

RESEARCH ARTICLE | JUNE 04 2024

A new portable penetrometer for measuring the viscosity of active lava

M. A. Harris   ; S. Kolzenburg  ; I. Sonder  ; M. O. Chevrel 



Rev. Sci. Instrum. 95, 065103 (2024)

<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0206776>



APL Energy
Latest Articles Online!

Read Now

A new portable penetrometer for measuring the viscosity of active lava

Cite as: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 95, 065103 (2024); doi: 10.1063/5.0206776

Submitted: 4 March 2024 • Accepted: 23 April 2024 •

Published Online: 4 June 2024



M. A. Harris,^{1,a)}  S. Kolzenburg,¹  I. Sonder,¹  and M. O. Chevrel^{1,2,3,4} 

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Department of Geology, University at Buffalo, 126 Cooke Hall, Buffalo, New York 14260-4130, USA

² Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Université Clermont Auvergne, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

³ Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, CNRS, Université Paris Cité, 75005 Paris, France

⁴ Observatoire Volcanologique du Piton de la Fournaise, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, 97418 La Plaine des Cafres, France

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: martin.a.harris95@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Viscosity is a fundamental physical property of lava that dictates style and rate of effusive transport. Studies of lava viscosity have predominantly focused on measuring re-melted rocks in the laboratory. While these measurements are well-constrained in temperature, shear rate, and oxygen fugacity, they cannot reproduce the complexities of the natural emplacement environment. Field viscosity measurements of active lava are the only way to fully capture lava's properties, but such measurements are scarce, largely due to a lack of easy-to-use, portable, and accurate measurement devices. Thus, there is a need for developing suitable field instruments to help bolster the understanding of lava. Here, we present a new penetrometer capable of measuring a material's viscosity under the harsh conditions of natural lava emplacement. This device uses a stainless-steel tube with a semi-spherical tip fixed to a load cell that records axial force when pushed into a material, while simultaneously measuring the penetration depth via a free-moving tube that is pushed backward along the penetration tube. The device is portable (1.5 m long, 5.5 kg in weight) and uses a single-board computer for data acquisition. The penetrometer has an operational range from 2.5×10^2 to 2.1×10^5 Pa s and was calibrated for viscosities ranging from 5.0×10^2 to 1.6×10^5 Pa s. It was deployed to the 2023 Litli-Hrútur eruption in Iceland. These field measurements successfully recorded the *in situ* viscosities of the lava in the range of 1.2×10^4 – 3.4×10^4 Pa s, showcasing it as an efficient method of measuring natural lava viscosity.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0206776>

I. INTRODUCTION

For magmas, viscosity is a fundamental physical property that greatly influences their transport and emplacement, such as ascent rates, effusion rates, and flow rates (Hon *et al.*, 2003; Kauahikaua *et al.*, 2003; and Cashman *et al.*, 2013). In volcanic processes, the viscosity of silicate melts can vary from $\sim 10^1$ to 10^{12} Pa s. This wide range in viscosity is predominantly due to variations in the composition, temperature, volume of suspended solids, and exsolved fluid or gas phases. Since lavas are three-phase mixtures containing melt, bubbles, and crystals, their bulk rheology is directly linked to the volume fraction of each phase (Pinkerton and Stevenson, 1992; Harris and Allen, 2008; Mader *et al.*, 2013; and Kolzenburg *et al.*, 2022).

There have been numerous experimental, empirical, and analog investigations of lava rheology that study different phase proportions in the mixture. This includes single-phase melts (Shaw, 1969; Hess and Dingwell, 1996; Giordano and Dingwell, 2003; Giordano *et al.*, 2008; and Hobiger *et al.*, 2011), two-phase (melt + crystal) mixtures (Marsh, 1981; Pinkerton and Stevenson, 1992; Sato, 2005; Chevrel *et al.*, 2015; Moitra and Gonnermann, 2015; and Kolzenburg *et al.*, 2019), and two-phase (melt + bubble) mixtures (Llewellyn *et al.*, 2002; Rust and Manga, 2002; and Truby *et al.*, 2015). For high-viscosity rocks (felsic), several studies successfully investigated the rheological properties with three phases (melt + liquid + gas) (Hess *et al.*, 2007; Avard and Whittington, 2012; Pistone *et al.*, 2013; Heap *et al.*, 2014; and Dobson *et al.*, 2020). However, due to the time it takes to conduct experimental measurements on melted

low-viscosity rocks (mafic) at atmospheric pressure in the laboratory, all the volatiles are released. Thus, currently, the only method capable of measuring natural three-phase mafic lava viscosities is to perform *in situ* measurements on active flows [e.g., [Chevrel et al. \(2018a; 2019; and 2023\)](#)].

To measure high-temperature lava properties on active volcanoes, it is essential to have instruments that can withstand the temperatures present in natural lava flows, are portable, and acquire data swiftly and accurately. These considerations have guided the development of the instrument presented here. This new lava penetrometer has undergone comprehensive testing in the laboratory and has gone beyond a proof-of-concept with its use at an active volcanic eruption in Iceland and shows durability and accurate results across a range of viscosities from 10^2 to 10^5 Pa s. Here, we present in detail the background, procedure, and capacity of this novel instrument for measuring natural high-temperature lava viscosities.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Field viscometry of lava

Approaching active lava and conducting measurements require bespoke instruments that can withstand extreme conditions and yield accurate data. As a result, there are only twelve published attempts at measuring active lava rheology ([Einarsson, 1949; 1966; Shaw et al., 1968; Gauthier, 1973; Pinkerton and Sparks, 1978; Panov et al., 1988; Keszthelyi, 1994; Pinkerton et al., 1995b; 1995a; Pinkerton and Norton, 1995; Belousov and Belousova, 2018; and Chevrel et al., 2018a; 2019](#)).

Direct measurements of lava viscosity date back to 1949 ([Einarsson, 1949](#)). Early field studies used crude instruments such as metal rods pushed into lava using body weight, while later studies used motor-driven devices with electronic data acquisition systems. Prior work on field viscometers shows that the results can be extremely useful in characterizing complex natural lava rheology. For a more elaborate review, see [Chevrel et al. \(2019\)](#) and the references therein.

Two categories of devices have proven useful in field rheology: first, a penetration type, which records the force needed to push a defined geometric shape into the lava, and, second, a rotational viscometer, which records the torque and rotational speed of a shear vane immersed in the lava ([Chevrel et al., 2019](#)). There are advantages and disadvantages to both methods, but overall, the rotational viscometers are effective at low-viscosity ranges ($\sim 10^2$ – 10^4 Pa s), whereas the prior penetrometers have been used in higher viscosity materials ($\sim 10^3$ – 10^6 Pa s). Recent advances in rotational field viscometers are detailed in [Chevrel et al. \(2023\)](#).

B. Penetrometers

Penetrometers are scientific and industrial tools that have broad applications. The overall concept of all penetrometers is to push a fixed geometric shape into a desired medium and record the amount of force exerted to penetrate at a given rate or to a defined depth. The shapes of the penetration head range from rounded, squared (or flat), conical, concave, and even mesh-webbing. Within soil science, hand-held penetrometers are commonly conically tipped and used to assess soil strength properties, often as a measure of impulse

(a change in momentum as force \times time), which, in turn, have implications for water content, soil density, drainage capacity, and root growth availability ([Herrick and Jones, 2002; De Moraes et al., 2014; and Kirkham, 2014](#)). The agricultural penetrometers can be manually operated as static drivers pushed by an operator into the soil, or driven with hydraulic pressure, and readouts are made with a load cell and/or strain gauge. Other approaches include dynamic methods that use the kinetic energy of known, freefalling masses to drive a penetrating device into the soil ([Herrick and Jones, 2002](#)). Dynamic cone penetrometers are also widely used in road and infrastructure engineering, where the strength of the pavement is relevant to road construction and maintenance ([Boutet et al., 2011](#)). Likewise, concrete condition-assessing penetrometers are used to monitor the degradation of sewer systems that may need restoration ([Hall et al., 2022](#)). In addition, portable penetrometers are also developed by snow scientists that investigate the strength of snowpack layers ([Schneebeli and Johnson, 1998; Floyer and Jamieson, 2010](#)). Often, these snow penetrometers are capable of measuring depths up to 1.5 m and are controlled via step motors or manually guided through a platform into a snow layer of interest. Finally, industrial penetrometers are used for food quality control for rheological consistency or overall material strength ([Tanaka et al., 1971; 1972; Dubbelboer et al., 2018; and Jantra et al., 2018](#)).

While many penetrometers already exist to measure a material's properties in the field, they do not meet several criteria for *in situ* measurements of lava (i.e., a molten rock at a temperature above 1000 °C). The materials for such instruments need to be constructed out of high-temperature resistive metals (e.g., stainless steel). A lava penetrometer needs to be long enough to safely reach and penetrate lava without the operator getting exposed to excessive heat (i.e., 1.5–2 m). Portability is also essential, as active lava environments are dynamic and volcanoes are hard to predict. Thus, a lava penetrometer must be light so that it can be carried and moved swiftly by one person if needed. The measurement procedure must also be efficient to limit exposure to high temperatures, reduced oxygen conditions, noxious/acid gases, and dusty environments. Therefore, self-contained electronic sensors are preferred and the use of external apparatus to set up the measurements like a stand, tripod, or fixed rig is suboptimal. Finally, while many other penetrometers focus on the force-derived strength of compressible solids (i.e., soil), for lava investigations, we seek the viscosity (i.e., strain–rate–stress relationship) of an incompressible liquid. Thus, simultaneous acquisition of force and displacement rate is needed (see Sec. VI). These criteria have led some past volcanologists to design lava penetrometers for field use ([Einarsson, 1949; Pinkerton and Sparks, 1978; Panov et al., 1988; Belousov and Belousova, 2018; and Gauthier, 1973](#)). A summary of the three main types of lava penetrometers used in field studies is presented below.

- (1) A simple rod with a semi-spherical head is inserted into lava, and viscosity is estimated from the force used to insert at a given rate ([Einarsson, 1949; Pinkerton and Sparks, 1978; Panov et al., 1988; and Belousov and Belousova, 2018](#)). This method relies on the assumption that the potential effect of lava sticking to the rod is negligible and thus calculates viscous drag based on Stokes' law (i.e., falling sphere viscometry).

- (2) A “ballistic” spear is shot at high (presumed constant) speed into lava. The viscosity is determined via calibration of the depth of penetration in known standard liquids. [Gauthier \(1973\)](#) employed this method on lavas from Mount Etna in 1971, to overcome limitations that simple penetrometers experience as the lava cools around it during slow penetration. However, this method encounters major limitations as it penetrates the outer crust before reaching the interior lava. The distance of penetration depends on the combined resistance forces caused by the outer crust and interior lavas. The result is an average viscosity measurement over the viscosity gradient from the outer, cooler, almost solid crust to the hot, molten liquid ([Gauthier, 1973](#)). In addition, the path of the arrow before penetration varies every time, so the kinetic energy available for penetration varies, making the standard liquid calibration unprecise. Finally, it is unclear whether the strain rates induced in the crust allow the lava to remain in the viscous relaxation field or whether it pushes it into the elastic regime ([Alidibirov and Dingwell, 1996](#)).
- (3) An encased spring-loaded, piston-driven device, deployed by [Pinkerton and Sparks \(1978\)](#) on Etnan lavas. The device is preheated and inserted through the crust before the piston is activated, thereby overcoming the crust-forming limitations of the prior two device types. However, the use of a piston device still encounters issues as the spring does not fully expand all the way each time, which yields inconsistencies in the measurements ([Pinkerton, 1978](#)). In addition, the casing for the piston introduces a large volume of metal within the lava, thus increasing the likelihood of large-scale quenching around the inserted device and thereby influencing the rheological properties in the localized sampling area. Furthermore, the force is dictated by the spring, and, thus, the range of accessible viscosities is predetermined and low. Finally, the maximum possible piston penetration is ~ 9 cm, thus limiting the measurement within that restricted depth ([Pinkerton, 1978](#)).

To summarize, prior penetration-type devices have employed various techniques that aim to inform on the rheological properties of natural lava. However, some methods have resulted in only qualitative data that are difficult to pair with higher precision results obtained from rheological studies in the laboratory (e.g., [Einarsson, 1949](#); [Gauthier, 1973](#)), and others were not suitable (or calibrated) for a wide range of lava viscosities (e.g., [Pinkerton and Sparks, 1978](#); [Panov et al., 1988](#); and [Belousov and Belousova, 2018](#)). In addition, none of the prior devices can precisely characterize lava crust thickness because their force and displacement determinations have remained decoupled, posing a limitation on measuring the full viscous gradient of cooling lava. Finally, the combination of field rheology and textural characterization that is required to tie rheological parameters to the textural state of the multiphase suspension is absent for field-penetrometer measurements.

The presented penetrometer instrument aims to fill these gaps with a particular focus on the following:

1. Optimization of the instrument’s design and sensors to work best for the expected (apparent) viscosity range for mafic lavas (i.e., 10^2 – 10^5 Pa s during *in situ* viscosity measurements at active lava flows). This requires simultaneous recording of force and displacement during penetration.
2. Improvement in the ease of operation and mobility so that such a device can be easily deployed in the field with a high success rate of accurate lava viscosity measurement.
3. The use of standard mechanical and electronic parts and sensors, which are available in large enough numbers, so that costs can be lowered and individually replaced with an alternative if necessary.
4. Extensive testing and calibration of each instrument variant (penetrator geometry) such that results can be compared with datasets generated in high-temperature rotational viscometry-based lab-scale measurements, which typically work with remelted materials.
5. Open availability of all parts and plans of the instrument’s design and data acquisition code.

III. INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

The goal of our penetrometer device is to measure the force needed to penetrate a viscous lava at a given rate (distance over time). To measure the penetration force, we mount a force gauge between a base element made of aluminum and a long tube made of stainless steel, the “penetration tube” (19 mm diameter, 1.65 mm wall thickness, 150 cm long) [Fig. 1(a)]. The penetration tip, a stainless-steel hemisphere (38.1 mm diameter), is mounted onto the end of this tube. In theory, other tip geometric shapes and sizes could be used but would require a separate set of calibrations. The penetration tube was chosen over a rod to reduce the device’s weight but retain rigidity, and the tube also allows the steel tip to be slotted within or exchanged if it becomes damaged. This tip is tapered with rounded edges on the back side (opposite to the direction of penetration) to aid the extraction process when the measurement is complete. The overall diameter of the tip is greater than that of the penetration tube, thus eliminating the effect of friction of lava as the penetration tube moves into the medium. Linear bearings restrict the possible motion of the penetration tube to the force sensor’s relevant axis and fix it to the base element [Fig. 1(b)]. The linear bearings for the penetration tube were selected to optimize stability, not for maximum travel speed. We use commercial hand-held size force gauges with three interchangeable options: capable of reading forces up to 1000, 500, and 100 N, respectively. The reported accuracy for each gauge was ± 2.0 , ± 1.0 , and ± 0.2 N, respectively. The desired gauge is selected based on the expected viscosity range that is encountered in the field to facilitate the most sensitive and accurate measurement. The force gauge is wired to a Raspberry Pi [or equivalent single-board computer (SBC)] through a universal serial bus (USB) serial port.

To measure displacement, a lightweight tube, the “displacement tube,” is mounted onto the penetration tube, also using linear bearings [Fig. 1(a)]. These bearings were selected to support high travel speeds at low friction. Testing showed that for the targeted viscosity ranges, these friction forces are negligible to the acting penetration force. A reflecting metal disk is mounted onto the displacement tube (with a slotted bottom to fit around the diameter of the penetration tube) and acts as a target for the laser time of flight distance sensor, which is fixed to the base element




FIG. 1. Computer-aided design (CAD) rendering of the new penetrometer device for rheology measurements. (a) Simple profile views that highlight the two main components: (1) the penetration tube and (2) the displacement tube, with the relative motion of the displacement tube shown. (b) An exploded view of the major components of the penetrometer. Drawings of all individual custom machined parts with detailed specifications can be found in Fig. S1 of the supplementary material. CAD image rendering done by Thomas Brachmann (UB CAS machine shop technician), at a scale of 1:7.

[Fig. 1(b)]. As the penetration tube is pushed into the lava, the displacement tube, free-floating in the low friction bearings, and capped with a flat steel washer (for increased surface area to avoid penetration into the lava), is simultaneously displaced backward to the penetration depth. This motion brings the reflective target toward the distance sensor that registers displacement within the range of 50–400 (± 5) mm and is connected to the SBC via inter-integrated circuit (I2C) pins.

Both force and displacement sensors are read simultaneously every 100 ms. The raw data are converted to Unicode (UTF-8) and International System of Units for force (N) and displacement (mm) and then written to permanent storage (SD card) of the SBC in a CSV-like (comma-separated values) format. The program uses the SBC's real-time clock to control the main data acquisition loop. Sensor check and acquisition control are realized with robust flip switches, which can be handled in a rough environment (see Sec. S1 of the supplementary material for details).

The force gauge, electrical box, penetration tube, and displacement tube all rest on a stabilizing slotted extruded aluminum profile [Fig. 1(b)]. The mass of the penetration tube exerts a constant force on the force gauge, which depends on the angle to the vertical axis (zero in the horizontal position and maximal in the vertical

position). Therefore, the force gauge can be tarred quickly at any angle, and measurements do not need to be done only horizontally or vertically. It does, however, require the operator in the field to maintain that angle. Random deviations from the angle, for example, in rough field environments manifest themselves as analog noise in the force signal. Below described field tests show, however, that this is a manageable problem.

Handles are attached within the slots of the stabilizing bar and adjusted to positions that are comfortable for the operator's arm's length. We find that fixing one handle pointing down from the stabilizing bar and one pointing out on the side offers optimal control and ease of use, yet the choice can be made by each individual.

At 150 cm, the penetrometer is a relatively long hand-operated instrument. To ease transport, it can be disassembled into smaller pieces. Along the final third of the penetration tube and displacement tube (region closest to the penetrometer tip), we have engineered quick-release junctions held with steel cotter pins [Fig. 1(b)]. The disassembly also has an aspect related to safety: Although lava flows may not be the fastest known phenomenon of volcanic eruptions, the processes involved are not controllable in any way by humans and the flow progress is still hard to predict. It could, therefore, become necessary to leave the measurement location quickly.

If the penetrometer gets stuck in cooling lava, that would mean having to decide in seconds whether or not to leave the whole instrument. The ability to sacrifice only part of the instrument by quickly removing it supports safe decision making in the field.

The entire suite of electronic sensors is powered with a portable power bank fixed to an electrical box. Section S1 of the supplementary material contains the full list of electronic parts, and detailed custom-machined parts can be found on the online repository (see the link below).

The rough application environment and measurement durations of 2–15 s make a fragile graphical computer screen-like display the wrong choice for this instrument. Instead, we chose to install a bright LED based 4-digit 7-segment display, which informs the user with pre-defined messages about the current state and potentially not working sensors. This choice reduces the SBC's power consumption and increases the battery life (see Sec. S1 of the supplementary material for more details). It also means that any data visualization, even for quality check only, must be done in a postprocessing step. We created a set of Python scripts that help quickly visualize and run a standardized analysis on raw sensor records (see below). These are available together with the penetrometer software code at https://github.com/LAVAPUBMH/Lava_Field_Penetrometer.

IV. DATA PROCESSING AND CALIBRATION

Both sets of programs, for data acquisition and data analysis (see the online repository for most current versions), were written in the Python scripting language because the resulting code is relatively easy to understand for most users (e.g., students, researchers, and scientists who are not computer scientists), while it also runs on the somewhat less performant hardware of an SBC. Both programs use the NumPy library (Harris *et al.*, 2020); the data acquisition program further depends on several smaller libraries that enable communication with the sensors; the data analysis program uses Matplotlib (Hunter, 2007) for data visualization and user interaction. All the dependencies are published under open-source licenses.

We have created a data processing procedure with Python codes that allow standard data analysis (i.e., retrieval of speed and force as well as the viscosity, for a person without programming knowledge). Raw signals are plotted against time in a graphical user interface (GUI). The relevant time window can then be selected using the pointer/mouse, which is realized with Matplotlib's "SpanSelector" object. The relevant time window for viscosity measurement is a plateau of stable (i.e., constant or flat) force and negative, constant displacement slope [Fig. 2(a)]. The SpanSelector can be used as many times as necessary to extract the desired data [Fig. 2(b)]. Ultimately, once the user is satisfied with the specific portion of the penetrometer run selection, the code calculates the mean or moving-averaged (depending on user preference) force (N) and displacement rate (m/s) [e.g., Fig. 2(c)], which, in turn, can be used to calculate the viscosity using Eq. (1) or Eq. (2) (see Sec. VI). The viscosity can also be processed with a moving average or left as raw calibrated values. Depending on the material that is measured, the operator may wish to smooth the viscosity signal or not. Both options are available in the processing code. Ultimately, the user can specify in the code what size window is desired to run the smoothing moving average function. All these codes are available at https://github.com/LAVAPUBMH/Lava_Field_Penetrometer.

The current penetrometer has undergone calibration and testing in three analog materials. One Newtonian viscosity standard oil, Cannon N190000, and two non-Newtonian materials, tryptone (a casein-derived protein gel) and SillyPuttyTM. First, we measured all three materials with an Anton Paar concentric cylinder rheometer calibrated with Cannon Oils certified (No. 1262.01) by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The concentric cylinder rheometer is equipped with a water bath casing that allows for temperature-controlled viscosity measurements between 2 and 60 °C. The volume of the analog material in the concentric cylinder was ~30 ml in each case. We developed temperature-dependent viscosity models for each of the three materials from the concentric cylinder data conducted at constant temperatures and used these models to interpolate target viscosities for the penetrometer calibration (Figs. 3 and S2). For this, we use a Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann (VFT) (Vogel, 1921; Fulcher, 1925) equation [i.e., $\eta = \eta_0 \exp(-B/(T - T_0))$] to describe best the temperature dependence of the measured internal standards. A fit of the models to measured viscosities yielded correlation coefficients, $R^2 = 0.999$, 0.999 , and 0.997 for N190000, tryptone, and SillyPutty, respectively (see S2). Calibration measurements were conducted at shear rates where non-Newtonian behavior was negligible (i.e., no shear thinning, or other such effects detected). For N190000, the shear rate range was $0.555\text{--}6.940\text{ s}^{-1}$; for tryptone the shear rate range was $0.027\text{--}0.055\text{ s}^{-1}$; and for SillyPutty, the shear rate range was $0.014\text{--}0.033\text{ s}^{-1}$.

We then conducted measurements using our penetrometer for each of the three analog materials across a temperature range of ~12–45 °C. The temperatures were controlled with a heating and cooling system plumbed into a 20 l water bath. Within the water bath, we placed the respective analog materials (~1.5 l of N190000, ~6 l of tryptone, and ~8 l of SillyPutty) in glass beakers. Tryptone and SillyPutty were measured in 10 l beakers with diameters of 225 mm, and the N190000 oil was measured in a 2 l beaker with a diameter of 130 mm. The penetrometer tip is 38.1 mm in diameter. The effects of a finite container size can be neglected if the beaker radius to sphere radius >5 (Macosko, 1994). For tryptone and SillyPutty, the relationship of the beaker to the ball radius is $112/19 = 5.9$. For N190000, the ratio is less than 5 ($67.5/19 = 3.6$); however, our recovered viscosity values are within acceptable uncertainty to the known values of the standard oil (see below Sec. VI and Fig. 3). We, hence, deem any wall effect quite negligible. Before each measurement, we ensured that the material had reached thermal equilibrium with the water bath by probing the material with a PT100 thermoprobe ($\pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$).

In the studies of Panov *et al.* (1988) and Belousov and Belousova (2018), assumptions were made based on the hemispherical tip of the penetrometer to use a modified version of Stokes' law [Eq. (1)] for viscosity derivation as

$$\eta = \frac{F}{3\pi v R_{\text{eff}}}, \quad (1)$$

where η is viscosity, F is the force of penetration, v is the speed of penetration, and R_{eff} is the effective radius of the rod. In past studies, force is typically recorded by a hand gauge, and velocity is measured by recording the time needed for penetration of the rod to a given depth (using video analysis or stopwatches), or simply timing the immersion between marked intervals on the rod




FIG. 2. Examples of data recorded from penetrometer during testing with SillyPutty. (a) Full record of raw data from the output text file, with time on the x-axis, force (N) on the y-axis (left), and displacement (mm) on the y-axis (right). The data recorded by the force gauge are shown in black, and the data recorded by the distance sensor are shown in blue. The gray field is the area of data selection shown in (b). (b) Extracted raw data from the selected portion (gray) in subplot (a). (c) The data shown here are processed after a moving average function (window of five) that smooths some of the sensor noise. These data are then used to calculate the changing force with displacement (velocity) throughout the measurement. These values of force and velocity are used to calculate the viscosity of the measured material (here SillyPutty).

manually (Panov *et al.*, 1988; Belousov and Belousova, 2018). Although both Panov *et al.* (1988) and Belousov and Belousova (2018) recovered rheological values in the expected range of basaltic lava, their data reduction approach [Eq. (1)] was purely based on assumptions that a hemisphere could be dealt with by halving a factor related to sphere size in the viscous drag formula (i.e., 3π) and was not tested for validity with analog materials or calibration of any sort. We initially processed all the penetrometer data using Eq. (1). However, the results from all three analog materials had poor fits with the known viscosity values of our reference materials (Fig. 3). We then applied the unmodified Stokes' law [Eq. (2)] and recovered a better fit to the model curve (Fig. 3),

$$\eta = \frac{F}{6\pi\nu R_{\text{eff}}}. \quad (2)$$

Yet, Stokes' law [Eq. (2)] also results in an inaccurate determination of the measured material's viscosity, as there was still a significant deviation from the known viscosities, particularly N190000 ($124\% \pm 32\%$ Pa s) and SillyPutty ($14\% \pm 7\%$ Pa s) (Fig. 3). This is likely since the penetrating geometry is a hemisphere and not a full sphere, and thus, any drag effects on the back side of the sphere that the Stokes' law treatment relies on are absent.

We then looked to an alternative calibration procedure that modifies Stokes' law *in lieu* of an empirical, force-to-penetration speed ratio (N s/m) relationship to known viscosity. This method




FIG. 3. Plots showing the temperature-dependent viscosity of N190000 (a), tryptone (b), and SillyPutty (c). The data measured with a concentric cylinder rheometer are shown with triangles and a VFT fit to the data as a dashed line. The values recovered from the penetrometer data following the calibration procedure detailed in the text are shown with gray circle symbols. * Certified viscosity values for N190000 as given by Cannon®, shown as squares. ** Viscosities derived from modified Stoke's law [Eq. (1)], shown as cross symbols. *** Viscosities derived from Stoke's law [Eq. (2)], shown as plus symbols. Individual points are larger than the uncertainty.

replaces the theoretically derived geometric constraints from a falling sphere and instead uses the signals of the force gauge and distance sensor and compares them with the viscosities of calibration materials measured in a standard concentric cylinder setup. Our results show that the force-to-displacement rate ratios (F/ν) obtained with the penetrometer from the three calibration materials have linear relationships to the known viscosities of the respective materials. Thus, for the calibration, the basic assumption of a linear dependency between η and F/ν is formulated as follows:

$$\eta = \frac{F}{\nu} m + b, \quad (3)$$

where the slope m replaces the fixed geometry factor $1/6\pi R$ in Eq. (2) and the offset b compensates the instrument threshold. The calibration was then done by fitting Eq. (3) to measured F/ν values and reporting parameters m and b (Table I). We do not apply any correction for buoyancy forces in this procedure as the expected maximum effect lies around 0.14 N for the lighter calibration oil (N190000) and 0.42 N for non-porous, molten lava (see Sec. S3 of the supplementary material). These values are well below the sensitivities of the deployed force gauges of 1–3 N.

We choose to employ linear regressions with an offset from the origin, b , in Eq. (3), as they yield the best fit with the lowest deviation from the known viscosities. The offset is not caused by the calibration material behavior or the geometrical setup (liquid's container size or penetration head diameter). It is likely caused by the penetration rod linear bearings (low friction), which stabilize the relatively long instrument assembly. The results show that calibration turned out to be best when divided into two ranges separated by a force-to-rate ratio threshold $F/\nu = 2 \times 10^3$ N s/m (Table I). All values above this threshold (as obtained for tryptone and SillyPutty) are treated as high F/ν . The values equal to or below the threshold are treated as low F/ν (obtained from N190000). The calibration shows that the instrument is validated only for $F/\nu > 500$ N s/m, and the values below this point are below the current instrument sensitivity.

Furthermore, the measured signals of force and displacement contain random noise, and thus, the calculated viscosities, as given by Eq. (3), are sensitive to such noise. To address this factor, we smooth the data with a moving average function that does not touch the time base. For a series of discrete values A_i measured at evenly timed times, t_i , the average is

$$\bar{A}_i = \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{k=i-N}^{i+N} A_k. \quad (4)$$

Here, A can be a penetration force, displacement, or calibrated viscosity and N is an integer that determines the size of the averaging

TABLE I. Calibration constants for the two F/ν ranges.

	F/ν (N s/m)	m (1/m)	b (Pa s)
Low	$\leq 2 \times 10^3$	2.5	−1112
High	$> 2 \times 10^3$	3.1	−1687




FIG. 4. Plots showing measured viscosity values with the new penetrometer vs the known viscosity of the material for three different analog materials. Here, the measured values are derived from the penetrometer sensor data with the calibration factors applied. The known values are from NIST traceable standards and traceable internal reference materials. (a) The full range of three analog materials. (b) SillyPutty. (c) Tryptone. (d) N190000 Oil. The dashed line gives the 1:1 relationship. Error bars are 2σ .

window, $2N + 1$. The averaging window must have an odd sample number so that it is centered around the index i . The moving averages [Eq. (4)] were computed for the force and displacement signals. The velocity, v , was computed as the negative time derivative of the averaged distance, \bar{D} : $v = -d\bar{D}/dt$ (see Sec. S4 of the supplementary material for details). The time derivative was approximated with the central difference scheme. The negative derivative is necessary because the distance (from the displacement sensor) decreases at a positive speed during measurement.

Overall, the calibrated values lead to smaller deviations than the Stoke's law method (Fig. 3). We find that the calibrated results of the N190000 oil viscosity range have a mean deviation from the known viscosity of $9\% \pm 6\%$ (number of measurements, $n = 10$). The calibrated results of the tryptone and SillyPutty viscosity range have a mean deviation from the known viscosity of $4\% \pm 4\%$ ($n = 19$) and $7\% \pm 3\%$ ($n = 10$), respectively.

The penetrometer, when calibrated as outlined above, can accurately measure the viscosities of materials from 5.0×10^2 to 1.6×10^5 Pa s (Fig. 4). A catalog of our measured, known, and calibrated viscosities for the three analog materials can be found in Sec. S2 of the supplementary material. To accurately use Eq. (3) to determine viscosity with this penetrometer, it is essential for each different force gauge or distance sensor to undergo this calibration procedure.

V. MEASUREMENTS OF ACTIVE LAVA: ICELAND 2023

The field instrument we present here is made for *in situ* rheological characterization of a high-temperature material, i.e., active lava flows. To assess the effectiveness of the newly developed penetrometer device, we tested it at an active volcanic eruption. For this, we performed measurements on a slowly moving 'A'ā lava front of the Litli-Hrútur 2023 eruption on the Reykjanes Peninsula in Iceland (Fig. 5).

For field measurements, the ideal configuration involved two scientists: One carried and operated the field viscometer, and the other assisted in watching out with a water bucket for quenching the lava if needed. Before any measurement, we rested the penetrometer tip near the incandescent lava to pre-heat the metal to avoid lava quenching on the instrument [Fig. 5(c)]. In a natural context, when the molten lava is exposed to the air, it forms a cooler outer crust. 'A'ā lavas are blocky masses that periodically collapse and break apart as they advance over time. The access to interior molten melt was not always successful, and some measurements encountered impermeable crust or bumped into obscured fragments of crust within the interior of the lava. Therefore, we repeated measurements across a small section of exposed lava [30 cm window, Fig. 5(c)] to assess the reproducibility of the potentially heterogeneous lava. This type of dynamic measurement technique that acquires force and




FIG. 5. Map of 2023 Litli-Hrútur eruption in Iceland and view of the field usage of the penetrometer. The black vertical arrows denote north. (a) Regional setting of the eruption within the southwest Reykjanes Peninsula. The red triangle denotes the vent location. Rift zones and ice caps are shown in dark and light gray, respectively. (b) Map showing the extent of the lava flow field from the Litli-Hrútur eruption on July 25, 2023. (c) Field usage of the penetrometer; the operator is 1.88 m tall for scale.

displacement simultaneously within a heterogeneous lava area is only possible with the device presented here.

To start the measurement, we would focus on areas that had molten material available and where the displacement tube could rest on an outer portion of the cooled crust [Fig. 6(a)]. When needed, we removed the cooler crust using a hammer or metal pole, so we could directly access the molten interior. The operator would steadily push the penetrometer into the lava for ~ 20 cm depth, over ~ 2 – 15 s [e.g., Figs. 6(b) and 6(c)]. Once the maximum displacement was reached, the penetrometer was extracted from the lava, typically leaving a hole the diameter of the stainless-steel tip for a matter of seconds [e.g., Fig. 6(d)]. If any lava had stuck to the penetrometer during extraction, it would be collected from the quench bucket and cataloged as field samples linked to the specific measurement. In addition, thermal readings were conducted just after the viscosity

measurements at the same site using K-type thermocouples (± 1 °C). The quenched lava samples were cataloged and brought back for textural and chemical analyses (see Sec. S5 of the supplementary material) and for further geological studies. Despite the extreme conditions of temperatures up to ~ 1200 °C and corrosive gases (e.g., H_2S), there was no degradation of any mechanical parts of the penetrometer, including the repeatedly immersed penetrometer tube and tip.

Natural lava is much more heterogeneous than analog materials and prepared, re-melted field samples used in the laboratory. Erupting lava often has viscosity gradients caused by crust formation, temperature gradients, or channelized flow fields. These changes in viscosity can be measured with the penetrometer in a single run if such changes are continuous and relatively slow such that the steady-state assumption of Stoke's law is approximately satisfied. Slow in




FIG. 6. A close view of the progression of the lava penetrometer used in high-temperature lava. (a)–(c) Progression of the hemisphere entering the lava while the displacement rod rests on the exterior crust. (d) The hole left in the lava after penetrometer extraction with a diameter of the penetrometer tip.

this context means that the change of viscosity causes either a change of measured force or penetration speed. None of these changes must be caused by a “sudden” change in the driving force (here the operator). Furthermore, the viscosity gradient cannot be large enough to cause changes in inertial forces around the penetrometer head. Overall, these conditions are typically satisfied if both measured displacement and force profiles are smooth without major bumps.

In total, we performed nine penetrometer measurements within the 15 m wide and 3 m tall lava front, and the results are shown in Fig. 7. Runs 1–2 were done back-to-back, followed by a break of ~30 min before runs 3–5 were conducted. Runs 6–9 were done 25 min later, and just after a large block collapsed from the ‘A’ pile exposing a ~1.5 m window of molten interior. The overall range of viscosities recovered from the measurements spanned 1.2×10^4 – 11.0×10^4 Pa s, with penetration depths between ~0.14 and 0.15 m [Fig. 7(a)]. The viscosities shown with depth [Fig. 7(a)] were processed with a moving average [Eq. (4)] window of nine. We observe in runs 2–4 heterogeneous viscosities with depth, with initially high and/or fluctuating viscosities, followed by a rapid decrease and an eventual flattening of values toward the deeper parts of the measurements. We interpret this as penetration through varying thicknesses of cooler crust and eventually reaching the molten interior indicated by the latter stable viscosity readings. In contrast, the viscosities from the other measurements do not record penetration of highly variable crust (e.g., lava was freshly exposed after a block collapsed) and, instead, remain stable across the penetration [Fig. 7(a)]. This highlights the ability of this new device to accurately characterize spatial variations in viscosity over the penetration distance—a capability that no previous instrument that was used on active lavas had. The temperatures of the molten interior revealed that the earlier and later runs (runs 1–2

and runs 6–9) had slightly higher temperatures than the middle runs (runs 3–5).

We investigated the temperature-specific viscosity of each run by only processing the stable viscosity regions from Fig. 7(a), as these are the areas that best represent the molten interior. We find that the viscosity for the Iceland lavas at this location ranges from 1.2×10^4 Pa s at 1152°C , to 1.8×10^4 Pa s at 1150°C , and to 3.4×10^4 Pa s at 1148°C [Fig. 7(b)]. Overall, the ranges of the ‘A’ lava measured with the penetrometer are within the expected magnitudes of basaltic ‘A’ lava (Hon *et al.*, 2003; Robert *et al.*, 2014; and Sehlke *et al.*, 2014). The field tests highlight that the device recovers accurate viscosity data and can track relatively small changes in viscosity over a narrow temperature range at high temporal and spatial resolution.

VI. DISCUSSION

A. Advantages and limitations of the instrument

The penetrometer device has the potential to measure a viscosity range of $\sim 2.5 \times 10^2$ – 2.1×10^5 Pa s based on plausible field measurement constraints from the 10–500 N force over measurement timescales of 2–30 s. We view anything outside this range as either being too small in measurement duration for accurate processing or beyond the physical limits of most adult operators. We have specifically calibrated the device to viscosity ranges from 5.0×10^2 to 1.6×10^5 Pa s. The stainless-steel penetration tube, displacement tube, and tips are capable of withstanding temperatures up to 1200°C for moderate timescales (i.e., minutes). The high-temperature performance is highlighted by the successful use in volcanic settings, where no lava-submersed parts of the penetrometer broke, bent, or were damaged over two weeks of field




FIG. 7. Plots showing the processed data from nine penetrometer measurements within lava from the Litli-Hrútur eruption at the single location shown in Fig. 5. (a) Measured viscosity (Pa s) vs the depth of penetration (m). The dashed line with arrow symbols indicates runs where initially high or fluctuating viscosity values are followed by a decrease and then stabilized viscosity. Data on the left of the arrow are interpreted as crustal penetration, and data on the right of the arrow are interpreted as the molten interior. The changing viscosity profiles for runs 2–4 display the penetrometer’s ability to capture transient rheological properties of a heterogeneous material within a single measurement. (b) The viscosity (Pa s) of only the molten interior plotted against the temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) of the molten interior. The data document the temperature-dependent viscosities with nearly a threefold increase in apparent viscosity within a temperature decrease of 4°C . The temperatures were recovered from the same K-type thermocouple ($\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$) inserted into the lava adjacent to the measurement sites.

measurements. The quick releases at the far end of the device (see Fig. 1) ensure that even if parts exposed to high temperatures were to incur damage, they can quickly be exchanged with replacement parts without needing to rebuild the entire device.

In addition, the size (1.5 m length) and weight (~ 5.5 kg) of the penetrometer allow for operation by a single person with ease, making it highly mobile. Furthermore, this new penetrometer has self-contained electronic data acquisition for simultaneous force and displacement rates during measurements, a characteristic that is novel in comparison with all other penetrometers used for field lava rheology. All operations are done using only two switches, which are designed to be easily operated single-handedly by the device operator even with thick gloves. Finally, the material costs of this penetrometer are quite low (see Sec. S1 of the supplementary material), making it an attainable instrument for most research centers around the world.

In earlier versions of the prototype, we had a K-type thermocouple fed through the tip of the penetrometer. However, extensive laboratory and field tests at high temperatures revealed that the

duration of penetrometer measurement is too short for a thermocouple to equilibrate with its surroundings. Thus, we removed the thermocouple in the current device so that all the data acquired yield high confidence. Since temperature information is an important component of lava rheology, we suggest that separate temperature readings are done with a device that can withstand long immersion timescales in material adjacent to where the penetrometer measurements are completed. For our case, we used standard stainless-steel sheathed type K thermocouples.

In addition, in contrast to a field rotational viscometer that increases and decreases rotational speeds (Chevrel *et al.*, 2023), the penetrometer presented here has no defined way of varying the imposed strain rate throughout an individual measurement. This poses a limitation as not all materials have linear stress-strain relations (e.g., Bingham and Herschel-Bulkley) like natural lavas (Kolzenburg *et al.*, 2022). To probe varying strain rates, the operator can attempt to manually vary the force at which the penetrometer is pushed into the material on subsequent measurements. However, in the application of volcanology, this systematic repetition may not

always be feasible in dynamic lava flow settings as sometimes only one measurement is possible in one location.

Finally, the current device has a limitation of measurement calibration for viscosities lower than ~ 500 Pa s. It is possible that employing different force gauges with greater sensitivity to compressive strain may yield better results at these lower viscosity ranges, but further testing would be required to verify that approach. Alternatively, the combination with a rotational viscometer able to measure viscosity within 10–650 Pa s (Chevrel *et al.*, 2023) is suggested to describe the full viscosity range for natural lavas.

B. Effectiveness for field lava rheology and other geomaterials

The typical range of mafic eruption viscosities lies within 10^1 – 10^5 Pa s (Harris and Rowland, 2015 and references therein). Thus, the capable range for this penetrometer (10^2 – 10^5 Pa s) fits well within the expected natural range of lava. In addition, this penetrometer overlaps the upper end of the viscosity capabilities for a field rotational viscometer (10 – 10^4 Pa s) (Chevrel *et al.*, 2019). This means that in some settings, the penetrometer can be used in conjunction with, or, in place of a field rotation viscometer to obtain rheological values of lava flows. In other instances, particularly when the viscosity is intermediate to high in mafic lavas (i.e., $\geq 10^4$ Pa s), like in the presented field measurements, the penetrometer is currently the only instrument capable of obtaining accurate *in situ* rheological data. These characteristics support the concept of this penetrometer's applicability for *in situ* work on lava flows, and the successful deployment of this device during the Iceland 2023 eruption at Litli-Hrútur served to validate the instrument's capabilities.

The investigation of lava rheology through field measurements stands alone as the only current method to investigate the properties of natural high-temperature lava. As lava cools, it degasses and crystallizes until, eventually, it reaches a rheological threshold where it is unable to flow (Kolzenburg *et al.*, 2019; 2020; Di Fiore *et al.*, 2021). Currently, numerical lava-flow models implement lava viscosities, yet they lack field-validated values (e.g., Chevrel *et al.*, 2018b). The capabilities of this new penetrometer, including portability and durability, allow for accurate *in situ* measurements across various locations at the same lava flow. These raw field data can be used to discern the rheological evolution of an effusive eruption and thus be implemented into future models that can benchmark their predictions off natural lava viscosity data. This type of work not only contributes to the field of lava rheology but also has the potential to aid populations that live in and around active volcanic regions and rely on rapid eruptive forecasting for their safety.

While the primary objective of this penetrometer device is to obtain viscosities of high-temperature lavas in the field, we highlight that there are other potential applications for this instrument, which may include modifying the force sensor sensitivity to match a desired viscous range. Other geomaterials, such as mudflows, debris flow avalanches, and snowpacks, are investigated for their rheological properties with penetrometers much like lava flows, to better understand the risk of speed and runout distances during these hazardous events (Schneebeil and Johnson, 1998; Floyer and Jamieson, 2010; and Widjaja, 2019). Many of these geohazard studies rely on modeling or experimental data

(e.g., Rognon *et al.*, 2008; Huang and Aode, 2009; and Kostynick *et al.*, 2022), and the use of our new field penetrometer on these complex heterogeneous geomaterials has the potential to benchmark the models and experiments to natural data obtained in the field.

VII. CONCLUSION

We present a new penetrometer device that can withstand extreme conditions, such as volcanic environments including high temperatures (tested to ~ 1150 °C) and corrosive gases. This device is engineered with stainless steel and has self-contained electronics, all powered using a small portable power bank. The device is portable and can be operated by a single person, with a length of ~ 1.5 m and a weight of ~ 5.5 kg. To our knowledge, this device is the first of its kind to have high-temperature resistivity, simultaneous force and displacement data acquisition, and a calibrated range of data acquisition from 10^2 to 10^5 Pa s. The penetrometer's durability and effective measurement range make it ideal for *in situ* lava viscosity studies. We demonstrate that this penetrometer is effective for field measurements through its use during the 2023 Litli-Hrútur eruption in Iceland. Here, the penetrometer recorded the *in situ* rheology of lavas from 1.2×10^4 to 3.4×10^4 (Pa s) viscosities at temperatures from 1148 to 1152 °C. The continued use of this instrument at future eruptions will provide the necessary data that are currently lacking to better understand the rheology of natural multiphase lava.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The following sections of the supplementary material (S) accompany this article: S1: Penetrometer parts and data acquisition process. S2: Analog material viscosities, measured, known, and calibrated. S3: Buoyancy effects. S4: Example of moving averages of penetration within heterogeneous lava.

S5: Geochemistry of Iceland lava.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Thorvaldur Thordarson, Dr. Ármann Höskuldsson, Dr. William Mooreland, and Méline Payet—clerk of the University of Iceland—for their generous support during the field measurements done during the Litli-Hrútur eruption. We specially thank Kevin Cullinan and Thomas Brachmann of the University at Buffalo Instrument Machine Shop for their countless hours of work on developing the customized parts used in the penetrometer device. We are indebted to Travis Parsons for his crucial help with the logistics of assembly, transport, and use of the penetrometer throughout its creation, testing, and field use. Funding for the high-temperature tests at UB and fieldwork in Iceland was provided by the UB geohazards center and NSF EAR RAPID Award No. 2241489. This project also received support from NSF EAR Award No. 2223098, the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), and the French Government Laboratory of Excellence Initiative, Grant No. ANR-10-LABX-0006. This is contribution no. 651 of the ClerVolc program of the International Research Center for Disaster Sciences and Sustainable Development of the University of Clermont Auvergne.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

M.A.H. and S.K. conceptualized the apparatus design, geometry, and measurement principle. In addition, M.A.H. created the data acquisition chain, engineered the electronics and software, performed tests and calibrations, and led the formation of the article. I.S. assisted with data acquisition development and data analysis. M.O.C. and M.A.H. conducted field measurements in Iceland. S.K. and M.O.C. supervised and guided interpretations of the instrument rheological data. All authors contributed to the writing of the article.

M. A. Harris: Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Software (equal); Writing – original draft (equal). **S. Kolzenburg:** Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – original draft (equal). **I. Sonder:** Methodology (equal); Software (equal); Writing – original draft (equal). **M. O. Chevrel:** Conceptualization (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – original draft (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The software is openly available at https://github.com/LAVAPUBMH/Lava_Field_Penetrrometer.

REFERENCES

- Alidibirov, M. and Dingwell, D. B., "Magma fragmentation by rapid decompression," *Nature* **380**, 146–148 (1996).
- Avard, G. and Whittington, A. G., "Rheology of arc dacite lavas: Experimental determination at low strain rates," *Bull. Volcanol.* **74**, 1039–1056 (2012).
- Belousov, A. and Belousova, M., "Dynamics and viscosity of a'a and pahoehoe lava flows of the 2012–2013 eruption of Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka (Russia)," *Bull. Volcanol.* **80**, 6 (2018).
- Boutet, M., Dore, G., Bilodeau, J. P., and Pierre, P., "Development of models for the interpretation of the dynamic cone penetrometer data," *Int. J. Pavement Eng.* **12**, 201–214 (2011).
- Cashman, K. V., Soule, S. A., Mackey, B. H., Deligne, N. I., Deardorff, N. D., and Dietterich, H. R., "How lava flows: New insights from applications of lidar technologies to lava flow studies," *Geosphere* **9**, 1664–1680 (2013).
- Chevrel, M. O., Cimarelli, C., DeBiasi, L., Hanson, J. B., Lavallée, Y., Arzilli, F., and Dingwell, D. B., "Viscosity measurements of crystallizing andesite from Tungurahua volcano (Ecuador)," *Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst.* **16**, 870, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005661> (2015).
- Chevrel, M. O., Harris, A. J. L., James, M. R., Calabrò, L., Gurioli, L., and Pinkerton, H., "The viscosity of pahoehoe lava: *In situ* syn-eruptive measurements from Kilauea, Hawaii," *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **493**, 161–171 (2018a).
- Chevrel, M. O., Labroquère, J., Harris, A. J. L., and Rowland, S. K., "PyFLOWGO: An open-source platform for simulation of channelized lava thermorheological properties," *Comput. Geosci.* **111**, 167–180 (2018b).
- Chevrel, M. O., Latchimy, T., Batier, L., Delpoux, R., Harris, M. A., and Kolzenburg, S., "A new portable field rotational viscometer for high-temperature melts," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **94**, 105116 (2023).
- Chevrel, M. O., Pinkerton, H., and Harris, A. J. L., "Measuring the viscosity of lava in the field: A review," *Earth-Sci. Rev.* **196**, 102852 (2019).
- Di Fiore, F., Vona, A., Kolzenburg, S., Mollo, S., and Romano, C., "An extended rheological map of pahoehoe—A'a transition," *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth* **126**, e2021JB022035, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jb022035> (2021).
- Dobson, K. J. et al., "Quantifying microstructural evolution in moving magma," *Front. Earth Sci.* **8**, 287 (2020).
- Dubbelboer, A., Janssen, J. J. M., Zondervan, E., and Meuldijk, J., "Steady state analysis of structured liquids in a penetrometer," *J. Food Eng.* **218**, 50–60 (2018).
- Einarsson, T., *Rate of Production of Material During the Eruption: The Flowing Lava: Studies of its Main Physical and Chemical Properties* (Societas Scientiarum Islandica, 1949), Vol. 4.
- Einarsson, T., "Studies of temperature, viscosity, density and some types of materials produced in the surtsey eruption," *Surtsey Res. Program Rep.* **1**, 163–179 (1966).
- Floyer, J. A. and J. Bruce Jamieson, "Rate-effect experiments on round-tipped penetrometer insertion into uniform snow," *J. Glaciol.* **56**, 664–672 (2010).
- Fulcher, G. S., "Analysis of recent measurements of the viscosity of glasses," *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **8**, 339 (1925).
- Gauthier, F., "Field and laboratory studies of the rheology of Mount Etna lava," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A* **274**, 83–98 (1973).
- Giordano, D. and Dingwell, D. B., "Viscosity of hydrous Etna basalt: Implications for Plinian-style basaltic eruptions," *Bull. Volcanol.* **65**, 8–14 (2003).
- Giordano, D., Russell, J. K., and Dingwell, D. B., "Viscosity of magmatic liquids: A model," *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **271**, 123–134 (2008).
- Hall, R., Stumpf, A., Baji, A., Ross, R., and Barnett, D., "Characterising penetrometer tip contact during concrete condition assessment," *Sensors* **22**, 737 (2022).
- Harris, A. J. and Allen, J. S., "One-two- and three-phase viscosity treatments for basaltic lava flows," *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth* **113**, B09212, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JB005035> (2008).
- Harris, A. J. L. and Rowland, S. K., *Lava Flows and Rheology* (Elsevier, Inc., 2015), pp. 321–342.
- Harris, C. R. et al., "Array programming with NumPy," *Nature* **585**, 357–362 (2020).
- Heap, M. J., Xu, T., and Chen, C., "The influence of porosity and vesicle size on the brittle strength of volcanic rocks and magma," *Bull. Volcanol.* **76**, 856 (2014).
- Herrick, J. E. and Jones, T. L., "A dynamic cone penetrometer for measuring soil penetration resistance," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **66**, 1320–1324 (2002).
- Hess, K. U., Cordonnier, B., Y. Lavallée, and Dingwell, D. B., "High-load, high-temperature deformation apparatus for synthetic and natural silicate melts," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **78**, 075102 (2007).
- Hess, K. U. and Dingwell, D. B., "Viscosities of hydrous leucogranitic melts: A non-Arrhenian model," *Am. Mineral.* **81**, 1297–1300 (1996).
- Hobiger, M., Sonder, I., Büttner, R., and Zimanowski, B., "Viscosity characteristics of selected volcanic rock melts," *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **200**, 27–34 (2011).
- K. Hon, C. Gansecki, and J. Kauahikaua, "The transition from 'A'a to pahoehoe crust on flows emplaced during the Pu'u 'Ō'ō-kūpaianaha eruption," in *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper* (U.S. Geological Survey, 2003), pp. 89–103.
- Huang, Z. and Aode, H., "A laboratory study of rheological properties of mudflows in Hangzhou Bay, China," *Int. J. Sediment Res.* **24**, 410–424 (2009).
- Hunter, J. D., "Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment," *Comput. Sci. Eng.* **9**, 90–95 (2007).
- Jantra, C., Slaughter, D. C., Roach, J., and Pathaveerat, S., "Development of a handheld precision penetrometer system for fruit firmness measurement," *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **144**, 1–8 (2018).
- J. Kauahikaua, D. R. Sherrod, K. V. Cashman, C. Heliker, K. Hon, T. N. Mattox, and J. A. Johnson, "Hawaiian lava-flow dynamics during the Pu'u 'Ō'ō-Kū paianaha eruption: A tale of two decades," in *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper* (U.S. Geological Survey, 2003), pp. 63–87.
- Keszthelyi, L. P., "On the thermal budget of pahoehoe lava flows," Ph.D. dissertation (California Institute of Technology, 1994), p. 274.

- Kirkham, M. B., "Chapter 11—Penetrometers," in *Principles of Soil and Plant Water Relations*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, Boston, 2014), pp. 171–183.
- Kolzenburg, S., Chevrel, M. O., and Dingwell, D. B., "Magma/suspension rheology," *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **87**, 639–720 (2022).
- Kolzenburg, S., Giordano, D., Di Muro, A., and Dingwell, D. B., "Equilibrium viscosity and disequilibrium rheology of a high magnesium basalt from Piton de la Fournaise volcano, La Reunion, Indian Ocean, France," *Ann. Geophys.* **62**, VO218 (2019).
- Kolzenburg, S., Hess, K.-U., Berlo, K., and Dingwell, D. B., "Disequilibrium rheology and crystallization kinetics of basalts and implications for the Phlegrean volcanic district," *Front. Earth Sci.* **8**, 187 (2020).
- Kostynick, R., Matinpour, H., Pradeep, S., Haber, S., Sauret, A., Meiburg, E., Dunne, T., Arratia, P., and Jerolmack, D., "Rheology of debris flow materials is controlled by the distance from jamming," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **119**, e2209109119 (2022).
- Llewellyn, E. W., Mader, H. M., and Wilson, S. D. R., "The constitutive equation and flow dynamics of bubbly magmas," *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **29**, 2170, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002gl015697> (2002).
- C. W. Macosko, *Rheology: Principles, Measurements and Applications* (Wiley-VCH, 1994), pp. 1–576.
- Mader, H. M., Llewellyn, E. W., and Mueller, S. P., "The rheology of two-phase magmas: A review and analysis," *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **257**, 135–158 (2013).
- Marsh, B. D., "On the crystallinity, probability of occurrence, and rheology of lava and magma," *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **78**, 85–98 (1981).
- Moitra, P. and Gonnermann, H. M., "Effects of crystal shape- and size-modality on magma rheology," *Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst.* **16**, 1–26, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014gc005554> (2015).
- Moraes, M. T. d., Silva, V. R. d., Zwirter, A. L., and Carlesso, R., "Use of penetrometers in agriculture: A review," *Eng. Agric.* **34**, 179–193 (2014).
- Panov, V. K., Slezin, Y. B., and Storcheus, A. V., "Mechanical properties of lava extruded in 1983 predskazannyi eruption (Klyuchevskoi volcano)," *J. Volcanol. Seismol.* **7**, 25–37 (1988).
- H. Pinkerton, "Methods of measuring the rheological properties of lava," *Ph.D. dissertation* (University of Lancaster, 1978).
- Pinkerton, H., Herd, R. A., Kent, R. M., and Wilson, L., "Field measurements of the rheological properties of basaltic lavas," in *Abstracts of the Lunar and Planetary Science Conference* (Lunar and Planetary institute, 1995a) Vol. 26, pp. 1127–1128.
- Pinkerton, H. and Norton, G., "Rheological properties of basaltic lavas at sub-liquidus temperatures: Laboratory and field measurements on lavas from Mount Etna," *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **68**, 307–323 (1995).
- Pinkerton, H., Norton, G. E., Dawson, J. B., and Pyle, D. M., "Field observations and measurements of the physical properties of oldoinyo lengai alkali carbonatite lavas," in *Carbonatite Volcanism: Oldoinyo Lengai and the Petrogenesis of Natrocarbonatites*, edited by Bell, K. and Keller, J. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1995b), pp. 23–36.
- Pinkerton, H. and Sparks, R. S. J., "Field measurements of the rheology of lava," *Nature* **276**, 383–385 (1978).
- Pinkerton, H. and Stevenson, R. J., "Methods of determining the rheological properties of magmas at sub-liquidus temperatures," *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **53**, 47–66 (1992).
- Pistone, M., Caricchi, L., Ulmer, P., Reusser, E., and Ardia, P., "Rheology of volatile-bearing crystal mushes: Mobilization vs. viscous death," *Chem. Geol.* **345**, 16–39 (2013).
- Robert, B., Harris, A., Gurioli, L., Médard, E., Sehlke, A., and Whittington, A., "Textural and rheological evolution of basalt flowing down a lava channel," *Bull. Volcanol.* **76**, 824 (2014).
- Rognon, P. G., Chevoir, F., Bellot, H., Ousset, F., Naaïm, M., and Coussot, P., "Rheology of dense snow flows: Inferences from steady state chute-flow experiments," *J. Rheol.* **52**, 729–748 (2008).
- Rust, A. C. and Manga, M., "Effects of bubble deformation on the viscosity of dilute suspensions," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **104**, 53–63 (2002).
- Sato, H., "Viscosity measurement of subliquidus magmas: 1707 basalt of Fuji volcano," *J. Mineral. Petrol. Sci.* **100**, 133–142 (2005).
- Schneebeli, M. and Johnson, J. B., "A constant-speed penetrometer for high-resolution snow stratigraphy," *Ann. Glaciol.* **26**, 107–111 (1998).
- Sehlke, A., Whittington, A., Robert, B., Harris, A., Gurioli, L., and Médard, E., "Pahoehoe to 'a'a transition of Hawaiian lavas: An experimental study," *Bull. Volcanol.* **76**, 876 (2014).
- Shaw, H. R., "Rheology of basalt in the melting range," *J. Petrol.* **10**, 510–535 (1969).
- Shaw, H. R., Wright, T. L., Peck, D. L., and Okamura, R., "The viscosity of basaltic magma: An analysis of field measurements in Makaopuhi lava lake, Hawaii," *Am. J. Sci.* **266**, 225–264 (1968).
- Tanaka, M., De Man, J. M., and Voisey, P. W., "Measurement of textural properties of foods with a constant speed cone penetrometer," *J. Texture Stud.* **2**, 306–315 (1971).
- Tanaka, M., Pearson, A. M., and deMan, J. M., "Measurement of ice cream texture with the constant speed penetrometer," *Can. Inst. Food Sci. Technol. J.* **5**, 105–110 (1972).
- Truby, J. M., Mueller, S. P., Llewellyn, E. W., and Mader, H. M., "The rheology of three-phase suspensions at low bubble capillary number," *Proc. R. Soc. A* **471**, 20140557 (2015).
- Vogel, D. H., "Temperaturabhängigkeitsgesetz der viskosität von flüssigkeiten," *Phys. Z.* **22**, 645 (1921).
- B. Widjaja, "Landslide and mudflow behavior case study in Indonesia: Rheology approach," *IPTEK J. Proc. Ser.* (3), 93 (2019).