

A Perspective on Nonlinear, Microwave and Quantum photonics with Kerr Microcombs

Yanne K. Chembo,^{1, a)} Elham Heidari,² and Curtis R. Menyuk³

¹⁾University of Maryland, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering & Institute for Research in Electronics and Applied Physics (IREAP), 8279 Paint Branch Dr, College Park MD 20742, USA

²⁾University of Florida, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Malachowsky Hall, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

³⁾University of Maryland Baltimore County, Department of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA

(Dated: 26 November 2023)

Microresonator Kerr optical frequency combs currently constitute a well-established research area in integrated, nonlinear and quantum photonics. These systems have found a plethora of technological applications, while serving as an excellent platform to investigate fundamental scientific topics such as light-matter interactions, pattern formation in driven-dissipative systems, or entangled twin-photon generation. We here provide a brief overview of the topic, highlight some of the most recent advances, and discuss a few of the main challenges ahead in this field.

Microresonator Kerr optical frequency combs – or *microcombs* – are sets of equidistant spectral lines that are generated after pumping a high- Q resonator with a continuous-wave resonant laser. These combs have attracted intense research interest in recent years, as reviewed in refs.^{1–5}.

The typical platform for microcomb generation is a high- Q resonator, which allows long-lifetime photons to be trapped in its toruslike eigenmodes, and thereby to mutually interact via the nonlinearity of the host medium. The optical cavity is characterized by eigenmodes that are quasi-equidistantly spaced as $\omega_\ell \simeq \ell\Omega_R$, where Ω_R is the free spectral range of the resonator and the integer eigennumber ℓ stands for the quantized angular momentum of the intracavity photons ($\simeq \hbar\ell/a$ for a circular resonator of main radius a). When a given mode ℓ_0 is pumped with a laser, it is convenient to consider it as a reference so that the eigenmodes can now be conveniently labeled using the reduced eigennumber $l = \ell - \ell_0$. The purpose of microcomb generation is therefore to pump a unique mode $l = 0$ with a resonant continuous-wave laser, and thereby achieve the efficient excitation the sidemodes $l = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ via the bulk medium Kerr nonlinearity.

At the experimental level, the first demonstrations involved hyperparametric oscillations in a monolithic whispering-gallery mode resonator, excited via the degenerate photonic interaction $2\hbar\omega_0 \rightarrow \hbar\omega_l + \hbar\omega_{-l}$ where two pump photons of frequency ω_0 are down- and up-converted to $\omega_0 \pm l\Omega_R$ via four-wave mixing^{6,7}. A major breakthrough occurred in 2007 when it was shown that using a high- Q chip-scale microresonator, the four-wave mixing interaction $\hbar\omega_m + \hbar\omega_p \rightarrow \hbar\omega_n + \hbar\omega_l$ could be massively cascaded and yield a large set of equidistantly-spaced teeth in the spectral domain – a Kerr optical frequency comb⁸. This achievement was timely and appealing because two years prior, the Nobel Prize of Physics had been awarded to John Hall and Theodor Hänsch, “for their contributions to the development of laser-based precision spectroscopy, including the optical frequency comb tech-

nique”. Microresonator Kerr combs have the potential to deliver all the technological advantages of optical frequency combs, while providing optimal performance in terms of size, weight, power (SWAP) and scalability through photonic integration.

An important problem after the experimental demonstration of microresonator Kerr combs was the absence of a modeling framework for the understanding of these combs. While rule-of-thumb guidelines for Kerr comb generation were relatively easy to define, it was however apparent that the theoretical analysis of such a high-dimensional and nonlinear system would not be a trivial endeavor.

Indeed, relevant parameters for Kerr comb generation were known and readily measurable. They are namely the laser pump power P_L and frequency ω_L generating a input photon flux $\Phi = P_L/\hbar\omega_L$; the intrinsic and extrinsic cavity loss parameters characterized by their resonance half-linewidths κ_i and κ_e , respectively; the detuning $\sigma = \omega_L - \omega_0$ between the laser and pumped resonance frequency; the second-order (group-velocity) dispersion coefficient ζ ; the Kerr coefficient n_2 and modal volume V which are conveniently combined into a single nonlinear parameter $g \propto n_2/V$ corresponding to the self-phase modulation frequency shift induced by a single photon. The challenge was then to build a model that would incorporate all these parameters and allow the community to optimize these combs through a deeper understanding of their dynamical properties.

This problem was solved by Chembo and Yu when they introduced a model built *ab initio* from Maxwell’s equations^{9,10}. They obtained a set coupled mode ordinary differential equations ruling the dynamics of the intracavity fields $a_l(t)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{da_l}{dt} = & -\kappa a_l + i\sigma a_l - i\frac{\zeta}{2}l^2 a_l \\ & + ig \sum_{m,n,p} \delta(m-n+p-l) a_m a_n^* a_p \\ & + \delta(l) \sqrt{2\eta\kappa\Phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa = \kappa_i + \kappa_e$ stands for the total losses while the escape ratio $\eta = \kappa_e/\kappa$ weights the efficiency of the coupling. The

^{a)}Electronic mail: ykchembo@umd.edu




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of microcomb generation. A microresonator is pumped by a resonant single-frequency laser. A spatiotemporal pattern is created inside the cavity via the interplay between laser power, losses, nonlinearity, dispersion, and frequency detuning. This process outputs a train of optical pulses that yield an optical frequency comb in the spectral domain. We have depicted on the left some of the intracavity patterns that can be created, such as dark solitons, bright solitons, or roll patterns.

complex-valued slowly-varying amplitudes $a_l(t)$ are here normalized in such a way that $|a_l(t)|^2$ is the instantaneous number of intracavity photons in the mode l . The function $\delta(x)$ is the Kronecker delta-function that equals 1 when $x = 0$ and equals zero otherwise: it indicates that only the mode $l = 0$ is pumped, and imposes the conservation of angular momentum for the interacting photons through $m + p = n + l$. Including higher-order dispersion in this model is achieved with the trivial extension $(\zeta/2)l^2 \rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} (\zeta_k/k!)l^k$, which is disregarded in this article for the sake of conciseness. One should also note that in their original form, Eqs. (1) can furthermore account for non-degenerate modal losses and spatial volumes via the modifications $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_l$ and $g \rightarrow g\Lambda_l^{mnp}$, respectively, where Λ_l^{mnp} is an overlap integral (normalized such that it is between 0 and 1) for the modes involved in the FWM interaction^{9,10}.

A few years later, Chembo and Menyuk demonstrated that this set of ordinary differential equations can be rewritten as a single partial differential equation¹¹, following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = & -\kappa A + i\sigma A - i\frac{\zeta}{2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \theta^2} \\ & + ig|A|^2 A + \sqrt{2\eta\kappa}\Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $A(\theta, t) = \sum_l a_l(t)e^{il\theta}$ is the total intracavity field, and $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ is the azimuthal angle along the closed-path circumference of the resonator. This equation was readily recognized as the Lugiato-Lefever equation^{12–15} (LLE), which is a damped, driven and dissipative version of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE). From this breakthrough, it was possible to connect Kerr comb analysis to the vast amount of knowledge that was readily available in the area of nonlinear fiber optics, where the NLSE had already been extensively studied, and in the area of nonlinear cavity optics, where the LLE had also been investigated in much detail.

The *spectrotemporal* and *spatiotemporal* models repre-

sented by Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively, are strictly equivalent: Their complementarity allows one to explore different facets of microcomb science and technology. The spatiotemporal model appears to be the best approach to investigate pattern formation, and identify the type of dynamics that arise depending on the system's parameters, such as soliton or roll patterns¹⁶. On the other hand, the spectrotemporal model is the best tool when there is a need monitor specific frequency modes, such as for noise analysis. This duality between the spatial and spectral domains – which stems from the fact that ℓ and θ are Fourier-conjugate variables – is graphically emphasized in Fig. 1. It should however be emphasized that the spectrotemporal model is more generic in the sense that it can handle non-degenerate losses or modal volumes (a frequent situation with widespan combs), while the spatiotemporal model cannot do so accurately. One should also note that these models allow to determine as well dynamics of the microwave signal $M(t) \propto |\sqrt{2\eta\kappa}A - \sqrt{\Phi}|^2$, which is the envelope of the outcoupled spatiotemporal pattern.

As emphasized earlier, Kerr optical frequency combs result from a cascade of four-wave mixing interactions $\hbar\omega_m + \hbar\omega_p \rightarrow \hbar\omega_n + \hbar\omega_l$. Consequently, purely quantum phenomena based on the non-classical nature of light can play a significant role in these combs. The semi-classical model of Eq. (1) is an ideal stepping stone towards the quantum realm, and most specifically, towards the concept of *quantum microcombs*. Indeed, the spectrotemporal model can be quantized via the process of canonical quantization, which consists in two main steps. The first one is to perform the transformations $a(t) \rightarrow \hat{a}_l$ and $a^*(t) \rightarrow \hat{a}_l^\dagger$, where the annihilation and creation operators $\hat{a}_l$ and $\hat{a}_l^\dagger$ describe the quantum state of each mode l , while obeying the usual bosonic commutation rules $[\hat{a}_l, \hat{a}_{l'}^\dagger] = \delta_{l,l'}$ and $[\hat{a}_l, \hat{a}_{l'}] = [\hat{a}_l^\dagger, \hat{a}_{l'}^\dagger] = 0$. The second step is to introduce vacuum fluctuation operators $\hat{V}_{s,l}(t)$ as

Langevin driving terms for every loss mechanism in the microresonator (s = intrinsic or extrinsic), with $\langle \hat{V}_{s,l}(t) \rangle = 0$ and $[\hat{V}_{s,l}(t), \hat{V}_{s',l'}^\dagger(t')] = \delta_{s,s'} \delta_{l,l'} \delta(t-t')$. The resulting equations ruling the quantum dynamics of the system can therefore be written as¹⁷

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{a}_l}{dt} = & -\kappa \hat{a}_l + i\sigma \hat{a}_l - i\frac{\zeta}{2} l^2 \hat{a}_l + \delta(l) \sqrt{2\eta\kappa\Phi} \\ & + ig \sum_{m,n,p} \delta(m-n+p-l) \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_p \\ & + \sqrt{2\eta\kappa} \hat{V}_{e,l} + \sqrt{2(1-\eta)\kappa} \hat{V}_{i,l}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is sometimes useful to rewrite this quantum microcomb model under the form of Heisenberg equations

$$\frac{d\hat{a}_l}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{a}_l, \hat{H}] + \sum_{s=e,i} \left(-\kappa_s \hat{a}_l + \sqrt{2\kappa_s} \hat{V}_{s,l} \right) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = & \hbar \sum_l \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2} \zeta l^2 \right) \hat{a}_l^\dagger \hat{a}_l \\ & + i\hbar \sqrt{2\eta\kappa\Phi} (\hat{a}_0^\dagger - \hat{a}_0) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \hbar g \sum_{m,n,p,q} \delta(m-n+p-q) \hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_q^\dagger \hat{a}_m \hat{a}_p \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

is the Hamiltonian of the system, with the first term corresponding to the free propagation of the intracavity fields, the second term standing for the laser pump, while the last term describes to the Kerr interaction.

Equations (1), (2) and (3) represent the backbone of microcomb theory, and have been extensively used to achieve an in-depth understanding of microcomb systems.

The successful development of an accurate theoretical framework for microcombs has played a significant role that led to outstanding achievements of major technological relevance. Amongst them we can highlight microwave/THz photonics^{18–22}, quantum state generation^{23–31}, optical telecommunications^{32–37}, spectroscopy^{38–41}, computation⁴², astronomy, imaging and optical ranging^{43–47}, amongst other more fundamental topics^{48,49}.

However, despite these astounding successes, the field of Kerr comb science and technology is facing several challenges. We would like to highlight an arbitrary selection of three of them below.

The first challenge is related to performance and controllability. Indeed, Kerr combs are today firmly established as a technology that has the potential to revolutionize at least a dozen of distinct technological segments. Nevertheless, it is well known that for an industrial sector to switch from one paradigm to the next, consistent demonstration significant performance improvement is required. In most instances, the scientific literature reports results that are definitely encouraging, if not groundbreaking, but they are not always commanding enough to warrant an irreversible redefinition of existing standards or practices. This issue of performance is also connected to the one of controllability, that is, the capability to excite precisely and repeatably optimal types of comb characterized by well-defined spectral properties. While this objective

depends on the incremental improvement of our understanding of the experimental constraints surrounding Kerr comb generation, research must be undertaken to deepen our understanding of the core Eqs. (1) and (2), as in refs.^{9,10}. In order to accurately represent the physical system and achieve good agreement, these models should be complemented by coupled models that account for thermal effects and other phenomena such as avoided mode-crossing, parasitic nonlinear interactions, etc. This theoretical effort would allow the community to identify areas of interest in the large parameter space, as well as optimal paths to get there.

The second challenge is related to SWAP requirements. Size and weight might seem to be an easy target for microcomb technology, since the resonators have millimeter or micrometer scale. However this viewpoint can be misleading because almost all Kerr comb generation platforms are actually table-top experiments. Downsizing these systems (including the laser and eventually a photodetector) to the volume of a typical matchbox is a very difficult endeavor. For example, while crystalline WGM resonators can feature ultra-high Q -factors (in excess of a billion at 1550 nm), it is known that achieving a vibration-immune evanescent coupling for the pump laser signal is a major difficulty. However, it has been shown that this problem can be overcome and these resonators can be packaged in a few cube centimeters^{18,50}. On the other hand, chip-scale microresonators bring forward other difficulties, related to their lower Q -factor, which requires higher pump power and subsequent thermal management. With respect to this specific issue of power consumption, the theoretical model presented in Eq. (1) indicates that Kerr combs can be generated with milliwatt pump power when resonators with billion Q -factors are used. However, with very few exceptions (such as ref.⁵¹), most experimental work report pump powers typically one or even two orders of magnitude higher, and sometimes require an optical amplifier to boost the pump prior to resonator coupling. This situation is a clear indication that coupling efficiency is one area where the most dramatic improvements are likely to be witnessed in the years to come. A breakthrough along this direction was recently achieved with the first ever battery-operated Kerr comb generator⁵². Fortunately, the vigorous development of Kerr comb research definitely benefits from strong hardware overlap with off-the-shelf components that are readily available from the technologically mature sectors of microelectronics, integrated photonics and optical fiber telecommunications around 1550 nm.

The third challenge is related to quantum technology. In high- Q microresonators, non-classical states of light can be generated both under and above threshold, corresponding to *spontaneous* and *stimulated* four-wave mixing – this is analogous to the phenomenology of spontaneous and stimulated emission in lasers. In the first case, two photons from the pump are symmetrically up- and down-converted, and these twin-photons (or biphotons) can be frequency-entangled over several tens of cavity modes. The second case corresponds to two-mode squeezing, where symmetrical sidemodes in the frequency domain are excited in a quantum coherent states with quantum correlations below the shot noise level. Equations (3) and (4) provide a complete theoretical framework

to investigate quantum microcombs and the related technological applications. Indeed, both twin-photon generation and two-mode squeezing have been experimentally evidenced, but as emphasized earlier, progress is still needed to achieve substantially better performances with high SWAP efficiency. From the theoretical viewpoint, a complete framework is still lacking for a self-consistent description of frequency-bin states beyond the few-mode q-dit expansion with quasi-equal probability amplitudes. Along the same line, most quantum descriptions in the Schrödinger picture abide by the Hamiltonian approximation where the quantum system can be described as a pure state, thereby failing to acknowledge intrinsic losses that couple the system to its environment and mandate the use of a density operator formalism. It is noteworthy that microcombs have the potential to be influential in optical quantum computing and quantum communications, owing to the fact that they are based on a room-temperature, chipscale platform with quantum states spanning a high-dimensional Hilbert space.

Microcombs are today firmly established at the center of contemporary nonlinear, microwave and quantum photonics. We can anticipate that as our understanding of these system consolidates with time, research in this field will deliver far-reaching outcomes that will lead microcombs to become ubiquitous in research labs, in various technological settings, and ultimately, in our daily lives.

This research was funded by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) grant FA9550-20-1-0357, the Department of Energy (DOE) grant DE-SC0022123, and the National Science Foundation (NSF) grant 2219760.

- ¹Y. K. Chembo, “Kerr optical frequency combs: theory, experiments and applications,” *Nanophotonics* **5**, 214 (2016).
- ²D. V. Strekalov, C. Marquardt, A. B. Matsko, H. G. L. Schwefel, and G. Leuchs, “Nonlinear and quantum optics with whispering gallery resonators,” *J. Opt.* **18**, 123002 (2016).
- ³G. Lin, A. Coillet, and Y. K. Chembo, “Nonlinear phenomena in ultrahigh q optical whispering gallery mode resonators,” *Adv. Opt. Phot.* **9**, 828 (2017).
- ⁴A. Pasquazi, M. Peccianti, L. Razzari, D. J. Moss, S. Coen, M. Erkintalo, Y. K. Chembo, T. Hansson, S. Wabnitz, P. Del’Haye, X. Xue, A. M. Weiner, and R. Morandotti, “Micro-combs: A novel generation of optical sources,” *Phys. Rep.* **729**, 1–81 (2018).
- ⁵A. L. Gaeta, M. Lipson, and T. J. Kippenberg, “Photonic-chip-based frequency combs,” *Nat. Photonics* **13**, 158–169 (2019).
- ⁶T. J. Kippenberg, S. M. Spillane, and K. J. Vahala, “Kerr-nonlinearity optical parametric oscillation in an ultrahigh-q toroid microcavity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 083904 (2004).
- ⁷A. A. Savchenkov, A. B. Matsko, D. Strekalov, M. Mohageg, V. Ilchenko, and L. Maleki, “Low threshold optical oscillations in a whispering gallery mode CaF_2 resonator,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 243905 (2004).
- ⁸P. Del’Haye, A. Schliesser, O. Arcizet, T. Wilken, R. Holzwarth, and T. Kippenberg, “Optical frequency comb generation from a monolithic microresonator,” *Nature* **450**, 1214–1217 (2007).
- ⁹Y. K. Chembo, D. V. Strekalov, and N. Yu, “Spectrum and dynamics of optical frequency combs generated with monolithic whispering gallery mode resonators,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 103902 (2010).
- ¹⁰Y. K. Chembo and N. Yu, “Modal expansion approach to optical-frequency-comb generation with monolithic whispering-gallery-mode resonators,” *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 033801 (2010).
- ¹¹Y. K. Chembo and C. R. Menyuk, “Spatiotemporal lugiato-lefever formalism for kerr-comb generation in whispering-gallery-mode resonators,” *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 053852 (2013).
- ¹²L. A. Lugiato and R. Lefever, “Spatial dissipative structures in passive optical systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 2209–2211 (1987).
- ¹³M. Haelterman, S. Trillo, and S. Wabnitz, “Additive-modulation instability ring laser in the normal dispersion regime of a fiber,” *Opt. Lett.* **17**, 745 (1992).
- ¹⁴A. B. Matsko, A. A. Savchenkov, W. Liang, V. S. Ilchenko, D. Seidel, and L. Maleki, “Mode-locked kerr frequency combs,” *Opt. Lett.* **36**, 2845 (2011).
- ¹⁵S. Coen, H. G. Randle, T. Sylvestre, and M. Erkintalo, “Modeling of octave-spanning kerr frequency combs using a generalized mean-field lugiato-lefever model,” *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 37 (2013).
- ¹⁶C. Godey, I. V. Balakireva, A. Coillet, and Y. K. Chembo, “Stability analysis of the spatiotemporal lugiato-lefever model for kerr optical frequency combs in the anomalous and normal dispersion regimes,” *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 063814 (2014).
- ¹⁷Y. K. Chembo, “Quantum dynamics of kerr optical frequency combs below and above threshold: Spontaneous four-wave mixing, entanglement, and squeezed states of light,” *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 033820 (2016).
- ¹⁸W. Liang, D. Eliyahu, V. S. Ilchenko, A. A. Savchenkov, A. B. Matsko, D. Seidel, and L. Maleki, “High spectral purity kerr frequency comb radio frequency photonic oscillator,” *Nature communications* **6**, 7957 (2015).
- ¹⁹S.-W. Huang, J. Yang, J. Lim, H. Zhou, M. Yu, D.-L. Kwong, and C. Wong, “A low-phase-noise 18 ghz kerr frequency microcomb phase-locked over 65 thz,” *Scientific Reports* **5**, 13355 (2015).
- ²⁰S.-W. Huang, J. Yang, S.-H. Yang, M. Yu, D.-L. Kwong, T. Zhevlevsky, M. Jarrahi, and C. W. Wong, “Globally stable microresonator turing pattern formation for coherent high-power thz radiation on-chip,” *Physical Review x* **7**, 041002 (2017).
- ²¹E. Lucas, P. Brochard, R. Bouchand, S. Schilt, T. Südmeyer, and T. J. Kippenberg, “Ultralow-noise photonic microwave synthesis using a soliton microcomb-based transfer oscillator,” *Nature Communication* **11** (2020).
- ²²M. Tan, X. Xu, X. Wu, R. Morandotti, A. Mitchell, and D. Moss, “Ultra-high bandwidth radio frequency and microwave photonic signal processing based on kerr micro-combs,” *Advances in Physics X* **6**, 1838946 (2021).
- ²³A. Dutt, K. Luke, S. Manipatruni, A. L. Gaeta, P. Nussenzveig, and M. Lipson, “On-chip optical squeezing,” *Physical Review Applied* **3**, 044005 (2015).
- ²⁴M. Kues, C. Reimer, P. Roztock, L. R. Cortés, S. Sciara, B. Wetzel, Y. Zhang, A. Cino, S. T. Chu, B. E. Little, D. J. Moss, L. Caspani, J. Azana, and R. Morandotti, “On-chip generation of high-dimensional entangled quantum states and their coherent control,” *Nature* **546**, 622–626 (2017).
- ²⁵J. A. Jaramillo-Villegas, P. Imany, O. D. Odele, D. E. Leaird, Z.-Y. Ou, M. Qi, and A. M. Weiner, “Persistent energy–time entanglement covering multiple resonances of an on-chip biphoton frequency comb,” *Optica* **4**, 655–658 (2017).
- ²⁶M. Kues, C. Reimer, J. M. Lukens, W. J. Munro, A. M. Weiner, and R. Moss, D. J. Morandotti, “Quantum optical microcombs,” *Nat. Phot.* **13**, 170 (2019).
- ²⁷X. Lu, Q. Li, D. A. Westly, G. Moille, A. Singh, V. Anant, and K. Srinivasan, “Chip-integrated visible–telecom entangled photon pair source for quantum communication,” *Nature physics* **15**, 373–381 (2019).
- ²⁸F. Samara, A. Martin, C. Autebert, K. Karpov, T. J. Kippenberg, H. Zbinden, and T. Thew, “High-rate photon pairs and sequential time-bin entanglement with Si_3N_4 microring resonators,” *Optica* **27**, 19309–19318 (2019).
- ²⁹M. A. Guidry, D. M. Lukin, K. Y. Yang, R. Trivedi, and J. Vučković, “Quantum optics of soliton microcombs,” *Nature Photon.* **16**, 52–58 (2022).
- ³⁰Z. Yang, M. Jahanbozorgi, D. Jeong, S. Sun, O. Pfister, H. Lee, and X. Yi, “A squeezed quantum microcomb on a chip,” *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4781 (2021).
- ³¹M. Jahanbozorgi, Z. Yang, S. Sun, H. Chen, R. Liu, B. Wang, and X. Yi, “Generation of squeezed quantum microcombs with silicon nitride integrated photonic circuits,” *Optica* **10**, 1100 (2023).
- ³²J. Pfeifle, V. Brasch, M. Lauerer, Y. Yu, D. Wegner, T. Herr, K. Hartinger, P. Schindler, J. Li, D. Hillerkuss, R. Schmogrow, C. Weimann, R. Holzwarth, W. Freude, J. Leuthold, T. J. Kippenberg, and C. Koos, “Coherent terabit communications with microresonator kerr frequency combs,” *Nat. Phot.* **8**, 375 (2014).
- ³³J. Pfeifle, A. Coillet, R. Henriot, K. Saleh, P. Schindler, C. Weimann, W. Freude, I. V. Balakireva, L. Larger, C. Koos, and Y. K. Chembo, “Op-

- timally coherent kerr combs generated with crystalline whispering gallery mode resonators for ultrahigh capacity fiber communications,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 093902 (2015).
- ³⁴P. Marin-Palomo, J. N. Kemal, M. Karpov, A. Kordts, J. Pfeifle, M. H. Pfeiffer, P. Trocha, S. Wolf, V. Brasch, M. H. Anderson, *et al.*, “Microresonator-based solitons for massively parallel coherent optical communications,” *Nature* **546**, 274–279 (2017).
- ³⁵A. Fülöp, M. Mazur, A. Lorences-Riesgo, Ó. B. Helgason, P.-H. Wang, Y. Xuan, D. E. Leaird, M. Qi, P. A. Andrekson, A. M. Weiner, *et al.*, “High-order coherent communications using mode-locked dark-pulse kerr combs from microresonators,” *Nature communications* **9**, 1598 (2018).
- ³⁶A. A. Jorgensen, D. Kong, M. R. Henriksen, F. Klejs, Z. Ye, O. B. Helgason, H. E. Hansen, H. Hu, M. Yankov, S. Forchhammer, P. Andrekson, A. Larsson, M. Karlsson, J. Schroder, Y. Sasaki, K. Aikawa, J. W. Thomsen, T. Morioka, M. Galili, T.-C. V., and L. K. Oxenlowe, “Petabit-per-second data transmission using a chip-scale microcomb ring resonator source,” *Nat. Phot.* **16**, 798 (2022).
- ³⁷A. Rizzo, A. Novick, V. Gopal, B. Y. Kim, X. Ji, S. Daudlin, Y. Okawachi, Q. Cheng, M. Lipson, G. A. L., and K. Bergman, “Massively scalable kerr comb-driven silicon photonic link,” *Nat. Phot.* **17**, 781 (2023).
- ³⁸C. Fredrick, F. Olsen, R. Terrien, S. Mahadevan, F. Quinlan, and S. A. Diddams, “Thermal-light heterodyne spectroscopy with frequency comb calibration,” *Optica* **9**, 221–230 (2022).
- ³⁹M.-G. Suh, Q.-F. Yang, K. Y. Yang, X. Yi, and K. J. Vahala, “Microresonator soliton dual-comb spectroscopy,” *Science* **354**, 600–603 (2016).
- ⁴⁰A. Dutt, C. Joshi, X. Ji, Y. Okawachi, K. Luke, A. L. Gaeta, and M. Lipson, “On-chip dual-comb source for spectroscopy,” *Science Advances* **4**, 041002 (2018).
- ⁴¹P. Trocha, M. Karpov, D. Ganin, M. H. Pfeiffer, A. Kordts, S. Wolf, J. Krockenberger, P. Marin-Palomo, C. Weimann, S. Randel, W. Freude, T. J. Kippenberg, and C. Koos, “Ultrafast optical ranging using microresonator soliton frequency combs,” *Science* **359**, 887–891 (2018).
- ⁴²X. Xu, M. Tan, B. Corcoran, J. Wu, T. G. Nguyen, A. Boes, S. T. Chu, B. E. Little, R. Morandotti, A. Mitchell, D. G. Hicks, and D. J. Moss, “Photonic perceptron based on a kerr microcomb for high-speed, scalable, optical neural networks,” *Laser Photonics Rev* **14**, 2000070 (2020).
- ⁴³S.-W. Huang, H. Zhou, J. Yang, J. McMillan, A. Matsko, M. Yu, D.-L. Kwong, L. Maleki, and C. Wong, “Mode-locked ultrashort pulse generation from on-chip normal dispersion microresonators,” *Physical review letters* **114**, 053901 (2015).
- ⁴⁴B. Li, S.-W. Huang, Y. Li, C. W. Wong, and K. K. Wong, “Panoramic-reconstruction temporal imaging for seamless measurements of slowly-evolved femtosecond pulse dynamics,” *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 61 (2017).
- ⁴⁵E. Obružd, M. Rainer, A. Harutyunyan, M. H. Anderson, J. Liu, M. Geiselmann, B. Chazelas, S. Kundermann, S. Lecomte, M. Cecconi, A. Ghedina, E. Molinari, F. Pepe, F. Wildi, F. Bouchy, T. J. Kippenberg, and T. Herr, “A microphotonic astrocomb,” *Nature Photonics* **13**, 31–35 (2019).
- ⁴⁶M.-G. Suh and K. J. Vahala, “Soliton microcomb range measurement,” *Science* **359**, 884–887 (2018).
- ⁴⁷C. Bao, M. Suh, and K. Vahala, “Microresonator soliton dual-comb imaging,” *Optica* **6**, 1110–1116 (2019).
- ⁴⁸G. Moille, E. F. Perez, J. R. Stone, A. Rao, X. Lu, T. S. Rahman, Y. K. Chembo, and K. Kartik, “Ultra-broadband kerr microcomb through soliton spectral translation,” *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 7275 (2021).
- ⁴⁹S. Mittal, G. Moille, K. Srinivasan, Y. K. Chembo, and M. Hafezi, “Topological frequency combs and nested temporal solitons,” *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 1169 (2021).
- ⁵⁰L. Maleki, “The optoelectronic oscillator,” *Nat. Phot.* **5**, 728 (2011).
- ⁵¹S. Diallo, M. C. R., and Y. K. Chembo, “Platform-independent optimization of pump power threshold for microcomb generation,” *IEEE Phot. J.* **14**, 3042904 (2022).
- ⁵²B. Stern, X. Ji, Y. Okawachi, A. L. Gaeta, and M. Lipson, “Battery-operated integrated frequency comb generator,” *Nature* **562**, 401 (2018).