

1 **Conceptualizing Space Environmental Sustainability**

2 Weiwei Mo^{1,*}, Brad Kinsey², John Vickers³, Henry Helvajian⁴, Ioana Cozmuta⁵, Marissa
3 Herron⁶, Ajay Malshe⁷

4 ¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of New Hampshire

5 ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of New Hampshire

6 ³Marshall Space Flight Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration

7 ⁴Physical Sciences Laboratories, The Aerospace Corporation

8 ⁵G-Space Inc; IAA Member Elect

9 ⁶National Aeronautics and Space Administration

10 ⁷Department of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University

11

12 *Corresponding Author: 35 Colovos Road, 130B Gregg Hall, Durham, New Hampshire 03824,
13 Ph: +1-603-862-2808, Email: weiwei.mo@unh.edu

14

15 **Abstract** - Recent advancements have significantly enhanced the capabilities for in-space
16 servicing, assembly, and manufacturing (ISAM), to develop infrastructure in orbit and on the
17 surface of celestial bodies. This progress is a departure from the traditional sustainability
18 paradigm focused solely on Earth, highlighting the urgent need to define and operationalize the
19 concept of "space sustainability" along with the development of an evaluation framework. The
20 expansion of human activity into space, particularly in low-earth orbit, cis-lunar space, and
21 beyond, underscores the critical importance of considering sustainability implications.
22 Leveraging space resources offers economic growth and sustainable development opportunities,
23 while reducing pressure on Earth's ecosystems. This paradigm shift requires responsible and

24 ethical utilization of space resources. A space sustainability assessment framework is essential
25 for guiding ISAM capabilities, operations, missions, standards, and policies. This paper
26 introduces an initial framework encompassing 1) pollution, 2) resource depletion, 3) landscape
27 alteration, and 4) space environmental justice, with potential metrics (resources use and
28 emissions, midpoint, and endpoint indicators) to measure impacts in the four domains.
29

30 **1. Introduction**

31 Recently, significant strides have been taken to advance the capabilities for in-space servicing,
32 assembly, and manufacturing (ISAM), with the goal of developing infrastructure on-orbit and on
33 the surface of celestial bodies. “Servicing” in ISAM encompasses activities such as repair,
34 refueling, relocation, and retrofitting of space assets (e.g., spacecraft and satellites), while
35 “assembly and manufacturing” refers to the ability to produce and assemble components directly
36 in space.¹ This progress has transcended the conventional sustainability paradigm focused on
37 Earth and has underscored the imperative to conceptualize and articulate the notion of "space
38 sustainability" as well as its assessment framework. In recent years, space sustainability has
39 garnered growing public attention primarily driven by the heightened risks posed by space debris
40 and the increasing number of launches enabled by reusable rocket technology. Several
41 noteworthy recent initiatives include the United Nations adopting the Guidelines for the Long-
42 term Sustainability of Outer Space Activities in 2019,² the ongoing signing of the Artemis
43 Accords, emphasizing responsible space use since 2020,³ and the establishment of the ISO 24113
44 standard in 2023, concentrating on space debris mitigation.⁴ While the majority of the current
45 discussions on space sustainability are centered around Earth orbit, which is the primary locus of
46 space activities, a few recent efforts have sought to establish a more comprehensive and
47 universally applicable definition of "space sustainability."⁵ including the notable effort made by
48 the United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS) which defined
49 space sustainability similarly to the 1987 Brundtland definition of sustainable development in the
50 Earth context.⁶ The existing definitions of space sustainability lack a clear framework that would
51 facilitate the assessment and comparison of ISAM technological or policy alternatives, as well as
52 the identification of “hotspots” for improvement. In this paper, space sustainability is defined as

53 responsibly managing space activities within the limits of the space environment to ensure long-
54 term viability and benefit for present and future generations. Previous work that attempts to
55 quantitatively assess space sustainability has been typically focused on the safety, longevity, or
56 efficiency of space operations and/or the impact of space activities on the Earth environment,
57 taking an Earth-centric view.^{7,8} There is a pressing need to develop an assessment framework that
58 can systematically evaluate ISAM initiatives, pinpoint areas for enhancement, and support
59 informed decision-making in the realm of space sustainability. This framework should adopt a
60 space-centric view, recognizing the space environment as an extension of Earth's boundaries
61 while still treating space and all celestial bodies as individual planetary/environmental systems.
62 It should address the best practices for stewarding the space environment in light of the rapid
63 development of space accessibility and ISAM capabilities. Space infrastructure development and
64 technological innovations in space must be approached with a deep understanding of their long-
65 term impacts on the space and Earth environments, human societies, and ethical considerations.

66

67 **2. The intertwined nature of Earth and space sustainability**

68 The advancement of ISAM is poised to transform human interaction with space, intensifying the
69 interconnectedness of Earth and space sustainability. From a space exploration perspective,
70 ISAM enables the equivalent of strategically positioned "supply stations" along the cosmic trail,
71 enabling space explorers to replenish resources along their journey. Space-based infrastructure,
72 such as satellites, space stations, and future colonization efforts, can have significant
73 sustainability implications: space debris management, resource utilization, energy consumption,
74 and the preservation of celestial bodies, to name a few. Although ongoing discussions regarding
75 ISAM predominantly revolve around its immediate "in space, for space" applications, the

76 overarching trajectory envisions a broader perspective - "in space, for Earth" - as its long-term
77 objective. The resources available in space offer vast potential for economic growth and
78 sustainable development by providing access to resources needed on Earth, including vacuum,
79 space solar power, raw materials, such as helium and lunar regolith, and even meteorites made of
80 ice and lithium, or asteroids with platinum. Most materials present on Earth can also be found,
81 refined, or produced in space. By strategically and carefully accessing and leveraging these
82 resources on Earth or in space, we can reduce the pressure on Earth's limited and delicate
83 ecosystems while ultimately advancing technological innovation and economic prosperity.

84

85 The impact of ISAM on Earth and space sustainability varies depending on its developmental
86 stage. In the initial stages, substantial Earth resources may be essential for the development and
87 testing of ISAM technologies. With the advancement of ISAM capabilities, an increasing variety
88 and volume of products can be directly manufactured in space, allowing for the gradual
89 reduction of corresponding terrestrial production. The full realization of ISAM's potential to
90 contribute to Earth sustainability is likely to be attained in the later stages of its development. In
91 this progression, the impact of ISAM on the space environment is anticipated to intensify and
92 broaden.

93

94 Safeguarding space sustainability along the trajectory of ISAM development is equally important
95 in order to ensure Earth sustainability. Presently, human influence on the space environment is
96 mainly confined to Earth's orbits. The neglect of space sustainability in Earth's orbits has led to
97 the growth of a pressing problem exemplified by the hazardous accumulation of space debris.
98 This problem, allowed to escalate unchecked, has now become a significant threat to present and

99 future space activities. The mainstream approach to addressing this threat typically involves
100 deorbiting and burning space debris to eliminate it. However, it is crucial to recognize that many
101 objects labeled as space debris are valuable assets with a high price tag. Instead of viewing them
102 solely as a threat, these objects represent an opportunity to be used as raw material feedstock in
103 orbit. Had a space sustainability framework been in place earlier, these considerations would
104 have been addressed in a more holistic and pragmatic manner, potentially mitigating the risks
105 associated with space debris, while also maximizing the utilization of valuable resources already
106 transported into space at a considerable cost. Such a framework would have enabled a proactive
107 and sustainable approach to managing space resources and activities, ensuring the long-term
108 viability and safety of space exploration and utilization.

109

110 Numerous instances highlight the interconnectedness between space and Earth sustainability. For
111 instance, metal aerosols generated during the reentry of space debris have been detected in the
112 Earth's stratosphere, prompting concerns about their potential impact on climate change and
113 stratospheric ozone depletion.⁹ Likewise, unsustainable practices in utilizing resources in-orbit or
114 on the surface of celestial bodies could hinder future exploration efforts and necessitate the
115 allocation of additional Earth resources for mitigation purposes. These examples underscore the
116 symbiotic relationship between space and Earth sustainability. Safeguarding space sustainability
117 is integral to ensuring Earth sustainability, emphasizing the need for responsible management of
118 human activities to maintain a sustainable balance and maximize the utilization of space
119 resources for the benefit of future generations.

120

121 **3. Conceptualizing a space sustainability assessment framework**

122 Three pillars underlie the traditional framework of sustainability on Earth: environmental,
123 economic, and social sustainability.¹⁰ In the context of space sustainability, our focus will
124 primarily be on the environmental aspect, with no consideration for the potential impacts on
125 extraterrestrial life due to our current lack of knowledge and uncertainty in this domain. To
126 conceptualize the principles of “space environmental sustainability”, it is beneficial to draw upon
127 methodologies developed for terrestrial systems, like industrial ecology. Adopting a life cycle
128 perspective to evaluate the impacts of space activities or systems from their inception to
129 decommissioning becomes pivotal, enabling a comprehensive and equitable comparison of
130 various alternatives.

131

132 Following this, we explore the aspects related to four specific dimensions of space environmental
133 sustainability, alongside the potential assessment indicators that can guide data collection and
134 analyses within these areas: 1) pollution, 2) resource depletion, 3) landscape alteration, and 4)
135 space environmental justice. Apart from space environmental justice, these domains were
136 identified and synthesized based on a comprehensive review of existing life cycle impact
137 assessment methods designed for terrestrial systems (e.g., ReCiPe, TRACI, IMPACT World,
138 CML-IA, EPD, EPS, and Ecological Scarcity). On Earth, impacts are typically first quantified
139 through raw resource uses and emissions, which are then aggregated into midpoint and endpoint
140 indicators. Midpoint indicators focus on specific environmental issues, such as climate change or
141 ozone depletion, while endpoint indicators reveal the broader environmental impact on human
142 health, biodiversity, and resource scarcity. Given the currently limited knowledge of specific
143 space environmental concerns and damage pathways, this paper primarily focuses on raw
144 consumptions/emissions and midpoint indicators. Space environmental justice, on the other

145 hand, is often placed within the social domain, despite its close connection to environmental
146 sustainability. We regard space environmental justice as a vital dimension of space
147 environmental sustainability, as it serves as one of the guiding principles in humanity's
148 interactions with the space environment to ensure fairness and equity in space activities. Figure 1
149 below illustrates the four discussed space sustainability domains in relation to the Earth
150 sustainability assessment framework. Sections 3.1 to 3.4 delve into a thorough examination of
151 the four domains, adopting a framework that extends Earth-defined domains to space. This
152 includes determining what definitions from Earth's context are applicable to space sustainability
153 given the stark differences in environment and ecosystem support services, identifying existing
154 examination methods, and proposing indicators for assessment.

155

156 **3.1 Pollution.**

157 Pollution on Earth is broadly defined as the introduction of harmful materials into the
158 environment.¹¹ Two questions arise: (1) Should this definition be adopted as is for the space
159 sustainability framework and (2) Is it acceptable to pollute the space environment? Some may
160 contend that if no life exists on a celestial body, it is permissible to pollute. Contrary to this
161 perspective, we argue that it is imperative to protect the space environment from pollution for
162 future exploration, utilization, and habitation by humans, regardless of the existence of celestial
163 life. Space debris serves as a compelling example, illustrating that actions taken without due
164 consideration and proactive management of consequences can result in significant challenges for
165 future space use and exploration. Similarly, dust generated during moon landings or launches can
166 suspend in the air for an extended period without settling, creating obstacles for future landings
167 and launches, as well as the increased damage potential on electronic devices exposed to the

168 dust. During the Apollo missions, lunar dust disturbed during surface operations challenged the
169 lifetime of hardware.^{12,13} Hazardous materials or wastes left in space (e.g., ignitable, corrosive,
170 toxic, reactive, or radioactive substances) may come into contact with humans in exposed
171 celestial environments or within manmade celestial facilities, and harm other operations in the
172 vicinity. A more futuristic concern lies in the possibility of introducing life forms from Earth to a
173 specific celestial body, leading to potential ecological challenges in space.

174

175 Pollution in space can be evaluated by examining emissions or waste generated throughout the
176 life cycle of a space activity or system. Specific indicators may include a pollutant's mass,
177 volume, size, shape, concentration, and duration of presence, among others. It is important to
178 note that each parameter's significance depends on its impact on space activities and assets. For
179 instance, there are far more debris particles between one and ten centimeters in size currently,
180 posing a far greater risk of collisions that may lead to damages in working satellites and the
181 creation of new debris particles.¹⁴ Emissions on Earth are typically categorized into air, water,
182 and soil emissions based on the receiving medium of pollutants. Each type of emissions also
183 signifies specific exposure pathways for humans and other life forms, such as inhalation,
184 ingestion, and skin contact. The classification framework may still apply on celestial bodies,
185 albeit with variations due to the unique characteristics of each celestial body. For instance, water
186 may not exist on the majority of the lunar surface, and lunar air and soil can differ significantly
187 from their Earth counterparts. It should also be noted that due to the drastic environmental
188 changes on many celestial bodies, it is likely a pollutant can quickly switch between phases (e.g.,
189 a contaminant may switch back and forth across gas, liquid, and solid states under dramatic
190 temperature changes) and pose unexpected threats beyond our current understanding of the same

191 contaminant's fate and transport on Earth. Orbital debris, on the other hand, may not easily fit
192 into any of these categories. Debris is typically solid, but their suspended nature mimics air
193 pollution on Earth. Given the uniqueness of the orbital environment, it is worthwhile to consider
194 adopting a four-category system, where anthropogenic air, water, solid, and orbital emissions are
195 studied in parallel.

196

197 **3.2 Resource depletion**

198 Earth's resources are finite, with many metals and minerals anticipated to be depleted within the
199 next 150 years. Space offers a promising reservoir to replenish or supplement Earth's dwindling
200 nonrenewable resources. The question under consideration pertains to whether it is appropriate to
201 regard space as an "unlimited" reservoir of materials and energy. We posit that adopting a
202 perspective that views space as a limited resource is a more prudent approach than considering it
203 without bounds. Firstly, our current capability to access remote celestial bodies is constrained.
204 The distances involved necessitate advanced propulsion systems, precise navigation, and durable
205 life support systems. The financial, technological, and logistical challenges for space travel are
206 still substantial, limiting the frequency and scope of human missions beyond Earth's immediate
207 vicinity. Secondly, although the current number of celestial bodies appears limitless, this
208 perception may evolve with economic development and technological progress, much like the
209 paradigm shift witnessed during the Earth's industrial revolution. Earth's resources were once
210 deemed inexhaustible until human development and demand proved otherwise. Hence, adopting
211 a perspective that views space resources as finite and exercising prudent stewardship is advisable
212 when utilizing these resources for human development. For instance, water is exceedingly scarce
213 in the space environment. Initial indications suggest the possible presence of limited water at the

214 lunar pole.¹⁵ Recognizing the critical role of water in enabling ISAM, careful consideration
215 becomes crucial when determining the utilization of lunar water for these activities and the
216 extent of such usage. Lunar regolith is another resource considered for ISAM. Though seemingly
217 abundant, it is important to apply a limited resource framework in its exploitation, as well as to
218 identify and respect limits to its usage, especially with the growing interests on lunar mining
219 (e.g., mining helium-3 as fusion reactor feedstock).^{16,17} Last but not least, it is essential to give
220 careful consideration to the potential constraints of Earth's capacity to accommodate
221 extraterrestrial materials, ensuring that their introduction does not adversely impact Earth
222 environment.

223

224 Resource depletion can be assessed using indicators such as raw material and energy used from
225 various celestial sources. Furthermore, the resource criticality concept can be employed, which
226 assesses the importance of a particular resource concerning its demand/use and its availability to
227 humans, as well as the potential impact a particular resource may have on various societal
228 functions.

229

230 **3.3 Landscape alteration**

231 Human exploration and settlement in space are likely to result in some degree of landscape
232 alteration on celestial bodies. We contend that it is crucial to exercise careful consideration and
233 planning to minimize the potential impacts associated with such alterations. Early societies could
234 not have foreseen that landscape alteration on Earth would evolve into an environmental issue,
235 particularly with the explosive development of infrastructure systems. For instance, pavements
236 change land permeability, contributing to significant challenges in stormwater management.

237 Roads can disrupt the connectivity of natural habitats and rivers, resulting in biodiversity losses.
238 While the extent of current anthropogenic landscape alteration in space is relatively minimal, it is
239 acknowledged that Apollo missions have left waste on the lunar surface. We argue that
240 preserving the celestial body's landscape aligns with the principles of responsible space
241 exploration and sustainability, regardless of the presence of identified living organisms. It also
242 enables future studies of a celestial body's geological history, impact events, and other processes
243 without human interference. While a certain degree of celestial landscape alteration might be
244 inevitable due to the necessity of surface exploration and resource extraction, striking a balance
245 between exploration objectives, economic development, scientific goals, and environmental
246 protection becomes crucial.¹⁸

247

248 Landscape alteration can be measured based on the footprint of human facilities, infrastructure,
249 or other land use changes on a celestial body. Beyond the footprint, the shape and the extent of
250 the alterations may also influence the level of space environmental impact.

251

252 **3.4 Space environmental justice**

253 Space environmental justice has become and will continue to be a critical issue in the realm of
254 ISAM. On Earth, environmental justice typically revolves around the fair distribution of
255 environmental benefits and burdens across society, with a particular consideration of traditionally
256 marginalized and vulnerable groups. Similar to environmental justice issues on Earth, the most
257 developed and affluent groups often gain early access to and ownership of space resources. The
258 prevailing "first come, first served" approach, already adopted in various cases, such as the use
259 of Earth orbits and the allocation of radio frequency for space communication, may disadvantage

260 less developed nations and widen the gap between developed and developing countries. This
261 approach could also lead to hasty exploitation of space resources without adequate consideration
262 for broader environmental consequences. Additionally, the environmental burdens resulting from
263 space activities may disproportionately affect disadvantaged groups, e.g., based on the location
264 of launch sites, or less developed countries. Therefore, it is crucial to develop approaches that
265 promote fair access to space resources while minimizing both space and Earth environmental
266 burdens on marginalized or less developed groups. This will contribute to a more equitable and
267 sustainable approach to space exploration and utilization. Furthermore, the use and ethics of
268 technology in space raises complex questions. Technologies developed for space exploration and
269 utilization, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics, and advanced materials, not only
270 offer tremendous opportunities but also pose ethical challenges. Issues such as data privacy,
271 autonomy of AI systems, robots replacing human workers, and the potential militarization of
272 space need careful consideration and regulation.

273

274 Space environmental justice can be measured from two main perspectives: distributive and
275 procedural. Distributive justice refers to the fair and equitable distribution of space resources,
276 opportunities, rights, and benefits in a global context, while procedural justice focuses on the
277 fairness and impartiality of the processes and procedures used to make decisions and allocate
278 space resources or benefits. Due to the inherently human-centric nature of this field, the
279 assessment of distributive and procedural justice should initially adopt an Earth-centric
280 perspective. Delving into the discourse on justice for potential future human “inhabitants” of
281 celestial bodies is beyond the scope of this paper. Distributive justice can be quantified based on
282 how diverse populations benefit from the progress of ISAM and how environmental burdens

283 created by ISAM are distributed across populations. Quantifying distributive justice may entail
284 measuring the extent of jobs, income, and educational opportunities generated by ISAM for
285 various populations on Earth. Additionally, it involves evaluating how ISAM's environmental
286 impacts on Earth (and space, depending on the group's accessibility to space environments) are
287 distributed among different countries and social groups. The procedural justice, on the other
288 hand, can be measured based on indicators, such as 1) the representation and participation of
289 diverse groups in ISAM development and implementation, 2) the presence of guidelines,
290 policies, and initiatives that promote ethical space exploration and commercial activities, 3)
291 diversity and inclusivity in space endeavors, 4) the level of exchange of scientific knowledge and
292 technological advancements, and 5) the commitment of space organizations to socially
293 responsible practices, among others.

294

295 **4. Towards space environmental sustainability**

296 Planning ahead and being pragmatic in achieving the goals of the space-Earth synergy in a
297 sustainable manner is essential. We must learn valuable lessons from our stewardship efforts on
298 Earth to avoid repeating past mistakes. This underscores the need to avoid replicating the
299 "pollute and treat" mindset or adopting a pure capitalism or profit-driven approach in our
300 ventures into space.

301

302 A comprehensive understanding of space environmental sustainability should be developed in
303 tandem with, if not before the ongoing efforts to develop and advance ISAM. This includes an
304 enhanced understanding of the various aspects of space sustainability and a tangible

305 methodological framework to assess the potential short and long-term benefits and threats for
306 forward planning.

307

308 Anticipating future limitations posed by space resources underscores the importance of striving
309 for efficiency and conservation of both space and terrestrial resources within ISAM endeavors. A
310 guiding framework for this approach lies in the principles of Rethink, Refuse, Reduce, Reuse,
311 Recycle, and Repair (6Rs) and a circular economy. These principles should inform the design
312 and implementation of ISAM technologies, ensuring a comprehensive life cycle perspective is
313 applied. Furthermore, it is critical to apply system thinking to ensure benefits obtained through
314 ISAM do not create undesired side-effects as well as to understand critical feedback loops and
315 tipping points along the trajectory of ISAM endeavors. New research is underway which seeks to
316 harness space debris as potential raw materials for ISAM. Substantial technological barriers still
317 exist with the collection, transportation, disassembly, and reuse/remanufacturing of space debris.

318

319 To break free from the detrimental "pollute and treat" cycle observed on Earth, preventive
320 measures are paramount. This includes adopting green chemistry principles for the creation and
321 utilization of new chemicals in space, as well as implementing materials that align with the
322 inherent nature of celestial bodies. This mimics the terrestrial concept of "nature-based
323 solutions", which promotes the use of nature or natural processes to provide solutions to
324 terrestrial issues. It is also important for governments to incentivize and support sustainable
325 exploration and commercial space activities, along with establishing international cooperation
326 and regulations to prevent irresponsible use of the space environment. Much like the terrestrial
327 context where polluters bear the responsibility of environmental restoration, it is crucial for space

328 laws to explicitly define the liability framework for issues related to space sustainability. By
329 adhering to these principles, we can proactively shape the trajectory of ISAM, fostering
330 responsible and sustainable practices for the benefit of both Earth and the broader space
331 environment.

332

333 **Acknowledgement**

334 We acknowledge the National Science Foundation's support via an FMRG award (#2328383).

335 The views, findings, and conclusions expressed in this study are those of the authors and do not
336 necessarily reflect the views of the funding agencies.

337

338 **Competing interests**

339 The authors declare no competing interests.

340

341 **Author contributions**

342 W.M. wrote the main manuscript text and prepared Figure 1. All authors reviewed the
343 manuscript.

344

345 **References**

- 346 1. NASA. NASA Creates In-Space Servicing, Assembly, Manufacturing Consortium -
347 NASA. [https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/nasa-creates-in-space-servicing-assembly-](https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/nasa-creates-in-space-servicing-assembly-manufacturing-consortium/)
348 [manufacturing-consortium/](https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/nasa-creates-in-space-servicing-assembly-manufacturing-consortium/) (2023).
- 349 2. United Nations Office of Outer Space Affairs. Long-term sustainability of outer space
350 activities. [https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/topics/long-term-sustainability-of-](https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/topics/long-term-sustainability-of-outer-space-activities.html)
351 [outer-space-activities.html](https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/ourwork/topics/long-term-sustainability-of-outer-space-activities.html) (2024).
- 352 3. NASA. Artemis Accords. <https://www.nasa.gov/artemis-accords/> (2024).
- 353 4. International Organization for Standardization. ISO 24113:2023 - Space systems — Space
354 debris mitigation requirements. <https://www.iso.org/standard/83494.html> (2023).
- 355 5. Wilson, A. R. & Vasile, M. The space sustainability paradox. *J. Clean. Prod.* **423**, 138869
356 (2023).
- 357 6. United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs. *Guidelines for the long-term sustainability*
358 *of outer space activities of the committee on the peaceful uses of outer space.*
359 [https://www.unoosa.org/documents/pdf/PromotingSpaceSustainability/Publication_Final_](https://www.unoosa.org/documents/pdf/PromotingSpaceSustainability/Publication_Final_English_June2021.pdf)
360 [English_June2021.pdf](https://www.unoosa.org/documents/pdf/PromotingSpaceSustainability/Publication_Final_English_June2021.pdf) (2021).
- 361 7. Maury, T., Loubet, P., Serrano, S. M., Gallice, A. & Sonnemann, G. Application of
362 environmental life cycle assessment (LCA) within the space sector: A state of the art. *Acta*
363 *Astronaut.* **170**, 122–135 (2020).
- 364 8. Wilson, A. R., Vasile, M., Maddock, C. A. & Baker, K. J. Ecospheric life cycle impacts of
365 annual global space activities. *Sci. Total Environ.* **834**, (2022).
- 366 9. Murphy, D. M. *et al.* Metals from spacecraft reentry in stratospheric aerosol particles.
367 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **120**, e2313374120 (2023).

- 368 10. Purvis, B., Mao, Y. & Robinson, D. Three pillars of sustainability: in search of conceptual
369 origins. *Sustain. Sci.* **14**, 681–695 (2019).
- 370 11. USGS. Pollution. <https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/pollution/> (2023).
- 371 12. Stubbs, T. J., Vondrak, R. R. & Farrell, W. M. Impact of Lunar Dust on the Exploration
372 Initiative. *Lunar Planet. Sci. XXXVI, Part 18* (2005).
- 373 13. Pohlen, M., Carroll, D., Prisk, G. K. & Sawyer, A. J. Overview of lunar dust toxicity risk.
374 *npj Microgravity 2022 81* **8**, 1–9 (2022).
- 375 14. David, L. Space Junk Removal Is Not Going Smoothly | Scientific American.
376 <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/space-junk-removal-is-not-going-smoothly/>
377 (2021).
- 378 15. NASA. NASA’s SOFIA Discovers Water on Sunlit Surface of Moon - NASA.
379 [https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/nasas-sofia-discovers-water-on-sunlit-surface-of-](https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/nasas-sofia-discovers-water-on-sunlit-surface-of-moon/)
380 [moon/](https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/nasas-sofia-discovers-water-on-sunlit-surface-of-moon/) (2020).
- 381 16. The Washington Post. NASA names companies that will mine moon - The Washington
382 Post. [https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2020/12/03/moon-mining-contracts-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2020/12/03/moon-mining-contracts-named/)
383 [named/](https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2020/12/03/moon-mining-contracts-named/) (2020).
- 384 17. Popular Science. The elements we might mine on the moon | Popular Science.
385 <https://www.popsci.com/elements-mine-on-the-moon/> (2019).
- 386 18. Lawrence, S. LEAG update. chrome-
387 [extension://efaidnbnmnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.lpi.usra.edu/pac/presentations](https://efaidnbnmnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.lpi.usra.edu/pac/presentations/1219/Lawrence.pdf)
388 [/1219/Lawrence.pdf](https://efaidnbnmnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.lpi.usra.edu/pac/presentations/1219/Lawrence.pdf) (2019).

389

390

391 Figure legend:

392 **Figure 1.** Proposed framework for space sustainability evaluation in reference to the traditional
393 Earth sustainability assessment framework across four domains: 1) pollution, 2) resource
394 depletion, 3) landscape alteration, and 4) space environmental justice, as well as the example
395 indicators under each of these domains in relation to three assessment levels: 1) resource uses
396 and emissions, 2) mid-point, and 3) end-point.