Transfer Students' Experiences, Identity Development, and Outcomes in Engineering Technology Programs: A Review

Ms. Ibarre Araojo, Wayne State University

Ibarre Araojo is a dedicated Graduate Research Assistant with a specialized focus in Sociology, emphasizing the exploration of the nexus between student performance, retention rates, experiences, and goals, particularly among minority groups. Leveraging a rich background in Information Technology and Education, Araojo undertakes extensive literature reviews to deepen our understanding of these pivotal areas.

Armed with a Bachelor of Science in Information Technology and another in Education, alongside a professional teaching license from the Philippines, Araojo brings a distinct perspective to the challenges and opportunities present at the confluence of technology, education, and sociology. Currently, on the cusp of completing a Master's degree in Sociology, Araojo is deeply committed to contributing to research that supports underrepresented students across various disciplines, not limited to engineering and technology but extending to other areas as well.

Araojo's academic endeavors are fueled by a steadfast dedication to enhancing educational experiences and outcomes for diverse student populations. At the forthcoming ASEE conference, Araojo eagerly anticipates the opportunity to present findings and partake in substantive discussions regarding equity in education and the pivotal role of technology in fostering inclusive learning environments.

Araojo finds passion in reviewing previous studies, finding their results not only intriguing but also instrumental in enriching a holistic approach to research and education.

Dr. Mohsen Ayoobi, Wayne State University

Dr. Ayoobi is currently serving as an associate professor in the Division of Engineering Technology in Wayne State University's College of Engineering. Dr. Ayoobi's research interests include Computational Reactive Flow, Thermal Management, and Engineering Education.

David Merolla, Wayne State University

David M. Merolla is association professor and chair of sociology at Wayne University in Detroit, MI. His research interests include how identity processes shape the trajectories of STEM students.

Transfer Students' Experiences, Identity Development, and Outcomes in Engineering Technology Programs: A Review

Abstract

This research paper presents a comprehensive review of the existing literature regarding the experiences of transfer students with a focus on Engineering Technology (ET) education in 4-year institutions. The overarching objective is to review the literature around the experiences, identity development, and outcomes of students transitioning from community colleges to 4-year institutions for an ET degree (upper two-year program) and provide guidelines for the engineering education research community toward future studies. The increasing trend of students moving between institutions in pursuit of engineering education has led to a profound need for understanding the multi-dimensional challenges they grapple with. In the realm of ET, these challenges magnify, with transfer students navigating both academic intricacies (e.g., curriculum misalignment) and socio-cultural dynamics (e.g., integration into new academic communities). Recognizing the challenges in ET education, this review sheds light, particularly on literature that provides actionable insights for ET educators. It highlights strategies for curriculum alignment, effective teaching methodologies, and approaches for socio-cultural integration in ET programs. Furthermore, the journey of identity development, quintessential for their retention and success in the new environment, is portrayed as an intricate process. First, ET transfer students are entangled in a web of academic and non-academic hurdles. These range from technicalities like curriculum harmonization to non-academic aspects related to socio-cultural integration and identity reformation. The studies discussed within this review provide robust evidence addressing these multifaceted challenges. Second, the significance of identity development, pivotal for their integration, performance, and overall well-being, emerges as a complex interplay of myriad factors, both internal and external. This review encompasses a systematic examination of empirical studies, sourced from various academic databases. It adheres to specific criteria, focusing on the research relevant to ET education and the experiences of transfer students.

Despite the rich insights from the extant literature, the authors have identified the following areas that require further exploration to benefit the literature in this field:

- Emphasis on 4-year ET transfer students' psychological and emotional well-being. While the literature emphasizes the nuanced journey of identity development, there is potential merit in understanding how these transitions and challenges influence mental health outcomes, ensuring comprehensive institutional support.
- Understanding the identity evolution stages of ET transfer students in 4-year institutions, aiming to decode the driving forces and impediments in this sphere.
- Evaluating the effectiveness of recently adopted institutional mandates or initiatives designed to elevate the transfer odyssey, spotlighting potential lasting ramifications.

In steering the larger academic community, this review advocates for a deeper immersion into the aforementioned realms, with the aspiration that the resultant knowledge amplifies the scholastic trajectories of ET transfer students and enriches their comprehensive evolution within the engineering spectrum.

Keywords: Engineering Technology Education, Transfer Students, Identity Development, Institutional Challenges, Curriculum Alignment.

1. Background

Engineering Technology (ET) programs in community colleges represent a distinct facet of engineering education, catering to different student populations and workforce development needs compared to conventional four-year degree paths. ET programs prioritize practical, application-oriented learning, equipping students with hands-on problem-solving skills directly relevant to the industry. For instance, students in ET programs may engage in projects simulating real-world engineering challenges, fostering their ability to tackle practical issues. In contrast, traditional four-year engineering programs delve deeper into the theoretical foundations of engineering, preparing students for a broad spectrum of roles in research, design, and development. These programs provide a strong theoretical understanding of engineering principles, allowing graduates to contribute to cutting-edge innovations and theoretical advancements. Ultimately, ET in both community colleges and traditional engineering programs plays a crucial role in the engineering landscape, each attracting many students with unique interests and career aspirations. Whether one chooses to pursue an ET program, geared towards applied skills and immediate industry integration, or a traditional engineering program, focused on comprehensive theoretical knowledge and diverse engineering applications, they will find rewarding opportunities in their respective paths after graduation.

The substantial differences in curriculum, teaching techniques, and program outcomes result in varied student demographics between these two educational pathways. Notably, ET programs, with their practical emphasis and direct industry relevance, attract a significant proportion of transfer students. This group of learners commences their education at one institution, (usually a community college), and later transitions to a different institution (e.g., a four-year institution) to complete their degree. The unique academic trajectories of transfer students coupled with the specialized nature of ET education underscore the importance of investigating and understanding their experiences. The academic journey of transfer students is complex and multi-faceted and it is crucial to maintain a comprehensive perspective, considering multiple angles and employing a variety of methodologies. The exploration of these diverse methodologies, findings, and recommendations across different studies provides an enriching understanding of the experiences of transfer students in engineering technology programs.

Although initiating the academic journey at two-year colleges, and transitioning into four-year institutions could present various challenges, it also shapes students' academic, professional, and personal identity development and growth. The objective of this paper is to review the existing research focusing on the experiences of transfer students within the domain of ET education. This review encompasses various aspects, including the *challenges faced by transfer students, their identity development process, trends in their college enrollment and completion rates, and their experiences within and beyond the academic community,* with an ultimate goal of contributing to a nuanced understanding of the transfer student experience in ET education, thereby informing the development of effective strategies to enhance their academic journeys.

2. ET Transfer Students' Experiences, Identity Development and Outcomes

i. Transitioning Experiences from Community Colleges to 4-year Institutions

The transition from a community college to a four-year institution is a complex process, akin to a relay race, encapsulating the complexity of academic progress. Here, the baton serves as a metaphor for academic continuity, while the runners symbolize the different educational institutions. Each student, in their unique academic capacity, maneuvers through an educational

landscape replete with opportunities yet fraught with challenges. In exploring this educational journey, the literature provides unique insights into the experiences of transfer students [1]. Using Schlossberg's Transition Theory, the complexities of such a transition, examining how it is not just about academic change but also about personal transformation, are highlighted. The findings from these studies reveal the four coping resource categories - *situation, self, support, and strategies* - that transfer students use during this transition [1].

Throughout this educational journey, the most significant challenge often arises in the form of pedagogical transition. Contrasting teaching methodologies between community colleges and universities often results in academic and social struggles for transfer students [2]. The importance of streamlining this pedagogical transition has been further emphasized by other qualitative studies [3]. Transfer students often face more than just instructional disparities, grappling with an unanticipated rigor in academic requirements at universities. Research suggests that the 'transfer shock' is not just about academic difficulties but also about how students employ different coping strategies to handle these transitions [1]. The concept of ‘transfer shock’ is the subject of ongoing debates — some researchers found comparable grading standards at community colleges and universities [4], while others assert there is no evidence supporting it as a product of the transfer process [5]. However, longitudinal data suggests that academia's intensity can induce a shock, leading to increased stress levels and academic downturn among transfer students [6]. For example, a study in Texas detailed the experiences of over a thousand engineering transfer students, expressing both satisfaction and acknowledgment of challenges such as escalating costs, complex credit transfer processes, and rigorous academic expectations [7]. Another study emphasized the significant impact of research experiences on community college students, particularly in enhancing self-efficacy and career aspirations [8]. Bureaucratic processes at four-year institutions add another layer of complexity, potentially becoming obstacles to academic progression [9]. Recommendations from research include the utilization of tools such as the Transfer Guide Modified (TGM) for a more in-depth exploration of student experiences, especially focusing on those with varying scores within the TGM's different factors [1].

Navigating academia requires not only academic resilience but also adapting to new sociocultural environments. These experiences often reshape student identities, making them more resilient and prepared for future challenges. The nuances of race and ethnicity in transfer experiences have been explored in research, revealing narratives of resilience, especially among Mexican and Mexican American students [10]. Other studies have also advocated for a transfer culture attuned to diverse cultural experiences [11]. Such research underscores the importance of considering transfer students' backgrounds and experiences when formulating academic policies and practices [10], [11].

Understanding the challenges transfer students face becomes imperative as the academic landscape evolves, especially within ET programs. Research highlights additional complexities in the transfer process when examining transfer students in these programs [3]. Moreover, navigating the unique credit and program requirements of each institution adds to their challenges [4], [12].

In STEM fields, transfer students face the daunting task of establishing an identity within the rigorous environment of selective private research institutions. Research suggests that through a robust process of social and academic integration, these students cultivate a resilient STEM identity [13]. The journey of each student represents a leg in an ongoing relay race that outlives

individual races. Understanding these transitions is a shared responsibility, requiring dedicated research efforts [1]. Only through this commitment to understanding transfer students' diverse experiences can progress be made.

Yet, given the intricate tapestry of experiences and challenges transfer students face, particularly in the realm of ET programs, several gaps remain in our comprehensive understanding of their academic journey. As the academic landscape continues to evolve, and transfer students grapple with both identity formation and academic resilience, specific queries emerge that require deeper exploration. These inquiries not only look to understand the individual's perception of their academic journey but also seek to identify institutional support and its consequent effects. Thus, the following research questions are offered in this work to further unpack and elucidate the multidimensional experiences of these students:

- *How do personalized academic experiences and self-perception within ET contribute to the shaping of transfer students' multi-layered identity, and to what extent does this identity influence their academic success and resilience in the first two years post-transfer?*
- *Considering institutional initiatives like the scholarships in STEM program, how do such institutional supports impact the engineering identity development of financially constrained transfer students, and how do these impacts manifest in their academic engagement and performance over their tenure at a 4-year institution?*

To fully grasp transfer students' journeys, it is essential to use a blend of methodologies. A longitudinal approach could trace students' GPA and retention over the first post-transfer years, offering a quantitative snapshot of their academic trajectory. On the qualitative side, semi-structured interviews and focus groups can capture individual experiences, while narrative analysis can shed light on institutional impacts on students' identities.

ii. Identity Development

The journey of transfer students in the field of Engineering Technology (ET) unravels a complex narrative, one that intertwines personal experiences, academic challenges, and identity development. These narratives reveal the nuanced challenges students face during the transition from two-year to four-year institutions, challenges that are magnified by intricate credit and program requirements [14]. Unraveling these challenges provides deep insights into the academic hurdles that transfer students encounter, paving the way for strategic solutions and proactive interventions.

In the complex story of transfer students, a significant aspect to consider is their process of identity development. This process spans their academic experiences and their self-perception within their field and directly influences academic performance and persistence. In the literature, a multi-layered identity model has been proposed, a concept that intertwines with the idea of students' success [14]. Correspondingly, through rigorous methodologies such as extensive surveys and confirmatory factor analysis, robust measures for engineering identity have also been developed [14]. It has been shown that the culture within educational institutions plays an influential role in this process of identity development. For example, an institutionally implemented Scholarships in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (S-STEM) program can stimulate engineering identity development among students, particularly those under financial constraints [15]. The role of academic institutions in this process has also been demonstrated in the literature by highlighting the potential of STEM enrichment programs in steering students toward graduate programs in science [16]. The literature underscores that these

programs are not merely avenues for academic support but can significantly influence student performance, degree completion, and even graduate enrollment. Laanan et al. focused on the dimension of "transfer student capital" and presented a nuanced viewpoint on the experiences of students transitioning from community colleges to 4-year universities. They underscored the pivotal role and relevance of this capital in student retention [17]. Using the Laanan-Transfer Students' Questionnaire (L-TSQ) instrument, they indicated that academic institutions should be particularly attuned to the prior academic experiences of their transfer students to foster optimal adjustments and success [17]. In another relevant work, researchers delved into the complex journey of transfer students in STEM at elite research institutions and highlighted the importance of active STEM engagement and peer recognition in the process of STEM identity formation [13]. This intricate tapestry of academic and personal experiences underscores the importance of localized change initiatives.

In essence, identity development among ET transfer students is an ongoing, multifaceted interplay of personal, academic, and institutional factors. The collective insights from the aforementioned studies enhance our understanding of this domain. However, future research with more particular attention to ET students is required to complement the field. The following research questions are presented in this work to pave the road for such investigations:

- *How might different educational environments or pedagogical approaches further impact the development of engineering identity among ET transfer students?*
- *Could comparative studies across various 4-year institutions or regions offer broader insights?*

Methodologically, longitudinal studies tracking the long-term success and career trajectories of ET transfer students' post-graduation could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the enduring impacts of their initial experiences. Additionally, exploring the influence of institutional policies and culture on STEM identity development among ET transfer students through qualitative research methods, such as in-depth interviews and focus groups, could uncover valuable perspectives often overlooked in quantitative analyses. Future research that deepens the understanding of this process will be instrumental in creating educational environments more aligned with the needs and aspirations of these students.

iii. Academic Performance

The identity development process of ET transfer students is an essential thread woven into the broader tapestry of their overall educational journey. Inextricably linked to their academic experiences and self-perception within the field, this complex process is shaped by multifaceted interactions between personal, academic, and institutional factors. As this nuanced exploration reveals, the development of engineering identity plays a pivotal role in influencing transfer students' academic performance, i.e., enrollment, persistence, time-to-degree, graduation rate, grades, etc. Yet, understanding this intricate identity development process forms just one part of the puzzle. A deeper exploration into the enrollment and completion rates of these transfer students provides crucial insights into their academic journey. This necessitates a consideration of research studies that have focused on these aspects, which build upon the foundation of identity development to provide a more holistic understanding of the transfer student experience. In a research study by Craig [18], the significant graduation gap between traditional students and those transitioning into ET programs is uncovered. This disparity underscores the intricate academic landscape that transfer students must traverse, a terrain that could be made less

daunting through enriched immersion sections and Peer-Led Team Learning (PLTL) sessions [19]. However, this journey is not without its tumultuous phases. 'Transfer shock,' which was also mentioned in the earlier part of this review is a concept highlighting the often-overlooked transitional challenges encountered by transfer students and was explored in a recent study [20]. This shock phase, with its potential to cause a dip in academic performance, is not an isolated hurdle but is intimately tied to students' psychological well-being, influencing their identity development. Thus, the shock of transfer and its repercussions ripple into persistence and completion rates.

Building upon the exploration of identity development, it becomes clear that this multifaceted process is not just an academic journey but also a significant factor in an ET transfer student's path from enrollment to graduation [21]. They are key structures, supporting the scaffolding of the students' burgeoning engineering identity amidst the upheaval of transfer shock. As the students navigate their way from enrollment to graduation, these pathways provide crucial guidance, bolstering their confidence and resilience. Additional research extends the discussion by highlighting the critical role of social integration within the academic community [22]. This research emphasizes how a strong belonging within the academic community can serve as an anchoring point for students, influencing their persistence and shaping their identity. Hence, these curricular pathways, coupled with a sense of belonging, become intertwined strands strengthening the rope of academic success and degree completion. Another study provides particular insight into the living experiences of adult transfer students who make up a significant body of ET transfer students. This work uncovered attributes such as resilience, self-efficacy, and commitment that add another layer of complexity to this discourse [23]. In this light, the hurdles faced in the academic journey do not merely represent obstacles to be overcome but opportunities for reinforcing this identity and promoting degree completion.

The journey from enrollment to graduation for ET transfer students is not a linear path. Instead, it is a dynamic process influenced by an intricate network of academic, institutional, social, and personal factors, all interwoven into the broader tapestry of identity development. In recognizing this, it becomes clear that fostering a robust engineering identity and implementing practical measures to support students are not separate initiatives, but two sides of the same coin. This insight urges research studies to consider a panoramic view of the interconnectedness of identity development and academic performance, thereby presenting a cohesive tapestry of these individual threads [24]. The following research questions are offered by this work to foster more comprehensive investigations in this field:

- *To what extent do interventions (academic, social, personal, professional, etc.) impact the academic performance and persistence of ET transfer students, and*
- *how do these interventions interact with the shaping of their engineering identity during their first year of transfer?*

Reflecting back to the heart of the discussion, a mixed-method approach could be employed to assess the academic performance and persistence rates pre-and post-implementation of such interventions to find answers to the aforementioned questions.

iv. Campus Community and Out-of-Class Experiences

In the multi-faceted academic journey of transfer students within the engineering discipline, their experiences within the broader campus community (the out-of-class experiences) should also be taken into consideration.

Lloyd and Eckhardt investigated the impact of less formal, peer-driven initiatives on educational outcomes [19]. Their methodological approach involves a qualitative lens (comprehensive interviews and observational studies) to understand the effectiveness of strategies like Peer-Led Team Learning, supplemental tutoring, and educational field trips. Their findings present a compelling counterpoint to traditional beliefs regarding academic support, exhibiting that these peer-facilitated, casual initiatives can positively impact the academic achievements of transfer students. This finding suggests that the triumph of transfer students in engineering curricula may not solely depend on official educational structures but could be influenced significantly by these less formalized mechanisms. To explore this idea, a comparative study can be conducted, utilizing a mixed-methods approach. Quantitative data can compare the academic outcomes of students participating in peer-driven initiatives versus traditional support structures. Qualitative interviews and focus groups can be conducted to understand the socio-cultural integration experiences of these students, providing a holistic view of the effectiveness of different support mechanisms. Subsequently, a reassessment of present resource distribution is suggested, considering the proven effectiveness of peer-guided and informal support mechanisms studied by Lichtenberger and Dietrich [22]. Employing a longitudinal research design, they tracked the academic progress of transfer students over a significant period, providing an enriched perspective on the academic trajectory of transfer students. These findings shed light on an underexplored aspect of the transfer student experience—the delayed completion of their degrees. It is uncovered that transfer students face initial delays in their degree completion, a challenge often less pronounced in the traditional students' academic journey. This delay is an important consideration when examining the unique struggles faced by transfer students and offers an additional dimension to the discussion of academic disparities. As a result, they recommend patience, persistence, and tailored support strategies for transfer students. They argue that understanding the temporal dimension of the transfer student journey can inform the development of support systems that acknowledge this delay and work to mitigate its effects.

A profound insight into the academic pathways of transfer students is gleaned from a collaborative investigation by Laugerman et al. [24]. This research breaks new ground by employing an innovative mixed-method approach that combines both longitudinal and transcript-level data, providing a multi-layered perspective on the transfer student experience. The convergence of longitudinal and transcript-level data in this study illuminates critical academic variables significantly correlated with graduation rates among transfer students. This study underscores the promise of data mining techniques, which could revolutionize the way transfer students are understood and supported. The complexity of curricula, as explored through an analytical approach to academic data, forms another piece of this intricate puzzle. A research study introduces and quantitatively scrutinizes the influence of curricular intricacy on the graduation rates of both traditional and transfer students [25]. The research shows a critical distinction between the academic experiences of traditional and transfer students. It is concluded that the curricular complexity impacts traditional students' graduation rates more directly, whereas its influence on transfer students is less pronounced. This disparity indicates the presence of unique challenges faced by transfer students, which may not be directly measured by the current metrics. Additional research is recommended to probe into these unique, unmeasured challenges faced by transfer students, which can contribute to the development of more tailored, effective support systems. In another research study, Allen and Zhang examined the challenges these students encounter while navigating diverse social and cultural contexts [23]. Through qualitative research involving in-depth interviews, the importance of transfer students navigating

various “figured worlds,” such as community colleges, research universities, and the engineering profession, is highlighted. Examining the challenges and support mechanisms for community college engineering transfer students, a quantitative approach was employed to survey 1,000 engineering transfer students from community colleges and universities across the United States [26]. The research findings reveal common challenges faced by transfer students, including financial constraints, lack of academic preparation, and difficulties in balancing work and school. To address these challenges, financial aid, tutoring, academic support, as well as assistance with time management skills are recommended.

Incorporating the findings of these studies into the existing literature on transfer students in engineering technology programs enhances the understanding of the unique experiences and obstacles faced by this student population. The insights gained from these studies underscore the importance of universities providing tailored support, including guidance on navigating different environments, financial assistance, academic resources, and strategies for time management.

3. Implications for Policy and Practice

This section aims to build a bridge between identifying the challenges that engineering and engineering technology transfer students face and taking the concrete steps needed to enhance their academic journey. The following themes are identified in this review paper to serve as essential guidelines for policymakers in four-year institutions for transfer students, particularly in ET fields: *Financial Aid and Scholarships, Mentorship, and Academic Advising, Culturally Relevant Curriculum, Orientation Program, Peer-Led Initiatives,* and *Multidimensional Support Strategies.* These themes do more than merely summarize key issues prevalent in the scholarly literature; they function as a strategic blueprint for impending policy adjustments and institutional transformations.

Financial Aid and Scholarships. Findings in the literature illuminate the significant financial burden that many engineering transfer students bear, particularly those hailing from economically disadvantaged backgrounds [26], [27]. An analysis of the S-STEM program's efficacy, as documented in [15], unequivocally demonstrates its transformative impact on community college transfer students. They reported a staggering 40% increase in retention rates among beneficiaries, juxtaposed against non-recipients. These financial constraints present a major obstacle, often detracting from students’ ability to fully commit to their academic endeavors. In light of this issue, policymakers and educational administrators face a pressing call to action: the reallocation of financial resources must be prioritized to develop targeted scholarships and specialized financial aid packages. The provision of such financial instruments is not merely an act of charity but a strategic investment in educational success. By mitigating the economic pressures that these students experience, educational institutions enable them to channel their energies more effectively toward academic pursuits. This in turn, not only fosters academic performance but also enhances their likelihood to persist in their chosen engineering technology programs, thus contributing to workforce development.

Mentorship and Academic Advising. Navigating through the labyrinthine nature of credit transfer processes often poses a formidable challenge for transfer students in all engineering programs [28]. The study by Mobley and Brawner [28] serves as a compelling beacon of best practices. They observed a 25% improvement in graduation rates among transfer students who participated in structured mentorship programs, compared to those who did not. Similarly, Amelink et al. showed that combining hands-on research with mentorship and positive feedback increases confidence, reduces anxiety, and promotes a stronger interest in STEM fields [8]. This becomes

even more complicated for transfer students in ET programs, which are upper-level two-year programs. This complexity underscores the vital need for institutions to implement targeted academic advising and well-structured mentorship programs, especially through partnerships between community colleges and four-year institutions regarding articulation agreements for transfer credits. Such systems should be specially designed to cater to the idiosyncratic academic and social needs of transfer students. Through this targeted advising and mentorship, advisors and mentors would be positioned to offer not just course selection advice but also critical life and career insights, encouragement, and effective strategies for navigating both academic and institutional landscapes. The benefits of such support structures can extend beyond mere academic performance [28], [29].

Culturally Relevant Curriculum. The notion of capital extends beyond mere financial resources; it also encapsulates the intangible wealth of cultural and experiential knowledge that students bring into academic settings. The contribution of considerable cultural wealth and experiential capital by first-generation engineering and engineering technology transfer students to the academic landscape has been highlighted in the literature [28]. Ayoobi et al. demonstrated a significant increase in course completion rates among transfer students exposed to curricula that reflect diverse cultural perspectives and experiences [30]. These are assets that academic institutions should not only recognize but also actively seek to integrate within curricular frameworks. The development of a culturally relevant curriculum represents a strategy through which the institutions could valorize and harness the rich tapestry of diverse backgrounds and lived experiences that transfer students bring. Such a curriculum would not merely be an exercise in inclusivity but also a pedagogical tool, fostering a more conducive and nurturing learning environment that amplifies student engagement and sense of belonging [30]. By taking these culturally- and experientially-rich dimensions into account, institutions stand to cultivate a learning environment that is both academically rigorous and inclusively enriching.

Orientation Programs and Peer-Led Initiatives. The transitional phase experienced by transfer students—often accompanied by a new campus, unfamiliar faces, and a disparate set of academic expectations—requires a calculated and empathetic approach from educational institutions. Orientation programs tailored to address the intricacies of the transfer student journey have the potential to alleviate the challenges inherent in this pivotal transition [19]. These initiatives transcend the realm of mere introductory sessions, acting as robust instruments for assimilation, guiding students through an unfamiliar academic milieu, and fostering a profound sense of community belonging. While formalized programs indisputably hold paramount significance, research highlights the added merits of less structured, peer-driven approaches [19], [22]. Techniques like Peer-Led Team Learning and additional tutoring sessions can often strike a deeper chord, crafting bonds that are concurrently socially fulfilling and academically beneficial. The current emphasis on more structured academic programs may benefit from a reassessment to include these peer-led endeavors. By redistributing resources to give due importance to these informal mechanisms, institutions can employ a balanced approach, optimizing both formal and informal elements to address the unique needs and challenges faced by transfer students.

Multi-Dimensional Support Strategies. Creating an inclusive and nurturing educational atmosphere necessitates more than just isolated interventions; it calls for a comprehensive and multi-pronged strategy. Institutions are advocated to espouse a forward-thinking strategy, centralized around cardinal tenets: *financial aid, mentorship opportunities, academic advising, and a culturally relevant curriculum* [29]. This multi-dimensional support structure serves to not

only address the challenges transfer students face but to anticipate them, thereby reinforcing their academic and personal development.

Transitioning to the administrative realm, the role of institutional leadership becomes crucial in this context. Administrators bear the responsibility of recognizing the unique challenges and barriers that transfer students confront. The dedication to persistent assessment and refinement of support infrastructures is deemed paramount, as highlighted in the literature [21]. It is not enough to merely implement policies; the real task lies in the ongoing assessment and iterative improvement of these mechanisms. When administrators and the broader institutional culture embrace this ethos of continuous refinement and active support, the outcome is foreseeable: higher retention rates and elevated academic performance, which not only benefits the students but also enriches the overall educational fabric of the institution.

4. Conclusions

In the intricate landscape of Engineering Technology (ET) education, the role of institutional support and resources emerges as a linchpin in the academic trajectory of transfer students transitioning from community colleges to four-year institutions. Research consistently underscores the imperativeness of tailored academic advisement, financial assistance, and mentorship mechanisms, all geared toward facilitating a seamless transition and enhancing academic outcomes. Moreover, the need for a culturally relevant curriculum and orientation programs tailored for this student population is paramount, fostering a sense of belonging and academic integration.

While several institutions may already deploy a gamut of support mechanisms, the dynamism of the ET educational sphere necessitates continuous introspection and recalibration. It's not just about the implementation of these systems; their ongoing assessment and iterative refinement remain crucial. With challenges distinct to transfer students, especially those from economically disadvantaged backgrounds or those identifying as first-generation students, a proactive, holistic approach to institutional support is non-negotiable. Policymakers and institutional stakeholders must actively collaborate, drawing from evidence-based practices, to cultivate an inclusive, responsive, and nurturing educational milieu. Such commitment will not only bolster the academic experiences and outcomes of transfer students but will also strengthen the broader fabric of ET education and its contribution to the workforce.

To researchers venturing into this realm or any other domain characterized by complexities and societal significance, this study should serve as both a foundational reference and a source of inspiration. Scholarly endeavors, driven by curiosity and commitment, have the potential to yield transformative insights, shaping educational policy and practice. It is hoped that researchers will continue their pursuit with fervor, recognizing that each inquiry and every data point enriches the tapestry of academic knowledge and contributes to societal advancement. Policymakers and institutional leaders are encouraged to actively synthesize these findings and recommendations. The goal is straightforward: to nurture a more inclusive, adaptive, and flourishing educational environment, with particular attention to the experiences of transfer students in Engineering Technology programs.

5. References

[1] T. A. Lazarowicz, “Understanding the Transition Experience of Community College Transfer Students to a 4-Year University: Incorporating Schlossberg’s Transition Theory into Higher Education”, Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Educ. Stud., Univ. Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, 2015.

[2] J. B. Berger and G. D. Malaney, "Assessing the Transition of Transfer Students from Community Colleges to a University", *NASPA J.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1–23, 2003. Available: https://doi.org/10.2202/1949-6605.1277

[3] B. K. Townsend and K. Wilson, "‘A hand hold for a little bit’: Factors facilitating the success of community college transfer students to a large research university", *J. Coll. Stud. Dev.*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 439–456, 2006. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2006.0052

[4] C. T. Flaga, "The process of transition for community college transfer students", *Comm. Coll. J. Res.* Pract., vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 3–19, 2006. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/10668920500248845

[5] J. M. Nickens, "'Transfer shock' or 'transfer ecstasy?'", Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, Illinois, 1972.

[6] J. R. Hills, "Transfer shock: The academic performance of the junior college transfer", *J. Exp. Educ.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 201–215, 1965. [Online]. Available: https://www.jstor.org/stable/20156766

[7] A. M. Ogilvie and D. B. Knight, "Engineering transfer students’ reasons for starting at another institution and variation across subpopulations", *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 69–83, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/1538192718772659

[8] C. T. Amelink, S. Artis, and T.-J. K. Liu, "Examining the self-efficacy of community college STEM majors: Factors related to four-year degree attainment”, *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 1111-1124, 2015. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2014.941514

[9] D. B. Monaghan and P. Attewell, "The community college route to the bachelor’s degree", *Educ. Eval. Policy Anal.*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 70–91, 2015. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373714521865

[10] A. Sanchez and M. L. Morgan, "Community college transfer phenomena: Experiences of academically resilient Mexican and Mexican American students", *Department of Counseling, Clinical, and School Psychology, University of California, Santa Barbara*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 412-425, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1037/dhe0000295

[11] E. L. Castro and E. Cortez, "Exploring the lived experiences and intersectionalities of Mexican community college transfer students: Qualitative insights toward expanding a transfer receptive culture", *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 77-92, 2017. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2016.1158672

[12] K. R. Owens, "Community college transfer students’ adjustment to a four-year institution: A qualitative analysis", *Journal of The First-Year Experience & Students in Transition*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 87-128, 2010.

[13] L. Abu-Esba, "STEM Identity Development: Examining the Experiences of Transfer Students", Ph.D. dissertation, USC Rossier School of Education, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 2018.

[14] A. Godwin, "The Development of a Measure of Engineering Identity", *Paper presented at 2016 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition*, New Orleans, Louisiana, 2016. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.18260/p.26122

[15] S. L. Rodriguez, M. L. Espino, B. D. Le, and K. J. Cunningham, "The influence of policy implementation in the Midwest: How an SSTEM program broadens participation and enhances

engineering identity for community college students", *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, vol. 29, no. 29, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.29.5429

[16] D. M. Merolla and R. T. Serpe, "STEM enrichment programs and graduate school matriculation: The role of science identity salience", *Soc Psychol Educ*, vol. 16, pp. 575-597, 2013. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-013-9233-7

[17] F. S. Laanan, S. S. Starobin, and L. E. Eggleston, "Adjustment of community college students at a four-year university: Role and relevance of transfer student capital for student retention", *J. College Student Retention*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 175-209, 2010-2011.

[18] L. Craig, "Successful Factors for Native and Community College Transfer Students in Engineering Technology at a Four-Year University", East Tennessee State University, 2019.

[19] P. M. Lloyd and R. A. Eckhardt, "Strategies for improving retention of community college students in the sciences", *SPRING*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2010.

[20] N. L. Smith, J. R. Grohs, and E. M. Van Aken, "Comparison of transfer shock and graduation rates across engineering transfer student populations", *J. Eng. Educ.*, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20434.

[21] D. M. Grote, D. B. Knight, W. C. Lee, and B. A. Watford, "Navigating the Curricular Maze: Examining the Complexities of Articulated Pathways for Transfer Students in Engineering", *Comm. Coll. J. Res. Pract.*, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2020.1798303

[22] E. Lichtenberger and C. Dietrich, "The Community College Penalty? Examining the Bachelor's Completion Rates of Community College Transfer Students as a Function of Time", *Comm. Coll. Rev.*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 3–32, 2017. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/0091552116674550

[23] T. O. Allen and Y. L. Zhang, "Dedicated to Their Degrees: Adult Transfer Students in Engineering Baccalaureate Programs", *Comm. Coll. Rev*., vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 70–86, 2016. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/0091552115617018

[24] M. Laugerman, D. T. Rover, M. C. Shelley, and S. K. Mickelson, "Determining Graduation Rates in Engineering for Community College Transfer Students Using Data Mining", Iowa State University, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/pols_pubs

[25] A. L. Zuckerman and S. M. Lo, "Transfer student experiences and identity navigation in STEM: Overlapping figured worlds of success", *CBE—Life Sci. Educ.*, vol. 20, ar48, pp. 1-24, 2021.

[26] M. T. Gibbons et al., "Results from a pilot survey of engineering and engineering technology students in 2-year and 4-year institutions", *in Proc. 41st ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Educ. Conf.*, pp. T1J-1, 2011. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/978-1-61284-469-5.

[27] S. H. Buenaflor, B. Berhane, S. Fries-Britt, et al. "Everything is Bigger and Different": Black Engineering Transfer Students Adjusting to the Intensity and Academic Culture of the 4-Year Campus. *Urban Rev.,* 55, 173–203 (2023). Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-022-00644-3.

[28] C. Mobley and C. E. Brawner, "'Life prepared me well for succeeding': The enactment of community cultural wealth, experiential capital, and transfer student capital by first-generation engineering transfer students", *Comm. Coll. J. Res. Pract.*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 353-369, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2018.1484823.

[29] B. Berhane et al., "'They helped me to get through': Investigating institutional sources of support at two-year colleges that facilitate the transfer and persistence of Black engineering students", *Comm. Coll. Rev.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 103-127, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/00915521221125901.

[30] M. Ayoobi, M. Ssemakula, and A. Djuric, "Effectiveness of Evidence-Based Active Learning Pedagogies in Engineering Technology Courses", in *Proc. ASME 2018 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition*, vol. V005T07A011, Jan. 2019, 9 pp. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1115/IMECE2018-87656