

STABILITY AND OPTIMAL DECAY FOR THE 3D MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS WITH ONLY HORIZONTAL DISSIPATION

HAIFENG SHANG¹, JIAHONG WU² AND QIAN ZHANG³

ABSTRACT. This paper develops an effective approach to establishing the optimal decay estimates on solutions of the 3D anisotropic magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations with only horizontal dissipation. As our first step, we prove the global existence and stability of solutions to the aforementioned MHD system emanating from any initial data with small H^1 -norm. Due to the lack of dissipation in the vertical direction, the large-time behavior does not follow from the classical approaches. The analysis of the nonlinear terms are much more difficult than in the case of full dissipation. In particular, we need to represent the MHD equations in an integral form, exploit cancellations and other properties such as the incompressibility in order to control terms involving vertical derivatives.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stability and large time behavior are among the most essential properties of partial differential equations (PDEs) modeling incompressible fluids. This paper intends to understand these crucial properties for the following 3D anisotropic magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) system with only horizontal dissipation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla P + \nu \Delta_h u + b \cdot \nabla b, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0, \\ \partial_t b + u \cdot \nabla b = \eta \Delta_h b + b \cdot \nabla u, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \nabla \cdot b = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), b(x, 0) = b_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where u and b represent the fluid velocity and the magnetic field, respectively, $P = p + \frac{1}{2}|b|^2$ denotes the total pressure, and $\nu > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ are the viscosity and magnetic diffusivity, respectively. Here $\Delta_h = \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2$ denotes the horizontal Laplacian.

Anisotropic dissipation arises in the modeling of various fluids and geophysical fluids such as in the Prandtl equation as well as in the study of turbulent flows in Ekman layer [31]. Anisotropic magnetic diffusion is relevant in the study of several astrophysical phenomenon such as the modeling of magnetic reconnection (see, e.g., [32, 33]).

The main goal of this paper is to provide optimal upper bounds on the decay rates of solutions (u, b) to (1.1). In addition, we will also reveal some remarkable large-time behavior of (u, b) such as the accelerated decay rates for the vertical components u_3 and b_3 of u and b , respectively.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B35, 35B40, 35Q35, 76D03.

Key words and phrases. 3D MHD equations, partial dissipation, stability, sharp decay rates.

The lack of full dissipation in (1.1) prevents us from applying the powerful tools designed for PDEs with full dissipation. The Fourier splitting method of Schonbek has been very successful in understanding the large-time behavior of various fully dissipative PDEs modeling fluids (see, e.g., [1, 36, 37]). But unfortunately this method does not appear to apply to the anisotropic dissipation case.

This paper presents a new approach that can effectively extract the large-time behavior of solutions to (1.1). Inspired by a recent work of Ji, Wu and Yang [19] on the 3D anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, the approach and techniques of this paper are not merely a parallel extension from the Navier-Stokes to the MHD equations. This paper offers several improvements. For example, the smallness requirement on the initial data in this paper is imposed only on the H^1 -norm instead of higher regularity norm as in [19]. Furthermore, this paper reveals some unusual decay properties of (u, b) to (1.1). The third components u_3 and b_3 of u and b , respectively, actually decay faster than the corresponding horizontal ones in the Sobolev setting. This phenomenon was first remarkably observed by Xu and Zhang in the Besov setting [47]. It reflects the enhanced dissipation in the vertical components due to their special evolution structures of u_3 and b_3 . We are able to recover this property in the Sobolev setting with no elaborated conditions on the initial data.

The main result established in this paper is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume $(u_0, b_0) \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot b_0 = 0$. Then there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, if*

$$\|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|b_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \varepsilon, \quad (1.2)$$

then system (1.1) has a unique global solution (u, b) satisfying

$$(u, b) \in L^\infty(0, \infty; H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla_h u, \nabla_h b \in L^2(0, \infty; H^k(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

and, for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{H^k}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{H^k}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{H^k}^2) d\tau \\ & \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^k}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant proportional to the initial norm $\|u_0\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^k}^2$.

Furthermore, if $(u_0, b_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $s \geq 3$ satisfies, for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$,

$$\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0, \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b_0, \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u_0, \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b_0, \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \Lambda_3^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_0, \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \Lambda_3^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} b_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (1.3)$$

then the global solution (u, b) of (1.1) satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|b(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|b(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C.$$

$$\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C.$$

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|b(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}. \quad (1.4)$$

$$\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}}. \quad (1.5)$$

$$\|u_3(t)\|_{L^2} + \|b_3(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{4}}. \quad (1.6)$$

$$\|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b_3(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{4} + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (1.7)$$

Theorem 1.1 reflects the enhanced regularity and decay rates in the vertical components of u and b . The decay rate for the L^2 -norm of the vertical components u_3 and b_3 in (1.6) is higher than that for (u, b) in (1.4). Similarly the rate for $\nabla_h u_3$ and $\nabla_h b_3$ in (1.7) is also higher than that for $\nabla_h u$ and $\nabla_h b$ in (1.5). In addition, the rates for (u, b) in (1.4) and (1.5) are the same as those for the anisotropic heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F = \Delta_h F, & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0, \\ F(x, 0) = F_0(x) \end{cases}$$

with F_0 satisfying similar assumptions as those for (u_0, b_0) . Consequently the rates obtained in Theorem 1.1 are optimal.

Well-posedness and stability problems on the MHD systems have recently attracted considerable interests and significant progress has been made (see, e.g., [3–18, 20–24, 26–28, 34, 35, 39–42, 44, 45, 48–52, 54–58]). The references listed here are by no means exhaustive. We shall not attempt to detail these results, but instead describe two closely related work on the 3D MHD equations with anisotropic dissipation. Wu and Zhu [46] investigated the 3D MHD equations with horizontal dissipation and vertical magnetic diffusion, and were able to establish the global well-posedness and stability near a background magnetic field. In a preprint submitted for publication [29], Lin, Wu and Zhu considered the 3D MHD equations with velocity dissipation in only one direction and magnetic diffusion in two directions. They showed that any perturbation near a suitable background magnetic field is globally stable. When the dissipation is only in one direction, the velocity nonlinearity does not appear to admit a suitable upper bound when the spatial domain is $\mathbb{R}^3$. By exploiting the symmetric structures in the vorticity formulation to encounter the derivative loss problem as well as the stabilizing effect of the background magnetic field, [29] was able to solve this difficult well-posedness and stability problem. The large-time behavior of the global solutions obtained in [29] and [46] remains open. It is hoped that the method developed in this paper will help solve the large-time behavior problem on the MHD systems considered in [29] and [46].

We outline the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is naturally divided into two main parts: the stability part and the part for the decay estimates. Assuming that the initial H^1 -norm is small, we show that the H^1 -norm of the solution is uniformly bounded by the initial H^1 -norm. Through an inductive process of controlling the H^k -norm via the H^{k-1} -norm, we further show that any H^k -norm is bounded uniformly and proportional to the initial H^k -norm. We remark that the initial H^k -norm with $k \geq 2$ is not assumed to be small. The decay estimates are shown via the bootstrapping argument (see [38, p.21]). We assume the smallness of the initial H^1 -norm as well as the negative Sobolev setting, namely (1.2) and (1.3). Especially, we have

$$\gamma_0 := \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b_0\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty.$$

We note that γ_0 is not assumed to be small. Let (u, b) be the corresponding solution. We make the ansatz that, for $t \in [0, T]$ with $T > 0$,

$$\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 3\gamma_0.$$

The initial time interval $[0, T]$ is guaranteed by the local well-posedness. Our main efforts are then devoted to proving the improved inequality, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\gamma_0. \quad (1.8)$$

Then the bootstrapping argument implies $T = \infty$ and that (1.8) actually holds for any $t < \infty$. The proof of (1.8) requires considerable efforts and is divided into five steps. The first step computes the decay rate for $\nabla u(t)$ and $\nabla b(t)$ while the second step estimates $\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2}$. The third step reveals enhanced dissipation and higher decay rates for the vertical components u_3 and b_3 and their horizontal derivatives $\nabla_h u_3$ and $\nabla_h b_3$. The fourth step obtains upper bounds on $\|\Lambda^{-\sigma} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|\Lambda^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2$ in terms of the derivatives of u and b . The final step invokes the decay rates for the derivatives from the first three steps to establish (1.8).

The rest of this paper is divided into two sections. Section 2 proves the stability part of Theorem 1.1 while Section 3 presents the decay estimates in Theorem 1.1, as outlined in the previous paragraph.

2. PROOF OF THE STABILITY PART IN THEOREM 1.1

We split the proof of Theorem 1.1 into two main parts. The first part establishes the stability result, and is presented in this section. The second part verifies the decay rates and will be given in the subsequent section.

First, we state two lemmas to be used in the proof. The first lemma provides an upper bound for the L^p -norm of a one-dimensional function, which serves as a basic ingredient for anisotropic upper bounds. A proof can be found in [53].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $s > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(p, s)$ such that, for any 1D functions $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{1-\frac{1}{s}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{s}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}.$$

In particular, if $p = \infty$ and $s = 1$, then any $f = f(x_3) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The second lemma provides an anisotropic upper bound for the integral of a triple product. It is a very powerful tool in dealing with anisotropic equations. A simple proof of this lemma can be found in [46].

Lemma 2.2. *The following estimates hold when the right-hand sides are all bounded.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fgh| dx &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |fgh| dx &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_1 \partial_2 f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 g\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

With these two lemmas at our disposal, we are ready to prove the stability part of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of the stability result in Theorem 1.1. Since the local well-posedness of (1.1) in H^k with any $k \geq 1$ follows from a standard approach such as Friedrichs' method (see, e.g., [2, 30]), this proof focuses on the global *a priori* bounds.

Taking the inner product of (u, b) with the first two equations, integrating by parts and using $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2) + \nu \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Integrating in time yields, for $c_0 = \min\{\nu, \eta\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + c_0 \int_0^t (\|\nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \\ & \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b_0\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying ∂_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) to the first two equations of (1.1), dotting the results by $\partial_i u$ and $\partial_i b$, respectively, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ and adding them up, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\|\partial_i u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_i b\|_{L^2}^2) + \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nu \|\partial_i \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\partial_i \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_i u dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_i u dx \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_i b dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_i b dx \\ & := A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\nabla \cdot u = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 & = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_i u dx = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_i u dx \\ & = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i u_h \cdot \nabla_h u \cdot \partial_i u dx - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i u_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_i u dx \\ & := A_{11} + A_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i \partial_i u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{H^1} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

To bound A_{12} , we further divide it into two parts and then apply Lemma 2.1 to obtain

$$A_{12} = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i u_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_i u dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{i=1}^2 \int \partial_i u_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_i u dx - \int \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_3 u dx \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^2 \int \partial_i u_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_i u dx + \int \nabla_h \cdot u_h \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_3 u dx \\
&\leq C \|\nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|u\|_{H^1} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$A_1 \leq C \|u\|_{H^1} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_i b dx = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i u \cdot \nabla b \cdot \partial_i b dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i u_h \cdot \nabla_h b \cdot \partial_i b dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i u_3 \partial_3 b \cdot \partial_i b dx \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_i u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C (\|u\|_{H^1} + \|b\|_{H^1}) (\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Due to

$$\int b \cdot \nabla \partial_i b \cdot \partial_i u dx + \int b \cdot \nabla \partial_i u \cdot \partial_i b dx = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 + A_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_i u dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i (b \cdot \nabla u) \partial_i b dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i b \cdot \nabla b \cdot \partial_i u dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i b \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_i b dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i b_h \cdot \nabla_h b \cdot \partial_i u dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i b_3 \partial_3 b \cdot \partial_i u dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i b_h \cdot \nabla_h u \cdot \partial_i b dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i b_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_i b dx \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_i b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_i b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + C \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C(\|u\|_{H^1} + \|b\|_{H^1})(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|b\|_{H^1}^2) + \nu \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^1}^2 \\
& \leq C(\|u\|_{H^1} + \|b\|_{H^1})(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Adding (2.2) and (2.1) up yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|b\|_{H^1}^2) + 2\nu \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2 + 2\eta \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^1}^2 \\
& \leq C(\|u\|_{H^1} + \|b\|_{H^1})(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^1}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating in time, and choosing ε in (1.2) small enough such that

$$\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|b_0\|_{H^1} \leq C^{-1} c_0, \quad c_0 = \min\{\nu, \eta\},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + c_0 \int_0^t \|\nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2 + 2\eta \|\nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2 d\tau \\
& \leq \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we prove by induction on k that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t)\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{H^k}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{H^k}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{H^k}^2) d\tau \\
& \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^k}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $C(\|u_0\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^k}^2)$ is a constant depending on the initial norm $\|(u_0, b_0)\|_{H^k}$ only. Clearly, (2.3) holds for $k = 1$. Assume that for any integer $k \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t)\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{H^{k-1}}^2) d\tau \\
& \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^{k-1}}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Applying $\partial_i^k (i = 1, 2, 3)$ to the first two equations of (1.1), dotting the results by $\partial_i^k u$ and $\partial_i^k b$, respectively, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ and adding them up, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\|\partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^2) + \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nu \|\partial_i^k \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\partial_i^k \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_i^k u dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_i^k u dx \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (u \cdot \nabla b) \partial_i^k b dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_i^k b dx \\
&:= K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $C_k^j = \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!}$. By $\nabla \cdot u = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_i^k u dx \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u \cdot \nabla \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k u dx \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k u dx - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u_3 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k u dx \\
&:= K_{11} + K_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{11} &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k u dx \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k \|\partial_i^j u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_i^j u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|u\|_{H^k} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k} \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{16} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k}^2 + C \|u\|_{H^k}^2 \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{12} &= - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u_3 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k u dx \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k \|\partial_i^j u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^j u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|u\|_{H^k} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k} \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{16} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k}^2 + C \|u\|_{H^k}^2 \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact, due to $\nabla \cdot u = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j u_3\|_{L^2} &\leq C(\|\nabla_h^j u_3\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3^j u_3\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla_h^j u_3\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3^{j-1} \nabla_h \cdot u_h\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u \cdot \nabla \partial_i^{k-j} b \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} b \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j u_3 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_i^j u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^j u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_0}{16} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k}^2 + \frac{c_0}{16} \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^k}^2 + C(\|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b\|_{H^k}^2)(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^{k-1}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Due to

$$\int b \cdot \nabla \partial_i^k b \cdot \partial_i^k u \, dx + \int b \cdot \nabla \partial_i^k u \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 + K_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_i^k u \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \partial_i^k (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j b \cdot \nabla \partial_i^{k-j} b \cdot \partial_i^k u \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j b \cdot \nabla \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j b_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} b \cdot \partial_i^k u \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j b_3 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b \cdot \partial_i^k u \, dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j b_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \int \partial_i^j b_3 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} u \cdot \partial_i^k b \, dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_i^j b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^j b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^j b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_i^j b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + C \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|\partial_i^j b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^j b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 \partial_i^{k-j} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_i^k b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{c_0}{16} \|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k}^2 + \frac{c_0}{16} \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^k}^2 + C(\|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b\|_{H^k}^2)(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^{k-1}}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt}(\|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b\|_{H^k}^2) + c_0(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^k}^2) \\
& \leq C(\|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b\|_{H^k}^2)(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^{k-1}}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Adding (2.5) to (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt}(\|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b\|_{H^k}^2) + c_0(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^k}^2) \\
& \leq C(\|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b\|_{H^k}^2)(\|\nabla_h u\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{H^{k-1}}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b\|_{H^k}^2 + \int_0^t (\|\nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{H^k}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{H^k}^2) d\tau \\
& \leq (\|u_0\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^k}^2) e^{C \int_0^t (\|\nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{H^{k-1}}^2) d\tau} \\
& \leq (\|u_0\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^k}^2) e^{C(\|u_0\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^{k-1}}^2)} \\
& \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^k}^2 + \|b_0\|_{H^k}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We have thus established (2.3). This finishes the proof of the stability part. $\square$

3. DECAY ESTIMATES

This section establishes the decay estimates in Theorem 1.1. First we state two lemmas to be used in the proof.

We need two elementary facts. The first fact, stated in Lemma 3.1, is Minkowski's inequality. It is an extremely useful tool that allows us to estimate the Lebesgue norm with larger index first followed by the Lebesgue norm with a smaller index. The following version is taken from [2, p.4] and a more general statement can be found in [25, p.47].

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X_1, μ_1) and (X_2, μ_2) be two measure spaces. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function over $X_1 \times X_2$. For all $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\| \|f(\cdot, x_2)\|_{L^p(X_1, \mu_1)} \|_{L^q(X_2, \mu_2)} \leq \| \|f(x_1, \cdot)\|_{L^q(X_2, \mu_2)} \|_{L^p(X_1, \mu_1)}.$$

In particular, for a nonnegative measurable function f over $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$\| \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \| \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

The second fact provides an exact $L^p - L^q$ decay estimate for the generalized heat operator associated with a fractional Laplacian. The following lemma and its proof can be found in [43].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\sigma \geq 0$, $\alpha > 0$, $\nu > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$. Then*

$$\| \Lambda^\sigma e^{-\nu(-\Delta)^\alpha t} f \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2\alpha} - \frac{d}{2\alpha}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

We are now ready to prove the decay estimates in Theorem 1.1.

Proof of the decay estimates in Theorem 1.1. The framework of the proof is the bootstrapping argument. The H^1 -norm of the initial data (u_0, b_0) is assumed to be small, namely

$$\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|b_0\|_{H^1} \leq \varepsilon$$

for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Due to the condition (1.3) on (u_0, b_0) , we write

$$\gamma_0 := \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b_0\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

We note that γ_0 is not assumed to be small. Let (u, b) be the corresponding solution. We make the ansatz that, for $t \in [0, T]$ with $T > 0$,

$$\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 3\gamma_0. \quad (3.2)$$

We remark that the initial time interval $[0, T]$ is guaranteed by the local well-posedness. Our main efforts are then devoted to proving the improved inequality, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\gamma_0, \quad (3.3)$$

Then the bootstrapping argument then implies $T = \infty$ and that (3.3) actually holds for any $t < \infty$.

The rest of proof is devoted to showing (3.3). The proof is very long and thus divided into five steps for the sake of clarity.

Step 1. Decay rate for ∇u and ∇b . More precisely, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|b(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2} \\ & + \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Applying ∂_3 to the first two equations in (1.1), and then taking the inner product with $(\partial_3 u, \partial_3 b)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2) + \nu \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = - \int \partial_3(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx + \int \partial_3(b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx \\ & \quad - \int \partial_3(u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx + \int \partial_3(b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx \\ & := M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4. \end{aligned}$$

We now bound M_1 through M_4 . By $\nabla \cdot u = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \int \partial_3(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx = \int \partial_3 u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx \\ &= \int \partial_3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h u \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx + \int \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx \\ &:= M_{11} + M_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= \int \partial_3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h u \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

By $\nabla \cdot u = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12} &= \int \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx = - \int \nabla_h \cdot u_h \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$M_1 \leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 &= \int \partial_3(u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx = \int \partial_3 u \cdot \nabla b \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx \\ &= \int \partial_3 u_h \cdot \nabla_h b \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx + \int \partial_3 u_3 \partial_3 b \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_3 u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}) \\ &\quad \times (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Due to

$$\int b \cdot \nabla \partial_3 b \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx + \int b \cdot \nabla \partial_3 u \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx = 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 + M_4 &= \int \partial_3(b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx + \int \partial_3(b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx \\
&= \int \partial_3 b \cdot \nabla b \cdot \partial_3 u \, dx + \int \partial_3 b \cdot \nabla u \cdot \partial_3 b \, dx \\
&\leq C \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}) \\
&\quad \times (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2) + \nu \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}) \\
&\quad \times (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Adding this to (2.1), together with the Young inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + \nu \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2})(\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for sufficiently small ε ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + c_0 (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\leq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $c_0 = \min\{\nu, \eta\}$. Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, together with (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^2} &= \|\|u\|_{L_h^2}\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L_h^2}^{\frac{1}{1+\sigma}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2}^{\frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}} \|u\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{1+\sigma}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}}, \\ \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}}, \\ \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Inserting these estimates in (3.5), we obtain, for a positive constant $C_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C_0 (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{\sigma}} \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in time yields

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\sigma}. \quad (3.8)$$

Applying ∇_h to the first two equations in (1.1), and dotting with $(\nabla_h u, \nabla_h b)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2) + \nu \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h^2 b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= - \int \nabla_h(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx + \int \nabla_h(b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx \\ &\quad - \int \nabla_h(u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx + \int \nabla_h(b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx \\ &:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

By $\nabla \cdot u = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int \nabla_h(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx = \int \nabla_h u \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx \\ &= \int \nabla_h u_h \cdot \nabla_h u \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx + \int \nabla_h u_3 \cdot \partial_3 u \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx \\ &:= I_{11} + I_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &= \int \nabla_h u_h \cdot \nabla_h u \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla_h^2 u_h\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

By $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12} &= \int \nabla_h u_3 \cdot \partial_3 u \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx \\
&\leq C \|\nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h^2 u_h\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I_1 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int \nabla_h (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx = \int \nabla_h u \cdot \nabla b \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx \\
&= \int \nabla_h u_h \cdot \nabla_h b \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx + \int \nabla_h u_3 \cdot \partial_3 b \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx \\
&\leq C \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}) \\
&\quad \times (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 b\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Due to

$$\int b \cdot \nabla \nabla_h b \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx + \int b \cdot \nabla \nabla_h u \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx = 0,$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_2 + I_4 \\
&= \int \nabla_h (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx + \int \nabla_h (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx \\
&= \int \nabla_h b \cdot \nabla b \cdot \nabla_h u \, dx + \int \nabla_h b \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla_h b \, dx \\
&\leq C \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 \nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1 \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_2 \nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C (\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}) \\
&\quad \times (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 b\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2) + \nu \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_h^2 b\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^2}) \\ & \quad \times (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 b\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Adding this to (3.5) and using $\|u\|_{H^1} + \|b\|_{H^1} \leq C\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon < \frac{c_0}{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + c_0 (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h^2 b\|_{L^2}^2) \quad (3.9) \\ & \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 = \min\{\nu, \eta\}$. Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, together with (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} &= \|\|\nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2}\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\ &\leq C \|\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L_h^2}^{\frac{1}{2+\sigma}} \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L_h^2}^{\frac{1+\sigma}{2+\sigma}}\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\ &\leq C \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2+\sigma}} \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\sigma}{2+\sigma}} \quad (3.10) \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\sigma}{2+\sigma}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact $\frac{1+\sigma}{2+\sigma} > \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma}$ and $\|\nabla_h^2 u\|_{L^2} \leq C$ in the last inequality. Inserting (3.10) with (3.6)-(3.7) in (3.9), we find, for a positive constant $C_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + C_1 (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{\sigma}} \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in time gives

$$\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\sigma}. \quad (3.11)$$

We have thus obtained (3.4).

Step 2. Improved decay rates for $\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2}$. More precisely, we show, for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$,

$$\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}}. \quad (3.12)$$

To this end, we rewrite the first equation in (1.1) in the integral form

$$u(x, t) = e^{\nu \Delta_h t} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{\nu \Delta_h (t-\tau)} \mathbb{P}(b \cdot \nabla b - u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) d\tau, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\mathbb{P} = I - \nabla \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot$ denotes the Leray projection onto divergence-free vector fields. Applying ∇_h to (3.13) yields

$$\nabla_h u(x, t) = \nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h t} u_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h (t-\tau)} \mathbb{P}(b \cdot \nabla b - u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) d\tau.$$

Taking the L^2 norm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} \mathbb{P}(b \cdot \nabla b - u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&:= L_1 + L_2 + L_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \| \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_0\|_{L_h^2} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \|(\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0\|_{L_h^2} + \|u_0\|_{L_h^2})\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0\|_{L^2} + \|u_0\|_{L^2}) \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\sigma < \delta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &= \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_h \cdot \nabla_h b)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_3 \partial_3 b)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t \| \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_h \cdot \nabla_h b)(\tau)\|_{L_h^2} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \| \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_3 \partial_3 b)(\tau)\|_{L_h^2} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \| \|b_h \cdot \nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \| \|b_3 \partial_3 b(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

To proceed, we need to provide suitable bounds for

$$L_{21} := \| \|b_h \cdot \nabla_h b(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{22} := \| \|b_3 \partial_3 b(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}.$$

We provide a detailed estimate of L_{21} and L_{22} . By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{21} &= \left\| \|b_h \cdot \nabla_h b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}} \right\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \|b_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\delta}}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L_h^2} \right\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \|b_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\delta}}} \|L_{x_3}^\infty \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \|b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^\infty} \left\| L_h^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \|b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| L_h^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \left\| \|b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| L_h^{\frac{4}{2\delta-1}} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_h^4} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \left\| \|b_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{2\delta-1}}} \left\| L_{x_3}^2 \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \left\| \|b_h\|_{L_h^2}^{2\delta-1} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L_h^2}^{2-2\delta} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|b_h\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{2-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{22} &= \left\| \|b_3 \partial_3 b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}} \right\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Incorporating these upper bounds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 &\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{2-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 &= \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)}(u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)}(u_h \cdot \nabla_h u)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau + \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)}(u_3 \partial_3 u)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t \left\| \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)}(u_h \cdot \nabla_h u)(\tau)\|_{L_h^2} \right\|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \left\| \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)}(u_3 \partial_3 u)(\tau)\|_{L_h^2} \right\|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|\|u_h \cdot \nabla_h u(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}}\|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|\|u_3 \partial_3 u(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\delta}}}\|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u_h\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u_3\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{2-\delta} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting these estimates in (3.14) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{2-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{2-\delta} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Now we turn to bound $\nabla_h b$. We rewrite the second equation in (1.1) in the integral form

$$b(x, t) = e^{\nu \Delta_h t} b_0 + \int_0^t e^{\nu \Delta_h (t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla u - u \cdot \nabla b)(\tau) d\tau. \tag{3.17}$$

Applying ∇_h to (3.17) yields

$$\nabla_h b(x, t) = \nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h t} b_0 + \int_0^t \nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h (t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla u - u \cdot \nabla b)(\tau) d\tau.$$

As in (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|b\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1-\delta} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Adding (3.16) and (3.18) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \\
& + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (\|u\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^2})^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2})^{2-\delta} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
& + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (\|u\|_{L^2} + \|b\|_{L^2})^{\delta-\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2})^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \\
& \quad \times (\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}) d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Invoking (3.8) and (3.11) implies, for $\sigma < \delta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}(\delta-\frac{1}{2})} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}(2-\delta)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}} d\tau \\
& \quad + C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\delta}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}(\delta-\frac{1}{2})} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}(\frac{3}{2}-\delta)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} d\tau \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} + C(1+t)^{-(\frac{\delta}{2}+\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} \\
& \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{\delta}{2}+\sigma-\frac{1}{2})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

To improve the decay rate, we implement an iterative procedure. For notational convenience, we set

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{\delta}{2} + \sigma - \frac{1}{2},$$

Inserting (3.20) in (3.19) and using (3.8), we derive that

$$\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} + C(1+t)^{-\min\{\alpha_1, \frac{1+\sigma}{2}\}},$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 + (\alpha_0 - \frac{\sigma}{2})(\frac{3}{2} - \delta).$$

Repeating this procedure n times leads to

$$\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} + C(1+t)^{-\min\{\alpha_n, \frac{1+\sigma}{2}\}}, \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \alpha_0 + (\alpha_{n-1} - \frac{\sigma}{2})(\frac{3}{2} - \delta).$$

We claim that, by choosing $n > 1$ sufficiently large and $\delta > \sigma$ close to σ ,

$$\alpha_n > \frac{1+\sigma}{2}.$$

In fact, by the iterative formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n &= \alpha_0 + \left(\alpha_0 - \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3}{2} - \delta + (\frac{3}{2} - \delta)^2 + \cdots + (\frac{3}{2} - \delta)^n\right) \\
&= \frac{\delta}{2} + \sigma - \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) (\frac{3}{2} - \delta) \frac{1 - (\frac{3}{2} - \delta)^n}{\delta - \frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < \delta < 1$, we have

$$0 < \frac{3}{2} - \delta < 1,$$

Therefore, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha(\delta)$$

with

$$\alpha(\delta) = \frac{\delta}{2} + \sigma - \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2} - \delta\right)\left(\delta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}.$$

Note that

$$\alpha(\sigma) = 1 + \frac{\sigma}{2}.$$

Thus, if δ is close to σ , then $\alpha(\delta)$ would be close to $\alpha(\sigma)$ and $\alpha(\delta) > \frac{1+\sigma}{2}$. Therefore, for sufficiently large n , $\alpha_n > \frac{1+\sigma}{2}$. Then (3.21) implies

$$\|\nabla_h u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}},$$

which is (3.12).

Step 3. Enhanced dissipation for the vertical components. We show in this step that

$$\|u_3(t)\|_{L^2} + \|b_3(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{4}}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_h b_3(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{4} + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (3.23)$$

To this end, we rewrite the equation of u_3 in (1.1) in the integral form

$$u_3(x, t) = e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03} + \int_0^t e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3 - u \cdot \nabla u_3 - \partial_3 P)(\tau) d\tau. \quad (3.24)$$

Multiplying (3.24) by u_3 and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \int e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03} \cdot u_3(t) dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3 - u \cdot \nabla u_3 - \partial_3 P)(\tau) \cdot u_3(t) dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By the Young inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau) \cdot u_3(t) dx d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (u \cdot \nabla u_3)(\tau) \cdot u_3(t) dx d\tau \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 P(\tau) \cdot u_3(t) dx d\tau \\ &:= F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

We estimate F_1 through F_4 . By Plancherel's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \|e^{-\nu|\xi_h|t} \hat{u}_{03}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2\nu|\xi_h|t} |\hat{u}_{03}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{|\xi_3| \leq |\xi_h|} e^{-2\nu|\xi_h|^2 t} |\hat{u}_{03}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi_3| > |\xi_h|} e^{-2\nu|\xi_h|^2 t} |\hat{u}_{03}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \int_{|\xi_3| \leq |\xi_h|} e^{-2\nu|\xi_h|^2 t} |\xi_h|^{2\sigma} |\xi_3|^\sigma |\xi_h|^{-2\sigma} |\xi_3|^{-\sigma} |\hat{u}_{03}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\quad + \int_{|\xi_3| > |\xi_h|} e^{-2\nu|\xi_h|^2 t} |\xi_h|^{2\sigma+2} |\xi_3|^\sigma |\xi_3|^{-2} |\xi_h|^{-2\sigma-2} |\xi_3|^{-\sigma} |\xi_3 \hat{u}_{03}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq \int_{|\xi_3| \leq |\xi_h|} e^{-2\nu|\xi_h|^2 t} |\xi_h|^{3\sigma} |\xi_h|^{-2\sigma} |\xi_3|^{-\sigma} |\hat{u}_{03}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\quad + \int_{|\xi_3| > |\xi_h|} e^{-2\nu|\xi_h|^2 t} |\xi_h|^{3\sigma} |\xi_h|^{-2\sigma} |\xi_3|^{-\sigma} |\hat{u}_{0h}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_h|^{-2\sigma} |\xi_3|^{-\sigma} |\hat{u}_{03}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi_h|^{-2\sigma} |\xi_3|^{-\sigma} |\hat{u}_{0h}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \Lambda_3^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \hat{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the divergence free condition $\xi_3 \hat{u}_{03} = -\xi_h \cdot \hat{u}_{0h}$ and the fact $e^{-2\nu\xi_h^2 t} (|\xi_h|^2 t)^{\frac{3\sigma}{2}} \leq C$. By $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot b = 0$, Hölder's inequality and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2 &= 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau) u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&= 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} \nabla_h \cdot (b_h b_3)(\tau) u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 (b_3 b_3)(\tau) u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&= -2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_h b_3)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_3 b_3)(\tau) \partial_3 u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t \|e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_h b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \|e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_3 b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \|\nabla_h u_h(t)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^t \|e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_h b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^t \|e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_3 b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&:= F_{21} + F_{22}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) and (3.12),

$$\int_0^t \|e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b_h b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_h b_3\|_{L_h^1} \|L_{x_3}^2\| d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_h\|_{L_h^2} \|b_3\|_{L_{x_3}^2} \|b_3\|_{L_h^2} \|b_3\|_{L_{x_3}^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_h\|_{L^2} \|b_3\|_{L_{x_3}^\infty} \|b_3\|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_h\|_{L^2} \|b_3\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b_3\|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_h\|_{L^2} \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_h\|_{L^2} \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|b_h\|_{L^2} \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{4}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{4}} d\tau \\
&\leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-(\sigma-\frac{1}{4})}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < \frac{3}{4}, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ln(1+t), & \text{if } \sigma = \frac{3}{4}, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \sigma > \frac{3}{4}, \end{cases} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$F_{21} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+2\sigma}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

Similarly,

$$F_{22} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$F_2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

F_3 has the same bound as F_2 , namely

$$F_3 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

Since

$$\partial_3 P = \partial_3 \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (b \cdot \nabla b - u \cdot \nabla u),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_4 &= -2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 P(\tau) u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&= -2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (b \cdot \nabla b)(\tau) u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) \cdot u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&= 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \nabla \Delta^{-1} \cdot (b \cdot \nabla b)(\tau) \partial_3 u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^t \int e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \nabla \Delta^{-1} \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) \partial_3 u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&:= F_{41} + F_{42}.
\end{aligned}$$

By $\nabla \cdot b = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot (b \cdot \nabla b) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_j \partial_i (b_i b_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j \partial_i (b_i b_j) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_3 \partial_i (b_i b_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{41} &\leq 2 \int_0^t \|e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (b_i b_j)(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 u_3(t)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \|e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_3 \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (b_i b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_3 u_3(t)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^t \|e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (b_i b_j)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^t \|e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_3 \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (b_i b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&:= F_{411} + F_{412}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Planchrel's theorem, together with (3.4) and (3.12), then for $2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} < \gamma < \frac{3}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \|e^{-\nu |\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)} |\xi|^{-1} |\xi_h| \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(b_i b_j)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \|e^{-\nu |\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)} |\xi|^{-1} |\xi_h| \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(b_i b_j)}(\tau)\|_{L_{\xi_3}^2} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \| |\xi|^{-1} \|_{L_{\xi_3}^2} \|e^{-\nu |\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)} |\xi_h| \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(b_i b_j)}(\tau)\|_{L_{\xi_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_0^t \| |e^{-\nu|\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)}|\xi_h|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(b_i b_j)}(\tau) \|_{L_{\xi_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| |\xi_h|^{-(\gamma-\frac{1}{2})} \| \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(b_i b_j)}(\tau) \|_{L_{\xi_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \Lambda_h^{-(\gamma-\frac{1}{2})} (b_i b_j)(\tau) \|_{L_h^2} \|_{L_{x_3}^1} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 (b_i b_j)(\tau) \|_{L_h^{\frac{4}{1+2\gamma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^1} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| \|b(\tau)\|^2_{L_h^{\frac{8}{1+2\gamma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^1} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| \|b(\tau)\|_{L_h^2}^{\frac{1+2\gamma}{4}} \| \nabla_h b(\tau) \|_{L_h^2}^{\frac{3-2\gamma}{4}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}^2 d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| b(\tau) \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+2\gamma}{2}} \| \nabla_h b(\tau) \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3-2\gamma}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{\sigma(1+2\gamma)}{4}} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{(1+\sigma)(3-2\gamma)}{4}} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\sigma-\frac{1}{4})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the simple fact

$$\| |\xi|^{-1} \|_{L_{\xi_3}^2} = |\xi_h|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$F_{411} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2}+\frac{1}{4})} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

By (3.4) and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \| e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_3 \partial_i (b_i b_3)}{\Delta}(\tau) \|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| \|b b_3(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\gamma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| b_3 \|_{L^2}^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b_3 \|_{L^2}^{1-\gamma} \| \partial_3 b_3 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| b \|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \| b \|_{L^2}^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\gamma} d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} (1+t)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}(\gamma+\frac{1}{2})} (1+t)^{-\frac{(1+\sigma)(\frac{3}{2}-\gamma)}{2}} d\tau \\
&\leq \begin{cases} C(1+t)^{-(\sigma-\frac{1}{4})}, & \text{if } \sigma < \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \ln(1+t), & \text{if } \sigma = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, \\ C(1+t)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}, & \text{if } \sigma > \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1$. By choosing γ near 1, we obtain

$$F_{412} \leq C(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3\sigma}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma+\gamma}{2}} \ln(1+t) \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$F_4 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

Inserting the bounds for F_1 through F_4 in (3.25), we obtain

$$\|u_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

To bound $\|b_3(t)\|_{L^2}$, we rewrite the equation of b_3 in (1.1) in the integral form

$$b_3(x, t) = e^{\nu\Delta_h t} b_{03} + \int_0^t e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla u_3 - u \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau) d\tau.$$

This equation is similar as (3.24). It is simpler than (3.24) since it doesn't have the pressure term. Therefore, a similar process leads to

$$\|b_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}}.$$

We have thus obtained (3.22).

Now we turn to (3.23). Applying ∇_h to (3.24) yields

$$\nabla_h u_3(x, t) = \nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03} + \int_0^t \nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3 - u \cdot \nabla u_3 - \partial_3 P)(\tau) d\tau,$$

Taking the L^2 norm, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int \nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03} \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3 - u \cdot \nabla u_3 - \partial_3 P)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's and Hölder's inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int \nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx d\tau \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \int \nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} (u \cdot \nabla u_3)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx d\tau \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \int \nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 P(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx d\tau \\ &:= B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

As in the estimate of F_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \|\nabla_h e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-1} \|e^{\nu\Delta_h t} u_{03}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-1} \|e^{-\nu\xi_h t} \hat{u}_{03}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3\sigma}{2}-1} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \Lambda_3^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \hat{u}_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3\sigma}{2}+1\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar estimates as those for L_2 and F_{21} above, together with (3.8) and (3.11) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &= 2 \int_0^t \int \nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2} \\
&= 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|\nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} (b \cdot \nabla b_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-1} \|b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-1} \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \|b_h\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\quad + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2}+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$B_3 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2}+1)}.$$

To estimate B_4 , we replace $P = -\Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)$ and divide it into four parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 &= -2 \int_0^t \int \nabla_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 P(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_3(t) dx d\tau \\
&= -2 \int_0^t \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} P(\tau) \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&= 2 \int_0^t \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^t \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (b \cdot \nabla b)(\tau) \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&= 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (b \cdot \nabla b)(\tau) \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (b \cdot \nabla b)(\tau) \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$:= B_{41} + B_{42} + B_{43} + B_{44}. \quad (3.27)$$

To estimate B_{41} , we further distinguish the horizontal derivatives from the vertical ones to write

$$\begin{aligned} B_{41} &= 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int \nabla_h^2 e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_h(t) dx d\tau \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int \nabla_h^2 e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (u_i u_j)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_h(t) dx d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int \nabla_h^2 e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_3 \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (u_i u_3)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_h(t) dx d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int \nabla_h^2 e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 \partial_3 \Delta^{-1} (u_3 u_3)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h u_h(t) dx d\tau \\ &:= B_{411} + B_{412} + B_{413}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of F_{411} , we have, for $2\sigma - \frac{1}{2} < \gamma < \frac{3}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &B_{411} + B_{412} \\ &\leq 2 \|\nabla_h u_h(t)\|_{L^2} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (u_i u_j)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \|\nabla_h u_h(t)\|_{L^2} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_3 \partial_i \Delta^{-1} (u_i u_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|e^{-\nu|\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)} |\xi|^{-1} |\xi_h|^3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(u_i u_j)}(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|e^{-\nu|\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)} |\xi|^{-1} |\xi_h|^3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(u_i u_j)}(\tau)\|_{L_{\xi_3}^2} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \| |\xi|^{-1} \|_{L_{\xi_3}^2} \|e^{-\nu|\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)} |\xi_h|^3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(u_i u_j)}(\tau)\|_{L_{\xi_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|e^{-\nu|\xi_h|^2(t-\tau)} |\xi_h|^{\frac{5}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(u_i u_j)}(\tau)\|_{L_{\xi_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-(1+\frac{\gamma}{2})} \| |\xi_h|^{-(\gamma-\frac{1}{2})} \| \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \widehat{(u_i u_j)}(\tau)\|_{L_{\xi_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2}+\frac{5}{4})}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{413} &= 2 \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 \partial_3 \Delta^{-1}(u_3 u_3)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \|\nabla_h u_h(t)\|_{L^2} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \partial_3 \partial_3 \Delta^{-1}(u_3 u_3)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \|\nabla_h u_h(t)\|_{L^2} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3 u_3\|_{L_h^1} \|u_3\|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_3\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + \frac{5}{4})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$B_{41} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + \frac{5}{4})}.$$

Similarly,

$$B_{43} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + \frac{5}{4})}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{42} &= 2 \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \int \Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau) \cdot \nabla_h \cdot u_h(t) dx d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|\Delta_h e^{\nu \Delta_h(t-\tau)} \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \|\nabla_h(u \otimes u)(\tau)\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|u\|_{L_{x_3}^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$B_{44} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + 1)}.$$

Inserting the estimates above in (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 &\leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + \frac{5}{4})} + C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + 1)} \\
&\leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the bounds of B_1 , B_2 , B_3 and B_4 into (3.26), we have

$$\|\nabla_h u_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + 1)}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\nabla_h b_3(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3\sigma}{2} + 1)}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.23).

Step 4. Estimates of $\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b\|_{L^2}^2$. More precisely, we show that (u, b) obeys, for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}(\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b\|_{L^2}^2) &\leq C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma}\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma}\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma}\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma}\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}}\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}}\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma}\|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma}\|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|\nabla_h \partial_3^s u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C\|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}\|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}}\|\partial_3^{s+1}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

We first prove (3.28). Applying $\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}$ to the first two equations of (1.1), and taking the L^2 -inner products with $\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u$ and $\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b\|_{L^2}^2) + \nu \|\Lambda_h^{1-\sigma}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \|\Lambda_h^{1-\sigma}b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u \, dx - \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u \, dx \\
&\quad - \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b \, dx + \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}b \, dx \\
&:= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u \\
&\leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(b \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2} \\
&= \| \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(b \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L_h^2} \| \Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(\| \|b_h \cdot \nabla_h b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} + \| \|b_3 \partial_3 b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}) \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2} \\
&:= C(J_{11} + J_{12}) \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}u\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the estimate of (3.15), we have, for $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11} &= \| \|b_h \cdot \nabla_h b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \| \|b_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L_h^2} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \| \|b_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^\infty} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \| \|b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \| \|b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_3 b_h \|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \| \|b_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_h^{\frac{4}{2\sigma-1}}} \| \| \partial_3 b_h \|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_h^4} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \| \|b_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{2\sigma-1}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_3 b_h \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \| \|b_h\|_{L_h^2}^{2\sigma-1} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L_h^2}^{2-2\sigma} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_3 b_h \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \| b_h \|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b_h \|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \| \partial_3 b_h \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \| b \|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b \|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma} \| \partial_3 b \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{12} &= \| \|b_3 \partial_3 b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\
&\leq C \| b_3 \|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b_3 \|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \| \partial_3 b_3 \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_3 b \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \| b_3 \|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \| \nabla_h b_3 \|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \| \nabla_h b_h \|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \partial_3 b \|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= - \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

J_3 can be similarly bounded as J_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= - \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (u \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b \\ &\leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (u \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2} \\ &= \| \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (u \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L_h^2} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (\| \|u_h \cdot \nabla_h b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} + \| \|u_3 \partial_3 b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}) \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2} \\ &:= C (J_{31} + J_{32}) \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

J_{31} and J_{32} are bounded as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} J_{31} &= \| \|u_h \cdot \nabla_h b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\ &\leq C \| \|u_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \| \|\nabla_h b\|_{L_h^2} \|_{L_{x_3}^2} \\ &\leq C \| \|u_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^\infty} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \| \|u_h\|_{L_{x_3}^\infty} \|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \| \|u_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{\sigma}}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \| \|u_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_h^{\frac{4}{2\sigma-1}}} \| \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|_{L_h^4} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \| \|u_h\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{2\sigma-1}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \| \|u_h\|_{L_h^2}^{2\sigma-1} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L_h^2}^{2-2\sigma} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|u_h\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_{32} = \| \|u_3 \partial_3 b\|_{L_h^{\frac{2}{1+\sigma}}} \|_{L_{x_3}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \|u_3\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|u_3\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &\leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &= - \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (b \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b \, dx \\
&\leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the bounds for J_1 , J_2 , J_3 and J_4 in (3.31), we obtain (3.28).

Now we prove (3.29). Applying $\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3$ to the first two equations of (1.1), and taking the L^2 -inner products with $\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\Lambda_h^{1-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u \, dx - \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u \, dx \\
&:= N_1 + N_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

By Hölder's inequality and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 (b \cdot \nabla b) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u \, dx \\
&\leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 (b \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&= (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (\partial_3 b \cdot \nabla b)\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (b \cdot \nabla \partial_3 b)\|_{L^2}) \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (\partial_3 b_h \cdot \nabla_h b)\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (\partial_3 b_3 \partial_3 b)\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (b_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3 b)\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (b_3 \partial_3^2 b)\|_{L^2}) \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\
&:= C(N_{11} + N_{12} + N_{13} + N_{14}) \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the estimate of J_{11} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{11} &= \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} (\partial_3 b_h \cdot \nabla_h b)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3^2 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further invoking the interpolation inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_h \partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}, \\
\|\partial_3^2 b_h\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_{11} &\leq C \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{12} &= \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(\partial_3 b_3 \partial_3 b)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3^2 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{2s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}}, \\ N_{13} &= \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(b_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_3 b)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|b_h\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3 b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|b_h\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_h\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b_h\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}, \\ N_{14} &= \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma}(b_3 \partial_3^2 b)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3^2 b\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{1-\sigma} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_3 b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq C \|b_3\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b_3\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Incorporating these upper bounds yield

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &\leq C \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}} \|\partial_3 b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|b\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} b\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &= - \int \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u \, dx \\ &\leq C \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2s}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma)}{s}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1-\sigma}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{2-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h \partial_3^s u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_h u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{2}-\sigma-\frac{1}{s}} \|\partial_3^{s+1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{s}} \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above bounds into (3.32), we obtain (3.29). Since (3.30) can be proven similarly, we omit the details.

Step 5. Completion of the bootstrapping argument. This step finishes the bootstrapping argument and proves (3.3). Integrating (3.28) over $[0, t]$ with $0 < t \leq T$, together with (3.4) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad \times \epsilon^{\delta_0} \int_0^t \left((1+\tau)^{-(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}-\delta_0)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{(1+\sigma)(2-\sigma)}{2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{4}-\delta_0)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{(1+\sigma)(\frac{3}{2}-\sigma)}{2}} \right) d\tau \\
& \leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \epsilon^{\delta_0} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{3+2\sigma}{4}-\delta_0)} d\tau \\
& \leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \epsilon^{\delta_0} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

where we have used the fact $\|u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|b(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C\epsilon$, and $\delta_0 > 0$ is chosen small enough such that $\frac{3+2\sigma}{4} - \delta_0 > 1$, which is certainly achievable due to the assumption $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$. Integrating (3.29) over $[0, t]$, together with (3.4) and (3.12), we obtain, for $s \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad \times \epsilon^{\delta_0} \int_0^t \left((1+\tau)^{-(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{4s}-\delta_0)} (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{1+\sigma}{2}+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma^2)}{s})} \right. \\
& \quad + (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}-\delta_0)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{(1+\sigma)(2-\sigma-\frac{1}{s})}{2}} \\
& \quad \left. + (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{4}-\delta_0)} (1+\tau)^{-\frac{(1+\sigma)(\frac{5}{2}-\sigma-\frac{1}{s})}{2}} \right) d\tau \\
& \leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& \quad \times \epsilon^{\delta_0} \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{4s}-\delta_0)} (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{1+\sigma}{2}+\frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma^2)}{s})} d\tau \\
& \leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \epsilon^{\delta_0} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

where $\delta_0 > 0$ is chosen small enough such that $\frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4s} + \frac{1+\sigma}{2} + \frac{(s-1)(1-\sigma^2)}{s} - \delta_0 > 1$. Similarly, we have

$$\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \epsilon^{\delta_0} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \tag{3.35}$$

with $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ and $s \geq 3$. Adding (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35), together with (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \gamma_0 + C \epsilon^{\delta_0} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (\|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda_h^{-\sigma} \partial_3 b(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing ε sufficiently small such that $C\varepsilon^{\delta_0} < \min\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\gamma_0\}$, then this inequality, together with the Young inequality, yields (3.3) for all $t \in [0, T]$. Then the bootstrapping argument implies that $T = \infty$ and (3.3) holds for all $t < \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Wu was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 2104682 and the AT&T Foundation at Oklahoma State University. Zhang was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 11501160 and 11771423) and Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (grant number A2017201144 and A2020201014).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Agapito and M. Schonbek, Non-uniform decay for MHD equations with and without magnetic diffusion, *Commun. Partial Differential equations* **32** (2007), 1791 – 1812.
- [2] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 343, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] N. Broadman, H. Lin and J. Wu, Stabilization of a Background Magnetic Field on a 2 Dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic Flow, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **52** (2020), 5001-5035.
- [4] C. Cao, D. Regmi and J. Wu, The 2D MHD equations with horizontal dissipation and horizontal magnetic diffusion, *J. Differential Equations* **254** (2013), 2661–2681.
- [5] C. Cao and J. Wu, Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), 1803-1822.
- [6] C. Cao, J. Wu and B. Yuan, The 2D incompressible magnetohydrodynamics equations with only magnetic diffusion, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **46** (2014), 588–602.
- [7] Q. Chen, C. Miao and Z. Zhang, The Beale-Kato-Majda criterion for the 3D magnetohydrodynamics equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **275** (2007), 861-872.
- [8] W. Chen, Z. Zhang and J. Zhou, Global well-posedness for the 3-D MHD equations with partial diffusion in the periodic domain, *Sci. China Math.* **65** (2022), 309-318.
- [9] Y. Dai, Z. Tang, J. Wu, A class of global large solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations with fractional dissipation, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **70** (2019), 153.
- [10] W. Deng and P. Zhang, Large Time Behavior of Solutions to 3-D MHD System with Initial Data Near Equilibrium, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **230** (2018), 1017-1102.
- [11] B. Dong, Y. Jia, J. Li and J. Wu, Global regularity and time decay for the 2D magnetohydrodynamic equations with fractional dissipation and partial magnetic diffusion, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **20** (2018), 1541–1565.
- [12] B. Dong, J. Li and J. Wu, Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with partial hyperresistivity, *Intern. Math Research Notices* (2019), No. 14, 4261–4280.
- [13] L. Du and D. Zhou, Global well-posedness of 2D magnetohydrodynamics flows with partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015), 1562-1587.
- [14] W. Feng, F. Hafeez and J. Wu, Influence of a background magnetic field on a 2D magnetohydrodynamic flow, *Nonlinearity* **34** (2021), 2527-2562.
- [15] L. He, L. Xu and P. Yu, On global dynamics of three dimensional magnetohydrodynamics: non-linear stability of Alfvén waves, *Ann. PDE* **4** (2018), Art.5, 105 pp.
- [16] X. Hu and D. Wang, Low Mach number limit of viscous compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **41** (2009), 1272-1294.

- [17] X. Hu and D. Wang, Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **197** (2010), 203–238.
- [18] R. Ji and J. Wu, The resistive magnetohydrodynamic equation near an equilibrium, *J. Differential Equations* **268** (2020), 1854–1871.
- [19] R. Ji, J. Wu and W. Yang, Stability and optimal decay for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with horizontal dissipation, *J. Differential Equations* **290** (2021), 57–77.
- [20] Q. Jiu, D. Niu, J. Wu, X. Xu and H. Yu, The 2D magnetohydrodynamic equations with magnetic diffusion, *Nonlinearity* **28** (2015), 3935–3956.
- [21] Q. Jiu, X. Suo, J. Wu and H. Yu, Unique weak solutions of the non-resistive magnetohydrodynamic equations with fractional dissipation, *Comm. Math. Sci.* **18** (2020), 987–1022.
- [22] Q. Jiu and J. Zhao, A remark on global regularity of 2D generalized magnetohydrodynamic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **412** (2014), 478–484.
- [23] S. Lai, J. Wu and J. Zhang, Stabilizing phenomenon for 2D anisotropic magnetohydrodynamic system near a background magnetic field, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **53** (2021), 6073–6093.
- [24] C. Li, J. Wu and X. Xu, Smoothing and stabilization effects of magnetic field on electrically conducting fluids, *J. Differential Equations* **276** (2021), 368–403.
- [25] E. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 14, 2nd Edition, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [26] F. Lin, L. Xu, and P. Zhang, Global small solutions to 2-D incompressible MHD system, *J. Differential Equations* **259** (2015), 5440–5485.
- [27] H. Lin and L. Du, Regularity criteria for incompressible magnetohydrodynamics equations in three dimensions, *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), 219–239.
- [28] H. Lin, R. Ji, J. Wu and L. Yan, Stability of perturbations near a background magnetic field of the 2D incompressible MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **279** (2020), 108519.
- [29] H. Lin, J. Wu and Y. Zhu, Global solutions to 3D incompressible MHD system with dissipation in only one direction, submitted for publication.
- [30] A. Majda, A. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [31] J. Pedlosky *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg-New York, 1987.
- [32] A. Pippard, *Magnetoresistance in Metals*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1989.
- [33] E. Priest and T. Forbes, *Magnetic Reconnection, MHD Theory and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [34] X. Ren, J. Wu, Z. Xiang and Z. Zhang, Global existence and decay of smooth solution for the 2-D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014), 503–541.
- [35] X. Ren, Z. Xiang and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness for the 2D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion in a strip domain, *Nonlinearity* **29** (2016), 1257–1291.
- [36] M. Schonbek, L^2 decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **88** (1985), 209–222.
- [37] M. Schonbek and T. Schonbek, Moments and lower bounds in the far-field of solutions to quasi-geostrophic flows. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **13** (2005), 1277–1304.
- [38] T. Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis*, CBMS regional conference series in mathematics, 2006.
- [39] Z. Tan and Y. Wang, Global well-posedness of an initial-boundary value problem for viscous non-resistive MHD systems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **50** (2018), 1432–1470.
- [40] R. Wan, On the uniqueness for the 2D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion, *Nonlin. Anal. Real World Appl.* **30** (2016), 32–40.
- [41] D. Wei and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness of the MHD equations in a homogeneous magnetic field, *Anal. PDE* **10** (2017), 1361–1406.
- [42] D. Wei and Z. Zhang, Global well-posedness for the 2-D MHD equations with magnetic diffusion, *Commun. Math. Res.* **36** (2020), 377–389.
- [43] J. Wu, Dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations with L^p data, *Electron J. Differential Equations* **2001** (2001), 1–13.

- [44] J. Wu, The 2D magnetohydrodynamic equations with partial or fractional dissipation, *Lectures on the analysis of nonlinear partial differential equations*, Morningside Lectures on Mathematics, Part 5, MLM5, pp. 283-332, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2018.
- [45] J. Wu, Y. Wu and X. Xu, Global small solution to the 2D MHD system with a velocity damping term, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47** (2015), 2630-2656.
- [46] J. Wu and Y. Zhu, Global solutions of 3D incompressible MHD system with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion near an equilibrium, *Adv. Math.* **377** (2021), 107466.
- [47] L Xu and P Zhang, Enhanced dissipation for the third component of 3D anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations, arXiv:2107.06453,
- [48] K. Yamazaki, On the global well-posedness of N-dimensional generalized MHD system in anisotropic spaces, *Adv. Differential Equations* **19** (2014), 201-224.
- [49] K. Yamazaki, Remarks on the global regularity of the two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics system with zero dissipation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **94** (2014), 194-205.
- [50] K. Yamazaki, On the global regularity of two-dimensional generalized magnetohydrodynamics system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **416** (2014), 99-111.
- [51] K. Yamazaki, Global regularity of logarithmically supercritical MHD system with zero diffusivity, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **29** (2014), 46-51.
- [52] W. Yang, Q. Jiu and J. Wu, The 3D incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with fractional partial dissipation, *J. Differential Equations* **266** (2019), 630-652.
- [53] W. Yang, Q. Jiu, J. Wu, The 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with partial hyperdissipation, *Math. Nach.* **292** (2019), 1823-1836.
- [54] Z. Ye, Remark on the global regularity of 2D MHD equations with almost Laplacian magnetic diffusion, *J. Evol. Equations* **18** (2018), No.2, 821-844.
- [55] B. Yuan and J. Zhao, *Global regularity of 2D almost resistive MHD equations*, *Nonlin. Anal. Real World Appl.* **41** (2018), 53-65.
- [56] T. Zhang, An elementary proof of the global existence and uniqueness theorem to 2-D incompressible non-resistive MHD system, (2014), arXiv:1404.5681.
- [57] T. Zhang, Global solutions to the 2D viscous, non-resistive MHD system with large background magnetic field, *J. Differential Equations* **260** (2016), 5450-5480.
- [58] Y. Zhou and Y. Zhu, Global classical solutions of 2D MHD system with only magnetic diffusion on periodic domain, *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018), 081505.

¹ SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HENAN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, JIAOZUO, HENAN 454000, P.R. CHINA
Email address: hfshang@163.com

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078, USA
Email address: jiahong.wu@okstate.edu

³ HEBEI KEY LABORATORY OF MACHINE LEARNING AND COMPUTATIONAL INTELLIGENCE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING, 071002, P.R. CHINA
Email address: zhangqian@hbu.edu.cn