

Probing Small Bjorken- x Nuclear Gluonic Structure via Coherent J/ψ Photoproduction in Ultraperipheral Pb-Pb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

A. Tumasyan *et al.*^{*}
(CMS Collaboration)

 (Received 29 March 2023; revised 17 August 2023; accepted 26 October 2023; published 28 December 2023)

Quasireal photons exchanged in relativistic heavy ion interactions are powerful probes of the gluonic structure of nuclei. The coherent J/ψ photoproduction cross section in ultraperipheral lead-lead collisions is measured as a function of photon-nucleus center-of-mass energies per nucleon ($W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$) over a wide range of $40 < W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} < 400$ GeV. Results are obtained using data at the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV collected by the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 1.52 nb^{-1} . The cross section is observed to rise rapidly at low $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$, and plateau above $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} \approx 40$ GeV, up to 400 GeV, entering a new regime of small Bjorken- x ($\approx 6 \times 10^{-5}$) gluons being probed in a heavy nucleus. The observed energy dependence is not predicted by current quantum chromodynamic models.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.262301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.262301)

The electromagnetic fields surrounding relativistic heavy ions are highly Lorentz contracted and can be effectively treated as linearly polarized quasireal photons [1,2]. These photons are powerful probes of the gluonic structure of nuclei [3]. At high energy colliders, when two ions pass each other at an impact parameter greater than twice the nuclear radius, it is referred to as an “ultraperipheral collision” or UPC. In UPCs, a photon from one ion can fluctuate into a virtual quark-antiquark pair and interact with the other ion via gluon exchanges before turning into a vector meson (VM) final state [4–17]. If the photon interacts with the whole nucleus, the process is known as a coherent interaction, while the incoherent process involves interactions of photons with the constituents of the target nucleus. At energies accessible at the CERN LHC, studies of VM photoproduction in UPCs open the possibility of probing the poorly known nuclear gluonic structure and dynamics in the low Bjorken- x region ($10^{-5} < x < 10^{-2}$). For instance, the increasingly large gluon density at small x may ultimately reach the unitarity limit, leading to saturation of the gluon density [18,19] and thus to saturation of the VM cross section. It has also been suggested that the black-disk limit (BDL) [20–25] of strong absorption may be reached at high energies, where the gluon density may not have saturated, but the scattering probability by multiple gluons becomes large enough that

the cross section still saturates at the geometric limit of the nucleus.

The photoproduction of heavy-flavor VMs is of particular interest since it can be studied in the perturbative quantum chromodynamic (QCD) framework with a large energy scale defined by the quark mass. In the leading-order QCD contribution, which is sketched in Fig. 1, the coherent J/ψ photoproduction cross section is proportional to the square of the gluon density function. In photon-proton interactions, the J/ψ production cross section significantly increases with the photon-proton center-of-mass energy [7,26–30]. This results from the rapidly rising gluon density in the proton at small x [23,31]. For photons scattering off heavy-nuclear targets, while significant nuclear suppression effects have been observed for coherent J/ψ photoproduction in UPCs by the ALICE [11–14], CMS [15], and LHCb [16,17] experiments, the underlying mechanism remains poorly understood. Little is known regarding the behavior of the interaction toward the high energy limit, which probes gluons at small x (i.e., $x < 10^{-4}$). The major limitation is the experimental




FIG. 1. A pictorial representation of the J/ψ photoproduction process in Pb-Pb at leading-order QCD, showing the origin of the two-way ambiguity. The blue wavy and black helical lines represent emitted photons and gluons, respectively.

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the Letter.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

difficulty in distinguishing the photon-emitter nucleus and target nucleus in a symmetric collision system [32]. For VM photoproduction off nuclei at a given rapidity, there is a mixture of low and high energy photon contributions, as shown by the opposing sides of Fig. 1. This two-way ambiguity limits measurements at LHC energies to below 125 GeV in the photon-nucleus center-of-mass energy per nucleon ($W_{\gamma N}^A$).

A novel approach for resolving this two-way ambiguity was proposed in Refs. [32,33]. By controlling the UPC impact parameter using forward-emitted neutron multiplicities from the ions' electromagnetic dissociation (EMD), the relative contributions of low and high energy photons to the measured J/ψ cross section can be separated without ambiguity.

The results in this Letter use Pb-Pb UPCs at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, which were collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC in 2018. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 1.52 nb^{-1} . By applying the aforementioned forward neutron tagging technique, this Letter reports the cross section measurement of the coherent J/ψ photoproduction off Pb nuclei over a broad energy range of $40 < W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} < 400$ GeV, which includes previously unexplored small- x regions (i.e., $x < 10^{-4}$). Tabulated results are provided in the HEPData record for this analysis [34].

The CMS apparatus [35] is a multipurpose, nearly hermetic detector, designed to trigger on [36,37] and identify electrons, muons, photons, and hadrons [38–40]. A global “particle-flow” algorithm [41] aims to reconstruct all individual particles in an event, combining information provided by the all-silicon inner tracker and by the crystal electromagnetic and brass-scintillator hadron calorimeters, operating inside a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, with data from the gas-ionization muon detectors embedded in the flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Forward calorimeters [42], made of steel and quartz fibers, extend the pseudorapidity coverage provided by the barrel and end-cap detectors. Two zero-degree calorimeters (ZDCs) [43], made of quartz fibers and plates embedded in tungsten absorbers, are used to detect neutrons from nuclear dissociation events.

Events are selected using a hardware-based trigger system that requires at least one muon candidate with no explicit selection on its transverse momentum (p_T), coincident with a Pb-Pb bunch crossing [37]. At the trigger level, events with an energy deposit above the noise threshold in either of the forward calorimeters are vetoed. For the off-line analysis, events must have a primary interaction vertex, formed by using two or more tracks coming from the collision, that is within 20 cm along the beam axis and at a radius less than 2 cm in the transverse plane from the detector center. To suppress hadronic collisions, the largest energy deposits in the forward calorimeters must be below

7.3 and 7.6 GeV in the positive and negative rapidity sides, respectively. These energy requirements are determined from empty bunch-crossing events [44], providing a purity of $\approx 100\%$ with negligible efficiency loss. Finally, events must contain exactly two muon candidates and no additional tracks in the range $|\eta| < 2.4$.

To select high-quality muons, both muons must be “soft muons,” as defined in Ref. [45], based on the combined information of the tracker and muon detectors. Pairs of muons of opposite signs with an invariant mass in the range $2.6 < m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 4.2$ GeV are taken as J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ meson candidates. To reconstruct J/ψ mesons at low p_T , the dimuon rapidity must satisfy $1.6 < |y| < 2.4$ for both muons to reach the muon detectors. For each muon pair, at least one of the muon candidates must match the triggered muon. Combinatorial backgrounds are estimated using same-sign muon pairs and are negligible after the event and muon requirements.

The raw number of J/ψ signal events contains contributions from several physics processes: coherent and incoherent (with or without nucleon dissociation) J/ψ production from direct photon-nucleus interactions, and also J/ψ mesons coming from the decay of $\psi(2S)$ mesons, referred to as “feed-down.” The coherent J/ψ meson raw yields ($N_{J/\psi}^{\text{coh}}$) are extracted by fitting the reconstructed dimuon invariant mass and p_T distributions for each given rapidity bin, as done in Refs. [13,14] (see the Appendix for details). The detector reconstruction efficiency is estimated using a Monte Carlo sample of coherent $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ events generated with the STARlight (v3.13) event generator [46]. These simulated events are further processed with the full CMS detector response simulation using GEANT4 [47].

The coherent J/ψ production differential cross section is determined using

$$\frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi}^{\text{coh}}}{dy} = \frac{N_{J/\psi}^{\text{coh}}}{\epsilon_{J/\psi} \mathcal{B}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-} \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \epsilon_{\text{evtsel}} \Delta y}, \quad (1)$$

where the event selection efficiency of $\epsilon_{\text{evtsel}} = 94.2\%$ and the J/ψ reconstruction efficiency $\epsilon_{J/\psi}$, ranging from 2.6% to 11.9% for $1.6 < |y| < 2.4$, are evaluated using simulated samples. Additional corrections determined using the tag-and-probe technique [45,48] are applied to account for any data-to-simulation discrepancy. The world-average value for the J/ψ dimuon branching fraction [49] $\mathcal{B}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-}$ is used. The quantity $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$ is the total integrated luminosity of the data sample [50], and Δy is the rapidity binning used in the measurement.

Coherent J/ψ photoproduction cross sections are reported in classes of the forward-neutron multiplicity, which is determined with ZDC energy deposits, as done in Ref. [44]. Based on the neutron peaks observed in the total ZDC energy distribution, events are classified as having no

neutrons (0n) or with at least one neutron (Xn, $X \geq 1$) in each ZDC. The purities of 0n and Xn classes are estimated using a multi-Gaussian function fit to the ZDC energy distribution, and are $> 99.6\%$ [44]. Three neutron multiplicity event classes are formed by combining the classifications of each ZDC side, labeled as 0n0n, 0nXn, and XnXn. Results without neutron multiplicity selection, labeled as AnAn, are also reported for comparison and complementarity with previous measurements. The large EMD cross section (~ 200 b) [51–53] leads to concurrent neutron emission from other Pb-Pb interactions in the same bunch crossing, which can migrate the neutron multiplicity class to a higher one. Such EMD pileup effects can be corrected following the approach employed in Ref. [44] using the zero-bias triggered data (requiring only that two beams cross each other), where the migration probability is directly determined by the probability of observing each neutron multiplicity class. The relative fractions of J/ψ candidates in 0n0n, 0nXn, and XnXn classes are determined to be 73%, 21%, and 6% corrected from the corresponding raw fractions of 65%, 28%, and 7%, respectively.

Because of the symmetry of the Pb-Pb collision system, a J/ψ meson measured at rapidity y can result from two possible photon energies: $\omega_1 = (M_{J/\psi}/2) \times \exp(-y)$ and $\omega_2 = (M_{J/\psi}/2) \exp(+y)$. The x of the associated parton and $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ with a photon of energy ω_1 can be found using $x = (M_{J/\psi}/\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}) \exp(+y)$ and $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} = \sqrt{\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} M_{J/\psi} \exp(-y)}$. The corresponding values for a photon of energy ω_2 are obtained by changing the sign of y in each expression.

The measured differential cross section at a rapidity y for a neutron multiplicity class, $injn$ ($= 0n0n, 0nXn, XnXn$), of UPC events is the sum of both photon energy contributions [32,33]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi}^{injn}(y)}{dy} = n_{\gamma A}^{injn}(\omega_1) \sigma_{J/\psi}(\omega_1) + n_{\gamma A}^{injn}(\omega_2) \sigma_{J/\psi}(\omega_2), \quad (2)$$

where ω_1 and ω_2 are themselves functions of y . Here, $n_{\gamma A}(\omega) = \omega dN_{\gamma A}(\omega)/d\omega$ is the photon flux and $\sigma_{J/\psi}(\omega)$ is the coherent J/ψ photoproduction cross section for a single γ -ion interaction, averaged over the given y range. The photon flux from a relativistic ion can be calculated using STARlight [46], which implements an equivalent approximation model [54–57]. The flux strongly depends on the ion-ion impact parameter; the fraction of high energy photon flux increases at smaller impact parameters and for higher neutron multiplicities. Therefore, at each given rapidity, after measuring the J/ψ differential coherent cross section [i.e., the left-hand side of Eq. (2)] and computing the corresponding photon fluxes in each of the three neutron classes, a combined χ^2 fit of $d\sigma_{J/\psi}^{injn}(y)/dy$ versus $n_{\gamma A}^{injn}(\omega_1)$ and $n_{\gamma A}^{injn}(\omega_2)$ is performed to extract the

differential cross sections for low and high energies, $\sigma_{J/\psi}(\omega_1)$ and $\sigma_{J/\psi}(\omega_2)$. The statistical uncertainty is evaluated from the combined χ^2 fit, and depending on the y range, amounts to 2%–4% and 6%–9% for $\sigma_{J/\psi}(\omega_1)$ and $\sigma_{J/\psi}(\omega_2)$, respectively.

Systematic uncertainties are evaluated by taking the maximum variation from the nominal result for a given source. The uncertainties are 1.5% in the integrated luminosity [50,58], and 0.55% in $\mathcal{B}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-}$ [49]. Uncertainties in the tag-and-probe corrections are 3%–8% from low to high $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ values. The choice of the forward calorimeter threshold energy used to reject hadronic contamination leads to a 1%–4% uncertainty. For the systematic uncertainty in the raw signal yield, different functional forms for modeling the shapes of the signal and background are studied, including (i) a sum of Crystal Ball [59] and Gaussian functions for the J/ψ signal shape, (ii) a second-order polynomial for the dimuon continuum background, (iii) fixing the Crystal Ball shape parameters to the values determined from Monte Carlo signal distributions, (iv) varying the range of the dimuon invariant mass distribution used in the fit, and (v) changing the dimuon continuum p_T shape to the one determined from sideband mass regions. The results vary by less than 8%. The uncertainty in the neutron migration correction is estimated to be $< 2\%$ by comparing to an alternative approach following Ref. [53], using the observed rate of single- and double-sided neutron emissions per bunch crossing. Individual sources of experimental uncertainties are added in quadrature to obtain the total systematic uncertainty of 6%–12%. Theoretical uncertainties in the cross section measurement from the photon flux calculation are separately evaluated to be 3%–16% by varying the Pb nuclei radius ($R = 6.67 \pm 0.03$ fm), the nuclear skin thickness ($a = 0.56 \pm 0.03$ fm) [60], and the EMD cross section [61] within the experimental uncertainties, where uncertainties in the extracted cross sections at low and high $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ are found to be strongly anticorrelated. Correlations between uncertainties are evaluated as functions of y for each neutron multiplicity class or $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ (which also captures correlations between neutron multiplicity classes) and included in the covariance matrix [34] of the fit.

The measured coherent J/ψ photoproduction differential cross sections over the rapidity range $1.6 < |y| < 2.4$ are reported in Fig. 2 (left) in three neutron multiplicity classes. The results with no neutron selection are given in the right plot of Fig. 2. The measurements are performed as a function of $|y|$, but are shown at negative y values, following the ALICE convention [13,14]. Predictions from several theoretical calculations are also shown in both plots for comparison. The leading twist approximation (LTA) [33] is a perturbative QCD calculation that takes into account nuclear shadowing effects from multinucleon interference. Both weak (WS) and strong (SS) shadowing




FIG. 2. The differential coherent J/ψ photoproduction cross section as a function of rapidity, in different neutron multiplicity classes: 0n0n, 0nXn, and XnXn (left); AnAn (right). The small vertical bars and shaded boxes represent the statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. The horizontal bars show the bin widths. Theoretical predictions from LTA weak and strong shadowing [33], color dipole models (CD_BGK, CD_BGW, and CD_IIM) [62], and STARlight [46] are shown by the curves. The right plot also displays the results from the ALICE [13,14] and LHCb [17] experiments.

scenarios are shown [33]. The color dipole (CD) models, with different model parameters (BGK, BGW, IIM), assume quark-antiquark dipole scattering from the nuclear targets [62].

For the case of no neutron selection (AnAn), the data follow the trend of the forward-rapidity measurements from ALICE [13] over a new y region. None of the models describe the combined results over the full rapidity range. The color dipole models agree with the measurements in the forward-rapidity region, but fail to describe the data at $y \approx 0$. In each neutron multiplicity class, the LTA predictions tend to be lower than the CMS results, particularly for the strong shadowing scenario. These comparisons indicate that there are key ingredients missing from the theoretical understanding of high energy photon-nucleus scattering processes.

To gain further insight, the total measured J/ψ coherent photoproduction cross section as a function of $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ up to ≈ 400 GeV is shown in Fig. 3, after decomposing the two-way ambiguity. Because the contributions of high energy photons are negligible at very forward rapidity (less than 5% for $-4.5 < y < -3.5$) [32,33], and the fact that at $y \approx 0$, $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2 \approx M_{J/\psi}/2$, the total cross section at lower $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ values can be approximated using ALICE and LHCb measurements. These results are also shown in Fig. 3. The experimental and theoretical (from the photon flux) uncertainties are displayed separately in Fig. 3. Predictions from the LTA and CD models, as well as the gluon saturation models bBK [63], IPsat [64], and GG [65], are compared to the experimental measurements. The prediction (σ^{IA}) from the impulse approximation (IA) model [32] is also shown, based on a simple scaling of the experimental data from exclusive J/ψ photoproduction off protons with the nuclear form factor and neglecting all other nuclear effects, except for coherence.

The measured total cross section has an unexpected energy dependence, approximately quadrupling as $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$

goes from 15 to 40 GeV. This is consistent with the expectation of a fast-growing gluon density at low x (e.g., from the IA model). However, this trend vanishes for $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} > 40$ GeV, and instead the total cross section begins a slow linear rise with a slope of $(2.2 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-5}$ mb/GeV determined by a fit to CMS data with proper consideration of the covariance matrix of both statistical and systematic uncertainties [34]. Considering the




FIG. 3. The total coherent J/ψ photoproduction cross section as a function of $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ from the CMS measurement in Pb-Pb UPCs at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. Approximated results (implied by the asterisk) from the ALICE [13,14] and LHCb [17] experiments are displayed for specific rapidity regions, where the two-way ambiguity effect is expected to be negligible. The $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ values used correspond to the center of each experiment's rapidity range. The vertical bars and the shaded and open boxes represent the statistical, experimental, and theoretical (photon flux) uncertainties, respectively. The predictions from various theoretical calculations [32,33,62–65] are shown by the curves.

experimental uncertainties across the measured $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ range, none of the theoretical models are consistent with the measurements, with the CD-BGK model having the best p value of 1.6×10^{-8} . This could imply the onset of novel physics mechanisms in the coherent J/ψ photoproduction process starting at $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} \approx 40$ GeV, for example, the saturation of the gluon density in the Pb nucleus at the corresponding x value. This picture may be less straightforward due to higher-order perturbative QCD corrections (e.g., quark-antiquark exchange) [66,67]. On the other hand, the observed behavior is expected when approaching the BDL, where a major part of the target nucleus becomes completely absorptive to photons because of the large scattering probability in the presence of dense gluons at small x [21]. In this scenario, the photon-nucleus cross section approaches the unitarity limit allowed by the geometric size of the nucleus. The slow rise in the measured cross section from about 40 to 400 GeV would then be due to the periphery of the nucleus not becoming fully “black.”

To quantify the nuclear effects on the observed gluon density function in a Pb nucleus, a nuclear gluon suppression factor, $R_g^{\text{Pb}}(x, \mu^2 = 2.4 \text{ GeV}^2)$ as a function of x and with $\mu = M_{J/\psi}/2$, where μ is the energy scale, is defined as $R_g^{\text{Pb}} = \sqrt{\sigma^{\text{Meas}}/\sigma^{\text{IA}}}$ in Ref. [68], where σ^{Meas} is the measured cross section. The extracted R_g^{Pb} values are shown in Fig. 4 as a function of x . The suppression in the relatively high- x (low $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$) region of $x > 5 \times 10^{-3}$ is approximately 0.8–0.9. At smaller x values, R_g^{Pb} starts dropping rapidly to 0.4–0.5 for $x \approx 6 \times 10^{-5}$. Similar to the cross section measurement, no theoretical model predicts the observed values and x dependence of R_g^{Pb} over the wide x range reported. If the BDL of strong absorption is reached for $x < 5 \times 10^{-3}$, corresponding to $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} > 40$ GeV, the direct connection between the measured cross section and the single gluon density function, as in the weak absorption limit, no longer holds. Instead, the cross section will reach the unitarity limit while the gluon density can continue increasing. Therefore, new theoretical approaches are needed to understand the structure and dynamics of the strong force for a heavy nucleus in this new domain of extreme gluon density.

In summary, the measurement of the coherent J/ψ photoproduction cross section off lead nuclei, as a function of the photon-nuclear center-of-mass energy per nucleon ($W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$) has been presented over a broad energy range. In a coherent process, the J/ψ is produced by the photon interacting with the whole nucleus. Results are obtained with ultraperipheral Pb-Pb collision data by applying the forward neutron tagging technique. The cross section is observed to rise rapidly at low $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}}$ but appears to plateau above $W_{\gamma N}^{\text{Pb}} \approx 40$ GeV, up to 400 GeV, where a new regime of gluon momentum fraction (Bjorken $x \approx 6 \times 10^{-5}$) in a heavy nucleus is probed. This observed trend is not




FIG. 4. The nuclear gluon suppression factor R_g^{Pb} as a function of Bjorken x extracted from the CMS measurement of the coherent J/ψ photoproduction in Pb-Pb UPCs at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV. Approximated results (implied by the asterisk) from the ALICE [13,14] and LHCb [17] experiments are displayed for specific rapidity regions, where the two-way ambiguity effect is expected to be negligible. A measurement of J/ψ photoproduction from the E691 experiment [69,70] is also given. The x values are evaluated at the centers of their corresponding rapidity ranges. The vertical bars and shaded and open boxes represent the statistical, experimental systematic, and theoretical systematic uncertainties, respectively. The latter is due to the uncertainties in the photon flux and the IA. Predictions from various theoretical calculations [33,62,63,65] are shown by the curves.

predicted by current theoretical models. This can be interpreted either as the first direct evidence for the saturation of nuclear gluonic density or that the scattering cross section is near the black-disk limit.

Note added in proof.— While this analysis was under journal review, the ALICE Collaboration published a related analysis [71] and their results are consistent with our observed data trend.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC

(China); Colombian Funding Agency (MINICIENCIAS) (Colombia); Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport and Croatian Science Foundation (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); Ministry of Education and Research, Estonian Research Council via PRG780, PRG803, and PRG445 and European Regional Development Fund (Estonia); Academy of Finland, Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture, and Helsinki Institute of Physics (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); General Secretariat for Research and Innovation (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); Ministry of Education and Science (Latvia); Lithuanian Academy of Sciences (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); Ministry of Science (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Serbia (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and Plan de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación del Principado de Asturias (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); Ministry of Science and Technology (Taipei); MHEI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals were supported by the National Science Center (Poland), Grants No. 2015/19/N/ST2/02697 and No. 2018/28/T/ST2/00199.

Appendix: Method of coherent J/ψ yield extraction.—To extract the J/ψ yield from coherent photoproduction, a two-step analysis is performed by fitting first the dimuon invariant mass distribution at $p_T < 0.2$ GeV, and then the dimuon p_T distribution within the mass window of $2.95 < m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 3.25$ GeV. The raw signal yields of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ mesons, $N_{J/\psi}$ and $N_{\psi(2S)}$, are extracted by fitting the dimuon invariant mass distribution for a given rapidity bin with two Crystal Ball functions to describe the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ resonances, and a third-order polynomial function to parametrize the underlying background from quantum electrodynamic processes ($\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$).

Examples of invariant mass distribution for the reconstructed dimuon pairs are shown for events with a dimuon $p_T < 0.2$ GeV in Fig. 5 (left). The parameters of the Crystal Ball functions left free in the fit include the pole mass $\mu_{J/\psi}$ and width $\Gamma_{J/\psi}$ (dominated by the experimental momentum resolution) of the J/ψ . The analogous parameters for the $\psi(2S)$ are constrained by demanding $\mu_{\psi(2S)} = \mu_{J/\psi} M_{\psi(2S)} / M_{J/\psi}$ and $\Gamma_{\psi(2S)} = \Gamma_{J/\psi} M_{\psi(2S)} / M_{J/\psi}$ (as the percentage momentum resolution remains constant within this mass range), respectively, where $M_{J/\psi}$ and $M_{\psi(2S)}$ are the world-average mass values [49]. The results of the fit

are shown by the various curves, with the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ signals being clearly visible. The raw yields of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ events, $N_{J/\psi}$ and $N_{\psi(2S)}$, are given in the figure.

The raw number of J/ψ signal events contains contributions from several physics processes: coherent and incoherent (with or without nucleon dissociation) J/ψ production from direct photon-nucleus interactions, and also J/ψ mesons coming from the decay of coherent and incoherent $\psi(2S)$ production, referred to as “feed-down.” Coherent and incoherent productions each have distinct characteristic p_T spectra, so their individual contributions are disentangled using a template fit to the measured J/ψ p_T distribution, as done in Refs. [13,14]. The feed-down contribution is estimated by measuring the $\psi(2S)$ signal yield from the fit to the dimuon invariant mass distributions. Figure 5 (right) shows examples of the $\mu^+\mu^-p_T$ spectrum for events with a dimuon invariant mass in the J/ψ mass window ($2.95 < m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 3.25$ GeV). Because the different physics processes involved have distinct shapes, their individual contributions can be measured via template fits. The template p_T spectra for coherent J/ψ production, feed-down J/ψ from coherently photo-produced $\psi(2S)$ decays, incoherent J/ψ production without nucleon dissociation, and the dimuon continuum from quantum electrodynamic processes ($\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) are obtained from simulated events with the STARlight event generator [46] and the GEANT4 [47] simulation of the CMS detector. The predicted slope of the p_T distribution for coherently photoproduced J/ψ particles from STARlight is adjusted by varying the nuclear radius parameter to best describe the data p_T distribution.

To describe incoherent J/ψ p_T spectra with nucleon dissociation, an empirical function employed by the H1 [28] and ALICE [13,14] experiments is used: $dN/dp_T \approx p_T [1 + (b_{pd}/n_{pd})p_T^2]^{-n_{pd}}$, where b_{pd} and n_{pd} are fit parameters. Normalizations of the coherent J/ψ , incoherent J/ψ without nucleon dissociation, and incoherent J/ψ with nucleon dissociation components are free parameters in the fit. The normalization of the dimuon continuum is fixed to the value determined from the invariant mass fitting, as shown in Fig. 5 (left). Normalizations of coherent and incoherent $\psi(2S)$ feed-down to J/ψ are constrained to those of the prompt coherent and incoherent J/ψ components according to the feed-down fractions (f_D) extracted from $\psi(2S)$ yields in the invariant mass distribution, as described below. The entire procedure is repeated for each neutron multiplicity class.

The measured p_T spectrum in the range $0.2 < p_T < 0.5$ GeV is not well described by the fit, as was also seen in previous ALICE studies [13,14]. This discrepancy is not unexpected since the STARlight event generator does not precisely describe all the physics processes involved. To estimate the systematic uncertainty due to this effect, the p_T upper limit is changed to $p_T < 0.3$ GeV and the extraction




FIG. 5. The invariant mass distribution (left) of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs in the dimuon $p_T < 0.2$ GeV range from the 0n0n (upper) and XnXn (lower) neutron classes. The results of the fit are shown by the various curves, and the corresponding values of the χ^2 /number of degrees of freedom (ndf) and the fitted number of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ candidates are given. The values of the factors R_N , R , and f_D , defined below, are also shown. The transverse momentum distribution (right) of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs with a dimuon invariant mass in the J/ψ mass window of ($2.95 < m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 3.25$ GeV) in the 0n0n (upper) and XnXn (lower) neutron classes. Again, the results of the fit are shown by the various curves. In both plots, the vertical bars on the data points represent statistical uncertainty.

of the coherent cross section is repeated, leading to an uncertainty of less than 2%.

The final raw coherent J/ψ yield, $N_{J/\psi}^{\text{coh}}$, is evaluated by

$$N_{J/\psi}^{\text{coh}} = \frac{N_{J/\psi}}{1 + f_I + f_D}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where f_I and f_D are the fractional contamination of incoherent processes and coherent $\psi(2S)$ feed-down, respectively. The incoherent contamination, f_I , is obtained by fitting the p_T distribution and calculating the fraction of incoherent J/ψ events in the $p_T < 0.2$ GeV region. The feed-down contamination, f_D , is estimated based on the ratio of the number of $\psi(2S)$ to J/ψ events,

TABLE I. The measured values of the incoherent fractions (f_I) and their uncertainties for each of the neutron multiplicity class.

f_I	0n0n	0nXn	XnXn	AnAn
$1.6 < y < 1.9$	0.005 ± 0.001	0.094 ± 0.005	0.092 ± 0.011	0.034 ± 0.002
$1.9 < y < 2.1$	0.007 ± 0.001	0.108 ± 0.004	0.080 ± 0.006	0.038 ± 0.002
$2.1 < y < 2.4$	0.004 ± 0.001	0.107 ± 0.004	0.096 ± 0.008	0.033 ± 0.004

TABLE II. The measured values of the feed-down fractions (f_D) and their uncertainties for each of the neutron multiplicity class.

f_D	0n0n	0nXn	XnXn	AnAn
$1.6 < y < 1.9$	0.039 ± 0.004	0.040 ± 0.006	0.062 ± 0.011	0.040 ± 0.003
$1.9 < y < 2.1$	0.021 ± 0.004	0.036 ± 0.005	0.053 ± 0.009	0.027 ± 0.003
$2.1 < y < 2.4$	0.025 ± 0.005	0.034 ± 0.006	0.035 ± 0.011	0.028 ± 0.004

$R_N = N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi}$, found from the fit to the dimuon invariant mass distribution. This parameter can be expressed as

$$R_N = \frac{\sigma_{\psi(2S)} \mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu\mu} \epsilon_{\psi(2S)}}{(\sigma_{J/\psi} \epsilon_{J/\psi} + \sigma_{\psi(2S)} \mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi} \epsilon_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi}) \mathcal{B}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu}}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The $\epsilon_{J/\psi}$ and $\epsilon_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi}$ represent reconstruction efficiencies of the prompt J/ψ and J/ψ from $\psi(2S)$ decays. The quantities $\mathcal{B}$ s represent branching fractions for each decay model.

The ratio of the cross sections of coherent $\psi(2S)$ to coherent J/ψ production, $R = \sigma_{\psi(2S)}/\sigma_{J/\psi}$, can then be expressed in terms of R_N as

$$R = \frac{R_N \mathcal{B}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu} \epsilon_{J/\psi}}{\mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu\mu} \epsilon_{\psi(2S)} - R_N \mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi} \epsilon_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi} \mathcal{B}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu}}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Finally, the fraction of the coherent J/ψ yield that comes from the feed-down of coherently photoproduced $\psi(2S)$ is found using the expression

$$f_D = R \frac{\epsilon_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi}}{\epsilon_{J/\psi}} \mathcal{B}_{\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The world-average values [49] for the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ branching fractions are used in these equations.

The resulting measured values of the incoherent and feed-down fractions and their uncertainties from the fits are given in Tables I and II for each of the neutron multiplicity class.

- [4] C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Coherent ρ^0 production in ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 272302 (2002).
- [5] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Coherent diffractive photoproduction of ρ^0 mesons on gold nuclei at 200 GeV/nucleon-pair at the relativistic heavy ion collider, *Phys. Rev. C* **96**, 054904 (2017).
- [6] S. Afanasiev *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), Photo-production of J/ψ and of high mass e^+e^- in ultra-peripheral Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **679**, 321 (2009).
- [7] ALICE Collaboration, Exclusive J/ψ photoproduction off protons in ultra-peripheral p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 232504 (2014).
- [8] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of exclusive Υ photoproduction from protons in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 277 (2019).
- [9] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of exclusive $\rho(770)^0$ photoproduction in ultraperipheral pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 702 (2019).
- [10] M. Abdallah *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Tomography of ultrarelativistic nuclei with polarized photon-gluon collisions, *Sci. Adv.* **9**, eabq3903 (2023).
- [11] ALICE Collaboration, Coherent J/ψ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 1273 (2013).
- [12] ALICE Collaboration, Charmonium and e^+e^- pair photoproduction at mid-rapidity in ultra-peripheral PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2617 (2013).
- [13] ALICE Collaboration, Coherent J/ψ photoproduction at forward rapidity in ultra-peripheral Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **798**, 134926 (2019).
- [14] ALICE Collaboration, Coherent J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ photoproduction at midrapidity in ultra-peripheral Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 712 (2021).
- [15] CMS Collaboration, Coherent J/ψ photoproduction in ultra-peripheral PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the CMS experiment, *Phys. Lett. B* **772**, 489 (2017).
- [16] LHCb Collaboration, Study of coherent J/ψ production in lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2022) 117.
- [17] LHCb Collaboration, Study of coherent charmonium production in ultra-peripheral lead-lead collisions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2023) 146.
- [18] E. Iancu and R. Venugopalan, The color glass condensate and high-energy scattering in QCD, in *Quark-Gluon Plasma 4*, edited by R. C. Hwa and X.-N. Wang (World Scientific, Singapore, 2003), p. 249, 10.1142/9789812795533_0005.

- [1] E. J. Williams, Nature of the high energy particles of penetrating radiation and status of ionization and radiation formulae, *Phys. Rev.* **45**, 729 (1934).
- [2] C. F. von Weizsacker, Radiation emitted in collisions of very fast electrons, *Z. Phys.* **88**, 612 (1934).
- [3] S. R. Klein and P. Steinberg, Photonuclear and two-photon interactions at high-energy nuclear colliders, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **70**, 323 (2020).

- [19] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, and R. Venugopalan, The color glass condensate, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **60**, 463 (2010).
- [20] V. N. Gribov, Interaction of gamma quanta and electrons with nuclei at high-energies, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **57**, 1306 (1970).
- [21] L. Frankfurt, V. Guzey, M. McDermott, and M. Strikman, Revealing the black body regime of small- x DIS through final state signals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 192301 (2001).
- [22] L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, and M. Zhalov, Signals for black body limit in coherent ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Lett. B* **537**, 51 (2002).
- [23] L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, and C. Weiss, Small- x physics: From HERA to LHC and beyond, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **55**, 403 (2005).
- [24] T. Rogers, X. Zu, V. Guzey, and M. Strikman, Determining the proximity of γ N scattering to the black body limit using deep inelastic scattering and J/ψ production, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 074011 (2004).
- [25] A. Alkin, E. Martynov, O. Kovalenko, and S. M. Troshin, Impact-parameter analysis of TOTEM data at the LHC: Black disk limit exceeded, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 091501(R) (2014).
- [26] S. Chekanov *et al.* (ZEUS Collaboration), Exclusive photoproduction of J/ψ mesons at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **24**, 345 (2002).
- [27] A. Aktas *et al.* (H1 Collaboration), Elastic J/ψ production at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **46**, 585 (2006).
- [28] C. Alexa *et al.* (H1 Collaboration), Elastic and proton-dissociative photoproduction of J/ψ mesons at HERA, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2466 (2013).
- [29] LHCb Collaboration, Exclusive J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. Phys. G* **40**, 045001 (2013).
- [30] ALICE Collaboration, Energy dependence of exclusive J/ψ photoproduction off protons in ultra-peripheral p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 402 (2019).
- [31] J. D. Bjorken, Asymptotic sum rules at infinite momentum, *Phys. Rev.* **179**, 1547 (1969).
- [32] V. Guzey, M. Strikman, and M. Zhalov, Disentangling coherent and incoherent quasielastic J/ψ photoproduction on nuclei by neutron tagging in ultraperipheral ion collisions at the LHC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2942 (2014).
- [33] V. Guzey, E. Kryshen, and M. Zhalov, Coherent photoproduction of vector mesons in ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions: Update for run 2 at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, *Phys. Rev. C* **93**, 055206 (2016).
- [34] CMS Collaboration, HEPData record for this analysis, [10.17182/hepdata.138867](https://hepdata.net/record/10.17182/hepdata.138867) (2023).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **3**, S08004 (2008).
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS Level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **15**, P10017 (2020).
- [37] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P01020 (2017).
- [38] CMS Collaboration, Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **16**, P05014 (2021).
- [39] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P06015 (2018).
- [40] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, *J. Instrum.* **9**, P10009 (2014).
- [41] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P10003 (2017).
- [42] G. Bayatian *et al.*, Design, performance and calibration of the CMS forward calorimeter wedges, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53**, 139 (2008).
- [43] O. Surányi *et al.*, Performance of the CMS zero degree calorimeters in p Pb collisions at the LHC, *J. Instrum.* **16**, P05008 (2021).
- [44] CMS Collaboration, Observation of forward neutron multiplicity dependence of dimuon acoplanarity in ultraperipheral PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 122001 (2021).
- [45] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **7**, P10002 (2012).
- [46] S. R. Klein, J. Nystrand, J. Seger, Y. Gorbunov, and J. Butterworth, STARlight: A Monte Carlo simulation program for ultra-peripheral collisions of relativistic ions, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **212**, 258 (2017).
- [47] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4—a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
- [48] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2011) 132.
- [49] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [50] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement using nucleus-nucleus collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV in 2018, Technical Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-18-001, 2022, CMS Physics Analysis Summary, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2809613>.
- [51] I. A. Pshenichnov, J. P. Bondorf, I. N. Mishustin, A. Ventura, and S. Masetti, Mutual heavy ion dissociation in peripheral collisions at ultrarelativistic energies, *Phys. Rev. C* **64**, 024903 (2001).
- [52] ALICE Collaboration, Measurement of the cross section for electromagnetic dissociation with neutron emission in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 252302 (2012).
- [53] ATLAS Collaboration, Exclusive dimuon production in ultraperipheral Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with ATLAS, *Phys. Rev. C* **104**, 024906 (2021).
- [54] R. N. Cahn and J. D. Jackson, Realistic equivalent photon yields in heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 3690 (1990).
- [55] G. Baur and L. G. Ferreira Filho, Coherent particle production at relativistic heavy ion colliders including strong absorption effects, *Nucl. Phys. A* **518**, 786 (1990).
- [56] M. Vidovic, M. Greiner, C. Best, and G. Soff, Impact parameter dependence of the electromagnetic particle production in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Rev. C* **47**, 2308 (1993).

- [57] A. J. Baltz, S. R. Klein, and J. Nystrand, Coherent vector meson photoproduction with nuclear breakup in relativistic heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 012301 (2002).
- [58] CMS Collaboration, Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 800 (2021).
- [59] M. Oreglia *et al.*, A study of the reaction $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma J/\psi$, *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 2259 (1982).
- [60] D. d'Enterria and C. Loizides, Progress in the Glauber model at collider energies, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **71**, 315 (2021).
- [61] A. J. Baltz, M. J. Rhoades-Brown, and J. Weneser, Heavy ion partial beam lifetimes due to coulomb induced processes, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 4233 (1996).
- [62] A. Łuszczak and W. Schäfer, Coherent photoproduction of J/ψ in nucleus-nucleus collisions in the color dipole approach, *Phys. Rev. C* **99**, 044905 (2019).
- [63] D. Bendova, J. Cepila, J. G. Contreras, and M. Matas, Photonuclear J/ψ production at the LHC: Proton-based versus nuclear dipole scattering amplitudes, *Phys. Lett. B* **817**, 136306 (2021).
- [64] H. Mäntysaari and B. Schenke, Probing subnucleon scale fluctuations in ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Lett. B* **772**, 832 (2017).
- [65] J. Cepila, J. G. Contreras, and M. Krelina, Coherent and incoherent J/ψ photonuclear production in an energy-dependent hot-spot model, *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 024901 (2018).
- [66] K. J. Eskola, C. A. Flett, V. Guzey, T. Löytäinen, and H. Paukkunen, Exclusive J/ψ photoproduction in ultraperipheral Pb + Pb collisions at the CERN Large Hadron Collider calculated at next-to-leading order perturbative QCD, *Phys. Rev. C* **106**, 035202 (2022).
- [67] K. J. Eskola, C. A. Flett, V. Guzey, T. Löytäinen, and H. Paukkunen, Next-to-leading order perturbative QCD predictions for exclusive J/ψ photoproduction in oxygen-oxygen and lead-lead collisions at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. C* **107**, 044912 (2023).
- [68] V. Guzey, E. Kryshen, M. Strikman, and M. Zhalov, Evidence for nuclear gluon shadowing from the ALICE measurements of PbPb ultraperipheral exclusive J/ψ production, *Phys. Lett. B* **726**, 290 (2013).
- [69] V. Guzey, E. Kryshen, M. Strikman, and M. Zhalov, Nuclear suppression from coherent J/ψ photoproduction at the Large Hadron Collider, *Phys. Lett. B* **816**, 136202 (2021).
- [70] M. D. Sokoloff *et al.* (Fermilab Tagged Photon Spectrometer Collaboration), An experimental study of the A-dependence of J/ψ photoproduction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 3003 (1986).
- [71] ALICE Collaboration, Energy dependence of coherent photonuclear production of J/ψ mesons in ultra-peripheral Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2023) 119.

A. Tumasyan^{1,b}, W. Adam², J. W. Andrejkovic², T. Bergauer², S. Chatterjee², K. Damanakis², M. Dragicevic², A. Escalante Del Valle², P. S. Hussain², M. Jeitler^{2,c}, N. Krammer², L. Lechner², D. Liko², I. Mikulec², J. Schieck^{2,c}, R. Schöffbeck², D. Schwarz², M. Sonawane², S. Templ², W. Waltenberger², C.-E. Wulz^{2,c}, M. R. Darwish^{3,d}, T. Janssen³, T. Kello^{3,e}, P. Van Mechelen³, E. S. Bols⁴, J. D'Hondt⁴, A. De Moor⁴, M. Delcourt⁴, H. El Faham⁴, S. Lowette⁴, A. Morton⁴, D. Müller⁴, A. R. Sahasransu⁴, S. Tavernier⁴, W. Van Doninck⁴, S. Van Putte⁴, D. Vannerom⁴, B. Clerbaux⁵, S. Dansana⁵, G. De Lentdecker⁵, L. Favart⁵, D. Hohov⁵, J. Jaramillo⁵, K. Lee⁵, M. Mahdavihorrani⁵, I. Makarenko⁵, A. Malara⁵, S. Paredes⁵, L. Pétrelé⁵, N. Postiau⁵, L. Thomas⁵, M. Vanden Bemden⁵, C. Vander Velde⁵, P. Vanlaer⁵, D. Dobur⁶, J. Knolle⁶, L. Lambrecht⁶, G. Mestdach⁶, C. Rendón⁶, A. Samalan⁶, K. Skovpen⁶, M. Tytgat⁶, N. Van Den Bossche⁶, B. Vermassen⁶, L. Wezenbeek⁶, A. Benecke⁷, G. Bruno⁷, F. Bury⁷, C. Caputo⁷, P. David⁷, C. Delaere⁷, I. S. Donertas⁷, A. Giammanco⁷, K. Jaffel⁷, Sa. Jain⁷, V. Lemaître⁷, J. Lidrych⁷, K. Mondal⁷, T. T. Tran⁷, P. Vischia⁷, S. Wertz⁷, G. A. Alves⁸, E. Coelho⁸, C. Hensel⁸, A. Moraes⁸, P. Rebello Teles⁸, W. L. Aldá Júnior⁹, M. Alves Gallo Pereira⁹, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho⁹, H. Brandao Malbouisson⁹, W. Carvalho⁹, J. Chinellato^{9,f}, E. M. Da Costa⁹, G. G. Da Silveira^{9,g}, D. De Jesus Damiao⁹, V. Dos Santos Sousa⁹, S. Fonseca De Souza⁹, J. Martins^{9,h}, C. Mora Herrera⁹, K. Mota Amarilo⁹, L. Mundim⁹, H. Nogima⁹, A. Santoro⁹, S. M. Silva Do Amaral⁹, A. Sznajder⁹, M. Thiel⁹, A. Vilela Pereira⁹, C. A. Bernardes^{10,g}, L. Calligaris¹⁰, T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei¹⁰, E. M. Gregores¹⁰, P. G. Mercadante¹⁰, S. F. Novaes¹⁰, Sandra S. Padula¹⁰, A. Aleksandrov¹¹, G. Antchev¹¹, R. Hadjiiska¹¹, P. Iaydjiev¹¹, M. Misheva¹¹, M. Rodozov¹¹, M. Shopova¹¹, G. Sultanov¹¹, A. Dimitrov¹², T. Ivanov¹², L. Litov¹², B. Pavlov¹², P. Petkov¹², A. Petrov¹², E. Shumka¹², S. Keshri¹³, S. Thakur¹³, T. Cheng¹⁴, Q. Guo¹⁴, T. Javaid^{14,i}, M. Mittal¹⁴, L. Yuan¹⁴, G. Bauer^{15,j}, Z. Hu¹⁵, S. Lezki¹⁵, K. Yi^{15,k,j}, G. M. Chen^{16,i}, H. S. Chen^{16,i}, M. Chen^{16,i}, F. Iemmi¹⁶, C. H. Jiang¹⁶, A. Kapoor¹⁶, H. Liao¹⁶, Z.-A. Liu^{16,l}, V. Milosevic¹⁶, F. Monti¹⁶, R. Sharma¹⁶, J. Tao¹⁶, J. Wang¹⁶, H. Zhang¹⁶, J. Zhao¹⁶, A. Agapitos¹⁷, Y. Ban¹⁷, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira¹⁷, A. Levin¹⁷, C. Li¹⁷, Q. Li¹⁷, X. Lyu¹⁷, Y. Mao¹⁷, S. J. Qian¹⁷, X. Sun¹⁷, D. Wang¹⁷, J. Xiao¹⁷, H. Yang¹⁷, M. Lu¹⁸, Z. You¹⁸

- N. Lu¹⁹, X. Gao^{20,e}, D. Leggat²⁰, H. Okawa²⁰, Y. Zhang²⁰, Z. Lin²¹, C. Lu²¹, M. Xiao²¹, C. Avila²²,
 D. A. Barbosa Trujillo²², A. Cabrera²², C. Florez²², J. Fraga²², J. A. Reyes Vega²², J. Mejia Guisao²³, F. Ramirez²³,
 M. Rodriguez²³, J. D. Ruiz Alvarez²³, D. Giljanovic²⁴, N. Godinovic²⁴, D. Lelas²⁴, I. Puljak²⁴, Z. Antunovic²⁵,
 M. Kovac²⁵, T. Sculac²⁵, P. Bargassa²⁶, V. Brigljevic²⁶, B. K. Chitroda²⁶, D. Ferencek²⁶, S. Mishra²⁶,
 M. Roguljic²⁶, A. Starodumov^{26,m}, T. Susa²⁶, A. Attikis²⁷, K. Christoforou²⁷, S. Konstantinou²⁷, J. Mousa²⁷,
 C. Nicolaou²⁷, F. Ptochos²⁷, P. A. Razis²⁷, H. Rykaczewski²⁷, H. Saka²⁷, A. Steppenov²⁷, M. Finger²⁸,
 M. Finger Jr.²⁸, A. Kveton²⁸, E. Ayala²⁹, E. Carrera Jarrin³⁰, Y. Assran^{31,n,o}, S. Elgammal^{31,n}, A. Lotfy³²,
 M. A. Mahmoud³², S. Bhowmik³³, R. K. Dewanjee³³, K. Ehataht³³, M. Kadastik³³, T. Lange³³, S. Nandan³³,
 C. Nielsen³³, J. Pata³³, M. Raidal³³, L. Tani³³, C. Veelken³³, P. Eerola³⁴, H. Kirschenmann³⁴, K. Osterberg³⁴,
 M. Voutilainen³⁴, S. Bharthuar³⁵, E. Brücken³⁵, F. Garcia³⁵, J. Havukainen³⁵, M. S. Kim³⁵, R. Kinnunen³⁵,
 T. Lampén³⁵, K. Lassila-Perini³⁵, S. Lehti³⁵, T. Lindén³⁵, M. Lotti³⁵, L. Martikainen³⁵, M. Myllymäki³⁵,
 M. m. Rantanen³⁵, H. Siikonen³⁵, E. Tuominen³⁵, J. Tuominiemi³⁵, P. Luukka³⁶, H. Petrow³⁶, T. Tuuva^{36,a},
 C. Amendola³⁷, M. Besancon³⁷, F. Couderc³⁷, M. Dejardin³⁷, D. Denegri³⁷, J. L. Faure³⁷, F. Ferri³⁷, S. Ganjour³⁷,
 P. Gras³⁷, G. Hamel de Monchenault³⁷, V. Lohezic³⁷, J. Malcles³⁷, J. Rander³⁷, A. Rosowsky³⁷, M. Ö. Sahin³⁷,
 A. Savoy-Navarro^{37,p}, P. Simkina³⁷, M. Titov³⁷, C. Baldenegro Barrera³⁸, F. Beaudette³⁸, A. Buchot Perraguin³⁸,
 P. Busson³⁸, A. Cappati³⁸, C. Charlot³⁸, F. Damas³⁸, O. Davignon³⁸, B. Diab³⁸, G. Falmagne³⁸,
 B. A. Fontana Santos Alves³⁸, S. Ghosh³⁸, R. Granier de Cassagnac³⁸, A. Hakimi³⁸, B. Harikrishnan³⁸, G. Liu³⁸,
 J. Motta³⁸, M. Nguyen³⁸, C. Ochando³⁸, L. Portales³⁸, R. Salerno³⁸, U. Sarkar³⁸, J. B. Sauvan³⁸, Y. Sirois³⁸,
 A. Tarabini³⁸, E. Vernazza³⁸, A. Zabi³⁸, A. Zghiche³⁸, J.-L. Agram^{39,q}, J. Andrea³⁹, D. Appar³⁹, D. Bloch³⁹,
 J.-M. Brom³⁹, E. C. Chabert³⁹, C. Collard³⁹, U. Goerlach³⁹, C. Grimault³⁹, A.-C. Le Bihan³⁹, P. Van Hove³⁹,
 S. Beauceron⁴⁰, B. Blancon⁴⁰, G. Boudoul⁴⁰, N. Chanon⁴⁰, J. Choi⁴⁰, D. Contardo⁴⁰, P. Depasse⁴⁰,
 C. Dozen^{40,r}, H. El Mamouni⁴⁰, J. Fay⁴⁰, S. Gascon⁴⁰, M. Gouzevitch⁴⁰, G. Grenier⁴⁰, B. Ille⁴⁰, I. B. Laktineh⁴⁰,
 M. Lethuillier⁴⁰, L. Mirabito⁴⁰, S. Perries⁴⁰, M. Vander Donckt⁴⁰, P. Verdier⁴⁰, S. Viret⁴⁰, D. Chokheli⁴¹,
 I. Lomidze⁴¹, Z. Tsamalaidze^{41,m}, V. Botta⁴², L. Feld⁴², K. Klein⁴², M. Lipinski⁴², D. Meuser⁴², A. Pauls⁴²,
 N. Röwert⁴², M. Teroerde⁴², S. Diekmann⁴³, A. Dodonova⁴³, N. Eich⁴³, D. Eliseev⁴³, M. Erdmann⁴³,
 P. Fackeldey⁴³, B. Fischer⁴³, T. Hebbeker⁴³, K. Hoepfner⁴³, F. Ivone⁴³, M. y. Lee⁴³, L. Mastrolorenzo⁴³,
 M. Merschmeyer⁴³, A. Meyer⁴³, S. Mondal⁴³, S. Mukherjee⁴³, D. Noll⁴³, A. Novak⁴³, F. Nowotny⁴³,
 A. Pozdnyakov⁴³, Y. Rath⁴³, W. Redjeb⁴³, F. Rehm⁴³, H. Reithler⁴³, A. Schmidt⁴³, S. C. Schuler⁴³, A. Sharma⁴³,
 A. Stein⁴³, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo^{43,s}, L. Vigilante⁴³, S. Wiedenbeck⁴³, S. Zaleski⁴³, C. Dziwok⁴⁴,
 G. Flügge⁴⁴, W. Haj Ahmad^{44,t}, O. Hlushchenko⁴⁴, T. Kress⁴⁴, A. Nowack⁴⁴, O. Pooth⁴⁴, A. Stahl⁴⁴,
 T. Ziemons⁴⁴, A. Zotz⁴⁴, H. Aarup Petersen⁴⁵, M. Aldaya Martin⁴⁵, J. Alimena⁴⁵, Y. An⁴⁵, S. Baxter⁴⁵,
 M. Bayatmakou⁴⁵, H. Becerril Gonzalez⁴⁵, O. Behnke⁴⁵, S. Bhattacharya⁴⁵, F. Blekman^{45,u}, K. Borras^{45,v},
 D. Brunner⁴⁵, A. Campbell⁴⁵, A. Cardini⁴⁵, C. Cheng⁴⁵, F. Colombina⁴⁵, S. Consuegra Rodríguez⁴⁵,
 G. Correia Silva⁴⁵, M. De Silva⁴⁵, G. Eckerlin⁴⁵, D. Eckstein⁴⁵, L. I. Estevez Banos⁴⁵, O. Filatov⁴⁵, E. Gallo^{45,u},
 A. Geiser⁴⁵, A. Giralaldi⁴⁵, G. Greau⁴⁵, A. Grohsjean⁴⁵, V. Guglielmi⁴⁵, M. Guthoff⁴⁵, A. Jafari^{45,w},
 N. Z. Jomhari⁴⁵, B. Kaech⁴⁵, M. Kasemann⁴⁵, H. Kaveh⁴⁵, C. Kleinwort⁴⁵, R. Kogler⁴⁵, M. Komm⁴⁵,
 D. Krücker⁴⁵, W. Lange⁴⁵, D. Leyva Pernia⁴⁵, K. Lipka^{45,x}, W. Lohmann^{45,y}, R. Mankel⁴⁵,
 I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann⁴⁵, M. Mendizabal Morentin⁴⁵, J. Metwally⁴⁵, A. B. Meyer⁴⁵, G. Milella⁴⁵, M. Mormile⁴⁵,
 A. Mussgiller⁴⁵, A. Nürnberg⁴⁵, Y. Otari⁴⁵, D. Pérez Adán⁴⁵, E. Ranken⁴⁵, A. Raspereza⁴⁵, B. Ribeiro Lopes⁴⁵,
 J. Rübenach⁴⁵, A. Saggio⁴⁵, M. Savitskiy⁴⁵, M. Scham^{45,v,z}, V. Scheurer⁴⁵, S. Schnake^{45,v}, P. Schütze⁴⁵,
 C. Schwanenberger^{45,u}, M. Shchedrolosiev⁴⁵, R. E. Sosa Ricardo⁴⁵, D. Stafford⁴⁵, F. Vazzoler⁴⁵,
 A. Ventura Barroso⁴⁵, R. Walsh⁴⁵, Q. Wang⁴⁵, Y. Wen⁴⁵, K. Wichmann⁴⁵, L. Wiens^{45,v}, C. Wissing⁴⁵,
 S. Wuchterl⁴⁵, Y. Yang⁴⁵, A. Zimmermann Castro Santos⁴⁵, A. Albrecht⁴⁶, S. Albrecht⁴⁶, M. Antonello⁴⁶,
 S. Bein⁴⁶, L. Benato⁴⁶, M. Bonanomi⁴⁶, P. Connor⁴⁶, K. De Leo⁴⁶, M. Eich⁴⁶, K. El Morabit⁴⁶, A. Fröhlich⁴⁶,
 C. Garbers⁴⁶, E. Garutti⁴⁶, M. Hajheidari⁴⁶, J. Haller⁴⁶, A. Hinzmann⁴⁶, H. R. Jabusch⁴⁶, G. Kasieczka⁴⁶,
 P. Keicher⁴⁶, R. Klanner⁴⁶, W. Korcar⁴⁶, T. Kramer⁴⁶, V. Kutzner⁴⁶, F. Labe⁴⁶, J. Lange⁴⁶, A. Lobanov⁴⁶,
 C. Matthies⁴⁶, A. Mehta⁴⁶, L. Moureaux⁴⁶, M. Mrowietz⁴⁶, A. Nigamova⁴⁶, Y. Nissan⁴⁶, A. Paasch⁴⁶,
 K. J. Pena Rodriguez⁴⁶, T. Quadfasel⁴⁶, M. Rieger⁴⁶, D. Savoie⁴⁶, J. Schindler⁴⁶, P. Schleper⁴⁶, M. Schröder⁴⁶,
 J. Schwandt⁴⁶, M. Sommerhalder⁴⁶, H. Stadie⁴⁶, G. Steinbrück⁴⁶, A. Tews⁴⁶, M. Wolf⁴⁶, S. Brommer⁴⁷

M. Burkart,⁴⁷ E. Butz,⁴⁷ T. Chwalek,⁴⁷ A. Dierlamm,⁴⁷ A. Droll,⁴⁷ N. Faltermann,⁴⁷ M. Giffels,⁴⁷
 J. O. Gosewisch,⁴⁷ A. Gottmann,⁴⁷ F. Hartmann,^{47,aa} M. Horzela,⁴⁷ U. Husemann,⁴⁷ M. Klute,⁴⁷
 R. Koppenhöfer,⁴⁷ M. Link,⁴⁷ A. Lintuluoto,⁴⁷ S. Maier,⁴⁷ S. Mitra,⁴⁷ Th. Müller,⁴⁷ M. Neukum,⁴⁷ M. Oh,⁴⁷
 G. Quast,⁴⁷ K. Rabbertz,⁴⁷ I. Shvetsov,⁴⁷ H. J. Simonis,⁴⁷ N. Trevisani,⁴⁷ R. Ulrich,⁴⁷ J. van der Linden,⁴⁷
 R. F. Von Cube,⁴⁷ M. Wassmer,⁴⁷ S. Wieland,⁴⁷ R. Wolf,⁴⁷ S. Wunsch,⁴⁷ X. Zuo,⁴⁷ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁸
 P. Assiouras,⁴⁸ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁸ A. Kyriakis,⁴⁸ A. Stakia,⁴⁸ M. Diamantopoulou,⁴⁹ D. Karasavvas,⁴⁹ P. Kontaxakis,⁴⁹
 A. Manousakis-Katsikakis,⁴⁹ G. Melachroinos,⁴⁹ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁹ I. Papavergou,⁴⁹ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁹ K. Theofilatos,⁴⁹
 E. Tziaferi,⁴⁹ K. Vellidis,⁴⁹ I. Zisopoulos,⁴⁹ G. Bakas,⁵⁰ T. Chatzistavrou,⁵⁰ G. Karapostoli,⁵⁰ K. Kousouris,⁵⁰
 I. Papakrivopoulos,⁵⁰ G. Tsiopolitis,⁵⁰ A. Zacharopoulou,⁵⁰ K. Adamidis,⁵¹ I. Bestintzanos,⁵¹ I. Evangelou,⁵¹ C. Foudas,⁵¹
 P. Gianneios,⁵¹ C. Kamtsikis,⁵¹ P. Katsoulis,⁵¹ P. Kokkas,⁵¹ P. G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou,⁵¹ N. Manthos,⁵¹
 I. Papadopoulos,⁵¹ J. Strologas,⁵¹ M. Csanád,⁵² K. Farkas,⁵² M. M. A. Gadallah,^{52,bb} P. Major,⁵² K. Mandal,⁵²
 G. Pásztor,⁵² A. J. Rádl,^{52,cc} O. Surányi,⁵² G. I. Veres,⁵² M. Bartók,^{53,dd} C. Hajdu,⁵³ D. Horvath,^{53,ee,ff}
 F. Sikler,⁵³ V. Veszpremi,⁵³ G. Bencze,⁵⁴ N. Beni,⁵⁴ S. Czellar,⁵⁴ J. Karancsi,^{54,dd} J. Molnar,⁵⁴ Z. Szillasi,⁵⁴
 D. Teyssier,⁵⁴ P. Raics,⁵⁵ B. Ujvari,^{55,gg} G. Zilizi,⁵⁵ T. Csorgo,^{56,cc} F. Nemes,^{56,cc} T. Novak,⁵⁶ J. Babbar,⁵⁷
 S. Bansal,⁵⁷ S. B. Beri,⁵⁷ V. Bhatnagar,⁵⁷ G. Chaudhary,⁵⁷ S. Chauhan,⁵⁷ N. Dhingra,^{57,hh} R. Gupta,⁵⁷ A. Kaur,⁵⁷
 A. Kaur,⁵⁷ H. Kaur,⁵⁷ M. Kaur,⁵⁷ S. Kumar,⁵⁷ P. Kumari,⁵⁷ M. Meena,⁵⁷ K. Sandeep,⁵⁷ T. Sheokand,⁵⁷
 J. B. Singh,^{57,ii} A. Singla,⁵⁷ A. Ahmed,⁵⁸ A. Bhardwaj,⁵⁸ A. Chhetri,⁵⁸ B. C. Choudhary,⁵⁸ A. Kumar,⁵⁸
 M. Naimuddin,⁵⁸ K. Ranjan,⁵⁸ S. Saumya,⁵⁸ S. Baradia,⁵⁹ S. Barman,^{59,jj} S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁹ D. Bhowmik,⁵⁹
 S. Dutta,⁵⁹ S. Dutta,⁵⁹ B. Gomber,^{59,kk} M. Maity,^{59,jj} P. Palit,⁵⁹ G. Saha,⁵⁹ B. Sahu,^{59,kk} S. Sarkar,⁵⁹ P. K. Behera,⁶⁰
 S. C. Behera,⁶⁰ S. Chatterjee,⁶⁰ P. Kalbhor,⁶⁰ J. R. Komaragiri,^{60,ll} D. Kumar,^{60,ll} A. Muhammad,⁶⁰
 L. Panwar,^{60,ll} R. Pradhan,⁶⁰ P. R. Pujahari,⁶⁰ N. R. Saha,⁶⁰ A. Sharma,⁶⁰ A. K. Sikdar,⁶⁰ S. Verma,⁶⁰
 K. Naskar,^{61,mm} T. Aziz,⁶² I. Das,⁶² S. Dugad,⁶² M. Kumar,⁶² G. B. Mohanty,⁶² P. Suryadevara,⁶² A. Bala,⁶³
 S. Banerjee,⁶³ M. Guchait,⁶³ S. Karmakar,⁶³ S. Kumar,⁶³ G. Majumder,⁶³ K. Mazumdar,⁶³ S. Mukherjee,⁶³
 A. Thachayath,⁶³ S. Bahinipati,^{64,nn} A. K. Das,⁶⁴ C. Kar,⁶⁴ P. Mal,⁶⁴ T. Mishra,⁶⁴
 V. K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu,^{64,oo} A. Nayak,^{64,oo} P. Saha,⁶⁴ S. K. Swain,⁶⁴ S. Varghese,⁶⁴ D. Vats,^{64,oo}
 A. Alpna,⁶⁵ S. Dube,⁶⁵ B. Kansal,⁶⁵ A. Laha,⁶⁵ S. Pandey,⁶⁵ A. Rastogi,⁶⁵ S. Sharma,⁶⁵
 H. Bakhshiansohi,^{66,pp} E. Khazaie,⁶⁶ M. Zeinali,^{66,qq} S. Chenarani,^{67,rr} S. M. Etesami,⁶⁷ M. Khakzad,⁶⁷
 M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁶⁷ M. Grunewald,⁶⁸ M. Abbrescia,^{69a,69b} R. Aly,^{69a,69c,ss} C. Aruta,^{69a,69b}
 A. Colaleo,^{69a} D. Creanza,^{69a,69c} L. Cristella,^{69a,69b} B. D' Anzi,^{69a,69b} N. De Filippis,^{69a,69c} M. De Palma,^{69a,69b}
 A. Di Florio,^{69a,69b} W. Elmetenawee,^{69a,69b} F. Errico,^{69a,69b} L. Fiore,^{69a} G. Iaselli,^{69a,69c} G. Maggi,^{69a,69c}
 M. Maggi,^{69a} I. Margjeka,^{69a,69b} V. Mastrapasqua,^{69a,69b} S. My,^{69a,69b} S. Nuzzo,^{69a,69b} A. Pellecchia,^{69a,69b}
 A. Pompili,^{69a,69b} G. Pugliese,^{69a,69c} R. Radogna,^{69a} D. Ramos,^{69a} A. Ranieri,^{69a} G. Selvaggi,^{69a,69b}
 L. Silvestris,^{69a} F. M. Simone,^{69a,69b} Ü. Sözbilir,^{69a} A. Stamerra,^{69a} R. Venditti,^{69a} P. Verwilligen,^{69a}
 G. Abbiendi,^{70a} C. Battilana,^{70a,70b} D. Bonacorsi,^{70a,70b} L. Borgonovi,^{70a} L. Brigliadori,^{70a} R. Campanini,^{70a,70b}
 P. Capiluppi,^{70a,70b} A. Castro,^{70a,70b} F. R. Cavallo,^{70a} M. Cuffiani,^{70a,70b} G. M. Dallavalle,^{70a} T. Diotalevi,^{70a,70b}
 F. Fabbri,^{70a} A. Fanfani,^{70a,70b} D. Fasanella,^{70a,70b} P. Giacomelli,^{70a} L. Giommi,^{70a,70b} C. Grandi,^{70a}
 L. Guiducci,^{70a,70b} S. Lo Meo,^{70a,tt} L. Lunerti,^{70a,70b} S. Marcellini,^{70a} G. Masetti,^{70a} F. L. Navarria,^{70a,70b}
 A. Perrotta,^{70a} F. Primavera,^{70a,70b} A. M. Rossi,^{70a,70b} T. Rovelli,^{70a,70b} G. P. Siroli,^{70a,70b} S. Costa,^{71a,71b,uu}
 A. Di Mattia,^{71a} R. Potenza,^{71a,71b} A. Tricomi,^{71a,71b,uu} C. Tuve,^{71a,71b} G. Barbagli,^{72a} G. Bardelli,^{72a,72b}
 B. Camaiani,^{72a,72b} A. Cassese,^{72a} R. Ceccarelli,^{72a,72b} V. Ciulli,^{72a,72b} C. Civinini,^{72a} R. D'Alessandro,^{72a,72b}
 E. Focardi,^{72a,72b} G. Latino,^{72a,72b} P. Lenzi,^{72a,72b} M. Lizzo,^{72a,72b} M. Meschini,^{72a} S. Paoletti,^{72a} G. Sguazzoni,^{72a}
 L. Viliani,^{72a} L. Benussi,⁷³ S. Bianco,⁷³ S. Meola,^{73,vv} D. Piccolo,⁷³ M. Bozzo,^{74a,74b} P. Chatagnon,^{74a}
 F. Ferro,^{74a} E. Robutti,^{74a} S. Tosi,^{74a,74b} A. Benaglia,^{75a} G. Boldrini,^{75a} F. Brivio,^{75a,75b} F. Cetorelli,^{75a,75b}
 F. De Guio,^{75a,75b} M. E. Dinardo,^{75a,75b} P. Dini,^{75a} S. Gennai,^{75a} A. Ghezzi,^{75a,75b} P. Govoni,^{75a,75b} L. Guzzi,^{75a,75b}
 M. T. Lucchini,^{75a,75b} M. Malberti,^{75a} S. Malvezzi,^{75a} A. Massironi,^{75a} D. Menasce,^{75a} L. Moroni,^{75a}
 M. Paganoni,^{75a,75b} D. Pedrini,^{75a} B. S. Pinolini,^{75a} S. Ragazzi,^{75a,75b} N. Redaelli,^{75a} T. Tabarelli de Fatis,^{75a,75b}
 D. Zuolo,^{75a,75b} S. Buontempo,^{76a} A. Cagnotta,^{76a,76b} F. Carnevali,^{76a,76b} N. Cavallo,^{76a,76c} A. De Iorio,^{76a,76b}
 F. Fabozzi,^{76a,76c} A. O. M. Iorio,^{76a,76b} L. Lista,^{76a,76b,ww} P. Paolucci,^{76a,aa} B. Rossi,^{76a} C. Sciacca,^{76a,76b}
 R. Ardino,^{77a} P. Azzi,^{77a} N. Bacchetta,^{77a,xx} D. Bisello,^{77a,77b} P. Bortignon,^{77a} A. Bragagnolo,^{77a,77b}

R. Carlin^{77a,77b} P. Checchia^{77a} T. Dorigo^{77a} S. Fantinel^{77a} F. Gasparini^{77a,77b} U. Gasparini^{77a,77b} G. Grosso^{77a}
 L. Layer^{77a,yy} E. Lusiani^{77a} M. Margoni^{77a,77b} A. T. Meneguzzo^{77a,77b} J. Pazzini^{77a,77b} P. Ronchese^{77a,77b}
 R. Rossin^{77a,77b} G. Strong^{77a} M. Tosi^{77a,77b} H. Yarar^{77a,77b} M. Zanetti^{77a,77b} P. Zotto^{77a,77b} A. Zucchetta^{77a,77b}
 G. Zumerle^{77a,77b} S. Abu Zeid^{78a,zz} C. Aimè^{78a,78b} A. Braghieri^{78a} S. Calzaferri^{78a,78b} D. Fiorina^{78a,78b}
 P. Montagna^{78a,78b} V. Re^{78a} C. Riccardi^{78a,78b} P. Salvini^{78a} I. Vai^{78a,78b} P. Vitulo^{78a,78b} P. Asenov^{79a,aaa}
 G. M. Bilei^{79a} D. Ciangottini^{79a,79b} L. Fanò^{79a,79b} M. Magherini^{79a,79b} G. Mantovani^{79a,79b} V. Mariani^{79a,79b}
 M. Menichelli^{79a} F. Moscatelli^{79a,aaa} A. Piccinelli^{79a,79b} M. Presilla^{79a,79b} A. Rossi^{79a,79b} A. Santocchia^{79a,79b}
 D. Spiga^{79a} T. Tedeschi^{79a,79b} P. Azzurri^{80a} G. Bagliesi^{80a} V. Bertacchi^{80a,80c} R. Bhattacharya^{80a}
 L. Bianchini^{80a,80b} T. Boccali^{80a} E. Bossini^{80a,80b} D. Bruschini^{80a,80c} R. Castaldi^{80a} M. A. Ciocci^{80a,80b}
 V. D'Amante^{80a,80d} R. Dell'Orso^{80a} S. Donato^{80a} A. Giassi^{80a} F. Ligabue^{80a,80c} D. Matos Figueiredo^{80a}
 A. Messineo^{80a,80b} M. Musich^{80a,80b} F. Palla^{80a} S. Parolia^{80a} G. Ramirez-Sanchez^{80a,80c} A. Rizzi^{80a,80b}
 G. Rolandi^{80a,80c} S. Roy Chowdhury^{80a} T. Sarkar^{80a} A. Scribano^{80a} P. Spagnolo^{80a} R. Tenchini^{80a}
 G. Tonelli^{80a,80b} N. Turini^{80a,80d} A. Venturi^{80a} P. G. Verdini^{80a} P. Barria^{81a} M. Campana^{81a,81b} F. Cavallari^{81a}
 L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{81a,81b} D. Del Re^{81a,81b} E. Di Marco^{81a} M. Diemoz^{81a} E. Longo^{81a,81b} P. Meridiani^{81a}
 G. Organtini^{81a,81b} F. Pandolfi^{81a} R. Paramatti^{81a,81b} C. Quaranta^{81a,81b} S. Rahatlou^{81a,81b} C. Rovelli^{81a}
 F. Santanastasio^{81a,81b} L. Soffi^{81a} R. Tramontano^{81a,81b} N. Amapane^{82a,82b} R. Arcidiacono^{82a,82c} S. Argiro^{82a,82b}
 M. Arneodo^{82a,82c} N. Bartosik^{82a} R. Bellan^{82a,82b} A. Bellora^{82a,82b} C. Biino^{82a} N. Cartiglia^{82a} M. Costa^{82a,82b}
 R. Covarelli^{82a,82b} N. Demaria^{82a} L. Finco^{82a} M. Grippo^{82a,82b} B. Kiani^{82a,82b} F. Legger^{82a} F. Luongo^{82a,82b}
 C. Mariotti^{82a} S. Maselli^{82a} A. Mecca^{82a,82b} E. Migliore^{82a,82b} M. Monteno^{82a} R. Mulargia^{82a}
 M. M. Obertino^{82a,82b} G. Ortona^{82a} L. Pacher^{82a,82b} N. Pastrone^{82a} M. Pelliccioni^{82a} M. Ruspa^{82a,82c}
 K. Shchelina^{82a} F. Siviero^{82a,82b} V. Sola^{82a,82b} A. Solano^{82a,82b} D. Soldi^{82a,82b} A. Staiano^{82a}
 C. Tarricone^{82a,82b} M. Tornago^{82a,82b} D. Trocino^{82a} G. Umoret^{82a,82b} A. Vagnerini^{82a,82b} E. Vlasov^{82a,82b}
 S. Belforte^{83a} V. Candelise^{83a,83b} M. Casarsa^{83a} F. Cossutti^{83a} G. Della Ricca^{83a,83b} G. Sorrentino^{83a,83b}
 S. Dogra⁸⁴ C. Huh⁸⁴ B. Kim⁸⁴ D. H. Kim⁸⁴ G. N. Kim⁸⁴ J. Kim⁸⁴ J. Lee⁸⁴ S. W. Lee⁸⁴ C. S. Moon⁸⁴
 Y. D. Oh⁸⁴ S. I. Pak⁸⁴ M. S. Ryu⁸⁴ S. Sekmen⁸⁴ Y. C. Yang⁸⁴ H. Kim⁸⁵ D. H. Moon⁸⁵ E. Asilar⁸⁶
 T. J. Kim⁸⁶ J. Park⁸⁶ S. Choi⁸⁷ S. Han⁸⁷ B. Hong⁸⁷ K. Lee⁸⁷ K. S. Lee⁸⁷ J. Lim⁸⁷ J. Park⁸⁷ S. K. Park⁸⁷
 J. Yoo⁸⁷ J. Goh⁸⁸ H. S. Kim⁸⁹ Y. Kim⁸⁹ S. Lee⁸⁹ J. Almond⁹⁰ J. H. Bhyun⁹⁰ J. Choi⁹⁰ S. Jeon⁹⁰ J. Kim⁹⁰
 J. S. Kim⁹⁰ S. Ko⁹⁰ H. Kwon⁹⁰ H. Lee⁹⁰ S. Lee⁹⁰ B. H. Oh⁹⁰ S. B. Oh⁹⁰ H. Seo⁹⁰ U. K. Yang⁹⁰ I. Yoon⁹⁰
 W. Jang⁹¹ D. Y. Kang⁹¹ Y. Kang⁹¹ D. Kim⁹¹ S. Kim⁹¹ B. Ko⁹¹ J. S. H. Lee⁹¹ Y. Lee⁹¹ J. A. Merlin⁹¹
 I. C. Park⁹¹ Y. Roh⁹¹ D. Song⁹¹ I. J. Watson⁹¹ S. Yang⁹¹ S. Ha⁹² H. D. Yoo⁹² M. Choi⁹³ M. R. Kim⁹³
 H. Lee⁹³ Y. Lee⁹³ I. Yu⁹³ T. Beyrouthy⁹⁴ Y. Maghrbi⁹⁴ K. Dreimanis⁹⁵ G. Pikurs⁹⁵ A. Potrebko⁹⁵ M. Seidel⁹⁵
 V. Veckalns⁹⁵ M. Ambrozias⁹⁶ A. Juodagalvis⁹⁶ A. Rinkevicius⁹⁶ G. Tamulaitis⁹⁶ N. Bin Norjoharuddeen⁹⁷
 S. Y. Hoh^{97,bbb} I. Yusuff^{97,bbb} Z. Zolkapli⁹⁷ J. F. Benitez⁹⁸ A. Castaneda Hernandez⁹⁸ H. A. Encinas Acosta⁹⁸
 L. G. Gallegos Maríñez⁹⁸ M. León Coello⁹⁸ J. A. Murillo Quijada⁹⁸ A. Sehrawat⁹⁸ L. Valencia Palomo⁹⁸
 G. Ayala⁹⁹ H. Castilla-Valdez⁹⁹ I. Heredia-De La Cruz^{99,ccc} R. Lopez-Fernandez⁹⁹ C. A. Mondragon Herrera⁹⁹
 D. A. Perez Navarro⁹⁹ A. Sánchez Hernández⁹⁹ C. Oropeza Barrera¹⁰⁰ F. Vazquez Valencia¹⁰⁰ I. Pedraza¹⁰¹
 H. A. Salazar Ibarguen¹⁰¹ C. Uribe Estrada¹⁰¹ I. Bujanja¹⁰² J. Mijuskovic^{102,ddd} N. Raicevic¹⁰² D. Krofcheck¹⁰³
 A. Ahmad¹⁰⁴ M. I. Asghar¹⁰⁴ A. Awais¹⁰⁴ M. I. M. Awan¹⁰⁴ M. Gul¹⁰⁴ H. R. Hoorani¹⁰⁴ W. A. Khan¹⁰⁴
 V. Avati¹⁰⁵ L. Grzanka¹⁰⁵ M. Malawski¹⁰⁵ H. Bialkowska¹⁰⁶ M. Bluj¹⁰⁶ B. Boimska¹⁰⁶ M. Górski¹⁰⁶
 M. Kazana¹⁰⁶ M. Szleper¹⁰⁶ P. Zalewski¹⁰⁶ K. Bunkowski¹⁰⁷ K. Doroba¹⁰⁷ A. Kalinowski¹⁰⁷ M. Konecki¹⁰⁷
 J. Krolikowski¹⁰⁷ M. Araujo¹⁰⁸ D. Bastos¹⁰⁸ A. Boletti¹⁰⁸ P. Faccioli¹⁰⁸ M. Gallinaro¹⁰⁸ J. Hollar¹⁰⁸
 N. Leonardo¹⁰⁸ T. Niknejad¹⁰⁸ M. Pisano¹⁰⁸ J. Seixas¹⁰⁸ J. Varela¹⁰⁸ P. Adzic¹⁰⁹ P. Milenovic¹⁰⁹
 M. Dordevic¹¹⁰ J. Milosevic¹¹⁰ M. Aguilar-Benitez¹¹¹ J. Alcaraz Maestre¹¹¹ M. Barrio Luna¹¹¹
 Cristina F. Bedoya¹¹¹ M. Cepeda¹¹¹ M. Cerrada¹¹¹ N. Colino¹¹¹ B. De La Cruz¹¹¹ A. Delgado Peris¹¹¹
 D. Fernández Del Val¹¹¹ J. P. Fernández Ramos¹¹¹ J. Flix¹¹¹ M. C. Fouz¹¹¹ O. Gonzalez Lopez¹¹¹
 S. Goy Lopez¹¹¹ J. M. Hernandez¹¹¹ M. I. Josa¹¹¹ J. León Holgado¹¹¹ D. Moran¹¹¹ C. Perez Dengra¹¹¹
 A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo¹¹¹ J. Puerta Pelayo¹¹¹ I. Redondo¹¹¹ D. D. Redondo Ferrero¹¹¹ L. Romero¹¹¹
 S. Sánchez Navas¹¹¹ J. Sastre¹¹¹ L. Urda Gómez¹¹¹ J. Vazquez Escobar¹¹¹ C. Willmott¹¹¹ J. F. de Trocóniz¹¹²
 B. Alvarez Gonzalez¹¹³ J. Cuevas¹¹³ J. Fernandez Menendez¹¹³ S. Folgueras¹¹³ I. Gonzalez Caballero¹¹³

- J. R. González Fernández¹¹³ E. Palencia Cortezon¹¹³ C. Ramón Álvarez¹¹³ V. Rodríguez Bouza¹¹³
 A. Soto Rodríguez¹¹³ A. Trapote¹¹³ C. Vico Villalba¹¹³ J. A. Brochero Cifuentes¹¹⁴ I. J. Cabrillo¹¹⁴
 A. Calderon¹¹⁴ J. Duarte Campderros¹¹⁴ M. Fernandez¹¹⁴ C. Fernandez Madrazo¹¹⁴ G. Gomez¹¹⁴
 C. Lasasa García¹¹⁴ C. Martinez Rivero¹¹⁴ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol¹¹⁴ F. Matorras¹¹⁴ P. Matorras Cuevas¹¹⁴
 J. Piedra Gomez¹¹⁴ C. Prieels¹¹⁴ L. Scodellaro¹¹⁴ I. Vila¹¹⁴ J. M. Vizan Garcia¹¹⁴ M. K. Jayananda¹¹⁵
 B. Kailasapathy^{115,eee} D. U. J. Sonnadara¹¹⁵ D. D. C. Wickramaratna¹¹⁵ W. G. D. Dharmaratna¹¹⁶
 K. Liyanage¹¹⁶ N. Perera¹¹⁶ N. Wickramage¹¹⁶ D. Abbaneo¹¹⁷ E. Auffray¹¹⁷ G. Auzinger¹¹⁷ J. Baechler¹¹⁷
 D. Barney¹¹⁷ A. Bermúdez Martínez¹¹⁷ M. Bianco¹¹⁷ B. Bilin¹¹⁷ A. A. Bin Anuar¹¹⁷ A. Bocci¹¹⁷
 E. Brondolin¹¹⁷ C. Caillol¹¹⁷ T. Camporesi¹¹⁷ G. Cerminara¹¹⁷ N. Chernyavskaya¹¹⁷ S. S. Chhibra¹¹⁷
 S. Choudhury¹¹⁷ M. Cipriani¹¹⁷ D. d'Enterria¹¹⁷ A. Dabrowski¹¹⁷ A. David¹¹⁷ A. De Roeck¹¹⁷
 M. M. Defranchis¹¹⁷ M. Deile¹¹⁷ M. Dobson¹¹⁷ M. Dünser¹¹⁷ N. Dupont¹¹⁷ F. Fallavollita^{117,fff} A. Florent¹¹⁷
 L. Forthomme¹¹⁷ G. Franzoni¹¹⁷ W. Funk¹¹⁷ S. Ghosh^{117,ggg} S. Giani¹¹⁷ D. Gigi¹¹⁷ K. Gill¹¹⁷ F. Glege¹¹⁷
 L. Gouskos¹¹⁷ E. Govorkova¹¹⁷ M. Haranko¹¹⁷ J. Hegeman¹¹⁷ V. Innocente¹¹⁷ T. James¹¹⁷ P. Janot¹¹⁷
 J. Kaspar¹¹⁷ J. Kieseler¹¹⁷ N. Kratochwil¹¹⁷ S. Laurila¹¹⁷ P. Lecoq¹¹⁷ E. Leutgeb¹¹⁷ C. Lourenço¹¹⁷
 B. Maier¹¹⁷ L. Malgeri¹¹⁷ M. Mannelli¹¹⁷ A. C. Marini¹¹⁷ F. Meijers¹¹⁷ S. Mersi¹¹⁷ E. Meschi¹¹⁷
 F. Moortgat¹¹⁷ M. Mulders¹¹⁷ S. Orfanelli¹¹⁷ L. Orsini¹¹⁷ F. Pantaleo¹¹⁷ E. Perez¹¹⁷ M. Peruzzi¹¹⁷ A. Petrilli¹¹⁷
 G. Petrucciani¹¹⁷ A. Pfeiffer¹¹⁷ M. Pierini¹¹⁷ D. Piparo¹¹⁷ M. Pitt¹¹⁷ H. Qu¹¹⁷ T. Quast¹¹⁷ D. Rabady¹¹⁷
 A. Racz¹¹⁷ G. Reales Gutiérrez¹¹⁷ M. Rovere¹¹⁷ H. Sakulin¹¹⁷ J. Salfeld-Nebgen¹¹⁷ S. Scarfi¹¹⁷ M. Selvaggi¹¹⁷
 A. Sharma¹¹⁷ P. Silva¹¹⁷ P. Sphicas^{117,hhh} A. G. Stahl Leiton¹¹⁷ A. Steen¹¹⁷ S. Summers¹¹⁷ K. Tatar¹¹⁷
 D. Treille¹¹⁷ P. Tropea¹¹⁷ A. Tsiros¹¹⁷ D. Walter¹¹⁷ J. Wanczyk^{117,iii} K. A. Wozniak¹¹⁷ W. D. Zeuner¹¹⁷
 T. Bevilacqua^{118,iii} L. Caminada^{118,iii} A. Ebrahimi¹¹⁸ W. Erdmann¹¹⁸ R. Horisberger¹¹⁸ Q. Ingram¹¹⁸
 H. C. Kaestli¹¹⁸ D. Kotlinski¹¹⁸ C. Lange¹¹⁸ M. Missiroli^{118,iii} L. Noehte^{118,iii} T. Rohe¹¹⁸ T. K. Aarrestad¹¹⁹
 K. Androsov^{119,iii} M. Backhaus¹¹⁹ A. Calandri¹¹⁹ K. Datta¹¹⁹ A. De Cosa¹¹⁹ G. Dissertori¹¹⁹ M. Dittmar¹¹⁹
 M. Donegà¹¹⁹ F. Eble¹¹⁹ M. Galli¹¹⁹ K. Gedia¹¹⁹ F. Glessgen¹¹⁹ T. A. Gómez Espinosa¹¹⁹ C. Grab¹¹⁹
 D. Hits¹¹⁹ W. Lustermann¹¹⁹ A.-M. Lyon¹¹⁹ R. A. Manzoni¹¹⁹ L. Marchese¹¹⁹ C. Martin Perez¹¹⁹
 A. Mascellani^{119,iii} F. Nessi-Tedaldi¹¹⁹ J. Niedziela¹¹⁹ F. Pauss¹¹⁹ V. Perovic¹¹⁹ S. Pigazzini¹¹⁹
 M. G. Ratti¹¹⁹ M. Reichmann¹¹⁹ C. Reissel¹¹⁹ T. Reitenspiess¹¹⁹ B. Ristic¹¹⁹ F. Riti¹¹⁹ D. Ruini¹¹⁹
 D. A. Sanz Becerra¹¹⁹ R. Seidita¹¹⁹ J. Steggemann^{119,iii} D. Valsecchi¹¹⁹ R. Wallny¹¹⁹ C. Amsler^{120,kkk}
 P. Bärtshi¹²⁰ C. Botta¹²⁰ D. Brzhechko¹²⁰ M. F. Canelli¹²⁰ K. Cormier¹²⁰ A. De Wit¹²⁰ R. Del Burgo¹²⁰
 J. K. Heikkilä¹²⁰ M. Huwiler¹²⁰ W. Jin¹²⁰ A. Jofrehei¹²⁰ B. Kilminster¹²⁰ S. Leontsinis¹²⁰ S. P. Liechti¹²⁰
 A. Macchiolo¹²⁰ P. Meiring¹²⁰ V. M. Mikuni¹²⁰ U. Molinatti¹²⁰ I. Neutelings¹²⁰ A. Reimers¹²⁰ P. Robmann¹²⁰
 S. Sanchez Cruz¹²⁰ K. Schweiger¹²⁰ M. Senger¹²⁰ Y. Takahashi¹²⁰ C. Adloff^{121,iii} C. M. Kuo¹²¹ W. Lin¹²¹
 P. K. Rout¹²¹ P. C. Tiwari^{121,ii} S. S. Yu¹²¹ L. Ceard¹²² Y. Chao¹²² K. F. Chen¹²² P. s. Chen¹²² H. Cheng¹²²
 W.-S. Hou¹²² R. Khurana¹²² G. Kole¹²² Y. y. Li¹²² R.-S. Lu¹²² E. Paganis¹²² A. Psallidas¹²²
 J. Thomas-Wilsker¹²² H. y. Wu¹²² E. Yazgan¹²² C. Asawatangtrakuldee¹²³ N. Srimanobhas¹²³
 V. Wachirapusanand¹²³ D. Agyel¹²⁴ F. Boran¹²⁴ Z. S. Demiroglu¹²⁴ F. Dolek¹²⁴ I. Dumanoglu^{124,mmm}
 E. Eskut¹²⁴ Y. Guler^{124,nnn} E. Gurpinar Guler^{124,nnn} C. Isik¹²⁴ O. Kara¹²⁴ A. Kayis Topaksu¹²⁴ U. Kiminsu¹²⁴
 G. Onengut¹²⁴ K. Ozdemir^{124,ooo} A. Polatoz¹²⁴ B. Tali^{124,ppp} U. G. Tok¹²⁴ S. Turkcapar¹²⁴ E. Uslan¹²⁴
 I. S. Zorbakir¹²⁴ G. Karapinar^{125,qqq} K. Ocalan^{125,rrr} M. Yalvac^{125,sss} B. Akgun¹²⁶ I. O. Atakisi¹²⁶
 E. Gülmez¹²⁶ M. Kaya^{126,ttt} O. Kaya^{126,uuu} S. Tekten^{126,vvv} A. Cakir¹²⁷ K. Cankocak^{127,mmm} Y. Komurcu¹²⁷
 S. Sen^{127,www} O. Aydilek¹²⁸ S. Cerci^{128,ppp} V. Epshteyn¹²⁸ B. Haciasahinoglu¹²⁸ I. Hos^{128,xxx} B. Isildak^{128,yyy}
 B. Kaynak¹²⁸ S. Ozkorucuklu¹²⁸ C. Simsek¹²⁸ D. Sunar Cerci^{128,ppp} B. Grynyov¹²⁹ L. Levchuk¹³⁰
 D. Anthony¹³¹ J. J. Brooke¹³¹ A. Bundock¹³¹ E. Clement¹³¹ D. Cussans¹³¹ H. Flacher¹³¹ M. Glowacki¹³¹
 J. Goldstein¹³¹ H. F. Heath¹³¹ L. Kreczko¹³¹ B. Krikler¹³¹ S. Paramesvaran¹³¹ S. Seif El Nasr-Storey¹³¹
 V. J. Smith¹³¹ N. Stylianou^{131,zzz} K. Walkingshaw Pass¹³¹ R. White¹³¹ A. H. Ball¹³² K. W. Bell¹³²
 A. Belyaev^{132,aaaa} C. Brew¹³² R. M. Brown¹³² D. J. A. Cockerill¹³² C. Cooke¹³² K. V. Ellis¹³² K. Harder¹³²
 S. Harper¹³² M.-L. Holmberg^{132,bbbb} Sh. Jain¹³² J. Linacre¹³² K. Manolopoulos¹³² D. M. Newbold¹³²
 E. Olaiya¹³² D. Petyt¹³² T. Reis¹³² G. Salvi¹³² T. Schuh¹³² C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous¹³² I. R. Tomalin¹³²
 T. Williams¹³² R. Bainbridge¹³³ P. Bloch¹³³ J. Borg¹³³ C. E. Brown¹³³ O. Buchmuller¹³³ V. Cacchio¹³³

C. A. Carrillo Montoya¹³³, V. Cepaitis¹³³, G. S. Chahal^{133,cccc}, D. Colling¹³³, J. S. Dancu¹³³, P. Dauncey¹³³,
 G. Davies¹³³, J. Davies¹³³, M. Della Negra¹³³, S. Fayer¹³³, G. Fedi¹³³, G. Hall¹³³, M. H. Hassanshahi¹³³,
 A. Howard¹³³, G. Iles¹³³, J. Langford¹³³, L. Lyons¹³³, A.-M. Magnan¹³³, S. Malik¹³³, A. Martelli¹³³,
 M. Mieskolainen¹³³, J. Nash^{133,dddd}, M. Pesaresi¹³³, B. C. Radburn-Smith¹³³, A. Richards¹³³, A. Rose¹³³,
 C. Seez¹³³, R. Shukla¹³³, A. Tapper¹³³, K. Uchida¹³³, G. P. Uttley¹³³, L. H. Vage¹³³, T. Virdee^{133,aa},
 M. Vojinovic¹³³, N. Wardle¹³³, S. N. Webb¹³³, D. Winterbottom¹³³, K. Coldham¹³⁴, J. E. Cole¹³⁴, A. Khan¹³⁴,
 P. Kyberd¹³⁴, I. D. Reid¹³⁴, S. Abdullin¹³⁵, A. Brinkerhoff¹³⁵, B. Caraway¹³⁵, J. Dittmann¹³⁵, K. Hatakeyama¹³⁵,
 J. Hiltbrand¹³⁵, A. R. Kanuganti¹³⁵, B. McMaster¹³⁵, M. Saunders¹³⁵, S. Sawant¹³⁵, C. Sutantawibul¹³⁵,
 M. Toms¹³⁵, J. Wilson¹³⁵, R. Bartek¹³⁶, A. Dominguez¹³⁶, C. Huerta Escamilla¹³⁶, A. E. Simsek¹³⁶, R. Uniyal¹³⁶,
 A. M. Vargas Hernandez¹³⁶, R. Chudasama¹³⁷, S. I. Cooper¹³⁷, D. Di Croce¹³⁷, S. V. Gleyzer¹³⁷, C. U. Perez¹³⁷,
 P. Rumerio^{137,eeee}, E. Usai¹³⁷, C. West¹³⁷, A. Akpinar¹³⁸, A. Albert¹³⁸, D. Arcaro¹³⁸, C. Cosby¹³⁸,
 Z. Demiragli¹³⁸, C. Erice¹³⁸, E. Fontanesi¹³⁸, D. Gastler¹³⁸, S. May¹³⁸, J. Rohlf¹³⁸, K. Salyer¹³⁸, D. Sperka¹³⁸,
 D. Spitzbart¹³⁸, I. Suarez¹³⁸, A. Tsatsos¹³⁸, S. Yuan¹³⁸, G. Benelli¹³⁹, X. Coubez^{139,v}, D. Cutts¹³⁹, M. Hadley¹³⁹,
 U. Heintz¹³⁹, J. M. Hogan^{139,ffff}, T. Kwon¹³⁹, G. Landsberg¹³⁹, K. T. Lau¹³⁹, D. Li¹³⁹, J. Luo¹³⁹, M. Narain¹³⁹,
 N. Pervan¹³⁹, S. Sagir^{139,gggg}, F. Simpson¹³⁹, W. Y. Wong¹³⁹, X. Yan¹³⁹, D. Yu¹³⁹, W. Zhang¹³⁹, S. Abbott¹⁴⁰,
 J. Bonilla¹⁴⁰, C. Brainerd¹⁴⁰, R. Breedon¹⁴⁰, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez¹⁴⁰, M. Chertok¹⁴⁰, J. Conway¹⁴⁰,
 P. T. Cox¹⁴⁰, R. Erbacher¹⁴⁰, G. Haza¹⁴⁰, F. Jensen¹⁴⁰, O. Kukral¹⁴⁰, G. Mocellin¹⁴⁰, M. Mulhearn¹⁴⁰,
 D. Pellett¹⁴⁰, B. Regnery¹⁴⁰, W. Wei¹⁴⁰, Y. Yao¹⁴⁰, F. Zhang¹⁴⁰, M. Bachtis¹⁴¹, R. Cousins¹⁴¹, A. Datta¹⁴¹,
 J. Hauser¹⁴¹, M. Ignatenko¹⁴¹, M. A. Iqbal¹⁴¹, T. Lam¹⁴¹, E. Manca¹⁴¹, W. A. Nash¹⁴¹, D. Saltzberg¹⁴¹,
 B. Stone¹⁴¹, V. Valuev¹⁴¹, R. Clare¹⁴², J. W. Gary¹⁴², M. Gordon¹⁴², G. Hanson¹⁴², O. R. Long¹⁴², W. Si¹⁴²,
 S. Wimpenny¹⁴², J. G. Branson¹⁴³, S. Cittolin¹⁴³, S. Cooperstein¹⁴³, D. Diaz¹⁴³, J. Duarte¹⁴³, R. Gerosa¹⁴³,
 L. Giannini¹⁴³, J. Guiang¹⁴³, R. Kansal¹⁴³, V. Krutelyov¹⁴³, R. Lee¹⁴³, J. Letts¹⁴³, M. Masciovecchio¹⁴³,
 F. Mokhtar¹⁴³, M. Pieri¹⁴³, M. Quinnan¹⁴³, B. V. Sathia Narayanan¹⁴³, V. Sharma¹⁴³, M. Tadel¹⁴³,
 E. Vourliotis¹⁴³, F. Würthwein¹⁴³, Y. Xiang¹⁴³, A. Yagil¹⁴³, L. Brennan¹⁴⁴, C. Campagnari¹⁴⁴, M. Citron¹⁴⁴,
 G. Collura¹⁴⁴, A. Dorsett¹⁴⁴, J. Incandela¹⁴⁴, M. Kilpatrick¹⁴⁴, J. Kim¹⁴⁴, A. J. Li¹⁴⁴, P. Masterson¹⁴⁴,
 H. Mei¹⁴⁴, M. Oshiro¹⁴⁴, J. Richman¹⁴⁴, U. Sarica¹⁴⁴, R. Schmitz¹⁴⁴, F. Setti¹⁴⁴, J. Shephlock¹⁴⁴, P. Siddireddy¹⁴⁴,
 D. Stuart¹⁴⁴, S. Wang¹⁴⁴, A. Bornheim¹⁴⁵, O. Cerri¹⁴⁵, A. Latorre¹⁴⁵, J. M. Lawhorn¹⁴⁵, J. Mao¹⁴⁵,
 H. B. Newman¹⁴⁵, T. Q. Nguyen¹⁴⁵, M. Spiropulu¹⁴⁵, J. R. Vlimant¹⁴⁵, C. Wang¹⁴⁵, S. Xie¹⁴⁵, R. Y. Zhu¹⁴⁵,
 J. Alison¹⁴⁶, S. An¹⁴⁶, M. B. Andrews¹⁴⁶, P. Bryant¹⁴⁶, V. Dutta¹⁴⁶, T. Ferguson¹⁴⁶, A. Harilal¹⁴⁶, C. Liu¹⁴⁶,
 T. Mudholkar¹⁴⁶, S. Murthy¹⁴⁶, M. Paulini¹⁴⁶, A. Roberts¹⁴⁶, A. Sanchez¹⁴⁶, W. Terrill¹⁴⁶, J. P. Cumalat¹⁴⁷,
 W. T. Ford¹⁴⁷, A. Hassani¹⁴⁷, G. Karathanasis¹⁴⁷, E. MacDonald¹⁴⁷, N. Manganelli¹⁴⁷, F. Marini¹⁴⁷, A. Perloff¹⁴⁷,
 C. Savard¹⁴⁷, N. Schonbeck¹⁴⁷, K. Stenson¹⁴⁷, K. A. Ulmer¹⁴⁷, S. R. Wagner¹⁴⁷, N. Zipper¹⁴⁷, J. Alexander¹⁴⁸,
 S. Bright-Thonney¹⁴⁸, X. Chen¹⁴⁸, D. J. Cranshaw¹⁴⁸, J. Fan¹⁴⁸, X. Fan¹⁴⁸, D. Gadkari¹⁴⁸, S. Hogan¹⁴⁸,
 J. Monroy¹⁴⁸, J. R. Patterson¹⁴⁸, J. Reichert¹⁴⁸, M. Reid¹⁴⁸, A. Ryd¹⁴⁸, J. Thom¹⁴⁸, P. Wittich¹⁴⁸, R. Zou¹⁴⁸,
 M. Albrow¹⁴⁹, M. Alyari¹⁴⁹, O. Amram¹⁴⁹, G. Apollinari¹⁴⁹, A. Apresyan¹⁴⁹, L. A. T. Bauerdick¹⁴⁹, D. Berry¹⁴⁹,
 J. Berryhill¹⁴⁹, P. C. Bhat¹⁴⁹, K. Burkett¹⁴⁹, J. N. Butler¹⁴⁹, A. Canepa¹⁴⁹, G. B. Cerati¹⁴⁹, H. W. K. Cheung¹⁴⁹,
 F. Chlebana¹⁴⁹, K. F. Di Petrillo¹⁴⁹, J. Dickinson¹⁴⁹, I. Dutta¹⁴⁹, V. D. Elvira¹⁴⁹, Y. Feng¹⁴⁹, J. Freeman¹⁴⁹,
 A. Gandrakota¹⁴⁹, Z. Gecse¹⁴⁹, L. Gray¹⁴⁹, D. Green¹⁴⁹, S. Grünendahl¹⁴⁹, D. Guerrero¹⁴⁹, O. Gutsche¹⁴⁹,
 R. M. Harris¹⁴⁹, R. Heller¹⁴⁹, T. C. Herwig¹⁴⁹, J. Hirschauer¹⁴⁹, L. Horyn¹⁴⁹, B. Jayatilaka¹⁴⁹, S. Jindariani¹⁴⁹,
 M. Johnson¹⁴⁹, U. Joshi¹⁴⁹, T. Klijnsma¹⁴⁹, B. Klima¹⁴⁹, K. H. M. Kwok¹⁴⁹, S. Lammel¹⁴⁹, D. Lincoln¹⁴⁹,
 R. Lipton¹⁴⁹, T. Liu¹⁴⁹, C. Madrid¹⁴⁹, K. Maeshima¹⁴⁹, C. Mantilla¹⁴⁹, D. Mason¹⁴⁹, P. McBride¹⁴⁹,
 P. Merkel¹⁴⁹, S. Mrenna¹⁴⁹, S. Nahn¹⁴⁹, J. Ngadiuba¹⁴⁹, D. Noonan¹⁴⁹, S. Norberg¹⁴⁹, V. Papadimitriou¹⁴⁹,
 N. Pastika¹⁴⁹, K. Pedro¹⁴⁹, C. Pena^{149,hhhh}, F. Ravera¹⁴⁹, A. Reinsvold Hall^{149,iiiii}, L. Ristori¹⁴⁹,
 E. Sexton-Kennedy¹⁴⁹, N. Smith¹⁴⁹, A. Soha¹⁴⁹, L. Spiegel¹⁴⁹, S. Stoynev¹⁴⁹, J. Strait¹⁴⁹, L. Taylor¹⁴⁹,
 S. Tkaczyk¹⁴⁹, N. V. Tran¹⁴⁹, L. Uplegger¹⁴⁹, E. W. Vaandering¹⁴⁹, I. Zoi¹⁴⁹, P. Avery¹⁵⁰, D. Bourilkov¹⁵⁰,
 L. Cadamuro¹⁵⁰, P. Chang¹⁵⁰, V. Cherepanov¹⁵⁰, R. D. Field¹⁵⁰, E. Koenig¹⁵⁰, M. Kolosova¹⁵⁰, J. Konigsberg¹⁵⁰,
 A. Korytov¹⁵⁰, E. Kuznetsova¹⁵⁰, K. H. Lo¹⁵⁰, K. Matchev¹⁵⁰, N. Menendez¹⁵⁰, G. Mitselmakher¹⁵⁰,
 A. Muthirakalayil Madhu¹⁵⁰, N. Rawal¹⁵⁰, D. Rosenzweig¹⁵⁰, S. Rosenzweig¹⁵⁰, K. Shi¹⁵⁰, J. Wang¹⁵⁰,
 Z. Wu¹⁵⁰, T. Adams¹⁵¹, A. Askew¹⁵¹, N. Bower¹⁵¹, R. Habibullah¹⁵¹, V. Hagopian¹⁵¹, T. Kolberg¹⁵¹

- G. Martinez,¹⁵¹ H. Prosper,¹⁵¹ O. Viazlo,¹⁵¹ M. Wulansatiti,¹⁵¹ R. Yohay,¹⁵¹ J. Zhang,¹⁵¹ M. M. Baarmand,¹⁵²
 S. Butalla,¹⁵² T. Elkafrawy,^{152,zz} M. Hohlmann,¹⁵² R. Kumar Verma,¹⁵² M. Rahmani,¹⁵² F. Yumiceva,¹⁵²
 M. R. Adams,¹⁵³ R. Cavanaugh,¹⁵³ S. Dittmer,¹⁵³ O. Evdokimov,¹⁵³ C. E. Gerber,¹⁵³ D. J. Hofman,¹⁵³
 D. S. Lemos,¹⁵³ A. H. Merrit,¹⁵³ C. Mills,¹⁵³ G. Oh,¹⁵³ T. Roy,¹⁵³ S. Rudrabhatla,¹⁵³ M. B. Tonjes,¹⁵³
 N. Varelas,¹⁵³ X. Wang,¹⁵³ Z. Ye,¹⁵³ J. Yoo,¹⁵³ M. Alhusseini,¹⁵⁴ K. Dilsiz,^{154,jiji} L. Emediato,¹⁵⁴
 G. Karaman,¹⁵⁴ O. K. Köseyan,¹⁵⁴ J.-P. Merlo,¹⁵⁴ A. Mestvirishvili,^{154,kkkk} J. Nachtman,¹⁵⁴ O. Neogi,¹⁵⁴
 H. Ogul,^{154,llll} Y. Onel,¹⁵⁴ A. Penzo,¹⁵⁴ C. Snyder,¹⁵⁴ E. Tiras,^{154,mmmm} B. Blumenfeld,¹⁵⁵ L. Corcodilos,¹⁵⁵
 J. Davis,¹⁵⁵ A. V. Gritsan,¹⁵⁵ S. Kyriacou,¹⁵⁵ P. Maksimovic,¹⁵⁵ J. Roskes,¹⁵⁵ S. Sekhar,¹⁵⁵ M. Swartz,¹⁵⁵
 T. Á. Vami,¹⁵⁵ A. Abreu,¹⁵⁶ L. F. Alcerro Alcerro,¹⁵⁶ J. Anguiano,¹⁵⁶ P. Baringer,¹⁵⁶ A. Bean,¹⁵⁶ Z. Flowers,¹⁵⁶
 J. King,¹⁵⁶ G. Krintiras,¹⁵⁶ M. Lazarovits,¹⁵⁶ C. Le Mahieu,¹⁵⁶ C. Lindsey,¹⁵⁶ J. Marquez,¹⁵⁶ N. Minafra,¹⁵⁶
 M. Murray,¹⁵⁶ M. Nickel,¹⁵⁶ C. Rogan,¹⁵⁶ C. Royon,¹⁵⁶ R. Salvatico,¹⁵⁶ S. Sanders,¹⁵⁶ C. Smith,¹⁵⁶
 Q. Wang,¹⁵⁶ G. Wilson,¹⁵⁶ B. Allmond,¹⁵⁷ S. Duric,¹⁵⁷ A. Ivanov,¹⁵⁷ K. Kaadze,¹⁵⁷ A. Kalogeropoulos,¹⁵⁷
 D. Kim,¹⁵⁷ Y. Maravin,¹⁵⁷ T. Mitchell,¹⁵⁷ A. Modak,¹⁵⁷ K. Nam,¹⁵⁷ J. Natoli,¹⁵⁷ D. Roy,¹⁵⁷ F. Rebassoo,¹⁵⁸
 D. Wright,¹⁵⁸ E. Adams,¹⁵⁹ A. Baden,¹⁵⁹ O. Baron,¹⁵⁹ A. Belloni,¹⁵⁹ A. Bethani,¹⁵⁹ Y. m. Chen,¹⁵⁹ S. C. Eno,¹⁵⁹
 N. J. Hadley,¹⁵⁹ S. Jabeen,¹⁵⁹ R. G. Kellogg,¹⁵⁹ T. Koeth,¹⁵⁹ Y. Lai,¹⁵⁹ S. Lascio,¹⁵⁹ A. C. Mignerey,¹⁵⁹
 S. Nabili,¹⁵⁹ C. Palmer,¹⁵⁹ C. Papageorgakis,¹⁵⁹ L. Wang,¹⁵⁹ K. Wong,¹⁵⁹ J. Bendavid,¹⁶⁰ W. Busza,¹⁶⁰
 I. A. Cali,¹⁶⁰ Y. Chen,¹⁶⁰ M. D'Alfonso,¹⁶⁰ J. Eysermans,¹⁶⁰ C. Freer,¹⁶⁰ G. Gomez-Ceballos,¹⁶⁰
 M. Goncharov,¹⁶⁰ P. Harris,¹⁶⁰ D. Hoang,¹⁶⁰ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁶⁰ J. Krupa,¹⁶⁰ Y.-J. Lee,¹⁶⁰ K. Long,¹⁶⁰ C. Mironov,¹⁶⁰
 C. Paus,¹⁶⁰ D. Rankin,¹⁶⁰ C. Roland,¹⁶⁰ G. Roland,¹⁶⁰ Z. Shi,¹⁶⁰ G. S. F. Stephans,¹⁶⁰ J. Wang,¹⁶⁰ Z. Wang,¹⁶⁰
 B. Wyslouch,¹⁶⁰ T. J. Yang,¹⁶⁰ R. M. Chatterjee,¹⁶¹ B. Crossman,¹⁶¹ B. M. Joshi,¹⁶¹ C. Kapsiak,¹⁶¹ M. Krohn,¹⁶¹
 Y. Kubota,¹⁶¹ D. Mahon,¹⁶¹ J. Mans,¹⁶¹ M. Revering,¹⁶¹ R. Rusack,¹⁶¹ R. Saradhy,¹⁶¹ N. Schroeder,¹⁶¹
 N. Strobbe,¹⁶¹ M. A. Wadud,¹⁶¹ L. M. Cremaldi,¹⁶² K. Bloom,¹⁶³ M. Bryson,¹⁶³ D. R. Claes,¹⁶³ C. Fangmeier,¹⁶³
 F. Golf,¹⁶³ C. Joo,¹⁶³ I. Kravchenko,¹⁶³ I. Reed,¹⁶³ J. E. Siado,¹⁶³ G. R. Snow,^{163,a} W. Tabb,¹⁶³ A. Wightman,¹⁶³
 F. Yan,¹⁶³ A. G. Zecchinelli,¹⁶³ G. Agarwal,¹⁶⁴ H. Bandyopadhyay,¹⁶⁴ L. Hay,¹⁶⁴ I. Iashvili,¹⁶⁴
 A. Kharchilava,¹⁶⁴ C. McLean,¹⁶⁴ M. Morris,¹⁶⁴ D. Nguyen,¹⁶⁴ J. Pekkanen,¹⁶⁴ S. Rappoccio,¹⁶⁴ H. Rejeb Sfar,¹⁶⁴
 A. Williams,¹⁶⁴ G. Alverson,¹⁶⁵ E. Barberis,¹⁶⁵ Y. Haddad,¹⁶⁵ Y. Han,¹⁶⁵ A. Krishna,¹⁶⁵ J. Li,¹⁶⁵
 G. Madigan,¹⁶⁵ B. Marzocchi,¹⁶⁵ D. M. Morse,¹⁶⁵ V. Nguyen,¹⁶⁵ T. Orimoto,¹⁶⁵ A. Parker,¹⁶⁵ L. Skinnari,¹⁶⁵
 A. Tishelman-Charny,¹⁶⁵ B. Wang,¹⁶⁵ D. Wood,¹⁶⁵ S. Bhattacharya,¹⁶⁶ J. Bueghly,¹⁶⁶ Z. Chen,¹⁶⁶ A. Gilbert,¹⁶⁶
 K. A. Hahn,¹⁶⁶ Y. Liu,¹⁶⁶ D. G. Monk,¹⁶⁶ N. Odell,¹⁶⁶ M. H. Schmitt,¹⁶⁶ A. Taliercio,¹⁶⁶ M. Velasco,¹⁶⁶
 R. Band,¹⁶⁷ R. Bucci,¹⁶⁷ M. Cremonesi,¹⁶⁷ A. Das,¹⁶⁷ R. Goldouzian,¹⁶⁷ M. Hildreth,¹⁶⁷ K. Hurtado Anampa,¹⁶⁷
 C. Jessop,¹⁶⁷ K. Lannon,¹⁶⁷ J. Lawrence,¹⁶⁷ N. Loukas,¹⁶⁷ L. Lutton,¹⁶⁷ J. Mariano,¹⁶⁷ N. Marinelli,¹⁶⁷
 I. Mcalister,¹⁶⁷ T. McCauley,¹⁶⁷ C. Mcgrady,¹⁶⁷ K. Mohrman,¹⁶⁷ C. Moore,¹⁶⁷ Y. Musienko,^{167,m} R. Ruchti,¹⁶⁷
 A. Townsend,¹⁶⁷ M. Wayne,¹⁶⁷ H. Yockey,¹⁶⁷ M. Zarucki,¹⁶⁷ L. Zygala,¹⁶⁷ B. Bylsma,¹⁶⁸ M. Carrigan,¹⁶⁸
 L. S. Durkin,¹⁶⁸ C. Hill,¹⁶⁸ M. Joyce,¹⁶⁸ A. Lesauvage,¹⁶⁸ M. Nunez Ornelas,¹⁶⁸ K. Wei,¹⁶⁸ B. L. Winer,¹⁶⁸
 B. R. Yates,¹⁶⁸ F. M. Addesa,¹⁶⁹ H. Bouchamaoui,¹⁶⁹ P. Das,¹⁶⁹ G. Dezoort,¹⁶⁹ P. Elmer,¹⁶⁹ A. Frankenthal,¹⁶⁹
 B. Greenberg,¹⁶⁹ N. Haubrich,¹⁶⁹ S. Higginbotham,¹⁶⁹ G. Kopp,¹⁶⁹ S. Kwan,¹⁶⁹ D. Lange,¹⁶⁹ A. Loeliger,¹⁶⁹
 D. Marlow,¹⁶⁹ I. Ojalvo,¹⁶⁹ J. Olsen,¹⁶⁹ D. Stickland,¹⁶⁹ C. Tully,¹⁶⁹ S. Malik,¹⁷⁰ A. S. Bakshi,¹⁷¹
 V. E. Barnes,¹⁷¹ S. Chandra,¹⁷¹ R. Chawla,¹⁷¹ S. Das,¹⁷¹ A. Gu,¹⁷¹ L. Gutay,¹⁷¹ M. Jones,¹⁷¹ A. W. Jung,¹⁷¹
 D. Kondratyev,¹⁷¹ A. M. Koshy,¹⁷¹ M. Liu,¹⁷¹ G. Negro,¹⁷¹ N. Neumeister,¹⁷¹ G. Paspalaki,¹⁷¹ S. Piperov,¹⁷¹
 A. Purohit,¹⁷¹ J. F. Schulte,¹⁷¹ M. Stojanovic,¹⁷¹ J. Thieman,¹⁷¹ A. K. Viridi,¹⁷¹ F. Wang,¹⁷¹ R. Xiao,¹⁷¹
 W. Xie,¹⁷¹ J. Dolen,¹⁷² N. Parashar,¹⁷² D. Acosta,¹⁷³ A. Baty,¹⁷³ T. Carnahan,¹⁷³ S. Dildick,¹⁷³
 K. M. Ecklund,¹⁷³ P. J. Fernández Manteca,¹⁷³ S. Freed,¹⁷³ P. Gardner,¹⁷³ F. J. M. Geurts,¹⁷³ A. Kumar,¹⁷³ W. Li,¹⁷³
 J. Lin,¹⁷³ O. Miguel Colin,¹⁷³ B. P. Padley,¹⁷³ R. Redjimi,¹⁷³ J. Rotter,¹⁷³ S. Yang,^{173,nnnn} Z. Ye,¹⁷³
 E. Yigitbasi,¹⁷³ Y. Zhang,¹⁷³ A. Bodek,¹⁷⁴ P. de Barbaro,¹⁷⁴ R. Demina,¹⁷⁴ J. L. Dulemba,¹⁷⁴ C. Fallon,¹⁷⁴
 A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁷⁴ O. Hindrichs,¹⁷⁴ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁷⁴ P. Parygin,¹⁷⁴ E. Popova,¹⁷⁴ R. Taus,¹⁷⁴
 G. P. Van Onsem,¹⁷⁴ K. Goulianos,¹⁷⁵ B. Chiarito,¹⁷⁶ J. P. Chou,¹⁷⁶ Y. Gershtein,¹⁷⁶ E. Halkiadakis,¹⁷⁶ A. Hart,¹⁷⁶
 M. Heindl,¹⁷⁶ D. Jaroslawski,¹⁷⁶ O. Karacheban,^{176,y} I. Laflotte,¹⁷⁶ A. Lath,¹⁷⁶ R. Montalvo,¹⁷⁶ K. Nash,¹⁷⁶
 M. Osherson,¹⁷⁶ H. Routray,¹⁷⁶ S. Salur,¹⁷⁶ S. Schnetzer,¹⁷⁶ S. Somalwar,¹⁷⁶ R. Stone,¹⁷⁶ S. A. Thayil,¹⁷⁶
 S. Thomas,¹⁷⁶ J. Vora,¹⁷⁶ H. Wang,¹⁷⁶ H. Acharya,¹⁷⁷ A. G. Delannoy,¹⁷⁷ S. Fiorendi,¹⁷⁷ T. Holmes,¹⁷⁷

E. Nibigira¹⁷⁷, S. Spanier¹⁷⁷, M. Ahmad¹⁷⁸, O. Bouhali^{178,0000}, M. Dalchenko¹⁷⁸, A. Delgado¹⁷⁸, R. Eusebi¹⁷⁸,
 J. Gilmore¹⁷⁸, T. Huang¹⁷⁸, T. Kamon^{178,pppp}, H. Kim¹⁷⁸, S. Luo¹⁷⁸, S. Malhotra¹⁷⁸, R. Mueller¹⁷⁸,
 D. Overton¹⁷⁸, D. Rathjens¹⁷⁸, A. Safonov¹⁷⁸, N. Akchurin¹⁷⁹, J. Damgov¹⁷⁹, V. Hegde¹⁷⁹, K. Lamichhane¹⁷⁹,
 S. W. Lee¹⁷⁹, T. Mengke¹⁷⁹, S. Muthumuni¹⁷⁹, T. Peltola¹⁷⁹, I. Volobouev¹⁷⁹, A. Whitbeck¹⁷⁹, E. Appelt¹⁸⁰,
 S. Greene¹⁸⁰, A. Gurrola¹⁸⁰, W. Johns¹⁸⁰, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli¹⁸⁰, A. Melo¹⁸⁰, F. Romeo¹⁸⁰, P. Sheldon¹⁸⁰,
 S. Tuo¹⁸⁰, J. Velkovska¹⁸⁰, J. Viinikainen¹⁸⁰, B. Cardwell¹⁸¹, B. Cox¹⁸¹, G. Cummings¹⁸¹, J. Hakala¹⁸¹,
 R. Hirosky¹⁸¹, A. Ledovskoy¹⁸¹, A. Li¹⁸¹, C. Neu¹⁸¹, C. E. Perez Lara¹⁸¹, P. E. Karchin¹⁸², A. Aravind¹⁸³,
 S. Banerjee¹⁸³, K. Black¹⁸³, T. Bose¹⁸³, S. Dasu¹⁸³, I. De Bruyn¹⁸³, P. Everaerts¹⁸³, C. Galloni¹⁸³, H. He¹⁸³,
 M. Herndon¹⁸³, A. Herve¹⁸³, C. K. Koraka¹⁸³, A. Lanaro¹⁸³, R. Loveless¹⁸³, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala¹⁸³,
 A. Mallampalli¹⁸³, A. Mohammadi¹⁸³, S. Mondal¹⁸³, G. Parida¹⁸³, D. Pinna¹⁸³, A. Savin¹⁸³, V. Shang¹⁸³,
 V. Sharma¹⁸³, W. H. Smith¹⁸³, D. Teague¹⁸³, H. F. Tsoi¹⁸³, W. Vetens¹⁸³, A. Warden¹⁸³, S. Afanasiev¹⁸⁴,
 V. Andreev¹⁸⁴, Yu. Andreev¹⁸⁴, T. Aushev¹⁸⁴, M. Azarkin¹⁸⁴, A. Babaev¹⁸⁴, A. Belyaev¹⁸⁴, V. Blinov^{184,m},
 E. Boos¹⁸⁴, V. Borshch¹⁸⁴, D. Budkouski¹⁸⁴, V. Chekhovsky¹⁸⁴, R. Chistov^{184,m}, M. Danilov^{184,m},
 A. Dermenev¹⁸⁴, T. Dimova^{184,m}, I. Dremin¹⁸⁴, A. Ershov¹⁸⁴, G. Gavrillov¹⁸⁴, V. Gavrillov¹⁸⁴, S. Gninenko¹⁸⁴,
 V. Golovtsov¹⁸⁴, N. Golubev¹⁸⁴, I. Golutvin¹⁸⁴, I. Gorbunov¹⁸⁴, A. Gribushin¹⁸⁴, Y. Ivanov¹⁸⁴, V. Kachanov¹⁸⁴,
 A. Kaminskiy¹⁸⁴, L. Kardapoltsev^{184,m}, V. Karjavine¹⁸⁴, A. Karneyeu¹⁸⁴, L. Khein¹⁸⁴, V. Kim^{184,m}, M. Kirakosyan¹⁸⁴,
 D. Kirpichnikov¹⁸⁴, M. Kirsanov¹⁸⁴, O. Kodolova^{184,qqqq}, D. Konstantinov¹⁸⁴, V. Korenkov¹⁸⁴, V. Korotkiikh¹⁸⁴,
 A. Kozyrev^{184,m}, N. Krasnikov¹⁸⁴, A. Lanev¹⁸⁴, P. Levchenko^{184,rrrr}, A. Litomin¹⁸⁴, N. Lychkovskaya¹⁸⁴,
 V. Makarenko¹⁸⁴, A. Malakhov¹⁸⁴, V. Matveev^{184,m}, V. Murzin¹⁸⁴, A. Nikitenko^{184,qqqq,ssss}, S. Obratsov¹⁸⁴,
 I. Ovtin^{184,m}, V. Palichik¹⁸⁴, V. Perelygin¹⁸⁴, S. Petrushanko¹⁸⁴, S. Polikarpov^{184,m}, V. Popov¹⁸⁴,
 O. Radchenko^{184,m}, M. Savina¹⁸⁴, V. Savrin¹⁸⁴, D. Selivanova¹⁸⁴, V. Shalaev¹⁸⁴, S. Shmatov¹⁸⁴, S. Shulha¹⁸⁴,
 Y. Skovpen^{184,m}, S. Slabospitskii¹⁸⁴, V. Smirnov¹⁸⁴, A. Snigirev¹⁸⁴, D. Sosnov¹⁸⁴, V. Sulimov¹⁸⁴,
 E. Tcherniaev¹⁸⁴, A. Terkulov¹⁸⁴, O. Teryaev¹⁸⁴, I. Tlisova¹⁸⁴, A. Toropin¹⁸⁴, L. Uvarov¹⁸⁴, A. Uzunian¹⁸⁴,
 I. Vardanyan¹⁸⁴, A. Vorobyev^{184,a}, N. Voytishin¹⁸⁴, B. S. Yuldashev^{184,tttt}, A. Zarubin¹⁸⁴,
 I. Zhizhin¹⁸⁴, and A. Zhokin¹⁸⁴

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

²Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

³Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁴Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

⁵Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

⁶Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁷Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

⁸Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁹Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹⁰Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

¹¹Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹²University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹³Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

¹⁴Beihang University, Beijing, China

¹⁵Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

¹⁶Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

¹⁷State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

¹⁸Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

¹⁹University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

²⁰Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and
 Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

²¹Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

²²Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

²³Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

²⁴University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

- ²⁵*University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia*
- ²⁶*Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia*
- ²⁷*University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus*
- ²⁸*Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*
- ²⁹*Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador*
- ³⁰*Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador*
- ³¹*Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt,
Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt*
- ³²*Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt*
- ³³*National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia*
- ³⁴*Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*
- ³⁵*Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland*
- ³⁶*Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland*
- ³⁷*IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
- ³⁸*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France*
- ³⁹*Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France*
- ⁴⁰*Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France*
- ⁴¹*Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
- ⁴²*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany*
- ⁴³*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany*
- ⁴⁴*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany*
- ⁴⁵*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany*
- ⁴⁶*University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany*
- ⁴⁷*Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany*
- ⁴⁸*Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece*
- ⁴⁹*National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
- ⁵⁰*National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece*
- ⁵¹*University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece*
- ⁵²*MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary*
- ⁵³*Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
- ⁵⁴*Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary*
- ⁵⁵*Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary*
- ⁵⁶*Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary*
- ⁵⁷*Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
- ⁵⁸*University of Delhi, Delhi, India*
- ⁵⁹*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India*
- ⁶⁰*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India*
- ⁶¹*Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India*
- ⁶²*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India*
- ⁶³*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India*
- ⁶⁴*National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute,
Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India*
- ⁶⁵*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India*
- ⁶⁶*Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran*
- ⁶⁷*Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*
- ⁶⁸*University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
- ^{69a}*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{69b}*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{69c}*Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{70a}*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ^{70b}*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ^{71a}*INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- ^{71b}*Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- ^{72a}*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ^{72b}*Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ⁷³*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- ^{74a}*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ^{74b}*Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ^{75a}*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
- ^{75b}*Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*

- ^{76a}*INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
^{76b}*Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy*
^{76c}*Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
^{76d}*Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy*
^{77a}*INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
^{77b}*Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
^{77c}*Università di Trento, Trento, Italy*
^{78a}*INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
^{78b}*Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
^{79a}*INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
^{79b}*Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
^{80a}*INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{80b}*Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{80c}*Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
^{80d}*Università di Siena, Siena, Italy*
^{81a}*INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy*
^{81b}*Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy*
^{82a}*INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*
^{82b}*Università di Torino, Torino, Italy*
^{82c}*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy*
^{83a}*INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
^{83b}*Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
⁸⁴*Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea*
⁸⁵*Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea*
⁸⁶*Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁷*Korea University, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁸*Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea*
⁸⁹*Sejong University, Seoul, Korea*
⁹⁰*Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea*
⁹¹*University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea*
⁹²*Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea*
⁹³*Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea*
⁹⁴*College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait*
⁹⁵*Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia*
⁹⁶*Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania*
⁹⁷*National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
⁹⁸*Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico*
⁹⁹*Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico*
¹⁰⁰*Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico*
¹⁰¹*Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
¹⁰²*University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro*
¹⁰³*University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand*
¹⁰⁴*National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*
¹⁰⁵*AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland*
¹⁰⁶*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland*
¹⁰⁷*Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*
¹⁰⁸*Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal*
¹⁰⁹*Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia*
¹¹⁰*VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia*
¹¹¹*Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*
¹¹²*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
¹¹³*Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain*
¹¹⁴*Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain*
¹¹⁵*University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka*
¹¹⁶*University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka*
¹¹⁷*CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*
¹¹⁸*Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland*
¹¹⁹*ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland*

- ¹²⁰*Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland*
- ¹²¹*National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan*
- ¹²²*National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan*
- ¹²³*Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand*
- ¹²⁴*Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey*
- ¹²⁵*Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey*
- ¹²⁶*Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²⁷*Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²⁸*Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ¹²⁹*Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine*
- ¹³⁰*National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine*
- ¹³¹*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ¹³²*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ¹³³*Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*
- ¹³⁴*Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*
- ¹³⁵*Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA*
- ¹³⁶*Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C., USA*
- ¹³⁷*The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA*
- ¹³⁸*Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
- ¹³⁹*Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA*
- ¹⁴⁰*University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA*
- ¹⁴¹*University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA*
- ¹⁴²*University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA*
- ¹⁴³*University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA*
- ¹⁴⁴*University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA*
- ¹⁴⁵*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA*
- ¹⁴⁶*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA*
- ¹⁴⁷*University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA*
- ¹⁴⁸*Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA*
- ¹⁴⁹*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*
- ¹⁵⁰*University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA*
- ¹⁵¹*Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA*
- ¹⁵²*Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA*
- ¹⁵³*University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA*
- ¹⁵⁴*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA*
- ¹⁵⁵*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*
- ¹⁵⁶*The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA*
- ¹⁵⁷*Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA*
- ¹⁵⁸*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA*
- ¹⁵⁹*University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA*
- ¹⁶⁰*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*
- ¹⁶¹*University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA*
- ¹⁶²*University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA*
- ¹⁶³*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA*
- ¹⁶⁴*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA*
- ¹⁶⁵*Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
- ¹⁶⁶*Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA*
- ¹⁶⁷*University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA*
- ¹⁶⁸*The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA*
- ¹⁶⁹*Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA*
- ¹⁷⁰*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA*
- ¹⁷¹*Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA*
- ¹⁷²*Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA*
- ¹⁷³*Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA*
- ¹⁷⁴*University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA*
- ¹⁷⁵*The Rockefeller University, New York, New York, USA*
- ¹⁷⁶*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA*
- ¹⁷⁷*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA*
- ¹⁷⁸*Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA*
- ¹⁷⁹*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA*

¹⁸⁰*Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA*

¹⁸¹*University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA*

¹⁸²*Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA*

¹⁸³*University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA*

¹⁸⁴*An institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*

^aDeceased.

^bAlso at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia.

^cAlso at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria.

^dAlso at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt.

^eAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

^fAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

^gAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

^hAlso at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil.

ⁱAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

^jAlso at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China.

^kAlso at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA.

^lAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

^mAlso at Another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.

ⁿAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

^oAlso at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.

^pAlso at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

^qAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

^rAlso at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

^sAlso at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.

^tAlso at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.

^uAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

^vAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

^wAlso at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

^xAlso at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany.

^yAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

^zAlso at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany.

^{aa}Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

^{bb}Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

^{cc}Also at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.

^{dd}Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

^{ee}Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

^{ff}Also at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai—Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

^{gg}Also at Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

^{hh}Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.

ⁱⁱAlso at UPES—University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India.

^{jj}Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

^{kk}Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.

^{ll}Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India.

^{mm}Also at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Mumbai, India.

ⁿⁿAlso at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.

^{oo}Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.

^{pp}Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.

^{qq}Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

^{rr}Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.

^{ss}Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.

^{tt}Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.

^{uu}Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.

^{vv}Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy.

^{ww}Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.

^{xx}Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA.

^{yy}Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.

^{zz}Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

^{aaa}Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche—Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy.

- bbb Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia.
- ccc Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
- ddd Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- eee Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
- fff Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
- ggg Also at Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
- hhh Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- iii Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- jjj Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- kkk Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- lll Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France.
- mmm Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey.
- nnn Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey.
- ooo Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey.
- ppp Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- qqq Also at Istanbul Gedik University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- rrr Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey.
- sss Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey.
- ttt Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- uuu Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- vvv Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- www Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- xxx Also at Istanbul University—Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey.
- yyy Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- zzz Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium.
- aaaa Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- bbbb Also at University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom.
- cccc Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
- dddd Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- eeee Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.
- fff Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- ggg Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- hhh Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
- iii Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA.
- jjj Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- kkk Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- lll Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- mmm Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey.
- nnn Also at Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science and Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China.
- ooo Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ppp Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.
- qqq Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia.
- rrr Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- sss Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.
- ttt Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.