A pangenomic atlas reveals eco-evolutionary dynamics that shape type VI secretion systems in plant-pathogenic Ralstonia Nathalie Aoun^a*, Stratton J. Georgoulis^a*, Jason K. Avalos^{a,b,§}, Kimberly J. Grulla^a, Kasey Migueo^a, Cloe Tom^a, and Tiffany M. Lowe-Power^{a#}. ^aDepartment of Plant Pathology, University of California Davis, Davis, CA 95616 ^bDepartment of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA Running head: Eco-evolutionary dynamics shape plant pathogen T6SS *Nathalie Aoun and Stratton J. Georgoulis contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined alphabetically. §Present address: Jason K. Avalos, Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA #Address correspondence to Tiffany M. Lowe-Power; tlowepower@ucdavis.edu Word count for the abstract: 247 Word count for the text: 5,713

Abstract

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

Soilborne Ralstonia solanacearum species complex (RSSC) pathogens disrupt microbial communities as they invade roots and fatally wilt plants. RSSC pathogens secrete antimicrobial toxins using a type VI secretion system (T6SS). To investigate how evolution and ecology have shaped the T6SS of these bacterial pathogens, we analyzed the T6SS gene content and architecture across the RSSC and their evolutionary relatives. Our analysis reveals that two ecologically similar Burkholderiaceae taxa, xylem-pathogenic RSSC and Paracidovorax, have convergently evolved to wield large arsenals of T6SS toxins. To understand the mechanisms underlying genomic enrichment of T6SS toxins, we compiled an atlas of 1,066 auxiliary T6SS toxin clusters ("aux" clusters) across 99 high-quality RSSC genomes. We classified 25 types of aux clusters with toxins that predominantly target lipids, nucleic acids, or unknown cellular substrates. The aux clusters were located in diverse genetic neighborhoods and had complex phylogenetic distributions, suggesting frequent horizontal gene flow. Phages and other mobile genetic elements account for most of the aux cluster acquisition on the chromosome but very little on the megaplasmid. Nevertheless, RSSC genomes were more enriched in aux clusters on the megaplasmid. Although the single, ancestral T6SS was broadly conserved in the RSSC, the T6SS has been convergently lost in atypical, non-soilborne lineages. Overall, our data suggest dynamic interplay between the lifestyle of RSSC lineages and the evolution of T6SSs with robust arsenals of toxins. This pangenomic atlas poises the RSSC as an emerging, tractable model to understand the role of the T6SS in shaping pathogen populations.

Importance

We explored the eco-evolutionary dynamics that shape the inter-microbial warfare mechanisms of a globally significant plant pathogen, the *Ralstonia solanacearum* species complex. We discovered that most *Ralstonia* wilt pathogens have evolved extensive and diverse repertoires of type VI secretion system-associated antimicrobial toxins. These expansive toxin arsenals potentially enhance the ability of *Ralstonia* pathogens to invade plant microbiomes, enabling them to rapidly colonize and kill their host plants. We devised a classification system to categorize the *Ralstonia* toxins. Interestingly, many of the toxin gene clusters are encoded on mobile genetic elements, including prophages, which may be mutualistic symbionts that enhance the inter-microbial competitiveness of *Ralstonia* wilt pathogens. Moreover, our findings suggest that the convergent loss of this multi-gene trait contributes to genome reduction in two vector-transmitted lineages of *Ralstonia* pathogens. Our findings demonstrate that the

interplay between microbial ecology and pathogen lifestyle shapes the evolution of a genetically complex antimicrobial weapon.

Introduction

To defend their habitat or colonize new niches, host-associated bacteria attack competing microbes by secreting toxic molecules and proteins (1). Many gram-negative bacteria use a molecular weapon known as the type VI secretion system (T6SS) to deliver toxic protein effectors to target cells (2, 3). T6SS toxins bind to the tip of a multimeric, spear-like projectile. Upon contracting, the sheath forcibly ejects the projectile, puncturing nearby cells and delivering the associated toxins. T6SS toxins kill target cells by degrading cellular components, such as DNA, lipid membranes, and bacterial cell wall polymers (4). To prevent damage to kin cells, each T6SS toxin has a cognate immunity protein that blocks the toxin's toxicity.

Most T6SSs include a double-membrane-spanning complex (TssJLM) which recruits a baseplate complex (TssEFGK) and a spike complex (a VgrG trimer, a PAAR sharp tip, and toxins) (2). Hcp then polymerizes to form the shaft of the projectile, and repeating units of TssBC form a sheath around the Hcp shaft that contracts to fire the T6SS projectile and toxins. After firing, TssH disassembles the TssBC sheath, allowing the monomers to reassemble again in a new complex (5, 6). While just one copy of most tss genes is required for a functional T6SS, T6SS+ genomes usually encode multiple vgrG paralogs, usually co-located with a toxin/immunity gene pair (7). Multiple vgrG paralogs in a genome suggest the bacterial strain wields a diversity of toxins, potentially allowing the T6SS to be deadly against a diversity of targets. VgrG gene clusters may be located with the tss genes in the main T6SS locus or scattered around the genome as auxiliary T6SS gene clusters ("aux clusters") (8).

Horizontal gene transfer has previously been implicated in the spread of *aux* clusters within bacterial populations, contributing to convoluted evolutionary patterns of gain and loss that result in diverse T6SS toxin arsenals (9–12). Even closely related strains often have non-identical T6SS toxin repertoires, allowing interstrain competition within a bacterial species. For example, T6SS-mediated interstrain competition shapes competitive outcomes when *Vibrio fischeri* mutualists colonize their squid hosts (13). Moreover, large-scale analysis of all bacterial genomes in the IMG genome database reveals that host-associated bacteria, especially bacteria that colonize internal tissues of plants, are most likely to have a T6SS (7).

Plant pathogens in the *Ralstonia solanacearum* species complex (RSSC) have a functional T6SS (14, 15). Expression of T6SS genes is activated by the Phc quorum sensing system (16), which suggests that T6SS activity benefits RSSC pathogens when colonizing plant hosts. However, pinpointing the ecological role for the RSSC T6SS has been difficult because T6SS-inactive RSSC mutants have pleiotropic phenotypes, including altered motility and biofilm formation (14, 17).

Like many host-associated bacteria, RSSC plant pathogens must successfully transition between disparate ecosystems to complete their disease cycle. RSSC are soil-dwelling pathogens that chemotax through the microbially dense rhizosphere to infect roots of a new host (18). After root entry, RSSC pathogens grow prolifically and clog the xylem (19), leading to wilt. Individual strains can infect diverse plants in multiple botanical families (20), and the RSSC collectively cause severe wilt disease on over 397 plant species (21), including economically important crops (22). As generalist plant pathogens with a free-living, rhizosphere-colonizing, and endosphere-colonizing lifestyle, RSSC pathogens likely compete with diverse microbes.

We hypothesized that the lifestyle of RSSC pathogens has shaped the evolution of their T6SS weapons. Here, we used a phylogenomic approach to shed light on the eco-evolutionary dynamics between the lifestyle of RSSC strains and their T6SS arsenals. We carried out a pangenome analysis for T6SS-related genes in RSSC genomes compared to genomes of Burkholderiaceae family relatives. We classified 25 types of RSSC *aux* clusters and investigated their phylogenetic distribution, location across the bipartite RSSC genomes, and identified mobile genetic elements that carry the clusters. Our analyses indicate that the T6SS is a dynamic weapon intimately linked to the evolutionary success of a species complex of soilborne plant pathogens of global concern.

Results

Bacteria in the Burkholderiaceae family vary in their T6SS gene content.

We used an evolutionary framework to explore T6SS gene content in the RSSC relative to their evolutionary neighbors in the Burkholderiaceae family. Using the genome taxonomy database (GTDB) (23), we identified complete-genome representatives of 289 Burkholderiaceae species (Table S1, S2). We used BLASTp to estimate the abundance of T6SS genes in the representative Burkholderiaceae genomes as well as a curated set of 99 high-quality RSSC genomes. To estimate the number of secretion systems per genome, we averaged the number of BLASTp hits for the core T6SS components:

TssABCEFGHJKLM and Hcp. The majority of the Burkholderiaceae species representatives encoded at

least one T6SS (62.3%) (Figure S1, Table S1). A complementary approach using jackhmmer (24) produced similar results, indicating that 62.6% of genomes encoded at least one T6SS (Figure S1, Table S1). While none of the 99 high-quality RSSC genomes had more than one set of T6SS genes, there were multiple T6SSs encoded in approximately 27% of the representative Burkholderiaceae genomes (Table S1). Three to six complete sets of T6SS core genes were identified in genomes in the *Burkholderia*, *Trinickia*, *Caballeronia*, *Variovorax*, *Pseudoduganella*, *Massilia*, and *Paraburkholderia* genera (Figure S1; Table S1), which is consistent with previous reports for several of these taxa (25, 26).

Genomes of RSSC plant pathogens are enriched in vgrG genes.

T6SS toxin/immunity pairs are often encoded in gene clusters with their corresponding *vgrG*, any adaptors that mediate toxin translocation, and genes encoding the PAAR sharpening tip protein (27–29). Because VgrG proteins have conserved sequences, we estimated the abundance of toxin/immunity pairs by searching genomes for VgrG homologs. We carried out multiple low-stringency BLASTp searches for VgrG homologs in the 289 Burkholderiaceae species representatives and in the 99 high-quality RSSC genomes. As expected, most of the genomes that lacked a T6SS also lacked *vgrG* genes (Figure S2). Generally, there was a positive correlation between the copy number of T6SS core genes and *vgrG* homologs (Figure 1A, S2).

Two taxa with only one T6SS were enriched in vgrG genes: the plant-pathogenic RSSC and the *Paracidovorax citrulli* species complex, which contains the causal agents of bacterial fruit blotch, *Paracidovorax citrulli* and *Paracidovorax avenae* (Figure 1A). *Paracidovorax* is a recently defined genus that includes the xylem-infecting plant pathogen species previously known as *Acidovorax citrulli* and *Acidovorax avenae* (30), which are known to wield T6SSs (31). To investigate whether RSSC genomes are enriched in vgrG homologs, we compared the number of vgrG genes in the RSSC to other species in the *Ralstonia* genus (n=70 genomes) and the closely related genera *Cupriavidus* (n=120 genomes) and *Pandoraea* (n=75 genomes). Plant-pathogenic RSSC genomes typically had over twice as many vgrG homologs as their close relatives (median of n=12 per genome; Figure 1B, Figure S2A). Most *Pandoraea* spp. did not encode any vgrG homologs. *Cupriavidus* and the non-RSSC *Ralstonia* both encoded a small number of vgrG homologs (medians of n=4 and n=5 per genome, respectively) (Figure 1B, Figure S2A).

A single T6SS subtype is largely conserved among plant-pathogenic RSSC.

We used synteny analysis to investigate the organization of T6SS core genes among the plantpathogenic RSSC. The genomes we investigated have the same T6SS subtype, T6SS^{i4B2} (32) (Figure 2A), encoded on the ~2.1 Mb secondary replicon known as the megaplasmid (33). The RSSC T6SS main locus has two conserved regions located between three variable regions that contain *vgrG*-linked toxin/immunity clusters and transposable elements (Figure 2A-B). We later defined these *vgrG*-linked auxiliary (*aux*) clusters as *aux10*, *aux14*, *aux15*, *aux17*, *aux18*, *aux20*, *aux22*, *aux23*, *aux24*. Additionally, the phylotype IV strains have a five-gene insertion between *tssA* and *ompA* in the second conserved region (Figure 2B). This five-gene insertion is also present in phylotype II strains at a different genomic location than the T6SS main locus, and has no known function or homology to T6SS genes, so its association with the T6SS is unclear (Figure S3).

We identified 20 core genes in the RSSC T6SS loci (Figure 2A). We BLASTp-searched the 19 of the 20 core genes (excluding PAAR, which typically has multiple paralogs in T6SS⁺ genomes) against the 289 Burkholderiaceae species representatives. Although the T6SS structural genes were well conserved, we observed that six of the core RSSC genes were variably present in 21-71% of the Burkholderiaceae T6SS-containing genomes: RS_RS20665 (present in 57.7% of T6SS⁺ RSSC genomes), *GH10* (glycosyl hydrolase 10, in 21.4% of T6SS⁺ RSSC genomes), *ompA* (70.9%), *tagF* (62.6%), RS_RS20775 (30.2%), and the M15 peptidase (59.9%, Figure S1C). The *Ralstonia* T6SS includes a unique TagY-like protein that lacks the typical cysteine-rich C-terminal domain (34).

The T6SS has been lost in several RSSC lineages.

Upon searching 398 publicly available RSSC genomes, we identified several lineages that lacked the T6SS (T6SS^{null}). The T6SS^{null} lineages include the two insect- and mechanically- vectored phylotype IV lineages that cause Sumatra Disease of Clove (SDC) and Blood Disease of Banana (BDB) (35), one of several lineages that causes Moko Disease of Banana (phylotype IIA-6) (36), one phylotype I lineage, and all but one of the eight phylotype III strains with sequenced genomes (Figure 2C). Of these T6SS^{null} lineages, the SDC lineage strains encode the GH10-domain containing and *ompA* genes, suggesting that the other T6SS genes were lost. We also identified multiple genomes that lacked the conserved *tssL*-to-*tssH* and the *GH10*-to-*tagY*-*like* region, n=30 and n=24, respectively (Figure 2C, Table S2). There were sporadic genomes that lacked a BLASTp hit for certain core genes, but these could be false negatives from genome assembly/annotation errors.

To infer whether the T6SS^{i4B2} had been lost in the T6SS^{null} lineages, we carried out BLASTp searches and synteny analysis with all the T6SS gene clusters identified in RSSC and the other *Ralstonia* species. Of the T6SS⁺ non-RSSC *Ralstonia*, 98% of the genomes encoded a T6SS^{i4B2} with the same genetic

organization except for the absence of the GH10-domain gene (Figure S4). Some genomes of non-RSSC *Ralstonia* spp. contained a second T6SS locus that did not match our references (3), so we used synteny analysis to classify these into informal groups (Figure S4; "Other A" and "Other B"). The simplest explanation for the phylogenetic pattern is that the T6SS^{i4B2} is ancestral to the genus *Ralstonia* and has been lost in multiple lineages of the RSSC and non-RSSC *Ralstonia*.

The RSSC pangenome encodes dozens of auxiliary T6SS toxin/immunity clusters.

Because RSSC genomes contain a median of 12 *vgrG* paralogs and only three *vgrG* paralogs are encoded in the main T6SS^{I4B2} locus, we hypothesized that there were additional T6SS loci on the chromosome or megaplasmid. We manually curated a list of 1066 T6SS auxiliary toxin/immunity (*aux*) clusters in the 99 high-quality RSSC genomes using a process that combined low-stringency BLASTp searches with iterative synteny analysis of candidate *aux* clusters (see Materials and Methods). 1060 of the T6SS *aux* clusters with shared genetic architecture were categorized into 25 different types, named *aux1-aux25* (Figure S5, Table S3). The remaining six could not be categorized because they consisted of only an orphan *vgrG* gene. We used synteny analysis to map the *aux* clusters to their locations on the chromosome, megaplasmid, or small accessory plasmids. As we predicted, a majority of *aux* clusters (n=788, 73.9%) were located elsewhere in the genome from the main T6SS cluster. We illustrated the phylogenetic distribution, genomic loci, representative structural variants, and gene organization and annotations for each *aux* cluster type and compiled this data to create a pangenomic atlas of the T6SS in the RSSC (Figures S6-S26). Toxin arsenals of other *Ralstonia* genomes can be classified using synteny analysis with Clinker (37) using the reference genbank (.gbk) files for *aux1-aux25* (FigShare repository: doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23065583.v1).

The *aux* clusters contain between three and 14 genes and vary in size from 2.3 kb (*aux17*) to 16.6 kb (*aux16*). We used the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (CDD; (38)) and PaperBlast (39) to infer the function of each *aux* gene (Figures S6-S26). Although there is a conserved small gene that encodes a standalone PAAR domain protein in the T6SS main locus, small PAAR domain-containing genes were also encoded in some or all structural variants of five *aux* types (*aux1*, *aux2*, *aux5*, *aux7*, *aux9*). Although some T6SS toxins bind directly to VgrG spike proteins, others form complexes with adaptor proteins that bind to the VgrG proteins. We identified adaptors with DUF1795 (40) and DUF2169 (41) domains in three *aux* types, each, and DUF4123 domains (42) in four *aux* types. Several of the *aux* clusters include genes containing polymorphic toxins with recombination hotspot (RHS) domains (*aux3*, *aux4*, and *aux12*), DUF4150 PAAR-like superfamily domains (*aux8*, *aux13*, and *aux16*) (43), marker-for-type-six

(MIX_III) domains (*aux14* and *aux20*) (44), found-in-type-six (FIX) domains (*aux10*, *aux17*, *aux22*, *aux23*, and *aux24*) (45), and FIX-like domains (*aux19* and *aux21*). Finally, genes also included other domains that have been previously identified in T6SS toxins (DUF3274, DUF2235, and DUF6531) (46–48) and immunity proteins (DUF1910, DUF1911, DUF3304, and Sel1 repeats) (8, 45, 46) (Figures S6-S26). We identified putative arrays of immunity genes or orphan immunity genes in structural variants of thirteen *aux* types: *aux2*, *aux3*, *aux4*, *aux5*, *aux6*, *aux8*, *aux11*, *aux12*, *aux14*, *aux15*, *aux18*, *aux22*, and *aux23*.

The largest two clusters, *aux8* and *aux16*, are atypical in that they contained genes upstream of the *vgrG* gene: one DUF4124 gene and one-to-two ankyrin-repeat genes (Figures S13, S21). All genes in *aux1-aux24* were arranged unidirectionally, but *aux25* had an atypical three-gene layout of *vgrG*, a 2.3 kb hypothetical gene, and an inverted gene encoding a PvdO family nonheme iron enzyme (Figure S26).

T6SS aux cluster content varies among RSSC clades.

The copy number of *aux* types ranged between zero to three copies among the RSSC genomes (Figure S28). Some *aux* types were never found with more than a single copy per genome. The *aux2*, *aux5*, and *aux7* clusters were sometimes found in two or three copies, and *aux1*, *aux4*, *aux6*, *aux9*, and *aux17* were occasionally found in two copies across the RSSC genomes. The *aux1*, *aux2*, *aux3*, *aux5*, *aux6*, *aux7*, *aux14*, and *aux15* clusters were found in more than 50% of the RSSC genomes. In contrast, *aux10*, *aux11*, *aux12*, *aux18*, *aux19*, *aux21*, *aux24* and *aux25* were found in less than 20% of the RSSC genomes (Figure S28).

To compare the phylogenetic distribution of different *aux* types, we visualized the copy number of each *aux* type across a species tree (Figure 3A and Figure S28). Using the abundance of *aux* types in our genome set, we created a dendrogram that hierarchically clusters *aux* types based on their prevalence across the RSSC genomes (Figure 3A). The *aux1*, *aux2*, *aux3*, *aux6*, and *aux14* clusters were present in at least one genome of all four phylotypes, excluding the T6SS^{null} phylotype III strains. In contrast, some *aux* types were restricted to specific phylotypes: *aux12* and *aux25* were present only in phylotype II, while *aux13* and *aux19* were only found in phylotype I. *Aux11*, *aux19*, *aux24*, and *aux25* clustered closely together due to their rare presence in a few RSSC genomes (Figure 3A and Table S3). As a result of these highly variable patterns of *aux* cluster distribution, closely related strains typically have overlapping but non-identical *aux* cluster repertoires (Figure 3A).

The putative T6SS toxins target diverse substrates, including lipids and DNA.

Most T6SS toxins damage important cellular components in target cells. We used the NCBI CDD and PaperBlast to infer the mode of action of the toxins (Figure 4, Figure S27, Table S3). The toxins from nine aux clusters were lipases of previously defined families (49): Tle1 (aux6 and aux11), Tle3 (aux2, aux7, and aux9), Tle4 (aux1 and aux15), Tle5 (aux6), and a lipase with a novel domain architecture (aux18). The toxins of seven aux clusters were nucleases with HNH nuclease domains (aux3, aux12, and aux16), GHH2 nuclease domains (aux8), or PoNe nuclease domains (aux10, aux19, and aux21). The RHS toxins in aux4 have variable C-terminal toxin domains predicted to target either ATP as (p)ppApp synthase or actin as actin-ADP ribosylase. We could infer which gene was the toxin based on the presence of known polymorphic toxin domains for eight of the clusters (aux13, aux14, aux17, aux20, aux22, aux23, aux24, and aux25), but we could not identify domains or motifs that hint at the mode of action (Figure 4D). For the remaining cluster, aux13, the toxin might be either the MAEBL-domain or one of the three hypothetical proteins.

We investigated whether RSSC lineages varied in the substrates targeted by their T6SS toxins (Figure 4). All T6SS⁺ RSSC genomes encoded at least one lipase. Phylotype I and IIC lineages encoded the most lipases (median of 5 and 8, respectively) (Figure 4A-E). Phylotype IIB-1, which contains the clonal pandemic lineage (regulated as a U.S. Select Agent under the name "R. solanacearum R3Bv2"; (50)), had the fewest lipases of the T6SS⁺ genomes with only a single lipase per genome. Nucleases were common, but 17% of T6SS⁺ strains lacked any obvious nucleases. The IIB-1 lineage, the IV-8 lineage, and scattered phylotype I strains had more nucleases than other lineages (Figure 4E). Genomes with more nucleases tended to have fewer lipases. The putative ATP and actin-targeting toxins were rare and sporadically distributed. Most of the T6SS⁺ genomes (91%) had one or more toxins with unknown targets.

aux clusters are enriched on the RSSC megaplasmid.

In bacterial genomes, secondary replicons like the RSSC megaplasmid often contain more rapidly-evolving accessory genes than the chromosome (51–53). Because *aux* clusters are part of the RSSC accessory genome, we hypothesized that they would be more abundant on the megaplasmid. We found that *aux* clusters were enriched on the megaplasmid, accounting for 72% of the 1,066 *aux* clusters that we classified (Figure 5, Figure S29, and Table S3). Considering that the megaplasmid is smaller than the chromosome (approximately 2.1 Mb and 3.5 Mb, respectively), *aux* cluster density is dramatically higher on the megaplasmid. Nevertheless, certain *aux* types were more common on the chromosome: *aux2*, *aux8*, *aux21*, *aux19*, *aux4*, *aux17*, and *aux13* (Figure 5B and Table S3).

To determine whether there are genomic islands where aux clusters are frequently located, we used synteny analysis (37) to map the specific location of each of the 1,066 aux clusters. Except for the T6SS main locus, there were no obvious hotspots where aux clusters were located (Figure 5C and the "C panels" of Figures S6-S26). The three variable regions of the T6SS main locus each contained aux clusters that had the same superfamily of toxins. The variable region upstream of tssM only contained aux15 or aux18, which had lipase toxins (Figure S20). The variable region between tssH and tssA exclusively contained aux14 and/or aux20, which have a MIX_III domain toxin (Figure S19). All aux clusters found downstream of tssJKL contained toxins with FIX domains, including aux22-24, which were exclusively found at T6SS main locus, and aux10 and aux17, which were also found associated with the T6SS main locus as well as additional loci (Figures S15, S22, S25). Certain aux types were located in consistent genomic loci while others were highly variable. For instance, aux3 was found in 79% of surveyed RSSC genomes and was always found in the same locus on the megaplasmid (Figure S8). In contrast, aux2 was found in 84% of our genome set and is located in nine distinct loci (Figure S7). Some of our RSSC genomes included accessory plasmids that encoded two different aux types, aux6 and aux11. However, both of these aux types were also found on the chromosome or megaplasmid in other RSSC genomes (Figures S11, S16).

Loss-of-function mutations are common among aux clusters.

To infer the role of gene loss in the evolutionary history of RSSC *aux* clusters, we used synteny analysis to identify apparently functional "intact" *aux* clusters and "decayed" clusters with one or more putative loss-of-function mutations (Figure S30). Loss-of-function mutations included deletions, frameshifts, premature stop codons, or gene disruption by insertion sequence (IS) and other transposable elements (Table S3). Of the 1066 *aux* clusters classified, about 23.5% contained one or more *vgrG*, toxin, or immunity genes that had one of these loss-of-function mutations (Table S3). Of the 251 decayed *aux* clusters, 70.5% had mutations in *vgrG*, 34.7% had mutated toxins, and 17.5% had mutated immunity genes. Only 8.8% of the decayed *aux* clusters had mutations in the immunity gene but not the toxin or *vgrG* genes. Some *aux* types were more frequently decayed than others (Figure 3B, Figure S28, and Table S3). The least commonly decayed *aux* clusters were those with nuclease toxins (*aux3*, *aux8*, *aux10*, *aux12*, *aux16*, *aux19*, and *aux21*) as well as *aux13* and *aux23*, which encode toxins with unknown targets. The most commonly decayed *aux* clusters were *aux1* (43/88 decayed), *aux2* (42/115 decayed) and *aux14* (36/75 decayed).

Most T6SS⁺ RSSC genomes have at least one decayed *aux* cluster. We investigated whether RSSC lineages varied in their proportion of decayed *aux* clusters which could suggest that these lineages had less ecological pressure to maintain large toxin repertoires (Figure S31). As expected, the T6SS^{null} lineages (III, IV-BDB, and IV-SDC) mostly lack intact *aux* clusters although the IV-BDB genomes had decayed *aux* clusters, and two T6SS^{null} phylotype III strains had intact *aux6* and *aux21* clusters (Figure S28, and Table S3). Although phylotype IIB is T6SS⁺, IIB genomes encode fewer intact *aux* clusters than other T6SS⁺ clades, with a median of five intact *aux* clusters per genome (Figure 3A-C). A small clade within phylotype I also had five decayed *aux* clusters per genome (Figures 3, S28). Within the sample of genomes analyzed, there is no particularly strong phylogenetic pattern to the prevalence of decayed *aux* clusters.

Mobile genetic elements facilitate horizontal acquisition of chromosomal aux clusters.

The phylogenetic distribution of *aux* clusters among RSSC genomes suggests a complicated pattern of gene flow with frequent gain events in addition to the loss events documented above. We hypothesized that horizontal gene transfer (HGT) between RSSC clades may contribute to the convoluted phylogenetic pattern of *aux* cluster presence and absence. In bacteria, mobile genetic elements like phages and conjugative plasmids are common vehicles for the horizontal transmission of genes (54). We used a combination of bioinformatic analyses to investigate if mobile genetic elements (MGEs) were associated with *aux* clusters in RSSC genomes, including synteny analysis with Clinker (37), prophage prediction with PHASTER (55), and domain analysis with NCBI CDD (38).

Of the 72 unique genetic neighborhoods around *aux* clusters, we classified 50% as MGE-associated and 40.3% as not MGE-associated. We assigned the remaining 9.7% of clusters "inconclusive" status because there was minor but insufficient evidence of association with an MGE. For example, one inconclusive cluster was adjacent to a single pseudogenized phage portal gene. Many *aux* clusters from the IIB-1 pandemic brown rot lineage were associated with IS1021 elements (Figure S32). Two of these IIB-1 *aux* clusters, *aux8* and *aux12*, are flanked by IS1021 elements, suggesting that these are composite transposons. The IIB-1 *aux* clusters with only one IS1021 element were assigned to the "inconclusive" group. In total, we identified prophages (Myoviridae, Inoviridae, and Siphoviridae families), conjugative plasmids, composite transposons, and 16 other unclassified MGEs that were co-inherited with *aux* clusters (Figures 6A, S32; Table S3). Of the prophages, the Myoviridae and Siphoviridae phages had high conservation in gene structure, whereas the filamentous Inoviridae phages were highly diverse.

Many of the MGEs were associated with lipase *aux* types. The φRSY1-like Myoviridae prophages (56) carried certain lipase clusters: *aux5*, *aux7*, and *aux9* at three, one, and two genomic locations, respectively (*aux5*^{loc3,4,7}, *aux7*^{loc5}, *aux9*^{loc1,2}) (Figures 6A, S33). *Siphoviridae* prophages carried a lipase cluster and two clusters with unknown targets: *aux5*, *aux13*, and *aux16* at three locations (Table S3). Inoviridae prophages carried clusters with diverse toxins: *aux4*, *aux6*, *aux10*, *aux17*, *aux19*, and *aux21* (Figure 6). An unclassified 8-gene MGE with a Toprim topoisomerase carried two lipase clusters *aux1* and *aux7* at three locations (Figures 6A, S34, Table S3). Finally, conjugative plasmids carried two lipase clusters: *aux6* and *aux11* (Figures 6A, S33).

We investigated the genomic locations of MGE-associated, inconclusive, and non-MGE *aux* clusters. Surprisingly, we found that there was a strong linkage between chromosomal location and MGE-association (Figure 6B). Whereas 84% of chromosomal *aux* clusters were MGE-associated, only 18% of megaplasmid *aux* clusters were MGE-associated. All accessory plasmids with *aux* clusters were conjugative plasmid MGEs. We identified several *aux*-carrying MGE hotspots on the chromosome, most of which were dominated by a single prophage family (Figure 6C). For example, hotspot B was dominated by the Siphoviridae phages, while hotspots C and D were both dominated by Inoviridae prophages. In contrast, hotspot A was occupied by four distinct unclassified MGEs (Figure 6C).

Discussion

Here, we used a phylogenomic approach to shed light on the eco-evolutionary dynamics between the lifestyle of RSSC strains and their T6SS arsenals. We infer that the T6SS is an ancestral trait in the RSSC. We found that RSSC genomes are evolutionarily enriched in T6SS toxins. Scrutinizing the diversity and distribution of T6SS toxins in the RSSC, we found a complex distribution of T6SS *aux* clusters suggesting that *aux* clusters are frequently gained and lost. Additionally, we found that the T6SS is more prevalent across the RSSC (95.2% of our 398-genome RSSC dataset) compared to close relatives in the Burkholderiaceae family (62.4% of our 289-genome Burkholderiaceae dataset). Notably, many of the RSSC lineages that have lost the T6SS are capable of mechanical or insect-vectored transmission and are not exclusively soilborne. Our analyses suggest that the T6SS is a dynamic weapon intimately linked to the evolutionary success of soilborne RSSC, a group of plant pathogens of global concern.

Bacteria in the RSSC are aggressive pathogens that cause lethal wilt disease in plants, resulting in drastic losses of economically important crops. RSSC pathogens are renowned for manipulating a broad range of host plants with massive repertoires of 60-80 type III secreted toxins (57). Here, we reveal that

RSSC strains have also evolved large arsenals of T6SS toxins. The higher prevalence of T6SSs and expansion of T6SS toxin arsenals in RSSC genomes compared to other Burkholderiaceae lineages suggest that possessing a large T6SS arsenal is adaptive for the lifestyle of these pathogens. Consistent with this hypothesis, the Phc quorum sensing system that controls many RSSC virulence factors, including production of EPS and the T3SS, also induces expression of many T6SS core genes and *vgrG* paralogs when the model strain GMI1000 grows *in planta* (16). During transmission and infection of a new host, they encounter a variety of ecological interactors. We speculate that RSSC bacteria share the indiscriminate aggression of a pack of hyenas. Similar to how hyenas attack other animals with their teeth and claws, RSSC bacteria may wield their T6SS against competitors (other RSSC and plant-colonizing bacteria), predators (bacterivorous amoebae) and, possibly, their prey (plant hosts). Nevertheless, we speculate that bacterial competitors are the main target for the RSSC T6SS, but future studies are needed.

Our data support the model that there is eco-evolutionary feedback between bacterial lifestyle and enrichment of T6SS-related genes (58). We discovered that the xylem-pathogenic RSSC and *Paracidovorax* spp., two taxa of ecologically similar plant pathogens, have convergently evolved to deploy a large array of toxins from a single T6SS. These pathogens both cause acute infections of the plant xylem (30). In contrast, plant mutualistic *Rhizobia* and pathogenic *Agrobacterium* encode fewer toxins (9), suggesting that the lifestyle of these long-term colonists selects against diverse arrays of T6SS toxins that could cause collateral damage to the host. Certain Burkholderiaceae encode numerous distinct T6SSs in their genome, which is consistent with a model that organisms with complex lifestyles benefit from having multiple T6SSs that are independently regulated and specialized for different targets and contexts (25). Organisms with a single T6SS like the RSSC likely choose to fight in a single ecological arena.

The relationship between bacterial lifestyle and T6SS gene content is also evident within the RSSC. Most RSSC pathogens are transmitted through soil or surface water (59). However, two of the phylotype IV clades that lack a T6SS have adopted novel lifestyles: the strains causing Sumatra Disease of Clove (SDC) and Blood Disease of Banana (BDB) (60, 61). SDC strains are spread by piercing-sucking insects (62), while BDB strains are mechanically transmitted by insects or agricultural tools (35, 63). Like many bacteria that transition to a host-restricted lifestyle, these lineages have undergone a reduction in genome size. While SDC and BDB strains have chromosomes of comparable size to soil-transmitted relatives, their megaplasmids are reduced in size by approximately 200 kb (10%) and 400 kb (20%),

respectively (64, 65). While the two SDC-lineage genomes lacked *aux* clusters, they encoded two of the 20 core T6SS genes (*GH10* and *ompA*). Similarly, all three genomes in the BDB lineage lacked the core T6SS genes but contained decayed *aux* clusters. Overall, this pattern suggests that the T6SS is ancestral to the RSSC and has been recently lost in the genome-reduced *R. syzygii* lineages.

Pioneering studies on Moko disease by Luis Sequeira and Ivan Buddenhagan demonstrated that the causal phylotype II RSSC strains are facultatively transmitted either by infested soil or mechanically by insect contact with sap, similar to BDB (66, 67). We and other groups have sequenced isolates from the Sequeira and Buddenhagan collection from the 1960s Moko epidemic and recent isolates (20, 36, 68, 69), and we now know that multiple RSSC lineages were responsible for the epidemic, including T6SS⁺ lineages (IIB-4 and IIB-3) and T6SS^{null} lineages (IIA-6). Further research is needed to understand how variation in transmission routes shapes the evolution and behavior of these and other banana-infecting RSSC lineages (70).

Although the T6SS is widely conserved in soil-transmitted RSSC, the absence of the T6SS in several soil-transmitted lineages demonstrates that the T6SS is nonessential for this lifestyle. Soil-transmitted RSSC that lack a T6SS included all but one of the phylotype III genomes and a minor clade of phylotype I (Figure 2C). Three of the T6SS^{null} phylotype III strains had intact *aux* clusters, which could suggest that the T6SS was recently lost from this lineage. However, two of the three intact *aux* clusters were associated with mobile genetic elements (MGEs), so an alternative hypothesis is that these *aux* clusters were recently gained through HGT after a more ancient loss of the T6SS. Our results provide new insight into the epidemiology of RSSC in regions with multiple lineages. It has long been known that RSSC strains can inhibit each other's growth and competitively exclude each other *in planta* (71, 72). A thorough epidemiological survey of Malagasy vegetable plots demonstrated that T6SS⁺ phylotype I strains are displacing the T6SS^{null} phylotype III strains native to the island (73). Subsequent functional analysis demonstrated that the phylotype I Malagasy strains secrete bacteriocin toxins into culture supernatant that inhibit growth of the phylotype III strains (74). Our results suggest that the T6SS may confer an additional advantage to phylotype I strains when they directly compete against T6SS^{null} phylotype III strains.

The convoluted phylogenetic distribution of *aux* clusters suggests that RSSC populations have dynamic T6SS gene flow with frequent gain and loss events. Although there is evidence that certain *aux* types could have been primarily vertically inherited, most *aux* clusters are clearly horizontally transferred based on their phylogenetic distribution and the diversity of their genetic neighborhoods.

Notably, the φRSY1-like Myoviridae prophages definitively transfer *aux* clusters. φRSY1 was originally isolated from soil from an *R. pseudosolanacearum*-infested field, and whole genome sequencing of the purified virion particles confirms that the genome of φRSY1-like phages encode *aux* clusters and, thus, do transmit *aux* clusters (56). The presence of *aux* clusters in phage genomes indicates that these phages may function as mutualists of the RSSC. Across the diversity of RSSC-infecting phages, most do not transport *aux* clusters (75–78). Nevertheless, with growing interest in employing phages for control of bacterial plant pathogens (75, 79–83), it will remain important to evaluate candidate biocontrol agents for their ability to improve the ecological fitness of the targeted pathogens.

Like many bacteria in the Burkholderiaceae, RSSC have a bipartite genome. When bacteria have a secondary replicon like the megaplasmid, the genes on the secondary replicon usually evolve more quickly than chromosomal genes (51–53). Intriguingly, we discovered that *aux* clusters are dramatically enriched on the megaplasmid. We speculate that the enrichment of *aux* clusters on the evolutionarily dynamic megaplasmid could allow RSSC to rapidly diversify their toxin arsenals. In-depth studies on the molecular evolution of chromosomal and megaplasmid *aux* cluster genes are needed to determine if they evolve at different rates from each other or from other RSSC genes.

In the bipartite RSSC genomes, we found clear distinctions in the mechanisms for horizontal gene transfer for *aux* clusters on the chromosome compared to the megaplasmid. Chromosomal *aux* clusters were almost always MGE-associated, which is consistent with prior reports that RSSC prophages are site-specific and are moderately enriched on the evolutionarily stable chromosome (77). In contrast, megaplasmid *aux* clusters were rarely associated with MGEs. Nevertheless, the patterns of phylogenetic distribution suggest that both chromosomal and megaplasmid *aux* clusters have been horizontally transmitted. This opens the question—what genetic mechanisms contributed to horizontal gene flow of megaplasmid *aux* clusters? RSSC are naturally competent (84), so they could readily acquire genes by uptake of environmental DNA and integration by homologous recombination. It would be interesting to test whether there is a bias towards homologous recombination occurring on the megaplasmid. Indeed, the phylogenetic patterns of *aux* cluster content adjacent to the main T6SS island indicate that homologous recombination readily alters which *aux* clusters are encoded there. These putative recombination events might be responsible for the sporadic loss of the conserved *tssL*-to-*tssH* or the conserved the *GH10* to *tagY*-like regions in multiple RSSC genomes.

In closing, we propose that evolution has positioned the RSSC to be a suitable model to understand the role of the T6SS in shaping pathogen populations and host-associated microbial

ecosystems. Our systematic analysis opens a plethora of evolutionarily grounded questions for future investigation. For example, do RSSC pathogens target novel cellular targets with their nine toxin families that lack known toxin domains? Are there genetic and epigenetic mechanisms that promote preferential integration of *aux* clusters on the megaplasmid? Moreover, what other physiological functions are enriched on the megaplasmid and secondary replicons in other bacteria with multipartite genomes? RSSC genomes also encode large repertoires of other genes that allow them to sense and change their environments, including root exudate-sensing chemotaxis receptors (18, 85), plant-manipulating T3SS toxins (57), and anti-phage defense systems (86). Do these genes exhibit biased distribution across the replicons? We anticipate that this study will fuel many new discoveries of T6SS biology and pathogen evolution.

Materials and Methods

Identification of genes encoding the T6SS machinery in RSSC genomes

All publicly available genomes in the genus *Ralstonia*, including *R. syzygii* genomes deposited as "Blood Disease Bacterium" strains, were downloaded from NCBI and uploaded to KBase (87) for analysis. Genomes were analyzed with the Genome Taxonomy Database Toolkit GTDB-Tk - v1.7.0 to identify the genomospecies (88). We used CheckM - v1.0.18 to evaluate the completeness and contamination of the assemblies (89). Only genomes with completeness greater than 99.82% and contamination less than 0.96% were retained for further analysis. With the selected assemblies we generated a phylogenetic tree of the RSSC using KBase Insert Genome into SpeciesTree – v.2.2.0. The KBase SpeciesTree App uses 49 genes broadly conserved across bacteria to build a phylogenetic tree with FastTree2 (90). The protein sequence for each GMI1000 T6SS component was queried against the *Ralstonia* genomes using BLASTp - v2.13.0. We considered all BLASTp results with \geq 20% identity and \geq 80% coverage to be hits. We visualized phylogenetic patterns of T6SS gene presence or absence in the RSSC phylogenetic tree using iTOL (91).

Clinker was used to visually compare the genetic architectures of the T6SS main loci from all RSSC and 70 genomes of non-wilt pathogenic *Ralstonia* spp. We downloaded the T6SS main locus region of each genome from NCBI and classified the subtype of T6SS by comparison to reference T6SS loci from plant-colonizing bacteria (3, 32).

We selected high-quality genomes for detailed analysis of the repertoires of *vgrG*-linked toxin/immunity gene clusters ("aux clusters"). Limiting the analysis to complete genomes would have

excluded almost all phylotype II, III, and IV genomes, so we included 99 genomes assembled into as many as 28 contigs. We carried out a series of low-stringency BLASTp searches against the RSSC genomes with multiple VgrG protein sequences from phyl. I GMI1000, phyl. II IBSBF1503, and phyl. IV PSI07 (Parameters: \geq 1% identity, \geq 1% coverage, and Bit Score \geq 10). All VgrG BLASTp results were merged and further analyzed in Excel and iTOL.

Identification of T6SS and varG genes in representative Burkholderiaceae genomes

The Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) (88) was used to identify complete genomes in the Burkholderiaceae family. Per GTDB genomospecies (based on 95% ANI threshold), we selected one representative genome to import into a KBase Narrative (https://narrative.kbase.us/narrative/142785). We used BLASTp as described above to identify T6SS genes. The full results are presented in Table S1.

In a complementary approach, we used hmmer with jackhmmer (24) to identify T6SS gene homologs in the Burkholderiaceae genomes. We searched for core RSSC T6SS genes, querying amino acid sequences from *R. pseudosolanacearum* GMI1000. The E-value threshold for inclusion was 0.001, and the maximum number of jackhmmer iterations was n=5.

Classifying vgrG-linked toxin/immunity gene clusters through synteny analysis

Identifying the complete *aux* cluster repertoire of each RSSC genome was an iterative process that involved BLASTp searches and synteny analysis. We used a low-stringency BLASTp search to identify putative *vgrG* genes and downloaded a Genbank Flat file from NCBI that encompassed a 10-200 kb region surrounding each. Iterative synteny analysis of the *vgrG* regions was performed using Clinker (37) to identify gene clusters with shared genetic architecture. Clusters with shared genetic architecture were assigned an *aux* number (e.g., *aux2*) that was used for downstream analyses. In *aux* clusters with fragmented *vgrG* genes, we tabulated the locus tag for the upstream fragment in Table S3.

We realized our approach would not find *aux* clusters that did not have *vgrG* genes. In cases where a strain lacked an *aux* cluster type found in closely related strains, we used synteny analysis to determine whether the strain truly lacked the *aux* cluster by searching the genome region for the other genes associated with that *aux* cluster. For *aux* clusters lacking *vgrG* genes, we tabulated the locus tag of the most upstream in Table S3.

Genome annotations were used to identify pseudogenized genes in each *aux* cluster, focusing especially on *vgrG*, toxin, and immunity genes. By inspecting *aux* cluster alignments in Clinker, we were

additionally able to identify missing genes or genes pseudogenized by transposons or insertion sequences. Clusters with at least one missing or pseudogenized *vgrG* or toxin gene were classified as "decayed" and are hypothesized to not be functional. We additionally noted the presence of "orphan" immunity genes, which lack an intact corresponding *vgrG* and toxin.

Identifying toxins, immunity proteins, and adaptors encoded in each aux cluster

We queried putative RSSC *aux* protein sequences against the literature using PaperBlast (39). Additionally, we identified sequences containing domains associated with T6SS toxins, immunity proteins, and adaptor proteins by searching the NCBI CDD using CD-Search (38). Toxins were identified on the basis of the presence of known T6SS toxin domains or homology with *bona fide* T6SS toxins (e.g. PaperBlast matches to Tle1, Tle3, Tle4, and Tle5 phospholipases (49)). Immunity proteins were identified based on the presence of known T6SS immunity domains and homology to *bona fide* immunity proteins (PaperBlast). Adapters were identified based on the presence of domains including DUF1795, DUF2169, or DUF4123.

Identifying the genomic location of each vgrG-linked aux cluster

We selected the genome of *R. pseudosolanacearum* GMI1000 as a reference to map the genomic locations each *aux* type was found in. We inspected *aux* cluster alignments generated with Clinker for unique genetic neighborhoods flanking the *aux* cluster. We then identified the corresponding region in the GMI1000 genome and designated this region as a specific location ("loc"). We subsequently referred to groups *aux* clusters with the same genetic architecture and neighborhood as an *aux*^{loc} combination (e.g., *aux2*^{loc1}). This approach allowed us to predict the genomic locations of the *aux*^{loc} groups in all 99 analyzed RSSC genomes, including genomes that were not fully resolved. For downstream analyses, we recorded the GMI1000 locus tag for the *vgrG* gene if GMI1000 encoded the same cluster. If GMI1000 did not encode the same cluster, we recorded the locus tag of the nearest ortholog upstream of the *vgrG* gene. These loci are listed as the "nearby location markers" (Table S3) and were used to graphically depict *aux*^{loc} locations in each T6SS atlas entry (Figures S5-S26) and to record the replicon in Table S3.

Hierarchical clustering of RSSC aux types by their phylogenetic distribution

To compare the phylogenetic distribution of *aux* types in RSSC genomes, we first used the 'decostand' function from the R package 'vegan v.2.6-2' to calculate the relative abundance of each *aux* type per RSSC genome. We used these relative abundances to generate a distance matrix using the

'vegdigest' function. To generate a dendrogram from the *aux* type distance matrix, we used the function 'hclust' from the package 'Phangorn v.2.11.2' in Rstudio (version 2023.03.0+386; (92)).

Identifying mobile genetic elements associated with aux clusters

To identify MGEs associated with various T6SS *aux* clusters, we searched the genetic neighborhoods within 100 kb of each *aux* cluster using the PHASTER prophage identification tool (55). In parallel, we inspected gene annotations of the *aux* cluster genetic neighborhoods for signs of MGEs. For genes encoding hypothetical proteins, we searched for domains associated with MGEs using NCBI CDD (38). Based on these observations, we predicted if each *aux* cluster was likely associated with an MGE (Figure S6, Table S3). In some instances where there was weak evidence of association with an MGE, we designated the MGE association of *aux* clusters as "inconclusive." Additionally, we visualized the shared genetic context of these MGE-associated *aux* clusters using Clinker.

Data Availability

Toxin arsenals of other *Ralstonia* genomes can be classified using Clinker and the reference genbank (.gbk) files for *aux1-aux25* available on FigShare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23065583). Additionally, representative interactive *aux* cluster alignment .html files that were generated with Clinker can be downloaded from the same repository. PDF versions of the species trees with T6SS gene annotations and genome accession numbers can be viewed in this linked FigShare repository (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23499141.v1). The R script used to compute the UPGMA tree to organize the *aux* cluster types is available on FigShare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23065583). KBase narratives to explore genomes used in this study can be accessed as long as KBase is funded; RSSC https://narrative.kbase.us/narrative/123807, Burkholderiaceae species representatives https://narrative.kbase.us/narrative/127696, *Cupriavidus* spp. https://narrative.kbase.us/narrative/142720, and *Pandoreae* spp. https://narrative.kbase.us/narrative/142720, Additionally, the NCBI accessions for each genome are included within Tables S1 and S2.

Authorship contributions

Authorship was determined based on CRediT (Contributor Roles Taxonomy, https://credit.niso.org). NA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Analysis, Experiments / Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SJG:

Conceptualization, Data curation, Analysis, Experiments / Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JKA: Analysis, Experiments / Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. KJG: Analysis, Experiments / Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. KM: Analysis, Experiments / Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. CT: Analysis, Experiments / Investigation, Writing – review & editing. TML: Conceptualization, Data curation, Analysis, Funding acquisition, Experiments / Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Jonathan Jacobs (The Ohio State Univ.) for insightful recommendations about synteny analysis. We would also like to thank Drs. Anneliek ter Horst (UC Davis), Joanne Emerson (UC Davis), and Poliane Alfenas-Zerbini (Univ. Federal de Viçosa) for discussions about phage genomics and Drs. Frank Santoriello (Princeton Univ.), Asaf Levy (Hebrew Univ. of Jerusalem), Dor Salomon (Tel Aviv Univ.), Chih-Horng Kuo (Academia Sinica), and Erh-Min Lai (Academia Sinica) for discussions about T6SS biology.

Funding

This research was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (Hatch #1023861 and Award# 1030618), the UC Davis College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, and the Hellman Fellowship Foundation.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. RSSC genomes are enriched in T6SS vgrG genes. (A) The number of VgrG homologs and T6SS core components (TssABCEFGHJKLM and Hcp) were compared across species within the Burkholderiaceae family. We identified T6SS core genes in a custom database of Burkholderiaceae genomes using BLASTp. The gray "X" symbols indicate the nine genera with few-to-no T6SS core genes (Acidovorax sensu stricto (30), Alcaligenes, Bordetella, Comamonas, Hydrogenophaga, Pandoraea, Polaromonas, Polynucleobacter, and Rhodoferax). Error bars indicate standard deviation, and dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence bands around the linear regression. (B) The number of vgrG homologs in RSSC genomes (n=99) relative to closely related taxa: other Ralstonia spp. (n=70), Cupriavidus spp. (n=120), and Pandoraea spp. (n=75). VgrG homologs were identified using BLASTp. Letters indicate p<0.0001 by the Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparisons test.

Figure 2. Although the T6SS is broadly conserved among RSSC strains, multiple lineages lack the T6SS. Most RSSC encode a T6SS^{IAB2} with a conserved gene order with three variable regions and two conserved regions. (A) shows the conserved genes and (B) displays the synteny of the locus across phylogenetically diverse RSSC strains. Core T6SS genes encoding structural components and associated genes are shown as gray arrows, VgrG spike protein-encoding genes as purple arrows, and IS elements and transposase genes as orange arrows. Other color-coded genes in the diagram belong to one of the 25 different *aux* types we identified (Figures 3, S6-S26), with the *aux* numbers superimposed on the putative T6SS toxin. Numbers superimposed over genes in the variable regions identify which *aux* type is encoded at each spot. Linkages are drawn between homologous genes, with darker linkages indicating higher identity. Synteny and global amino acid identity were visualized with Clinker and aesthetics were adjusted in Affinity Designer. (C) Left: An approximate maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of 398 RSSC genomes was created with the KBase Species Tree app. Right: The presence/absence of core T6SS genes based on BLASTp searches. Gray rectangles indicate at least one homolog was identified using BLASTp with percent identity cut-offs of > 20% and aligned-length cut-offs of > 80%. The data was visualized on iTOL. Lineages that lack the T6SS are indicated.

Figure 3. RSSC strains vary in their repertoires of *vgrG*-linked auxiliary toxin/immunity clusters ("aux"). We classified 1066 *vgrG*-linked clusters into 25 subtypes (aux1-aux25). (A) Abundance of each aux cluster (aux1-aux25) across complete or nearly complete RSSC genomes; both decayed and intact

aux clusters are shown. To see the distribution of only the intact or decayed aux clusters, see Figure S28. The left dendrogram is the same RSSC phylogeny displayed in Figure 3D. The top dendrogram groups aux by their phylogenetic distribution in the RSSC genomes. (B) Clusters were classified as decayed if the vgrG, toxin, or immunity genes were pseudogenized or disrupted by transposons and were classified as intact if they lacked obvious mutations. (C) Abundance of intact aux clusters across major phylogenetic divisions of the RSSC, with black lines indicating the median. Letters indicate significance by the Kruskal-Wallis test with multiple comparisons (p<0.05).

Figure 4. RSSC VgrG-linked toxins are predicted to target lipids, nucleic acids, ATP, actin, and unknown targets. To identify toxins and analyze their sequence for enzymatic domains, all *aux* cluster amino acid sequences were queried against NCBI Conserved Domain Database (CDD) and PaperBlast. (A-D) Comparison of the abundance of *aux* clusters with (B) lipase, (C) nuclease, (D) ATP-degrading or Actintargeting domains, or (E) unidentified functional domains across the four phylotypes (I-IV). Below each graph is a cartoon of the genetic architecture of a representative of each *aux* type. (E) Phylogenetic analysis of the toxin profiles. Top: an approximate maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of 99 high-quality RSSC genomes was created with the KBase "Species Tree" app. Below: A heat map of each genome's toxin repertoire. Table S3 lists the specific repertoire of each genome.

Figure 5. *VgrG*-linked toxins/immunity clusters are enriched on the megaplasmid. (A) The number of *aux* clusters on each replicon (the chromosome, megaplasmid, and accessory conjugative plasmids) per RSSC genome. Letters indicate p<0.0001 by Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA with Dunnett's T3 multiple comparisons test. (B) The occurrence of each *aux* cluster type on the chromosome, megaplasmid, or accessory plasmids. The *aux* clusters are sorted by the substrate. Nine *aux* clusters were always (dark gray circles) or sometimes (white circles) located in the T6SS main locus. The three locations where *aux* clusters are found in the T6SS main locus are indicated above the graph. (C) The locations of *aux* clusters on the chromosome and megaplasmid of RSSC species representatives (*R. pseudosolanacearum* GMI1000, *R. solanacearum* IBSBF1503, and *R. syzygii* PSI07). Like most RSSC genomes, these lack accessory conjugative plasmids.

639		
640	Fig	ure 6. Mobile genetic elements likely mediate horizontal gene transfer of aux clusters. (A) Synteny
641	ana	llysis of representative aux clusters associated with MGEs. MGE genes are orange, aux cluster genes
642	are	brown, and all other genes are gray. (B) Proportion of aux clusters on the chromosomes,
643	me	gaplasmids, and accessory plasmids that are MGE-associated, inconclusive, or not MGE-associated.
644	(C)	Specific locations of each aux-locus across the RSSC genomes. Each triangle represents one aux-
645	loc	us, and colors correspond to the legend in (B). The letters identify hotspots where multiple aux-loci
646	were found across the RSSC pangenome. Locations of aux clusters were identified using the GMI1000	
647	ger	nome as reference.
648		
649		
650	References	
651	1.	Danov A, Segev O, Bograd A, Ben Eliyahu Y, Dotan N, Kaplan T, Levy A. 2023. Toxinome-the
652		bacterial protein toxin database. MBio e0191123.
653	2.	Cherrak Y, Flaugnatti N, Durand E, Journet L, Cascales E. 2019. Structure and activity of the type VI
654		secretion system. Microbiol Spectr 7.
655	3.	Bernal P, Llamas MA, Filloux A. 2018. Type VI secretion systems in plant-associated bacteria: T6SS in
656		phytobacteria. Environ Microbiol 20:1–15.
657	4.	Ruhe ZC, Low DA, Hayes CS. 2020. Polymorphic toxins and their immunity proteins: Diversity,
658		evolution, and mechanisms of delivery. Annu Rev Microbiol 74:497–520.
659	5.	Bönemann G, Pietrosiuk A, Diemand A, Zentgraf H, Mogk A. 2009. Remodelling of VipA/VipB
660		tubules by ClpV-mediated threading is crucial for type VI protein secretion. EMBO J 28:315–325.
661	6.	Basler M, Mekalanos JJ. 2012. Type 6 secretion dynamics within and between bacterial cells.
662		Science 337:815.

- Geller AM, Zlotkin D, Levy A. 2021. Large-scale discovery of candidate type VI secretion effectors
 with antibacterial activity. bioRxiv.
- 665 8. Crisan CV, Chande AT, Williams K, Raghuram V, Rishishwar L, Steinbach G, Watve SS, Yunker P,

 Jordan IK, Hammer BK. 2019. Analysis of *Vibrio cholerae* genomes identifies new type VI secretion

 system gene clusters. Genome Biol 20:163.
- 668 9. Wu C-F, Weisberg AJ, Davis EW 2nd, Chou L, Khan S, Lai E-M, Kuo C-H, Chang JH. 2021.
- Thomas J, Watve SS, Ratcliff WC, Hammer BK. 2017. Horizontal gene transfer of functional type VI
 killing genes by natural transformation. MBio 8:10.1128/mbio.00654-17.

Diversification of the type VI secretion system in agrobacteria. MBio 12:e0192721.

- Jana B, Keppel K, Fridman CM, Bosis E, Salomon D. 2022. Multiple T6SSs, mobile auxiliary modules,
 and effectors revealed in a systematic analysis of the *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* pan-genome.
- mSystems 7.

669

- Vassallo CN, Troselj V, Weltzer ML, Wall D. 2020. Rapid diversification of wild social groups driven
 by toxin-immunity loci on mobile genetic elements. ISME J 14:2474–2487.
- 13. Speare L, Cecere AG, Guckes KR, Smith S, Wollenberg MS, Mandel MJ, Miyashiro T, Septer AN.
- 2018. Bacterial symbionts use a type VI secretion system to eliminate competitors in their natural host. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E8528–E8537.
- Thang L, Xu J, Xu J, Chen K, He L, Feng J. 2012. TssM is essential for virulence and required for type
 VI secretion in *Ralstonia solanacearum*. J Plant Dis Prot 119:125–134.

- Bocsanczy AM, Achenbach UCM, Mangravita-Novo A, Chow M, Norman DJ. 2014. Proteomic
 comparison of *Ralstonia solanacearum* strains reveals temperature dependent virulence factors.
- 684 BMC Genomics 15:280.
- Khokhani D, Lowe-Power TM, Tran TM, Allen C. 2017. A single regulator mediates strategic
 switching between attachment/spread and growth/virulence in the plant pathogen *Ralstonia* solanacearum. mBio 8.
- Thang L, Xu J, Xu J, Zhang H, He L, Feng J. 2014. TssB is essential for virulence and required for type
 VI secretion system in *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Microb Pathog 74:1–7.
- Yao J, Allen C. 2006. Chemotaxis is required for virulence and competitive fitness of the bacterial
 wilt pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*. J Bacteriol 188:3697–3708.
- 19. Ingel B, Caldwell D, Duong F, Parkinson DY, McCulloh KA, Iyer-Pascuzzi AS, McElrone AJ, Lowe-Power TM. 2023. Revisiting the source of wilt symptoms: X-ray microcomputed tomography provides direct evidence that *Ralstonia* biomass clogs xylem vessels. PhytoFrontiers 2:41–51.
- Ailloud F, Lowe T, Cellier G, Roche D, Allen C, Prior P. 2015. Comparative genomic analysis of
 Ralstonia solanacearum reveals candidate genes for host specificity. BMC Genomics 16:270.
- Lowe-Power T, Avalos J, Bai Y, Charco Munoz M, Chipman K, Tom C, Williams D. 2022. A meta analysis of the known global distribution and host range of the *Ralstonia* species complex. bioRxiv.
- Savary S, Willocquet L, Pethybridge SJ, Esker P, McRoberts N, Nelson A. 2019. The global burden of
 pathogens and pests on major food crops. Nat Ecol Evol 3:430–439.
- Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil P-A, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P. 2020. A complete
 domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea. Nat Biotechnol 38:1079–1086.

- 703 24. Finn RD, Clements J, Arndt W, Miller BL, Wheeler TJ, Schreiber F, Bateman A, Eddy SR. 2015.
- HMMER web server: 2015 update. Nucleic Acids Res 43:W30-8.
- 705 25. Schwarz S, West TE, Boyer F, Chiang W-C, Carl MA, Hood RD, Rohmer L, Tolker-Nielsen T, Skerrett
- SJ, Mougous JD. 2010. Burkholderia type VI secretion systems have distinct roles in eukaryotic and
- 707 bacterial cell interactions. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001068.
- 708 26. de Campos SB, Lardi M, Gandolfi A, Eberl L, Pessi G. 2017. Mutations in two *Paraburkholderia*
- 709 phymatum type VI secretion systems cause reduced fitness in interbacterial competition. Front
- 710 Microbiol 8:2473.
- 711 27. Liang X, Moore R, Wilton M, Wong MJQ, Lam L, Dong TG. 2015. Identification of divergent type VI
- 712 secretion effectors using a conserved chaperone domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:9106–9111.
- 713 28. Hachani A, Allsopp LP, Oduko Y, Filloux A. 2014. The VgrG proteins are "à la carte" delivery systems
- for bacterial type VI effectors. J Biol Chem 289:17872–17884.
- 715 29. Dong TG, Ho BT, Yoder-Himes DR, Mekalanos JJ. 2013. Identification of T6SS-dependent effector
- and immunity proteins by Tn-seq in *Vibrio cholerae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:2623–2628.
- 717 30. Du J, Liu Y, Zhu H. 2022. Genome-based analyses of the genus *Acidovorax*: proposal of the two
- 718 novel genera *Paracidovorax* gen. nov., *Paenacidovorax* gen. nov. and the reclassification of
- 719 Acidovorax antarcticus as Comamonas antarctica comb. nov. and emended description of the
- 720 genus *Acidovorax*. Arch Microbiol 205:42.
- 721 31. Kan Y, Zhang Y, Lin W, Dong T. 2023. Differential plant cell responses to Acidovorax citrulli T3SS and
- T6SS reveal an effective strategy for controlling plant-associated pathogens. MBio 14:e0045923.

- 32. Boyer F, Fichant G, Berthod J, Vandenbrouck Y, Attree I. 2009. Dissecting the bacterial type VI

 secretion system by a genome wide in silico analysis: what can be learned from available microbial

 genomic resources? BMC Genomics 10:104.
- 33. Rosenberg C, Casse-Delbart F, Dusha I, David M, Boucher C. 1982. Megaplasmids in the plant associated bacteria *Rhizobium meliloti* and *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. J Bacteriol 150:402–406.
- 34. Spiewak HL, Shastri S, Zhang L, Schwager S, Eberl L, Vergunst AC, Thomas MS. 2019. *Burkholderia* cenocepacia utilizes a type VI secretion system for bacterial competition. Microbiologyopen
 8:e00774.
- 35. Safni I, Subandiyah S, Fegan M. 2018. Ecology, Epidemiology and Disease Management of *Ralstonia* syzygii in Indonesia. Front Microbiol 9:419.
- 36. Cellier G, Remenant B, Chiroleu F, Lefeuvre P, Prior P. 2012. Phylogeny and population structure of
 brown rot- and Moko disease-causing strains of *Ralstonia solanacearum* phylotype II. Appl Environ
 Microbiol 78:2367–2375.
- 37. Gilchrist CLM, Chooi Y-H. 2021. Clinker & clustermap.js: Automatic generation of gene cluster
 comparison figures. Bioinformatics https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab007.
- Wang J, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lu S, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N,
 Yamashita RA, Yang M, Zhang D, Zheng C, Lanczycki CJ, Marchler-Bauer A. 2023. The conserved
 domain database in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res 51:D384–D388.
- 39. Price MN, Arkin AP. 2017. PaperBLAST: Text mining papers for information about homologs.
 mSystems 2.

- Alcoforado Diniz J, Coulthurst SJ. 2015. Intraspecies competition in *Serratia marcescens* is mediated
 by type VI-secreted Rhs effectors and a conserved effector-associated accessory protein. J Bacteriol
 197:2350–2360.
- 41. Kim N, Han G, Jung H, Lee H-H, Park J, Seo Y-S. 2021. T6SS accessory proteins, including DUF2169
 domain-containing protein and pentapeptide repeats protein, contribute to bacterial virulence in
 T6SS group 5 of *Burkholderia glumae* BGR1. Plants 11:34.
- 42. Unterweger D, Kostiuk B, Ötjengerdes R, Wilton A, Diaz-Satizabal L, Pukatzki S. 2015. Chimeric
 adaptor proteins translocate diverse type VI secretion system effectors in *Vibrio cholerae*. EMBO J
 34:2198–2210.
- Ma L-S, Hachani A, Lin J-S, Filloux A, Lai E-M. 2014. Agrobacterium tumefaciens deploys a
 superfamily of type VI secretion DNase effectors as weapons for interbacterial competition in
 planta. Cell Host Microbe 16:94–104.
- 44. Salomon D, Kinch LN, Trudgian DC, Guo X, Klimko JA, Grishin NV, Mirzaei H, Orth K. 2014. Marker
 for type VI secretion system effectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:9271–9276.
- Jana B, Fridman CM, Bosis E, Salomon D. 2019. A modular effector with a DNase domain and a
 marker for T6SS substrates. Nat Commun 10:3595.
- 46. Berni B, Soscia C, Djermoun S, Ize B, Bleves S. 2019. A type VI secretion system trans-kingdom
 effector is required for the delivery of a novel antibacterial toxin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Front
 Microbiol 10:1218.
- Ray A, Schwartz N, de Souza Santos M, Zhang J, Orth K, Salomon D. 2017. Type VI secretion system
 MIX-effectors carry both antibacterial and anti-eukaryotic activities. EMBO Rep 18:1978–1990.

- Zhang J, Guan J, Wang M, Li G, Djordjevic M, Tai C, Wang H, Deng Z, Chen Z, Ou H-Y. 2023. SecReT6
 update: a comprehensive resource of bacterial Type VI Secretion Systems. Sci China Life Sci 66:626–
 634.
- Russell AB, LeRoux M, Hathazi K, Agnello DM, Ishikawa T, Wiggins PA, Wai SN, Mougous JD. 2013.
 Diverse type VI secretion phospholipases are functionally plastic antibacterial effectors. Nature
 496:508–512.
- 50. Champoiseau PG, Jones JB, Allen C. 2009. *Ralstonia solanacearum* Race 3 Biovar 2 causes tropical
 losses and temperate anxieties. Plant Health Prog.
- 51. Cooper VS, Vohr SH, Wrocklage SC, Hatcher PJ. 2010. Why genes evolve faster on secondary
 chromosomes in bacteria. PLoS Comput Biol 6:e1000732.
- 52. Harrison PW, Lower RPJ, Kim NKD, Young JPW. 2010. Introducing the bacterial "chromid": not a
 chromosome, not a plasmid. Trends Microbiol 18:141–148.
- 53. diCenzo GC, Finan TM. 2017. The divided bacterial genome: Structure, function, and evolution.
 Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 81.
- Haudiquet M, de Sousa JM, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. 2022. Selfish, promiscuous and sometimes
 useful: how mobile genetic elements drive horizontal gene transfer in microbial populations. Philos
 Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 377:20210234.
- Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better, faster
 version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16-21.
- 783 56. Askora A, Kawasaki T, Fujie M, Yamada T. 2017. Lysogenic conversion of the phytopathogen
 784 *Ralstonia solanacearum* by the P2virus φRSY1. Front Microbiol 8:2212.

- 785 57. Peeters N, Carrère S, Anisimova M, Plener L, Cazalé A-C, Genin S. 2013. Repertoire, unified
 786 nomenclature and evolution of the Type III effector gene set in the *Ralstonia solanacearum* species
 787 complex. BMC Genomics 14:859.
- 58. Geller AM, Zlotkin D, Shalom M, Blum N, Levy A. 2023. A genomic and structural bioinformatic
 pipeline identifies candidate type VI secretion antibacterial effector-immunity pairs. bioRxiv.
- 59. Buddenhagen I, Kelman A. 1964. Biological and physiological aspects of bacterial wilt caused by
 791 *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. Annu Rev Phytopathol 2:203–230.
- 60. Eden-Green SJ, Sastraatmadja H. 1990. Outbreaks and new records. Indonesia. Blood disease of
 banana present in Java. FAO Plant Prot Bull.
- Roberts SJ, Eden-Green SJ, Jones P, Ambler DJ. 1990. *Pseudomonas syzygii*, sp. nov., the cause of
 Sumatra Disease of Cloves. Syst Appl Microbiol 13:34–43.
- 62. Eden-Green SJ, Balfas R, Sutarjo T, Jamalius. 1992. Characteristics of the transmission of Sumatra
 disease of cloves by tube-building cercopoids, Hindola spp. Plant Pathol 41:702–712.
- Ray JD, Subandiyah S, Prakoso AB, Rincón-Flórez VA, Carvalhais LC, Drenth A. 2022. Transmission of
 Blood Disease in Banana. Plant Dis 106:2155–2164.
- Remenant B, de Cambiaire J-C, Cellier G, Jacobs JM, Mangenot S, Barbe V, Lajus A, Vallenet D,
 Medigue C, Fegan M, Allen C, Prior P. 2011. *Ralstonia syzygii*, the Blood Disease Bacterium and
 some Asian *R. solanacearum* strains form a single genomic species despite divergent lifestyles. PLoS
 One 6:e24356.

- 804 65. Baroukh C, Cottret L, Pires E, Peyraud R, Guidot A, Genin S. 2023. Insights into the metabolic

 805 specificities of pathogenic strains from the *Ralstonia solanacearum* species complex. mSystems

 806 8:e0008323.
- 807 66. Buddenhagen IW, Elsasser TA. 1962. An insect-spread bacterial wilt epiphytotic of bluggoe banana.
 808 Nature 194:164–165.
- 809 67. French ER, Sequeira L. 1970. Strains of *Pseudomonas solanacearum* from Central and South 810 America: a comparative study. Phytopathology 60:506–512.
- 811 68. Beutler J, Holden S, Georgoulis SJ, Williams D, Norman D, Lowe-Power T. 2022. Whole genome
 812 sequencing suggests that "non-pathogenicity on banana (NPB)" is the ancestral state of the
 813 *Ralstonia solanacearum* IIB-4 lineage. PhytoFrontiers https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTOFR-06-22814 0068-SC.
- 815 69. Aoun N, . Avalos K, Cope-Arguello M, Enriquez C, Nguyen T, Olyushinets A, Subuyuj G, Tom C, Lowe-816 Power T. 2023. Genome resource announcement of 3 phylotype I and 14 phylotype II *Ralstonia* 817 *solanacearum* species complex isolates from South America. Phytofrontiers.
- Vieira WO, da Silva PHR, Albuquerque GMR, Silva AMF, da Silva França KR, Gagliard PR, da Gama
 MAS, de Souza EB. 2024. Comparative adaptability of Ralstonia solanacearum Moko ecotype
 isolates associated with wilt and Sergipe facies in Brazil. Trop Plant Pathol
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40858-024-00651-7.
- 71. Cuppels DA, Hanson RS, Kelman A. 1978. Isolation and characterization of a bacteriocin produced by *Pseudomonas solanacearum*. J Gen Microbiol 109:295–303.

- Huerta AI, Milling A, Allen C. 2015. Tropical strains of *Ralstonia solanacearum* outcompete Race 3

 Biovar 2 strains at lowland tropical temperatures. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:3542–3551.
- Rasoamanana H, Ravelomanantsoa S, Yahiaoui N, Dianzinga N, Rébert E, Gauche M-M, Pecrix Y,
 Costet L, Rieux A, Prior P, Robène I, Cellier G, Guérin F, Poussier S. 2020. Contrasting genetic
 diversity and structure among Malagasy *Ralstonia pseudosolanacearum* phylotype I populations
 inferred from an optimized Multilocus Variable Number of Tandem Repeat Analysis scheme. PLoS
 One 15:e0242846.
- 74. Rasoamanana H, Ravelomanantsoa S, Nomenjanahary M-V, Gauche M-M, Prior P, Guérin F, Robène
 I, Pecrix Y, Poussier S. 2023. Bacteriocin production correlates with epidemiological prevalence of
 phylotype I sequevar 18 *Ralstonia pseudosolanacearum* in Madagascar. Appl Environ Microbiol
 834 89:e0163222.
- Wang X, Wei Z, Yang K, Wang J, Jousset A, Xu Y, Shen Q, Friman V-P. 2019. Phage combination
 therapies for bacterial wilt disease in tomato. Nat Biotechnol 37:1513–1520.
- 76. Trotereau A, Boyer C, Bornard I, Pécheur MJB, Schouler C, Torres-Barceló C. 2021. High genomic diversity of novel phages infecting the plant pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*, isolated in Mauritius and Reunion islands. Sci Rep 11:5382.
- 77. Gonçalves OS, Souza F de O, Bruckner FP, Santana MF, Alfenas-Zerbini P. 2021. Widespread distribution of prophages signaling the potential for adaptability and pathogenicity evolution of *Ralstonia solanacearum* species complex. Genomics 113:992–1000.
- 78. Greenrod STE, Stoycheva M, Elphinstone J, Friman V-P. 2022. Global diversity and distribution of prophages are lineage-specific within the *Ralstonia solanacearum* species complex. BMC Genomics 23:689.

- 79. Fujiwara A, Fujisawa M, Hamasaki R, Kawasaki T, Fujie M, Yamada T. 2011. Biocontrol of *Ralstonia*847 *solanacearum* by treatment with lytic bacteriophages. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:4155–4162.
- 848 80. Bhunchoth A, Phironrit N, Leksomboon C, Chatchawankanphanich O, Kotera S, Narulita E, Kawasaki

 T, Fujie M, Yamada T. 2015. Isolation of *Ralstonia solanacearum*-infecting bacteriophages from

 tomato fields in Chiang Mai, Thailand, and their experimental use as biocontrol agents. J Appl

 Microbiol 118:1023–1033.
- 852 81. Álvarez B, López MM, Biosca EG. 2019. Biocontrol of the major plant pathogen *Ralstonia*853 solanacearum in irrigation water and host plants by novel waterborne lytic bacteriophages. Front
 854 Microbiol 10:2813.
- 85. Ramírez M, Neuman BW, Ramírez CA. 2020. Bacteriophages as promising agents for the biological control of Moko disease (*Ralstonia solanacearum*) of banana. Biol Control 149:104238.
- 85. Thapa Magar R, Lee SY, Kim HJ, Lee S-W. 2022. Biocontrol of bacterial wilt in tomato with a cocktail of lytic bacteriophages. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 106:3837–3848.
- 859 84. Bertolla F, Frostegård Å, Brito B, Nesme X, Simonet P. 1999. During infection of its host, the plant 860 pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* naturally develops a state of competence and exchanges genetic 861 material. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12:467–472.

862

863

864

865

866

85. Hida A, Oku S, Kawasaki T, Nakashimada Y, Tajima T, Kato J. 2015. Identification of the *mcpA* and *mcpM* genes, encoding methyl-accepting proteins involved in amino acid and I-malate chemotaxis, and involvement of McpM-mediated chemotaxis in plant infection by *Ralstonia pseudosolanacearum* (formerly *Ralstonia solanacearum* phylotypes I and III). Appl Environ

Microbiol 81:7420–7430.

- 86. da Silva Xavier A, de Almeida JCF, de Melo AG, Rousseau GM, Tremblay DM, de Rezende RR,
 868 Moineau S, Alfenas-Zerbini P. 2019. Characterization of CRISPR-Cas systems in the *Ralstonia* 869 *solanacearum* species complex. Mol Plant Pathol 20:223–239.
- 870 87. Arkin AP, Cottingham RW, Henry CS, Harris NL, Stevens RL, Maslov S, Dehal P, Ware D, Perez F, 871 Canon S, Sneddon MW, Henderson ML, Riehl WJ, Murphy-Olson D, Chan SY, Kamimura RT, Kumari 872 S, Drake MM, Brettin TS, Glass EM, Chivian D, Gunter D, Weston DJ, Allen BH, Baumohl J, Best AA, 873 Bowen B, Brenner SE, Bun CC, Chandonia J-M, Chia J-M, Colasanti R, Conrad N, Davis JJ, Davison BH, 874 DeJongh M, Devoid S, Dietrich E, Dubchak I, Edirisinghe JN, Fang G, Faria JP, Frybarger PM, Gerlach 875 W, Gerstein M, Greiner A, Gurtowski J, Haun HL, He F, Jain R, Joachimiak MP, Keegan KP, Kondo S, 876 Kumar V, Land ML, Meyer F, Mills M, Novichkov PS, Oh T, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Parrello B, Pasternak S, 877 Pearson E, Poon SS, Price GA, Ramakrishnan S, Ranjan P, Ronald PC, Schatz MC, Seaver SMD, Shukla 878 M, Sutormin RA, Syed MH, Thomason J, Tintle NL, Wang D, Xia F, Yoo H, Yoo S, Yu D. 2018. KBase: 879 The United States Department of Energy Systems Biology Knowledgebase. Nat Biotechnol 36:566-880 569.
- 88. Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2022. GTDB-Tk v2: memory friendly classification with the genome taxonomy database. Bioinformatics 38:5315–5316.
- 883 89. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality
 884 of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res
 885 25:1043–1055.
- 90. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS One 5:e9490.

- 91. Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 49:W293–W296.
- 890 92. RStudio Team. 2020. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA.