red algae on the rocky boulders of a breakwater (station 4).

**Distribution.** Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Species new to science.

#### Oerstediina incertae sedis

# Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904

Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904: 164, pl. XIV, fig. 6, pl. XXI, figs 13, 14, pl. XXII, fig. 4.

#### BIN. BOLD:ADW8130.

Material examined. B18.

**Morphology.** Small, slender worm with two dorsal longitudinal brown stripes, each 1/3 of the body width, upon a cream-colored background (Fig. 6T). Brown stripes narrow and terminate towards the anterior tip. Head triangular in shape, with four eyes; the anterior pair located halfway between the tip of the head and the posterior pair.

**Identification.** Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of *Tetrastemma bilineatum* Coe, 1904, originally from San Diego, California, though sequence data are not available from southern California. COI sequence of the Bodega Bay specimen matches those of *T. bilineatum* individuals reported from southern Oregon (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 29).

**Habitat.** Collected from a wave-exposed mussel bed (station 1) and found in similar habitat in southern Oregon.

**Distribution.** Bamfield Marine Science Centre, Canada (Chernyshev et al. 2021a); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

**Notes.** Coe's (1904) original record of the species from San Diego, California is not verified by DNA sequence data, but given the distinctiveness of this species, and the absence of known look-alikes on this coast, the reported distribution seems likely. According to a recent molecular phylogeny of *Tetrastemma* and its allies (Chernyshev et al. 2021a) this species does not belong to *Tetrastemma* sensu stricto or the infraorder Amphiporina, but instead is a member of Oerstediina. Its generic placement remains uncertain.

# **Discussion**

The geographic distributions and abundances of coastal species are changing in response to a variety of human impacts (O'Hara et al. 2021), including warming oceans (Sagarin et al. 1999; Sorte et al. 2010; Lonhart et al. 2019; Sanford et al. 2019). Evaluation of these ecological changes is hindered by our incomplete knowledge of the fauna and flora of coastal ecosystems, pointing to a critical need for assessment of biodiversity (Gray 1997). Currently, up to 90% of marine eukaryotic species are estimated to remain undescribed (Mora et al. 2011; Appeltans et al. 2012). Lesser-studied groups, such as nemerteans, have an especially high fraction of undescribed and undetected species (e.g., Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022).

Our study extends the geographic focus of recent taxonomic work on nemerteans to include northern California where relatively little work has been done on nemertean diversity during the past 60 years. Notably, only 13 of the 34 species (38%) we collected and barcoded can be unambiguously assigned to described species. This highlights that nemertean diversity remains poorly known in the northeast Pacific despite more than a century of study. That the majority of the observed diversity cannot be assigned to described species renders the few existing geographically relevant identification guides (e.g., Coe 1940; Corrêa 1964; Kozloff 1996; Roe et al. 2007) inadequate.

Eleven species (32%) reported here are new to science, and ten (29%) comprise previously reported undescribed species, or cryptic species whose taxonomic status cannot be resolved with data at hand (Table 4). Some of the newly discovered species were likely overlooked or set aside because of their relatively small size and evident cryptic morphology (e.g., *Tetrastemma* sp. BOBA020, *Nemertellina* sp. BOBA011). Others represent cryptic lineages of previously described species (e.g., *Amphiporus cruentatus*, *Amphiporus imparispinosus*, *Lineus flavescens*, *Nipponnemertes bimaculata*, *Oerstedia dorsalis*, *Poseidonemertes collaris*, *Zygonemertes virescens*). Yet others may have escaped notice due to their rarity or cryptic habits.

Twenty two of the 34 species have not been previously confirmed by DNA barcodes to occur in northern California. This includes two species that appear to have been introduced from other parts of the world (*Cephalothrix simula* from the northwest Pacific, and *Cephalothrix hermaphroditica* from European waters, possibly via Chile or another point of entry along the Pacific Coast of the Americas).

In fact, our study is the first to report *C. hermaphroditica* from the northeast Pacific. Introduction of *C. simula* may be of concern to aquaculture due to its association with oysters, and high levels of tetrodotoxin in its tissues (Kajihara et al. 2013; Turner et al. 2018). These are the first reports in California of two previously described species, *Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola* and *Maculaura oregonensis*, and six previously reported but undescribed species (*Kulikovia* sp. BOBA003, *Amphiporus* sp. BOBA018, *Tetrastemma* sp. BOBA029, *Zygonemertes* sp. BOBA012, 13, *Eumonostilifera* sp. BOBA016). This is also the first record of the genus *Riserius* in California and *Nemertellina* in the northeast Pacific.

Historical surveys of nemertean diversity in the Bodega Bay region (Tamura 1957; Corrêa 1964; Standing et al. 1975; Ristau et al. 1978) identified 21 different species. The majority of these species were also found in our surveys, although in some cases, taxonomic uncertainty makes a direct comparison difficult.

Several species recorded in historical surveys of the Bodega Bay region were absent from our surveys. For example, Corrêa (1964) reported a single specimen of Lineus pictifrons, a species we did not locate. Corrêa (1964) also reported several species (e.g., Tubulanus cingulatus, Cerebratulus longiceps) that were dredged with soft sediments at 6 m depth in Tomales Bay, a subtidal habitat that we did not survey. Perhaps the most conspicuous absence in our survey was the lack of Tubulanus ruber (Griffin, 1898), which was reported under the name Tubulanus polymorphus in three of the historical studies cited above and was described as "rather common" in the Bodega Bay region by Corrêa (1964). DNA barcodes suggest that T. ruber, originally described from Alaska, is distinct from Tubulanus polymorphus Renier, 1804, an Atlantic species with which it was previously synonymized by Coe (1940) (Hiebert 2016). The geographic range of *T. ruber* (as *T. polymorphus*) has been reported as San Luis Obispo, CA to Alaska (Morris et al. 1980), and from Monterey, CA to the Aleutian Islands (Roe et al. 2007). iNaturalist documents only two clear records of Tubulanus "polymorphus" from central California (San Mateo County, iNaturalist 19743045; and San Luis Obispo County, iNaturalist 84371695), and many records from northern California (Mendocino County) to Alaska. While seasonality could potentially explain the absence of some previously documented species in our surveys, one of us (ES) has conducted intertidal fieldwork in this region throughout the year for the past 20 years and has never observed T. ruber in Sonoma County. The scarcity of recent observations of this large and conspicuous red/orange ribbon worm from Bodega Bay and the southern portion of its geographic range is consistent with a geographic range contraction.

# **Conclusions**

Our findings demonstrate how much there is to learn about the diversity and distribution of nemerteans of the northeast Pacific, particularly among southern regions that have received the least amount of attention. Lack of baseline occurrence data (supported by DNA barcodes) hinders our ability to detect shifts in the distribution and abundance of these species. Further sampling and DNA barcoding along the west coast of North America is needed to obtain a more accurate picture of the diversity in this region. Sampling type localities of previously described species will help resolve some of the taxonomic ambiguities associated with species already encountered.

# **Acknowledgments**

For field assistance in the Bodega Bay region, we thank Isaiah Bluestein, Michael Brito, Erin de Leon Sanchez, Jason Herum, Shelby Kawana, Helen Killeen, John Liu, Gabriel Ng, Sarah Merolla, Alisha Saley, Jackie Sones, Evan Tjeerdema, and Jenna Quan. The authors acknowledge the students of the Marine Molecular Biology classes at the Oregon Institute of Marine Biology taught by S.M. in Fall 2019 and 2020, for their help with DNA extraction and PCR. We are grateful to the University of California Natural Reserve System for access to Bodega Marine Reserve. We extend our gratitude to an anonymous reviewer and Jon L Norenburg for their thoughtful reviews of this manuscript.

# **Additional information**

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **Ethical statement**

No ethical statement was reported.

# **Funding**

This project was supported by a Field Science Fellowship from the University of California Natural Reserve System to M.F. and E.S. Additional support was provided by NSF grant OCE-1851462 to E.S. C.I.E. was partially supported by the NSF grant DEB-1856363 to S.M.

# **Author contributions**

CIE Data curation, Formal Analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft; MRF Funding acquisition, Conceptualization, Investigation, Visualization; ES Funding acquisition, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review and editing; SM Funding acquisition, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review and editing.

#### **Author ORCIDs**

Christina I. Ellison https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1856-386X

Eric Sanford https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9053-6826

Svetlana Maslakova https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3629-6638

# Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

# References

Andrade SCS, Strand M, Schwartz M, Chen H, Kajihara H, von Döhren J, Sun S, Junoy J, Thiel M, Norenburg JL, Turbeville JM, Giribet G, Sundberg P (2012) Disentangling ribbon worm relationships: Multi-locus analysis supports traditional classification of the phylum Nemertea. Cladistics 28(2): 141–159. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2011.00376.x

Appeltans W, Ahyong ST, Anderson G, Angel MV, Artois T, Bailly N, Bamber R, Barber A, Bartsch I, Berta A, Błażewicz-Paszkowycz M, Bock P, Boxshall G, Boyko CB, Brandão

SN, Bray RA, Bruce NL, Cairns SD, Chan T-Y, Cheng L, Collins AG, Cribb T, Curini-Galletti M, Dahdouh-Guebas F, Davie PJF, Dawson MN, De Clerck O, Decock W, De Grave S, de Voogd NJ, Domning DP, Emig CC, Erséus C, Eschmeyer W, Fauchald K, Fautin DG, Feist SW, Fransen CHJM, Furuya H, Garcia-Alvarez O, Gerken S, Gibson D, Gittenberger A, Gofas S, Gómez-Daglio L, Gordon DP, Guiry MD, Hernandez F, Hoeksema BW, Hopcroft RR, Jaume D, Kirk P, Koedam N, Koenemann S, Kolb JB, Kristensen RM, Kroh A, Lambert G, Lazarus DB, Lemaitre R, Longshaw M, Lowry J, Macpherson E, Madin LP, Mah C, Mapstone G, McLaughlin PA, Mees J, Meland K, Messing CG, Mills CE, Molodtsova TN, Mooi R, Neuhaus B, Ng PKL, Nielsen C, Norenburg J, Opresko DM, Osawa M, Paulay G, Perrin W, Pilger JF, Poore GCB, Pugh P, Read GB, Reimer JD, Rius M, Rocha RM, Saiz-Salinas JI, Scarabino V, Schierwater B, Schmidt-Rhaesa A, Schnabel KE, Schotte M, Schuchert P, Schwabe E, Segers H, Self-Sullivan C, Shenkar N, Siegel V, Sterrer W, Stöhr S, Swalla B, Tasker ML, Thuesen EV, Timm T, Todaro MA, Turon X, Tyler S, Uetz P, van der Land J, Vanhoorne B, van Ofwegen LP, van Soest RWM, Vanaverbeke J, Walker-Smith G, Walter TC, Warren A, Williams GC, Wilson SP, Costello MJ (2012) The magnitude of global marine species diversity. Current Biology 22(23): 2189-2202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.036

- Bourque D, Miron G, Landry T (2001) Predation on soft-shell clams (*Mya arenaria*) by the nemertean *Cerebratulus lacteus* in Atlantic Canada: Implications for control measures. Hydrobiologia 456(1/3): 33–44. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013061900032
- Carroll S, McEvoy EG, Gibson R (2003) The production of tetrodotoxin-like substances by nemertean worms in conjunction with bacteria. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 288(1): 51–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(02)00595-6
- Chen H, Strand M, Norenburg JL, Sun S, Kajihara H, Chernyshev AV, Maslakova SA, Sundberg P (2010) Statistical parsimony networks and species assemblages in cephalotrichid nemerteans (Nemertea). PLoS ONE 5(9): e12885. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012885
- Chen H-X, Sundberg P, Wu H-Y, Sun S-C (2011) The mitochondrial genomes of two nemerteans, *Cephalothrix* sp. (Nemertea: Palaeonemertea) and *Paranemertes* cf. *peregrina* (Nemertea: Hoplonemertea). Molecular Biology Reports 38(7): 4509–4525. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-010-0582-4
- Cherneva I, Ellison CI, Zattara EE, Norenburg JL, Schwartz ML, Junoy J, Maslakova SA (2023) Seven new species of Tetranemertes Chernyshev, 1992 (Monostilifera, Hoplonemertea, Nemertea) from the Caribbean Sea, western Pacific, and Arabian Sea, and revision of the genus. ZooKeys 1181: 167–200. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1181.109521
- Chernyshev AV (2002) Description of a new species of the genus *Poseidonemertes* (Nemertea, Monostilifera) with establishment of the family Poseidonemertidae. Zoologicheskij Zhurnal 81: 909–916.
- Chernyshev AV (2004) Two new genera of nemertean worms of the family Tetrastemmatidae (Nemertea: Monostilifera). Zoosystematica Rossica 12(2): 151–156. https://doi.org/10.31610/zsr/2003.12.2.151
- Chernyshev AV (2014) Nemertean biodiversity in the Sea of Japan and adjacent areas. In: Marine biodiversity and ecosystem dynamics of the north-western Pacific Ocean. Publishing House of Science, Beijing, 119–135. https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=26149928&pff=1
- Chernyshev AV, Lutaenko KA (2011) Nemertean worms (Nemertea) of the Vietnamese coastal waters. In: Coastal marine biodiversity and bioresources of Vietnam and adjacent areas to the South China Sea. Nha Trang, Vietnam, 21–25.

- Chernyshev AV, Polyakova NE (2019) Nemerteans from the deep-sea expedition KuramBio II with descriptions of three new hoplonemerteans from the Kuril-Kamchatka Trench. Progress in Oceanography 178: 102148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102148
- Chernyshev AV, Polyakova N (2021) An integrative description of a new *Cephalothrix* species (Nemertea: Palaeonemertea) from the South China Sea. Zootaxa 4908(4): 584–594. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4908.4.10
- Chernyshev AV, Polyakova NE (2022) Nemerteans collected in the Bering Sea during the research cruises aboard the R/V Akademik M.A. Lavrentyev in 2016, 2018, and 2021 with an analysis of deep-sea heteronemertean and hoplonemertean species. Deep-sea Research. Part II, Topical Studies in Oceanography 199: 105081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2022.105081
- Chernyshev AV, Polyakova NE, Turanov SV, Kajihara H (2018) Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Lineus torquatus* and allies (Nemertea, Lineidae) with descriptions of a new genus and a new cryptic species. Systematics and Biodiversity 16(1): 55–68. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2017.1317672
- Chernyshev AV, Polyakova NE, Norenburg JL, Kajihara H (2021a) A molecular phylogeny of *Tetrastemma* and its allies (Nemertea, Monostilifera). Zoologica Scripta 50(6): 824–836. https://doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12511
- Chernyshev AV, Polyakova NE, Hiebert TC, Maslakova SA, Chernyshev AV, Polyakova NE, Hiebert TC, Maslakova SA (2021b) Evaluation of the taxonomic position of the genus *Carinina* (Nemertea: Palaeonemertea), with descriptions of two new species. Invertebrate Systematics 35(3): 245–260. https://doi.org/10.1071/IS20061
- Coe WR (1895) Descriptions of three new species of New England palaeonemerteans.

  Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 9: 515–522.
- Coe WR (1901) Papers from the Harriman Alaska Expedition xx. The Nemerteans. Proceedings of the Washington Academy of Sciences 3: 1–110.
- Coe WR (1904). Nemerteans of the Pacific Coast of North America 11(Pt. II): 111–220. Coe WR (1905) Nemerteans of the West and Northwest Coasts of America. Museum of
- Comparative Zoology at Harvard College.

  Coe WR (1940) Revision of the nemertean fauna of the Pacific coasts of North, Central

and northern South America. Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions 2: 247-322.

- Coe WR (1944) Geographical distribution of the nemerteans of the Pacific coast of North America, withdescriptions of two new species. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 34: 27–32.
- Corrêa DD (1954) Nemertinos do litoral brasileiro. Boletim da Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras, Universidade de São Paulo. Zoologia 19(19): 1–121. https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2526-3382.bffclzoologia.1954.120084
- Corrêa DD (1961) Nemerteans from Florida and Virgin Islands. Bulletin of Marine Science 11: 1–44.
- Corrêa DD (1964) Nemerteans from California and Oregon. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 31: 515–558.
- Crandall FB, Norenburg JL (2001) Checklist of the nemertean fauna of the United States. Ehrenberg CG (1828) Phytozoa turbellaria Africana et Asiatica in Phytozoorum Tabula IV et V delineata. In: Hemprich FG, Ehrenberg CG (Eds) Symbolae physicae, seu icones et descriptiones corporum naturalium novorum aut minus cognitorum quae ex itineribus per Libyam, Aegyptium, Nubiam, Dongalam, Syriam, Arabiam et Habessiniam, pars zoologica II, anima. Officina Academica, Berlin. Vol. 2, 515 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.107403

- Envall M, Norenburg JL (2001) Morphology and systematics in mesopsammic nemerteans of the genus *Ototyphlonemertes* (Nemertea, Hoplonemertea, Ototyphlonemertidae). Hydrobiologia 456(1/3): 145–163. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013029310452
- Faasse MA, Turbeville JM (2015) The first record of the north-west Pacific nemertean *Cephalothrix simula* in northern Europe. Marine Biodiversity Records 8: e17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755267214001523
- Fernández-Álvarez FÁ, Machordom A (2013) DNA barcoding reveals a cryptic nemertean invasion in Atlantic and Mediterranean waters. Helgoland Marine Research 67(3): 599–605. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-013-0346-3
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology 3: 294–299.
- Friedrich F (1935a) Studien zur morphologie, systematik und Ookologie der nemertinen der Kieler Bucht. Archiv für Naturgeschichte 4: 293–375.
- Friedrich H (1935b) Neue Hoplonemertinen der Kieler Bucht. Schr naturw Ver Schlesw-Holst 21: 10–19.
- Gerner L (1969) Nemertinen der gattungen *Cephalothrix* und *Ototyphlonemertes* aus dem marinen mesopsammal. Helgoländer Wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen 19(1): 68–110. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01625860
- Gibson R (1995) Nemertean genera and species of the world: An annotated checklist of original names and description citations, synonyms, current taxonomic status, habitats and recorded zoogeographic distribution. Journal of Natural History 29(2): 271–561. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939500770161
- Gibson R, Crandall FB (1989) The genus *Amphiporus* Ehrenberg (Nemertea, Enopla, Monostiliferoidea). Zoologica Scripta 18(4): 453–470. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.1989.tb00140.x
- Gibson R, Sánchez M, Méndez M (1990) A new species of *Procephalothrix* (Nemertea, Anopla, Archinemertea) from Chile. Journal of Natural History 24(2): 277–287. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939000770201
- Göransson U, Jacobsson E, Strand M, Andersson HS (2019) The toxins of nemertean worms. Toxins 11(2): 120. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11020120
- Gray JS (1997) Marine biodiversity: Patterns, threats and conservation needs. Biodiversity and Conservation 6(1): 153–175. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018335901847
- Griffin BB (1898) Description of some marine nemerteans of Puget Sound and Alaska. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 11(1): 193–217. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1898.tb54969.x
- Gunnerus JE (1770) Nogle smaa rare og mestendeelen nye norske sødyr. Skrifter som udi det Kiøbenhavnske Selskab af Lærdoms og Videnskabers Elskere ere fremlagte og oplæste 10: 166–176.
- Hao Y, Kajihara H, Chernyshev AV, Okazaki RK, Sun S-C (2015) DNA taxonomy of *Paranemertes* (Nemertea: Hoplonemertea) with spirally fluted stylets. Zoological Science 32(6): 571–578. https://doi.org/10.2108/zs140275
- Hiebert TC (2016) New nemertean diversity discovered in the Northeast Pacific, using surveys of both planktonic larvae and benthic adults. Ph.D. University of Oregon. https://www.proguest.com/docview/1780588156/abstract/5DD5117979CC4585PO/1
- Hiebert TC, Maslakova S (2015a) Integrative taxonomy of the *Micrura alaskensis* Coe, 1901 species complex (Nemertea: Heteronemertea), with descriptions of a new genus *Maculaura* gen. nov. and four new species from the NE Pacific. Zoological Science 32(6): 615–637. https://doi.org/10.2108/zs150011

- Hiebert TC, Maslakova SA (2015b) Larval development of two N. E. Pacific Pilidiophoran nemerteans (Heteronemertea; Lineidae). The Biological Bulletin 229(3): 265–275. https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv229n3p265
- Hiebert TC, von Dassow G, Hiebert LS, Maslakova S (2013) The peculiar nemertean larva pilidium recurvatum belongs to *Riserius* sp., a basal heteronemertean that eats *Carcinonemertes errans*, a hoplonemertean parasite of Dungeness crab. Invertebrate Biology 132(3): 207–225. https://doi.org/10.1111/ivb.12023
- Hookabe N, Kajihara H, Chernyshev AV, Jimi N, Hasegawa N, Kohtsuka H, Okanishi M, Tani K, Fujiwara Y, Tsuchida S, Ueshima R (2022) Molecular phylogeny of the genus *Nipponnemertes* (Nemertea: Monostilifera: Cratenemertidae) and descriptions of 10 new species, with notes on small body size in a newly discovered clade. Frontiers in Marine Science 9: 906383. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.906383
- Hunt MK, Maslakova SA (2017) Development of a lecithotrophic pilidium larva illustrates convergent evolution of trochophore-like morphology. Frontiers in Zoology 14(1): 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-017-0189-x
- Iwata F (1952) Nemertini from the coasts of Kyusyu (with 18 text-figures). Journal of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University. Series 6, Zoology 11: 126–148.
- Iwata F (2001) *Nipponnemertes fernaldi*, a new species of swimming monostiliferous hoplonemertean from the San Juan Archipelago, Washington, U.S.A. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 114: 833–857.
- Johnston G (1837) Miscellanea zoologica. II. A description of some planarian worms. Magazine of Zoology and Botany 1: 529–538.
- Kajihara H (2017) Species diversity of Japanese ribbon worms (Nemertea). In: Moto-kawa M, Kajihara H (Eds), Species Diversity of Animals in Japan. Diversity and Commonality in Animals. Springer Japan, Tokyo, 419–444. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-56432-4\_16
- Kajihara H (2019) Resolving a 200-year-old taxonomic conundrum: neotype designation for *Cephalothrix linearis* (Nemertea: Palaeonemertea) based on a topotype from Bergen, Norway. Fauna Norvegica 39: 39–76. https://doi.org/10.5324/fn.v39i0.2734
- Kajihara H (2020) Redescription of *Cerebratulus marginatus* auct. (Nemertea: Pilidiophora) from Hokkaido, Japan, as a new species. Zootaxa 4819(2): 295–315. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4819.2.4
- Kajihara H (2021) Higher classification of the Monostilifera (Nemertea: Hoplonemertea). Zootaxa 4920(2): 151–199. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4920.2.1
- Kajihara H, Chernyshev AV, Sun S-C, Sundberg P, Crandall FB (2008) Checklist of nemertean genera and species published between 1995 and 2007. Species Diversity: An International Journal for Taxonomy, Systematics, Speciation, Biogeography, and Life History Research of Animals 13(4): 245–274. https://doi.org/10.12782/specdiv.13.245
- Kajihara H, Sun S-C, Chernyshev AV, Chen H-X, Ito K, Asakawa M, Maslakova SA, Norenburg JL, Strand M, Sundberg P, Iwata F (2013) Taxonomic identity of a tetrodotox-in-accumulating ribbon-worm *Cephalothrix simula* (Nemertea: Palaeonemertea): a species artificially introduced from the Pacific to Europe. Zoological Science 30(11): 985–997. https://doi.org/10.2Fio108/zsj.30.985
- Kajihara H, Tamura K, Tomioka S (2018) Histology-free descriptions for seven species of interstitial ribbon worms in the genus *Ototyphlonemertes* (Nemertea: Monostilifera) from Vietnam. Species Diversity: An International Journal for Taxonomy, Systematics, Speciation, Biogeography, and Life History Research of Animals 23(1): 13–37. https://doi.org/10.12782/specdiv.23.13

- Kajihara H, Abukawa S, Chernyshev AV (2022a) Exploring the basal topology of the heteronemertean tree of life: establishment of a new family, along with turbotaxonomy of Valenciniidae (Nemertea: Pilidiophora: Heteronemertea). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 196(1): 503–548. https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlac015
- Kajihara H, Ganaha I, Kohtsuka H (2022b) Lineid Heteronemerteans (Nemertea: Pilidiophora) from Sagami Bay, Japan, with Some Proposals for the Family-Level Classification System. Zoological Science 39(1): 62–80. https://doi.org/10.2108/zs210059
- Kem W, Soti F, Wildeboer K, LeFrancois S, MacDougall K, Wei D-Q, Chou K-C, Arias HR (2006) The nemertine toxin anabaseine and its derivative DMXBA (GTS-21): Chemical and Pharmacological Properties. Marine Drugs 4(3): 255–273. https://doi.org/10.3390/md403255
- Kirsteuer E (1965) Uber das vorkommen von nemertinen in einem tropischen korallenriff. 4. Hoplonemertini monostilifera. Zoologische Jahrbucher. Abteilung für Systematik, Ökologie und Geographie der Tiere 92: 289–326.
- Kölliker A (1845) Lineola, Chloriane, Polycystis, neue Wurmgattungen und neue arten von Nemertes. Verhandlungen der schweizerischen naturforschenden Gesellschaft 29: 86–99.
- Kozloff EN (1996) Marine Invertebrates of the Pacific Northwest, Second Printing. University of Washington Press, Seattle and London.
- Kuris AM, Wickham DE (1987) Effect of nemertean egg predators on crustaceans. Bulletin of Marine Science 41: 151–164.
- Kvist S, Laumer CE, Junoy J, Giribet G (2014) New insights into the phylogeny, systematics and DNA barcoding of Nemertea. Invertebrate Systematics 28(3): 287–308. https://doi.org/10.1071/IS13061
- Leasi F, Andrade SC da S, Norenburg J (2016) At least some meiofaunal species are not everywhere. Indication of geographic, ecological and geological barriers affecting the dispersion of species of *Ototyphlonemertes* (Nemertea, Hoplonemertea). Molecular Ecology 25: 1381–1397. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13568
- Leasi F, Norenburg JL (2014) The necessity of DNA taxonomy to reveal cryptic diversity and spatial distribution of meiofauna, with a focus on Nemertea. PLoS ONE 9(8): e104385. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104385
- Lonhart SI, Jeppesen R, Beas-Luna R, Crooks JA, Lorda J (2019) Shifts in the distribution and abundance of coastal marine species along the eastern Pacific Ocean during marine heatwaves from 2013 to 2018. Marine Biodiversity Records 12(1): 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41200-019-0171-8
- Mahon AR, Thornhill DJ, Norenburg JL, Halanych KM (2010) DNA uncovers Antarctic nemertean biodiversity and exposes a decades-old cold case of asymmetric inventory. Polar Biology 33(2): 193–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-009-0696-0
- Maslakova SA, Hiebert TC (2014) From trochophore to pilidium and back again a larva's journey. The International Journal of Developmental Biology 58(6–7–8): 585–591. https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.140090sm
- Maslakova SA, von Dassow G (2012) A non-feeding pilidium with apparent prototroch and telotroch. Journal of Experimental Zoology. Part B, Molecular and Developmental Evolution 318(7): 586–590. https://doi.org/10.1002/jezb.22467
- Maslakova SA, von Döhren J (2009) Larval development with transitory epidermis in *Paranemertes peregrina* and other hoplonemerteans. The Biological Bulletin 216(3): 273–292. https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv216n3p273
- Maslakova S, Ellison CI, Hiebert TC, Conable F, Heaphy MC, Venera-Pontón DE, Norenburg JL, Schwartz ML, Moss ND, Boyle MJ, Driskell AC, Macdonald KS III, Zattara EE, Collin

- R (2022) Sampling multiple life stages significantly increases estimates of marine biodiversity. Biology Letters 18(4): 20210596. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2021.0596
- Maslakova SA, Hiebert TC, Von Dassow G (2024) Nemertea. In: Atlas of Marine Invertebrate Larvae. Elsevier Science, United Kingkom, 691 pp.
- McDermott JJ (1997) Observations on feeding in a South African suctorial hoplonemertean, Nipponnemertes sp. (Family Cratenemertidae). Hydrobiologia 365(1/3): 251–256. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003114117397
- McDermott JJ (2001) Status of the Nemertea as prey in marine ecosystems. Hydrobiologia 456(1/3): 7–20. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013001729166
- McDermott JJ, Roe P (1985) Food, feeding behavior and feeding ecology of nemerteans. American Zoologist 25(1): 113–125. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/25.1.113
- Mendes CB, Delaney P, Turbeville JM, Hiebert T, Maslakova S (2021) Redescription of *Emplectonema viride* a ubiquitous intertidal hoplonemertean found along the West Coast of North America. ZooKeys 1031: 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1031.59361
- Moore J, Gibson R, Jones HD (2001) Terrestrial nemerteans thirty years on. Hydrobiologia 456(1/3): 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013052728257
- Mora C, Tittensor DP, Adl S, Simpson AGB, Worm B (2011) How many species are there on earth and in the ocean? PLoS Biology 9(8): 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001127
- Morris RH, Abbott DP, Haderlie EC (1980) Intertidal Invertebrates of California. Stanford University Press, Stanford.
- Nam S-E, Rhee J-S (2020) Characterization and phylogenetic analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of the marine ribbon worm *Cephalothrix* species (nemertea: Palaeonemertea). Mitochondrial DNA. Part B, Resources 5(2): 2012–2014. https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2020.1756967
- Norenburg JL (1993) *Riserius pugetensis* gen. n., sp. n. (Nemertina: Anopla), a new mesopsammic species, and comments on phylogenetics of some anoplan characters. In: Gibson R, Moore J, Sundberg P (Eds) Advances in Nemertean Biology. Developments in Hydrobiology. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 203–218. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-2052-4\_15
- O'Hara CC, Frazier M, Halpern BS (2021) At-risk marine biodiversity faces extensive, expanding, and intensifying human impacts. Science 372(6537): 84–87. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe6731
- Palumbi S, Martin A, Romano S, McMillan WO, Stice L, Grabowski G (1991) The simple fools guide to PCR Version 2.0. Department of Zoology Kewalo Marine Laboratory, University of Hawaii, Honolulu.
- Park T, Lee S-H, Sun S-C, Kajihara H (2019) Morphological and molecular study on *Yininemertes pratensis* (Nemertea, Pilidiophora, Heteronemertea) from the Han River Estuary, South Korea, and its phylogenetic position within the family Lineidae. ZooKeys 852: 31–51. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.852.32602
- Paule J, von Döhren J, Sagorny C, Nilsson MA (2021) Genome size dynamics in marine ribbon worms (Nemertea, Spiralia). Genes 12(9): 1347. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12091347
- Puillandre N, Brouillet S, Achaz G (2021) ASAP: Assemble species by automatic partitioning. Molecular Ecology Resources 21(2): 609–620. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13281
- Ristau DA, Tarp C, Hand C (1978) A survey of the biota of the open coast at Bodega Marine Life Refuge (area of special biological significance). A report to the California State Department of Fish and Game.

- Roe P, Wickham DE (1984) *Poseidonemertes collaris*, n. sp. (Nemertea Amphiporidae) from California, with notes on its biology. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 97: 60–70.
- Roe P, Norenburg JL, Maslakova S (2007) Nemertea. In: The Light and Smith Manual. University of California Press, 221–233. https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520930438-019
- Ruesink JL, Lenihan HS, Trimble AC, Heiman KW, Micheli F, Byers JE, Kay MC (2005) Introduction of non-native oysters: Ecosystem effects and restoration implications. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 36(1): 643–689. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.102003.152638
- Sagarin RD, Barry JP, Gilman SE, Baxter CH (1999) Climate-related change in an intertidal community over short and long time scales. Ecological Monographs 69(4): 465–490. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(1999)069[0465:CRCIAI]2.0.CO;2
- Sagorny C, Wesseler C, Krämer D, von Döhren J (2019) Assessing the diversity and distribution of *Cephalothrix* species (Nemertea: Palaeonemertea) in European waters by comparing different species delimitation methods. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 57(3): 497–519. https://doi.org/10.1111/jzs.12266
- Sanford E, Sones JL, García-Reyes M, Goddard JHR, Largier JL (2019) Widespread shifts in the coastal biota of northern California during the 2014–2016 marine heatwaves. Scientific Reports 9(1): 4216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40784-3
- Schwartz ML (2009) Untying a Gordian knot of worms: Systematics and taxonomy of the Pilidiophora (phylum Nemertea) from multiple data sets. Ph.D. The George Washington University. https://www.proquest.com/docview/288078184/abstract/23E2D19A677A40C5PQ/1 [October 3, 2023]
- Sorte CJB, Williams SL, Carlton JT (2010) Marine range shifts and species introductions: Comparative spread rates and community impacts. Global Ecology and Biogeography 19(3): 303–316. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00519.x
- Spalding MD, Fox HE, Allen GR, Davidson N, Ferdaña ZA, Finlayson M, Halpern BS, Jorge MA, Lombana A, Lourie SA, Martin KD, McManus E, Molnar J, Recchia CA, Robertson J (2007) Marine ecoregions of the world: A bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. Bioscience 57(7): 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1641/B570707
- Standing J, Speth JW, Browning BM (1975) The natural resources of Bodega Harbor. State of California, Dept. of Fish and Game, Sacramento, i, 183, [40 p.] pp. https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/007158184
- Stimpson W (1857) Prodromus descriptionis animalium evertebratorum, quae in Expeditione ad Oceanum Pacificum Septentrionalem, a Republica Federata missa, Cadwaladaro Ringgold et Johanne Rodgers Ducibus, observavit et descrips, Pars II, Turbellarieorum Nemertineorum. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 9. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.51447
- Stricker SA (1985) A new species of *Tetrastemma* (Nemertea, Monostilifera) from San Juan Island, Washington, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Zoology 63(3): 682–690. https://doi.org/10.1139/z85-098
- Stricker SA, Cavey MJ (1986) An ultrastructural study of spermatogenesis and the morphology of the testis in the nemertean worm *Tetrastemma phyllospadicola* (Nemertea, Hoplonemertea). Canadian Journal of Zoology 64(10): 2187–2202. https://doi.org/10.1139/z86-333
- Stricker SA, Folsom MW (1997) A comparative ultrastructural analysis of spermatogenesis in nemertean worms. Hydrobiologia 365(1/3): 55–72. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003186611254

- Stricker SA, Smythe TL, Miller L, Norenburg JL (2001) Comparative biology of oogenesis in nemertean worms. Acta Zoologica (Stockholm, Sweden) 82(3): 213–230. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1463-6395.2001.00080.x
- Sundberg P, Hylbom R (1994) Phylogeny of the Nemertean Subclass Palaeonemertea (Anopla, Nemertea). Cladistics 10(4): 347–402. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.1994.tb00185.x
- Sundberg P, Gibson R, Olsson U (2003) Phylogenetic analysis of a group of palaeone-merteans (Nemertea) including two new species from Queensland and the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Zoologica Scripta 32(3): 279–296. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1463-6409.2002.00032.x
- Sundberg P, Vodoti E, Zhou H, Strand M (2009) Polymorphism hides cryptic species in Oerstedia dorsalis (Nemertea, Hoplonemertea). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. Linnean Society of London 98(3): 556–567. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2009.01310.x
- Sundberg P, Kvist S, Strand M (2016) Evaluating the Utility of Single-Locus DNA Barcoding for the Identification of Ribbon Worms (Phylum Nemertea). PLoS ONE 11(5): e0155541. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155541
- Takakura U (1898) A classification of the nemerteans of the Misaki region. Zoological Magazine, Tokyo 10: 424–429.
- Tamura M (1957) A distributional study of some nemerteans of the Bodega Bay region. Zoology S112. [UC Berkeley Student Report]
- Thollesson M, Norenburg JL (2003) Ribbon worm relationships: a phylogeny of the phylum Nemertea. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 270: 407–415. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2254
- Turner AD, Fenwick D, Powell A, Dhanji-Rapkova M, Ford C, Hatfield RG, Santos A, Martinez-Urtaza J, Bean TP, Baker-Austin C, Stebbing P (2018) New invasive nemertean species (*Cephalothrix simula*) in England with high levels of tetrodotoxin and a microbiome linked to toxin metabolism. Marine Drugs 16(11): 452. https://doi.org/10.3390/md16110452
- Verdes A, Arias MB, Junoy J, Schwartz ML, Kajihara H (2021) Species delimitation and phylogenetic analyses reveal cryptic diversity within *Cerebratulus marginatus* (Nemertea: Pilidiophora). Systematics and Biodiversity 19(7): 895–905. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2021.1950231
- Verdes A, Taboada S, Hamilton BR, Undheim EAB, Sonoda GG, Andrade SCS, Morato E, Marina AI, Cárdenas CA, Riesgo A (2022) Evolution, expression patterns, and distribution of novel ribbon worm predatory and defensive toxins. Molecular Biology and Evolution 39(5): msac096. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msac096
- Verrill AE (1879) Notice of recent additions to the marine Invertebrata of the north-eastern coast of America, with descriptions of new genera and species and critical remarks on others. Part I. Annelida, Gephyraea, Nemertina, Nematoda, Polyzoa, Tunicata, Mollusca, Anthozoa, Echinodermata, Porifera. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 2(76): 165–205. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00963801.76.165
- von Dassow G, Mendes CB, Robbins K, Andrade SCS, Maslakova SA (2022) Hoplone-mertean larvae are planktonic predators that capture and devour active animal prey. Invertebrate Biology 141(1): e12363. https://doi.org/10.1111/ivb.12363
- Whelan NV, Kocot KM, Santos SR, Halanych KM (2014) Nemertean toxin genes revealed through transcriptome sequencing. Genome Biology and Evolution 6(12): 3314–3325. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu258

WoRMS Editorial Board (2023). World Register of Marine Species. https://doi. org/10.14284/170

Zattara EE, Fernández-Álvarez FA, Hiebert TC, Bely AE, Norenburg JL (2019) A phylum-wide survey reveals multiple independent gains of head regeneration in Nemertea. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 286: 20182524. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2524

# **Supplementary material 1**

# Reference sequences used in species delimitation (ASAP), alignments, and trees

Authors: Christina I. Ellison, Madeline R. Frey, Eric Sanford, Svetlana Maslakova Data type: xlsx

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1204.117869.suppl1