

Ultra-Low-Cost Disposable Hand-Held Clinical-Scale Propane Gas Hyperpolarizer for Pulmonary Magnetic Resonance Imaging Sensing

Nuwandi M. Ariyasingha, Anna Samoilenko, Jonathan R. Birchall, Md Raduanul H. Chowdhury, Oleg G. Salnikov, Larisa M. Kovtunova, Valerii I. Bukhtiyarov, David C. Zhu, Chunqi Qian, Michael Bradley, Juri G. Gelovani, Igor V. Koptuyg, Boyd M. Goodson, and Eduard Y. Chekmenev*



Cite This: *ACS Sens.* 2023, 8, 3845–3854



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Hyperpolarized magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents are revolutionizing the field of biomedical imaging. Hyperpolarized Xe-129 was recently FDA approved as an inhalable MRI contrast agent for functional lung imaging sensing. Despite success in research settings, modern Xe-129 hyperpolarizers are expensive (up to \$1M), large, and complex to site and operate. Moreover, Xe-129 sensing requires specialized MRI hardware that is not commonly available on clinical MRI scanners. Here, we demonstrate that proton-hyperpolarized propane gas can be produced on demand using a disposable, hand-held, clinical-scale hyperpolarizer via parahydrogen-induced polarization, which relies on parahydrogen as a source of hyperpolarization. The device consists of a heterogeneous catalytic reactor connected to a gas mixture storage can containing pressurized hyperpolarization precursors: propylene and parahydrogen (10 bar total pressure). Once the built-in flow valve of the storage can is actuated, the precursors are ejected from the can into a reactor, and a stream of hyperpolarized propane gas is ejected from the reactor. Robust operation of the device is demonstrated for producing proton sensing polarization of 1.2% in a wide range of operational pressures and gas flow rates. We demonstrate that the propylene/parahydrogen gas mixture can retain potency for days in the storage can with a monoexponential decay time constant of 6.0 ± 0.5 days, which is limited by the lifetime of the parahydrogen singlet spin state in the storage container. The utility of the produced sensing agent is demonstrated for phantom imaging on a 3 T clinical MRI scanner located 100 miles from the agent/device preparation site and also for ventilation imaging of excised pig lungs using a 0.35 T clinical MRI scanner. The cost of the device components is less than \$35, which we envision can be reduced to less than \$5 for mass-scale production. The hyperpolarizer device can be reused, recycled, or disposed.

KEYWORDS: MRI, hyperpolarization, imaging agents, NMR spectroscopy, parahydrogen, propane



INTRODUCTION

Biomedical imaging is an important tool in the study of human anatomy and physiology for disease diagnosis, progression monitoring, and characterization of response to treatment. In particular, magnetic resonance imaging is widely used due to its noninvasive nature for obtaining structural, functional, and dynamical information in subject tissues of interest. MRI employs nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), which is regarded as a low-sensitivity spectroscopic technique^{1,2} due to its inherently low degree of nuclear spin alignment with respect to the applied magnetic field, or spin polarization (P); for example, the most-sensitive stable nucleus, proton (^1H), is polarized to only 1×10^{-5} at 3 T and room temperature. To mitigate this NMR sensitivity limitation, conventional MRI is performed with highly abundant water protons, ca. 10^2 M. Alternatively, a substantial P enhancement by 4–6 orders of magnitude can be transiently created via NMR hyperpolarization techniques.^{3–8} As a result of this massive P boost, it becomes possible to sense low-concentration

hyperpolarized (HP) biomolecules and exogenous contrast agents.^{2,9} Moreover, NMR hyperpolarization also enables the potential use of HP gases as inhalable contrast agents to image the structure of the lungs and pulmonary function.^{3,7,9–11}

Hyperpolarization also allows rapid image acquisition because it obviates spin magnetization recovery in imaging sequences that is often otherwise required in conventional MRI. HP noble gases (e.g., ^{129}Xe and ^3He) can be prepared in large quantities for clinical studies.^{9,12–14} These contrast agents can be inhaled, and a functional pulmonary three-dimensional (3D) image can be recorded on a single patient breath hold

Received: July 6, 2023

Accepted: September 6, 2023

Published: September 29, 2023



(~ 3 – 10 s).^{3,9} While both batch- and continuous-production modes have been demonstrated for the hyperpolarization of noble gases,¹¹ these hyperpolarization methods usually require the presence of complex on-site hyperpolarizer instrumentation and infrastructure.^{11,15–19} Once the hyperpolarizer dispenses the agent, its HP state decays to thermal equilibrium. Due to the short-lived, nonreplenishable characteristic of the HP state, the long-range transportation of these HP contrast agents remains challenging.²⁰ As a result, production of HP noble gases is established on site, which creates additional logistics challenges, including the local requirement of expertise in the production and imaging of HP media.¹⁷

The leading inhalable HP contrast is ^{129}Xe ,⁹ which is hyperpolarized via spin exchanged optical pumping (SEOP);¹⁷ near-unity polarization is possible via SEOP.^{16,19,21} HP ^{129}Xe is extensively studied for a wide range of in vivo applications, including pulmonary imaging.^{9,11,22} Indeed, the use of HP ^{129}Xe for pulmonary function imaging was approved by the FDA in 2022. Despite major successes of HP ^{129}Xe in research settings to help to diagnose a wide range of lung conditions, the clinical translation of this HP contrast agent and its production technology faces two key translation barriers.^{1,23,24} First, in addition to the instrumentation complexity discussed above, SEOP hyperpolarizers are also expensive, e.g., up to \$1M ca. 2022.³ Second, conventional MRI scanners can only image protons, which resonate at a frequency ~ 3.6 times higher than that of ^{129}Xe —placing the ^{129}Xe resonance far below the narrow tuning range of MRI scanner electronics. As a result, an upgrade of a clinical MRI scanner is required to add broadband multinuclear capability to enable ^{129}Xe scans. These upgrades are complex, costly (\$0.3–\$0.5M), and limited to a selected vendor's platforms. Taken together, these issues comprise substantial roadblocks for widespread clinical use of the HP ^{129}Xe gas contrast agent for pulmonary sensing applications.

Proton-hyperpolarized gases have shown promise for MRI applications.^{25–28} Although the lifetime of their HP state is short (with T_1 on the order of 1–1.2 s)^{20,29} at physiologically relevant conditions, the discovery of long-lived HP states has rekindled interest in the utility of proton-hyperpolarized gases for bioimaging sensing applications because the lifetime of the HP state was increased approximately by a factor of 3.^{20,30} We have previously demonstrated the feasibility of the production of proton-hyperpolarized propane gas on a clinical scale: 0.3 L bolus of HP gas was produced in 2 s.³¹ The production of HP propane was enabled by heterogeneous parahydrogen-induced polarization (HET-PHIP),^{32,33} where parahydrogen was reacted with propylene in a packed bed of heterogeneous catalyst (1% Rh/TiO₂).³² In PHIP, parahydrogen ($p\text{-H}_2$) acts as a source of hyperpolarization.^{33–35} $p\text{-H}_2$ is produced using cryogenic equipment and dispensed into high-pressure aluminum cylinders, where it can be stored for weeks without significant loss of the $p\text{-H}_2$ fraction.^{36,37}

Here, we report on the design and validation of an ultra-low-cost disposable hand-held propane gas hyperpolarizer. This device employs an aluminum can typically used for consumer spray applications. The can is filled with a pressurized mixture of $p\text{-H}_2$ and propylene gases via a built-in plastic high-flow valve. The output of the can is connected to a HET-PHIP reactor. Once the valve is manually actuated, the gas mixture flows through the reactor, where the gases are chemically converted to proton-hyperpolarized propane gas, which can be employed for the sensing of void spaces. The continuous flow

of the gas provided by the device enables the production of a stream of HP propane gas free from the catalyst, which is retained in the heterogeneous catalyst bed of the reactor. We demonstrate the robustness of the hyperpolarizer operation to produce ^1H polarization (P_{H}) of 1.2% with respect to a wide operational range of temperatures, pressures, and gas flow rates. Moreover, we also show that the compressed $p\text{-H}_2$ –propylene gas mixture can be stored for days without a substantial loss of potency to produce HP propane gas. As a result, it becomes possible to transport this device over a long distance—here, we show the feasibility of transporting the hyperpolarizer over 100 miles to acquire HP propane gas MR images in a phantom at 3 T with high temporal and spatial resolution. Moreover, we also demonstrate the feasibility of employing this hyperpolarizer for the production of HP propane gas for ventilation imaging in excised pig lungs. The cost of all components and reagents to make this device is less than \$35, with over 80% of the cost associated with connectors. We anticipate that a commercialized prototype can be made with less than \$5 for the cost of all components, which bodes well for future clinical translation of proton-hyperpolarized gas contrast agents—including the propane sensor gas employed here.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

$p\text{-H}_2$ Decay in Disposable Aluminum Cans. Figure 1 summarizes measurements of the back-conversion of $p\text{-H}_2$ to normal H_2 in storage cans of two different sizes: ~ 0.12 standard liters (type 1) and ~ 0.25 standard liters (type 2). Commercial cans were thoroughly purged first by flushing with argon gas, followed by gas evacuation with a vacuum pump. Finally, the cans were also flushed several times with $p\text{-H}_2$ to remove any trapped air. For these tests, a ParaSun $p\text{-H}_2$ generator was employed, yielding $\sim 89\%$ para-fraction.³⁶ The overall experimental setup is shown in Figure 1a. For the type 1 can, a sample of freshly prepared $p\text{-H}_2$ gas was filled into a storage can (Boost Oxygen) via 1/4 in. outer diameter (OD) Teflon tubing to a total $p\text{-H}_2$ pressure of 13 bar. This Teflon tubing (placed over the actuator) connects the $p\text{-H}_2$ temporary storage tank to the aluminum can. For the type 2 can, the setup was overall the same, except that the connection with the can was established via cleaned copper tubing (3/16 in. OD) inserted inside the actuator. Unlike the type 1 can, the type 2 can employs a built-in fast-flow valve capable of a maximum gas flow rate in excess of 0.5 standard liters per second (sLs) or 30 standard liters per minute (sLm). Once a can was filled with $p\text{-H}_2$ gas, the $p\text{-H}_2$ gas was stored inside the cans for a variable period of time, ranging from a few minutes to nearly 8 days, with the overall rationale of testing the mixture for the residual $p\text{-H}_2$ fraction, which decays with time. ^1H NMR spectra were collected by releasing $p\text{-H}_2$ gas from the aluminum storage can by pressing down on the actuator to release the gas into the NMR tube setup, which was placed inside the magnet of a 1.4 T benchtop NMR spectrometer (Nanalysis NMR Pro 60) at an overpressure of 100 psi. After 3–4 s of continuous gas flow, the flow was terminated by releasing the pressure applied to the actuator and by manually closing the gas inlet valve. Once the NMR tube was filled, the stopped gas was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy as described previously.³⁷ Examples of NMR spectra are shown in Figure 1b,c. For NMR acquisition, we employed 90° radiofrequency (RF) excitation pulses with a recovery time of 3 s and 64 averages. The recorded NMR spectra reveal the presence of $o\text{-H}_2$ only because $p\text{-H}_2$ is NMR-inactive. The spectral intensities were employed to compute $o\text{-H}_2$ and $p\text{-H}_2$ fractions in the samples, as described in detail in the Supporting Information. The additional bypass valve of the setup is present to ensure venting of the lines if needed. The determined residual $p\text{-H}_2$ fraction was plotted as a function of storage time in type 1 and 2 cans (Figure 1d,e, respectively). The trend lines were fit using monoexponential curves, yielding decay constants T_5 of $p\text{-H}_2$ back-conversion to normal H_2 .




Figure 1. Study of p-H₂ gas storage in disposable aluminum cans. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup whereby a parahydrogen generator is used to fill aluminum p-H₂ storage cans. (b) ¹H NMR spectrum of a normal hydrogen gas signal reference consisting of 75% orthohydrogen (o-H₂) and 25% p-H₂. (c) ¹H NMR spectra of the p-H₂-enriched samples collected after different storage durations in an aluminum can (type 1). Green and red spectral traces correspond to the spectra collected after no storage and 2 days of p-H₂ gas storage with p-H₂ fractions of ~89% and ~56%, respectively. (d,e) Decay plots for p-H₂ gas back-conversion to o-H₂ in bottle types 1 (d) and 2 (e). Each data point shown in display (d) corresponds to the average of 2–4 individual measurements.

The gas flow rate in the setup shown in Figure 2a was not controlled, and the actual flow rate was measured by the water displacement of the exiting reacted gas via the safety valve (~7.5 sLm). The peak intensities of H_A and H_B resonances recorded during the pseudo-2D pulse-sequence dynamic run are shown in Figure 2f. The intensity decay was fit with a monoexponential curve (Figure S2), yielding effective *T*₁ decay values. For the p-H₂/propylene mixture potency duration study, the pressurized storage can (type 2) was stored for a variable period of time before performing the PHIP experiment, and the maximum intensity of HP propane was plotted versus time (Figure 2g).

In a few studies requiring the precise control of the flow rate, a modified setup employing a mass-flow controller was employed (Figure S3) (see the Supporting Information for more details).

HP Propane MRI Studies with Phantoms at 3 T. For all 3 T MRI studies, type 2 storage cans were filled with the 1:1 mixture of p-H₂/propylene gases as described above. The cans were transported approximately 100 miles from the filling site to the imaging site, and MRI studies were performed approximately 4–7 h after the cans were filled with the compressed gas mixture. A pressurized can and a reactor were placed on a patient bed, while the phantom was placed inside the wrist RF coil of a 3 T GE Healthcare MRI scanner (Signa HDx, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI). Slice-selective 2D gradient echo imaging (GRE) and multislice 2D echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequences were employed for visualization of the HP propane gas and thermally polarized reference phantom consisting of HPLC-grade




Figure 2. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental setup for the production of HP propane and its detection at 1.4 T. An inset diagram of the catalytic reactor shows the catalyst particles and copper beads mixed inside of the reactor. (b) Schematic of the PHIP process comprising pairwise addition of p-H₂ to propylene, forming HP propane gas. (c) ¹H NMR spectrum of continuously flowing HP propane gas at 1.4 T. (d) ¹H NMR spectrum of stopped-flow HP propane gas at 1.4 T. (e) Corresponding ¹H NMR spectrum (employed for signal referencing) of thermally polarized stopped-flow propane gas. Note the signal intensity scale employs the same a.u. scale for all data shown in displays (c–e). (f) Representative pseudo-2D NMR experiment showing the *T*₁ decay of HP propane signal enhancement after HP gas was stopped after ~7 s of continuous gas flow the color-coded squares denote the data points for which spectra are shown in displays (c) (green) and (d) (magenta), respectively. (g) Dependence of the ¹H NMR signal enhancement of stopped-flow HP propane gas on the storage time of the p-H₂/propylene gas mixture in the type 2 storage can (black) and the dependence of the chemical conversion of propylene to propane on the storage time of the p-H₂/propylene gas mixture (blue). The data was recorded using 3.7 bar total pressure and 7.5 sLm flow rate.

water doped with 5 mM CuSO₄. Each imaging sequence was initiated before gas injection in the phantom and repeated 32 or more times to capture the HP gas delivery into a phantom, followed by HP gas

decay due to RF excitation and T_1 decay. See [Supporting Information](#) for imaging sequence details.

HP Propane MRI Studies in Excised Pig Lungs at 0.35 T. All excised pig lungs were acquired from unrelated IACUC-approved studies at Wayne State University. For all 0.35 T MRI studies, type 2 storage cans were filled on site with the 1:1 mixture of p- H_2 /propylene gases as described above. All MRI studies were performed within 30 min after the cans were filled with the compressed gas mixture. A freshly excised pig lung (containing both lungs and trachea) was connected to 1/4" ID Tygon tubing for HP propane gas injection (a rubber band was also employed to compress the trachea wall against Tygon tubing to prevent gas leakage). The following imaging parameters were employed: spectral width (SW) of 10.42 kHz, slice thickness of 5 cm, field of view (FOV) = 25.6×25.6 cm², and an imaging matrix of 64×64 . 32 repeats of this scan were programed in a loop fashion with an acquisition time of 0.94 s for each individual 2D image (30 s total run time). Approximately 5–6 s after the start of the imaging experiment, the HP propane gas was injected in the lungs with injection lasting approximately 5–6 s, with an estimated injected volume of 0.7 sL (and an estimated flow rate of 0.12 sLs). After the completion of the gas injection, the 1/8" Teflon tubing line was disconnected from the hyperpolarizer and capped to prevent gas back flow from the lungs into the reactor. The images were acquired in axial and coronal projection with otherwise identical imaging parameters. Control runs with the injection of nitrogen gas were performed with a similar injection rate and injection volume (see [Supporting Information](#) for details). To enhance the visual clarity of the images, all of the images were interpolated to 256×256 with an effective 1×1 mm² in-plane interpolated resolution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hyperpolarized Propane Spectroscopy and Enhancement Quantification. The polarization of each set of positively and negatively enhanced H_A and H_B NMR lines of HP propane was assessed in the stopped HP propane gas, which was trapped in the detection volume of the 1.4 T NMR spectrometer by closing the reactor inlet and outlet valves ([Figure 2d](#)). (Note that fast-flowing HP propane exhibits severe line broadening, [Figure 2c](#)). In our approach, we recorded 64 1D spectra in a pseudo-2D fashion with a repetition time of 0.5 s. The intensities of HP propane resonances H_A and H_B were plotted as a function of time ([Figure 2f](#)). Because the valve closing and the NMR acquisition are not time-synchronized, it follows that as much as 0.5 s may have passed before the recording of the first NMR spectrum. P_H decays with a time constant T_1 of 2.9–3.1 s ([Figure 2f](#)), which is evident from the decay of the HP signal of the stopped propane gas in [Figure S2](#).²⁰ Due to this uncertainty and the associated polarization decay, the presented method is susceptible to run-to-run signal variability. Moreover, the measured P_H value represents a lower estimate, as the polarization may have experienced some decay during the time interval before the application of the first RF pulse. Despite these limitations, signal enhancement values in excess of 2500-fold were observed ([Figure 2](#)), corresponding to a P_H of over 1.2% for H_A and H_B . These P_H and T_1 values are in overall agreement with previous relaxation studies.^{20,31} The chemical conversion of propylene was near 100%, as no traces of propylene gas were detected in thermally polarized spectra at a 7.5 sLm flow rate ([Figure S1](#)). Although the P_H levels of HP propane gas are substantially lower than those typically obtained for HP ^{129}Xe gas (i.e., typically in excess of 20%),¹¹ we note that each HP propane molecule carries double the magnetization load (two HP protons versus one HP ^{129}Xe nucleus). Moreover, each proton is typically 13 times more sensitive than HP ^{129}Xe at the same nominal polarization due

to a 3.6 times greater gyromagnetic ratio (one gamma for greater magnetic moment multiplied by one more gamma for higher detection frequency).³⁸ Furthermore, protons have nearly 100% natural abundance compared to the 26% natural abundance of ^{129}Xe . These compounding factors make 1%-hyperpolarized propane equivalent to 100%-hyperpolarized ^{129}Xe at natural abundance or equivalent to 32%-hyperpolarized ^{129}Xe at an 80% enrichment level. Furthermore, this sensitivity analysis does not factor in the fact that RF coils for detecting proton MRI are usually highly optimized by vendors in clinical practice, which may additionally favor HP propane imaging sensitivity.

Hyperpolarizer Reactor Robustness. While most of the studies reported here were performed with a 7.5 sLm HP propane flow rate, we additionally performed a series of studies using a modified setup ([Figure S3](#)) to investigate the reactor's robustness with respect to the pressure, gas flow rate, and gas flow duration by adding an additional control element such as a mass flow controller or a needle valve. This modified setup shown in [Figure S3](#) also employed p- H_2 with a variable parafraction between 50 and 90%. The hyperpolarizer reactor's operation robustness was evaluated in several ways. First, we have modified our pseudo-2D experiments (shown in [Figure 3a](#)), and we have performed a series of additional valve openings/closings, effectively resulting in the inflow of fresh HP propane gas in the detection region of the NMR spectrometer ([Figure 3b](#)). In this representative dynamic run, signal enhancement ϵ_H of ~ 1600 -fold was repeatedly achieved five times sequentially, clearly indicating good reproducibility. Additionally, [Figure 3b](#) indicates the possibility of effective HP propane gas production on demand.

The reactor has shown overall robust performance with relatively small variation of signal enhancement of HP propane gas (estimated at $\pm 20\%$) with respect to the pressure range studied (4.4–7.8 bar, gas flow rate of 0.8 sLm) for the gas mixtures containing 1:1 and 1:4 propylene/p- H_2 composition ratios, [Figure 3c,d](#), respectively.

HP propane signal enhancements exhibited relatively low dependence on the gas flow rate in the 0.4–2.8 sLm range studied, [Figure 3e](#) (for the variable-flow-rate experiments, the mass flow controller was replaced by a needle valve). The variation of the ϵ_H values was estimated to be $\pm 10\%$, and the chemical conversion of propylene was near 100% at all flow rates studied.

In a separate set of experiments, we have varied the duration of the HP gas flow at a 0.8 sLm flow rate before the flow was terminated, as shown in [Figure 3f](#). Similarly to the data shown in [Figure 3e](#), the variation of ϵ_H values was estimated to be $\pm 10\%$, and the chemical conversion of propylene was near 100% at all flow duration timings studied, as shown in [Figure 3f](#).

All-in-all, the hyperpolarizer reactor demonstrated good robustness of operation with respect to a wide range of experimental parameters. The entire device consisting of the reactor, gas storage can with the gas mixture, and connecting tubing weights less than 200 g. The cost of all components is approximately \$35 with the following breakdown: compression fittings (\$28), titania (200 mg, less than \$0.1), rhodium (2 mg, \$3), copper particles (10 g, \$1.5), copper and Teflon tubing (\$1.5), propylene (2 g, \$0.1), hydrogen gas (0.2 g, less than \$0.1), and aluminum can (\$1). We anticipate that future integration of the reactor using a purpose-built design can substantially reduce the cost of the mechanical components.




Figure 3. PHIP studies of HP propane reactor robustness via spectroscopic detection using a 1.4 T NMR spectrometer. (a) Representative pseudo-2D NMR experiment showing the T_1 decay of HP propane signal enhancement after HP gas was stopped at ~ 3 s. (b) Representative pseudo-2D NMR experiment, where the flow of HP propane gas was repeatedly (5 repeats) stopped for ~ 3 s and then restarted for ~ 3 s. (c) Dependence of ϵ_H values of HP propane on reactor pressure with a 1:1 propylene/ p -H₂ gas mixture. (d) Dependence of ϵ_H values of HP propane on reactor pressure with a 1:4 propylene/ p -H₂ gas mixture. (e) Dependence of ϵ_H values and propylene chemical conversion of HP propane on the gas flow rate. (f) Dependence of ϵ_H values and propylene chemical conversion of HP propane on the gas flow rate duration. All experiments were performed at 3.7 bar total gas pressure using a 1:1 mixture of propylene/ p -H₂ and a 0.8 sLM HP propane gas flow rate, unless otherwise noted. The results shown in display (e) were obtained using a needle valve, and the results shown in all other displays were obtained using a mass flow controller using the modified setup shown in Figure S3.

Moreover, mass-scale production is also envisioned to reduce the cost of rhodium-containing precursors and other consumables with the total production cost of less than \$5.

Decay of p -H₂ in Aluminum Storage Cans. The p -H₂ decay due to para-to-orthohydrogen conversion was found to occur with time constants T_S of 2.9 ± 0.6 and 6.4 ± 1.3 days at 13 bar in type 1 and type 2 cans, respectively (Figure 1d,e). It should be noted that the type 2 can has approximately a two times greater inner diameter, and thus, the collision rate of p -H₂ molecules with the container wall is expected to be doubled in a type 1 container compared to that for a type 2 container. The fact that the effective first-order rate constant of ortho/para-H₂ conversion in type 1 can is ~ 2 times greater than that in type 2 can suggests that the collision of p -H₂ gas with the

container wall is the dominant channel of ortho/para conversion. Since the potency of the gas mixture to produce HP propane depends on the residual p -H₂ fraction at the time of the experiment,^{6,39} the p -H₂ lifetime studies in the gas mixtures with propylene were measured in a larger type 2 aluminum container using the signal enhancement of the produced HP propane as an observable (Figure 2g). The observed decay of potency of the propylene: p -H₂ gas mixture was found to have T_S of 6.0 ± 0.5 days at 10 bar total pressure. This T_S value is close to that in a can filled with pure H₂ and is sufficiently long for short-term storage or transportation of propylene/ p -H₂ gas mixtures for their utility in hyperpolarization studies. These T_S values are much shorter than the previously reported T_S value of 63.7 ± 8.3 days in substantially larger aluminum tanks,⁴⁰ likely because of the much smaller sizes of the aluminum storage containers employed here. Thus, we speculate that future improvement of storage design can allow one to extend the effective lifetime of propylene/ p -H₂ gas mixtures and enable even longer storage and transportation time windows. The use of small storage cans is advantageous compared to larger compressed gas aluminum tanks due to the low cost ($< \$1$) and the possibility to dispose or recycle the storage can.

HP Propane MRI Studies with Phantoms at 3 T. The experimental setup employs a hollow plastic spherical phantom for filling with HP propane (Figure 4a), and the gas-mixture storage can be connected directly to the reactor. The phantom sphere was placed inside the wrist RF coil of a 3 T MRI scanner (Signa HDx, GE Healthcare), whereas all other components (connected to the phantom via gas input and output Teflon tubing lines) were placed outside the MRI scanner because the safety valve (2.7 bar overpressure) and the storage can metal cup contain magnetic materials. The estimated gas flow rate was ~ 7.5 sLM. Since the scan time was less than a second, a series of looped scans was initiated shortly before the gas injection. After the HP propane gas injection for approximately 2 s, the flow valve was closed to prevent any HP gas back flow, and the HP propane gas was effectively stopped inside the imaging phantom.

The reader is reminded that the HP propane molecule exhibits two resonances: H_A and H_B (with a 180° phase shift) separated by 0.45 ppm (i.e., 57 Hz at 3 T). As a result, MRI imaging of such HP species may exhibit several artifacts. First, partial signal cancellation (due to the opposite phase of HP H_A and H_B resonances) may be possible due to the low magnetic field homogeneity of the MRI scanner. Second, partial signal cancellation may also be possible between the adjacent pixels in a slice-selected imaging plane as well as between the neighboring slices.^{41–43} Third, the presence of two resonances may lead to the additional “ghosting” (also called chemical shift) artifacts between the neighboring pixels in a slice-selected imaging plane as well as between the neighboring slices.^{41–43}

A time series of high-resolution 2D GRE images with voxel size of $1 \times 1 \times 5$ mm³ acquired on a single injection of HP propane gas is shown in Figure 4b. Each image was acquired in 0.84 s with the maximum recorded signal-to-noise ratio [SNR(max)] of 38, which compares well to the SNR(max) of 179 on the corresponding image of a thermally polarized water phantom with similar dimensions, Figure 4b. The SNR of subsequent images decreases rapidly due to the depolarizing effects of RF excitation pulses and T_1 decay. This pilot GRE image acquired using our disposable hand-held polarizer is




Figure 4. Phantom validation studies of HP propane using a 3 T clinical MRI scanner. (a) Schematics of the portable experimental polarizer design used for phantom validation studies. Premixed gas mixture in the storage can was delivered to the reactor by actuating the high flow valve. (b) Time series of slice-selective (5 mm thick) 2D GRE images of an HP propane-filled hollow-sphere phantom and the corresponding thermally polarized water image acquired using a wrist RF coil; imaging parameters: 128 × 128 matrix, FOV = 130 × 130 mm². (c) 2D slices of EPI imaging (10 mm thick) of an HP propane-filled hollow-sphere phantom and the corresponding thermally polarized water image acquired using a wrist RF coil; imaging parameters: 64 × 64 matrix, FOV = 150 × 150 mm²; total scan time (8 slices) is 0.40 s (the right display shows the corresponding image from thermally polarized water phantom of the same geometry). Propane concentration was estimated at 0.13 M, and the water concentration was 55 M.

important as it shows the feasibility of recording high-resolution HP propane gas images with mm-resolution using a clinical MRI scanner. Additional images from this series are also shown in Figure S4.

The lifetime of the propane gas HP state is on the time scale of seconds.²⁰ Moreover, the GRE phantom imaging also reveals fast polarization decay (as seen from the decrease of the SNR values—note the image intensity is scaled individually), likely also in part due to depolarizing effects of the RF pulses. Thus, we investigated the feasibility of echo-planar imaging (EPI), in which one excitation RF pulse is employed to record an entire 2D slice. Figures 4c and S5 show the utility of using slice-selective 20° RF excitation followed by ultrafast image readout, allowing us to record eight slices of HP propane gas phantom in 0.40 s. Three representative 10 mm thick slices are shown in Figure 4c, revealing a hole in one of the images due to the presence of the 1/8 in. OD Teflon line inside the phantom. Moreover, the EPI images also reveal a more pronounced (compared to GRE images discussed above) “ghost” artifact, which is likely due to the fact that imaging is performed with two HP resonances of the opposite sign—this notion is additionally supported by near perfect signal cancellation in two areas: below the “ghost” and below the

sphere—likely due to signal cancellation due to image encoding of these two resonances of the opposite phase, i.e., H_A and H_B in Figure 2d. This is not necessarily a limitation of this approach, as a number of approaches have been developed for rephasing of the antiphase resonances of HP propane gas.^{32,44} The recorded EPI images also demonstrate a remarkably high SNR of up to 1595. Even when accounting for larger voxels (approximately 10.6 times greater than the voxel size in GRE images in Figure 4b), the SNR of EPI images (per unit volume) was approximately four times higher than that of GRE images—at the same time, the temporal resolution of EPI imaging was approximately 16 times higher than that of GRE imaging.

Pilot Imaging of HP Propane Gas in Excised Pig Lungs Using a 0.35 T Clinical MRI Scanner. We have also investigated the feasibility of pilot imaging of HP propane gas in the excised pig lungs, as shown in Figure 5. The overall experimental setup (Figure 5e) for these studies was similar to the one of 3 T imaging studies with the exception that the exhaust of the reactor was connected to the lung trachea via plastic tubing (Figure 5f), and thus, the imaging of gas was performed at a physiologically relevant total pressure of 1 bar. Moreover, since the excised pig lungs contain tissue water, there is a substantial proton background signal. For example, thermally polarized images of inflated pig lungs (with propane or nitrogen) revealed a substantial anatomical signal in axial and coronal projections, Figure 5b,d, respectively. As a result, we have employed a background signal subtraction method⁴⁵—the detailed description of the image processing is provided in the Supporting Information. A series of 2D slice-selective images were recorded during a 5–6 s long injection of HP propane gas in the excised pig lungs with a temporal resolution of 0.94 s. High-quality HP images were obtained from at least five images in each HP propane gas injection time series of the dynamic run. Representative axial and coronal images from each series are shown as color overlay (HP propane gas) over grayscale anatomical images in Figure 5a,c, respectively. The complete corresponding time series are presented in Figures S6 and S8, respectively. The SNR(max) values were 22 and 17 for axial and coronal scans, respectively.

MRI imaging with HP propane gas was reproducible. Indeed, a replicated HP propane gas injection study in the axial position (Figure S10) revealed an intensity distribution similar to that in the first axial dynamic run (Figure S6). Importantly, the replicate run was performed using refilling of the same gas-mixture storage can with fresh gas mixture using the same reactor. The scan-to-scan time duration was approximately 5 min, clearly demonstrating that the hyperpolarizer can be reused and provides reproducible results with fast polarizer reloading speed.

When the HP gas injection was completed, the HP gas signal experienced a fast decay due to T_1 relaxation and also due to the depolarizing effect of the RF pulses. The “effective” T_1 constant was measured to be 0.67 ± 0.40 (Figure S6), 0.68 ± 0.39 (Figure S8), and 0.64 ± 0.47 (Figure S10), additionally highlighting the reproducibility, albeit with large T_1 error bars. While this “effective” T_1 is rather short, the feasibility of HP propane imaging in physiologically relevant conditions in a clinical MRI scanner is clearly demonstrated in this pilot study. We also note that the detection sensitivity of this study likely has suffered substantially from the slow rate of HP propane gas injection—indeed, the bulk of injected HP gas (estimated at 0.6–0.7 sL) experienced depolarization (due to relaxation and




Figure 5. Fast slice-selective 2D GRE images of HP propane gas injected in the excised pig lungs and recorded with 64×64 imaging matrix over 256×256 mm² FOV, 50 mm slice thickness, and 30° slice-selective RF pulse. (a) Axial anatomical image of the inflated excised pig lung. (b) Corresponding axial false-color image of HP propane gas contrast agent overlaid over the grayscale axial anatomical image shown in display (a). (c) Annotated photo of the experimental setup showing the gas mixture tank, Rh/TiO₂ reactor, unmounted head 0.35 T MRI coil and gas connection from the reactor outlet to the lungs' trachea. (d) Coronal anatomical image of the inflated excised pig lungs, (e) Corresponding coronal false-color image of HP propane gas contrast agent overlaid over grayscale coronal anatomical image shown in display (d). (f) Annotated photo of the excised pig lungs employed for the pilot imaging studies. Each image in displays (a,b,d,e) was acquired in 0.94 s; see [Supporting Information](#) for additional images and details.

RF pulsing) as it was injected during 5–6 s, while the “effective” relaxation constant is only 0.67 ± 0.40 s. Faster HP propane gas production and administration are envisioned to substantially improve the sensitivity of this scan—potentially by several fold.

Additional control experiments were also performed with the injection of inert compressed nitrogen gas, which has no protons. The corresponding color-overlay axial and coronal studies revealed substantially attenuated signal in [Figures S7, S11 and S9](#), respectively. The greatly reduced signal intensities in these control experiments are likely due to tissue expansion during gas injection.

Taken together, these pilot 0.35 T studies in excised pig lungs demonstrate the feasibility of reproducible high-quality

ventilation imaging of the lung using HP propane gas despite the relatively short lifetime of the HP state. The lifetime of the HP state of propane gas exhibits a nearly linear dependence on pressure, with T_1 of ~ 1 s and T_s of ~ 3 s at 1 bar (total pressure), because of the spin-rotation relaxation mechanism, which is known to dominate for gases.^{20,46} It should also be emphasized that a number of potential improvements can be possibly envisioned to further increase the detection sensitivity, temporal, and spatial resolution of such scans—active ongoing studies in our partnering laboratories. Although deuteration is unlikely to increase T_1 and T_s values for HP propane gas,^{20,47} the use of lower magnetic fields (i.e., with $B_0 < 0.35$ T, where the long-lived spin states (LLSS) of HP propane exist and undergo relaxation according to T_s versus T_1 ³⁰) may be of practical benefit to extend the useful lifetime of the HP propane gas contrast agent.⁴⁸ Indeed, new low-field MRI scanners are emerging, including the point-of-care Hyperfine MRI scanner⁴⁹ operating at 0.064 T, where LLSS are expected to exist for HP propane.³⁰

Future Studies and Biomedical Outlook. Although the produced HP state of propane gas lasts only for seconds, the possibility of a multiday time window for storage and transportation of this hand-held ultralow-cost device can be potentially transformative for potential application in the area of pulmonary imaging because it becomes possible to prepare the device in one location while utilization can be performed substantially later at the potentially distant imaging suite (elsewhere). Since the device is portable and disposable, virtually no infrastructure investment is required for production, supply storage, or utilization. Indeed, we envision that our propane hyperpolarizer can be potentially deployed as a disposable kit in the future, and further work in this field is currently ongoing in our laboratories. The future device can potentially benefit from a number of further improvements, including increasing the lifetime of storage as discussed above, improving the percentage polarization of produced propane gas,⁵⁰ more integrated design of the kit, etc.

While future studies should address the safety of MRI with inhalable HP propane gas (including the study of excipients), it should be mentioned that the FDA and other regulatory agencies are regulating propane as generally regarded as safe (GRAS⁵¹). Propane is a nontoxic asphyxiating gas (i.e., it is biochemically inert when administered in vivo; moreover, it has no observed developmental or systemic effects even under high (10,000 ppm) concentration, as studied in a randomized 90 day inhalation toxicity study).⁵² Propane has widespread application in cosmetics, foods (FDA-approved food propellants are more broadly labeled as E944 food additives), and other biocompatible uses.⁵³ The highest dose administered to humans to date was 20% propane/air mixture by volume for a duration of 1 min.^{54,55} Based on the dosing principle of a single dose (~ 0.6 – 0.7 sL inhalation versus 20% for 1 min) and the ultrafast imaging time, we expect that the intoxicating effect of a single 0.6 – 0.7 sL dose will be significantly less than that of 20% propane/air for 1 min. Multiple inhalations/continuous feeds of HP propane gas can also be envisioned, albeit at lower inhalation doses. However, the reader is reminded that HP propane gas has no current regulatory approval for MRI applications, and it may take several years to receive one. Despite the overall low toxicity of propane gas, possible side effects of a future HP propane lung MRI scan would certainly need to be explored, as propane can potentially cause cardiac

sensitization and CNS depression in volunteer exposure of 2 min and longer.⁵⁶

In the assessment of lung function, the HP propane gas contrast agent can potentially offer a number of critical advantages over current HP ¹²⁹Xe technology (which has been recently approved by the FDA), including virtually no cost and space requirement of the needed infrastructure to produce the HP gas contrast agent. Our hyperpolarizer can additionally be readily disposed of or recycled. Moreover, conventional clinical MRI scanners can be readily employed for the imaging of HP propane gas without any scanner modifications or upgrades.

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that pure p-H₂ gas or p-H₂/propylene gas mixtures can be successfully loaded in commercial pressurized aluminum cans, where the gases can be stored for days without a substantial loss of potency for producing HP states. Specifically, the T_S decay of pure p-H₂ gas was demonstrated to be up to 6.4 ± 1.3 days in disposable aluminum storage cans. Similarly, the decay of p-H₂ in the p-H₂/propylene gas mixtures gave a T_S value of 6.0 ± 0.5 days. These relatively long lifetimes of the gas mixture potency allow for temporary storage and transportation of the hyperpolarizer, which was demonstrated in the example of gas mixture storage for approximately 4 h before utilization for phantom imaging studies using a clinical 3 T MRI scanner without any modifications to the scanner hardware or pulse sequences. The propane hyperpolarizer delivers a polarization value of up to 1.2% for each of the two HP resonances, and the device showed robust operation with respect to the gas flow rate, flow duration, and gas pressure. The device's robust performance enabled reproducible ventilation imaging of accessible air space in excised pig lungs, which was successfully demonstrated here for the first time for any proton-hyperpolarized sensing gas. Taken together, our propane hyperpolarizer can produce HP propane sensing gas on demand, which has been extensively validated by high-resolution NMR spectroscopy studies, phantom imaging 3 T MRI studies, and 0.35 T imaging studies in excised pig lungs. Although the hyperpolarizer tests in excised lungs cannot substitute for functional lung MRI scans in live animals (note that the pressure and dosing of the HP propane gas used here are expected to be similar to what would be used in such envisioned live-animal studies), the developments reported here bode well for a wide range of envisioned biomedical and clinical applications, including near-future studies in live animals.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssensors.3c01369>.

Additional experimental details, materials and methods, NMR spectra, time-resolved NMR spectroscopy, experimental setup schematic, MRI images, and annotated MATLAB code (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Eduard Y. Chekmenev – Department of Chemistry, Integrative Bio-sciences (Ibio), Karmanos Cancer Institute (KCI), Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States; Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow

119991, Russia; orcid.org/0000-0002-8745-8801;
Email: chekmenevlab@gmail.com

Authors

Nuwandi M. Ariyasingha – Department of Chemistry, Integrative Bio-sciences (Ibio), Karmanos Cancer Institute (KCI), Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States

Anna Samoilenko – Department of Chemistry, Integrative Bio-sciences (Ibio), Karmanos Cancer Institute (KCI), Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States

Jonathan R. Birchall – Department of Chemistry, Integrative Bio-sciences (Ibio), Karmanos Cancer Institute (KCI), Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States

Md Raduanul H. Chowdhury – Department of Chemistry, Integrative Bio-sciences (Ibio), Karmanos Cancer Institute (KCI), Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States

Oleg G. Salnikov – International Tomography Center SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia; orcid.org/0000-0003-2266-7335

Larisa M. Kovtunova – International Tomography Center SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia; Boreskov Institute of Catalysis SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

Valerii I. Bukhtiyarov – Boreskov Institute of Catalysis SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

David C. Zhu – Department of Radiology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, United States

Chunqi Qian – Department of Radiology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-4528-4572

Michael Bradley – Division of Laboratory Animal Resources, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States

Juri G. Gelovani – Department of Chemistry, Integrative Bio-sciences (Ibio), Karmanos Cancer Institute (KCI), Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, United States; United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain 15551, United Arab Emirates; Siriraj Hospital Mahidol University, 10700 Bangkok, Thailand

Igor V. Koptiyug – International Tomography Center SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia; orcid.org/0000-0003-3480-7649

Boyd M. Goodson – School of Chemical & Biomolecular Sciences, Materials Technology Center, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-6079-5077

Complete contact information is available at:
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssensors.3c01369>

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): E.Y.C. and B.M.G. declare a stake of ownership in XeUS Technologies LTD. E.Y.C. serves on the Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) and declares a stake of ownership in Vizma Life Sciences.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by DOD CDMRP W81XWH-20-10576, W81XWH-20-10578, NSF CHE-1905341 and CHE-1904780, NHLBI R21HL154032, and NHLBI

1F32HL160108. O.G.S. and I.V.K. acknowledge financial support of this research by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (grant no. 075-15-2020-779).

REFERENCES

- (1) Goodson, B. M.; Whiting, N.; Coffey, A. M.; Nikolaou, P.; Shi, F.; Gust, B. M.; Gemeinhardt, M. E.; Shchepin, R. V.; Skinner, J. G.; Birchall, J. R.; et al. Hyperpolarization Methods for MRS. *Emagres* **2015**, *4* (4), 797–810.
- (2) Nikolaou, P.; Goodson, B. M.; Chekmenev, E. Y. NMR Hyperpolarization Techniques for Biomedicine. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2015**, *21* (8), 3156–3166.
- (3) Goodson, B. M. Nuclear magnetic resonance of laser-polarized noble gases in molecules, materials, and organisms. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2002**, *155* (2), 157–216.
- (4) Eills, J.; Budker, D.; Cavagnero, S.; Chekmenev, E. Y.; Elliott, S. J.; Jannin, S.; Lesage, A.; Matysik, J.; Meersmann, T.; Prisner, T.; et al. Spin Hyperpolarization in Modern Magnetic Resonance. *Chem. Rev.* **2023**, *123* (4), 1417–1551.
- (5) Albert, M. S.; Cates, G. D.; Driehuys, B.; Happer, W.; Saam, B.; Springer, C. S.; Wishnia, A. Biological magnetic resonance imaging using laser-polarized ^{129}Xe . *Nature* **1994**, *370* (6486), 199–201.
- (6) Hövener, J.; Pravdivtsev, A. N.; Kidd, B.; Bowers, C. R.; Glöggler, S.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Plaumann, M.; Katz-Brull, R.; Buckenmaier, K.; Jerschow, A.; et al. Parahydrogen-based Hyperpolarization for Biomedicine. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (35), 11140–11162.
- (7) Barskiy, D. A.; Coffey, A. M.; Nikolaou, P.; Mikhaylov, D. M.; Goodson, B. M.; Branca, R. T.; Lu, G. J.; Shapiro, M. G.; Telkki, V.-V.; Zhivonitko, V. V.; et al. NMR Hyperpolarization Techniques of Gases. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2017**, *23* (4), 725–751.
- (8) Kovtunov, K. V.; Pokochueva, E. V.; Salnikov, O. G.; Cousin, S.; Kurzbach, D.; Vuichoud, B.; Jannin, S.; Chekmenev, E. Y.; Goodson, B. M.; Barskiy, D. A.; et al. Hyperpolarized NMR Spectroscopy: *d*-DNP, PHIP, and SABRE Techniques. *Chem.—Asian J.* **2018**, *13* (15), 1857–1871.
- (9) Mugler, J. P.; Altes, T. A. Hyperpolarized ^{129}Xe MRI of the human lung ^{129}Xe MRI of the human lung. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* **2013**, *37* (2), 313–331.
- (10) Walkup, L. L.; Woods, J. C. Translational applications of hyperpolarized ^3He and ^{129}Xe : Translational Applications of Hyperpolarized ^3He and ^{129}Xe . *NMR Biomed.* **2014**, *27* (12), 1429–1438.
- (11) Khan, A. S.; Harvey, R. L.; Birchall, J. R.; Irwin, R. K.; Nikolaou, P.; Schrank, G.; Emami, K.; Dummer, A.; Barlow, M. J.; Goodson, B. M.; et al. Enabling Clinical Technologies for Hyperpolarized ^{129}Xe Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60* (41), 22126–22147.
- (12) Bhaskar, N. D.; Happer, W.; McClelland, T. Efficiency of Spin Exchange Between Rubidium Spins And Xe-129 Nuclei In a Gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1982**, *49* (1), 25–28.
- (13) Kaushik, S. S.; Cleveland, Z. I.; Cofer, G. P.; Metz, G.; Beaver, D.; Nouis, J.; Kraft, M.; Auffermann, W.; Wolber, J.; McAdams, H. P.; et al. Diffusion-Weighted Hyperpolarized Xe-129 MRI in Healthy Volunteers and Subjects With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **2011**, *65* (4), 1155–1165.
- (14) Stephen, M. J.; Emami, K.; Woodburn, J. M.; Chia, E.; Kadlecsek, S.; Zhu, J.; Pickup, S.; Ishii, M.; Rizi, R. R.; Rossman, M. Quantitative Assessment of Lung Ventilation and Microstructure in an Animal Model of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis Using Hyperpolarized Gas MRI. *Acad. Radiol.* **2010**, *17* (11), 1433–1443.
- (15) Walker, T. G.; Happer, W. Spin-exchange optical pumping of noble-gas nuclei. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1997**, *69* (2), 629–642.
- (16) Zook, A. L.; Adhyaru, B. B.; Bowers, C. R. High capacity production of > 65% spin polarized xenon-129 for NMR spectroscopy and imaging. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2002**, *159* (2), 175–182.
- (17) Walker, T. G. Fundamentals of Spin-Exchange Optical Pumping. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2011**, *294*, 012001.
- (18) Rosen, M. S.; Chupp, T. E.; Coulter, K. P.; Welsh, R. C.; Swanson, S. D. Polarized Xe-129 optical pumping/spin exchange and delivery system for magnetic resonance spectroscopy and imaging studies. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1999**, *70* (2), 1546–1552.
- (19) Nikolaou, P.; Coffey, A. M.; Walkup, L. L.; Gust, B. M.; Whiting, N.; Newton, H.; Barcus, S.; Muradyan, I.; Dabaghyan, M.; Moroz, G. D.; et al. Near-unity nuclear polarization with an open-source ^{129}Xe hyperpolarizer for NMR and MRI ^{129}Xe hyperpolarizer for NMR and MRI. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2013**, *110* (35), 14150–14155.
- (20) Ariyasingha, N. M.; Salnikov, O. G.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Kovtunova, L. M.; Bukhtiyarov, V. I.; Goodson, B. M.; Rosen, M. S.; Koptuyug, I. V.; Gelovani, J. G.; Chekmenev, E. Y. Relaxation Dynamics of Nuclear Long-Lived Spin States in Propane and Propane-*d*6 Hyperpolarized by Parahydrogen. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123* (18), 11734–11744.
- (21) Birchall, J. R.; Irwin, R. K.; Nikolaou, P.; Coffey, A. M.; Kidd, B. E.; Murphy, M.; Molway, M.; Bales, L. B.; Ranta, K.; Barlow, M. J.; et al. XeUS: A second-generation automated open-source batch-mode clinical-scale hyperpolarizer. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2020**, *319*, 106813.
- (22) Schroder, L. Xenon for NMR biosensing - Inert but alert. *Phys. Med.* **2013**, *29* (1), 3–16.
- (23) Chen, R. Y. Z.; Fan, F. C.; Kim, S.; Jan, K. M.; Usami, S.; Chien, S. Tissue-blood partition coefficient for xenon: temperature and hematocrit dependence. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **1980**, *49* (2), 178–183.
- (24) Meloni, E. G.; Gillis, T. E.; Manoukian, J.; Kaufman, M. J. Xenon Impairs Reconsolidation of Fear Memories in a Rat Model of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). *PLoS One* **2014**, *9* (8), No. e106189.
- (25) Bouchard, L. S.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Burt, S. R.; Anwar, M. S.; Koptuyug, I. V.; Sagdeev, R. Z.; Pines, A. Para-hydrogen-enhanced hyperpolarized gas-phase magnetic resonance imaging. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46* (22), 4064–4068.
- (26) Bouchard, L. S.; Burt, S. R.; Anwar, M. S.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Koptuyug, I. V.; Pines, A. NMR imaging of catalytic hydrogenation in microreactors with the use of para-hydrogen. *Science* **2008**, *319* (5862), 442–445.
- (27) Kovtunov, K. V.; Barskiy, D. A.; Coffey, A. M.; Truong, M. L.; Salnikov, O. G.; Khudorozhkov, A. K.; Inozemtseva, E. A.; Prosvirin, I. P.; Bukhtiyarov, V. I.; Waddell, K. W.; et al. High-Resolution 3D Proton MRI of Hyperpolarized Gas Enabled by Parahydrogen and Rh/TiO₂ Heterogeneous Catalyst. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2014**, *20* (37), 11636–11639.
- (28) Kovtunov, K. V.; Romanov, A. S.; Salnikov, O. G.; Barskiy, D. A.; Chekmenev, E. Y.; Koptuyug, I. V. Gas Phase UTE MRI of Propane and Propene. *Tomography* **2016**, *2* (1), 49–55.
- (29) Ariyasingha, N. M.; Joalland, B.; Younes, H. R.; Salnikov, O. G.; Chukanov, N. V.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Kovtunova, L. M.; Bukhtiyarov, V. I.; Koptuyug, I. V.; Gelovani, J. G.; et al. Parahydrogen-Induced Polarization of Diethyl Ether Anesthetic. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 13621–13626.
- (30) Barskiy, D. A.; Salnikov, O. G.; Romanov, A. S.; Feldman, M. A.; Coffey, A. M.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Koptuyug, I. V.; Chekmenev, E. Y. NMR Spin-Lock Induced Crossing (SLIC) Dispersion and Long-Lived Spin States of Gaseous Propane at Low Magnetic Field (0.05 T). *J. Magn. Reson.* **2017**, *276*, 78–85.
- (31) Salnikov, O. G.; Nikolaou, P.; Ariyasingha, N. M.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Koptuyug, I. V.; Chekmenev, E. Y. Clinical-Scale Batch-Mode Production of Hyperpolarized Propane Gas for MRI. *Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *91* (7), 4741–4746.
- (32) Barskiy, D. A.; Kovtunov, K. V.; Gerasimov, E. Y.; Phipps, M. A.; Salnikov, O. G.; Coffey, A. M.; Kovtunova, L. M.; Prosvirin, I. P.; Bukhtiyarov, V. I.; Koptuyug, I. V.; et al. 2D Mapping of NMR Signal Enhancement and Relaxation for Heterogeneously Hyperpolarized Propane Gas. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *121* (18), 10038–10046.
- (33) Kovtunov, K. V.; Zhivonitko, V. V.; Skovpin, I. V.; Barskiy, D. A.; Koptuyug, I. V. Parahydrogen-induced polarization in heterogeneous catalytic processes. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2012**, *338*, 123–180.

- (34) Bowers, C. R.; Weitekamp, D. P. Transformation of Symmetrization Order to Nuclear-Spin Magnetization by Chemical-Reaction and Nuclear-Magnetic-Resonance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1986**, *57* (21), 2645–2648.
- (35) Eisenschmid, T. C.; Kirss, R. U.; Deutsch, P. P.; Hommeltoft, S. I.; Eisenberg, R.; Bargon, J.; Lawler, R. G.; Balch, A. L. Para Hydrogen Induced Polarization In Hydrogenation Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109* (26), 8089–8091.
- (36) Birchall, J. R.; Coffey, A. M.; Goodson, B. M.; Chekmenev, E. Y. High-Pressure Clinical-Scale 87% Parahydrogen Generator. *Anal. Chem.* **2020**, *92* (23), 15280–15284.
- (37) Nantogma, S.; Joalland, B.; Wilkens, K.; Chekmenev, E. Y. Clinical-Scale Production of Nearly Pure (>98.5%) Parahydrogen and Quantification by Benchtop NMR Spectroscopy. *Anal. Chem.* **2021**, *93* (7), 3594–3601.
- (38) Hoult, D. I.; Richards, R. E. The signal-to-noise ratio of the nuclear magnetic resonance experiment. *J. Magn. Reson.* **1976**, *24* (1), 71–85.
- (39) Kovtunov, K. V.; Koptuyg, I. V.; Fekete, M.; Duckett, S. B.; Theis, T.; Joalland, B.; Chekmenev, E. Y. Parahydrogen-induced Hyperpolarization of Gases. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59* (41), 17788–17797.
- (40) Feng, B.; Coffey, A. M.; Colon, R. D.; Chekmenev, E. Y.; Waddell, K. W. A pulsed injection parahydrogen generator and techniques for quantifying enrichment. *J. Magn. Reson.* **2012**, *214*, 258–262.
- (41) Dwyer, A. J.; Knop, R. H.; Hoult, D. I. Frequency shift artifacts in MR imaging. *J. Comput. Assist. Tomogr.* **1985**, *9* (1), 16–18.
- (42) Babcock, E. E.; Brateman, L.; Weinreb, J. C.; Horner, S. D.; Nunnally, R. L. Edge artifacts in MR images: chemical shift effect. *J. Comput. Assist. Tomogr.* **1985**, *9* (2), 252–257.
- (43) Hood, M. N.; Ho, V. B.; Smirniotopoulos, J. G.; Szumowski, J. Chemical shift: the artifact and clinical tool revisited. *Radiographics* **1999**, *19* (2), 357–371.
- (44) Kononenko, E. S.; Svyatova, A. I.; Skovpin, I. V.; Kovtunova, L. M.; Gerasimov, E. Y.; Koptuyg, I. V. Getting the Most out of Parahydrogen-Induced Signal Enhancement for MRI of Reacting Heterogeneous Systems. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2022**, *126* (35), 14914–14921.
- (45) Kopanski, A.; Hane, F.; Li, T.; Albert, M. ¹H Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Lungs Using Propane As An Inhalation Agent. *25th ISMRM Conference, April 22–27: Honolulu, HI, 2017*; p 2162.
- (46) McClung, R. E. D. Spin-Rotation Relaxation Theory. *eMagRes*; Wiley, 2007.
- (47) Kovtunov, K. V.; Truong, M. L.; Barskiy, D. A.; Salnikov, O. G.; Bukhtiyarov, V. I.; Coffey, A. M.; Waddell, K. W.; Koptuyg, I. V.; Chekmenev, E. Y. Propane-d₆ Heterogeneously Hyperpolarized by Parahydrogen. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118* (48), 28234–28243.
- (48) Kovtunov, K. V.; Truong, M. L.; Barskiy, D. A.; Koptuyg, I. V.; Coffey, A. M.; Waddell, K. W.; Chekmenev, E. Y. Long-lived Spin States for Low-field Hyperpolarized Gas MRI. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2014**, *20* (45), 14629–14632.
- (49) Iglesias, J. E.; Schleicher, R.; Laguna, S.; Billot, B.; Schaefer, P.; McKaig, B.; Goldstein, J. N.; Sheth, K. N.; Rosen, M. S.; Kimberly, W. T. Quantitative Brain Morphometry of Portable Low-Field-Strength MRI Using Super-Resolution Machine Learning. *Radiology* **2023**, *306* (3), No. e220522.
- (50) Zhao, E. W.; Maligal-Ganesh, R.; Xiao, C.; Goh, T.-W.; Qi, Z.; Pei, Y.; Hagelin-Weaver, H. E.; Huang, W.; Bowers, C. R. Silica-Encapsulated Pt-Sn Intermetallic Nanoparticles: A Robust Catalytic Platform for Parahydrogen-Induced Polarization of Gases and Liquids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56* (14), 3925–3929.
- (51) Burdock, G. A.; Carabin, I. G. Generally recognized as safe (GRAS): history and description. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2004**, *150* (1), 3–18.
- (52) McKee, R. H.; Herron, D.; Saperstein, M.; Podhasky, P.; Hoffman, G. M.; Roberts, L. The Toxicological Properties of Petroleum Gases. *Int. J. Toxicol.* **2014**, *33*, 28S–51S.
- (53) Hijazi, R.; Taylor, D.; Richardson, J. Effect of topical alkane vapocoolant spray on pain with intravenous cannulation in patients in emergency departments: randomised double blind placebo controlled trial. *Br. Med. J.* **2009**, *338*, b215.
- (54) National Research Council. *Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals: Vol. 12*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, 2012; p 334.
- (55) Reinhardt, C. F.; Azar, A.; Maxfield, M. E.; Smith, P. E.; Mullin, L. S. Cardiac Arrhythmias and Aerosol “Sniffing”. *Arch. Environ. Health* **1971**, *22* (2), 265–279.
- (56) Committee on Acute Exposure Guideline, Levels Committee on Toxicology Board on Environmental Studies Toxicology, Division on Earth Life Studies, National Research Council. *Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals: Vol. 12*; National Academies Press (US): Washington (DC), 2012.