

# Iron nutrition and COVID-19 among Nigerian healthcare workers

Katherine Wander<sup>\*1</sup>, Olayinka O Ogunleye<sup>2,3</sup>, Evelyn N Nwagu<sup>4</sup>, Uche S Unigwe<sup>5</sup>, Amelia N Odo<sup>4</sup>, Chinedu M Chukwubike<sup>6</sup>, Sunday A Omilabu<sup>7,8</sup>, Olumuyiwa B Salu<sup>7,8</sup>, Bukola S Owolabi<sup>9</sup>, Bodunrin I Osikomaiya<sup>10,11</sup>, Samuel O Ebede<sup>12</sup>, Abimbola Bowale<sup>13</sup>, Abimbola O Olaitan<sup>14,5</sup>, Christopher U Chukwu<sup>15</sup>, Chibuzo O Ndiokwelu<sup>16</sup>, Chioma Edu-Alamba<sup>6</sup>, Constance Azubuike<sup>6</sup>, Oluwasegun A Odubiyi<sup>17</sup>, Yusuf A Hassan<sup>18</sup>, Nifemi Oloniniyi<sup>19</sup>, Muiyiwa Kelvin Akinrinlola<sup>19</sup>, Rashidat Abiola Raheem<sup>20</sup>, Amina Saliu<sup>20</sup>, Ololade O Fadipe<sup>18</sup>, Roosevelt A Anyanwu<sup>7</sup>, Mercy R Orenolu<sup>7</sup>, Maryam A Abdullah<sup>7</sup>, Onyinye D Ishaya<sup>21</sup>, Chinenye J Agulefo<sup>7</sup>, Iorhen E Akase<sup>22,23</sup>, Megan E Gauck<sup>1</sup>, Zifan Huang<sup>24</sup>, Mei-Hsiu Chen<sup>24</sup>, Titilayo A Okoror<sup>25</sup>, Masako Fujita<sup>26</sup>

1 Department of Anthropology, Binghamton University, Binghamton, New York, USA

2 Department of Medicine, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria

3 Department of Pharmacology, Therapeutics and Toxicology, Lagos State University College of Medicine, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria

4 Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu Nigeria

5 Department of Medicine, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

6 Molecular Virology Unit, Department of Microbiology UNTH, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

7 Centre for Human and Zoonotic Virology, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Idi-Araba, Lagos, Nigeria

8 Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Idi-Araba, Lagos, Nigeria

9 Department of Surgery, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Idi-Araba, Mushin, Lagos, Nigeria

10 Lagos State Blood Transfusion Service, Lagos, Nigeria

11 Department of Haematology, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria

12 Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

13 Lagos State Civil Service, Lagos, Nigeria

14 Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu, Ogun, Nigeria

15 Family Medicine Department, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

16 Department of Paediatrics, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

52  
53 17 Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria  
54  
55 18 Medical Emergency Unit, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria  
56  
57 19 Mainland Hospital, Yaba, Lagos, Nigeria  
58  
59 20 Lagos State Health Service Commission, Lagos, Nigeria  
60  
61 21 Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Garba Nadama, Sokoto, Nigeria  
62  
63 22 Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Idi-Araba, Mushin, Lagos, Nigeria  
64  
65 23 College of Medicine, University of Lagos (CMUL), Idi-Araba, Lagos, Nigeria  
66  
67 24 Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Binghamton University, Binghamton, New York,  
68 USA  
69  
70 25 Department of Africana Studies, Binghamton University, Binghamton, New York, USA  
71  
72 26 Department of Anthropology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA  
73  
74 \*Corresponding author: katherinewander@binghamton.edu  
75  
76 Abstract word count: 247  
77 Main text word count: 4573  
78  
79 Keywords: optimal iron hypothesis, emerging infectious disease, evolutionary epidemiology,  
80 SARS-CoV-2

## Abstract

*Background and objectives:* The optimal iron hypothesis (OIH) posits that risk for infection is lowest at a mild level of iron deficiency. The extent to which this protection results from arms race dynamics in the evolution of iron acquisition and sequestration mechanisms is unclear. We evaluated the OIH with regard to SARS-CoV-2, an emerging infectious agent.

*Methodology:* We tested 304 healthcare workers at baseline for iron deficiency (zinc protoporphyrin:heme), anemia (hemoglobin), and SARS-CoV-2 (salivary PCR), and followed them for ~3 months with bi-weekly SARS-CoV-2 tests. We fit logistic regression models based on Akaike Information Criterion.

*Results:* Adequate data were available for 199 participants. Iron replete (OR: 2.87, 95% CI: 0.85, 9.75) and anemia (OR: 2.48; 95% CI: 0.82, 7.85) were associated with higher risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection after control for covariates. Logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards models of the SARS-CoV-2 outcome were similar. Anemia (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 0.88, 3.71) was associated with respiratory symptoms regardless of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

*Conclusions and implications:* These findings provide partial support for the OIH: SARS-CoV-2 infection risk was elevated at the high end of the range of iron availability; however, elevated risk among those with anemia was not, as expected, specific to severe iron deficiency. Narrowly, for COVID-19 epidemiology, these findings accord with evidence that SARS-CoV-2's ability to establish an infection is enhanced by access to iron. More broadly, these findings suggest that the OIH does not hinge on a long history of evolutionary arms race dynamics in access to host iron.

**Lay Summary:** Iron is necessary for both human hosts and infectious agents, including viruses. We tested whether iron nutrition affected risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID-19, and found that risk was higher in the iron replete state (the "best" iron nutrition) and the anemic state.

## Background and objectives

Iron nutrition can have multiple, complex effects on infectious disease risk. Both immune cells and infectious agents require iron to support their function. Because iron that is available to host cells is also available to infectious agents, it may be that risk for at least some infectious diseases is decreased by iron intake that is inadequate to meet the body's overall iron needs. In other words, mild iron deficiency, relative to either the iron replete state or severe iron deficiency, may be optimal, at least for infectious disease risk [1–4]. We have found support for this hypothesis in multiple settings in sub-Saharan Africa [1,5,6]. Here, we test whether iron deficiency affects risk for infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causal agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), among healthcare workers (HCW) in Nigeria in 2021-22.

Testing the optimal iron hypothesis (OIH) with regard to SARS-CoV-2 risk is important to understanding the evolutionary grounding of this hypothesis. Iron is a limiting resource for many infectious agents. Viruses rely on host iron to efficiently replicate within infected cells, and employ multiple and overlapping mechanisms to access host iron [7,8]. While evidence of evolutionary arms race dynamics between infectious agent and host is most apparent for bacterial pathogens—whose abilities to extract host iron, even in the face of multiple host mechanisms to sequester it, provide clear evidence of the iterative nature of this arms race [9–11]—it is likely that these dynamics are also at play for intracellular access to iron for many viruses [7,12,13]. It is against the background of these evolutionary arms race dynamics that we have posited that, as infectious agents evolve mechanisms to subvert iron sequestration and extract host iron, nutritional iron deficiency may hinder infections and lower infectious disease risk or severity. SARS-CoV-2, as an emerging infectious agent (or emerging infectious disease, EID), may be poorly adapted to humans' iron sequestration and withholding mechanisms. If iron sequestration is effective in limiting the iron available to support SARS-CoV-2 replication, there is unlikely to be any hazard to the iron replete state (or conversely, any benefit to the iron

deficient state). Instead, those who are iron replete may have the best delivery of iron to immune defense and so lowest risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

However, some evidence suggests that iron is particularly salient to risk for infection with SARS-CoV-2. SARS-CoV-2 attacks hemoglobin, freeing iron from porphyrins and increasing free iron [14,15]. This free iron plays a role in COVID-19's pathogenesis [14], and a positive association between iron availability and disease severity has been reported among COVID-19 patients [16]. As with some other viruses that affect iron homeostasis, however, it remains unclear the extent to which these effects enhance SARS-CoV-2's ability to establish an infection or persist in the host (or whether these effects are byproducts of viral proliferation) [7]. Lower risk for SARS-CoV-2 among those with blood type O [17–19] has been suggested to be attributable to lower circulating iron availability [14], but this is far from definitive evidence that iron is central to SARS-CoV-2's ability to establish an infection. Initial investigations of hemochromatosis as a risk factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection have mixed results, but overall present limited evidence that one disease-associated allele (and resultant higher plasma iron) may increase risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection or a more severe course of COVID-19 [20,21].

Thus, overall, there is reason to expect iron deficiency increases risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection *and* reason to expect that it reduces risk: If SARS-CoV-2 is poorly adapted to humans' many mechanisms of iron withholding and sequestration, then an iron replete host and iron deficient host are unlikely to differ in the availability of iron for SARS-CoV-2, and iron deficient hosts may be at higher risk for infection due to weakened immune defense. On the other hand, SARS-CoV-2 may need free iron, generated by attacking host hemoglobin, to enhance its ability to establish an infection and efficiently replicate in host cells, in which case an iron replete host may have higher risk for infection. We assessed the impact of iron nutrition on risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Our goals in this project were two-fold: adding nuance to our understanding of the OIH and its place in the broader study of the evolutionary dynamics of humans and pathogens, and expanding understanding of COVID-19 epidemiology.

## Methodology

### *Participants and setting*

We collected data in three hospitals in Lagos state and one hospital in Enugu state in Nigeria. Participants were healthcare workers (HCW) in units most likely to treat COVID-19 patients (dedicated COVID-19 wards and medical emergency wards). We invited all HCW, including both providers (physicians and nurses) and support staff, from selected wards to participate, until target sample sizes (200 in Lagos, 100 in Enugu) were met. Hospital employees who held administrative roles that did not involve contact with patients were not included. Participation included an initial visit, at which hypothesized risk and protective factors were characterized and the first COVID-19 test was performed, followed by weekly surveys for symptoms and bi-weekly COVID-19 testing. (PCR positivity persists for ~2 weeks, often longer [22,23], so while this testing interval did not allow us to pinpoint when participants became positive, it was unlikely to miss many cases.)

We obtained written informed consent from all participants. The Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) of Lagos State University Teaching Hospital (LASUTH), the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), and the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH) provided ethical review and oversight. Binghamton University's IRB relied on the findings and oversight of LASUTH and UNTH. All procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the review boards and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments.

### *Survey instruments*

The initial survey instrument asked all participants to describe basic personal characteristics (e.g., date of birth), household size and composition information, their role within the hospital (physician/nurse/other), their highest degree earned, COVID-19 vaccination status, past positive COVID-19 tests, and past diagnoses with diabetes or other chronic disease. Follow up weekly surveys asked participants to report any of a list of infectious disease

symptoms, which included COVID-19 specific symptoms (e.g., loss of taste and smell) and respiratory infectious diseases more generally (e.g., fever, cough); follow up surveys also asked participants to report any new COVID-19 vaccination received or positive COVID-19 test from a source outside the study.

### *Anthropometry*

At the initial data collection, we characterized weight and height with the hospitals' standard equipment.

### *Hematology*

A trained phlebotomist collected venous blood at the initial data collection. Specimens were transported on ice to the Hematology Laboratory at the Mainland Hospital (Lagos) or the Molecular Virology Laboratory at UNTH (Enugu), where we assessed whole blood specimens for hemoglobin (Hb) using a HemoCue Hb 301 hemoglobinometer; zinc protoporphyrin to heme ratio (ZPP:H) using a hematofluorometer (ProtoFluor-Z, Helena Laboratories); and glycated hemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) using an Infopia Clover A1c analyzer in Lagos and a SimmplexTAS analyzer in Enugu.

### *Virology*

Participants provided saliva specimens in sterile containers at the initial data collection and biweekly for 3 months. ~1-2 ml of whole saliva was transported on ice to the Department of Medical Microbiology Research Laboratory, at the College of Medicine of the University of Lagos (Lagos) or the Molecular Virology Laboratory at UNTH (Enugu) and stored at -60°C until analysis. Following manufacturer's instructions, we extracted viral nucleic acid from inactivated specimens using a small spin column RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Maryland, USA). We amplified and reverse transcribed purified ribonucleic acid (RNA) into complementary DNA using the GeneFinder COVID19 Plus RealAmp RT-PCR test kit. This kit employs qRT-PCR for the qualitative identification of the SARS-CoV-2 RdRp, N, and E genes. We considered results

valid if internal control and cycle threshold values were within the kit manufacturer's acceptable ranges.

### *Statistical analyses*

We parameterized biomarker variables as follows: Diabetes, HbA1c  $\geq 6.5\%$ ; anemia, Hb  $< 13.0$  mg/dl for males and Hb  $< 12.0$  mg/dl for females [24]; iron deficiency, ZPP:H  $\geq 70$   $\mu\text{mol/mol}$  [25]. Because consensus around a ZPP:H definition for iron deficiency is lacking, with published cutpoints ranging from 40 to 80  $\mu\text{mol/mol}$  [1,25–29], and in recognition of the arbitrary nature of cutpoint-based definitions, we also trialed cutpoints of ZPP:H  $\geq 80$   $\mu\text{mol/mol}$  for iron deficiency and ZPP:H  $< 40$   $\mu\text{mol/mol}$  for iron replete [1]. We treated no response as missing information for survey items, with the exception of previous diagnoses, weekly symptoms, COVID-19 vaccination, or co-resident household members; for these variables, we assumed blank responses indicated “no” or “0” (as “no” or “0” were rarely recorded responses).

We excluded participants from analyses if they missed more than two scheduled PCR tests. We then considered any positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test the primary outcome of interest. Other outcomes of interest include symptomatic COVID-19 (a positive PCR test combined with cough, sore throat, fever, shortness of breath, and/or loss of taste/smell), and symptomatic respiratory infection (reported cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, fever, and/or loss of taste/smell, regardless of SARS-CoV-2 PCR test results).

We fit logistic regression models for each outcome of interest, using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) to select the best fit model among nested models. Predictors of interest included iron deficiency or replete (by ZPP:H) and anemia (by Hb), as well as the interaction between them (the OIH predicts an interaction between iron deficiency and anemia such that a protective effect of iron deficiency is limited to those without anemia, or mild to moderate iron deficiency). We considered COVID-19 vaccination, diabetes, overweight (body mass index, BMI,  $\geq 25$ ) and/or obesity (BMI  $\geq 30$ ), hospital role, study site, and household size (number of reported co-resident children and adults) as potential confounding variables.



## Results

### *Descriptive analyses*

304 participants initially enrolled in the study; adequate data for analysis was available for 199. A total of 105 individuals were excluded from the analysis set: five individuals were assigned a study ID and then declined to further participate; an additional 71 were excluded for missing 3 or more PCR tests; an additional 23 were excluded for missing BMI; an additional 5 individuals were excluded for missing HbA<sub>1c</sub>; and an additional 1 was excluded for missing hospital role. The 199 participants included in analyses were not markedly different in characteristics from the initial 304 participants (Table 1).

Both iron deficiency (16%) and anemia (33%) were common. 11% of participants tested positive at least once for SARS-CoV-2 during the data collection period. Symptomatic COVID-19 was uncommon among our participants, likely due to high rates of vaccination. (Most vaccinated participants reported receiving the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine, which has better efficacy for preventing severe or symptomatic disease than infection [30–32].)

The majority of positive test results occurred early in the evaluation period, including 41% of observed cases on the first test date. As such, we relied primarily on logistic regression analyses to test the hypothesized relationships between iron deficiency, anemia, and SARS-CoV-2 infection.

[TABLE 1 HERE]

### *SARS-CoV-2 infection*

Comparisons of models by AIC did not support inclusion of iron deficiency variables (ZPP:H  $\geq 70$  or  $\geq 80$   $\mu\text{mol/mol}$ ) in models of the SARS-CoV-2 outcome, alone or in interaction with anemia. Model selection by AIC did support inclusion of iron replete (ZPP:H  $< 40$   $\mu\text{mol/mol}$  definition) in the final model, as well as anemia, age (in  $\sim 10$ -year increments of 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, and 50+), lean BMI (BMI  $< 25$ ), household size including only adults (stratified as small

or <4, medium or 4-5, and large or >5), and study site (Table 2). SARS-CoV-2 infection occurred more often among iron replete HCW (OR: 2.87, 95% CI: 0.85, 9.75; Table 3) and those with anemia (OR: 2.48; 95% CI: 0.82, 7.85). SARS-CoV-2 infection also occurred more often among older participants, was less common among those with BMI < 25, and occurred more often among those in the Enugu study site.

We also estimated a Cox proportional hazards (CPH) regression model with the same predictor variables. CPH models have the advantage of accounting for time at risk; however, the preponderance of events early in the monitoring period limit our ability to rely on these models. An additional 20 participants (19 negative and 1 positive) were excluded from these models due to missing information on exact dates for at least one PCR test. These models showed similar patterns to the logistic regression model (Supplemental Information Table S1).

[TABLES 2 AND 3 HERE]

#### *Respiratory infection*

Comparisons of models by AIC did not support inclusion of any iron nutrition variables in models of the symptoms of respiratory infectious disease outcome (fever, cough, sore throat, shortness of breath, and/or loss of taste or smell). Using AIC, the final model for respiratory symptoms included anemia, age (in 10-year increments), sex, household size (adults and children, continuous), lean BMI, and study site (Table 4). Like SARS-CoV-2 infection, respiratory infectious disease symptoms were more common among HCW with anemia (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 0.88, 3.71), although this pattern was more pronounced for SARS-CoV-2 infection than for respiratory symptoms (Table 5). Unlike SARS-CoV-2 infection, symptoms of respiratory infection declined with age (OR: 0.68, 95% CI: 0.48, 0.96). Respiratory infection symptoms also increased with household size and were more common in Enugu.

[TABLES 4 AND 5 HERE]

Thus, in sum, our models suggest that iron replete was positively associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection and anemia was positively associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection and

symptoms of respiratory infection more generally. This is partially consistent with the OIH—in that it suggests SARS-CoV-2 infection risk is higher among those with more iron available—although we would also have expected that association to extend to respiratory infections more broadly (as captured by fever, cough, shortness of breath, and sore throat). The positive associations between anemia and both SARS-CoV-2 infection and respiratory infection are also consistent with our expectations of higher risk for infectious disease when nutritional strain is enough to compromise immunity. However, iron status did not interact with anemia in the way that we predicted (a protective effect of mild/moderate iron deficiency; i.e., a protective effect of iron deficiency only among those who were not anemic), and so we cannot attribute the positive association between anemia and COVID-19 or infectious symptoms to severe iron deficiency alone.

Broadly, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that abundant host iron nutrition increases risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

## **Conclusions and implications**

### *The optimal iron hypothesis*

The impact of iron nutrition on infectious disease risk results from multiple complex interactions between immunity, nutrition, and infectious agents that is almost certainly simplified by the optimal iron hypothesis. Iron nutrition is dynamic, and not only affects, but is affected by, infectious disease processes: Infectious agents in the gastrointestinal tract can cause blood loss or compete with the host for dietary iron [33–37]. Others, including SARS-CoV-2, cause destruction of erythrocytes or hemoglobin, disrupting the use of iron for oxygen transportation and increasing free iron in a way that is damaging to host tissues [35,36]. The immune response to infection likely increases iron demands, while also severely limiting iron absorption and sequestering iron away from cellular use, redistributing it to more secure compartments (e.g., bound to ferritin within macrophages), which some infectious agents have evolved to exploit

[9,38–43]. The complexity of these dynamics across infectious agents, environments, and hosts tremendously complicates investigations of the OIH. Understanding the conditions in which iron deficiency is and is not protective will be important in further understanding the evolutionary dynamics of human infectious agents, and how these affect iron nutrition and disease vulnerability.

One interesting question within investigations of the OIH regards the role of evolutionary arms race dynamics. We have argued here and elsewhere [1,5,6] that protective effects of iron deficiency against infection arise at least in part from these arms race evolutionary dynamics between humans and our infectious agents: infectious agents' iron acquisition mechanisms have an evolutionary advantage in their faster generation times, and many have overcome multiple iron defense and sequestration mechanisms, and so even in the presence of complex feedback pathways between iron nutrition and infection, across a broad range of infectious agents, environments, and hosts, we expect restricted iron intake and absorption to reduce risk for infection. However, empirical evidence to evaluate the question, "Do evolutionary arms race dynamics create conditions in which iron deficiency may constitute a nutritional adaptation to infectious disease?" is limited. We posit that EID can address this question, as emerging infectious agents are often poorly adapted to the human host and lack a long history of evolutionary arms race dynamics with humans. Here, by assessing iron nutrition and risk for an EID (COVID-19, or SARS-CoV-2 infection), we begin to assess whether the OIH is indeed contingent on a long evolutionary arms race for host iron.

Overall, our analyses suggest that the OIH is partially supported for at least one EID: the iron replete state seems to increase risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection. This counters our assertion that arms race dynamics contributed to selection for iron deficiency as a nutritional adaptation to infectious disease. We also found that anemia seems to increase risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection, but that this risk is not limited to iron deficiency anemia. This provides much more limited evidence for the predicted protective effect of mild iron deficiency (relative to either the replete

or severe deficiency states) than we have previously documented [5,6], but does counter the expectation that the OIH is relevant primarily or exclusively for infectious agents that have evolved to subvert or exploit mechanisms of iron withholding. While this is only one EID, and our findings are not conclusive on their own, they do suggest that a long history of arms race dynamics is not necessary for nutritional adaptations that restrict iron availability to infectious agents to have a protective effect against infection. Alternatively, SARS-CoV-2, through arms race dynamics with other mammalian host organisms [44], may have been pre-adapted to subvert human iron sequestration mechanisms, providing a poor test of the role of arms race dynamics in the OIH.

We found elevated risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection in the most iron replete state, rather than lower risk in the state of frank iron deficiency. This may be explained by differences across studies in iron deficiency biomarkers and cutpoints (e.g., our cutpoint for iron replete represents the low end of the range of values used to define iron deficiency); it may also arise from real differences across samples, settings, or infectious agents in associations between iron nutrition and infection risk. Tradeoffs in iron nutrition, between iron available to host cells and infectious agents, may exist in some settings without pushing optimal iron into the range of deficiency. Nonetheless, our results clearly suggest replete iron nutrition (as indicated by ZPP:H, which is specific to iron nutrition but lacks consensus around cutpoints [25,27–29,45]) is associated with elevated risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Our results may also indicate that anemia (as indicated by Hb, which is affected by iron nutrition as well as multiple other factors affecting erythropoiesis [46,47]) is associated with elevated risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection. This may suggest, as the OIH predicts, higher risk at both ends of the range of iron availability. However, the effect of anemia among our participants was not limited to iron deficiency anemia, limiting the interpretability of this result with regard to the OIH.

In contrast to our findings for SARS-CoV-2 infection, we found little support for the OIH with regard to respiratory infections in general—iron nutrition was unassociated with disease

366 risk. This was unexpected, in light of previous work. However, data in support of the OIH to date  
367 come largely from children [1,5,48] and postpartum mothers [6], including those living through  
368 sustained droughts [1,6]. The divergent findings in this study may point to participants'  
369 underlying capacity for immune defense—which the OIH posits declines with limited iron  
370 availability—as an important determinant of optimal iron, such that limited iron nutrition is more  
371 likely to be protective when immune defense is compromised (e.g., due to immaturity).

### 372 *SARS-CoV-2 Epidemiology*

373 We observed some expected patterns in risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection: a lean BMI  
374 (<25) was protective, and risk increased with age. Notably, we observed increasing risk for  
375 SARS-CoV-2 infection with age among non-elderly HCW (our oldest participant was 61 years of  
376 age, younger than many definitions of “elderly” employed in COVID-19 public health policy  
377 [49,50]). This finding suggests that public health and prevention programs may benefit from  
378 incorporating more nuance into age-based recommendations. Overall, the consistency of our  
379 models with established patterns in COVID-19 risk [51,52] gives us confidence in our novel  
380 finding of elevated risk among iron replete and anemic participants.

381 Elevated risk among those who are iron replete is consistent with previously published  
382 suggestions that the SARS-CoV-2 virus benefits from higher iron availability, such as higher risk  
383 among carriers of at least one hemochromatosis-associated allele. This suggests that SARS-  
384 CoV-2's ability to establish an infection is enhanced by its attack on hemoglobin and resultant  
385 increase in free iron. Other authors have suggested iron chelation as a potential therapeutic for  
386 COVID-19 [15,53]; future research may consider not only whether iron chelation alleviates the  
387 adverse effects of hemoglobin destruction and excess free iron, but whether it impairs SARS-  
388 CoV-2 replication in the host.

### 389 *Limitations*

The models in Tables 3 and 5 are supported by assessment of fit using an information theoretic approach. Nonetheless, caution in interpreting these results is merited, given the wide confidence intervals for iron replete and anemia.

Most cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection in our data occurred early in the monitoring period (including some at the initial visit), limiting our ability to capitalize on the longitudinal nature of these data in modeling; as a result, although our approach (logistic regression for any positive test during monitoring) was statistically robust, our findings are vulnerable to misattribution of cause and effect.

Due to the complex interactions between iron nutrition and infection, previous tests of the OIH have found that cross-sectional and longitudinal study designs can lead to disparate conclusions [2,5], with cross-sectional assessments potentially capturing the effects of infection and inflammation on risk for iron deficiency anemia, rather than effects of iron nutrition on risk for infection. This is likely a concern with SARS-CoV-2 infection, as well, as it can have hemolytic effects [14,16,53]. Here, we have longitudinal data, but since the majority of infections happened early in the monitoring period, we have essentially collapsed the time component of our data to use logistic regression models. Thus, it could be that the positive association between anemia and SARS-CoV-2 infection that we observed actually reflects SARS-CoV-2 infection increasing risk for anemia, not the converse. However, this explanation seems unlikely, given the low rate of otherwise symptomatic infections we observed; further, reverse causation is not a plausible explanation for the positive association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and the iron replete state that we also report.

We relied on a biomarker of iron deficiency, ZPP:H, that is more robust to inflammation than many others (e.g., ferritin or hepcidin [54]); nonetheless, some studies have reported elevated ZPP:H in the presence of inflammation [54,55]. We do not have information about inflammation and so have limited ability to discriminate between nutritional iron deficiency and iron withholding as determinants of ZPP:H. However, it is unlikely that elevated ZPP:H due to

inflammation at the outset of data collection would explain the positive association we observed between iron replete (low ZPP:H) and SARS-CoV-2 infection. Similarly, we do not have information about hemoglobinopathies, which may elevate ZPP:H [54]; it is thus possible that a protective effect of hemoglobinopathy-associated alleles against SARS-CoV-2 infection contributed to our findings.

Missingness was high in these data, mostly due to poor attendance for COVID-19 testing. Missing follow up visits are likely attributable to high workloads among participating HCW during the periods of data collection in both Lagos and Enugu; if those who were excluded due to missed tests were also those who were busiest with patient care, they may have been particularly likely to be infected with SARS-CoV-2, resulting in under-ascertainment of cases. This is unlikely, however, to have falsely produced any of the associations between SARS-CoV-2 and iron deficiency or anemia that we observed.

PCR testing is vulnerable to false negatives, particularly in the early days of an infection [56]. There is heterogeneity in how long people remain PCR positive for SARS-CoV-2 after infection, with many remaining positive for a period of multiple weeks [57]. While we selected a two-week testing interval to accommodate our participants' high workloads while minimizing missed cases, it is possible that a case occurred in the period between tests that was not captured by our sampling schedule.

## *Conclusions*

Both replete iron nutrition and anemia may increase risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection. For biological anthropologists and others interested in adaptation to infectious disease, this finding suggests that the OIH is not contingent on a history of a long evolutionary battle between host and infectious agent over iron. For those interested in SARS-CoV-2 virology and evolution, this finding bolsters arguments that accessing host iron is a key component of the virus's ability to establish an infection.



442

## 443 **Acknowledgments**

444 We are grateful to the study participants for their time, patience, and support. We further thank  
445 Prof. Obinna Onodugo, the Chief Medical Director of UNTH, for supporting this research.

446

## 447 **Funding and conflicts of interest**

448 This project was funded by the US National Science Foundation (1825534 and 2031652). The  
449 authors declare no conflicts of interest.

450

## 451 **Author contributions**

452 Wander (Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project  
453 administration, Writing – original draft), Ogunleye (Data curation, Methodology, Project  
454 administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing), Nwagu (Data curation,  
455 Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing), Unigwe (Data  
456 curation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review &  
457 editing), Odo (Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review  
458 & editing), Chukwubike (Data curation, Methodology, Investigation, Supervision, Writing –  
459 review & editing), Omilabu (Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision), Salu  
460 (Data curation, Methodology, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing), Owolabi  
461 (Data curation, Investigation), Osikomaiya (Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review &  
462 editing), Ebede (Resources, Supervision), Bowale (Resources, Supervision), Olaitan (Data  
463 curation, Investigation, Supervision), Chukwu (Investigation), Ndiokwelu (Investigation), Edu-  
464 Alamba (Investigation), Azubuike (Investigation), Odubiyi (Investigation), Hassan (Investigation),  
465 Oloniniyi (Investigation), Akinrinlola (Investigation), Raheem (Investigation), Saliu  
466 (Investigation), Fadipe (Investigation), Anyanwu (Investigation), Orenolu (Investigation),  
467 Abdullah (Investigation), Ishaya (Investigation), Agulefo (Investigation), Akase (Investigation),

Gauck (Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing), Huang (Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing), Chen (Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – review & editing), Okoror (Funding acquisition, Project administration), Fujita (Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – review & editing)

## References

1. Wander K, Shell-Duncan B, McDade TW. Evaluation of iron deficiency as a nutritional adaptation to infectious disease: an evolutionary medicine perspective. *Am J Hum Biol* 2009;**21**:172–80.
2. Hadley C, DeCaro JA. Does moderate iron deficiency protect against childhood illness? A test of the optimal iron hypothesis in Tanzania. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2015;**157**:675–80.
3. Denic S, Agarwal MM. Nutritional iron deficiency: an evolutionary perspective. *Nutrition* 2007;**23**:603–15.
4. Weinberg ED. Iron Out-of-Balance: A Risk Factor for Acute and Chronic Diseases. *Hemoglobin* 2008;**32**:117–22.
5. Wander K, Shell-Duncan B, Brindle E. Lower incidence of respiratory infections among iron-deficient children in Kilimanjaro, Tanzania. *Evolution, medicine, and public health* 2017;**2017**:109–20.
6. Fujita M, Wander K. A test of the optimal iron hypothesis among breastfeeding Ariaal mothers in northern Kenya. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2017;**164**:586–98.
7. Schmidt SM. The role of iron in viral infections. *FBL* 2020;**25**:893–911.
8. Nairz M, Schroll A, Sonnweber T *et al.* The struggle for iron – a metal at the host–pathogen interface. *Cellular Microbiology* 2010;**12**:1691–702.
9. Ganz T, Nemeth E. Iron homeostasis in host defence and inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2015;**15**:500–11.
10. Mozzi A, Forni D, Clerici M *et al.* The Diversity of Mammalian Hemoproteins and Microbial Heme Scavengers Is Shaped by an Arms Race for Iron Piracy. *Front Immunol* 2018;**9**, DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02086.
11. Skaar EP. The battle for iron between bacterial pathogens and their vertebrate hosts. *PLoS pathogens* 2010;**6**, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000949.
12. Wessling-Resnick M. Crossing the Iron Gate: Why and How Transferrin Receptors Mediate Viral Entry. *Annual Review of Nutrition* 2018;**38**:431–58.

501 13. Demogines A, Abraham J, Choe H *et al.* Dual Host-Virus Arms Races Shape an Essential  
502 Housekeeping Protein. *PLOS Biology* 2013;**11**:e1001571.

503 14. Habib HM, Ibrahim S, Zaim A *et al.* The role of iron in the pathogenesis of COVID-19 and  
504 possible treatment with lactoferrin and other iron chelators. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*  
505 2021;**136**:111228.

506 15. Bastin A, Shiri H, Zanganeh S *et al.* Iron Chelator or Iron Supplement Consumption in  
507 COVID-19? The Role of Iron with Severity Infection. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2022;**200**:4571–81.

508 16. Tojo K, Sugawara Y, Oi Y *et al.* The U-shaped association of serum iron level with disease  
509 severity in adult hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Sci Rep* 2021;**11**:13431.

510 17. Zietz M, Zucker J, Tatonetti NP. Associations between blood type and COVID-19 infection,  
511 intubation, and death. *Nat Commun* 2020;**11**:5761.

512 18. Wu Y, Feng Z, Li P *et al.* Relationship between ABO blood group distribution and clinical  
513 characteristics in patients with COVID-19. *Clin Chim Acta* 2020;**509**:220–3.

514 19. Zhao J, Yang Y, Huang H *et al.* Relationship Between the ABO Blood Group and the  
515 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Susceptibility. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*  
516 2021;**73**:328–31.

517 20. Ristić S, Milić S, Tatalović T *et al.* The Influence of Hemochromatosis Gene (HFE) Mutations  
518 on SARS-CoV-2 Susceptibility and COVID-19 Severity. *Balkan Med J* 2023;**40**:229–31.

519 21. Hubacek JA, Philipp T, Adamkova V *et al.* A haemochromatosis-causing HFE mutation is  
520 associated with SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility in the Czech population. *Clin Chim Acta*  
521 2023;**538**:211–5.

522 22. Ikegami S, Benirschke R, Flanagan T *et al.* Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal  
523 swab PCR positivity in COVID-19 convalescent plasma donors. *Transfusion* 2020;**60**:2962–8.

524 23. Uechi T, Nakamura S, Takeshita R *et al.* Persistence of positive severe acute respiratory  
525 syndrome coronavirus-2 reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction test result for 24 days  
526 in a hospitalized asymptomatic carrier. *Acute Medicine & Surgery* 2020;**7**:e525.

527 24. World Health Organization. Haemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anaemia and  
528 assessment of severity. 2011.

529 25. Yu KH. Effectiveness of zinc protoporphyrin/heme ratio for screening iron deficiency in  
530 preschool-aged children. *Nutr Res Pract* 2011;**5**:40–5.

531 26. Serdar M, Ümit Sarici S, Kurt I *et al.* The role of erythrocyte protoporphyrin in the diagnosis  
532 of iron deficiency anemia of children. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics* 2000;**46**:323–6.

533 27. Leventi E, Aksan A, Nebe CT *et al.* Zinc Protoporphyrin Is a Reliable Marker of Functional  
534 Iron Deficiency in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Diagnostics* 2021;**11**:366.

535 28. Labbé RF, Dewanji A, McLaughlin K. Observations on the Zinc Protoporphyrin/Heme Ratio  
536 in Whole Blood. *Clinical Chemistry* 1999;**45**:146–8.

537 29. Hastka J, Lasserre JJ, Schwarzbeck A *et al.* Central role of zinc protoporphyrin in staging  
538 iron deficiency. *Clinical Chemistry* 1994;**40**:768–73.

539 30. Buchan SA, Chung H, Brown KA *et al.* Estimated Effectiveness of COVID-19 Vaccines  
540 Against Omicron or Delta Symptomatic Infection and Severe Outcomes. *JAMA Network Open*  
541 2022;**5**:e2232760.

542 31. Andrews Nick, Tessier Elise, Stowe Julia *et al.* Duration of Protection against Mild and  
543 Severe Disease by Covid-19 Vaccines. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2022;**386**:340–50.

544 32. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Situation Reports.

545 33. Qu X-H, Huang X-L, Xiong P *et al.* Does *Helicobacter pylori* infection play a role in iron  
546 deficiency anemia? A meta-analysis. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 2010;**16**:886–97.

547 34. Stoltzfus RJ, Chwaya HM, Montresor A *et al.* Malaria, hookworms and recent fever are  
548 related to anemia and iron status indicators in 0- to 5-y old Zanzibari children and these  
549 relationships change with age. *The Journal of Nutrition* 2000;**130**:1724.

550 35. Friedman JF, Kanzaria HK, McGarvey ST. Human schistosomiasis and anemia: the  
551 relationship and potential mechanisms. *Trends in Parasitology* 2005;**21**:386–93.

552 36. Emegoakor CF, Iyoke CA, Ezegwui HU *et al.* Rates and determinants of peripartum and  
553 puerperal anemia in Enugu, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 2016;**19**:709–14.

554 37. Demirci M, Delibas N, Altuntas I *et al.* Serum Iron, Zinc and Copper Levels and Lipid  
555 Peroxidation in Children with Chronic Giardiasis. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*  
556 2003;**21**:72–6.

557 38. Klepper A, Branch AD. Macrophages and the Viral Dissemination Super Highway. *EC*  
558 *Microbiol* 2015;**2**:328–36.

559 39. Nermi L. Parrow, Robert E. Fleming, Michael F. Minnick. Sequestration and Scavenging of  
560 Iron in Infection. *Infection and Immunity* 2013;**81**:3503–15.

561 40. Beard JL. Iron biology in immune function, muscle metabolism and neuronal functioning.  
562 *The Journal of Nutrition* 2001;**131**.

563 41. Das I, Saha K, Mukhopadhyay D *et al.* Impact of iron deficiency anemia on cell-mediated  
564 and humoral immunity in children: A case control study. *Journal of natural science, biology, and*  
565 *medicine* 2014;**5**:158.

566 42. Drakesmith H, Prentice AM. Hepcidin and the iron-infection axis. *Science* 2012;**338**:768–73.

567 43. Ekiz C, Agaoglu L, Karakas Z *et al.* The effect of iron deficiency anemia on the function of  
568 the immune system. *The hematology journal : the official journal of the European Haematology*  
569 *Association / EHA* 2005;**5**:579–84.

570 44. Wertheim JO, Chu DKW, Peiris JSM *et al.* A Case for the Ancient Origin of Coronaviruses.  
571 *Journal of Virology* 2013;**87**:7039–45.

572 45. Porat Soldin O, Miller M, Soldin SJ. Pediatric reference ranges for zinc protoporphyrin.  
573 *Clinical Biochemistry* 2003;**36**:21–5.

574 46. Kassebaum NJ, Jasrasaria R, Naghavi M *et al.* A systematic analysis of global anemia  
575 burden from 1990 to 2010. *Blood* 2014;**123**:615–25.

576 47. Weiss G, Goodnough LT. Anemia of Chronic Disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*  
577 2005;**352**:1011–24.

578 48. Alice M. Nyakeriga, Marita Troye-Blomberg, Jeffrey R. Dorfman *et al.* Iron Deficiency and  
579 Malaria among Children Living on the Coast of Kenya. *J Infect Dis* 2004;**190**:439–48.

580 49. Pijls BG, Jolani S, Atherley A *et al.* Demographic risk factors for COVID-19 infection,  
581 severity, ICU admission and death: a meta-analysis of 59 studies. *BMJ Open* 2021;**11**:e044640.

582 50. Anderer S. CDC Recommends Additional COVID-19 Booster for Older Adults. *JAMA*  
583 2024;**331**:1081.

584 51. Kompaniyets L. Underlying Medical Conditions and Severe Illness Among 540,667 Adults  
585 Hospitalized With COVID-19, March 2020–March 2021. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2021;**18**, DOI:  
586 10.5888/pcd18.210123.

587 52. Gadó K, Kovács AK, Domján G *et al.* COVID-19 and the elderly. *Physiology International*  
588 2022;**109**:177–85.

589 53. Vlahakos VD, Marathias KP, Arkadopoulos N *et al.* Hyperferritinemia in patients with  
590 COVID-19: An opportunity for iron chelation? *Artificial Organs* 2021;**45**:163–7.

591 54. Pfeiffer CM, Looker AC. Laboratory methodologies for indicators of iron status: strengths,  
592 limitations, and analytical challenges. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*  
593 2017;**106**:1606S-1614S.

594 55. Rusch JA, Van Der Westhuizen DJ, Gill RS *et al.* Diagnosing iron deficiency: Controversies  
595 and novel metrics. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology*  
596 2023:S152168962300054X.

597 56. Teymouri M, Mollazadeh S, Mortazavi H *et al.* Recent advances and challenges of RT-PCR  
598 tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. *Pathology - Research and Practice* 2021;**221**:153443.

599 57. Esteve C, Catherine FX, Chavanet P *et al.* How should a positive PCR test result for  
600 COVID-19 in an asymptomatic individual be interpreted and managed? *Médecine et Maladies*  
601 *Infectieuses* 2020;**50**:633–8.

602

Table 1. Sample characteristics					
	All enrolled (n=304)		All analyzed (n=199)		
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Sex					
Female	170	56	111	56	
Male	129	42	88	44	
Missing data	5	2	0	0	
Hospital site					
Enugu	100	33	80	40	
Lagos	204	67	119	60	
Hospital role					
Physician	65	21	55	28	
Non-Physician	233	77	144	72	
Missing data	6	2	0	0	
COVID-19 vaccination status					
Yes	212	70	137	69	
No	92	30	62	31	
Type 2 diabetes					
Yes	37	12	22	11	
No	249	82	177	89	
Missing data	18	6	0	0	
COVID-19 PCR test results					
Any Positive	26	9	22	11	
Never Positive	202	66	177	89	
Missing data	76	25	0	0	
Respiratory symptoms*					
Yes	101	33	76	38	
Never	203	67	123	62	
Anemia					
Yes	99	32	65	33	
No	191	63	134	67	
Missing data	14	5	0	0	
Iron deficiency (ZPP:H ≥ 70 μmol/mol heme)					
Yes	58	19	32	16	
No	232	76	167	84	
Missing data	14	5	0	0	
Iron replete (ZPP:H < 40 μmol/mol heme)					
Yes	55	18	41	21	
No	235	77	158	79	
Missing data	14	5	0	0	
	Mean   Std Dev	Range	Mean   Std Dev	Range	
Age in years	37.77   9.15	21-61	37.48   9.55	21-61	
BMI	27.27   4.76	17.85-42.22	27.11   4.52	17.85-42.22	
Household size (<18 years old)	1.44   1.65	0-10	1.48   1.63	0-10	
Household size (≥18 years old)	2.66   2.18	1-19	2.85   2.21	1-19	
*Respiratory symptoms include reported fever, cough, shortness of breath, sore throat, and/or loss of smell or taste in weekly symptom questionnaires.					

603

Table 2. Model selection for PCR-positive SARS-CoV-2 infection								
	<b>Model 1</b>	<b>Model 2</b>	<b>Model 3</b>	<b>Model 4</b>	<b>Model 5</b>	<b>Model 6</b>	<b>Model 7</b>	<b>Final Model</b>
Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia
Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site
Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*
Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)	Household size (adults**)
Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	
Iron replete	Iron replete	Iron replete	Iron replete	Iron replete	Iron replete	Iron replete		Iron replete
BMI < 25	BMI < 25	BMI < 25	BMI < 25	BMI < 25	BMI < 25		BMI < 25	BMI < 25
Vaccine	Vaccine	Vaccine	Vaccine	Vaccine				
Sex	Sex	Sex						
Household size (children***)	Household size (children***)							
Diabetes by HbA <sub>1C</sub>								
<b>AIC</b>	<b>129.46</b>	<b>127.47</b>	<b>124.35</b>	<b>123.25</b>	<b>121.34</b>	<b>121.93</b>	<b>121.40</b>	<b>120.08</b>
*Age in 10-year increments, with 2 participants aged 60 and 61 years combined with the 50-59 group; **age ≥ 18 years; ***age < 18 years								

604

605

Table 3. Final model of PCR-positive SARS-CoV-2 infection							
Variable	Regression Coefficient	Coefficient 95% CI		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio 95% CI		P-value
Intercept	-4.43	-6.04	-2.83				
Anemia	0.91	-0.21	2.03	2.48	0.82	7.85	0.11
Iron replete	1.05	-0.14	2.25	2.87	0.85	9.57	0.08
Low BMI	-1.08	-2.49	0.34	0.34	0.07	1.26	0.14
Age*	0.54	-0.02	1.10	1.71	1.00	3.08	0.06
Site (Enugu)	1.22	0.02	2.42	3.38	1.05	11.93	0.05
Household size – adults (small)	Ref						
Household size – adults (medium)	1.37	0.21	2.53	3.95	1.22	12.82	0.02
Household size – adults (large)	0.96	-0.52	2.43	2.61	0.54	10.94	0.20
*Age in 10-year increments, with 2 participants aged 60 and 61 years combined with the 50-59 group							

606

607



Table 4. Model Selection for Respiratory Infectious Disease Symptoms						
	<b>Model 1</b>	<b>Model 2</b>	<b>Model 3</b>	<b>Model 4</b>	<b>Model 5</b>	<b>Final Model</b>
	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia	Anemia
	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site
	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*	Age*
	Household size	Household size	Household size	Household size	Household size	Household size
	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	Hospital role	
	Iron replete	Iron replete	Iron replete	Iron replete		
	BMI < 25	BMI < 25	BMI < 25			
	Sex	Sex		Sex	Sex	Sex
	Diabetes by HbA <sub>1c</sub>					
<b>AIC</b>	<b>253.8</b>	<b>252.1</b>	<b>254.5</b>	<b>252.1</b>	<b>250.5</b>	<b>248.5</b>
*Age in 10-year increments, with 2 participants aged 60 and 61 years combined with the 50-59 group; **age ≥ 18 years; ***age < 18 years						

608

609

Table 5. Final Model of Respiratory Infectious Disease Symptoms							
Variable	Regression Coefficient	Coefficient 95% Confidence Interval		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio 95% Confidence Interval		P-value
Intercept	-0.68	-1.41	0.05				0.07
Anemia	0.59	-0.12	1.31	1.81	0.88	3.71	0.10
Age*	-0.38	-0.73	-0.04	0.68	0.48	0.96	0.03
Male sex	-0.66	-1.33	0.02	0.52	0.26	1.01	0.06
Site (Enugu)	0.75	0.00	1.50	2.11	1.00	4.50	0.05
Total household size	0.10	-0.02	0.22	1.10	0.98	1.25	0.11
*Age in 10-year increments, with 2 participants aged 60 and 61 years combined with the 50-59 group; **age $\geq$ 18 years; ***age < 18 years							

610

611

## **Iron nutrition and COVID-19 among Nigerian healthcare workers**

Katherine Wander<sup>\*1</sup>, Olayinka O Ogunleye<sup>2,3</sup>, Evelyn N Nwagu<sup>4</sup>, Uche S Unigwe<sup>5</sup>, Amelia N Odo<sup>4</sup>, Chinedu M Chukwubike<sup>6</sup>, Sunday A Omilabu<sup>7,8</sup>, Olumuyiwa B Salu<sup>7,8</sup>, Bukola S Owolabi<sup>9</sup>, Bodunrin I Osikomaiya<sup>10,11</sup>, Samuel O Ebede<sup>12</sup>, Abimbola Bowale<sup>13</sup>, Abimbola O Olaitan<sup>14,5</sup>, Christopher U Chukwu<sup>15</sup>, Chibuzo O Ndiokwelu<sup>16</sup>, Chioma Edu-Alamba<sup>6</sup>, Constance Azubuike<sup>6</sup>, Oluwasegun A Odubiyi<sup>17</sup>, Yusuf A Hassan<sup>18</sup>, Nifemi Oloniniyi<sup>19</sup>, Muiyiwa Kelvin Akinrinlola<sup>19</sup>, Rashidat Abiola Raheem<sup>20</sup>, Amina Saliu<sup>20</sup>, Ololade O Fadipe<sup>18</sup>, Roosevelt A Anyanwu<sup>7</sup>, Mercy R Orenolu<sup>7</sup>, Maryam A Abdullah<sup>7</sup>, Onyinye D Ishaya<sup>21</sup>, Chinenye J Agulefo<sup>7</sup>, Iorhen E Akase<sup>22,23</sup>, Megan E Gauck<sup>1</sup>, Zifan Huang<sup>24</sup>, Mei-Hsiu Chen<sup>24</sup>, Titilayo A Okoror<sup>25</sup>, Masako Fujita<sup>26</sup>

1 Department of Anthropology, Binghamton University, Binghamton, New York, USA

2 Department of Medicine, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria

3 Department of Pharmacology, Therapeutics and Toxicology, Lagos State University College of Medicine, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria

4 Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu Nigeria

5 Department of Medicine, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

6 Molecular Virology Unit, Department of Microbiology UNTH, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

7 Centre for Human and Zoonotic Virology, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Idi-Araba, Lagos, Nigeria

8 Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Idi-Araba, Lagos, Nigeria

9 Department of Surgery, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Idi-Araba, Mushin, Lagos, Nigeria

10 Lagos State Blood Transfusion Service, Lagos, Nigeria

11 Department of Haematology, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria

12 Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

13 Lagos State Civil Service, Lagos, Nigeria

14 Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu, Ogun, Nigeria

15 Family Medicine Department, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

16 Department of Paediatrics, University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Ituku-Ozalla, Enugu, Nigeria

663  
664 17 Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria  
665  
666 18 Medical Emergency Unit, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria  
667  
668 19 Mainland Hospital, Yaba, Lagos, Nigeria  
669  
670 20 Lagos State Health Service Commission, Lagos, Nigeria  
671  
672 21 Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Garba Nadama, Sokoto, Nigeria  
673  
674 22 Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Idi-Araba, Mushin, Lagos, Nigeria  
675  
676 23 College of Medicine, University of Lagos (CMUL), Idi-Araba, Lagos, Nigeria  
677  
678 24 Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Binghamton University, Binghamton, New York,  
679 USA  
680  
681 25 Department of Africana Studies, Binghamton University, Binghamton, New York, USA  
682  
683 26 Department of Anthropology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA  
684  
685 \*Corresponding author: katherinewander@binghamton.edu  
686  
687

688 **Supplemental Information**

Table S1. Cox proportional model of PCR-positive SARS-CoV-2 infection							
Variable	Regression Coefficient	Coefficient 95% CI		Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio 95% CI		P-value
Anemia	0.81	-0.14	1.76	2.25	0.87	5.84	0.10
Iron replete	0.59	-0.36	1.55	1.81	0.70	4.69	0.22
BMI < 25	-0.82	-2.16	0.53	0.44	0.12	1.69	0.23
Age*	0.44	-0.07	0.95	1.55	0.94	2.58	0.09
Site (Enugu)	1.01	-0.06	2.08	2.75	0.94	8.00	0.06
Household size – adults (small)	Ref						
Household size – adults (medium)	1.08	0.10	2.07	2.95	1.10	7.91	0.03
Household size – adults (large)	0.69	-0.56	1.93	1.99	0.57	6.92	0.28
*Age in 10-year increments, with 2 participants aged 60 and 61 years combined with the 50-59 group							

689  
690  
691  
692