

Neutron Vibrational Spectroscopic Study of the Acetonitrile:Acetylene (1:2) Cocrystal Relevant to Titan, Saturn's Moon

Published as part of *Crystal Growth & Design* special issue "Celebrating Mike Ward's Contributions to Molecular Crystal Growth".

Morgan J. Kramer, Luke L. Daemen, Yongqiang Cheng, Rafael Balderas-Xicohtencatl, Anibal J. Ramirez-Cuesta, Craig M. Brown, and Tomče Runčevski*



Cite This: *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2024, 24, 9933–9939



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Saturn's moon Titan features a surface composed of various organic solids with pronounced compositional and structural diversity. On top of the icy core, the surface experiences a temperature of ≈ 93 K and pressure of ≈ 1.45 atm. Under these conditions, most small organic molecules exist as solids and form Titanian minerals. Acetonitrile and acetylene are two of these molecules, which can form single-component molecular solids and also a 1:2 binary cocrystal. Here, we present a combined neutron vibrational spectroscopic study, neutron powder diffraction study, and theoretical modeling of the cocrystal and corresponding single-phase solids. This combined study resulted in insightful spectra–structure–property correlations for the cocrystal and the molecular solids. Furthermore, we observed quenching of the high-temperature form of acetonitrile in the presence of the cocrystal, which supports the possibility of the existence of metastable solids as minerals on Titan. The results presented in this study further the knowledge of the putative structure and composition of the surface of Titan and, at the same time, contribute to a better understanding of the fundamental thermodynamic properties of two of the smallest organic molecules on Earth and in the Universe.



INTRODUCTION

Acetonitrile and acetylene are two of the smallest organic molecules and important commodity chemicals on Earth, with prominent industrial relevance as solvents and fuels. These molecules are also naturally found on other celestial bodies, where they play different roles. While under Earth's ambient conditions, acetonitrile and acetylene are in liquid and gaseous states, respectively, on planetary environments featuring lower temperatures and/or higher pressures, these molecules exist in a solid state and form minerals.

Titan, Saturn's icy moon, is one such environment, with ambient conditions on the surface of ≈ 93 K and ≈ 1.45 bar. The recently concluded Cassini–Huygens mission (1997–2017) revealed that Titan possesses a dense and chemically active atmosphere composed mainly of dinitrogen and methane, ethane-based lakes and seas on the poles, and sandy dunes on the equator.^{1–8} Fueled by radiation from the Sun and Saturn's magnetosphere, dinitrogen and methane react to produce various organic molecules in the atmosphere,³ and on the surface.⁴ These molecules include acetonitrile and acetylene, which are then carried by methane rainfall and vigorous storms⁶ across the surface, where they dissolve in the

lakes. A seasonal cycling of evaporation and precipitation of methane, a process notably like Earth's hydrological cycle, produces evaporite lakebeds and mineral deposits made of organic molecules.⁷ This dynamic organic environment is believed to be conducive to life, which has been hypothesized to be methanogenic in nature.⁸

Expectedly, Titan remains at the center of scientific interest; in 2019, NASA announced a New Frontiers mission to Titan.⁹ The Dragonfly rotorcraft is planned to launch in 2028 and is expected to arrive on Titan in late 2030s. During its 2.7-year baseline mission, the rotorcraft will explore various sites on Titan, *i.e.*, searching for signatures of extinct, extant, or future life. Before this imminent mission, laboratory studies directed toward predicting, modeling, and recreating the surface

Received: July 28, 2024

Revised: November 1, 2024

Accepted: November 4, 2024

Published: November 13, 2024



mineralogy of the moon can significantly further our understanding of the surface of Titan.

The target molecules of this study, acetonitrile and acetylene, have been detected in the upper atmosphere by Voyager 1,⁶ and by the subsequent (and more comprehensive) Cassini–Huygens mission using the Cassini composite infrared spectrometer (CIRS),¹⁰ gas chromatograph mass spectrometer (GCMS),¹¹ and ion and neutral mass spectrometer (INMS),¹² among others. These molecules are produced in the atmosphere and on the surface of Titan by various radical and ion-facilitated reactions.³ Carried by methane rainfall, they descend to the surface with high precipitation rates, together with many other organic molecules. Considering a scenario in which the precipitation of organic matter occurs for over 4 billion years (the age of Titan), the accumulated surface layer of organic crystals would reach up to several meters in thickness.³ This organic, solid-state layer is believed to feature a pronounced chemical and structural complexity and a unique mineralogical makeup.^{7b,13}

Such organic minerals, including acetonitrile and acetylene, can exist not only as single-phase molecular crystals but also as cocrystals, solvates, hydrates, clathrates, and other forms. Both acetonitrile¹⁴ and acetylene¹⁵ have two polymorphs, high- and low-temperature phases. The low-temperature phases for these components are orthorhombic (α -phase) acetonitrile and (α -phase) orthorhombic acetylene, and their high-temperature phases are monoclinic (β -phase) acetonitrile and cubic (β -phase) acetylene.^{14,15} Additionally, both compounds form cocrystals with different co-components.^{16–22} Recently, these cocrystals have attracted the research community's interest as putative minerals on Titan. Some examples include the studies of acetylene and butane;¹⁶ a ternary cocrystal of benzene, acetylene, and hydrogen cyanide;¹⁷ acetylene and ammonia;^{18–20} and acetonitrile and benzene,²¹ among others. Acetonitrile and acetylene also form a cocrystal stable at the conditions of Titan.^{20,22} This cocrystal has been studied with various experimental techniques: The crystal structure was solved by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, described in the $Cmc2_1$ space group, and with a 1:2 acetonitrile:acetylene stoichiometry.²⁰ The spectroscopic properties of the cocrystal have been studied by Raman spectroscopy, revealing characteristic shifts of the vibrational bands as a function of cocrystallization.²²

We have an ongoing effort to expand our understanding of the vibrational structure of putative Titanian minerals using neutron vibrational spectroscopy (NVS).¹⁸ NVS is a far more comprehensive technique for examining the vibrational structure of materials, compared to other symmetry-dependent vibrational methods such as IR and Raman spectroscopy. Furthermore, NVS uses neutron particles as probes, which interact with the atomic nuclei of the material, leading to particle–particle interactions, as opposed to the photon–electron interactions in optical measurements. These particle–particle interactions are particularly strong for H/D atoms, making the method highly suitable to studies of hydrogen-rich materials, including hydrocarbons.²³ At the same time, particle–particle interactions can be more accurately modeled and explained by theoretical calculations, providing an opportunity to accurately simulate, assign, and understand the spectra accurately. Finally, NVS can also access vibrational modes in the low-frequency phonon range, which is most relevant to studies of the extended structure of solids.²³

Here, we report a combined NVS and neutron diffraction study of the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal. To confirm that the NVS data have been collected from the target solid, we have simultaneously collected and analyzed time-of-flight neutron powder diffraction (TOF-NPD) data. We have observed sharp and well-resolved vibrational modes from the cocrystal, which were modeled and assigned using density functional theory (DFT) methods. Our data shows that the cocrystal concurrently exists in a phase mixture with solid acetonitrile. Surprisingly, acetonitrile does not adopt the thermodynamically stable orthorhombic form at low temperatures but rather forms the high-temperature monoclinic form by kinetic quenching. This prompted us to examine the low-frequency phonon modes of both forms of acetonitrile, revealing stark differences between both polymorphs. The combined spectroscopic, diffraction, and theoretical results provide relevant insights into solid organic materials' composition, structure, and properties, expected to exist as minerals on Titan.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Neutron Vibrational Spectroscopy. Data was collected on VISION (BL-16B), the neutron vibrational spectrometer at the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.²⁴ The cocrystal was synthesized in situ by condensing gaseous acetylene onto a solid acetonitrile crystal at 77 K. The vessel was then slowly cooled to 5 K over 3 h before collecting data. Measurements of NVS and TOF-NPD were collected in tandem at 5, 50, 75, 93, 125, 150, 175, and 235 K with 1 h thermal equilibrations allowed between steps. Upon reaching 235 K, the samples were allowed to slowly cool back to 5 K under the same increments, and NVS and TOF-NPD data were collected.

Time-of-Flight Neutron Powder Diffraction (TOF-NPD). Data was collected on VISION (BL-16B), the neutron vibrational spectrometer at the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. NVS measurements and TOF-NPD patterns were collected in tandem. TOF-NPD data were analyzed using the GSAS-II software package.²⁵ The molecular components and cocrystal space groups and lattice parameters were taken from the literature and found to be $Acam$ for orthorhombic acetylene,¹⁴ $Cmc2_1$ for β -acetonitrile,¹⁵ and $Cmc2_1$ for the acetonitrile:acetylene cocrystal.²⁰ Precise lattice parameters were determined by Pawley fitting²⁶ against the TOF-NPD patterns.

Computational Studies. DFT modeling was performed using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP).²⁷ The projector augmented wave (PAW) method was used for these calculations.^{28–30} The energy cutoff was 800 eV for the plane-wave basis of the valence electrons. The total energy tolerance was 10^{-8} eV for electronic energy minimization and 10^{-7} eV for structure optimization. The maximum interatomic force after relaxation was below 0.002 eV/Å. The lattice parameters and atomic coordinates for the structures reported in the literature served as starting points for this work. The optB86b-vdW functional³¹ was used for dispersion corrections. The vibrational eigenfrequencies and modes were then calculated by solving the force constants and dynamical matrix using Phonopy.³² The FT-calculated phonon results were converted to the simulated INS spectra using the OCLIMAX software package.³³

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal was prepared in situ at VISION, the neutron vibrational spectrometer at the SNS at ORNL. Liquid acetonitrile and gaseous acetylene (stabilizer free) were quenched from room temperature to 5 K. To confirm the structure and composition of the resulting solid, TOF-NPD was collected in tandem with the NVS measurements. Figure 1 presents the diffraction patterns collected at




Figure 1. (Left) TOF-NPD patterns (black) and Pawley fits (red) for diffraction data collected at various temperatures. The direction of heating is from bottom to top. (Right) $Q = 1.6\text{--}2.4\text{ \AA}^{-1}$ range of Pawley fits for the region highlighted with a blue box. Tick marks refer to reflections from the acetonitrile and acetylene (1:2) cocrystal (purple), the reactants acetonitrile (monoclinic, 206 K, blue), acetylene (orthorhombic, 15 K, green), and aluminum, present from the sample can (gray).

various temperatures. While fast cooling of the mixture resulted in measurable quantities of the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2), heating of the sample has shown inconsistency of the composition, with varying amounts of the cocrystal and the corresponding single-phase components. We hypothesize that the culprit for this behavior is improper mixing due to the significant disparity between the freezing point of acetylene (189 K) and the relatively high freezing point of acetonitrile (228 K). Improper mixing can result in partial conversion of the single phases to the cocrystal, and the content of such a sample can move within the canister upon heating, exposing different parts of the sample in the path of the beam. Cyclic thermal treatment (heating/cooling) of such a system can lead to better mixing and homogenization of the sample. In fact, heating to 235 K and subsequently slowly cooling to 5 K resulted in a compositionally consistent sample.

Analysis of the TOF-NPD pattern collected at 5 K (Figure 2) clearly shows the formation of the targeted acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal. The structure of the cocrystal has been previously solved and described in the $Cmc2_1$ space group.²⁰ We used the (0 2 1) and (3 1 0) reflections as indicators for the synthesis of the target cocrystal. Indeed, these reflections are clearly present in the patterns at 1.73 and 1.77 \AA^{-1} , respectively (Figure 1). Cooling/heating of this compositionally consistent sample showed the expected gradual shift of the scattered intensity as a function of temperature, consistent with the thermal expansion properties.²²

The cocrystal is expected to be the thermodynamically most stable phase for this mixture at low temperature and ambient pressure; however, due to experimental limitations (including challenges with improper mixing of the reactants and finite time for reactivity/cocrystallization), the reactants are also present in the sample. Reflections from phase-pure acetonitrile and acetylene were detected and are visible in the full range of the TOF-NPD pattern and its respective Pawley fit at $T = 5\text{ K}$ (Figure 2). We suspect that the interface between the acetonitrile solid and the acetylene condensate is where the majority of the cocrystal is localized. This is consistent with




Figure 2. Pawley fit for the TOF-NPD measurements of the acetonitrile–acetylene mixture slowly cooled down to $T = 5\text{ K}$. Data shown as black triangles, fitted curve in red, and difference curve in dark gray. The Bragg reflections are presented as tick marks for the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal in purple, monoclinic acetonitrile in blue, orthorhombic acetylene in green, and aluminum (from the sample holder) in gray. Fit statistics for this refinement were $R_{wp} = 1.307\%$. GOF: 1.04. Symbols are larger than or commensurate with error, which represent $\pm 1\sigma$.

other literature reports and some of our previously reported cocrystals.^{18,21}

Acetonitrile¹⁴ and acetylene¹⁵ have two polymorphs, high- and low-temperature phases. Interestingly, the crystallographic analysis of the pattern collected at 5 K (Figure 2) revealed that the sample comprises the cocrystal, the low-temperature α -form of acetylene, and somewhat surprisingly, the high-temperature β -phase of acetonitrile. It has been reported that rapid cooling of acetonitrile can result in a phase trapping of the high-temperature polymorph at low temperatures.³⁴ Here, we show that similar quenching can also occur influenced by the phase composition. This observation further supports the hypothesis that metastable phases of organic materials can be viable mineral species on Titan. The putative presence of

metastable minerals on this moon is yet another parallel with the mineralogy on Earth, where metastable minerals are often found in various locations and environments. For example, cristobalite (the quenched high-temperature form of silica, SiO_2) is an analogous case of a metastable mineral found in acidic volcanic rocks.

The cocrystal was next studied with NVS. Spectra of the compositionally consistent sample were collected as a function of the temperature (Figure 3) in tandem with the TOF-NPD




Figure 3. Variable-temperature NVS spectra overlay of the acetonitrile–acetylene mixture. The vibrational spectra were collected in tandem with diffraction patterns upon heating from the crash-cooled sample at 5 K. Exact ranges for assigned peaks can be found in Table 1.

patterns (Figure 1). The NVS signal typically exhibits strong thermal dependence, where peak intensity is inversely related to thermal motion. This is particularly prominent for organic molecular species devoid of strong intermolecular bonding within the crystal packing. Expectedly, the collected spectra show a gradual sharpening of the peaks, with the best data set collected at 5 K. Figure 4 presents the NVS spectrum of the




Figure 4. Overlay of the experimentally collected NVS spectrum of the acetonitrile–acetylene cocrystal mixture at 5 K (purple) and spectra of monoclinic acetonitrile (blue) and orthorhombic acetylene (green) taken from the ISIS INS database.^{35–37}

sample measured at 5 K, together with the NVS of the pure component solids. The experimentally collected NVS spectra of acetylene³⁵ and β -acetonitrile³⁶ were taken from literature data. In agreement with the TOF-NPD data, the measured spectrum shows a mixture of three phases: the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal, the high-temperature phase of acetonitrile, and the low-temperature phase of acetylene.

The NVS further confirmed quenching of the high-temperature phase of acetonitrile. We have calculated the NVS spectra of both phases, shown in Figure 5. The CH_3




Figure 5. Overlay of NVS for the acetonitrile–acetylene cocrystal mixture collected at 5 K compared with the calculated NVS for the acetonitrile polymorphs.

rotational libration I mode was found to be a defining feature for the two polymorphs. In the α -phase, this vibrational mode is expected to have a signal at 20 meV (161 cm^{-1}), whereas in the β -phase at 25 meV (202 cm^{-1}). The NVS spectrum of the cocrystal features a strong vibrational band at 25 meV (200 cm^{-1}) with no clear vibrational modes associated exclusively with the low-temperature α -acetonitrile phase. The theoretical calculations and the TOF-NPD analysis confirm that the excess acetonitrile contributing to the intensities in the experimental NVS originates from the kinetically trapped, high-temperature β -acetonitrile polymorph.³⁴

The NVS spectrum of the cocrystal was simulated (Figure 6) using DFT calculations performed on the published $\text{Cmc}2_1$




Figure 6. Experimentally observed NVS spectrum (black) of the acetonitrile–acetylene cocrystal mixture overlaid with the computationally predicted NVS spectrum (red).

crystal structure as a starting model.²⁰ Generally, there is good agreement between the computationally predicted spectra and the experiment. The overall profile of the predicted spectra matches well with the experimental spectrum, which enables us to assign the peaks confidently to the corresponding vibrational modes confidently. Table 1 presents the selected peak assignments.

Analyzing the energy of vibrational modes in the cocrystal compared to single-component solids presents a valuable avenue for establishing spectra–structure–property correlations. Figure 7 highlights the crystal structures of the phase-pure components, orthorhombic α -acetylene and monoclinic β -acetonitrile, and the crystal structure of the cocrystal.^{14,15,20}

The molecules in the cocrystal show several colossal vibrational shifts compared to those of the individual components. Most notably, the rotational libration mode of

Table 1. List of Experimental and Computed Energy Transfers for the Assigned Vibrational Modes of the Acetonitrile:Acetylene (1:2) Cocrystal at 5 K Compared to the Neat Cocrystal Components of Acetonitrile and Acetylene^a

neutron vibrational spectroscopy							
molecule	vibrational mode	pure component		(1:2)acetonitrile:acetylene cocrystal			
		energy transfer (cm ⁻¹)	energy transfer (meV)	energy transfer (cm ⁻¹)	energy transfer (meV)	$\Delta\nu$ (cm ⁻¹)	$\Delta\nu$ (meV)
acetylene	lattice vibrations	<200	<25	<177	<22	24	3
	C ₂ H ₂ asymmetric bending	645	80	645	80		
	C ₂ H ₂ symmetric bending	766	95	766	95		
acetonitrile	lattice vibrations	<137	<17	<177	<22	40	5
	CH ₃ rotational libration	202	25	20	2.5	181	22.5
	CH ₃ rocking libration	391	48.5	379	47	12	1.5
	CH ₃ umbrella bend	928	115				
	CH ₃ rocking	1048	130	1048	130		

^aNegative values of $\Delta\nu$ indicate a red shift (lower frequency), and positive values indicate a blue shift (higher frequency) in the cocrystal when compared to the bare component vibrations.




Figure 7. Crystal structures and selected bond distances of (a) acetonitrile, *Cmc*₂₁, (b) acetylene, *Aeam*, (c) acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal, *Cmc*₂₁, (d) close-up of the acetylene to acetonitrile hydrogen bonding environment in the cocrystal (color legend: blue = nitrogen, brown = carbon, off-white = hydrogen).

the acetonitrile CH₃ group in the cocrystal shows a massive red shift compared to the same vibration in pure β -acetonitrile. In the molecular β -acetonitrile, the energy transfer associated with exciting this mode was determined to be 25 meV (202 cm⁻¹). In contrast, in the cocrystal, the energy transfer was 2.5 meV (20 cm⁻¹), resulting in a significant red shift of 22.5 meV (181 cm⁻¹).

This shift can be rationalized considering the differences in the local environment of the acetonitrile molecules in both crystal packings. In the β -acetonitrile phase, acetonitrile molecules form chains with hydrogen bonding interactions between the nitrogen of one acetonitrile atom and the adjacent hydrogen from the CH₃ groups on a neighboring molecule. The hydrogen bond appears to be of moderate strength, as indicated by the C–H...N bond distance of 3.11(6) Å (Figure 7). In the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal, acetonitrile molecules are surrounded by acetylene molecules, forming

hydrogen bonding interactions between the acetylene C–H as bond donors and the nitrogen atoms of the acetonitrile as bond acceptors. There are two crystallographically distinct C–H...N interactions between acetonitrile and acetylene, one with a C–H...N distance of 2.63(3) Å and a slightly weaker interaction of 3.01(3) Å. Due to crystal symmetry, each acetonitrile molecule is surrounded by four acetylene molecules (Figure 7). At the same time, the acetonitrile methyl group does not engage in prominent hydrogen bonding and thus is free to rotate, as confirmed by the disorder of the hydrogen atoms observed by crystallographic analysis. This freedom of rotation leads to a significant red shift of the librational mode.

Furthermore, the related CH₃ rocking libration shows a visible (yet comparably smaller) red shift, where in the β -acetonitrile crystal phase the energy of the vibration is 48.5 meV (391 cm⁻¹), and in the cocrystal it was found to be 47 meV (379 cm⁻¹). This red shift is likely caused by the same structural differences causing the red shift of the rotational librational mode of the acetonitrile molecule in the cocrystal.

In a recent study of the NVS characteristic of the acetylene:ammonia (1:1) cocrystal, we have identified a possible correlation between the plastic crystal (rotor phase) behavior of the cocrystal and the colossal shift of the NH₃ rotational libration mode in the cocrystal compared to phase-pure ammonia.¹⁸ Based on the colossal red shift of 22.5 meV (181 cm⁻¹) observed in the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal, we hypothesized that this solid may exhibit plastic crystal behavior. In fact, during the final stages of the preparation of this paper, molecular dynamics simulations were published,³⁸ further confirming the plastic nature of the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal.

CONCLUSIONS

We have provided a detailed NVS study coupled and supported with TOF-NPD analysis of the acetonitrile:acetylene (1:2) cocrystal, a potential organic mineral relevant to the surface geology of Titan and other celestial bodies.

Our efforts to synthesize the cocrystal by co-condensation of liquid acetonitrile and acetylene resulted in a mixed-phase system, where the composition heavily depended on the experimental conditions. The temperature-resolved TOF-NPD analyses further confirm the importance of thermal cycling in the synthesis of these organic cocrystals under cryogenic

conditions. Regardless of our best efforts, the sample still contained the solid phases of the reactants. Interestingly, we identified that the high-temperature form of acetonitrile is kinetically quenched in the presence of acetylene. This composition-dependent quenching of molecular solids indicates the potential for metastable minerals on Titan, drawing yet another parallel with the mineralogy on Earth.

The temperature-resolved NVS showed a pronounced influence of the thermal motion of the molecules on the observed spectral resolution. Well-resolved spectra were obtained at lower temperature, and the analysis was done on the spectrum collected at 5 K. The cocrystal shows distinct spectral features, clearly visible in the experimentally obtained NVS spectrum. Detailed theoretical analysis enabled us to assign vibrational modes to the corresponding NVS peaks.

Analyzing the energy of vibrational modes in the cocrystal compared to single-component solids allowed us to draw spectra–structure–property correlations. These correlations deepen our understanding of how the local crystalline environment influences vibrational energy levels. Most notably, we observed a massive red shift of 22.5 meV (181 cm^{-1}) of the CH_3 rotational libration in the cocrystal. The observation of this vibration at a much lower energy than pure acetonitrile is attributed to a less tightly packed environment surrounding the pendant methyl groups and the unique hydrogen bonding scheme in the cocrystal structure, where the methyl group is devoid of strong hydrogen bonding to neighboring acetonitrile molecules, facilitating molecular dynamics. Based on this colossal red shift, we hypothesize plastic phase behavior of the solid.

This study shows intricate and complicated relationships among structures, compositions, and properties of small organic molecules under cryogenic conditions. In light of their relevance as minerals on Titan and other celestial bodies, further theoretical and experimental studies are necessary to better understand their nature.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

SI Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.cgd.4c01050>.

Gamma phonon file (ZIP)

Notes; materials and methods; and computational analyses (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Tomče Runčevski – Department of Chemistry, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-0886-8464; Email: truncevski@smu.edu

Authors

Morgan J. Kramer – Department of Chemistry, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, United States; National Institute of Standards and Technology, Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, United States

Luke L. Daemen – Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, United States

Yongqiang Cheng – Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-3263-4812

Rafael Balderas-Xicohtencatl – Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, United States

Anibal J. Ramirez-Cuesta – Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-1231-0068

Craig M. Brown – National Institute of Standards and Technology, Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, United States; Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-9637-9355

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.cgd.4c01050>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-2143581. This research was funded, in part, by the Robert A. Welch Foundation under Grant No. N-2012-20220331. Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for partial support of this research. A portion of this research used resources at the Spallation Neutron Source, a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). Computing resources were made available through the VirtuES and the ICE-MAN projects, funded by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program and Compute and Data Environment for Science (CADES) at ORNL.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) *Titan from Cassini-Huygens*, Brown, R. H.; Lebreton, J. P.; Waite, J. H. Springer: Heidelberg, 2009;. (b) Niemann, H. B.; Atreya, S. K.; Bauer, S. J.; Carignan, G. R.; Demick, J. E.; Frost, R. L.; Gautier, D.; Haberman, J. A.; Harpold, D. N.; Hunten, D. M.; Israel, G.; Lunine, J. I.; Kasprzak, W. T.; Owen, T. C.; Paulkovich, M.; Raulin, F.; Raaen, E.; Way, S. E. *Nature* **2005**, 438, 779–784. (c) Coustenis, A.; Hirtzig, M. *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* **2009**, 9, 249–268. (d) Cui, J.; et al. Analysis of Titan's neutral upper atmosphere from Cassini Ion Neutral Mass Spectrometer measurements. *Icarus* **2009**, 2, 581–615.
- (2) (a) Gupta, S.; Ochiai, E.; Ponnampuruma, C. Organic synthesis in the atmosphere of Titan. *Nature* **1981**, 293, 752–757. (b) Lorenz, R. D.; McKay, C. P.; Lunin, J. I. Photochemically driven collapse of Titan's atmosphere. *Science* **1997**, 275, 642–644.
- (3) (a) Krasnopolsky, V. A. *Icarus* **2009**, 201, 226–256. (b) Vuitton, V.; Yelle, R. V.; Cui, J. *J. Geo. Res. Planets* **2008**, 113, No. E05007. (c) Molina-Cuberos, G. J.; Schwingenschuh, K.; López-Moreno, J. J.; Rodrigo, R.; Lara, L. M.; Anicich, V. J. *J. Geo. Res. Planets* **2002**, 107, 9–11.
- (4) Abplanalp, M. J.; Frigge, R.; Kaiser, R. I. Low-temperature synthesis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Titan's surface ices and on airless bodies. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, 5, No. eaaw5841.
- (5) Stofan, E. R.; et al. *Nature* **2007**, 445, 61–64.
- (6) Griffith, C. A.; Hall, J. L.; Geballe, T. R. *Science* **2000**, 290, 509–513. (b) Hueso, R.; Sánchez-Lavega, A. *Nature* **2006**, 442, 428–431. (c) Schaller, E. L.; Roe, H. G.; Schneider, T.; Brown, M. E. *Nature* **2009**, 460, 873–875.
- (7) (a) Cordier, D.; Cornet, T.; Barnes, J. W.; MacKenzie, S. M.; Le Bahers, T.; Nna-Mvondo, D.; Rannou, P.; Ferreira, A. G. *Icarus* **2016**, 270, 41–56. (b) Maynard-Casely, H. E.; Cable, M. L.; Malaska, M. J.;

- Vu, T. H.; Choukroun, M.; Hodyss, R. *Am. Mineral.* **2018**, *103*, 343–349.
- (8) (a) Raulin, F.; Brassé, C.; Poch, O.; Coll, P. Prebiotic-like chemistry on Titan. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 5380–5393. (b) Schulze-Makuch, D.; Grinspoon, D. H. *Astrobiology* **2005**, *5*, 560–567.
- (9) Hautaluoma, G.; Johnson, A. NASA's Dragonfly Will Fly Around Titan Looking for Origins, Signs of Life NASA Press Release 19–052, June 27, 2019.
- (10) Coustenis, A.; Achterberg, R. K.; Conrath, B. J.; Jennings, D. E.; Marten, A.; Gautier, D.; Nixon, C. A.; Flasar, F. M.; Teanby, N. A.; Bézard, B.; Samuelson, R. E.; Carlson, R. C.; Lellouch, E.; Bjoraker, G. L.; Romani, P. N.; Taylor, F. W.; Irwin, P. G. J.; Fouchet, T.; Hubert, A.; Orton, G. S.; Kunde, V. G.; Vinatier, S.; Mondellini, J.; Abbas, M. M.; Courtin, R. The Composition of Titan's Stratosphere from Cassini/CIRS Mid-Infrared Spectra. *Icarus* **2007**, *189* (1), 35–62.
- (11) Niemann, H. B.; Atreya, S. K.; Demick, J. E.; Gautier, D.; Haberman, J. A.; Harpold, D. N.; Kasprzak, W. T.; Lunine, J. I.; Owen, T. C.; Raulin, F. Composition of Titan's Lower Atmosphere and Simple Surface Volatiles as Measured by the Cassini-Huygens Probe Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer Experiment. *J. Geophys.* **2010**.
- (12) Waite, J. H.; Niemann, H.; Yelle, R. V.; Kasprzak, W. T.; Cravens, T. E.; Luhmann, J. G.; McNutt, R. L.; Ip, W.-H.; Gell, D.; De La Haye, V.; Müller-Wordag, I.; Magee, B.; Borggren, N.; Ledvina, S.; Fletcher, G.; Walter, E.; Miller, R.; Scherer, S.; Thorpe, R.; Xu, J.; Block, B.; Arnett, K. Ion Neutral Mass Spectrometer Results from the First Flyby of Titan. *Science* **2005**, *308* (5724), 982.
- (13) Cable, M. L.; Runčevski, T.; Maynard-Casely, H. E.; Vu, T. H.; Hodyss, R. Titan in a Test Tube: Organic Co-Crystals and Implications for Titan Mineralogy. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2021**, *54*, 3050–3059.
- (14) (a) Olejniczak, A.; Katrusiak, A. Supramolecular Reaction between Pressure-Frozen Acetonitrile Phases α and β . *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112* (24), 7183–7190. (b) Barrow, M. J. *Acta Cryst. B* **1981**, *37*, 2239–2242.
- (15) (a) Koski, H. K.; Sándor, E. Neutron Powder Diffraction Study of the Low-Temperature Phase of Solid Acetylene-d 2. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem.* **1975**, *31* (2), 350–353. (b) Koski, H. K. The Structure of Solid Acetylene-d 2, C 2 D 2, at 4.2 K. A Further Refinement. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem.* **1975**, *31* (3), 933–935. (c) Antson, O. K.; Till, K. J.; Andersen, N. H. *Acta Cryst. B* **1987**, *43*, 296–301.
- (16) (a) Cable, M. L.; Vu, T. H.; Malaska, J.; Maynard-Casely, H. E.; Choukroun, M.; Hodyss, R. The Acetylene-Ammonia Co-crystal on Titan. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2018**, *2*, 366–375. (b) Cable, M. L.; Vu, T. H.; Malaska, J.; Maynard-Casely, H. E.; Choukroun, M.; Hodyss, R. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2019**, *3*, 2808–2815.
- (17) Ennis, C.; Cable, M. L.; Hodyss, R.; Maynard-Casely, H. E. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2020**, *4* (7), 1195–1200.
- (18) Kramer, M. J.; Trump, B.; Daemen, L. L.; Cheng, Y.; Balderas-Xicohtencatl, R.; Ramirez-Cuesta, A. J.; Brown, C. M.; Runčevski, T. Neutron Vibrational Spectroscopic Study of the 1:1 Acetylene:Ammonia Cocrytal Relevant to Titan, Saturn's Moon. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2024**, 5676–5683.
- (19) (a) Cable, M. L.; Vu, T. H.; Maynard-Casely, H. E.; Choukroun, M.; Hodyss, R. The Acetylene-Ammonia Co-Crystal on Titan. *ACS Earth Sp. Chem.* **2018**, *2* (4), 366–375. (b) Thakur, A. C.; Remsing, R. C. Molecular Structure, Dynamics, and Vibrational Spectroscopy of the Acetylene:Ammonia (1:1) Plastic Co-Crystal at Titan Conditions. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2023**, *7* (2), 479–489. (b) Thakur, A. C.; Remsing, R. C. Nuclear quantum effects in the acetylene:ammonia plastic co-crystal. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2024**, *160*, No. 024502.
- (20) Kirchner, M. T.; Bläser, D.; Boese, R. Co-Crystals with Acetylene: Small Is Not Simple! *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2010**, *16* (7), 2131–2146.
- (21) McConville, C. A.; Tao, Y.; Evans, H. A.; Trump, B. A.; Lefton, J. B.; Xu, W.; Yakovenko, A. A.; Kraka, E.; Brown, C. M.; Runčevski, T. Peritectic phase transition of benzene and acetonitrile into a cocrytal relevant to Titan, Saturn's Moon. *Chem. Commun.* **2020**, 56, 13520–13523.
- (22) Cable, M. L.; Vu, T. H.; Malaska, J.; Maynard-Casely, H. E.; Choukroun, M.; Hodyss, R. Properties and Behavior of the Acetonitrile–Acetylene Co-Crystal under Titan Surface Conditions. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2020**, *4* (8), 1375–1385.
- (23) (a) Parker, S. F.; Lennon, D.; Albers, P. W. Vibrational Spectroscopy with Neutrons: A Review of New Directions. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **2011**, *65* (12), 1325–1341. (b) Parker, S. F.; Ramirez-Cuesta, A. J.; Daemen, L. Vibrational Spectroscopy with Neutrons: Recent Developments. *Spectrochim. Acta - Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2018**, *190*, 518–523. (c) Mitchell, P.; Parker, S.; Ramirez-Cuesta, A.; Tomkinson, J. *Vibrational Spectroscopy With Neutrons: With Applications in Chemistry, Biology, Materials Science and Catalysis*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2005.
- (24) Seeger, P. A.; Daemen, L. L.; Larese, J. Z. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A* **2009**, *604* (3), 719.
- (25) Toby, B. H.; Von Dreele, R. B. GSAS-II: The Genesis of a Modern Open-Source All Purpose Crystallography Software Package. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2013**, *46* (2), 544–549.
- (26) Pawley, G. S. Unit-Cell Refinement from Powder Diffraction Scans. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1981**, *14* (6), 357–361.
- (27) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 11169–11186.
- (28) Blochl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *50*, 17953–17979.
- (29) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1999**, *59*, 1758–1775.
- (30) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- (31) Klimeš, J.; Bowler, D. R.; Michaelides, A. Chemical accuracy for the van der Waals density functional. *J. Phys.: Cond. Matt.* **2010**, *22*, No. 022201.
- (32) Togo, A.; Tanaka, I. First principles phonon calculations in materials science. *Scr. Mater.* **2015**, *108*, 1–5.
- (33) Cheng, Y. Q.; Daemen, L. L.; Kolesnikov, A. I.; Ramirez-Cuesta, A. J. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2019**, *15* (3), 1974–1982.
- (34) Choi, K. Y.; Duyker, S. G.; Maynard-Casely, H. E.; Kennedy, B. J. Phase trapping in acetonitrile, a metastable mineral for Saturn's moon Titan. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2020**, *4* (8), 1324–1331.
- (35) Moreau, F.; da Silva, I.; Al Smail, N. H.; Easun, T. L.; Savage, M.; Godfrey, H. G. W.; Parker, S. F.; Manuel, P.; Yang, S.; Schröder, M.; et al. Unravelling exceptional acetylene and carbon dioxide adsorption within a tetra-amide functionalized metal-organic framework. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14085.
- (36) Gamlen, P. H.; Stead, W. J.; Tomkinson, J.; White, J. W. Dynamics of acetonitrile crystals and clusters. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1991**, *87*, 539–545.
- (37) ISIS Neutron and Muon Source - INS Database. <https://www.isis.stfc.ac.uk/pages/ins-database.aspx>.
- (38) Thakur, A. C.; Remsing, R. C. Molecular Structure and Rotational Dynamics in the Acetonitrile:Acetylene (1:2) Plastic Co-Crystal at Titan Conditions. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2024**, 1661–1667.