

LOCALLY DUALIZABLE MODULES ABOUND

JON F. CARLSON AND SRIKANTH B. IYENGAR

(communicated by Bill Murray)

Abstract

It is proved that given any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height at least 2 in a countable commutative noetherian ring A , there are uncountably many more dualizable objects in the $\mathfrak{p}$ -local $\mathfrak{p}$ -torsion stratum of the derived category of A than those that are obtained as retracts of images of perfect A -complexes. An analogous result is established dealing with the stable module category of the group algebra of a finite group having sufficient p -rank, over a countable field of positive characteristic p .

1. Introduction

This work is about dualizable objects in tensor triangulated categories arising in commutative algebra and the modular representation theory of finite groups. An object D in a tensor triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$ is *dualizable* if the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}om(D, \mathbb{1}) \otimes X \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(D, X)$$

is an isomorphism for all X in $\mathcal{C}$. Here $\mathcal{H}om$ and $\otimes$ are the internal function object and product in $\mathcal{C}$, respectively, and $\mathbb{1}$ is the unit of the product.

Consider $D(\text{Mod } A)$, the derived category of a commutative noetherian ring A , with tensor structure given by the derived tensor product $-\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}-$, the unit is A , and function object is $\text{RHom}_A(-, -)$. The dualizable objects in $D(\text{Mod } A)$ are precisely the perfect A -complexes, $\text{Perf } A$. These are also the compact objects, and hence $D(\text{Mod } A)$ is rigid as a compactly generated tensor triangulated category.

We consider also $\text{StMod}(kG)$ the stable module category of a finite group G , with k a field of positive characteristic dividing $|G|$. In this case the tensor structure is given by $-\otimes_k-$ with diagonal G action and the function object is $\text{Hom}_k(-, -)$, again with diagonal G -action; the unit is k with trivial G -action. The dualizable objects are those in $\text{stmod}(kG)$, namely, the kG modules that are stably isomorphic to finite dimensional ones, and hence coincide with the compact objects, so $\text{StMod}(kG)$ is also rigid.

Both $D(\text{Mod } A)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$ admit natural stratifications into “local” triangulated subcategories that determine, to a large extent, their global structure. In

Received Month Day, Year, revised Month Day, Year; published on Month Day, Year.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D09 (primary); 18G80, 14F08 (secondary).

Key words and phrases: derived category, dualizable object, stable module category, tensor triangulated category.

Article available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/HHA.2023.v25.n1.a1>

Copyright © 2023, International Press. Permission to copy for private use granted.

$D(\text{Mod } A)$ the strata are parameterized by points $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ and the stratum corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}$ consists of the $\mathfrak{p}$ -local and $\mathfrak{p}$ -torsion complexes in $D(\text{Mod } A)$, which is denoted $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} D(\text{Mod } A)$; see Section 2 for details. There is an analogous stratification $\text{StMod}(kG)$, with parameter space $\text{Proj } H^*(G, k)$; see Section 3. In both cases, the strata are again tensor triangulated subcategories, and it is of interest to understand the dualizable objects in these categories. A noteworthy feature now is that, unless $\mathfrak{p}$ is minimal, there are many more dualizable objects than compact ones, so the strata are not rigid.

There is a natural functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} : D(\text{Mod } A) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} D(\text{Mod } A)$ and the dualizable objects in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} D(\text{Mod } A)$ are generated as a thick subcategory by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} A$; see [3, Theorem]. The question arose whether $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{Perf } A$ is dense in the subcategory of local dualizable objects; in other words, whether each dualizable object in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} D(\text{Mod } A)$ is a retract of a complex $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} P$, with P a perfect A -complex. The point of this paper is that when A is countable, there are uncountably many, mutually non-isomorphic, indecomposable dualizable objects in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} D(\text{Mod } A)$, but at most countably many that are retracts of images of perfect complexes in A ; see Theorem 2.1.

There is an analogous description of the dualizable objects in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{StMod}(kG)$, established in [4], and once again it turns that there can be many more dualizable objects in this strata than direct summands of those induced from $\text{stmod}(kG)$, the global dualizable objects; see Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgments

Our thanks to the referee for positive feedback and suggestions. This work is partly supported by NSF Grant DMS-200985 (SBI).

2. Local algebra

Let A be a commutative noetherian ring and $D(\text{Mod } A)$ the (full) derived category of A -modules, viewed as a tensor triangulated category, where the product of A -complexes X, Y is the derived tensor product $X \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} Y$. The derived category is rigidly compactly generated, with compact objects the perfect A -complexes, that is to say, those that are isomorphic, in $D(\text{Mod } A)$, to bounded complexes of finitely generated projective modules. We denote this category $\text{Perf } A$. It is the thick subcategory of $D(\text{Mod } A)$ generated by A .

Given a point $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \text{Spec } R$ consider the exact functor

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} : D(\text{Mod } A) \rightarrow D(\text{Mod } A) \quad \text{where } X \mapsto \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V(\mathfrak{p})}(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

where $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{V(\mathfrak{p})}(-)$ is the functor representing local cohomology with support in the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A . The image $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} D(\text{Mod } A)$ consists of precisely the $\mathfrak{p}$ -local and $\mathfrak{p}$ -torsion complexes. It is a tensor triangulated category in its own right, with product induced from that on $D(\text{Mod } A)$, unit $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} A$, and function object $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{RHom}_A(-, -)$. It is not rigid, unless $\mathfrak{p}$ is minimal, and there are many more rigid objects than compact ones. See [3, Section 4] for proofs of these assertions.

The main result of this section is as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a countable commutative noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that $\operatorname{height} \mathfrak{p} \geq 2$. There exist uncountably many mutually non-isomorphic indecomposable dualizable objects in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{D}(\operatorname{Mod} A)$ none of which is a retract of an object in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Perf} A$.*

Remark 2.2. In fact, the argument, which is given towards the end of this section, shows that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Perf} A$ contains only countably many isomorphism classes of objects, even allowing for retracts, but that there are uncountably many non-isomorphic indecomposable objects in $\operatorname{Thick}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} A)$. It is easy to check that the latter subcategory consists of dualizable objects in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{D}(\operatorname{Mod} A)$. As it happens, these are all the dualizable objects. This is the main result in [3]; we do not need this fact here.

We record a simple observation.

Lemma 2.3. *When A is a countable noetherian ring, there are only countably many isomorphism classes of objects in $\operatorname{mod} A$, and, more generally, only countably many isomorphism classes in $\operatorname{D}^b(\operatorname{mod} A)$.*

Proof. Any finitely generated A -module occurs as a cokernel of a map $A^m \rightarrow A^n$, for each nonnegative integers m, n , and each such map is given by a matrix of size $m \times n$ with coefficients in A . Since A is countable, there are only countably many such matrices, which justifies the claim about $\operatorname{mod} A$. The one about complexes follows because in $\operatorname{D}(\operatorname{Mod} A)$ any complex with finitely generated homology is isomorphic to one of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow M_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where M_n is in $\operatorname{mod} R$ and each F_i is a finite free A -module. $\square$

The result below is also well-known; see [9, p. 500].

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a commutative noetherian local ring that is complete with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$, its maximal ideal. If $\dim A \geq 2$, then there exists an uncountable collection of distinct prime ideals in A , each of height one. In the same vein, there is an uncountable collection of elements $\{a_u\}_{u \in U}$ with $\sqrt{a_u} \neq \sqrt{a_v}$ for $u \neq v$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there are only countable many prime ideals $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of height one. Since each non-invertible element in A is contained in a height one prime, by Krull's Principal Ideal theorem, it follows that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \cup_i \mathfrak{p}_i$. Since A is complete, it has the countable prime avoidance property; see, for instance, [6, 10]. We deduce that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i , contradicting the hypothesis that $\dim A \geq 2$.

Because every prime ideal of height one is minimal over a principal ideal, and the radical of principal ideal is a finite intersection of prime ideals, the second part of the assertion follows from the first. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. One has that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{D}(\operatorname{Mod} A) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{D}(\operatorname{Mod} A_{\mathfrak{p}})$, so replacing A by its localization at $\mathfrak{p}$ we can suppose it is local, say with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, with $\dim A \geq 2$. Let $\hat{A}$ be the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of A . A key input in the arguments

presented below is that the natural map of rings $A \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^*(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A, \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A)$ factors through the completion map $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ and yields an isomorphism

$$\hat{A} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_A^*(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A, \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A).$$

Hence, derived Morita theory yields the Greenlees-May adjoint equivalence

$$\text{Thick}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{A}) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{RHom}_A(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A, -)]{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A \otimes_{\hat{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} -} \text{Thick}_A(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A).$$

It is also helpful that $\text{RHom}_A(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}, -) \cong \mathbf{L}\Lambda^{\mathfrak{m}}(-)$, the left derived functor of $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion. See the discussion around [3, (4.2), (4.3)].

Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_u\}_u$ be the uncountable collection of prime ideals in $\hat{R}$ supplied by Lemma 2.4. For each $\mathfrak{p}_u$ let K_u denote the Koszul complex on $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}R$ on a minimal generating set for the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_u$ of $\hat{R}$. The complex K_u has the following properties:

- (1) Each K_u is dualizable in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}\text{D}(\text{Mod } A)$;
- (2) One has $K_u \not\cong K_v$ for $u \neq v$;
- (3) Each K_u is indecomposable.

Indeed (1) holds because $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A$, being the unit of the product on $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}\text{D}(\text{Mod } A)$ is dualizable, and K_u is finitely built from $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A$.

Under the adjoint equivalence above, $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A$ is mapped to $\mathbf{L}\Lambda^{\mathfrak{m}}A$, so K_u is mapped to the Koszul complex on $\hat{A}$ on the chosen minimal generating set for $\mathfrak{p}_u$. It follows that the kernel I_u of the natural map

$$\hat{A} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^*(K_u, K_u) \cong \text{Ext}_{\hat{A}}^*(\mathbf{L}\Lambda^{\mathfrak{m}}K_u, \mathbf{L}\Lambda^{\mathfrak{m}}K_u)$$

satisfies $\sqrt{I_u} = \mathfrak{p}_u$. Since the $\{\mathfrak{p}_u\}_u$ are distinct, (2) follows. Moreover, the Koszul complex over $\hat{A}$ on any minimal generating set for the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is indecomposable in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } \hat{A})$, by [1, Proposition 4.7]. Consequently, K_u is indecomposable in $\text{D}(\text{Mod } A)$. This justifies (3).

Since the collection $\{K_u\}_u$ is uncountable, to complete the proof we have to verify that there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable direct summands of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}P$, with P a perfect A -complex. To see this, note that since $\hat{A}$ is complete, $\text{Thick}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{A})$ is a Krull-Schmidt category, and hence so is $\text{Thick}_A(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}A)$, by the equivalence above. It remains to recall that there are only countably many isomorphism classes of perfect A -complexes, by Lemma 2.3. $\square$

3. Finite groups

Let G be a finite group and k a field of positive characteristic p , where p divides the order of G . The stable category $\text{StMod}(kG)$ of kG -modules modulo projective modules is a tensor triangulated category, there the product is $- \otimes_k -$ with the diagonal G -action, and the unit is the trivial kG -module k . The compact objects are the modules equivalent to finitely generated modules. They form a thick subcategory denoted $\text{stmod}(kG)$.

The cohomology ring $H^*(G, k) = \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(k, k)$ is a finitely generated graded k -algebra and $\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M, N)$ is a finitely generated module over $H^*(G, k)$, for all M, N

in $\text{mod } kG$. The projectivized spectrum $V_G(k) = \text{Proj } H^*(G, k)$ is the collection of homogeneous prime ideals in $H^*(G, k)$, except the the maximal one, $H^{\geq 1}(G, k)$.

The support variety $V_G(M)$ of a finitely generated module M is defined as the collection of those ideals that contain the annihilator of $\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M, M)$ in $H^*(G, k)$. Support varieties for infinite dimensional kG -modules are introduced in [5]; see also [2]. These are subsets of $V_G(k)$ that are not necessarily closed.

As in the previous section, for each $\mathfrak{p}$ in $V_G(k)$, there exists an exact functor

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}: \text{StMod}(kG) \longrightarrow \text{StMod}(kG)$$

whose image is the subcategory consisting of all kG -modules whose support is contained in V . Then, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{StMod}(kG)$ is again tensor triangulated, with tensor product inherited from $\text{StMod}(kG)$. The unit is $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k$, and the function object is $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{Hom}_k(-, -)$. There are numerous equivalent ways to characterize dualizable modules in this category; see [4]. The full subcategory of dualizable modules in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{StMod}(kG)$ form a thick triangulated subcategory, and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} M$ is dualizable for each M in $\text{stmod}(kG)$. The theorem below and its proof are similar to Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let k be a countable field of characteristic p , and G an elementary abelian p -group of rank ≥ 3 . Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a closed point in $V_G(k)$. There exists an uncountable collection of mutually non-isomorphic, indecomposable dualizable modules in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{StMod}(kG)$, none of which is a direct summand of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} M$ for M in $\text{stmod}(kG)$.*

Proof. Since the dualizable objects form a thick subcategory, it suffices to prove that there is an uncountable collection of mutually non-isomorphic, indecomposable objects in the thick category generated by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{stmod}(kG)$ that are not retracts of the images of the finite dimensional ones. This has nothing to do with tensor triangulated structure on $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{StMod}(kG)$, and we are free to choose any coalgebra structure on kG that is convenient.

We may assume $G = H \times \langle z \rangle$ where H is elementary abelian of rank $r - 1$ and $z^p = 1$. That is, we can assume that the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is the radical of the restriction to a subalgebra $k[z]/(z^p) \in kG$, the inclusion into kG being a π -point associated to $\mathfrak{p}$ in the language of [8]. The choice of the complementary subalgebra kH is somewhat arbitrary. That is, we can choose kH to be the subalgebra generated by any collection $x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$ in $\text{rad}(kG)$ such that the images of $z, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$ in $\text{rad}(kG)/\text{rad}^2(kG)$ form a k -basis. Then $kG \cong kC \otimes kH$ where C is generated by the unit $1 + z$ and H is generated by $1 + x_1, \dots, 1 + x_{r-1}$. This is an isomorphism of k -algebras, but not generally as Hopf algebras.

Keeping in mind that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma_{V(\mathfrak{p})}$, from [7, Proposition 5.2] we see that

$$R := \underline{\text{End}}_{kG}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{kG}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_{kG}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k, k) \cong \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} H^i(H, k),$$

as an additive group. The product is the obvious one, except that, if p is odd, then any two elements of odd degree multiply to zero. Hence the endomorphism ring is a commutative local ring that, modulo a nilpotent ideal, is the completion of a polynomial ring of degree $r - 1$. In particular its Krull dimension is $r - 1 \geq 2$.

For each $\zeta \in R$, we define the module K_ζ to be the third object in the triangle

$$K_\zeta \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k \xrightarrow{\zeta} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k \longrightarrow .$$

Evidently, K_ζ is in the thick subcategory generated by $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{stmod}(kG)$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_\zeta)$ be the annihilator of the R -module $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{kG}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k, K_\zeta)$. Then we recall [7, Theorem 7.6] that the radical of $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_\zeta)$ coincides with the radical of the ideal generated by ζ . Thus, we have that if ζ and γ are elements in R that generate ideals with different radicals, then K_ζ is not isomorphic to K_γ .

When M is a finite dimensional module, the R -module $\underline{\text{End}}_{kG}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} M)$ is finitely generated. Consequently, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} M$ has only a finite number of indecomposable summands, as otherwise, $\underline{\text{End}}_{kG}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} M)$ would have an infinite number of idempotents. It follows from the Lemma 2.3 that the collection of modules K_ζ that can be direct summands of modules of the form $\Gamma_V M$ for M finitely generated, is countable. Since $\dim R \geq 2$ it remains to recall from Lemma 2.4 that it has an uncountable number of elements ζ having mutually distinct radicals. $\square$

Example 3.2. Suppose $p = 2$ and that G is elementary abelian of order 8. We use the notation of the previous proof. We write $kG = kH \otimes kC$ where $kH = k[x, y]/(x^2, y^2)$ and $kC = k[z]/(z^2)$. Here the variety V is the point corresponding to the inclusion $kC \hookrightarrow kG$. Choose $\zeta \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{kG}(\Gamma_V k, \Gamma_V k)$ to have the form $\zeta = (0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots)$ where $\zeta_i \in H^i(H, k)$. Assume that $\zeta_1 \neq 0$. Because $\zeta_1 \neq 0$, we have that the restriction to kH of K_ζ is a direct sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i$ where $U_i \cong kH$. Choose $u_i \in U_i$ to be a kH -generator, for all i . With some calculation, it can be shown that the action of z is give by a formula

$$zu_i = \sum_{j=0}^i (\alpha_j x + \beta_j y) u_{i-j}$$

where for each j , the elements $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in k$, depend on the choices of ζ_ℓ for $\ell \leq j$. With some slight adjustment in the proof, Theorem 3.1 tells us that if k is countable, then there is an uncountable collection of such elements ζ such that the resulting modules K_ζ are mutually non-isomorphic and not isomorphic to a direct summand of any $\Gamma_V M$ for any $M \in \text{stmod}(kG)$.

General finite groups

We end with the following result, extending Theorem 3.1 to any finite group.

Theorem 3.3. *Let k be a countable field and G a finite group. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ be a closed point in $V_G(k)$ that is contained in $\text{res}_{G,E}^*(V_G(k))$ for some elementary abelian p -subgroup E having rank ≥ 3 . There exists an uncountable collection of mutually non-isomorphic, indecomposable dualizable modules in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{StMod}(kG)$, none of which is a direct summand of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} M$ for M in $\text{stmod}(kG)$.*

Proof. We use the induction functor $\text{StMod}(kE) \rightarrow \text{StMod}(kG)$ that takes a kE -module M to $M^{\uparrow G} = kG \otimes_{kE} M$. The restriction to a kE -module of the idempotent module $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k$ is still an idempotent module, and a support variety argument establishes

that, in the stable category, it has the form

$$(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}k)_{\downarrow E} \cong \sum \Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}k$$

where the sum is over the finite collection of closed points $\mathfrak{q} \in V_E(k)$ such that $\text{res}_{G,E}^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Then by Frobenius reciprocity, we have that

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}k \otimes (k_{\downarrow E})^{\uparrow G} \cong ((\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}k)_{\downarrow E})^{\uparrow G} \cong \sum (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}k)^{\uparrow G}.$$

As a consequence, the modules $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{q}}k)^{\uparrow G}$ are dualizable.

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ denote any one of the points with $\text{res}_{G,E}^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Because the induction functor is exact, for ζ in $\text{Hom}_{kE}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}k, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}k)$, the module $K_{\zeta}^{\uparrow G}$ is also dualizable. Moreover, by the Mackey Theorem, any such module has at most a finite number of indecomposable direct summands. Now the theorem follows from the fact that, by Theorem 3.1, there is an uncountable number of such modules and they are in $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{StMod}(kG)$. On the other hand, the thick subcategory obtained by taking the idempotent completion of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{stmod}(kG)$ has only a countable number of indecomposable objects. $\square$

References

- [1] H. Altmann, E. Grifo, J. Montaña, W. T. Sanders, and T. Vu, *Lower bounds on projective levels of complexes*, J. Alg. **491** (2017), 343–356.
- [2] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, and H. Krause, *Local cohomology and support for triangulated categories*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **41** (2008), 575–621.
- [3] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, H. Krause, and J. Pevtsova, *Local dualisable objects in local algebra*, proceeding of the Abel Symposium, 2022, Springer, to appear.
- [4] D. J. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, H. Krause, and J. Pevtsova, *Locally dualisable modular representations and local regularity*, (in preparation).
- [5] D. J. Benson, J. F. Carlson and J. Rickard, *Complexity and varieties for infinitely generated modules*, II, Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. **120** (1996), 597–615.
- [6] L. Burch, *Codimension and analytic spread*, Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. **72** (1972), 369–373.
- [7] J. F. Carlson, *Idempotent modules, locus of compactness and local supports*, Proc. Southeastern Lie Theory Workshop Series, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 2024 (to appear).
- [8] E. Friedlander and J. Pevtsova, *Π -supports for modules for finite group schemes*, Duke Math J. **139** (2007), 317–368.
- [9] W. Hassler and R. Wiegand, *Extended Modules*, J. Commut. Algebra, **1** (2009), 481–505.
- [10] R. Y. Sharp and P. Vámos, *Baire’s category theorem and prime avoidance in complete local rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **44** (1985), 243–248.

Jon F. Carlson jfc@math.uga.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

Srikanth B. Iyengar srikanth.b.iyengar@utah.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA