Parallel Repetition for the GHZ Game: Exponential Decay

Mark Braverman Princeton University Princeton, USA mbraverm@gmail.com Subhash Khot New York University New York, USA khot@cims.nyu.edu

Dor Minzer

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, USA
dminzer@mit.edu

Abstract—We show that the value of the n-fold repeated GHZ game is at most $2^{-\Omega(n)}$, improving upon the polynomial bound established by Holmgren and Raz. Our result is established via a reduction to approximate subgroup type questions from additive combinatorics.

Index Terms—Parallel Repetition, GHZ game, Abelian Embeddings ,Analysis of Boolean functions, Additive Combinatorics

I. INTRODUCTION

The GHZ game is a 3-player game in which a verifier samples a triplet (x, y, z) uniformly from $S = \{(x, y, z) \mid x, y, z \in \{0, 1\}, x \oplus y \oplus z = 0 \pmod{2}\},\$ then sends x to Alice, y to Bob and z to Charlie. The verifier receives from each one of them a bit, a from Alice, b from Bob and c from Charlie, and accepts if and only if $a \oplus b \oplus c = x \vee y \vee z$. It is easy to prove that the value of the GHZ game, val(GHZ), defined as the maximum acceptance probability of the verifier over all strategies of the players, is 3/4. The *n*-fold repeated GHZ game is the game in which the verifier samples (x_i, y_i, z_i) independently from S for i = 1, ..., n, sends $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \ \vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \ \text{and} \ \vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \ \text{to}$ Alice, Bob and Charlie respectively, receives vector answers $f(\vec{x}) = (f_1(\vec{x}), \dots, f_n(\vec{x})), g(\vec{y}) = (g_1(\vec{y}), \dots, g_n(\vec{y}))$ and $h(\vec{z}) = (h_1(\vec{z}), \dots, h_n(\vec{z}))$ and accepts if and only if $f_i(\vec{x}) \oplus g_i(\vec{y}) \oplus h_i(\vec{z}) = x_i \vee y_i \vee z_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$ What can one say about the value of the n-fold repeated game, $val(GHZ^{\otimes n})$? As for lower bounds, it is clearly that case that $val(GHZ^{\otimes n}) \geqslant (3/4)^n$ and one expects that value of the game to be exponentially decaying with n. Proving such upper bounds though is significantly more challenging.

The GHZ game is a prime example of a 3-player game for which parallel repetition is not well understood. For 2-player games, parallel repetition theorems with an exponential decay have been known for a long time [14], [9], [13], [2], [4], and in fact the state of the art parallel repetition theorems for 2-player games are essentially optimal. As for multi-player games, Verbitsky showed [18] that the value of the n-fold repeated game approaches 0, however his argument uses the density Hales-Jewett theorem and hence gives a weak rate of decay (inverse Ackermann type bounds in n). More recently, researchers have been trying to investigate multi-player games more systematically and managed to prove an exponential

decay for a certain class of games known as expanding games [3]. This work also identified the GHZ game as a bottleneck for current technique, saying that, in a sense, the GHZ game exhibits the worst possible correlations between questions for which existing information-theoretic techniques are incapable of handling.

A sequence of recent works [10] (subsequently simplified by [5]) managed to prove stronger parallel repetition theorems for the GHZ game, and indeed as suggested by [3] this development led to a parallel repetition theorem for a certain class of 3-player games [6], [7], namely for the class of games with binary questions. Quantitatively, they showed that $\operatorname{val}(\mathsf{GHZ}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant 1/n^{\Omega(1)}$, and subsequently that for any 3-player game G with $\operatorname{val}(G) < 1$ whose questions are binary, one has that $\operatorname{val}(G^{\otimes n}) \leqslant 1/n^{\Omega(1)}$. The techniques utilized by these works is a combination of information theoretic techniques (as used in the case of 2-player games) and Fourier analytic techniques.

A. Our Result

The main result of this paper is an improved upper bound for the value of the *n*-fold repeated GHZ game, which is exponential in *n*. More precisely:

Theorem I.1. There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all n, $val(GHZ^{\otimes n}) \leq 2^{-\varepsilon \cdot n}$.

Such bounds cannot be achieved by the methods of [10], [5], [6], [7], and we hope that the observations made herein would be useful towards getting better parallel repetition theorems for more general classes of 3-player games.

B. Proof Idea

Our proof of Theorem I.1 follows by reducing it to approximate sub-group type questions from additive combinatorics, and our argument uses results of Gowers [8]. Similar ideas have been also explored in the TCS community (for example, by Samorodnitsky [16]).

Suppose $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$, $g: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ and $h: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ represent the strategies of Alice, Bob and Charlie respectively, and denote their success probability by η . Thus, we have that

$$\Pr_{(x,y,z)\in S^n}\left[f(x)\oplus g(y)\oplus h(z)=x\vee y\vee z\right]\geqslant \eta,\quad (1)$$

where the operations are coordinate-wise. Using Cauchy-Schwarz it follows that if we sample x, y, z and u, v, wconditioned on $x \vee y \vee z = u \vee v \vee w$, then $f(x) \oplus g(y) \oplus$ $h(z) = f(u) \oplus g(v) \oplus h(w)$ with probability at least η^2 , hence $f(x) \oplus f(u) \oplus g(y) \oplus g(v) \oplus h(z) \oplus h(w) = 0$. What functions f, g, h can satisfy this? We draw an intuition from [1], that suggested that such advantage can only be gained from linear embeddings. In this respect, we are looking at the predicate $P \colon \Sigma^3 \to \{0,1\}$ with alphabet $\Sigma = \{0,1\}^2$ defined as $P((x,u),(y,v),(z,w)) = 1 \text{ if } x \vee y \vee z = u \vee v \vee w,$ x + y + z = 0 and u + v + w = 0. A linear embedding is an Abelian group (A, +) and a collection of maps $\phi \colon \Sigma \to A$, $\gamma \colon \Sigma \to A$ and $\delta \colon \Sigma \to A$ not all constant such that $\phi(x,u) + \gamma(y,v) + \delta(z,w) = 0$. There are 2 trivial linear embeddings into $(\mathbb{Z}_2,+)$: the projection onto the first coordinate as well as the projection onto the second coordinate. Thus, one is tempted to guess that in the above scenario, the functions f, g and h must use these linear embeddings and thus be correlated with linear functions over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Alas, it turns out that there is yet, another embedding which is less obvious: taking $(A, +) = (\mathbb{Z}_4, +), \ \phi(x, u) = x + u, \ \gamma(y, v) = y + v$ and $\delta(z, w) = z + w$. This motivates us to look at the original problem and see if we can already see $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +)$ structure there.

a) Approximate Homomorphisms.: For $(x, y, z) \in S$, if $x \lor y \lor z = 1$, then exactly two of the variables are 1; if $x \lor y \lor$ z = 0, then all of x, y, z are 0. Thus, one can see that the check we are making is equivalent to checking that 2f(x) + 2g(y) + $2h(z) = x + y + z \pmod{4}$. Indeed, on a given coordinate i, if $(x_i \vee y_i \vee z_i)$ is 1, then $x_i + y_i + z_i = 2$ and the answers need to satisfy that $f(x)_i + g(y)_i + h(z)_i = 1 \pmod{2}$ which implies $2f(x)_i + 2g(y)_i + 2h(z)_i = 2 \pmod{4}$. Similarly, if $(x_i \lor y_i \lor z_i) = 0$ then $x_i + y_i + z_i = 0$ and the constraint says that we want $f(x)_i + g(y)_i + h(z)_i = 0 \pmod{2}$ which implies that $2f(x)_i + 2g(y)_i + 2h(z)_i = 0 \pmod{4}$. Thus, the GHZ test can be thought of as a system of equations modulo 4, as suggested by the above intuition. More precisely, defining $F: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ by $F(x)_i = 2f(x)_i - x_i$ and similarly $G, H: \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{Z}_4^n \text{ by } G(y)_i = 2g(y)_i - y_i \text{ and } H(z)_i =$ $2h(z)_i - z_i$, we have the following lemma:

Lemma I.2. For each $x, y, z \in S^n$, $F(x) + G(y) + H(z) = 0 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $f(x)_i \oplus g(y)_i \oplus h(z)_i = x_i \vee y_i \vee z_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Consequently,

$$\Pr_{(x,y,z)\in S^n}\left[F(x)+G(y)+H(z)=0\pmod{4}\right]\geqslant \eta.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we focus on the first coordinate. If $(x_1,y_1,z_1)=(0,0,0)$, then by (1) we get that $f(x)_1\oplus g(y)_1\oplus h(z)_1=0$, hence either all of them are 0 or exactly two of them are 1, and in any case $2f(x)_1+2g(y)_1+2h(z)_1=0\pmod{4}$. Otherwise, without loss of generality $(x_1,y_1,z_1)=(1,1,0)$, and then by (1) we get $f(x)_1\oplus g(y)_1\oplus h(z)_1=1$, and there are two cases. If $f(x)_1=g(y)_1=h(z)_1=1$, then we get that $F(x)_1+G(y)_1+H(z)_1=2-1+2-1+2+0=0\pmod{4}$. Else, exactly one of them is 1, say $f(x)_1=1$ and $g(y)_1=h(z)_1=0$, and then $F(x)_1+G(y)_1+H(z)_1=2-1+0-1+0-0=0$. \square

In words, Lemma I.2 says that F, G, H form an approximate "cross homomorphism" from \mathbb{Z}_2^n to \mathbb{Z}_4^n . Once we have made this observation, the proof is concluded by a routine application of powerful tools from additive combinatorics.

More specifically, we appeal to results of Gowers and show for any F that satisfies Lemma I.2 (for some G and H) must exhibit some weak linear behaviour. Specifically, we show that for such F there is a shift $s \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ such that $F(x) \in s + \{0,2\}^n$ for at least $\eta' = \Omega(\eta^{1028})$ fraction of inputs. On the other hand, on such points x we get that 2f(x) - x = F(x) = s + L(x) for some $L(x) \in \{0,2\}^n$, and noting that this must hold modulo 2 we get that there can only be one such point, $x = -s \pmod{2}$. Thus, $\eta' \leqslant 2^{-n}$, giving an exponential bound on η .

II. PROOF OF THEOREM I.1

A. From Testing to Additive Quadruples

We need the following definition:

Definition II.1. Let (A, +), (B, +) be Abelian groups, and let $F: A^n \to B^n$. We say $(x, y, u, v) \in A^n \times A^n \times A^n \times A^n$ is an additive quadruple if x + y = u + v and F(x) + F(y) = F(u) + F(v).

In our application, we will always have $A=\{0,1\}$. For convenience we denote $N=2^n$. Thus, it is clear that the number of additive quadruples is always at most N^3 (as this is the number of solutions to x+y=u+v). The following lemma asserts that if $F,G,H:\{0,1\}^n\to B^n$ are functions such that F(x)+G(y)+H(z)=0 for at least η of the triples x,y,z satisfying $x\oplus y=z$ (such as the one given in Lemma I.2), then each one of the functions F,G and H has a substaintial amount of additive quadruples.

Lemma II.2. Suppose that $F, G, H: \{0,1\}^n \to B^n$ satisfy that

$$\Pr_{(x,y,z) \in S^n} [F(x) + G(y) + H(z) = 0] \ge \eta.$$

Then F has at least $\eta^4 N^3$ additive quadruples.

Proof. By the premise and Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\eta^{2} = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[1_{G(y)=-F(x)-H(x\oplus y)}\right]^{2}\right]$$

$$\leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[1_{G(y)=-F(x)-H(x\oplus y)}\right]^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[1_{G(y)=-F(x)-H(x\oplus y)}\right]G(y)=-F(x')-H(x'\oplus y)\right]$$

$$\leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[1_{F(x)-F(x')=H(x'\oplus y)-H(x\oplus y)}\right].$$

Making change of variables, we get that $\eta^2 \leqslant \mathbb{E}_{x,u,u'}\left[1_{F(x)-F(x\oplus u\oplus u')=H(u')-H(u)}\right]$. Squaring and

using Cauchy-Schwarz again we get that

$$\eta^{4} \leqslant \underset{x,u,u'}{\mathbb{E}} \left[1_{F(x) - F(x \oplus u \oplus u') = H(u') - H(u)} \right]^{2}$$

$$\leqslant \underset{u,u'}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\underset{x}{\mathbb{E}} \left[1_{F(x) - F(x \oplus u \oplus u') = H(u') - H(u)} \right]^{2} \right]$$

$$\leqslant \underset{u,u'}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\underset{x,x'}{\mathbb{E}} \left[1_{F(x) - F(x \oplus u \oplus u') = F(x') - F(x' \oplus u \oplus u')} \right] \right],$$

which by another change of variables is equal to $\mathbb{E}_{x,y,u,v:x+y=u+v}\left[1_{F(x)+F(y)=F(u)+F(v)}\right]$, and the claim is proved. \Box

B. From Additive Quadruples to Linear Structure

We intend to use Lemma II.2 to conclude a structural result for F, and towards this end we show that a function that has many additive quadruples must exhibit some linear structure. The content of this section is a straight-forward combination of well-known results in additive combinatorics, and we include it here for the sake of completeness. We need the notions of Freiman homomorphism, sum-sets and a result of Gowers [8]. We begin with two definitions:

Definition II.3. Let (A, +) and (B, +) be Abelian groups, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A \subseteq A^n$. A function $\phi \colon A \to B^n$ is called a Freiman homorphism of order k if for all $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in A$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in A$ such that $a_1 + \ldots + a_k = b_1 + \ldots + b_k$ it holds that

$$\phi(a_1) + \ldots + \phi(a_k) = \phi(b_1) + \ldots + \phi(b_k).$$

Definition II.4. Let (A, +) be an Abelian group, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A, B \subseteq A^n$. We define

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = \{ a + b \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B} \}.$$

If A = B, we denote the sum-set A + B more succinctly as 2A, and more generally kA denotes the k-fold sum set of A.

We need a result of Gowers [8] asserting that a function F with many additive quadruples can be restricted to a relatively large set and yield a Freiman homomorphism. Gowers states and proves the statement for \mathbb{Z}_N , and we adapt his proof for our setting. For the proof we need two notable results in additive combinatorics. The first of which is the Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers theorem, and we use the version from [17]:

Theorem II.5 (Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers). Let G be an Abelian group, and suppose that $\Gamma \subseteq G$ contains at least $\xi |\Gamma|^3$ additive quadruples, that is, $|\{(x,y,z,w)\in\Gamma^4 \mid x+y=z+w\}| \geqslant \xi |\Gamma|^3$. Then there exists $\Gamma'\subseteq\Gamma$ of size at least $\Omega(\xi |\Gamma|)$ such that $|\Gamma'-\Gamma'|\leqslant O(\xi^{-4} |\Gamma'|)$.

The second result we need is Plünnecke's inequality [12], [15] (see also [11]):

Theorem II.6 (Plünnecke's inequality). Let G be an Abelian group, and suppose that $\Gamma \subseteq G$ has $|\Gamma - \Gamma| \leqslant C |\Gamma|$. Then $|m\Gamma - r\Gamma| \leqslant C^{m+r} |\Gamma|$.

Lemma II.7 (Corollary 7.6 in [8]). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that a function $\phi \colon \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ has at least $\xi |\mathbb{Z}_2^n|^3$ additive quadruples. Then there exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $\phi|_A$ is a Freiman homomorphism of order 8 and $|A| \geqslant \Omega(\xi^{257} |\mathbb{Z}_2^n|)$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \{(x,\phi(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n\}$ be the graph of ϕ , and think of it as a set in the Abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_4^n$. Then Γ contains at least $\xi \mid \mathbb{Z}_2^n \mid^3 = \xi \mid \Gamma \mid^3$ solutions to $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 + \gamma_4$, hence by Theorem II.5 we may find $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $\mid \Gamma' \mid \geqslant \Omega(\xi \mid \Gamma \mid)$ and $\mid \Gamma' - \Gamma' \mid \leqslant O(\xi^{-4} \mid \Gamma' \mid)$. By Theorem II.6 we get that $\mid 16\Gamma' - 16\Gamma' \mid \leqslant O(\xi^{-32\cdot 4} \mid \Gamma' \mid) \leqslant C \cdot \mid \Gamma' \mid$ where $C = O(\xi^{-128})$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}=\{y\in\mathbb{Z}_4^n\mid (0,y)\in 8\Gamma'-8\Gamma'\}$; we claim that $|\mathcal{Y}|\leqslant C$ and towards contradiction we assume the contrary. First, note that we may choose $|\Gamma'|$ distinct values of x such that $(x,w_x)\in 8\Gamma'-8\Gamma'$ for some w_x . Indeed, we can fix any 15 elements $(x_i,w_i)\in \Gamma'$ for $i=1,\ldots,15$, and range over all $|\Gamma'|$ pairs $(x,w_x)\in \Gamma'$ to get $|\Gamma'|$ elements $(x+x'-x'',w_x+w'-w'')\in 8\Gamma'-8\Gamma'$ where $x'=x_1+\ldots+x_7, x''=x_8+\ldots+x_{15}$ and $w'=w_1+\ldots+w_7$ and $w''=w_8+\ldots+w_{15}$, which have distinct first coordinate. Thus, looking at the $|\Gamma'|$ elements $(x,w_x)\in 8\Gamma'-8\Gamma'$ with distinct first coordinate, we get that $(x,w_x+y)\in 16\Gamma'-16\Gamma'$ for all x and $y\in \mathcal{Y}$, hence $|16\Gamma'-16\Gamma'|>C|\Gamma'|$, in contradiction. The set \mathcal{Y} will be useful for us as for any $x\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, we may define $\mathcal{Y}_x=\{y\mid (x,y)\in 4\Gamma'-4\Gamma'\}$ and get that $\mathcal{Y}_x-\mathcal{Y}_x\subseteq \mathcal{Y}$.

Take $t = \log(C) + 1$, choose $I_1, \dots, I_t \subseteq [n]$ independently and uniformly and consider

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n \mid \sum_{j \in I_i} y_j = 0 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, t \right\}.$$

We note that the 0 vector is always in \mathcal{W} , but any other $y \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ is in \mathcal{W} with probability at most 2^{-t} . Indeed, if y's entries are all $\{0,2\}$ -valued then y can be in \mathcal{W} only if y/2 satisfies t randomly chosen equations modulo 2, which happens with probability 2^{-t} . If there are entries of y that are either 1 or 3, then we get that $y \pmod 2$ is a non-zero vector that must satisfy t randomly chosen equations modulo 2, which happens with probability 2^{-t} . Thus, $\mathbb{E}\left[|\mathcal{Y}\cap\mathcal{W}\setminus\{0\}|\right]\leqslant 2^{-t}|\mathcal{Y}|<1$, so we may choose \mathcal{W} such that $\mathcal{Y}\cap\mathcal{W}=\{0\}$.

For an $a\in\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ we define $\Gamma_a'=\{(x,y)\in\Gamma'\mid y\in a+\mathcal{W}\}$. We claim that there is a choice for a such that (1) $|\Gamma_a'|\geqslant 4^{-t}|\Gamma'|\geqslant \Omega(\xi^{257}|\mathbb{Z}_2^n|)$, and (2) taking $\mathcal{A}=\{x\mid\exists y\text{ such that }(x,y)\in\Gamma_a'\}$, the function $\phi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Freiman homomorphism of order 8. Together, this gives the statement of the lemma.

For the first item we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[|\Gamma_a'|\right] = \sum_{(x,y) \in \Gamma'} \Pr_a\left[y \in a + \mathcal{W}\right] \\ & = \sum_{(x,y) \in \Gamma'} \Pr_a\left[y - a \in \mathcal{W}\right] \\ \geqslant & \sum_{(x,y) \in \Gamma'} 4^{-t} \\ & = 4^{-t} \left|\Gamma'\right|, \end{split}$$

so there is an a such that $|\Gamma'_a| \ge 4^{-t} |\Gamma'|$, and we show that the second item holds for all a.

Suppose towards contradiction that $\phi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not a Freiman homomorphism of order 8. Thus we can find $x_1,\ldots,x_8\in\mathcal{A}$ and $x_1',\ldots,x_8'\in\mathcal{A}$ that have the same sum yet $\phi(x_1)+\ldots+\phi(x_8)\neq\phi(x_1')+\ldots+\phi(x_8')$. Denoting $x=x_1+\ldots+x_4-x_5'-\ldots-x_8'=x_1'+\ldots+x_4'-x_5-\ldots-x_8,$ $y=\phi(x_1)+\ldots+\phi(x_4)-\phi(x_5')-\ldots-\phi(x_8)$ and $y'=\phi(x_1')+\ldots+\phi(x_4')-\phi(x_5)-\ldots-\phi(x_8)$ so that $y\neq y'$, we get that $(x,y),(x,y')\in 4\Gamma_a'-4\Gamma_a'\subseteq 4\Gamma'-4\Gamma'$, so $y,y'\in\mathcal{Y}_x$. In particular, $y-y'\in\mathcal{Y}_x-\mathcal{Y}_x\subseteq\mathcal{Y}$. On the other hand, by choice of \mathcal{A} we get that $\phi(x_i),\phi(x_i')\in a+\mathcal{W}$ for all i and so $y,y'\in 4\mathcal{W}-4\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}$ and so $y-y'\in\mathcal{W}$. It follows that $y-y'\in\mathcal{Y}\cap\mathcal{W}$, but by the choice of \mathcal{W} this last intersection only contains the 0 vector, and contradiction.

Thus, combining Lemmas II.2 and II.7 we are able to conclude that F is a Freiman homomorphism of order 8 when restricted to a set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ whose size is at least $\Omega(\eta^{1028}N)$. A Freiman homomorphism of order 8 is also a Freiman homomorphism of order 4, and the following lemma shows this tells that there is a shift of $\{0,2\}^n$ in which F(x) lies for many x's:

Lemma II.8. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and suppose that $\phi \colon A \to \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ is a Freiman homomorphism of order 4. Then there is $s \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ such that for all $x \in A$, $\phi(x) \in s + \{0, 2\}^n$.

Proof. Choose any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $s = \phi(a)$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, applying the Freiman homomorphism condition on the tuples (x,x,a,a) and (a,a,a,a) that have the same sum over \mathbb{Z}_2^n , we get that $2\phi(x)+2\phi(a)=4\phi(a)=0$, so $2(\phi(x)-s)=0$. This implies that $\phi(x)-s\in\{0,2\}^n$, and the proof is concluded.

Combining the last two lemmas we get the following corollary.

Corollary II.9. Suppose that $F: \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ is a function for which there are $G, H: \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ such that $\Pr_{(x,y,z)\in S^n}[F(x)+G(y)+H(z)=0]\geqslant \eta$. Then there is $s\in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ such that

$$\Pr_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} [F(x) \in \{0, 2\}^n + s] \geqslant \Omega(\eta^{1028}).$$

Proof. By Lemma II.2 we get that F has at least $\eta^4 N^3$ additive quadruples, so by Lemma II.7 there is $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ of size at least $\Omega(\eta^{1028}N)$ such that $F|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Freiman homomorphism. Applying Lemma II.8 we conclude that there

is $s \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ such that $F(x) \in s + \{0,2\}^n$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and the proof is concluded.

C. Concluding Theorem I.1

Let $f,g,h\colon\{0,1\}^n\to\{0,1\}^n$ be strategies that achieve value at least η in $\operatorname{GHZ}^{\otimes n}$, and define $F\colon\mathbb{Z}_2^n\to\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ by F(x)=2f(x)-x and similarly G(y)=2g(y)-y and H(z)=2h(z)-z. By Lemma I.2 we get that $\Pr_{(x,y,z)\in S^n}[F(x)+G(y)+H(z)=0]\geqslant \eta$, hence by Corollary II.9 there is $s\in\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ such that $\Pr_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n}[F(x)\in s+\{0,2\}^n]\geqslant \eta'$ for $\eta'=\Omega(\eta^{1028})$. For any such x, we get that 2f(x)-x=F(x)=s+L(x) where $L(x)\in\{0,2\}^n$, and so x=-s+2f(x)-L(x). Note that this is equality modulo 4 hence it implies it also holds modulo 2. We also have that $2f(x)-L(x)\in\{0,2\}^n$ so this vanishes modulo 2, hence we get that $x=-s\pmod{2}$. In other words, there can be at most single x such that $F(x)\in s+\{0,2\}^n$ and so $\Pr_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_2^n}[F(x)\in s+\{0,2\}^n]\leqslant 2^{-n}$. Combining, we get that $\eta'\leqslant 2^{-n}$ and so $\eta\leqslant 2^{-n/1028+O(1)}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Author Braverman was supported by NSF Alan T. Waterman Award, Grant No. 1933331, a Packard Fellowship in Science and Engineering, and the Simons Collaboration on Algorithms and Geometry. Author Khot was supported by NSF Award CCF-1422159, NSF Award CCF-2130816, and the Simons Investigator Award. Author Minzer was supported by a Sloan Research Fellowship, NSF CCF award 2227876 and NSF CAREER award 2239160.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amey Bhangale, Subhash Khot, and Dor Minzer. On approximability of satisfiable k-csps: I. In STOC '22: 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, Rome, Italy, June 20 24, 2022, pages 976–988, 2022.
- [2] Mark Braverman and Ankit Garg. Small value parallel repetition for general games. In Proceedings of the Forty-Seventh Annual ACM on Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2015, Portland, OR, USA, June 14-17, 2015, pages 335–340. ACM, 2015.
- [3] Irit Dinur, Prahladh Harsha, Rakesh Venkat, and Henry Yuen. Multiplayer parallel repetition for expanding games. In 8th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science Conference, ITCS 2017, January 9-11, 2017, Berkeley, CA, USA, volume 67 of LIPIcs, pages 37:1–37:16, 2017.
- [4] Irit Dinur and David Steurer. Analytical approach to parallel repetition. In Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2014, New York, NY, USA, May 31 - June 03, 2014, pages 624–633. ACM, 2014.
- [5] Uma Girish, Justin Holmgren, Kunal Mittal, Ran Raz, and Wei Zhan. Parallel repetition for the GHZ game: A simpler proof. In Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques, APPROX/RANDOM 2021, August 16-18, 2021, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA (Virtual Conference), volume 207 of LIPIcs, pages 62:1–62:19, 2021.
- [6] Uma Girish, Justin Holmgren, Kunal Mittal, Ran Raz, and Wei Zhan. Parallel repetition for all 3-player games over binary alphabet. In STOC '22: 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, Rome, Italy, June 20 - 24, 2022, pages 998–1009. ACM, 2022.
- [7] Uma Girish, Kunal Mittal, Ran Raz, and Wei Zhan. Polynomial bounds on parallel repetition for all 3-player games with binary inputs. In Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques, APPROX/RANDOM 2022, September 19-21, 2022, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA (Virtual Conference), volume 245 of LIPIcs, pages 6:1–6:17, 2022.
- [8] William T Gowers. A new proof of Szemerédi's theorem. Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA, 11(3):465–588, 2001.

- [9] Thomas Holenstein. Parallel repetition: Simplification and the nosignaling case. *Theory Comput.*, 5(1):141–172, 2009.
- [10] Justin Holmgren and Ran Raz. A parallel repetition theorem for the GHZ game. CoRR, abs/2008.05059, 2020.
- [11] Giorgis Petridis. New proofs of Plünnecke-type estimates for product sets in groups. *Combinatorica*, 32(6):721–733, 2012.
- [12] Helmut Plünnecke. Eine zahlentheoretische anwendung der graphentheorie. 1970.
- [13] Anup Rao. Parallel repetition in projection games and a concentration bound. SIAM J. Comput., 40(6):1871–1891, 2011.
- [14] Ran Raz. A parallel repetition theorem. SIAM J. Comput., 27(3):763-

- 803, 1998.
- [15] Imre Z Ruzsa. An application of graph theory to additive number theory. Scientia, Ser. A, 3(97-109):9, 1989.
- [16] Alex Samorodnitsky. Low-degree tests at large distances. In Proceedings of the thirty-ninth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pages 506–515, 2007.
- [17] Tomasz Schoen. New bounds in Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers theorem. Combinatorica, 35(6):695–701, 2015.
- [18] Oleg Verbitsky. Towards the parallel repetition conjecture. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 157(2):277–282, 1996.