

CERN-EP-2023-300
2024/04/01

CMS-HIG-21-017

Measurement of the production cross section of a Higgs boson with large transverse momentum in its decays to a pair of τ leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

A measurement of the production cross section of a Higgs boson with transverse momentum greater than 250 GeV is presented where the Higgs boson decays to a pair of τ leptons. It is based on proton-proton collision data collected by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . Because of the large transverse momentum of the Higgs boson the τ leptons from its decays are boosted and produced spatially close, with their decay products overlapping. Therefore, a dedicated algorithm was developed to reconstruct and identify them. The observed (expected) significance of the measured signal with respect to the standard model background-only hypothesis is 3.5 (2.2) standard deviations. The product of the production cross section and branching fraction is measured to be $1.64_{-0.54}^{+0.68}$ times the standard model expectation. The fiducial differential production cross section is also measured as functions of the Higgs boson and leading jet transverse momenta. This measurement extends the probed large-transverse-momentum region beyond 600 GeV.

Submitted to Physics Letters B

1 Introduction

Since the discovery of a particle compatible with the standard model (SM) Higgs boson (H) [1–3], many of its properties have been scrutinized, without evidence of deviations from the SM expectations [4, 5]. Measuring the production of the Higgs boson with large transverse momentum (p_T) tests the existence of physics beyond the SM (BSM) in the scalar sector, to which inclusive measurements could be insensitive [6]. Such measurements can shed light on the structure of the interactions of the Higgs boson with massive particles. In particular, the cross section of the gluon-gluon production mode of the Higgs boson (ggH) is sensitive at large p_T to the structure of the effective ggH coupling [7, 8].

The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations have reported measurements of the differential production cross section of the Higgs boson as a function of its transverse momentum p_T^H [9–19]. While the measurement precision at low p_T^H is driven by the $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$, and $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$ decay modes ($\ell = e$ or μ), the sensitivity at larger p_T^H is improved by including the $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay modes because of their larger branching fractions. In this Letter, a dedicated measurement of the production cross section of a Higgs boson with large p_T decaying to a pair of τ leptons is presented. This measurement extends the probed large- p_T region beyond 600 GeV [17]. Because of the large- p_T of the Higgs boson, the τ leptons from its decays are boosted and produced spatially close with their decay products overlapping. A Higgs boson with $p_T^H \gtrsim 250$ GeV leads to an angular separation $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} < 0.8$ between the τ leptons. To handle overlapping τ decay products, a dedicated algorithm to identify the close-by τ leptons is employed. All four main Higgs boson production modes have been included in this measurement and four final states including hadronic and leptonic τ decays are considered: $\mu\tau_h$, $e\tau_h$, $\tau_h\tau_h$, and $e\mu$, where τ_h denotes the visible decay products of a τ lepton decaying into hadrons. The results are extracted using a multiclass neural network (NN) categorizing the events into signal- and background-enriched regions. The analysis is based on proton-proton (pp) collision data collected by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} [20–22].

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of $4 \mu\text{s}$ [23]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage [24]. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [25].

3 Event simulation

The POWHEG 2.0 [26–29] generator is used to generate Higgs boson signal samples at next-to-leading order (NLO) in the four leading production modes. The distributions of p_T^H and jet multiplicity in the gluon fusion production simulation are corrected to match the predictions of the NNLOPS event generator based upon the POWHEG+MiNLO approach [30, 31]. The $W + \text{jets}$ and $Z/\gamma^* + \text{jets}$ processes at leading order (LO) are modelled with MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO 2.2.2 (2.4.2) generator [32] with the MLM jet matching and merging scheme [33]. A combination of the POWHEG 2.0 [34, 35] and MADGRAPH5_aMC@NLO generators is used to model the diboson (WW, WZ, and ZZ) processes at NLO. Single top quark and $t\bar{t}$ productions are modeled with the POWHEG [36, 37] generator at NLO. The NNPDF 3.1 [38] parton distribution functions (PDF) are used, and all simulated samples are interfaced with PYTHIA 8.212 [39] to describe parton showering and hadronization. The CUETP8M1 [40] and CP5 [41] tunes are used for the 2016 and 2017–2018 samples, respectively. Additional inelastic pp interactions (pileup) generated by PYTHIA are overlaid on all simulated events, according to the luminosity profile of the analyzed data. The average pileup ranges from 23 interactions in 2016 to 32 in 2018. All the generated signal and background samples are processed with the simulation of the CMS detector based on GEANT4 [42]. Differences between data and simulation in trigger, particle identification, isolation efficiencies, and in the resolution of the jet p_T and missing transverse momentum ($\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$) are corrected by applying scale factors to simulated events.

4 Event reconstruction and selection

The physics objects are reconstructed by the particle-flow (PF) algorithm [43], which combines information from all subdetectors to identify individual particles (PF candidates). The primary vertex (PV) is taken to be the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using tracking information alone, as described in Section 9.4.1 of Ref. [44]. Standard muon and electron reconstruction, identification, and isolation methods are used, and are similar to those described in Ref. [17]. Starting from PF candidates, AK4 and AK8 jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_T FASTJET algorithm with a distance parameter of 0.4 and 0.8, respectively [45, 46]. Jet energy corrections are applied to both simulation and data so that the average measured energy of jets becomes identical to that of simulated particle-level jets, to account for residual differences between the jet energy in data and in simulation [47, 48], and to reduce pileup effects.

The PF candidates in AK4 jets are used to reconstruct τ_h objects, using the hadrons-plus-strips (HPS) algorithm [49, 50], which combines one or three charged particles with neutral pion candidates, to identify the τ_h decay modes. PF candidates in an (isolation) cone of $R=0.5$ around the τ_h candidate are used to determine its isolation. To distinguish genuine τ_h decays from jets originating from the hadronization of quarks or gluons, multivariate analysis (MVA) discriminants are used [51]. A MVA discriminant, called “MVA isolation”, which combines information about the energy carried by PF candidates within the isolation cone with lifetime information, is used. Electrons and muons reconstructed as τ_h candidates are rejected with dedicated discriminants [51].

If a τ lepton pair originates from a particle with high p_T , the decay products of the τ leptons are emitted close to each other, forming a single jet. The separation of the τ leptons is approximately equal to $\frac{2m_H}{p_T}$. The presence of two close-by τ leptons spoils the isolation requirement, leading to a drop in efficiency. To recover this loss, a modified version of the HPS algorithm, relying on jet substructure techniques, has been developed [51]. Particles are first clustered in

a large-radius jet with the Cambridge–Aachen algorithm [52] with a distance parameter of 0.8, and the jet is required to have $p_T > 170$ GeV. The final step of the jet clustering is reversed to find two subjets consistent with τ leptons. The subjets are then processed with the HPS algorithm to identify the τ_h decay modes. A crucial step in the boosted τ_h reconstruction algorithm is the requirement that the overlapping τ leptons do not share any common PF candidate. This is, by construction, satisfied by the two subjets seeding the τ lepton reconstruction algorithm. Thus, the isolation of a given τ is computed after removal of the PF candidates that belong to the other τ lepton. The final step is to compute discriminants to reject jets, electrons, and muons mis-reconstructed as τ_h candidates.

Figure 1 compares the $\tau_h\tau_h$ and τ_h isolation efficiencies for the standard and boosted HPS algorithms as a function of p_T^H , in the $\tau_h\tau_h$ (left) and $\ell\tau_h$ (right) final states. As p_T^H increases and the τ leptons get spatially closer, the HPS τ lepton isolation efficiency decreases while the boosted τ lepton efficiency reaches a plateau and remains constant. This effect is more pronounced in the $\tau_h\tau_h$ final state because of the presence of a pair of τ_h candidates. A drop in the isolation efficiency of HPS τ_h candidate around 450 GeV occurs when the distance between the τ_h candidate becomes equal to the size of the τ_h isolation cone of 0.5.




Figure 1: Comparison of the isolation efficiencies for the standard and boosted HPS algorithms in the $\tau_h\tau_h$ (left) and $\ell\tau_h$ (right) final states.

The τ_h candidates must have $p_T > 30$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.3$, and pass an MVA isolation requirement that corresponds to a typical identification efficiency of 60% in $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$ events for a 3% misidentification rate of jets. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is computed as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of all the PF candidates in an event, and its magnitude is denoted as p_T^{miss} [53]. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is modified to account for corrections to the energy scale of the reconstructed jets in the event. Another correction accounts for the effect of additional pileup interactions [48]. Two variables to quantify the hadronic activity in the event are used. The first one is the total visible hadronic activity H_T , which is defined as the scalar sum of transverse momenta of jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 3$. The second variable is the momentum imbalance $\vec{H}_T^{\text{miss}}$ and is defined as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5$. Its magnitude is denoted as H_T^{miss} .

Collision events were collected using single-lepton (muon or electron) triggers in the $\mu\tau_h$, $e\tau_h$, and $e\mu$ channels, while a combination of triggers relying on p_T^{miss} , jet p_T , H_T , and H_T^{miss} was

used in the $\tau_h \tau_h$ channel. In the $\mu \tau_h$ ($e \tau_h$) channel, events passing single-lepton triggers with p_T threshold of 50 (115) GeV and no isolation requirement must have an offline lepton with $p_T > 52$ (120) GeV, while events passing single isolated lepton triggers must have an offline lepton with p_T between 28 (38) and 52 (120) GeV and passing isolation criteria, as well as $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV. Events in the $e \mu$ channel must pass any of the single-lepton triggers, with or without isolation, depending on the offline leading lepton p_T . The lepton not associated with the trigger must have p_T larger than 10 GeV and pass loose identification and isolation criteria.

In the $\tau_h \tau_h$ channel, events triggered in 2016 (2017-2018) on the basis of an AK8 jet with $p_T > 360$ (400) GeV and mass, using the soft drop algorithm [54], greater than 30 GeV are required to have an AK8 jet reconstructed offline with $p_T > 450$ GeV and mass above 30 GeV. Events that do not pass the AK8 jet trigger are then checked against a combination of p_T^{miss} -based trigger criteria. Events that pass an online selection based on $H_T > 300$ (500) GeV, $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 110$ (100) GeV, and $H_T^{\text{miss}} > 0$ (100) GeV, are required to have offline $H_T > 400$ (700) GeV and $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 180$ GeV ($p_T^{\text{miss}} + H_T^{\text{miss}} > 280$ GeV). The trigger selection efficiency for events passing the offline requirements described here for the $\tau_h \tau_h$ channel is about 90% across all three years. The trigger requirements and kinematic selection criteria are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Selection requirements for the four $\tau \tau$ final states. The relative isolation variable, $I_{\text{rel}}^{\mu, e}$, for muons and electrons is evaluated as the scalar sum of the p_T of the reconstructed particles in a cone around the lepton track relative to the p_T of the lepton. In the $\tau_h \tau_h$ channel, the trigger requirement is defined by a combination of trigger candidates above a given threshold, indicated inside parentheses in GeV. The thresholds for the offline selection are driven by the trigger requirements. Except for the $I_{\text{rel}}^{\mu, e}$, the quantities are in units of GeV.

Final state	Trigger	Selection criteria
$\mu \tau_h$	μ (50)	$p_T^\mu > 52, p_T^{\tau_h} > 30$
$\mu \tau_h$	Iso μ (27)	$p_T^\mu > 28, p_T^{\tau_h} > 30, I_{\text{rel}}^\mu < 0.3, p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$
$e \tau_h$	e (115)	$p_T^e > 120, p_T^{\tau_h} > 30$
$e \tau_h$	Iso e (35)	$p_T^e > 38, p_T^{\tau_h} > 30, I_{\text{rel}}^e < 0.3, p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$
μe	μ (50)	$p_T^\mu > 52, p_T^e > 10$
μe	Iso μ (27)	$p_T^\mu > 28, p_T^e > 10, I_{\text{rel}}^{\mu, e} < 0.3, p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$
$e \mu$	e (115)	$p_T^e > 120, p_T^\mu > 10$
$e \mu$	Iso e (35)	$p_T^e > 38, p_T^\mu > 10, I_{\text{rel}}^{\mu, e} < 0.3, p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$
$\tau_h \tau_h$ (2016)	p_T^{AK8} (360) & m^{AK8} (30)	$p_T^{\text{AK8}} > 450, m^{\text{AK8}} > 30$
$\tau_h \tau_h$ (2016)	H_T (300) & p_T^{miss} (110)	$H_T > 400, p_T^{\text{miss}} > 180$
$\tau_h \tau_h$ (2017–2018)	p_T^{AK8} (400) & m^{AK8} (30)	$p_T^{\text{AK8}} > 450, m^{\text{AK8}} > 30$
$\tau_h \tau_h$ (2017–2018)	H_T (500) & p_T^{miss} (100) & H_T^{miss} (100)	$H_T > 700, (p_T^{\text{miss}} + H_T^{\text{miss}}) > 280$

To reconstruct the Higgs boson candidates, the two identified objects (e, μ , or τ_h candidates) are required to have opposite charges. None of the jets in the event must be identified as originating from a bottom quark, using the medium (tight) working point of the DEEPCSV2 algorithm [55] in the $\mu \tau_h$ and $e \tau_h$ ($e \mu$) final states. The quantity H_T must be greater than 200 GeV and the di- τ invariant mass, estimated from the visible momenta of the τ lepton decay products and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, using a maximum likelihood technique [56], must exceed 50 GeV. The reconstructed p_T^H is defined as the magnitude of the vectorial sum of the p_T of the τ leptons visible decay products and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, and must be larger than 250 GeV. Finally, to reduce the background with leptonically decaying W bosons, the transverse mass of the lepton and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ in the $\mu \tau_h$ and $e \tau_h$ channels, and of the dilepton and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ in the $e \mu$ channel, must be less than 80 GeV. To ensure there is no overlap across different final states, events are vetoed in the presence of additional loosely identified and isolated lepton.

5 Background estimation

The Drell–Yan (Z/γ^*) process is modelled using simulated samples. To correct the effect of missing higher order corrections in simulation, a scale factor that depends on the Z/γ^* boson mass and p_T is measured in a control region (CR) containing a pair of muons, and applied to the simulation in the signal region (SR). The $t\bar{t}$ events are reweighed to account for any possible missing higher order corrections. The background arising from the misidentification of leptons or τ_h candidates, called “mis-ID background”, is estimated from data. It is mostly comprised of $W + \text{jets}$ and quantum chromodynamics (QCD) multijet events, where at least one jet is misidentified as an electron, muon, or τ_h candidate. In events with at least one τ_h candidate, a misidentification rate method is used to estimate the contribution of these two processes, collectively, in the SR. The probability for a jet to pass the isolation requirement of a τ_h candidate, called the misidentification rate, is estimated in a region dominated by the mis-ID background, obtained by requiring one identified and isolated muon, and one jet separated from the muon by $\Delta R > 0.8$. This sample has a negligible signal component, while the small contribution from the other backgrounds is estimated from simulation and subtracted from data. The misidentification rate, f , is parameterized as a function of the p_T of the τ_h candidate.

To estimate the mis-ID background in the SR, an adjusted misidentification rate, $\frac{f}{1-f}$, is applied as a weight to events in the application region, which is identical to the SR with the exception that the τ_h candidate fails the MVA isolation. To estimate the QCD multijet contribution in the $e\mu$ channel, a sample is built from events that pass the signal selection criteria except that the requirement on the charge of two leptons is inverted. The small remaining contribution of the signal and other backgrounds is subtracted from data on the basis of simulation. The contribution of the QCD multijet background in the SR is derived by applying an opposite-sign to same-sign scale factor, measured in a region where the lepton isolation requirements are inverted.

A multiclass NN is used to construct a discriminant that separates the signal from the Drell–Yan and mis-ID backgrounds, following closely the method described in Ref. [57]. The NN is constructed with a feed-forward architecture containing two hidden layers and three output nodes. Based on the maximum of the three scores, each normalized to unity for a probabilistic interpretation, events are sorted into one signal-enriched region and two background-dominated regions. Ten variables are used as input to the NN: the p_T of the two leptons and leading jet, p_T^{miss} , the mass and p_T of the Higgs boson reconstructed from the τ candidates and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, S_T (defined as the scalar sum of the p_T of all jets and leptons in the event), the transverse mass reconstructed from the lepton p_T and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, the value of the MVA isolation of the closest boosted τ_h candidate to the muon (electron) in the $\mu\tau_h$ and $e\mu$ ($e\tau_h$ and $e\mu$) channels, and the di- τ mass. The NN is trained for the combination of the three data-taking years but separately for each final state. The SR is split into four bins depending on p_T^H , with lower bin boundaries of 250, 350, 450, and 600 GeV. To establish the presence of a signal a simultaneous binned maximum likelihood fit is performed to all three NN output distributions. The combined NN distributions in the SR for all four p_T^H bins and three data-taking years are shown in Fig. 2.

6 Systematic uncertainties

Two types of systematic uncertainties are considered: normalization uncertainties in the event yields and uncertainties that affect both the shape and yield of the distributions. The uncertainties in the muon and electron trigger efficiencies, identification, and isolation, estimated with a tag-and-probe method [50], range from 1 to 5%, depending on the p_T and η of the lepton.




Figure 2: Observed and expected NN distributions in the SR, after combining all four p_T^H bins, in the $\mu\tau_h$ (upper left), $e\tau_h$ (upper right), $e\mu$ (lower left), and $\tau_h\tau_h$ (lower right) channels. The signal and background distributions are the result of a simultaneous binned maximum likelihood fit to all three output NN distributions, including all individual p_T bins and data-taking years. The bottom panel shows the ratio of the number of events observed in data to that of the expected background.

The uncertainty in the H_T and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ trigger efficiency in the $\tau_h\tau_h$ channel is 10%. The uncertainty in the efficiency of selecting boosted τ_h candidates is parametrized as a function of p_T^H . To evaluate this uncertainty, a maximum likelihood fit is performed using the Z boson p_T distributions. A large prefit uncertainty (U_{prefit}) is assigned to the τ_h identification efficiency, uncorrelated across final states, data-taking years, and bins of p_T^Z . After the fit, the magnitude of the postfit τ_h identification efficiency uncertainty (U_{postfit}) is compared to $|U_{\text{prefit}} - U_{\text{postfit}}|$. The largest value is taken as the uncertainty in the τ_h identification efficiency. It varies between 10 and 50% depending on the final state, data-taking year, and p_T^Z range. The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity amounts to 1.2–2.5%, depending on the year [20–22]. A 2% normalization uncertainty is considered for the muon/electron energy scale, while a 3% uncertainty in the τ_h energy scale is treated as a shape uncertainty that modifies final observable templates and propagated to the di- τ mass and $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$. Uncertainties associated with the jet energy scale and p_T^{miss} are also considered [58].

Uncertainties of 2.0, 4.2, 5.0, and 5.0% are used for the predicted cross sections of the Drell–Yan, $t\bar{t}$, single top quark, and diboson productions, respectively [59–62]. The PDF, renormalization and factorization scales, and initial as well as final state radiation uncertainties are considered for the Drell–Yan and $t\bar{t}$ processes that vary from a few percent to about 20% depending on the final state, process, and data-taking year.

A 20% uncertainty is assigned to the QCD multijet background to cover for differences between the observed and predicted background rates in dedicated CRs. An additional uncertainty related to the probability for a jet to be misidentified as a τ_h candidate, as well as an uncertainty in the normalization of the non-QCD background subtracted from data in the application region, are included and vary between 10 and 20%, depending on the final state. Uncertainties related to the top quark p_T reweighting in simulated $t\bar{t}$ events are evaluated by varying the reweighting parameters between zero and twice their nominal values [63, 64].

Theoretical uncertainties for the signal in the gluon fusion production mode are derived from the so-called WG1 uncertainty scheme [65]. Statistical uncertainties associated with the limited number of simulated events in the signal region or observed event yields in the control regions are accounted for as described in Ref. [66].

7 Results

A binned maximum likelihood fit is performed to all three NN output distributions to compute the probability of the compatibility of observed data with the background-only hypothesis [67, 68]. The systematic uncertainties are taken as nuisance parameters. An observed significance of 3.5 standard deviations is obtained for a $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ signal with $p_T^H \geq 250$ GeV by combining all channels and data-taking years, to be compared with an expected significance of 2.2 standard deviations. The best fit signal strength modifier, defined as the ratio of the inclusive Higgs boson production cross section to the SM expectation, is also extracted from a maximum likelihood fit to the same distributions and is found to be $1.64_{-0.54}^{+0.68}$, in agreement with the SM prediction. The sensitivity of this analysis is driven by the $\mu\tau_h$ and $e\tau_h$ channels.

The differential production cross section is measured in a fiducial phase space that is defined per final state. Requirements on generator-level variables are chosen to be close to the trigger and offline selections. In the $\mu\tau_h$ ($e\tau_h$) channel, the lepton is required to have generated $p_T > 28$ (30) GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ (2.5). If the generated p_T of the muon (electron) is less than 52 (115) GeV, a minimum threshold of 30 GeV is applied to the generated p_T^{miss} as well. The

generated visible p_T of τ_h leptons must exceed 30 GeV and their $|\eta|$ must be less than 2.3 in the $\mu\tau_h$, $e\tau_h$, and $\tau_h\tau_h$ channels. In the $\tau_h\tau_h$ channel, the generated p_T of the AK8 jet must be greater than 450 GeV or the generated H_T must be greater than 400 (700) GeV with a generated p_T^{miss} greater than 180 (140) GeV for 2016 (2017–2018) samples. In the $e\mu$ channel, two sets of events are selected. The first set includes events with generated muon $p_T > 52$ GeV, or between 28 and 52 GeV and p_T^{miss} above 30 GeV. The second set includes events with generated electron $p_T > 115$ GeV, or between 38 and 115 GeV and p_T^{miss} above 30 GeV. In both sets, the other lepton must have generated p_T above 10 GeV. In all channels, the ΔR between two leptons must be between 0.1 and 0.8, the generated p_T^H must be above 250 GeV, and there must be at least one jet with p_T larger than 30 GeV.

To derive the differential production cross section, the signal is split into four bins with lower bin boundaries of 250, 350, 450, and 600 GeV on the value of the generated (gen) p_T^H or with lower bin boundaries of 0, 350, 450, and 600 GeV on the value of the gen leading jet p_T (p_T^j). The definitions of the signal- and background-dominated regions are identical to those used in the inclusive analysis. The same binning is used at reconstructed- (reco-) level to categorize events. The gen- and reco-level observable values are not perfectly aligned because of the limited resolution, and events from one gen-level bin can migrate to a different reco-level bin. The contributions from the four gen-level bins are left floating independently from each other. By performing one simultaneous fit over all reco-level bin histograms of the NN distributions, the signal strength modifiers of the gen-level observable bins are determined utilizing the full statistical power of the data set. This is equivalent to extracting the signal in the reco-level bins and performing an unfolding to gen-level bins. The procedure follows the strategy adopted in Ref. [17]. Fiducial differential production cross sections measured as functions of p_T^H and p_T^j are shown in Fig. 3. Tabulated results are available in the HepData database [69]. The results are dominated by statistical uncertainties, especially in the highest- p_T bins. The ggH production mode contribution in the total signal yield reduces from 63% in the lowest p_T^H bin to 53% in the highest p_T^H bin, indicating the importance of other production modes at large p_T values. This measurement extends the probed large- p_T region beyond 600 GeV. No significant deviation with respect to the SM predictions is observed and the measurements are compatible with both the POWHEG and NNLOPS expectations. The fit has a p -value with respect to the SM expectation from the NNLOPS prediction of 56 and 74% for the measurements with respect to p_T^H and p_T^j , respectively.

The inclusive fiducial production cross section is measured from the p_T^H distributions used in the differential analysis, by reformulating the parameters of interest such that one modifies the total inclusive fiducial production cross section. Its best fit value is $3.88^{+1.69}_{-1.35}$ fb, which is consistent with the SM prediction of 2.36 ± 0.51 fb obtained with the NNLOPS generator.

8 Summary

The measurement of the production cross section of a Higgs boson with large p_T decaying to a pair of τ leptons has been performed using proton-proton collision data collected by the CMS experiment at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 138 fb^{-1} . A dedicated reconstruction algorithm has been used to resolve the overlapping decay products of the two close-by τ leptons. The $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ signal with $p_T^H > 250$ GeV is established with a significance of 3.5 standard deviations (2.2 expected). The best fit of the product of the observed $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ signal production cross section and branching fraction is




Figure 3: Observed and expected differential fiducial cross sections in bins of p_T^H (left) and p_T^{j1} (right). The last bins include the overflow. The uncertainty bands in the theoretical predictions include uncertainties from the following sources: PDF, renormalization and factorization scales, underlying event and parton showering, and the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(H \rightarrow \tau\tau)$.

$1.64^{+0.68}_{-0.54}$ times the SM expectation. The fiducial inclusive production cross section has been measured to be $3.88^{+1.69}_{-1.35}$ fb, which is consistent with the SM prediction of 2.36 ± 0.51 fb. The fiducial differential production cross section is also measured as functions of the Higgs boson and leading jet transverse momenta. This measurement extends the probed large-transverse-momentum region beyond 600 GeV. No significant deviation with respect to the SM predictions is observed in the transverse momentum distribution of the Higgs boson with large p_T^H .

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, RVTT3 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 101115353, 101002207, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the "Excellence of Science – EOS" – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, K 146913, K 146914, K 147048, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by the EU NexGeneration program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF "a way of making Europe", and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B37G660013 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC", *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020, arXiv:1207.7214.
- [2] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC", *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021, arXiv:1207.7235.
- [3] CMS Collaboration, "Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV", *JHEP* **06** (2013) 081, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2013)081, arXiv:1303.4571.
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, "A detailed map of Higgs boson interactions by the ATLAS experiment ten years after the discovery", *Nature* **607** (2022) 52,

- doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04893-w, arXiv:2207.00092. [Erratum: Nature 612, E24 (2022)].
- [5] CMS Collaboration, “A portrait of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment ten years after the discovery.”, *Nature* **607** (2022) 60, doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04892-x, arXiv:2207.00043. [Erratum: Nature 623, (2023)].
- [6] M. Battaglia, M. Grazzini, M. Spira, and M. Wiesemann, “Sensitivity to BSM effects in the Higgs p_T spectrum within SMEFT”, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 173, doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2021)173, arXiv:2109.02987.
- [7] C. Grojean, E. Salvioni, M. Schlaffer, and A. Weiler, “Very boosted Higgs in gluon fusion”, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 022, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)022, arXiv:1312.3317.
- [8] M. Grazzini, A. Ilnicka, M. Spira, and M. Wiesemann, “Modeling BSM effects on the Higgs transverse-momentum spectrum in an EFT approach”, *JHEP* **03** (2017) 115, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2017)115, arXiv:1612.00283.
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurements of differential cross sections of Higgs boson production through gluon fusion in the $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$ final state at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 774, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11873-5, arXiv:2301.06822.
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurements of Higgs boson production cross-sections in the $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 175, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2022)175, arXiv:2201.08269.
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of the total and differential Higgs boson production cross-sections at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector by combining the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay channels”, *JHEP* **05** (2023) 028, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2023)028, arXiv:2207.08615.
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurements of the Higgs boson inclusive and differential fiducial cross-sections in the diphoton decay channel with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 027, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2022)027, arXiv:2202.00487.
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, “Constraints on Higgs boson production with large transverse momentum using $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decays in the ATLAS detector”, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 092003, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.092003, arXiv:2111.08340.
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurements of the Higgs boson inclusive and differential fiducial cross sections in the 4ℓ decay channel at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 942, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8223-0, arXiv:2004.03969.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, “Measurements of inclusive and differential cross sections for the Higgs boson production and decay to four-leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JHEP* **08** (2023) 040, doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2023)040, arXiv:2305.07532.
- [16] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the Higgs boson inclusive and differential fiducial production cross sections in the diphoton decay channel with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 091, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2023)091, arXiv:2208.12279.

-
- [17] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the inclusive and differential Higgs boson production cross sections in the decay mode to a pair of τ leptons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 081805, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.081805, arXiv:2107.11486.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, “Inclusive search for highly boosted Higgs bosons decaying to bottom quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JHEP* **12** (2020) 085, doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2020)085, arXiv:2006.13251.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement and interpretation of differential cross sections for Higgs boson production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **792** (2019) 369, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.03.059, arXiv:1812.06504.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, “Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 800, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09538-2, arXiv:2104.01927.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, “CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P10017, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017, arXiv:2006.10165.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS trigger system”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P01020, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.
- [26] P. Nason, “A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms”, *JHEP* **11** (2004) 040, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [27] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with Parton Shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [28] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX”, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 043, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043, arXiv:1002.2581.
- [29] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “NLO higgs boson production via gluon fusion matched with shower in POWHEG”, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 002, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/002, arXiv:0812.0578.
- [30] K. Hamilton, P. Nason, E. Re, and G. Zanderighi, “NNLOPS simulation of Higgs boson production”, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 222, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2013)222, arXiv:1309.0017.

- [31] K. Hamilton, P. Nason, and G. Zanderighi, “Finite quark-mass effects in the NNLOPS POWHEG+MiNLO Higgs generator”, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 140, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2015)140, arXiv:1501.04637.
- [32] J. Alwall et al., “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations”, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079, arXiv:1405.0301.
- [33] J. Alwall et al., “Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53** (2008) 473, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0490-5, arXiv:0706.2569.
- [34] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Rontsch, and G. Zanderighi, “ W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG BOX”, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 078, doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)078, arXiv:1107.5051.
- [35] P. Nason and G. Zanderighi, “ W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG-BOX-V2”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2702, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2702-5, arXiv:1311.1365.
- [36] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions”, *JHEP* **09** (2009) 111, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/111, arXiv:0907.4076. [Erratum: doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2010)011].
- [37] E. Re, “Single-top Wt-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1547, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z, arXiv:1009.2450.
- [38] NNPDF Collaboration, “Parton distributions for the LHC Run II”, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 040, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2015)040, arXiv:1410.8849.
- [39] T. Sjöstrand et al., “An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [40] CMS Collaboration, “Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 155, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3988-x, arXiv:1512.00815.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, “Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 4, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [42] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4: A simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P10003, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [44] CMS Collaboration, “Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the Compact Muon Solenoid”, CMS Technical Proposal CERN-LHCC-2015-010, CMS-TDR-15-02, 2015.
- [45] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm”, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.

-
- [46] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “FastJet user manual”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, “Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV”, *JINST* **12** (2017) P02014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [48] CMS Collaboration, “Pileup mitigation at CMS in 13 TeV data”, *JINST* **15** (2020) P09018, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/09/P09018, arXiv:2003.00503.
- [49] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of tau-lepton reconstruction and identification in CMS”, *JINST* **7** (2012) P01001, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/01/P01001, arXiv:1109.6034.
- [50] CMS Collaboration, “Reconstruction and identification of τ lepton decays to hadrons and ν_τ at CMS”, *JINST* **11** (2016) P01019, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/11/01/P01019, arXiv:1510.07488.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of reconstruction and identification of τ leptons decaying to hadrons and ν_τ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P10005, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/10/P10005, arXiv:1809.02816.
- [52] M. Wobisch and T. Wengler, “Hadronization corrections to jet cross-sections in deep inelastic scattering”, in *Workshop on Monte Carlo Generators for HERA Physics (Plenary Starting Meeting)*, p. 270. 1998. arXiv:hep-ph/9907280.
- [53] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector”, *JINST* **14** (2019) P07004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [54] A. J. Larkoski, S. Marzani, G. Soyez, and J. Thaler, “Soft Drop”, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 146, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)146, arXiv:1402.2657.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, “Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV”, *JINST* **13** (2018) P05011, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/P05011, arXiv:1712.07158.
- [56] L. Bianchini et al., “Reconstruction of the Higgs mass in events with Higgs bosons decaying into a pair of τ leptons using matrix element techniques”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **862** (2017) 54, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2017.05.001, arXiv:1603.05910.
- [57] CMS Collaboration, “Constraints on anomalous higgs boson couplings to vector bosons and fermions from the production of higgs bosons using the $\tau\tau$ final state”, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2022) 032013, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.032013, arXiv:2205.05120.
- [58] CMS Collaboration, “Jet algorithms performance in 13 TeV data”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-16-003, 2017.
- [59] J. M. Campbell, R. K. Ellis, and C. Williams, “Vector boson pair production at the LHC”, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 018, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2011)018, arXiv:1105.0020.
- [60] M. Czakon and A. Mitov, “Top++: A Program for the Calculation of the Top-Pair Cross-Section at Hadron Colliders”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** (2014) 2930, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2014.06.021, arXiv:1112.5675.

- [61] T. Gehrmann et al., “ W^+W^- Production at Hadron Colliders in Next to Next to Leading Order QCD”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 212001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.212001, arXiv:1408.5243.
- [62] K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, “Electroweak gauge boson production at hadron colliders through $O(\alpha_s^2)$ ”, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 114017, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.114017, arXiv:hep-ph/0609070.
- [63] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of Differential Top-Quark Pair Production Cross Sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2339, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2339-4, arXiv:1211.2220.
- [64] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the differential cross section for top quark pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 542, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3709-x, arXiv:1505.04480.
- [65] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group Collaboration, “Handbook of LHC Higgs cross sections: 4. Deciphering the nature of the Higgs sector”, technical report, 2016. doi:10.23731/CYRM-2017-002, arXiv:1610.07922, CERN Yellow Reports: Monographs.
- [66] R. Barlow and C. Beeston, “Fitting using finite Monte Carlo samples”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **77** (1993) 219, doi:10.1016/0010-4655(93)90005-W.
- [67] J. S. Conway, “Incorporating nuisance parameters in likelihoods for multisource spectra”, in *PHYSTAT 2011*, p. 115. 2011. arXiv:1103.0354. doi:10.5170/CERN-2011-006.115.
- [68] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, and LHC Higgs Combination Group, “Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011”, Technical Report CMS-NOTE-2011-005, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-11, 2011.
- [69] “HEPData record for this analysis”, 2024. doi:10.17182/hepdata.149577.

A The CMS Collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A. Hayrapetyan, A. Tumasyan¹ 

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic, T. Bergauer , S. Chatterjee , K. Damanakis , M. Dragicevic , P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler² , N. Krammer , A. Li , D. Liko , I. Mikulec , J. Schieck² , R. Schöffbeck , D. Schwarz , M. Sonawane , S. Templ , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz² 

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish³ , T. Janssen , P. Van Mechelen 

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

E.S. Bols , J. D'Hondt , S. Dansana , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , H. El Faham , S. Lowette , I. Makarenko , D. Müller , A.R. Sahasransu , S. Tavernier , M. Tytgat⁴ , S. Van Putte , D. Vannerom 

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Clerbaux , G. De Lentdecker , L. Favart , D. Hohov , J. Jaramillo , A. Khalilzadeh, K. Lee , M. Mahdavihorrami , A. Malara , S. Paredes , L. Pétré , N. Postiau, L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer 

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

M. De Coen , D. Dobur , Y. Hong , J. Knolle , L. Lambrecht , G. Mestdach, C. Rendón, A. Samalan, K. Skovpen , N. Van Den Bossche , J. van der Linden , L. Wezenbeek 

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

A. Benecke , G. Bruno , C. Caputo , C. Delaere , I.S. Donertas , A. Giammanco , K. Jaffel , Sa. Jain , V. Lemaitre, J. Lidrych , P. Mastrapasqua , K. Mondal , T.T. Tran , S. Wertz 

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves , E. Coelho , C. Hensel , T. Menezes De Oliveira , A. Moraes , P. Rebello Teles , M. Soeiro

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior , M. Alves Gallo Pereira , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho , J. Chinellato⁵, E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira⁶ , D. De Jesus Damiao , S. Fonseca De Souza , R. Gomes De Souza, J. Martins⁷ , C. Mora Herrera , K. Mota Amarilo , L. Mundim , H. Nogima , A. Santoro , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel , A. Vilela Pereira 

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes⁶ , L. Calligaris , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores , P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , B. Orzari , Sandra S. Padula 

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Misheva , M. Shopova , G. Sultanov 

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov , E. Shumka 

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri , S. Thakur 

Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng , Q. Guo, T. Javaid , L. Yuan 

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu , J. Liu, K. Yi^{8,9} 

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen¹⁰ , H.S. Chen¹⁰ , M. Chen¹⁰ , F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor¹¹ ,
H. Liao , Z.-A. Liu¹² , R. Sharma¹³ , J.N. Song¹², J. Tao , C. Wang¹⁰, J. Wang , Z. Wang¹⁰,
H. Zhang 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos , Y. Ban , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , Y. Mao, S.J. Qian , X. Sun ,
D. Wang , H. Yang, L. Zhang , C. Zhou 

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You 

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

N. Lu 

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

G. Bauer¹⁴

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

X. Gao¹⁵ , D. Leggat, H. Okawa , Y. Zhang 

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao 

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga , J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao , F. Ramirez , M. Rodriguez , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanovic , N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , A. Sculac 

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac , T. Sculac¹⁶ 

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

P. Bargassa , V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , S. Mishra ,
A. Starodumov¹⁷ , T. Susa 

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , S. Konstantinou , J. Mousa , C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos ,
P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepenov 

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger , M. Finger Jr. , A. Kveton 

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Ayala 

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin 

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

H. Abdalla¹⁸ , Y. Assran^{19,20}

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

M.A. Mahmoud , Y. Mohammed 

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

R.K. Dewanjee²¹ , K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , S. Nandan , C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , L. Tani , C. Veelken 

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

H. Kirschenmann , K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen 

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

S. Bharthuar , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , J. Havukainen , K.T.S. Kallonen , R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , M. Lotti, L. Martikainen , M. Myllymäki , M.m. Rantanen , H. Siikonen , E. Tuominen , J. Tuominiemi 

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

P. Luukka , H. Petrow , T. Tuuva[†]

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri , S. Ganjour , P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles , J. Rander, A. Rosowsky , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro²² , P. Simkina , M. Titov , M. Tornago 

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

C. Baldenegro Barrera , F. Beaudette , A. Buchot Perraguin , P. Busson , A. Cappati , C. Charlot , F. Damas , O. Davignon , A. De Wit , G. Falmagne , B.A. Fontana Santos Alves , S. Ghosh , A. Gilbert , R. Granier de Cassagnac , A. Hakimi , B. Harikrishnan , L. Kalipoliti , G. Liu , J. Motta , M. Nguyen , C. Ochando , L. Portales , R. Salerno , J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , A. Tarabini , E. Vernazza , A. Zabi , A. Zghiche 

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram²³ , J. Andrea , D. Apparú , D. Bloch , J.-M. Brom , E.C. Chabert , C. Collard , S. Falke , U. Goerlach , C. Grimault, R. Haeberle , A.-C. Le Bihan , M. Meena , G. Saha , M.A. Sessini , P. Van Hove 

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , N. Chanon , J. Choi , D. Contardo , P. Depasse , C. Dozen²⁴ , H. El Mamouni, J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch , C. Greenberg, G. Grenier , B. Ille , I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier , L. Mirabito, S. Perries, A. Purohit , M. Vander Donckt , P. Verdier , J. Xiao 

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

D. Chokheli , I. Lomidze , Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁷ 

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

V. Botta , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser , A. Pauls , N. Rówert , M. Teroerde 

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , F. Engelke , M. Erdmann , P. Fackeldey , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone , A. Jung , M.y. Lee , L. Mastrolorenzo, F. Mausolf , M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , S. Mukherjee , D. Noll , A. Novak , F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov , Y. Rath, W. Redjeb , F. Rehm, H. Reithler , U. Sarkar , V. Sarkisovi , A. Schmidt , A. Sharma , J.L. Spah , A. Stein , F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo²⁵ , L. Vigilante, S. Wiedenbeck , S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok , G. Flügge , W. Haj Ahmad²⁶ , T. Kress , A. Nowack , O. Pooth , A. Stahl , T. Ziemons , A. Zotz 

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen , M. Aldaya Martin , J. Alimena , S. Amoroso, Y. An , S. Baxter , M. Bayatmakou , H. Becerril Gonzalez , O. Behnke , A. Belvedere , S. Bhattacharya , F. Blekman²⁷ , K. Borras²⁸ , D. Brunner , A. Campbell , A. Cardini , C. Cheng, F. Colombina , S. Consuegra Rodríguez , G. Correia Silva , M. De Silva , G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein , L.I. Estevez Banos , O. Filatov , E. Gallo²⁷ , A. Geiser , A. Giraldi , G. Greau, V. Guglielmi , M. Guthoff , A. Hinzmann , A. Jafari²⁹ , L. Jeppe , N.Z. Jomhari , B. Kaech , M. Kasemann , H. Kaveh , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm , D. Krücker , W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia , K. Lipka³⁰ , W. Lohmann³¹ , R. Mankel , I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , J. Metwally, A.B. Meyer , G. Milella , A. Mussgiller , L.P. Nair , A. Nürnberg , Y. Otariid, J. Park , D. Pérez Adán , E. Ranken , A. Raspereza , B. Ribeiro Lopes , J. Rübenach, A. Saggio , M. Scham^{32,28} , S. Schnake²⁸ , P. Schütze , C. Schwanenberger²⁷ , D. Selivanova , M. Shchedrolosiev , R.E. Sosa Ricardo , D. Stafford, F. Vazzoler , A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , Q. Wang , Y. Wen , K. Wichmann, L. Wiens²⁸ , C. Wissing , Y. Yang , A. Zimmermann Castro Santos

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht , S. Albrecht , M. Antonello , S. Bein , L. Benato , M. Bonanomi , P. Connor , M. Eich, K. El Morabit , Y. Fischer , A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers , E. Garutti , A. Grohsjean , M. Hajheidari, J. Haller , H.R. Jabusch , G. Kasieczka , P. Keicher, R. Klanner , W. Korcari , T. Kramer , V. Kutzner , F. Labe , J. Lange , A. Lobanov , C. Matthies , A. Mehta , L. Moureaux , M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova , Y. Nissan, A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez , T. Quadfasel , B. Raciti , M. Rieger , D. Savoia , J. Schindler , P. Schleper , M. Schröder , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder , H. Stadie , G. Steinbrück , A. Tews, M. Wolf

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer , M. Burkart, E. Butz , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm , A. Droll, N. Faltermann , M. Giffels , A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann³³ , R. Hofsaess , M. Horzela , U. Husemann , J. Kieseler , M. Klute , R. Koppenhöfer , J.M. Lawhorn , M. Link, A. Lintuluoto , S. Maier , S. Mitra , M. Mormile , Th. Müller , M. Neukum, M. Oh , M. Presilla , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz , B. Regnery , N. Shadskiy , I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , M. Toms³⁴ , N. Trevisani , R. Ulrich , R.F. Von Cube , M. Wassmer , S. Wieland , F. Wittig, R. Wolf , S. Wunsch, X. Zuo

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis, A. Papadopoulos³³, A. Stakia 

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

P. Kontaxakis , G. Melachroinos, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou , I. Paraskevas , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , K. Vellidis , I. Zisopoulos 

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas , T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli , K. Kousouris , I. Papakrivopoulos , E. Siamarkou, G. Tsipolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, P. Gianneios , C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos , J. Strologas 

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók³⁵ , C. Hajdu , D. Horvath^{36,37} , F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád , K. Farkas , M.M.A. Gadallah³⁸ , Á. Kadlecik , P. Major , K. Mandal , G. Pásztor , A.J. Rádl³⁹ , G.I. Veres 

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, B. Ujvari , G. Zilizi 

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi³⁵ , J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo³⁹ , F. Nemes³⁹ , T. Novak 

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

J. Babbar , S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan , N. Dhingra⁴⁰ , A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar , K. Sandeep , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh , A. Singla 

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Ahmed , A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , A. Kumar , M. Naimuddin , K. Ranjan , S. Saumya 

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

S. Baradia , S. Barman⁴¹ , S. Bhattacharya , S. Dutta , S. Dutta, P. Palit , S. Sarkar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

M.M. Ameen , P.K. Behera , S.C. Behera , S. Chatterjee , P. Jana , P. Kalbhor , J.R. Komaragiri⁴² , D. Kumar⁴² , L. Panwar⁴² , P.R. Pujahari , N.R. Saha , A. Sharma , A.K. Sikdar , S. Verma 

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

S. Dugad, M. Kumar , G.B. Mohanty , P. Suryadevara

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

A. Bala , S. Banerjee , R.M. Chatterjee, M. Guchait , Sh. Jain , S. Karmakar 

S. Kumar , G. Majumder , K. Mazumdar , S. Parolia , A. Thachayath 

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Bahinipati⁴³ , A.K. Das, C. Kar , D. Maity⁴⁴ , P. Mal , T. Mishra , V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu⁴⁴ , K. Naskar⁴⁴ , A. Nayak⁴⁴ , P. Sadangi, P. Saha , S.K. Swain , S. Varghese⁴⁴ , D. Vats⁴⁴ 

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Acharya⁴⁵ , A. Alpana , S. Dube , B. Gomber⁴⁵ , B. Kansal , A. Laha , B. Sahu⁴⁵ , S. Sharma 

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

H. Bakhshiansohi⁴⁶ , E. Khazaie⁴⁷ , M. Zeinali⁴⁸ 

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani⁴⁹ , S.M. Etesami , M. Khakzad , M. Mohammadi Najafabadi 

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Grunewald 

INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b} , R. Aly^{a,c,50} , A. Colaleo^{a,b} , D. Creanza^{a,c} , B. D'Anzi^{a,b} , N. De Filippis^{a,c} , M. De Palma^{a,b} , A. Di Florio^{a,c} , W. Elmetenawee^{a,b,50} , L. Fiore^a , G. Iaselli^{a,c} , M. Louka^{a,b} , G. Maggi^{a,c} , M. Maggi^a , I. Margjeka^{a,b} , V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b} , S. My^{a,b} , S. Nuzzo^{a,b} , A. Pellicchia^{a,b} , A. Pompili^{a,b} , G. Pugliese^{a,c} , R. Radogna^a , G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{a,c} , D. Ramos^a , A. Ranieri^a , L. Silvestris^a , F.M. Simone^{a,b} , Ü. Sözbilir^a , A. Stamerra^a , R. Venditti^a , P. Verwilligen^a , A. Zaza^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a , C. Battilana^{a,b} , D. Bonacorsi^{a,b} , L. Borgonovi^a , R. Campanini^{a,b} , P. Capiluppi^{a,b} , A. Castro^{a,b} , F.R. Cavallo^a , M. Cuffiani^{a,b} , G.M. Dallavalle^a , T. Diotallevi^{a,b} , F. Fabbri^a , A. Fanfani^{a,b} , D. Fasanella^{a,b} , P. Giacomelli^a , L. Giommi^{a,b} , L. Guiducci^{a,b} , S. Lo Meo^{a,51} , L. Lunerti^{a,b} , S. Marcellini^a , G. Masetti^a , F.L. Navarria^{a,b} , A. Perrotta^a , F. Primavera^{a,b} , A.M. Rossi^{a,b} , T. Rovelli^{a,b} , G.P. Siroli^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Costa^{a,b,52} , A. Di Mattia^a , R. Potenza^{a,b} , A. Tricomi^{a,b,52} , C. Tuve^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

P. Assiouras^a , G. Barbagli^a , G. Bardelli^{a,b} , B. Camaiani^{a,b} , A. Cassese^a , R. Ceccarelli^a , V. Ciulli^{a,b} , C. Civinini^a , R. D'Alessandro^{a,b} , E. Focardi^{a,b} , T. Kello^a , G. Latino^{a,b} , P. Lenzi^{a,b} , M. Lizzo^a , M. Meschini^a , S. Paoletti^a , A. Papanastassiou^{a,b} , G. Sguazzoni^a , L. Viliani^a 

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi , S. Bianco , S. Meola⁵³ , D. Piccolo 

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

P. Chatagnon^a , F. Ferro^a , E. Robutti^a , S. Tosi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a , G. Boldrini^{a,b} , F. Brivio^a , F. Cetorelli^a , F. De Guio^{a,b} 

M.E. Dinardo^{a,b} , P. Dini^a , S. Gennai^a , R. Gerosa^{a,b} , A. Ghezzi^{a,b} , P. Govoni^{a,b} , L. Guzzi^a , M.T. Lucchini^{a,b} , M. Malberti^a , S. Malvezzi^a , A. Massironi^a , D. Menasce^a , L. Moroni^a , M. Paganoni^{a,b} , D. Pedrini^a , B.S. Pinolini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b} , T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b} , D. Zuolo^a 

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM)^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^a , A. Cagnotta^{a,b} , F. Carnevali^{a,b}, N. Cavallo^{a,c} , A. De Iorio^{a,b} , F. Fabozzi^{a,c} , A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b} , L. Lista^{a,b,54} , P. Paolucci^{a,33} , B. Rossi^a , C. Sciacca^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

R. Ardino^a , P. Azzi^a , N. Bacchetta^{a,55} , D. Bisello^{a,b} , P. Bortignon^a , A. Bragagnolo^{a,b} , R. Carlin^{a,b} , P. Checchia^a , T. Dorigo^a , F. Gasparini^{a,b} , U. Gasparini^{a,b} , E. Lusiani^a , M. Margoni^{a,b} , A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b} , M. Migliorini^{a,b} , J. Pazzini^{a,b} , P. Ronchese^{a,b} , R. Rossin^{a,b} , F. Simonetto^{a,b} , G. Strong^a , M. Tosi^{a,b} , A. Triossi^{a,b} , S. Ventura^a , H. Yarar^{a,b}, M. Zanetti^{a,b} , P. Zotto^{a,b} , A. Zucchetta^{a,b} , G. Zumerle^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

S. Abu Zeid^{a,56} , C. Aimè^{a,b} , A. Braghieri^a , S. Calzaferri^a , D. Fiorina^a , P. Montagna^{a,b} , V. Re^a , C. Riccardi^{a,b} , P. Salvini^a , I. Vai^{a,b} , P. Vitulo^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

S. Ajmal^{a,b} , P. Asenov^{a,57} , G.M. Bilei^a , D. Ciangottini^{a,b} , L. Fanò^{a,b} , M. Magherini^{a,b} , G. Mantovani^{a,b}, V. Mariani^{a,b} , M. Menichelli^a , F. Moscatelli^{a,57} , A. Rossi^{a,b} , A. Santocchia^{a,b} , D. Spiga^a , T. Tedeschi^{a,b} 

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy; Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy

P. Azzurri^a , G. Bagliesi^a , R. Bhattacharya^a , L. Bianchini^{a,b} , T. Boccali^a , E. Bossini^a , D. Bruschini^{a,c} , R. Castaldi^a , M.A. Ciocci^{a,b} , M. Cipriani^{a,b} , V. D'Amante^{a,d} , R. Dell'Orso^a , S. Donato^a , A. Giassi^a , F. Ligabue^{a,c} , D. Matos Figueiredo^a , A. Messineo^{a,b} , M. Musich^{a,b} , F. Palla^a , A. Rizzi^{a,b} , G. Rolandi^{a,c} , S. Roy Chowdhury^a , T. Sarkar^a , A. Scribano^a , P. Spagnolo^a , R. Tenchini^a , G. Tonelli^{a,b} , N. Turini^{a,d} , A. Venturi^a , P.G. Verdini^a

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy

P. Barria^a , M. Campana^{a,b} , F. Cavallari^a , L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{a,b} , D. Del Re^{a,b} , E. Di Marco^a , M. Diemoz^a , F. Errico^{a,b} , E. Longo^{a,b} , P. Meridiani^a , J. Mijuskovic^{a,b} , G. Organtini^{a,b} , F. Pandolfi^a , R. Paramatti^{a,b} , C. Quaranta^{a,b} , S. Rahatlou^{a,b} , C. Rovelli^a , F. Santanastasio^{a,b} , L. Soffi^a 

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b} , R. Arcidiacono^{a,c} , S. Argiro^{a,b} , M. Arneodo^{a,c} , N. Bartosik^a , R. Bellan^{a,b} , A. Bellora^{a,b} , C. Biino^a , N. Cartiglia^a , M. Costa^{a,b} , R. Covarelli^{a,b} , N. Demaria^a , L. Finco^a , M. Grippa^{a,b} , B. Kiani^{a,b} , F. Legger^a , F. Luongo^{a,b} , C. Mariotti^a , S. Maselli^a , A. Mecca^{a,b} , E. Migliore^{a,b} , M. Monteno^a , R. Mulargia^a , M.M. Obertino^{a,b} , G. Ortona^a , L. Pacher^{a,b} , N. Pastrone^a , M. Pelliccioni^a , M. Ruspa^{a,c} , F. Siviero^{a,b} , V. Sola^{a,b} , A. Solano^{a,b} , A. Staiano^a , C. Tarricone^{a,b} , D. Trocino^a , G. Umoret^{a,b} , E. Vlasov^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a , V. Candelise^{a,b} , M. Casarsa^a , F. Cossutti^a , K. De Leo^{a,b} ,
G. Della Ricca^{a,b} 

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra , J. Hong , C. Huh , B. Kim , D.H. Kim , J. Kim, H. Lee, S.W. Lee ,
C.S. Moon , Y.D. Oh , M.S. Ryu , S. Sekmen , Y.C. Yang 

Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNu, Gangneung, Korea

M.S. Kim 

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

G. Bak , P. Gwak , H. Kim , D.H. Moon 

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar , D. Kim , T.J. Kim , J.A. Merlin

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi , S. Han, B. Hong , K. Lee, K.S. Lee , S. Lee , J. Park, S.K. Park, J. Yoo 

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh , S. Yang 

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H. S. Kim , Y. Kim, S. Lee

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi , W. Jun , J. Kim , J.S. Kim, S. Ko , H. Kwon , H. Lee ,
J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang, I. Yoon 

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang , D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang , S. Kim , B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh,
I.J. Watson 

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha , H.D. Yoo 

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee, Y. Lee , I. Yu 

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

T. Beyrouthy, Y. Maghrbi 

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

K. Dreimanis , A. Gaile , G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko , M. Seidel , V. Veckalns⁵⁸ 

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks 

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

M. Ambrozas , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis 

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , I. Yusuff⁵⁹ , Z. Zolkapli

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez, M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehrawat , L. Valencia Palomo 

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , E. De La Cruz-Burelo , I. Heredia-De La Cruz⁶⁰ , R. Lopez-Fernandez , C.A. Mondragon Herrera, A. Sánchez Hernández 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera , M. Ramírez García 

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bautista , I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada 

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

I. Bubanja , N. Raicevic 

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

P.H. Butler 

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar, A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani , W.A. Khan 

AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Grzanka , M. Malawski 

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska , M. Bluj , B. Boimska , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szleper , P. Zalewski 

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski , A. Muhammad 

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

K. Pozniak , W. Zabolotny 

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo , D. Bastos , C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva , A. Boletti , M. Bozzo , T. Camporesi , G. Da Molin , P. Faccioli , M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar , N. Leonardo , T. Niknejad , A. Petrilli , M. Pisano , J. Seixas , J. Varela , J.W. Wulff

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

P. Adzic , P. Milenovic 

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Dordevic , J. Milosevic , V. Rekovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre , Cristina F. Bedoya , M. Cepeda , M. Cerada , N. Colino , B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , A. Escalante Del Valle , D. Fernández Del Val , J.P. Fernández Ramos , J. Flix , M.C. Fouz , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez , J.M. Hernandez , M.I. Josa , D. Moran , C. M. Morcillo Perez , Á. Navarro Tobar , C. Perez Dengra , A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo , J. Puerta Pelayo , I. Redondo , D.D. Redondo Ferrero , L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas , L. Urda Gómez 

J. Vazquez Escobar , C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz 

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Cuevas , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras , I. Gonzalez Caballero , J.R. González Fernández , E. Palencia Cortezon , C. Ramón Álvarez , V. Rodríguez Bouza , A. Soto Rodríguez , A. Trapote , C. Vico Villalba , P. Vischia 

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

S. Bhowmik , S. Blanco Fernández , J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros , M. Fernandez , G. Gomez , C. Lasasoa García , C. Martinez Rivero , P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas , E. Navarrete Ramos , J. Piedra Gomez , L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , J.M. Vizan Garcia 

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

M.K. Jayananda , B. Kailasapathy⁶¹ , D.U.J. Sonnadara , D.D.C. Wickramarathna 

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna⁶² , K. Liyanage , N. Perera , N. Wickramage 

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo , C. Amendola , E. Auffray , G. Auzinger , J. Baechler, D. Barney , A. Bermúdez Martínez , M. Bianco , B. Bilin , A.A. Bin Anuar , A. Bocci , E. Brondolin , C. Caillol , G. Cerminara , N. Chernyavskaya , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski , A. David , A. De Roeck , M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , F. Fallavollita⁶³, L. Forthomme , G. Franzoni , W. Funk , S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill , F. Glege , L. Gouskos , M. Haranko , J. Hegeman , B. Huber, V. Innocente , T. James , P. Janot , S. Laurila , P. Lecoq , E. Leutgeb , C. Lourenço , B. Maier , L. Malgeri , M. Mannelli , A.C. Marini , M. Matthewman, F. Meijers , S. Mersi , E. Meschi , V. Milosevic , F. Monti , F. Moortgat , M. Mulders , I. Neutelings , S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo , G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer , M. Pierini , D. Piparo , H. Qu , D. Rabadý , G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Rovere , H. Sakulin , S. Scarfi , C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , K. Shchelina , P. Silva , P. Sphicas⁶⁴ , A.G. Stahl Leiton , A. Steen , S. Summers , D. Treille , P. Tropea , A. Tsiros, D. Walter , J. Wanczyk⁶⁵ , J. Wang, S. Wuchterl , P. Zehetner , P. Zejdl , W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua⁶⁶ , L. Caminada⁶⁶ , A. Ebrahimi , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger , Q. Ingram , H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , M. Missiroli⁶⁶ , L. Noehte⁶⁶ , T. Rohe 

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad , K. Androsov⁶⁵ , M. Backhaus , A. Calandri , C. Cazzaniga , K. Datta , A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar, M. Donegà , F. Eble , M. Galli , K. Gedia , F. Glessgen , C. Grab , D. Hits , W. Lustermann , A.-M. Lyon , R.A. Manzoni , M. Marchegiani , L. Marchese , C. Martin Perez , A. Mascellani⁶⁵ , F. Nessi-Tedaldi , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , S. Pigazzini , M. Reichmann , C. Reissel , T. Reitenspiess , B. Ristic , F. Riti , D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra , R. Seidita , J. Steggemann⁶⁵ , D. Valsecchi , R. Wallny 

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁶⁷ , P. Bärtschi , C. Botta , D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli , K. Cormier , R. Del Burgo, J.K. Heikkilä , M. Huwiler , W. Jin , A. Jofrehei , B. Kilminster , S. Leontsinis , S.P. Liechti , A. Macchiolo , P. Meiring , V.M. Mikuni , U. Molinatti , A. Reimers , P. Robmann, S. Sanchez Cruz , K. Schweiger , M. Senger , Y. Takahashi , R. Tramontano 

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C. Adloff⁶⁸, D. Bhowmik, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout , P.C. Tiwari⁴² , S.S. Yu 

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard, Y. Chao , K.F. Chen , P.s. Chen, Z.g. Chen, W.-S. Hou , T.h. Hsu, Y.w. Kao, R. Khurana, G. Kole , Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , X.f. Su , J. Thomas-Wilsker , L.s. Tsai, H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan 

High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatrangkuldee , N. Srimanobhas , V. Wachirapusanand 

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel , F. Boran , Z.S. Demiroglu , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu⁶⁹ , E. Eskut , Y. Guler⁷⁰ , E. Gurpinar Guler⁷⁰ , C. Isik , O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu , U. Kiminsu , G. Onengut , K. Ozdemir⁷¹ , A. Polatoz , B. Tali⁷² , U.G. Tok , S. Turkcapar , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

M. Yalvac⁷³ 

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya⁷⁴ , O. Kaya⁷⁵ , S. Tekten⁷⁶ 

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir , K. Cankocak^{69,77} , Y. Komurcu , S. Sen⁷⁸ 

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek , S. Cerci⁷² , V. Epshteyn , B. Hacisahinoglu , I. Hos⁷⁹ , B. Kaynak , S. Ozkorucuklu , O. Potok , H. Sert , C. Simsek , D. Sunar Cerci⁷² , C. Zorbilmez 

Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Isildak⁸⁰ 

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

A. Boyaryntsev , B. Grynyov 

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk 

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

D. Anthony , J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , F. Bury , E. Clement , D. Cussans , H. Flacher , M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , L. Kreczko , S. Paramesvaran , S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith , N. Stylianou⁸¹ , K. Walkingshaw Pass, R. White 

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev⁸² , C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill , C. Cooke , K.V. Ellis, K. Harder , S. Harper , M.-L. Holmberg⁸³ , J. Linacre , K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya, D. Petyt , T. Reis , G. Salvi , T. Schuh,

C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , T. Williams 

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , C.E. Brown , O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya , G.S. Chahal⁸⁴ , D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, I. Das , P. Dauncey , G. Davies , J. Davies, M. Della Negra , S. Fayer, G. Fedi , G. Hall , M.H. Hassanshahi , A. Howard, G. Iles , M. Knight , J. Langford , J. León Holgado , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan , S. Malik, A. Martelli , M. Mieskolainen , J. Nash⁸⁵ , M. Pesaresi , B.C. Radburn-Smith , A. Richards, A. Rose , C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley , L.H. Vage, T. Virdee³³ , M. Vojinovic , N. Wardle , D. Winterbottom 

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid 

Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA

S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , B. Caraway , J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , J. Hiltbrand , B. McMaster , M. Saunders , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , J. Wilson 

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek , R. Uniyal , A.M. Vargas Hernandez 

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA

B. Bam , R. Chudasama , S.I. Cooper , S.V. Gleyzer , C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio⁸⁶ , E. Usai , R. Yi 

Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

A. Akpinar , A. Albert , D. Arcaro , C. Cosby , Z. Demiragli , C. Erice , C. Fangmeier , C. Fernandez Madrazo , E. Fontanesi , D. Gastler , F. Golf , S. Jeon , I. Reed , J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart , I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , S. Yuan , A.G. Zecchinelli 

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA

G. Benelli , X. Coubez²⁸, D. Cutts , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , J.M. Hogan⁸⁷ , T. Kwon , G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , D. Li , J. Luo , S. Mondal , M. Narain[†] , N. Pervan , S. Sagir⁸⁸ , F. Simpson , M. Stamenkovic , W.Y. Wong, X. Yan , W. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA

S. Abbott , J. Bonilla , C. Brainerd , R. Breedon , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez , M. Chertok , M. Citron , J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , F. Jensen , O. Kukral , G. Mocellin , M. Mulhearn , D. Pellett , W. Wei , Y. Yao , F. Zhang 

University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

M. Bachtis , R. Cousins , A. Datta , G. Flores Avila, J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko , M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , E. Manca , A. Nunez Del Prado, D. Saltzberg , V. Valuev 

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

R. Clare , J.W. Gary , M. Gordon, G. Hanson , W. Si , S. Wimpenny[†] 

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA

J.G. Branson , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , D. Diaz , J. Duarte , L. Giannini , J. Guiang , R. Kansal , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts , M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , S. Mukherjee , M. Pieri , M. Quinnan , B.V. Sathia Narayanan , V. Sharma , M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , Y. Xiang , A. Yagil 

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA

A. Barzdukas , L. Brennan , C. Campagnari , A. Dorsett , J. Incandela , J. Kim , A.J. Li , P. Masterson , H. Mei , J. Richman , U. Sarica , R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , D. Stuart , T.Á. Vámi , S. Wang 

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

A. Bornheim , O. Cerri , A. Latorre , J. Mao , H.B. Newman , M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , C. Wang , S. Xie , R.Y. Zhu 

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

J. Alison , S. An , M.B. Andrews , P. Bryant , M. Cremonesi , V. Dutta , T. Ferguson , A. Harilal , C. Liu , T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy , M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill 

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hassani , G. Karathanasis , E. MacDonald , N. Manganelli , F. Marini , A. Perloff , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner , N. Zipper 

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

J. Alexander , S. Bright-Thonney , X. Chen , D.J. Cranshaw , J. Fan , X. Fan , D. Gadkari , S. Hogan , P. Kotamnives , J. Monroy , M. Oshiro , J.R. Patterson , J. Reichert , M. Reid , A. Ryd , J. Thom , P. Wittich , R. Zou 

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA

M. Albrow , M. Alyari , O. Amram , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerdick , D. Berry , J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati , H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , G. Cummings , J. Dickinson , I. Dutta , V.D. Elvira , Y. Feng , J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gecse , L. Gray , D. Green , A. Grummer , S. Grünendahl , D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , R. Heller , T.C. Herwig , J. Hirschauer , L. Horyn , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijnsma , B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok , S. Lammel , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , C. Madrid , K. Maeshima , C. Mantilla , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna , S. Nahn , J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro , C. Pena⁸⁹ , F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall⁹⁰ , L. Ristori , E. Sexton-Kennedy , N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , S. Stoynev , J. Strait , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk , N.V. Tran , L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , I. Zoi 

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

C. Aruta , P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , L. Cadamuro , P. Chang , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field , E. Koenig , M. Kolosova , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , K.H. Lo , K. Matchev , N. Menendez , G. Mitselmakher , K. Mohrman , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , D. Rosenzweig , S. Rosenzweig , K. Shi , J. Wang 

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

T. Adams , A. Al Kadhim , A. Askew , N. Bower , R. Habibullah , V. Hagopian , R. Hashmi , R.S. Kim , S. Kim , T. Kolberg , G. Martinez , H. Prosper , P.R. Prova , O. Viazlo , M. Wulansatiti , R. Yohay , J. Zhang 

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA

B. Alsufyani , M.M. Baarmand , S. Butalla , T. Elkafrawy⁵⁶ , M. Hohlmann 

R. Kumar Verma , M. Rahmani, E. Yanes

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, USA, Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams , A. Baty , C. Bennett, R. Cavanaugh , S. Dittmer , R. Escobar Franco , O. Evdokimov , C.E. Gerber , D.J. Hofman , J.h. Lee , D. S. Lemos , A.H. Merrit , C. Mills , S. Nanda , G. Oh , B. Ozek , D. Pilipovic , R. Pradhan , T. Roy , S. Rudrabhatla , M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , X. Wang , Z. Ye , J. Yoo 

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

M. Alhusseini , D. Blend, K. Dilsiz⁹¹ , L. Emediato , G. Karaman , O.K. Köseyan , J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁹² , J. Nachtman , O. Neogi, H. Ogul⁹³ , Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder, E. Tiras⁹⁴ 

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

B. Blumenfeld , L. Corcodilos , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , L. Kang , S. Kyriacou , P. Maksimovic , M. Roguljic , J. Roskes , S. Sekhar , M. Swartz 

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , P. Baringer , A. Bean , Z. Flowers , D. Grove , J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu , C. Lindsey, J. Marquez , N. Minafra , M. Murray , M. Nickel , M. Pitt , S. Popescu⁹⁵ , C. Rogan , C. Royon , R. Salvatico , S. Sanders , C. Smith , Q. Wang , G. Wilson 

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

B. Allmond , A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , A. Kalogeropoulos , D. Kim, Y. Maravin , K. Nam, J. Natoli , D. Roy , G. Sorrentino 

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

F. Rebassoo , D. Wright 

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

A. Baden , A. Belloni , A. Bethani , Y.M. Chen , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley , S. Jabeen , R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , Y. Lai , S. Lascio , A.C. Mignerey , S. Nabili , C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , M.M. Paranjpe, L. Wang 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

J. Bendavid , W. Busza , I.A. Cali , Y. Chen , M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans , C. Freer , G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov, G. Grosso, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi , J. Krupa , L. Lavezzo , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , C. Mironov , C. Paus , D. Rankin , C. Roland , G. Roland , S. Rothman , Z. Shi , G.S.F. Stephans , Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang 

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

B. Crossman , B.M. Joshi , C. Kapsiak , M. Krohn , D. Mahon , J. Mans , B. Marzocchi , S. Pandey , M. Revering , R. Rusack , R. Saradhy , N. Schroeder , N. Strobbe , M.A. Wadud 

University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA

L.M. Cremaldi 

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA

K. Bloom , M. Bryson, D.R. Claes , G. Haza , J. Hossain , C. Joo , I. Kravchenko , J.E. Siado , W. Tabb , A. Vagnerini , A. Wightman , F. Yan , D. Yu 

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA

H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , I. Iashvili , A. Kharchilava , M. Morris , D. Nguyen , S. Rappoccio , H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams 

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

G. Alverson , E. Barberis , J. Dervan, Y. Haddad , Y. Han , A. Krishna , J. Li , M. Lu , G. Madigan , R. McCarthy , D.M. Morse , V. Nguyen , T. Orimoto , A. Parker , L. Skinnari , A. Tishelman-Charny , B. Wang , D. Wood 

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA

S. Bhattacharya , J. Bueghly, Z. Chen , K.A. Hahn , Y. Liu , Y. Miao , D.G. Monk , M.H. Schmitt , A. Taliercio , M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA

G. Agarwal , R. Band , R. Bucci, S. Castells , A. Das , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth , K.W. Ho , K. Hurtado Anampa , T. Ivanov , C. Jessop , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence , N. Loukas , L. Lutton , J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady , C. Moore , Y. Musienko¹⁷ , H. Nelson , M. Osherson , A. Piccinelli , R. Ruchti , A. Townsend , Y. Wan, M. Wayne , H. Yockey, M. Zarucki , L. Zygala 

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

A. Basnet , B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan , L.S. Durkin , C. Hill , M. Joyce , M. Nunez Ornelas , K. Wei, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

F.M. Addesa , H. Bouchamaoui , P. Das , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , A. Frankenthal , B. Greenberg , N. Haubrich , G. Kopp , S. Kwan , D. Lange , A. Loeliger , D. Marlow , I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , A. Shevelev , D. Stickland , C. Tully 

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA

S. Malik 

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

A.S. Bakshi , V.E. Barnes , S. Chandra , R. Chawla , S. Das , A. Gu , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung , D. Kondratyev , A.M. Koshy, M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki , S. Piperov , V. Scheurer, J.F. Schulte , M. Stojanovic , J. Thieman , A. K. Viridi , F. Wang , W. Xie 

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA

J. Dolen , N. Parashar , A. Pathak 

Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

D. Acosta , T. Carnahan , K.M. Ecklund , P.J. Fernández Manteca , S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts , W. Li , O. Miguel Colin , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi, J. Rotter , E. Yigitbasi , Y. Zhang 

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA

A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro , R. Demina , J.L. Dulemba , C. Fallon, A. Garcia-Bellido , O. Hindrichs , A. Khukhunaishvili , N. Parmar, P. Parygin³⁴ , E. Popova³⁴ , R. Taus , G.P. Van Onsem 

The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA

K. Goulianos 

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , Y. Gershtein , E. Halkiadakis , A. Hart , M. Heindl 

D. Jaroslowski , O. Karacheban³¹ , I. Laflotte , A. Lath , R. Montalvo, K. Nash, H. Routray , S. Salur , S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar , R. Stone , S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, J. Vora , H. Wang 

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA

H. Acharya, D. Ally , A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , S. Higginbotham , T. Holmes , A.R. Kanuganti , N. Karunarathna , L. Lee , E. Nibigira , S. Spanier 

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

D. Aebi , M. Ahmad , O. Bouhali⁹⁶ , M. Dalchenko , R. Eusebi , J. Gilmore , T. Huang , T. Kamon⁹⁷ , H. Kim , S. Luo , S. Malhotra, R. Mueller , D. Overton , D. Rathjens , A. Safonov 

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , V. Hegde , A. Hussain , Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane , S.W. Lee , A. Mankel , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev , A. Whitbeck 

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA

E. Appelt , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli , A. Melo , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon , S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen 

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

B. Cardwell , B. Cox , J. Hakala , R. Hirosky , A. Ledovskoy , C. Neu , C.E. Perez Lara 

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA

P.E. Karchin 

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

A. Aravind, S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , S. Dasu , I. De Bruyn , P. Everaerts , C. Galloni, H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , A. Lanaro, R. Loveless , J. Madhusudanan Sreekala , A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, G. Parida , D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi , W. Vetens , A. Warden 

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

S. Afanasiev , V. Andreev , Yu. Andreev , T. Aushev , M. Azarkin , I. Azhgirey , A. Babaev , A. Belyaev , V. Blinov⁹⁸, E. Boos , V. Borshch , D. Budkouski , V. Bunichev , M. Chadeeva⁹⁸ , V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov⁹⁸ , A. Dermenev , T. Dimova⁹⁸ , D. Druzhkin⁹⁹ , M. Dubinin⁸⁹ , L. Dudko , G. Gavrilo , V. Gavrilo , S. Gninenko , V. Golovtcov , N. Golubev , I. Golutvin , I. Gorbunov , A. Gribushin , Y. Ivanov , V. Kachanov , V. Karjavine , A. Karneyeu , V. Kim⁹⁸ , M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov , M. Kirsanov , V. Klyukhin , O. Kodolova¹⁰⁰ , D. Konstantinov , V. Korenkov , A. Kozyrev⁹⁸ , N. Krasnikov , A. Lanev , P. Levchenko¹⁰¹ , N. Lychkovskaya , V. Makarenko , A. Malakhov , V. Matveev⁹⁸ , V. Murzin , A. Nikitenko^{102,100} , S. Obraztsov , V. Oreshkin , V. Palichik , V. Perelygin , M. Perfilov, S. Petrushanko , S. Polikarpov⁹⁸ , V. Popov , O. Radchenko⁹⁸ , R. Ryutin, M. Savina , V. Savrin , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov , S. Shulha , Y. Skovpen⁹⁸ , S. Slabospitskii , V. Smirnov , A. Snigirev , D. Sosnov , V. Sulimov , E. Tcherniaev , A. Terkulov , O. Teryaev , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin , L. Uvarov , A. Uzunian , A. Volkov, A. Vorobyev[†], N. Voytishin , B.S. Yuldashev¹⁰³, A. Zarubin , I. Zhizhin , A. Zhokin 

†: Deceased

- ¹Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia
- ²Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria
- ³Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt
- ⁴Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
- ⁵Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- ⁶Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- ⁷Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil
- ⁸Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China
- ⁹Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA
- ¹⁰Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- ¹¹Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China
- ¹²Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- ¹³Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China
- ¹⁴Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China
- ¹⁵Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
- ¹⁶Also at University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia
- ¹⁷Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ¹⁸Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- ¹⁹Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
- ²⁰Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- ²¹Also at Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Mesra, India
- ²²Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA
- ²³Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- ²⁴Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- ²⁵Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil
- ²⁶Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- ²⁷Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- ²⁸Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- ²⁹Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ³⁰Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany
- ³¹Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- ³²Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany
- ³³Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- ³⁴Now at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ³⁵Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- ³⁶Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- ³⁷Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- ³⁸Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt
- ³⁹Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ⁴⁰Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India
- ⁴¹Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- ⁴²Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India
- ⁴³Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁴⁴Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁴⁵Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- ⁴⁶Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

- ⁴⁷Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- ⁴⁸Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- ⁴⁹Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran
- ⁵⁰Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁵¹Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- ⁵²Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- ⁵³Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
- ⁵⁴Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy
- ⁵⁵Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA
- ⁵⁶Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁵⁷Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- ⁵⁸Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia
- ⁵⁹Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- ⁶⁰Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁶¹Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- ⁶²Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka
- ⁶³Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- ⁶⁴Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁶⁵Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁶⁶Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁶⁷Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶⁸Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶⁹Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
- ⁷⁰Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁷¹Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
- ⁷²Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- ⁷³Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- ⁷⁴Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁵Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁶Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- ⁷⁷Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁸Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷⁹Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁸⁰Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁸¹Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- ⁸²Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- ⁸³Also at University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁴Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁵Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- ⁸⁶Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ⁸⁷Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA
- ⁸⁸Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- ⁸⁹Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

⁹⁰Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA

⁹¹Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey

⁹²Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

⁹³Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey

⁹⁴Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

⁹⁵Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania

⁹⁶Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar

⁹⁷Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

⁹⁸Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹⁹Also at Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

¹⁰⁰Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

¹⁰¹Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁰²Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

¹⁰³Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan