

# An Experimental Prototype for Multistatic Asynchronous ISAC

Marco Canil marco.canil@phd.unipd.it University of Padova Padova, Italy Jacopo Pegoraro jacopo.pegoraro@unipd.it University of Padova Padova, Italy Jesus O. Lacruz jesusomar.lacruz@imdea.org IMDEA Networks Madrid, Spain Marco Mezzavilla mezzavilla@nyu.edu New York University New York, United States

Michele Rossi michele.rossi@unipd.it University of Padova Padova, Italy

Joerg Widmer joerg.widmer@imdea.org IMDEA Networks Madrid, Spain Sundeep Rangan srangan@nyu.edu New York University New York, United States

# **ABSTRACT**

We prototype and validate a *multistatic* millimeter-wave (mmWave) Integrated Sensing And Communication (ISAC) system based on IEEE 802.11ay. Compensation of the clock asynchrony between each transmitter (TX) and receiver (RX) pair is performed using the sole line-of-sight (LoS) wireless signal propagation. As a result, our system provides concurrent target tracking and micro-Doppler ( $\mu$ D) estimation from multiple points of view, paving the way for practical multistatic data fusion. Our results on human movement sensing, complemented with precise, quantitative ground-truth (GT) data, demonstrate the enhanced sensing capabilities of multistatic ISAC, due to the spatial diversity of the RX nodes.

# **KEYWORDS**

Integrated Sensing and Communication, Millimeter-wave Sensing, Human Sensing, Multistatic Radar, micro-Doppler, IEEE802.11ay

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

Marco Canil, Jacopo Pegoraro, Jesus O. Lacruz, Marco Mezzavilla, Michele Rossi, Joerg Widmer, and Sundeep Rangan. 2023. An Experimental Prototype for Multistatic Asynchronous ISAC. In ACM Workshop on mmWave Sensing Systems and Applications (mmWaveSys '23), November 12, 2023, Istanbul, Turkiye. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3628357.3629710

### 1 INTRODUCTION

Integrated Sensing And Communication (ISAC) reuses wireless network devices for sensing the surroundings by applying the radar principles to communication signals. The strength of this approach lies in the ubiquity of wireless communication devices which avoids the costly deployment of dedicated sensors and allows exploiting spatial diversity and boost sensing accuracy thanks to *multistatic* settings [1]. However, communication devices are based on separated TX and RX nodes with *asynchronous* local oscillators (LOs), which make conventional radar techniques unusable [4]. Several methods exist to mitigate the impact of such asynchrony [4], but none have been experimentally validated on multistatic scenarios.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial International 4.0 License.

mmWaveSys '23, November 12, 2023, Istanbul, Turkiye

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0441-3/23/11.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3628357.3629710

We fill this gap by presenting the first experimental prototype of asynchronous and multistatic ISAC in the mmWave frequency band, based on the IEEE 802.11ay standard at 60 GHz. The LO asynchrony is compensated for by exploiting the LoS signal between each TX and RX pair. Our results on human movement sensing show that the system can accurately track people and estimate their  $\mu$ D signature from multiple points of view, providing enriched and more reliable movement features for advanced sensing applications (e.g., activity recognition). Finally, our measurements are supplemented with marker-based motion tracking GT data, providing, for the first time, a reliable reference to assess both  $\mu$ D and tracking traces.

#### 2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The system is composed of one TX and two RX antenna arrays exchanging single-carrier IEEE 802.11ay data packets. Every packet includes 12 beam-training (TRN) fields, each transmitted using a different antenna beam pattern (BP), b, that are used to estimate the channel impulse response (CIR). The CIR estimates are processed to remove the timing offset (TO) and the carrier frequency offset (CFO) caused by the clocks asynchrony and are used to track the movement of a subject and to extract its  $\mu$ D signature.

#### 2.1 Channel Model

At a specific time k, the estimated CIR is represented as a vector of L complex channel gains, called taps, and indicized by  $\ell$ , each corresponding to a specific propagation delay, and, therefore, path length. Indicating with T the time between two consecutive CIR estimations, with  $N_{\ell}(kT)$  the number of reflections corresponding to tap  $\ell$ , and with  $A_n(kT)$  the complex signal attenuation coefficient, the CIR is expressed as  $h(k,\ell) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\ell}(kT)} A_n(kT) e^{j2\pi [f_{D,n}(kT) + f_{\rm off}(kT)]kT}$ , where  $f_{D,n}(\cdot)$  and  $f_{\rm off}(\cdot)$  represent, respectively, the Doppler frequency induced by targets motion in path n and the CFO. Each TRN field is transmitted with a different BP, corresponding to a different direction and yielding a different CIR, denoted by  $h_b(k,\ell)$ .

# 2.2 Target tracking and μD extraction

**TO compensation.** As explained in [3], it is fundamental to compensate for the TO in order to correctly localize a target. In this work, we assume that a LoS path between TX and RX is available and we use it as a common reference to align the CIR estimates in time. For that, according to a dynamic threshold, we detect the peaks in the CIR magnitude and select the first one, as the LoS always corresponds to the shortest path. After that, the CIR is shifted

Figure 1: Experimental results and measurement setup scheme.

(a) Sit/stand μD with GT. (b) Walk. μD + GT (RX1). (c) Walking μD (RX2-P1). (d) Walking μD (RX2-P3). (e) Example of tracking

to make the LoS peak aligned with the first CIR tap. This operation is repeated for all time steps k and all BPs b.

**Target detection.** After this operation, to facilitate the detection of dynamic targets, static paths are removed from the CIRs. Since static paths are constant across time, we consider the time-averaged CIR, denoted as  $\bar{h}_b(\ell)$ , to be a good estimate of the static background. Then, the foreground CIR amplitude is computed as  $\tilde{h}_b(k,\ell) = \max(|h_b(k,l)| - \bar{h}_b(l),0)$ . At this point, dynamic target detections at time k are computed by applying a peak detection algorithm to  $\sum_{b=0}^{N_b-1} (\tilde{h}_b(k,\ell))^2$ , being  $N_b$  the number of BPs. Finally, assuming the locations of TX and RXs are known, exploiting bistatic geometry [3] we compute the distance of the target from TX and the path's angle of departure, thus, localizing the target.

**Target tracking.** Based on the detected target locations, an Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) is built to track the movement of the targets, assuming they approximately follow a constant velocity model, with Gaussian process and measurement noise [3].

**CFO compensation and**  $\mu$ **D extraction.** To compute the  $\mu$ D spectrograms, we utilize windows of  $N_{\rm W}$  subsequently received packets. However, since the TX and RXs LOs are not precisely synchronized, every received packet is affected by a different CFO that spoils the  $\mu$ D reconstruction. Noting that every tap of a CIR have the same CFO, it is possible to isolate the CFO from a static path and use it to correct all paths. In our case, we use the LoS path as a reference to extract the CFO. Let  $\phi_{\rm off}(k) = 2\pi f_{\rm off}(kT)kT$  be the phase of the LoS path at time k. Then, the CFO-corrected CIR is given by  $h_b'(k,\ell) = e^{-j\phi_{\rm off}(k)}h_b(k,\ell)$ . After the correction, the resulting CIR can be used to compute the target's  $\mu$ D spectrogram as in [3].

# 3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Implementation and Dataset. The experimental setup is a customization of MIMORPH [2], based on the 60 GHz IEEE 802.11ay standard. It comprises two Xilinx Zynq UltraScale+ RFSoC ZCU111 boards (one for TX and one for RXs) and three Sivers EVK06002/00 antenna arrays with a radio frequency bandwidth of 1.76 GHz, sending packets every 270  $\mu$ s. For  $\mu$ D extraction, we adopted  $N_{\rm W}=256$ , leading to a maximum resolvable Doppler shift of 1851.85 Hz, with a resolution of 14.47 Hz. TX and RXs are disposed according to three possible different setups, as shown in Fig. 1f. TX and RX1 are always positioned at the same locations, while RX2 is located at P1, P2, or P3, based on the setup. RXs point towards the movement area, and employ a quasi-omnidirectional BP, which ensures a good signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for both communication and sensing purposes. We acquired data from both RXs simultaneously. In total, we collected 72 sequences, 24 per setup, 36 per array. Within each

setup, the subject performed two activities: walking along an oval trajectory or sitting down/standing up from a chair. Moreover, we acquired ground-truth data through a marker-based motion capture system. Markers were placed on the antenna arrays and on subject's wrists, head, and shoulder. We use the head markers to identify the location of the subject, and the shoulder and wrist markers as an estimate of the chest and arms movement, respectively.

(f) Setup scheme.

**Results.** Fig. 1b-d show the  $\mu$ D obtained from the same walking activity when reflections are captured at different locations. We observe that the frequency of the Doppler shift decreases in P2 and P3. Since the bistatic angle  $\beta$  (the angle between TX and RX with vertex at the target) affects the Doppler frequency through a multiplicative factor  $\xi = \cos(\beta/2)$ , moving RX2 to P2 and P3 corresponds to approaching  $\beta = \pi$ , and, therefore,  $\xi$  decreases. Hence, our observation is in line with what expected from the theory. Fig. 1a-b show the  $\mu$ D reconstruction along with the estimate from the GT data. In Fig. 1a, with sit/stand activity, body parts undergo a similar movement and this is reflected in both  $\mu$ D and the GT curves having the same shape. In Fig. 1b, the strongest  $\mu$ D component follows the movement of the chest, the largest body part, while the wrists movement align with the weaker components. Fig. 1e shows a sample tracking result, where in blue we show the EKF output.

# 4 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we presented the first experimental setup for asynchronous and multistatic ISAC in the mmWave frequency band. We collected a dataset featuring 1 TX and 2 RXs simultaneously receiving IEEE 802.11ay data packets. The dataset is supplemented with GT data that allows comparing, for the first time,  $\mu$ D traces with a quantitative and reliable reference. We show that LoS-based asynchrony resolution allows for accurate multistatic sensing without any kind of synchronization. This paves the way to new research to combine the information received at different nodes.

# REFERENCES

- Zixiang Han, Lincong Han, Xiaozhou Zhang, Yajuan Wang, Liang Ma, Mengting Lou, Jing Jin, and Guangyi Liu. 2023. Multistatic Integrated Sensing and Communication System in Cellular Networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12994 (2023).
- [2] Jesus O. Lacruz, Rafael Ruiz Ortiz, and Joerg Widmer. 2021. A Real-Time Experimentation Platform for Sub-6 GHz and Millimeter-Wave MIMO Systems (MobiSys '21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 427–439.
- [3] Jacopo Pegoraro, Jesus O Lacruz, Tommy Azzino, Marco Mezzavilla, Michele Rossi, Joerg Widmer, and Sundeep Rangan. 2023. JUMP: Joint communication and sensing with Unsynchronized transceivers Made Practical. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.07766 (2023).
- [4] J Andrew Zhang, Kai Wu, Xiaojing Huang, Y Jay Guo, Daqing Zhang, and Robert W Heath. 2022. Integration of radar sensing into communications with asynchronous transceivers. IEEE Communications Magazine 60, 11 (2022), 106–112.