

Effects of experimentally elevated virus abundance on soil carbon cycling across varying
ecosystem types

Ernest D Osburn^{1*}, Sara G Baer², Sarah E Evans³, Steven G McBride⁴, Michael S Strickland⁵

¹ Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

² Kansas Biological Survey & Center for Ecological Research and Department of Ecology &
Evolutionary Biology, University of Kansas, KS, USA

³ W.K. Kellogg Biological Station, Department of Integrative Biology Ecology, Evolution and
Behavior Program, Michigan State University, Hickory Corners, MI US

⁴ Department of Biology, Radford University, Radford, VA, USA

⁵ Department of Soil and Water Systems, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, USA

*Corresponding author: e.osburn@uky.edu

Keywords: microbial community, phage, carbon use efficiency, biogeochemistry, respiration, soil
organic matter

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Abstract

Viruses are abundant and diverse members of soil communities, but their influences on soil biogeochemical cycling are poorly understood. To assess the potential for viruses to influence soil carbon (C) cycling in varying environmental contexts, we sampled soils from four contrasting ecosystem types across the continental United States: conifer forest, broadleaf deciduous forest, tallgrass prairie, and agricultural cropland. We then experimentally increased virus abundance in the soils by inoculating microcosms with virus concentrates isolated from the same original soils and incubated the soils for 14 days. The virus-treated conifer forest and prairie soils respired significantly less C (14 μ g and 10 μ g less C per gram of soil, respectively) over the course of the 14-day incubation compared with control soils, though the effects were proportionally small in magnitude (3% and 6% reductions in cumulative respiration, respectively). Following the initial 14-day incubation, we conducted a ^{13}C -glucose tracer incubation. In contrast to the initial incubation, after glucose addition we observed effects on respiration only in the agricultural soil, where respiration of soil organic matter-derived C nearly doubled in the virus-treated soils compared with control soils. We also observed overall reduced incorporation of ^{13}C into microbial biomass (*i.e.*, lower growth yield) and lower carbon use efficiency on average in all virus-treated soils. These results demonstrate that viruses can influence overall microbial metabolism but with different aggregate effects on soil C balance across soil types depending on soil physicochemical properties. Overall, our study demonstrates that viral influences on soil microorganisms can manifest in altered fates of soil C, with either increased or decreased respiratory C loss depending on ecosystem type.

1. Introduction

Viruses are ubiquitous inhabitants of all natural ecosystems and are the most abundant biological entities on the planet (Kuzyakov and Mason-Jones, 2018). Natural virus populations perform many ecological functions; they are obligate intracellular parasites and therefore regulate the abundance and diversity of their hosts (Weinbauer and Rassoulzadegan, 2004; Barnett and Buckley, 2023; Quirós et al., 2023) as well as rates of host-driven biogeochemical cycles such as the carbon (C) cycle (Kuzyakov and Mason-Jones, 2018). The ecological role of viruses is particularly well-resolved in marine ecosystems, where phages (viruses that infect prokaryotes) kill 20 – 40% of bacteria daily, returning massive amounts of dissolved organic matter (DOM) to the water column (Suttle, 2007). This phenomenon, known as the ‘viral shunt’, is acknowledged as a major player in marine C and nutrient cycling. Similar mechanisms likely exist in other environments like soils, though the extent to which viruses influence soil biogeochemical cycling is unknown.

Though the influences of viruses on soil biogeochemistry are currently unclear, there is growing interest in the potential ecological roles played by viruses in terrestrial ecosystems (Williamson et al., 2017; Kuzyakov and Mason-Jones, 2018; Emerson, 2019; Sokol et al., 2022). Indeed, many studies have emerged in recent years that investigate the abundance, diversity, and composition of virus communities in various soil contexts. These studies have demonstrated that both the abundance of viruses and the frequency of phage infection of bacterial cells are very high in soil, often exceeding that observed in aquatic environments. For example, phages typically outnumber prokaryotes more than 10-fold in soils (Cobián Güemes et al., 2016), while 30-100% of prokaryotic cells in soils are infected with phages at any given time, depending on the environment (Bowatte et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 2011). Other studies have demonstrated

that numerous environmental factors can influence the structure of soil virus communities, including soil pH (Lee et al., 2022), soil depth (Liang et al., 2019, 2020), soil moisture (Wu et al., 2021), vegetation cover (Narr et al., 2017; Roy et al., 2020), and agricultural management regime (Duan et al., 2022). However, most of these existing studies are observational in nature and focus on *responses* of virus communities to changing soils rather than the *effects* of viruses on soil functions (*e.g.*, Williamson et al., 2005; Emerson et al., 2018; Trubl et al., 2018; Santos-Medellín et al., 2023). As our knowledge of the structure of soil virus communities increases rapidly, we need more studies that quantify the implications of these changes for soil biogeochemical fluxes.

The high abundance and infection rates of viruses commonly observed in soil highlight the potential for viruses to influence microbial host populations in soil, with implications for host-driven biogeochemical fluxes. However, the type and magnitude of the effects may depend upon the proportion of soil viruses in different virus life cycles. For example many soil viruses have been shown to be in the lysogenic cycle, where phages replicate by incorporating into the host's genome (Williamson et al., 2007). Phages in the lysogenic cycle do not kill their host, but they do induce stress responses upon infection and can alter host metabolism by incorporating phage-encoded genes into their host's genome (*i.e.*, phage conversion) (Emerson et al., 2018; Trubl et al., 2018). Viruses can also lyse hosts via lytic replication, preventing further host metabolism and releasing the host's cytoplasmic contents into the soil matrix. Phages in the lysogenic cycle can also produce this effect if changing environmental conditions (*e.g.*, soil rewetting or resource pulses) trigger them to enter the lytic cycle (Van Goethem et al., 2019). Studies using stable isotope probing have demonstrated transfer of host-derived C into soil viral populations, providing direct evidence that viral lysis occurs in soil communities (Starr et al., 2021; Barnett

and Buckley, 2023). These virus life cycles, in turn, represent specific mechanisms by which viruses might influence soil biogeochemical cycling, though it remains unclear whether viruses detectably impact pools and fluxes of soil C and nutrients.

Direct evidence of virus influences on soil biogeochemical cycling will require experimental manipulation of rates of viral infection in soil. A few studies have attempted to do this, though with contrasting results. These studies experimentally manipulated virus abundance via inoculation of soils with concentrated natural virus communities and found that increased viral abundance in soil can alter the structure of bacterial communities (Braga et al., 2020) and can stimulate microbial respiration (Albright et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2023). In contrast, other studies found that higher virus abundance can suppress microbial activity (Wei et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2024), which has also been observed in studies where viral lysis was chemically induced in soils (Heffner et al., 2023). These results demonstrate that virus effects can be qualitatively different among studies, potentially related to the differences in soil properties or among experimental conditions. Further, most of the prior experimental studies manipulate virus abundance using sterilization-inoculation procedures (Braga et al., 2020; Albright et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2023), and these highly artificial systems may not function comparably to natural soils. Thus, the presence, direction, and magnitude of virus effects on biogeochemical cycling in natural soil ecosystems all remain unresolved.

The goal of this study was to investigate the influences of viruses on C cycling in intact soils from four contrasting ecosystem types: conifer forest, broadleaf deciduous forest, tallgrass prairie, and agricultural cropland. We assessed the potential for viruses to influence C cycling in those soils by increasing virus abundance in the soils via inoculation with virus concentrates extracted from the same original soils. After adding the concentrates, we measured respiratory

loss of C from the soils for 14 days and then conducted a ^{13}C -glucose tracer addition and incubation to assess microbial-mediated cycling of labile C. We hypothesized that 1) the virus addition treatments would result in reduced microbial carbon use efficiency due to stress responses induced in microbial hosts, 2) that reduced microbial carbon use efficiency would increase respiratory losses of C from the soils, and 3) that changes in microbial C metabolism would be accompanied by community-level changes in soil microbiota. Specifically, we predicted that the virus additions would increase community evenness due to disproportionately large effects of viruses on the most abundant microbial community members (Van Goethem et al., 2019).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Soil sampling and characterization

Soils were collected from four locations across the continental United States at sites representing wide variation in vegetation types and soil properties. Soil from a conifer forest ecosystem dominated by Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*) was collected from the University of Idaho experimental forest in northern Idaho. Soil from a tallgrass prairie ecosystem dominated by native, perennial warm-season grasses, *e.g.*, big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*) and Indiangrass (*Sorghastrum nutans*), was collected at the Konza Prairie Biological Station near Manhattan, Kansas. Soil from a mixed deciduous forest ecosystem dominated by red maple (*Acer rubrum*) and red oak (*Quercus rubra*) was collected in southwest Virginia. Agricultural cropland soil was collected from experimental plots at the Kellogg Biological Station in Michigan with a corn-soybean-wheat crop rotation and conventional tillage and fertilizer inputs. All site and soil information are provided in Supplementary Table S1. In the two forest sites, O-horizon material was removed from the soil surface prior to sampling.

In each site, soil was collected to 10 cm depth from three locations with representative vegetation (three replicate plots in the case of the agricultural site) and then shipped on ice to the University of Idaho. The soils from each site were combined into a single composite sample, sieved at 2 mm, homogenized, and stored at 4°C for 4 – 8 weeks prior to the start of the experiment. The use of a single composite sample per site allowed us to apply a single virus inoculum to every replicate experimental unit from each site as opposed to generating a unique virus inoculum for each experimental unit, which would not have been feasible with our low-throughput virus concentration method (see experimental design below).

Prior to the start of the experiment, we measured several physicochemical properties of the four soils. We measured the moisture content by mass loss after drying at 105°C and determined water-holding capacity (WHC) by wetting the soils to field capacity and then drying at 105°C. Soil pH was determined from 10 g of soil in a 1:1 soil:deionized water slurry with a Mettler Toledo sevencompact pH meter (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA). We determined the extractable C and N content of the soils by extracting 6 g of soil with 0.05M K₂SO₄ (1:5 soil:solution ratio) while microbial biomass C was determined using a liquid chloroform extraction (Fierer and Schimel, 2002). Extracts were analyzed for dissolved organic C and total dissolved N using a Shimadzu TOC/TNM-L (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan).

2.2 Experimental Design

We experimentally increased viral abundance in the soil communities by inoculating them with virus concentrates extracted from the same original soils. We extracted the viruses by adding 500 mL of autoclaved and chilled (4° C) saline magnesium buffer (10 mM MgSO₄, 100 mM NaCl, and 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5) to 500 g fresh soil and shaking on a reciprocal shaker on low speed for 30 minutes. We used a saline magnesium buffer because these solutions are

commonly used in culture-based virology studies for the purpose of maintaining the viability of phage particles. We centrifuged the slurries for 20 min at 4,000 rpm in a 4°C centrifuge to pellet soil particles and then decanted the supernatant containing microbial cells and virus particles. To maximize recovery of virus particles from the soils, we re-extracted the soil pellets twice for a total of three extractions (Williamson et al., 2005), resulting in a total of ~1.5 L of extract per soil. To remove remaining particulates from the extracts, we poured them through a sterilized 53 µm sieve. We then isolated and concentrated the virus particles in the extracts using a two-step tangential-flow filtration (TFF) method, similar to prior studies (Braga et al., 2020; Tong et al., 2023). First, microbial cells were removed by circulating the extracts through a Pellicon® XL50 capsule with a 0.22 µm pore-size membrane (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA). Then, virus-sized particles were concentrated in the filtrate using a Pellicon® XL50 capsule with a PES 100 kDa membrane. We recirculated the extracts through the capsules until 100 mL remained in the first retentate fraction (containing concentrated microbial cells) and 50 mL remained in the second retentate fraction (containing concentrated virus-sized particles). The filtration apparatus was maintained at < 10 psi for the duration of the process to maintain the integrity of the virus particles. The TFF capsules were sanitized according to the manufacturer's instructions between samples. The virion concentrates were stored at 4°C and applied to soil microcosms within 72 h of the initial extraction. Prior to applying the concentrates to soil microcosms, we screened them for bacterial contamination using two methods, both of which showed no evidence of contamination in the concentrates. First, we visually examined the virus inocula using epifluorescence microscopy (with the main purpose of quantifying the virus-like particles, see below) and observed no evidence of intact cells. Second, we plated the inocula onto LB agar and observed no microbial growth.

For the experiment, twelve microcosms of each soil type were established by adding 30 g dry weight equivalent of soil to autoclaved 473 ml glass mason jars. We adjusted the soils to 65% WHC with sterile water, loosely capped the jars, and pre-incubated the soils in the dark at 20°C for one week prior to adding treatments. Approximately 48 hours prior to establishing the experimental treatments, we removed the lids from the microcosms and allowed them to dry down such that the added treatments would return the soils to 65% WHC. Microcosms were randomly assigned to receive either the live virion concentrate treatment (hereafter, the ‘virus’ treatment) or the control treatment. To apply the virus treatment, we added 3 mL of the virion concentrate originating from the same soil to the microcosms, which approximately doubled the abundance of extractable virions in the 30 g of soil: the initial 1:3 soil:buffer extract was concentrated 30-fold by TFF ($1500 \text{ mL}/50 \text{ mL} = 30$), therefore each 1 mL of concentrate contained the extractable virion-equivalent of 10 g of soil ($1 \text{ g} : 3 \text{ mL} \times 30 = 10 \text{ g/mL}$). We used epifluorescence microscopy to quantify the virus-like particle (VLP) concentration of the virion inoculum from each soil (see section 2.4 below). VLP concentrations in the inocula varied widely among the four soils and were as follows: 8.84×10^7 VLPs mL^{-1} (deciduous forest), 3.42×10^8 VLPs mL^{-1} (agricultural soil), 7.44×10^8 VLPs mL^{-1} (prairie soil), and 6.24×10^9 VLPs mL^{-1} (conifer forest). This variation reflects differences in the abundance and/or extractability of viruses among the different soil types (Williamson et al., 2013).

For control microcosms, we added 3 mL of virion concentrate that had been autoclaved twice for 30 min at 121°C to destroy the viruses. In a preliminary trial, we also included soils that received sterile saline magnesium buffer, which we found to be indistinguishable from soils that received the autoclaved control inocula (Supplementary Fig. S1). Therefore, for the main experiment reported here, we only included the autoclaved controls, which are more directly

comparable to the live virus-treated soils. All treatments were added dropwise to the surface of the soil. Each treatment was replicated 6 times for a total of 48 microcosms (2 virus treatments \times 4 soil types \times 6 replicates = 48). Microcosms were incubated at 65% WHC at 20°C for 14 days. This short time frame was intended to assess immediate effects of the virus additions. On days 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, and 14, we measured respiration in each microcosm using a static incubation technique (Bradford et al., 2008). Briefly, on each measurement day we capped the jars, flushed CO₂ from the jars' headspace using CO₂-free air and then measured CO₂ concentration in the headspace gas after incubating for 24 hours using a LI-7000 infrared gas analyzer (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Cumulative respiration for each microcosm was calculated by integrating under the time series curves.

2.3 ¹³C-glucose tracing

After the initial 14-day incubation, we assessed microbial C cycling in the microcosms using ¹³C-glucose tracing. We added a 99 atom% glucose-C tracer (Sigma-Aldrich product no. 660663) to the microcosms at a rate of 5 μ g C per gram of soil, which was calculated to be less than 20% of the microbial biomass C pool across all soils (Supplementary Table S1) and was intended to minimize effects of the tracer on microbial activity. We added the ¹³C glucose in 2 mL of solution and gently mixed the microcosms with a metal spatula. ¹³C glucose was added to five replicates from each treatment, with one replicate designated as a natural abundance control, which received 2 mL of sterile water. After adding the tracer, we immediately capped the jars, flushed the headspace with CO₂-free air, and incubated the microcosms at 20°C for 24 h. After 24 h we measured CO₂ concentration in the headspace of the jars (see above) and stored a sample of headspace gas in pre-evacuated exetainer vials, which were later analyzed for ¹³CO₂-C on a

Thermo Scientific GasBench II coupled to a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus XL isotope-ratio mass spectrometer at the UC Davis stable isotope facility.

After gas sampling, we immediately froze a subsample of each microcosm soil at -80°C for later microbial and viral analyses. With the remaining soil from each microcosm, we extracted and analyzed extractable C and N and microbial biomass C as described in section 2.1 above. For ¹³C analysis of the extracts, we acidified the extracts with HCl to remove inorganic C, concentrated the liquid down to 1 mL in glass vials in a 60°C oven, and then pipetted 500 µL of the concentrated samples into an Ag capsule in four successive 125 µL aliquots, drying at 60°C between aliquots. We then secondarily encapsulated the samples in Sn and measured them for ¹³C on an Elementar vario MICRO cube elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Langenselbold, Germany) interfaced to a Sercon Europa 20-20 IRMS (Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, United Kingdom) at the UC Davis stable isotope facility. We used the ¹³CO₂-C data to partition respired C between the glucose tracer and native soil organic matter using a mass balance equation (Morrissey et al., 2017). Isotope composition of the dried extracts was used to calculate ¹³C incorporation into microbial biomass (*i.e.*, microbial growth yield), carbon use efficiency (CUE) of the glucose tracer and biomass turnover rates using previously published methods (Hagerty et al., 2014; Geyer et al., 2019). All equations for the ¹³C analyses are provided in the Supplementary Information.

2.4 Microbial and viral analyses

DNA was extracted from 0.25 g soil using the Qiagen Powersoil Pro kit (Qiagen Company, Hilden, Germany) and quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (Thermo Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). We measured total prokaryote abundance in the soils by qPCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene using the 338F/518R primer pair (Fierer et al., 2005) and characterized

prokaryotic communities by amplicon sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene using the 515F/806R primer pair (Apprill et al., 2015; Parada et al., 2016). Complete information on qPCR and PCR protocols is provided in the Supplementary Information. Amplicons were sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform with 2×250 bp paired end reads. Raw sequences are deposited under NCBI accession number PRJNA1077929. For all downstream analyses, we only used the higher quality forward reads with no truncation of the reads. We processed the raw sequences using the DADA2 pipeline (Callahan et al., 2016) using the default parameters except that we increased the ‘maxEE’ parameter to 5 to increase the number of sequences that passed through the initial quality filter. We assigned taxonomy to the resulting amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) using the IDTAXA algorithm (Murali et al., 2018) trained on the SILVA database (version 138.1) (Quast et al., 2013). We filtered out ASVs only occurring in one sample and rarefied to 5722 sequences per sample to account for variation in sequence depth among samples. While this sequence depth is lower than ideal, rarefaction curves indicated that species accumulation in all samples had plateaued by that point, indicating that the communities were reasonably well sampled (Supplementary Fig. S2). As metrics of prokaryotic alpha diversity, we used the Shannon, Simpson, and Pielou’s evenness indices.

We enumerated the abundance of virus-like particles (VLPs) in the soils using epifluorescence microscopy. We first weighed 0.5 g soil into 2 mL tubes, added 1.5 mL of sterile 4°C saline magnesium buffer, and vortexed the tubes on high speed for 20 minutes. Tubes were then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 minutes to pellet soil particles. We filtered the supernatants through 0.22 μ M PES syringe filters to remove microbial cells and then 1:10 diluted the samples with sterile water. 1 mL of diluted sample was then filtered through a 25 mm diameter 0.02 μ M pore-size Whatman anodisc filter and stained in the dark for 15 minutes with 2 \times SYBR gold

(Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA). Stained filters were mounted onto glass slides with antifade solution and visualized with a Nikon Ti-E confocal microscope at 1000× magnification using an excitation wavelength of 488 nm. We captured 10 digital images of each filter and enumerated VLPs in each image using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). For enumeration of VLPs in the initial virus concentrates, we 1:100 diluted the concentrates in sterile water and then 0.02 µM-filtered, stained, and visualized the samples as described above.

2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed in R (R Core Development Team, 2019). To assess the respiratory responses to the virus treatments among the soils we used linear mixed models in the lme4 R package (Bates et al., 2019) with ‘virus treatment’, ‘soil type’, and ‘measurement day’ as fixed effects and ‘jar’ as a random effect, which accounts for repeated measurement of the same jars over time. To assess pairwise differences between virus treatments within each soil type on each measurement day, we used the ‘contrast’ function (Tukey method) in the emmeans R package (Lenth et al., 2019). We assessed assumptions of normality of the residuals of the models using Shapiro-Wilk tests and when deviation from normality was observed, we used generalized linear mixed models with gamma distribution and log-link function. For variables measured at the end of the experiment, we used linear models with ‘virus treatment’ and ‘soil type’ as factors. We assessed the normality of the residuals of the models and conducted pairwise comparisons within soil types as described above. To determine effects of the treatments on bacterial ASV composition, we used PERMANOVA in the vegan R package (Oksanen et al., 2019) with Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. To identify specific bacterial ASVs within each soil type that responded to the virus treatments, we conducted differential abundance analysis using

Deseq2 (Love et al., 2014). All data and analysis scripts are available on figshare:
<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25723785.v1>.

3. Results

3.1 Soil physicochemical properties

The four soils used for the microcosm experiment had dramatically different physicochemical properties (Supplementary Table S1). For example, the deciduous forest soil substantially more extractable organic C (49% – 374% higher) and total extractable N (46% – 149% higher) than any of the other soils. However, the deciduous forest soil was also highly acidic (pH 3.76), while the other soils had pH values of 5.93 (agricultural soil), 6.2 (conifer forest), and 7.34 (prairie soil). Another notable difference was that the agricultural soil had more than 3-fold lower microbial biomass C than any of the other soils. All physicochemical data is reported on Supplementary Table S1.

3.2 Effects on soil respiration and soil C and N pools

To determine the effects of the virus treatments on soil respiratory C loss, we measured respiration for 14 days after adding the virus concentrates to the microcosms. Respiration generally declined over the course of the incubation and was dramatically different among the different soils, with the highest respiration rates observed in the two forest soils and the lowest in the agricultural soil (Fig. 1). We also observed effects of the virus treatments on soil respiration dynamics in some but not all soils, resulting in a significant soil type \times virus treatment interaction ($\chi^2 = 7.9$, $p = 0.048$, Fig. 1). The agricultural and deciduous forest soils exhibited no detectable respiratory responses to the virus treatments during the initial 14-day incubation, while the virus-treated conifer forest and prairie soils had small but significant reductions in respiration compared with controls during the middle and late stages of the incubation (Fig. 1).

Specifically, on days 5 and 10, the virus-treated prairie soils respired 1 – 1.3 μg less C per gram of soil compared with control soils (~10% reduction in respiration, Fig. 1) while on days 7 and 10 of the incubation, the virus-treated conifer forest soils respired 1.7 – 2 μg less C per gram of soil compared with control soils (~5% reduction in respiration, Fig. 1). The results for cumulative respiration were similar, with an average of 10 μg and 14 μg less respired C per gram of soil for the virus-treated prairie and conifer forest soils, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S3). In contrast to the respiration results, we did not observe significant effects of the virus treatments on soil microbial biomass C, extractable organic C, or total extractable N pools measured at the end of the experiment in any of the soils (Supplementary Table S2).

3.3 ^{13}C tracer incubation

To assess the effects of the virus treatments on the cycling of labile C, we conducted a ^{13}C glucose tracing incubation. Addition of the tracer stimulated microbial activity in all soils (Fig. 1), possibly due to the added glucose and/or to the added moisture and physical mixing. In the prairie, conifer forest, and deciduous forest soils, respiration was not affected by the virus treatments following addition of the glucose tracer (Fig. 1). In contrast, in the agricultural soil, we observed 1.8-fold higher total respiration in the virus-treated soils compared with the control soils after adding the tracer (Fig. 1). Analysis of the $^{13}\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$ data indicated that >90% of the additional respired C in those soils originated from native soil organic matter (SOM) (Fig. 2), though respiration of glucose-C was also significantly higher in those soils (Supplementary Fig. S4). This indicates that priming of SOM decomposition was accelerated in the virus-treated agricultural soils after the glucose addition.

Analysis of ^{13}C in the soil extracts showed no differences in ^{13}C in the extractable organic C pool (Supplementary Fig. S5) but showed a clear main effect of virus treatment on ^{13}C

accumulation in microbial biomass, *i.e.*, microbial growth yield (Fig. 3). Specifically, microbial growth yield was 20% lower on average in the virus-treated soils ($\chi^2 = 11.7$, $p < 0.001$), though pairwise differences were only significant for the conifer forest soil (Fig. 3). Similarly, CUE exhibited a clear main effect of virus treatment, where CUE was ~6% lower in the virus-treated soils on average ($F = 17.4$, $p < 0.001$), with significant pairwise differences in both the conifer forest and agricultural soils (Fig. 4). CUE was generally very high in our experiment (though notably different among soil types, Fig. 4), which reflects the easily assimilable nature of glucose and the small quantity of tracer we added (Islam et al., 2023). We used the respiration, CUE, and microbial biomass C values to estimate microbial biomass turnover rates and observed a significant soil type \times virus treatment interaction ($\chi^2 = 20.4$, $p < 0.001$), where the virus-treated agricultural soils had ~2.3-fold higher estimated biomass turnover and no significant differences for any of the other soils (Supplementary Fig. S6).

3.4 Viral and microbial analyses

To identify effects of the virus treatments on soil bacterial communities we conducted qPCR and amplicon sequencing. We did not detect effects of the virus treatments on 16S rRNA gene abundance in any of the soils (Supplementary Fig. S7). The 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data revealed that prokaryotic ASV community composition was dramatically different among the four soils (Fig. 5), as were the relative abundances of the dominant prokaryotic phyla (Supplementary Fig. S8), though all communities were generally dominated by Proteobacteria (30% of all sequences), Actinobacteriota (26% of all sequences), Acidobacteriota (8% of all sequences), Verrucomicrobiota (7% of all sequences) and Firmicutes (6% of all sequences). However, there were no effects of the virus treatments on prokaryotic ASV community composition (Fig. 5). This held true when analyzing all samples from all soil

types together (Fig. 5) and when analyzing the four soils separately (Supplementary Fig. S9). In line with the community-wide analyses, Deseq2 did not reveal any 16S rRNA gene ASVs that were differentially abundant between the virus treatments in any of the soils. We also did not observe effects of the viruses on alpha diversity metrics (Shannon, Simpson, Pielou's evenness) in any of the soils (Supplementary Table 3).

In contrast to the bacterial community results, we did observe effects of the virus treatments on VLP abundance. Specifically, we detected a significant main effect of virus treatment ($\chi^2 = 5.5$, $p = 0.019$, Fig. 6), with microcosms receiving the virus concentrates having ~18% higher VLP abundance on average across all soils. However, we did not detect pairwise differences in VLP abundance between the virus treatments within any individual soils (all $p > 0.05$, Fig. 6). Treatment effects were stronger when considering virus:prokaryote abundance ratios, i.e., ratios of VLP abundance to 16S rRNA gene abundance. While these ratios cannot be interpreted as true virus:prokaryote ratios due to the different enumeration methods (microscopy vs. qPCR), they do provide a relative metric of the responses of viral vs. microbial abundance to our treatments. We detected a significant main effect of the virus treatments on VLP:16S ratios ($\chi^2 = 8.3$, $p = 0.003$, Supplementary Fig. S10), with virus-treated microcosms having 24% higher VLP:16S ratios across all soils. Further, we detected significant pairwise differences in VLP:16S between virus treatments in both the agricultural soil (25% higher VLP:16S in the virus-treated microcosms) and in the conifer forest soil (31% higher VLP:16S in the virus-treated microcosms) (Supplementary Fig. S10). The same trend was evident in the prairie soils, though the difference was not statistically significant (Supplementary Fig. S10).

4. Discussion

Given the spectacularly high abundance of soil viruses, the dearth of knowledge regarding their ecological roles represents a fundamental gap in our understanding of soil ecology (Kuzyakov and Mason-Jones, 2018). Though our knowledge of soil virus ecology is limited, attention being paid to soil viruses is growing (Williamson et al., 2017; Emerson, 2019) and emerging research demonstrates the potential for viruses to have ecosystem-scale effects on soils (Braga et al., 2020; Albright et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2023; Liang et al., 2024). Our study contributes to this growing body of research by providing experimental evidence that viruses can alter soil microbial community metabolism, including reductions in both microbial growth yield and carbon use efficiency. Further, we show that those virus-mediated changes in microbial metabolism can manifest in changes to the aggregate C balance of soils, though the respiratory responses to increased viral loads were sometimes small in magnitude and the direction of the responses varied among soil types.

Here, we increased virus abundance in intact soils above background levels by adding natural virion concentrates to the soils. After entering the soil, there are multiple possible fates of the added virions. Added virions may simply decompose if they do not encounter a host or if they were damaged in the extraction/filtration process. Alternatively, virions might infect a new host, which will likely result in increased physiological stress in soil microorganisms (Flores-Kim and Darwin, 2016). We hypothesized that increased stress, in turn, would manifest in reduced CUE of the microbial communities. Supporting this hypothesis, we observed a consistent reduction in microbial growth yield and CUE in the virus-treated soils. The reduced microbial growth yield and CUE we observed is consistent with work from marine ecosystems, which has demonstrated reduced bacterial growth efficiency and growth yield in association with the marine viral shunt (Bonilla-Findji et al., 2008; Motegi et al., 2009). However, our results are notably different from

another study in soils that also investigated microbial CUE following virus additions, which found that virus additions increased CUE (Tong et al., 2023). That study, however, measured CUE using an extracellular enzyme stoichiometry method, which is not comparable to the isotope tracing method used in this study (Schimel et al., 2022). Because of this difference, the studies might be difficult to compare directly, though this does highlight an important inconsistency that should be addressed by future research. One possible reason for the discrepancy is that, unlike Tong et al., our study measured CUE following addition of a labile resource (glucose), which might have triggered viral processes that do not occur as frequently under baseline conditions, *e.g.*, lytic replication. Alternatively, it is possible that viral lysis could, in fact, increase CUE in some situations, potentially due to the increased availability of high-quality C from newly lysed microbial necromass. Studies that use ^{18}O isotope tracing to measure microbial efficiency both with and without labile C additions could potentially resolve this discrepancy (Geyer et al., 2019).

We also hypothesized that the physiological responses of microbial communities would be accompanied by shifts in microbial communities, but we did not observe any changes in 16S rRNA gene amplicon profiles of the soils. No evident community response could be due to relic DNA in the soil, which can obscure detection of microbial responses over short time scales (Carini et al., 2020), especially if effect sizes are small (Kittredge et al., 2021). This suggests that any effects of our virus treatments on microbial community composition, if present, may have been small in magnitude. If true, this would also indicate that the changes in soil C cycling we observed are probably attributed to changes in the physiological state of the soil microorganisms rather than large changes in the composition of the communities. Alternatively, because rates of microbial mortality may have been high in our experiment (with proportionally high

accumulations of relic DNA), it is also possible that our treatments could have obscured larger-magnitude changes in community composition compared with other studies. Indeed, prior studies have linked increased phage abundance to higher proportions of relic DNA in soil (Wei et al., 2021). Future studies that use relic DNA removal methods prior to characterizing communities could assess these possibilities. We also note that our study only would have detected responses of abundant taxa, given the somewhat limited sequence depth in our analysis. While our dataset is likely missing many rare taxa, we reasoned that since our study was focused on broad C cycle processes, any effects that were related to changes in microbial community composition would be attributed to taxa that are abundant and would probably have been detected.

Our other hypothesis was that reductions in microbial CUE would result in increased respiratory loss of C from the soils. We observed support for this hypothesis only in the agricultural soil, and, intriguingly, the increase in respiration only occurred after addition of the glucose tracer. Though we initially designed the tracer addition to minimize effects on microbial activity, we hypothesize that the response of this soil was, in fact, related to the pulse of C. It is possible, for example, that microbial growth responses to the pulse of labile C triggered lytic replication in some of the new viral infections, delivering new substrate to nearby unaffected populations, *i.e.*, a viral shunt. This may have occurred in the agricultural soil and not the others because the glucose addition represented a proportionally much larger resource input to that soil, as agricultural soils are generally more C-limited than other soils. Indeed, the glucose C addition represented ~12% of the standing microbial biomass C in that soil versus 1 – 4 % in the others. Future studies could evaluate this by performing similar experiments but with varying rates and timings of C addition to soils with varying C pool sizes. Overall, the reduced growth yield, reduced CUE, and increased respiration we observed in the agricultural soil is very similar to

what occurs in the marine viral shunt (Suttle, 2007; Bonilla-Findji et al., 2008; Motegi et al., 2009) and suggests that a similar mechanism may operate in some soils. Viral mechanisms might be involved in other key soil processes that involve resource pulses such as rhizosphere priming. Indeed, most of the additional respired C in the agricultural soil was the result of priming, with the virus additions approximately doubling the priming effect in those soils. In line with this result, we also observed greater microbial biomass turnover rates in the agricultural soil, which suggests the possibility that viral shunts in soil could contribute to mineral stabilization of C (Cotrufo et al., 2013), despite the greater respiratory C loss.

It is interesting that the other three soils (conifer forest, deciduous forest, and prairie) also exhibited generally lower growth yield and CUE despite there being no increases in respiration in those soils. The reduced accrual of ^{13}C in microbial biomass in those soils cannot be accounted for by ^{13}C in the extractable organic C pools, which were not different between the control and virus-treated soils. The reduced ^{13}C in microbial biomass without an increase in respiration suggests that there must be non-respiratory fates of the unaccounted for ^{13}C in those soils that would explain the reduced growth yield and CUE. One possibility is that the C became mineral-bound following microbial death, which may have occurred at higher rates in the virus-treated soils. This speculation is supported by the fact that the non-agricultural soils were finer-textured (Supplementary Table S1), which might have provided more surface area for mineral associations to occur. It is also interesting that during the initial 14-day incubation the virus treatments either had no effect on respiration or slightly reduced respiration, in contrast to the glucose tracer incubation. Taken together, the range of respiratory responses we observed represents the varying and potentially countervailing influences that viral infection might have on overall microbial activity and soil C balance. For example, while increased availability of

lysed biomass C could increase overall respiration (as in a viral shunt), reduced respiration could occur if stressed microbes redirect resources away from energy metabolism or if rates of host lysis are high enough to offset the increased activity of non-infected populations. These alternative respiratory responses, in turn, reflect different experimental/environmental contexts, *e.g.*, constant environmental conditions (our initial incubation) vs. resource pulse scenarios. This range of possible responses is also evident in prior studies, where respiration has been observed to both increase (Albright et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2023) or decrease (Wei et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2024) with higher virus abundance.

It should also be noted that the differences in responses we observed among the different soils are probably related to the large differences in the concentrations of virus particles in the inocula across the soils. For example, the two soils that exhibited respiratory responses in the initial incubation were also the two with the highest VLP concentration in the inocula (prairie and conifer forest). In contrast, the deciduous forest soil had the lowest VLP abundance in the inoculum and never exhibited respiratory responses to the virus treatment. This reflects limitations to our virus extraction procedure, as the salt buffer we used is likely not effective for extracting viruses from soils with high organic matter, *e.g.*, our deciduous forest soil (Trubl et al., 2016; Göller et al., 2020). In preliminary trials, we did attempt to address this by using an organic (protein-supplemented) buffer (Göller et al., 2020), but the extracts proved to be unfilterable with our TFF system. On the other hand, it could be argued that the variation in the extractability of viruses among our soils could reflect meaningful differences in the mobility of viruses in the differing soil matrices. For example, VLPs might be more abundant in the deciduous forest soils than we were able to detect but might also be tightly bound to organic matter or mineral surfaces and thus less likely to infect hosts. In contrast, while VLP

concentration was also relatively low in the agricultural soil inoculum, that soil was low in organic matter and coarser-textured (sandy loam), and thus mobility of the added viruses might have been high due to less immobilization of the particles on mineral surfaces or on organic matter. Regardless, poor and varying extractability of viruses across different soil types represents a challenging limitation of this type of experimental approach. Yet another consideration is the potential for variation in the viability of the phages in the different extracts. While it is probably not feasible to directly assess the viability of highly diverse phage communities with mostly unknown and potentially unculturable microbial hosts, it is conceivable that phage particles were differentially viable among the extracts from the four soils, which could have contributed to the variable responses to virus addition.

In addition to variable virus abundance/extractability/viability, another factor that might underlie the diversity of responses among the soils is variation in the background rates and types of viral infection. For example, prior work has demonstrated that in some soils, most prokaryotic cells are already infected with lytic phages and that phage lysis is the primary cause of prokaryotic mortality in those soils (Bowatte et al., 2010). Therefore, if phages are already maximally influencing host populations in the control soils, there may be no effect of adding more virus particles. High background effects of viruses might also explain the generally small effect sizes of the virus treatments that we observed. If background rates of infection are high, the soils may be nearly or completely “saturated” with viruses. Because of the technical challenge of directly observing phage infections, we do not have information for our soils on background rates of infection, though it would be valuable for future studies to include this when conducting virus manipulations experiments like ours. Ideally, future work would also attempt to identify and quantify the biogeochemical implications of background rates of viral infection, *e.g.*,

by virus removal from soil, similar to what has been done in marine studies (Bonilla-Findji et al., 2008; Motegi et al., 2009). However, this represents a substantial methodological challenge since there is no simple way of removing viruses from soil that does not also remove cellular microorganisms and alter soil physiochemical properties (*e.g.*, gamma irradiation, autoclaving).

We also note that while we observed clear statistical effects of the virus treatments on several aspects of microbial C cycling, it remains difficult to directly attribute these effects to specific viral mechanisms. One mechanism that could underlie the effects we observed is viral lysis of hosts. We attempted to identify that mechanism by enumeration of VLP abundance in the soils at the end of the experiment, since lytic replication should release additional virus particles into the soil matrix. While we observed an overall increase in VLP abundance in the virus-treated soils, the differences were within the amount initially added, so we do not know whether those particles were remnants of the inoculation, were generated through viral replication, or some combination of the two. Future studies could resolve this issue by combining virus addition experiments like the one used here with DNA-stable isotope probing to trace isotopically labelled C substrates into specific viral taxa (Starr et al., 2021; Barnett and Buckley, 2023). This would allow for the pairing of quantitative estimates of the effects of viruses on soil C fluxes with identification of specific viral taxa involved. Those studies could be conducted with isotope tracing at higher temporal resolution and under different resource scenarios (*e.g.*, with and without C additions). Studies such as this could potentially shed light on specific viral mechanisms at play, *e.g.*, stress due to infection, lysis of microbial cells, etc.

Considering the range of possible mechanisms that underlie the statistical effects is particularly relevant for experimental approaches such as ours where controls are imperfect. For example, both our live virion inocula and the autoclaved control inocula contain organic matter

extracted from the soils, but in the autoclaved control inocula the organic matter is heat-treated and the destroyed viruses in those inocula could represent additional C or nutrient sources for microorganisms. That said, in preliminary trials, soils that received the autoclaved inocula were indistinguishable from soils that received sterile buffer (Supplementary Fig. S1). Therefore, we do not think that extracted organic matter in the inocula is likely to have played a significant role in our experiment. Another possible experimental artifact is the potential for bacterial contamination in the virus inocula, though we observed no evidence of contamination in our inocula. A prior experiment with a similar TFF procedure also observed minimal bacterial contamination in the virus inocula (Braga et al., 2020). Thus, while we cannot completely rule out the potential role of bacterial contaminants or other experimental artifacts, we did not see evidence of this in our experiment. Overall, we attribute treatment effects in this study to viral influences, but the mechanisms remain elusive. To elucidate those mechanisms, it is critical to continue using and improving these and other experimental approaches so that the key roles of viruses in the functioning of soil ecosystems can be identified and quantified.

5. Conclusions

Our study provides experimental evidence that viruses can influence soil carbon cycling across varying ecosystem types by reducing the growth yield and efficiency of microbial communities. These effects may be attributed to increased microbial stress upon infection or increased rates of host lysis and can manifest in altered respiratory loss of C from soil. Respiratory responses to increased viral loads, however, appear to vary among different soil types and are sometimes small in magnitude. In addition, this study examined microbial functioning under elevated virus abundance scenarios, which might not be entirely representative of the effects of viruses under baseline conditions. Additional research is required to elucidate

those influences, along with the specific viral mechanisms concerned. In particular, future studies should compare biogeochemical effects of viruses across soils with different degrees of phage “saturation” and/or different degrees of microbial adaptation to phages rather than simply considering influences of soil physicochemical properties and ecosystem type. Regardless, our results demonstrate that the effects of viruses on soil microorganisms resemble the phenomenon of viral shunting observed in better-characterized marine environments and shed light on the potential for viruses to alter the cycling and fate of carbon in terrestrial ecosystems.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Science Foundation award 1845417 (to M.S.S.). Work at Kellogg Biological Station was supported by the NSF Long-term Ecological Research Program (DEB 2224712), with sampling help from Holly Vander Stel.

References

- Albright, M.B.N., Gallegos-Graves, L.V., Feeser, K.L., Montoya, K., Emerson, J.B., Shakya, M., Dunbar, J., 2022. Experimental evidence for the impact of soil viruses on carbon cycling during surface plant litter decomposition. *ISME Communications* 2, 1–8. doi:10.1038/s43705-022-00109-4
- Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., Weber, L., 2015. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 75, 129–137. doi:10.3354/ame01753
- Barnett, S.E., Buckley, D.H., 2023. Metagenomic stable isotope probing reveals bacteriophage participation in soil carbon cycling. *Environmental Microbiology* 25, 1785–1795. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.16395
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., Christensen, R.H.B., Singmann, H., Dai, B., Scheipl, F., Grothendieck, G., Green, P., Fox, J., 2019. lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models using “Eigen” and S4.
- Bonilla-Findji, O., Malits, A., Lefèvre, D., Rochelle-Newall, E., Lemée, R., Weinbauer, M.G., Gattuso, J.-P., 2008. Viral effects on bacterial respiration, production and growth efficiency: Consistent trends in the Southern Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part II*:

600 Topical Studies in Oceanography, KEOPS: Kerguelen Ocean and Plateau compared Study 55,
601 790–800. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.12.004

602 Bowatte, S., Newton, P.C.D., Takahashi, R., Kimura, M., 2010. High frequency of virus-infected
603 bacterial cells in a sheep grazed pasture soil in New Zealand. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42,
604 708–712. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.12.013

605 Bradford, M.A., Fierer, N., Reynolds, J.F., 2008. Soil carbon stocks in experimental mesocosms
606 are dependent on the rate of labile carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus inputs to soils. *Functional*
607 *Ecology* 22, 964–974. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01404.x

608 Braga, L.P.P., Spor, A., Kot, W., Breuil, M.-C., Hansen, L.H., Setubal, J.C., Philippot, L., 2020.
609 Impact of phages on soil bacterial communities and nitrogen availability under different
610 assembly scenarios. *Microbiome* 8, 52. doi:10.1186/s40168-020-00822-z

611 Carini, P., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Hinckley, E.-L.S., Holland-Moritz, H., Brewer, T.E., Rue, G.,
612 Vanderburgh, C., McKnight, D., Fierer, N., 2020. Effects of Spatial Variability and Relic DNA
613 Removal on the Detection of Temporal Dynamics in Soil Microbial Communities. *mBio* 11,
614 e02776-19. doi:10.1128/mBio.02776-19

615 Cobián Güemes, A.G., Youle, M., Cantú, V.A., Felts, B., Nulton, J., Rohwer, F., 2016. Viruses as
616 Winners in the Game of Life. *Annual Review of Virology* 3, 197–214. doi:10.1146/annurev-
617 virology-100114-054952

618 Cotrufo, M.F., Wallenstein, M.D., Boot, C.M., Denef, K., Paul, E., 2013. The Microbial
619 Efficiency-Matrix Stabilization (MEMS) framework integrates plant litter decomposition with
620 soil organic matter stabilization: do labile plant inputs form stable soil organic matter? *Global*
621 *Change Biology* 19, 988–995. doi:10.1111/gcb.12113

622 Duan, N., Li, L., Liang, X., McDearis, R., Fine, A.K., Cheng, Z., Zhuang, J., Radosevich, M.,
623 Schaeffer, S.M., 2022. Composition of soil viral and bacterial communities after long-term
624 tillage, fertilization, and cover cropping management. *Applied Soil Ecology* 177, 104510.
625 doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104510

626 Emerson, J.B., 2019. Soil Viruses: A New Hope. *mSystems* 4, e00120-19.
627 doi:10.1128/mSystems.00120-19

628 Emerson, J.B., Roux, S., Brum, J.R., Bolduc, B., Woodcroft, B.J., Jang, H.B., Singleton, C.M.,
629 Solden, L.M., Naas, A.E., Boyd, J.A., Hodgkins, S.B., Wilson, R.M., Trubl, G., Li, C., Frolking,
630 S., Pope, P.B., Wrighton, K.C., Crill, P.M., Chanton, J.P., Saleska, S.R., Tyson, G.W., Rich, V.I.,
631 Sullivan, M.B., 2018. Host-linked soil viral ecology along a permafrost thaw gradient. *Nature*
632 *Microbiology* 3, 870–880. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0190-y

633 Fierer, N., Jackson, J.A., Vilgalys, R., Jackson, R.B., 2005. Assessment of Soil Microbial
 634 Community Structure by Use of Taxon-Specific Quantitative PCR Assays. *Applied and*
 635 *Environmental Microbiology* 71, 4117–4120. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.7.4117-4120.2005

636 Fierer, N., Schimel, J.P., 2002. Effects of drying–rewetting frequency on soil carbon and nitrogen
 637 transformations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 34, 777–787.

638 Flores-Kim, J., Darwin, A.J., 2016. The Phage Shock Protein Response. *Annual Review of*
 639 *Microbiology* 70, 83–101. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095359

640 Geyer, K.M., Dijkstra, P., Sinsabaugh, R., Frey, S.D., 2019. Clarifying the interpretation of
 641 carbon use efficiency in soil through methods comparison. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 128,
 642 79–88. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.09.036

643 Göller, P.C., Haro-Moreno, J.M., Rodriguez-Valera, F., Loessner, M.J., Gómez-Sanz, E., 2020.
 644 Uncovering a hidden diversity: optimized protocols for the extraction of dsDNA bacteriophages
 645 from soil. *Microbiome* 8, 17. doi:10.1186/s40168-020-0795-2

646 Hagerty, S.B., van Groenigen, K.J., Allison, S.D., Hungate, B.A., Schwartz, E., Koch, G.W.,
 647 Kolka, R.K., Dijkstra, P., 2014. Accelerated microbial turnover but constant growth efficiency
 648 with warming in soil. *Nature Climate Change* 4, 903–906. doi:10.1038/nclimate2361

649 Heffner, T., Kaupper, T., Heinrichs, M., Lee, H.J., Rüppel, N., Horn, M.A., Ho, A., 2023.
 650 Mitomycin C-induced effects on aerobic methanotrophs in a landfill cover soil; implications of a
 651 viral shunt? *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 99, fiad047. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiad047

652 Islam, Md.R., Singh, B., Dijkstra, F.A., 2023. Microbial carbon use efficiency of glucose varies
 653 with soil clay content: A meta-analysis. *Applied Soil Ecology* 181, 104636.
 654 doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104636

655 Kittredge, H.A., Dougherty, K.M., Glanville, K., Evans, S.E., 2021. Does extracellular DNA
 656 mask microbial responses to a pulse disturbance? doi:10.1101/2021.12.04.471228

657 Kuzyakov, Y., Mason-Jones, K., 2018. Viruses in soil: Nano-scale undead drivers of microbial
 658 life, biogeochemical turnover and ecosystem functions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 127,
 659 305–317. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.09.032

660 Lee, S., Sorensen, J.W., Walker, R.L., Emerson, J.B., Nicol, G.W., Hazard, C., 2022. Soil pH
 661 influences the structure of virus communities at local and global scales. *Soil Biology and*
 662 *Biochemistry* 166, 108569. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108569

663 Lenth, R., Singmann, H., Love, J., Buerkner, P., Herve, M., 2019. emmeans: Estimated Marginal
 664 Means, aka Least-Squares Means.

665 Liang, X., Sun, S., Zhong, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Xie, N., Yang, L., Radosevich, M.,
666 2024. Soil viruses reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote microbial necromass accrual.
667 doi:10.1101/2024.03.13.584929

668 Liang, X., Wagner, R.E., Zhuang, J., DeBruyn, J.M., Wilhelm, S.W., Liu, F., Yang, L., Staton,
669 M.E., Sherfy, A.C., Radosevich, M., 2019. Viral abundance and diversity vary with depth in a
670 southeastern United States agricultural ultisol. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 137, 107546.
671 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107546

672 Liang, X., Zhang, Y., Wommack, K.E., Wilhelm, S.W., DeBruyn, J.M., Sherfy, A.C., Zhuang, J.,
673 Radosevich, M., 2020. Lysogenic reproductive strategies of viral communities vary with soil
674 depth and are correlated with bacterial diversity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 144, 107767.
675 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107767

676 Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
677 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* 15, 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

678 Morrissey, E.M., Mau, R.L., Schwartz, E., McHugh, T.A., Dijkstra, P., Koch, B.J., Marks, J.C.,
679 Hungate, B.A., 2017. Bacterial carbon use plasticity, phylogenetic diversity and the priming of
680 soil organic matter. *The ISME Journal* 11, 1890–1899. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.43

681 Motegi, C., Nagata, T., Miki, T., Weinbauer, M.G., Legendre, L., Rassoulzadegan, F., 2009.
682 Viral control of bacterial growth efficiency in marine pelagic environments. *Limnology and*
683 *Oceanography* 54, 1901–1910. doi:10.4319/lo.2009.54.6.1901

684 Narr, A., Nawaz, A., Wick, L.Y., Harms, H., Chatzinotas, A., 2017. Soil Viral Communities Vary
685 Temporally and along a Land Use Transect as Revealed by Virus-Like Particle Counting and a
686 Modified Community Fingerprinting Approach (fRAPD). *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8.

687 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.R.,
688 O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2019.
689 *vegan: Community Ecology Package*.

690 Parada, A.E., Needham, D.M., Fuhrman, J.A., 2016. Every base matters: assessing small subunit
691 rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field
692 samples. *Environmental Microbiology* 18, 1403–1414. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13023

693 Quirós, P., Sala-Comorera, L., Gómez-Gómez, C., Ramos-Barbero, M.D., Rodríguez-Rubio, L.,
694 Vique, G., Yance-Chávez, T., Atarés, S., García-Gutierrez, S., García-Marco, S., Vallejo, A.,
695 Salaet, I., Muniesa, M., 2023. Identification of a virulent phage infecting species of
696 *Nitrosomonas*. *The ISME Journal* 17, 645–648. doi:10.1038/s41396-023-01380-6

697 R Core Development Team, 2019. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.

698 Roy, K., Ghosh, D., DeBruyn, J.M., Dasgupta, T., Wommack, K.E., Liang, X., Wagner, R.E.,
699 Radosevich, M., 2020. Temporal Dynamics of Soil Virus and Bacterial Populations in

700 Agricultural and Early Plant Successional Soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 11, 1494.
701 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01494

702 Santos-Medellín, C., Blazewicz, S.J., Pett-Ridge, J., Firestone, M.K., Emerson, J.B., 2023. Viral
703 but not bacterial community successional patterns reflect extreme turnover shortly after
704 rewetting dry soils. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 7, 1809–1822. doi:10.1038/s41559-023-02207-
705 5

706 Schimel, J., Weintraub, M.N., Moorhead, D., 2022. Estimating microbial carbon use efficiency in
707 soil: Isotope-based and enzyme-based methods measure fundamentally different aspects of
708 microbial resource use. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 169, 108677.
709 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108677

710 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S.,
711 Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K.,
712 Tomancak, P., Cardona, A., 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis.
713 *Nature Methods* 9, 676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

714 Sokol, N.W., Slessarev, E., Marschmann, G.L., Nicolas, A., Blazewicz, S.J., Brodie, E.L.,
715 Firestone, M.K., Foley, M.M., Hestrin, R., Hungate, B.A., Koch, B.J., Stone, B.W., Sullivan,
716 M.B., Zablocki, O., Pett-Ridge, J., 2022. Life and death in the soil microbiome: how ecological
717 processes influence biogeochemistry. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 1–16. doi:10.1038/s41579-
718 022-00695-z

719 Starr, E.P., Shi, S., Blazewicz, S.J., Koch, B.J., Probst, A.J., Hungate, B.A., Pett-Ridge, J.,
720 Firestone, M.K., Banfield, J.F., 2021. Stable-Isotope-Informed, Genome-Resolved
721 Metagenomics Uncovers Potential Cross-Kingdom Interactions in Rhizosphere Soil. *mSphere* 6,
722 e00085-21. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00085-21

723 Suttle, C.A., 2007. Marine viruses — major players in the global ecosystem. *Nature Reviews*
724 *Microbiology* 5, 801–812. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1750

725 Takahashi, R., Bowatte, S., Taki, K., Ohashi, Y., Asakawa, S., Kimura, M., 2011. High frequency
726 of phage-infected bacterial cells in a rice field soil in Japan. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 57,
727 35–39. doi:10.1080/00380768.2010.550864

728 Tong, D., Wang, Y., Yu, H., Shen, H., Dahlgren, R.A., Xu, J., 2023. Viral lysing can alleviate
729 microbial nutrient limitations and accumulate recalcitrant dissolved organic matter components
730 in soil. *The ISME Journal* 1–10. doi:10.1038/s41396-023-01438-5

731 Trubl, G., Jang, H.B., Roux, S., Emerson, J.B., Solonenko, N., Vik, D.R., Solden, L., Ellenbogen,
732 J., Runyon, A.T., Bolduc, B., Woodcroft, B.J., Saleska, S.R., Tyson, G.W., Wrighton, K.C.,
733 Sullivan, M.B., Rich, V.I., 2018. Soil Viruses Are Underexplored Players in Ecosystem Carbon
734 Processing. *mSystems* 3. doi:10.1128/mSystems.00076-18

- Trubl, G., Solonenko, N., Chittick, L., Solonenko, S.A., Rich, V.I., Sullivan, M.B., 2016. Optimization of viral resuspension methods for carbon-rich soils along a permafrost thaw gradient. *PeerJ* 4, e1999. doi:10.7717/peerj.1999
- Van Goethem, M.W., Swenson, T.L., Trubl, G., Roux, S., Northen, T.R., 2019. Characteristics of Wetting-Induced Bacteriophage Blooms in Biological Soil Crust. *mBio* 10, e02287-19. doi:10.1128/mBio.02287-19
- Wei, X., Ge, T., Wu, C., Wang, S., Mason-Jones, K., Li, Y., Zhu, Z., Hu, Y., Liang, C., Shen, J., Wu, J., Kuzyakov, Y., 2021. T4-like Phages Reveal the Potential Role of Viruses in Soil Organic Matter Mineralization. *Environmental Science & Technology* 55, 6440–6448. doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c06014
- Weinbauer, M.G., Rassoulzadegan, F., 2004. Are viruses driving microbial diversification and diversity? *Environmental Microbiology* 6, 1–11. doi:10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00539.x
- Williamson, K.E., Corzo, K.A., Drissi, C.L., Buckingham, J.M., Thompson, C.P., Helton, R.R., 2013. Estimates of viral abundance in soils are strongly influenced by extraction and enumeration methods. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 49, 857–869. doi:10.1007/s00374-013-0780-z
- Williamson, K.E., Fuhrmann, J.J., Wommack, K.E., Radosevich, M., 2017. Viruses in Soil Ecosystems: An Unknown Quantity Within an Unexplored Territory. *Annual Review of Virology* 4, 201–219. doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-101416-041639
- Williamson, K.E., Radosevich, M., Smith, D.W., Wommack, K.E., 2007. Incidence of lysogeny within temperate and extreme soil environments. *Environmental Microbiology* 9, 2563–2574. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01374.x
- Williamson, K.E., Radosevich, M., Wommack, K.E., 2005. Abundance and Diversity of Viruses in Six Delaware Soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71, 3119–3125. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.6.3119-3125.2005
- Wu, R., Davison, M.R., Nelson, W.C., Graham, E.B., Fansler, S.J., Farris, Y., Bell, S.L., Godinez, I., Mcdermott, J.E., Hofmockel, K.S., Jansson, J.K., 2021. DNA Viral Diversity, Abundance, and Functional Potential Vary across Grassland Soils with a Range of Historical Moisture Regimes. *mBio* 12, e02595-21. doi:10.1128/mBio.02595-21

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Respiration during the initial 14-day incubation and following the addition of the ¹³C-glucose tracer. Note the different y-axis scales among soils. Symbols are treatment means while

error bars represent one standard error of the mean. The dotted vertical lines indicate the day of glucose tracer addition. For the initial 14-day incubation, respiration data were analyzed using a mixed model with ‘virus treatment’, ‘soil type’, and ‘day’ as fixed effects and ‘jar’ as a random effect. For respiration following tracer addition, data were analyzed using a linear model with ‘virus treatment’ and ‘soil type’ as factors. We detected significant virus treatment \times soil type interactions for both the 14-day incubation ($\chi^2 = 7.9, p = 0.048$) and for the tracer incubation ($F = 4.4, p < 0.001$). Pairwise comparisons were performed within soil types (Tukey method) and symbols indicate significant differences between virus treatments at the following levels: ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 2: Effects of the virus treatments on respiration of soil organic matter (SOM) derived C during the ^{13}C -glucose incubation. We partitioned respired CO_2 between SOM and the added glucose tracer using a mass balance equation (see Supplementary Methods). Data were analyzed using a linear model with ‘virus treatment’ and ‘soil type’ as factors. There was a significant virus treatment \times soil type interaction for SOM respiration ($F = 4.47, p = 0.009$). Pairwise comparisons were performed within soil types (Tukey method) and symbols indicate significant differences between virus treatments at the following levels: *** $p < 0.001$. Respiration of the glucose-C was much lower but exhibited similar patterns and is shown on Supplementary Fig. S4. On all panels, blue boxes indicate soils that received autoclaved control inocula while yellow boxes indicate soils that received the live virus inocula.

Figure 3: Effects of the virus treatments on ^{13}C incorporation into microbial biomass, *i.e.*, microbial growth yield. All ^{13}C equations are provided in the Supplementary Methods section. Data were analyzed using a generalized linear model with ‘virus treatment’ and ‘soil type’ as factors. There was a significant main effect of virus treatment on microbial growth ($\chi^2 = 11.7, p <$

0.001). Pairwise comparisons were performed within soil types (Tukey method) and symbols indicate significant differences between virus treatments at the following levels: *** $p < 0.001$. On all panels, blue boxes indicate soils that received autoclaved control inocula while yellow boxes indicate soils that received the live virus inocula.

Figure 4: Effects of the virus treatments on carbon use efficiency (CUE) of the glucose substrate. CUE calculations are provided in the Supplementary Information. Data were analyzed with a linear model with ‘virus treatment’ and ‘soil type’ as factors. There was a significant main effect of virus treatment on CUE ($F = 17.4, p < 0.001$). Pairwise comparisons were performed within soil types (Tukey method) and symbols indicate significant differences between virus treatments at the following levels: * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$. On all panels, blue boxes indicate soils that received autoclaved control inocula while yellow boxes indicate soils that received the live virus inocula.

Figure 5: Principal coordinates analysis (Bray-Curtis dissimilarities) showing responses of 16S rRNA gene ASV composition. Presented p values are from PERMANOVA with Bray-Curtis dissimilarities and with ‘soil type’ and ‘virus treatment’ as factors. Analysis of the four soils separately is shown on Supplementary Fig. S8.

Figure 6: Virus-like particle (VLP) abundance in the microcosm soils at the end of the experiment. Data were analyzed using a generalized linear model with ‘virus treatment’ and ‘soil type’ as fixed effects. We detected a significant main effect of virus treatment ($\chi^2 = 5.5, p = 0.019$). Pairwise comparisons were performed within soil types (Tukey method), none of which were statistically significant (all $p > 0.05$). Results for VLP:16S ratios are provided on Supplementary Fig. S9. On all panels, blue boxes indicate soils that received autoclaved control inocula while yellow boxes indicate soils that received the live virus inocula.