

RECEIVED: March 20, 2024 ACCEPTED: August 15, 2024 PUBLISHED: September 3, 2024

Tree-level superstring amplitudes: the Neveu-Schwarz sector

Sergio L. Cacciatori \bigcirc , a,b Samuel Grushevsky \bigcirc and Alexander A. Voronov \bigcirc d,e

- ^aDipartimento di scienza e alta tecnologia, Università dell'Insubria, via Valleggio 11, 22100, Como, Italy
- $^{b}INFN$.

via Celoria 16, 20100, Milano, Italy

- ^cDepartment of Mathematics and Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3651
- ^dSchool of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.
- ^eKavli IPMU (WPI), UTIAS, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

 $E ext{-}mail:$ sergio.cacciatori@uninsubria.it, sgrushevsky@scgp.stonybrook.edu, voronov@umn.edu

ABSTRACT: We present a complete computation of superstring scattering amplitudes at tree level, for the case of Neveu-Schwarz insertions. Mathematically, this is to say that we determine explicitly the superstring measure on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ of super Riemann surfaces of genus zero with $n \geq 3$ Neveu-Schwarz punctures. While, of course, an expression for the measure was previously known, we do this from first principles, using the canonically defined super Mumford isomorphism [1]. We thus determine the scattering amplitudes, explicitly in the global coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$, without the need for picture changing operators or ghosts, and are also able to determine canonically the value of the coupling constant. Our computation should be viewed as a step towards performing similar analysis on $\mathcal{M}_{0,0,n}$, to derive explicit tree-level scattering amplitudes with Ramond insertions.

KEYWORDS: Differential and Algebraic Geometry, Superstrings and Heterotic Strings

ArXiv ePrint: 2403.09600

C	ontents	
1	Introduction	1
2	Basic conventions and constructions with SRSs and their moduli	6
3	The super Mumford form	8
	3.1 Bases of cohomology	8
	3.2 A formula for the super Mumford form	9
4	The super Kodaira-Spencer map over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$	10
	4.1 The construction	10
	4.2 Proof of the main theorem	11
	4.3 The Čech cohomology computation	13
	4.4 The dual map	15
	4.5 The Berezinian of the dual map	16
5	Vertex-operator insertions	17
6	Tree-level scattering amplitudes in the physics literature	18
7	Conclusion	20
\mathbf{A}	The super Mumford form over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$	20
В	Proof of lemma 5: the Berezinian of the super Kodaira-Spencer map	26
\mathbf{C}	The $z_1 = \infty$ case	28

1 Introduction

Bosonic string scattering amplitudes can be mathematically defined as integrals over the space of all maps from Riemann surfaces with marked points to a given spacetime manifold. To obtain an explicit expression for such an integrand, one proceeds from first principles of string theory, but of course eventually has to choose local coordinates on the moduli space. This construction in particular relies on the Mumford isomorphism $\det(\mathbb{E}_1)^{\otimes 13} \cong \det(\mathbb{E}_2)$ over the moduli space M_g of genus g > 1 (bosonic) Riemann surfaces. Here $\mathbb{E}_k \to M_g$ is the universal vector bundle of holomorphic k-differentials, whose fiber over a Riemann surface $X \in M_g$ is $H^0(X,\omega^{\otimes k})$, where $\omega = \mathcal{T}_X^*$ is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of X. Algebro-geometrically, since \mathbb{E}_2 is the cotangent bundle $\mathcal{T}_{M_g}^*$, the Mumford isomorphism gives the expression $\omega_{M_g} = c_1(\mathcal{T}_{M_g}^*) = \det(\mathbb{E}_2) \cong \lambda_1^{\otimes 13}$ for the canonical bundle ω_{M_g} of the moduli space, where $\lambda_1 := c_1(\mathbb{E}_1) = \det(\mathbb{E}_1) \in \operatorname{Pic}(M_g)$. Since each space-time dimension contributes $\lambda_1^{\otimes 1/2}$ to the integrand, in particular the Mumford isomorphism implies that bosonic string theory could only make sense in 26 dimensions. We note that the bosonic

Mumford isomorphism is a statement that two vector bundles are isomorphic, but it does not give a canonical isomorphism between them.

Bosonic string amplitudes were extensively studied in the 1980s, and various expressions for them were obtained in arbitrary genus, see [2, 3] and also the more recent literature [4, 5] summarizing these results and providing further references. At tree level (i.e. no loops, i.e. genus zero Riemann surfaces) explicit expressions for bosonic string amplitudes are well-known, see [6].

The mathematical foundations of the superstring scattering amplitudes were reviewed and revisited by Witten [7], with further mathematical underpinnings developed in [8–10]. Superstring scattering amplitudes can be mathematically defined as integrals over the space of all maps from super Riemann surfaces to a given space-time supermanifold. Recall that a super Riemann surface (SRS) is a complex supermanifold X of complex dimension (1|1), i.e. such that local charts at any point of X are given by one even and one odd coordinate, together with a maximally non-integrable, odd distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$, i.e. a distribution \mathcal{D} of rank (0|1) such that the Lie bracket of super vector fields induces an isomorphism

$$[-,-]: \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{D}.$$

This yields a canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2} \to 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The super Mumford isomorphism over the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of SRS of genus g > 1 is the isomorphism

$$Ber(\mathbb{E}_{1/2})^{\otimes 5} = Ber(\mathbb{E}_{3/2}), \qquad (1.2)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{1/2}$ is now the virtual coherent sheaf over \mathcal{M}_g , with fiber $H^0(X,\omega) - H^1(X,\omega)$ over $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$, where $\omega := \operatorname{Ber} \mathcal{T}_X^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ now denotes the canonical bundle of the SRS X, while $\mathbb{E}_{3/2}$ denotes the bundle with fibers $H^0(X,\omega^{\otimes 3})$ (for which the H^1 vanishes automatically), and Ber denotes the Berezinian, also known as superdeterminant. Since the cotangent bundle to \mathcal{M}_g is $\mathbb{E}_{3/2}$, this gives

$$Ber(\mathbb{E}_{1/2})^{\otimes 5} = \omega_{\mathcal{M}_g} \,, \tag{1.3}$$

which again is the reason why superstring theory can only be consistent in $10 = 5 \cdot 2$ dimensions (see below and [7–9, 11] for more details). Crucially, unlike in the bosonic case, the super Mumford isomorphism (1.2) is not just a statement that two line bundles over \mathcal{M}_g are abstractly isomorphic, but gives a canonical isomorphism between them, see [1].

While the moduli space M_g of genus g > 1 bosonic Riemann surfaces is a complex Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension 3g - 3, the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of genus g > 1 SRS is a complex superstack of dimension (3g - 3 | 2g - 2). For g = 0 (resp. 1), to get a Deligne-Mumford stack as the moduli space of bosonic Riemann surfaces, one needs to consider Riemann surfaces together with at least n = 3 (resp., n = 1) marked points, whence

¹Recall that on the bosonic Riemann surface $X_{\rm red}$ underlying X, ω restricts to a square root of the canonical bundle of $X_{\rm red}$, so it makes sense to talk of 1/2- and 3/2-differentials, by taking this choice of the line bundle $\omega_{X_{\rm red}}^{\otimes 1/2}$.

dim $M_{0,n} = n - 3$; dim $M_{1,n} = n$. Unlike the bosonic case, where there is only one notion of a marked point on a Riemann surface (which is a codimension 1 complex subspace, and equivalent to considering a puncture), there are two different notions of markings or punctures for super Riemann surfaces. A Neveu-Schwarz (NS) marking on a super Riemann surface X is just a point of X, i.e. a subspace of complex dimension (0|0) and codimension (1|1), which plays no role for the distribution \mathcal{D} . On the other hand, a Ramond (R) marking is a subspace of codimension (1|0), over which the maximal non-integrability of the distribution \mathcal{D} fails in a controlled way [7, 12–14].

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{q,n,k}$ the moduli of genus g super Riemann surfaces with n Neveu-Schwarz markings and k Ramond markings, and then for q > 1 it is a superstack of dimension $(3g-3+n+k)(2g-2+n+\frac{k}{2})$. To write an explicit expression for superstring scattering amplitudes, one needs to choose local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n,k}$. We recall that locally any (smooth or complex) supermanifold is split, i.e. is isomorphic to the exterior algebra of a vector bundle on its underlying (bosonic) manifold. Thus in a sufficiently small coordinate patch, $\mathcal{M}_{q,n,k}$ is modelled on a vector bundle (quotiented by a finite group, as we are working with orbifolds) over the moduli space $SM_{q,n+k} = (\mathcal{M}_{q,n,k})_{red}$ of Riemann surfaces with a spin structure. However, as was shown by Donagi-Witten [15] (see also Donagi-Ott [14] for the case with Ramond markings), for high enough genus, globally $\mathcal{M}_{g,n,k}$ is not split and does not even admit a global holomorphic projection to its reduced bosonic moduli space $SM_{q,n+k}$. In physics literature [16, 17], to write formulas on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n,k}$, one often works in local coordinates, and then uses picture changing operators (PCOs) to change to a different coordinate chart. To deal with the choices involved, one introduces ghosts, and then integrates over them as well. In particular, this approach led to spectacular computations of superstring scattering amplitudes in genus 2 by D'Hoker and Phong [18–24].

Our focus in this paper is the moduli space of genus 0 super Riemann surfaces with only NS markings: dim $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0} = (n-3 \mid n-2)$, for $n \geq 3$, since the dimension of the automorphism group $G := \mathrm{OSp}(1|2)^0$ of the super projective line $\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$ with the odd distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{1|1}}$ is equal to (3|2). Then $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ is the moduli space of n-tuples of labeled pairwise distinct points $(z_1|\zeta_1),\ldots,(z_n|\zeta_n)\in\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$, called NS punctures, up to the diagonal action of G. The group G acts transitively on triples of distinct bosonic points, and then further on pairs of odd coordinates. Moreover, fixing the values of $z_1, z_2, z_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$ to be $z_1^0, z_2^0, z_3^0, \zeta_1^0, \zeta_2^0$ for some distinct values $z_1^0, z_2^0, z_3^0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ gives a point in each G-orbit in the configuration space of n-tuples of distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$. Each G-orbit contains a unique element with these values, up to the action of the involution, which for the choice of $\zeta_1^0 = \zeta_2^0 = 0$ is the element of G that fixes the bosonic coordinate and changes the sign of the odd coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$, see [25, § 2.12] and [26, § 5.1.2]. Thus, similarly to the bosonic case, there are global coordinates $(z_4, \ldots, z_n | \zeta_3, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$, which exhibit this supermoduli space as a dense open subset of the superorbifold $\mathbb{C}^{0|1} \times (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^{n-3}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^{n-3|n-2}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ (provided one of z_1^0, z_2^0, z_3^0 is set to ∞), where \mathbb{Z}_2 acts by the involution that changes the signs of all odd coordinates simultaneously. Therefore it should be possible to write a global expression for the tree-level superstring amplitudes, for the case of only NS punctures, explicitly in these global coordinates, from first principles, without introducing ghosts or picture changing operators.

When we talk about integrals, such as (1.9), over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$, we treat them as improper integrals over a noncompact domain and ignore the isotropy group \mathbb{Z}_2 , since its only possible

contribution to the integral could be a factor of 2. The choice of whether to divide or multiply by 2 is left to the future reader, as the additivity properties of the Berezin integral over superstacks are not yet well understood.

The first principles we mentioned above are canonical isomorphisms, such as the super Mumford isomorphism (1.2), which simplifies in the case g=0. Over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$, the super vector bundle $\mathbb{E}_{1/2}$ trivializes canonically, and thus and thus so does $\operatorname{Ber}(\mathbb{E}_{1/2})$, because $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{1|1},\omega)=0$ and $H^1(\mathbb{P}^{1|1},\omega)=H^0(\mathbb{P}^{1|1},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1|1}})^*$ is canonically isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . However, in the presence of NS markings, the canonical bundle $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n,0}}$ is identified with $\operatorname{Ber}(H^0(\omega^{\otimes 3}(\operatorname{NS})))$, where NS is the total NS divisor, rather than with $\operatorname{Ber}(\mathbb{E}_{3/2})$, as in the case of no markings. With this change, the super Mumford isomorphism (1.3) gets modified to a canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ber}(\mathbb{E}_{1/2})^{\otimes 5} \otimes \operatorname{Ber}(H^0(X, \omega^{\otimes 3}(\operatorname{NS})|_{\operatorname{NS}})) = \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{q,n,0}},$$

see [7, 12]. In the g = 0 case, this simplifies to

$$Ber(H^0(X, \omega^{\otimes 3}(NS)|_{NS})) = \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}. \tag{1.4}$$

It is known that the line bundle $\omega^{\otimes 3}(NS)$ above may be replaced by any other odd line bundle via the canonical isomorphism (4.4), [1, 12]. As a consequence, we get the canonical isomorphism

$$Ber(H^0(X,\omega|_{NS})) = \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}, \qquad (1.5)$$

which will be used in the main theorem below.

In this paper we perform the computation of the tree-level NS scattering amplitudes from first principles, not surprisingly recovering the known formulas [27–30] from the physics literature. The main ingredient is the canonical determination of the holomorphic measure on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$, which we deduce by using the canonical super Mumford isomorphism; this also allows us to canonically fix the coupling constant. We show that the choice of left-moving, conformal vertex-operator insertions produces a section

$$\left\langle \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} V_{j}(z_{j}|\zeta_{j}) \left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \left| [dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \right] \right\rangle$$
(1.6)

of the line bundle $\operatorname{Ber}(H^0(X,\omega|_{\operatorname{NS}}))$ and compute its image under the isomorphism (1.5). Here we have uniformized notation and assumed $z_j=z_j^0$ for $j\leq 3$ and $\zeta_j=\zeta_j^0$ for $j\leq 2$. The vertex operators V_j represent the incoming and outgoing particle states. These are vector-valued functions, and the notation $\langle \ \rangle$ stands for the vacuum expectation value (VEV), i.e. $\langle O \rangle = \langle \Omega | O\Omega \rangle$, where Ω is the vacuum state, O any given operator having Ω in its domain, and $\langle \ | \ \rangle$ denotes the inner product on the Hilbert space of physical states.

Theorem 1. The choice of conformal vertex-operator insertions (1.6) gives for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ a section of Ber $H^0(X,\omega|_{NS})$. Then the canonical isomorphism (1.5) gives a holomorphic section of the line bundle $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}$ over the dense open subset of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ defined by forcing each NS puncture to stay within the open chart with bosonic coordinate $z \neq \infty$. This section can be expressed in global coordinates $(z_4, \ldots, z_n | \zeta_3, \ldots \zeta_n)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ as

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{n} V_j(z_j|\zeta_j) \right\rangle d\nu \,, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$d\nu = -\frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \left(z_3 - z_1 - \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3 \zeta_1 \right) \left(z_3 - z_2 - \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) \left[dz_4 \dots dz_n \, | \, d\zeta_3 \dots d\zeta_n \right]$$

and $z_j = z_j^0$, j = 1, 2, 3, and $\zeta_a = \zeta_a^0$, a = 1, 2, are fixed finite values (for any concrete purposes we can set $\zeta_1^0 = \zeta_2^0 = 0$).

If instead we set $z_1 = \infty$, or more concretely, $(w_1|\eta_1) = \left(\frac{1}{z_1}\left|\frac{\zeta_1}{z_1}\right.\right) = (0|\eta_1^0)$, and replace the vertex-operator insertion $\langle V_1(z_1|\zeta_1)\left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{NS_1} \mid [dz|d\zeta]|_{NS_1}\right]\rangle$ with

$$\langle V_1(0|\eta_1) [\eta[dw|d\eta] |_{NS_1} | [dw|d\eta] |_{NS_1}] \rangle$$
,

then the corresponding canonical section of $\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}$ on the whole supermoduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-3 \mid n-2}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ becomes

$$\left\langle V_1(0|\eta_1) \prod_{j=2}^n V_j(z_j|\zeta_j) \right\rangle d\nu , \qquad (1.8)$$

where

$$d\nu = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3 \eta_1 \right) \left(z_3 - z_2 - \frac{1}{2} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) [dz_4 \dots dz_n | d\zeta_3 \dots d\zeta_n]$$

and $\eta_1 = \eta_1^0$, $z_j = z_j^0$, j = 2, 3, and $\zeta_2 = \zeta_2^0$.

Remark 1. This theorem has an immediate application to physical observables. Define the tree-level amplitude for scattering $n \geq 3$ type II superstrings in the NS sector as

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}} \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^n V_j(z_j | \zeta_j; \bar{z}_j | \bar{\zeta}_j) \right\rangle dm, \qquad (1.9)$$

where the bar indicates complex conjugation, the vertex operators combine left- and rightmovers, i.e., are smooth, rather than holomorphic, sections of the corresponding bundles, and the measure is the product of the holomorphic and antiholomorphic factors:

$$dm = d\nu \cdot \overline{d\nu}$$
.

with $d\nu$ defined in Theorem 1. Thus we have gotten the standard expression for the scattering amplitude, usually obtained in physics in an ad hoc way by introducing ghosts and picture changing operators. We review this process in section 6 to reconcile it with our formulas. However, our formulas have the advantage of also determining the closed-string coupling constant $g_c = (1/2)^2 = 1/4$ canonically, since the super Mumford isomorphism is defined canonically, not just up to scaling.

In (1.9) we have omitted the contribution of the dilaton field ϕ , which usually gives $g_c = \langle e^{\phi} \rangle$. If we were to include the dilaton field, the coupling constant would have to be adjusted to $g_c = \frac{1}{4} \langle e^{\phi} \rangle$.

While the holomorphic forms (1.7) and (1.8) in Theorem 1 are written as an expression in terms of the chosen global coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-3|n-2}/\mathbb{Z}_2$, of course, the actual forms (1.7) or (1.8) are well-defined on the moduli space, independent of which coordinate system is chosen. The advantage of our approach is that we are able to determine the holomorphic forms (1.7) and (1.8) and measure $d\nu$ canonically from first principles, without any need for PCOs or ghosts — including the determination of the coupling constant.

Beyond being a direct mathematical computation not involving ghosts or PCOs, we hope that the current paper may be of reference value in assembling together the many mathematical pieces of the construction. We also view our computations as a stepping stone towards performing similar explicit computations for tree-level superstring scattering amplitudes with Ramond markings, where the procedure is quite different from the NS case [31]. For the Ramond case, Ott and the third author [13] have recently obtained an explicit geometric description of $\mathcal{M}_{0,0,k}$, which should enable a similarly explicit determination of superstring scattering amplitudes.

2 Basic conventions and constructions with SRSs and their moduli

In this paper, we work with super Riemann surfaces (SRSs) of genus zero, which all are isomorphic to the standard SRS of genus zero based on the projective superspace $\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$:= $\operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{C}[u,v|\xi]$ over the ground field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers, see [25, 26]. We will write X for such an SRS, when it is essential to think of the SRS, or superconformal, structure, i.e. an odd, maximally non-integrable distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$, see below. The supermanifold $\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$ may be glued out of two affine open charts $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ with coordinates $(z|\zeta) = (v/u|\xi/u)$ and $(w|\eta) = (u/v|\xi/v)$ by the gluing functions

$$w = 1/z, \qquad \eta = \zeta/z.$$

The odd distribution \mathcal{D} defining the SRS structure is generated by the super vector field

$$D_{\zeta} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} + \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tag{2.1}$$

in the chart $(z|\zeta)$ and the super vector field

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} - \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$$

in the chart $(w|\eta)$. Note that $\frac{1}{2}[D_{\zeta},D_{\zeta}]=D_{\zeta}^2=\partial/\partial z$, and this ensures the maximal non-integrability of \mathcal{D} .

A remarkable feature of super Riemann surfaces is dualism between points and divisors, making the geometry of SRSs akin to that of classical Riemann surfaces, where the fact that divisors are linear combinations of points plays a prominent role. In particular, Neveu-Schwarz (NS) punctures have their divisor avatars, and we will often switch between NS punctures and NS divisors. What creates this dualism is the structure distribution \mathcal{D} . Given a point p on a SRS X, we can define a complex subsupermanifold of dimension 0|1 supported on p, i.e., a prime divisor, with tangent space given by $\mathcal{D}|_p$. In a family of once-punctured SRSs, represented by a universal SRS \mathcal{C} over the moduli space \mathcal{M} with a section $p: \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$, regarded as a subspace of the universal SRS \mathcal{C} , the corresponding NS divisor is given as the total space, determined by its \mathcal{O}_p -algebra $S(\mathcal{D}^*|_p)$ of functions, of the odd line bundle $\mathcal{D}|_p$ over p. The inclusion of this total space is given by the projection of the conormal bundle $\mathcal{T}^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}|_p$ of p in \mathcal{C} to $\mathcal{D}^*|_p$, which is the dual of the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}$. Conversely, given a prime divisor, we can find a unique point in it so that the tangent space to the divisor at this point is given by the distribution \mathcal{D} . If f = 0 is a local equation of a prime

divisor and D is a generator of \mathcal{D} near the divisor's support, then the equations f=0 and Df=0 determine the corresponding point. In coordinates $(z|\zeta)$ in which the structure distribution is generated by D_{ζ} , see (2.1), the divisor corresponding to a point $(z_0|\zeta_0)$ is given by the equation $z-z_0-\zeta\zeta_0=0$.

We will work with families $X \to S$ of SRSs of genus zero with NS punctures over a base S. We will assume the base S to be affine, just like the supermoduli space. We will still denote the total space of the family by X. We will also denote by Π the parity change operator on a super vector space or sheaf of such.

The Berezinian Ber F of a free module F of finite rank over a supercommutative algebra is a rank-(1|0) (or (0|1), if n is odd) free module, defined as the set $[e_1, \ldots, e_m | \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n]$ of equivalence classes of homogeneous bases $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m | \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\}$ of F modulo the relation

$$[Me_1, \ldots, Me_m \,|\, M\varepsilon_1, \ldots, M\varepsilon_n] \sim (\operatorname{Ber} M) \cdot [e_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{e}_m \,|\, \varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n]$$

for each invertible linear automorphism M of the module F. We also add the zero element to Ber F to make it a module. Here Ber M is the Berezinian, or superdeterminant, of M. If, in a homogeneous basis, M is represented by a block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ into the even and odd parts, then

Ber
$$M := \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det(D)^{-1} = \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}$$
.

The Berezinian of a map of free modules is multiplicative: $Ber(M_1M_2) = Ber(M_1)Ber(M_2)$. Given a short exact sequence of free modules

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0$$
,

we also get a canonical isomorphism of the Berezinians of the modules:

$$\operatorname{Ber} F_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ber} F_1 \otimes \operatorname{Ber} F_3.$$

A standard argument extends the construction of Ber F to locally free modules and sheaves. Line bundles in the super setting will be divided into even and odd ones, depending on whether their rank is 1|0 or 0|1.

We will adopt the notation \mathcal{L}^k for the k'th tensor power $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ of a line bundle \mathcal{L} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will routinely use abbreviated notation for cohomology as well. For example, $H^i(\omega^k)$ will denote the cohomology space $H^i(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0},\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^{\otimes k})$, where $\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0} \stackrel{p}{\to} \mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ is the universal family of genus-0 SRSs with n NS punctures. In full generality, we should be working with the space $H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, R^i p_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^{\otimes k})$, but it is indeed naturally isomorphic to $H^i(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0},\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^{\otimes k})$, given that $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ is affine, being the \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of the complement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^{0|1} \times (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^{n-4}$. Likewise, the Berezinian of cohomology $B(\mathcal{F}) = \operatorname{Ber} H^*(\mathcal{F})$ will be identified with $\operatorname{Ber} H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, \operatorname{Ber}(R^{\bullet}p_*\mathcal{F}))$. See the details on the determinant and Berezinian of cohomology in [32, 33] and [1, 12], respectively.

We will denote by $(z_1|\zeta_1), \ldots, (z_n|\zeta_n)$ the coordinates of the NS punctures. To simplify notation, we will write ω for the *relative dualizing sheaf* $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}$, and, when relevant, also for its restriction, also known as the canonical bundle, to an individual SRS.

3 The super Mumford form

We recall that the super Mumford isomorphism is a canonical isomorphism $B(\omega^3) = B(\omega)^5$ over the supermoduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,0,0}$ for $g \geq 2$, [1, 34]. Here we will use the same construction to establish a canonical isomorphism over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$: $B(\omega^3) = B(\omega)^5$. To start out, and also for further use, we construct natural bases for the spaces of global sections of the powers of the relative dualizing sheaf ω .

3.1 Bases of cohomology

We denote by $t := z[dz|d\zeta]^{-1} \in H^0(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0},\omega^{-1})$ the generating section of ω^{-1} , and consider, for any positive integer k, the following short exact sequence on $\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}$:

$$0 \to \omega^k \xrightarrow{\cdot t} \omega^{k-1} \to \omega^{k-1}|_{\text{div } t} \to 0.$$

We make the maps in the associated long exact sequence of homology explicit for k=1,2,3, by first writing out the bases of the relevant spaces, and then identifying the maps on homology explicitly in these bases, so that $B_k(t)$ is the canonical isomorphism of the associated Berezinians $B(\omega^{k-1}) \simeq \Pi B(\omega^k) \otimes B(\omega^{k-1}|_{\text{div }t})$. These, suitably modified in the presence of NS markings, will be used for the computation of the super Mumford form.

Case k = 1. Here we get the exact sequence (of cohomology of sheaves over $\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}$)

$$0 = H^0(\omega) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}|_{\operatorname{div} t}) \to H^1(\omega) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}) = 0$$

The basis of $H^0(\mathcal{O})$ is $\{1 \mid \}$ — this notation is to list the even basis vectors followed by the odd basis vectors (none in this case) after the dash. Since $H^i(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ for i > 0, it follows that the Berezinian $B(\mathcal{O}) = \operatorname{Ber} H^0(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0};\mathcal{O}) = \det H^0(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0};\mathcal{O})$ has as basis the section $\operatorname{Ber}\{1 \mid \} = \det\{1 \mid \}$, which we will denote by $[1 \mid]$. Using relative super Serre duality, we see that $H^1(\omega) = H^0(\mathcal{O})^*$ on each genus zero SRS X, which globalizes since we have global coordinates on the affine superscheme $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$. Explicitly, the Serre dual to the even basis element 1 of $H^0(\mathcal{O})$ is given by $\left\{\frac{\zeta}{z}[dz|d\zeta]\mid\right\}$, and we observe that $B(\omega) = (\operatorname{Ber}(H^1(\omega)))^{-1} = \operatorname{Ber}(H^0(\mathcal{O})) = B(\mathcal{O})$ also has basis $[1 \mid]$.

The basis of $H^0(\mathcal{O}|_{\text{div }t})$ is $\{1|_{\text{div }t} | \zeta|_{\text{div }t}\}$, and thus $[1|_{\text{div }t} | \zeta|_{\text{div }t}] \in B(\mathcal{O}|_{\text{div }t})$ is the generating section of that sheaf. We can thus compute

$$B_1(t) := [1 \mid] \otimes \Pi[1 \mid] \otimes [1|_{\operatorname{div} t} \mid \zeta|_{\operatorname{div} t}]^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \Pi B(\omega) \otimes B(\mathcal{O}|_{\operatorname{div} t})^{-1}.$$

Case k = 2. Here the exact sequence of cohomology is

$$0 = H^0(\omega^2) \to H^0(\omega) \to H^0(\omega|_{\mathrm{div}\,t}) \to H^1(\omega^2) \to H^1(\omega) \to H^1(\omega|_{\mathrm{div}\,t}) \to 0$$

(where the last zero is simply for dimensional reasons). An explicit basis of $H^1(\omega^2) = H^0(\omega^{-1})^*$ can be written simply by thinking of these as suitable volume forms with poles:

$$\left\{\frac{[dz|d\zeta]^2}{z}\ \left|\ \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^2}{z}, \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^2}{z^2}\right\} = \left\{\frac{\zeta}{[dz|d\zeta]}\ \left|\ \frac{1}{[dz|d\zeta]}, \frac{z}{[dz|d\zeta]}\right\}^*\right.$$

Thus the generating section of the Berezinian $B(\omega^2) = B(\omega^{-1})$ is given by

$$\left[\frac{\zeta}{[dz|d\zeta]} \mid \frac{1}{[dz|d\zeta]}, \frac{z}{[dz|d\zeta]}\right]$$

The basis of $H^0(\omega|_{\text{div }t})$ is given by $\{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\text{div }t} \mid [dz|d\zeta]|_{\text{div }t}\}$, and we finally compute

$$B_2(t) := \Pi[1 \mid]^{-1} \otimes \left[\frac{\zeta}{[dz|d\zeta]} \mid \frac{1}{[dz|d\zeta]}, \frac{z}{[dz|d\zeta]} \right]^{-1} \otimes \left[\zeta [dz|d\zeta]|_{\operatorname{div} t} \mid [dz|d\zeta]|_{\operatorname{div} t} \right]$$

$$\in \Pi B(\omega)^{-1} \otimes B(\omega^2)^{-1} \otimes B(\omega|_{\operatorname{div} t})$$

Case k=3. In this case a basis of $H^1(\omega^3)=H^0(\omega^{-2})^*$ can be given as

$$\left\{\zeta, \zeta z, \zeta z^2 | z, z^2\right\} \cdot \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^3}$$

(where from now on we write such bases by separating out the common factor, for readability) and we have the generating section

$$\left[\frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2}, \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^3} \mid \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2}\right] \in B(\omega^3) = B(\omega^{-2}).$$

Similarly by thinking of volume forms with poles, we see that $\{[dz|d\zeta]^2|_{\text{div }t} \mid \zeta[dz|d\zeta]^2|_{\text{div }t}\}$ gives a basis of $H^0(\omega^2|_{\text{div }t})$, and thus we finally compute

$$B_{3}(t) := \left[\frac{\zeta}{[dz|d\zeta]} \mid \frac{1}{[dz|d\zeta]}, \frac{z}{[dz|d\zeta]} \right]$$

$$\otimes \Pi \left[\frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^{3}}{z}, \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^{3}}{z^{2}}, \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^{3}}{z^{3}} \mid \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^{3}}{z}, \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^{3}}{z^{2}} \right]$$

$$\otimes \left[[dz|d\zeta]^{2}|_{\operatorname{div}t} \mid \zeta[dz|d\zeta]^{2}|_{\operatorname{div}t} \right]^{-1} \in B(\omega^{2}) \otimes \Pi B(\omega^{3}) \otimes B(\omega^{2}|_{\operatorname{div}t})^{-1}.$$

3.2 A formula for the super Mumford form

We are now ready to determine explicitly the super Mumford isomorphism, recalling that unlike the bosonic case, this is not just an abstract isomorphism of line bundles, but in fact the canonical isomorphism given by the element $\mu := B_3(t) \otimes B_2(t) \otimes B_1(t)^{-2} \in B(\omega^3) \otimes B(\mathcal{O})^{-5}$, where to identify this product we recall that $B(\mathcal{O})$ is canonically isomorphic to $B(\omega)$. To obtain an expression for μ , we manipulate the formulas for $B_1(t), B_2(t), B_3(t)$ from the previous section. We obtain

$$B_2(t) \otimes B_1(t)^{-1} = \frac{\left[\zeta \left[dz | d\zeta\right] |_{\operatorname{div} t} \mid \left[dz | d\zeta\right] |_{\operatorname{div} t}\right] \otimes \left[1|_{\operatorname{div} t} \mid \zeta|_{\operatorname{div} t}\right]}{\left[1 \mid \right]^3 \otimes \left[\frac{\zeta}{\left[dz | d\zeta\right]} \mid \frac{1}{\left[dz | d\zeta\right]}, \frac{z}{\left[dz | d\zeta\right]}\right]}$$

Recall that restricting any even line bundles \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} on a SRS X to the divisor div t, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$B(\Pi \mathcal{L}|_{\text{div }t}) = B(\mathcal{L}|_{\text{div }t})^{-1}; \quad B(\mathcal{L}|_{\text{div }t}) = B(\mathcal{M}|_{\text{div }t}),$$

where the latter identification is given by multiplying by any generating holomorphic section of $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ in a neighborhood of div t. Applying this to all the restrictions in the formula above, we compute

$$B_2(t) \otimes B_1(t)^{-1} = \frac{1}{[1 \mid]^3 \otimes \left[\frac{\zeta}{[dz|d\zeta]} \mid \frac{z}{[dz|d\zeta]}, \frac{z}{[dz|d\zeta]}\right]} \in B(\mathcal{O})^{-3} \otimes B(\omega^2)^{-1}.$$

By a similar simplification of the expression for $B_3(t)$ from the previous section, we obtain

$$B_3(t) \otimes B_1(t)^{-1} = \frac{\left[\frac{\zeta}{|dz|d\zeta|} \mid \frac{1}{|dz|d\zeta|}, \frac{z}{|dz|d\zeta|}\right] \otimes \left[\frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2}, \frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^3} \mid \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2}\right]}{[1\mid]^2}$$

$$\in B(\omega^2) \otimes B(\omega^3) \otimes B(\mathcal{O})^{-2}.$$

Finally, noticing that the canonical section $[1 \mid]$ defines a global trivialization of $B(\mathcal{O})$, and can thus be omitted in the formulas above, we obtain the following expression for the super Mumford form

$$\mu = B_3(t) \otimes B_2(t) \otimes B_1(t)^{-2} = \left[\frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2}, \frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^3} \mid \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2} \right]$$
(3.1)

as a global section of the line bundle $B(\omega^3) \otimes B(\mathcal{O})^{-5} = B(\omega^3)$ over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$.

4 The super Kodaira-Spencer map over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$

We now proceed to work out the super Mumford isomorphism for the case of genus 0 SRS with NS markings, by computing the natural isomorphism given by the super Kodaira-Spencer map.

The superanalog of the Kodaira-Spencer map in the case of deformation theory of supermanifolds is well-known [9, 11, 35]. In our case this will be a map from $\mathcal{T}_X \mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$, which is a super vector space of dimension n-3|n-2, to a suitable first homology. For our purposes it will be more natural to work with the dual map, as it is more explicit to write bases for spaces of sections H^0 rather than for the first homology H^1 . We first recall the setup, and then compute the super Kodaira-Spencer map explicitly, by using an explicit cover to get the class in Čech cohomology.

4.1 The construction

For a SRS X, let $\mathcal{T}_X^{sc} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ be the sheaf of superconformal vector fields, i.e. vector fields that preserve the distribution \mathcal{D} , i.e. of $v \in \mathcal{T}_X$ such that $[v, \mathcal{D}] \subset \mathcal{D}$. coordinates, see formula (12) in Katherine Maxwell's paper https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.06625.pdf. Note that \mathcal{T}_X^{sc} is not an \mathcal{O}_X -module: the product $f \cdot v$ of $v \in \mathcal{T}_X^{sc}$ and a (local holomorphic) function $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ may not lie in \mathcal{T}_X^{sc} . However, as a sheaf of abelian groups, \mathcal{T}_X^{sc} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}$, and in fact this natural isomorphism can be used to induce an \mathcal{O}_X -module structure on \mathcal{T}_X^{sc} , see [36]. We thus consider $\mathcal{T}_X^{sc}(-\operatorname{NS}) \subset \mathcal{T}_X^{sc}$ as the subsheaf of those vector fields that preserve \mathcal{D} , and which also do not move the NS divisor NS.

The previous discussion can be globalized to consider \mathcal{D} as a subsheaf of the relative tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}}$ and then define the subsheaves $\mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}(-NS) \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}}(-NS) \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}}$ of vector fields that preserve the global \mathcal{D} and fix the global divisor NS, which we think of as an unramified effective Cartier divisor.

Altogether, this yields the exact sequence of sheaves of vector spaces

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}(-\operatorname{NS}) \to \mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}}(-\operatorname{NS}) \to p^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}} \to 0.$$

Then the super Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism is the connecting homomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}} \to R^1 p_* \mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}(-NS) = R^1 p_* \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-NS)$$

induced by the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}},$$

$$fD_{\zeta} \otimes D_{\zeta} \longmapsto f\partial_{z} + \frac{(-1)^{\tilde{f}}}{2} D_{\zeta}(f) D_{\zeta},$$

$$(4.1)$$

see [36–39]. Taking global sections, we globalize the super Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism to the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{KS}: H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}) \to H^1(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-\operatorname{NS})).$$

The super Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism over a given SRS $X \in \mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}|_X \to H^1(X,\mathcal{D}|_X^{\otimes 2}(-\operatorname{NS})).$$

To identify $H^1(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-NS))$ further, we apply Serre duality:

$$R^1 p_* \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-NS) = p_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^{\otimes 3}(NS)^*,$$

which leads to the isomorphism

$$\sigma \colon H^1(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-\operatorname{NS})) = H^0(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}, \omega^3(\operatorname{NS}))^*.$$

Combined with the super Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism, this gives the dual isomorphism:

$$p_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^{\otimes 3}(NS) \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^*,$$

which globalizes to the dual super Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism

$$KS^* \colon H^0(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^{\otimes 3}(NS)) \to H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}^*).$$

4.2 Proof of the main theorem

The rest of the paper is largely dedicated to the explicit computation of the super Kodaira-Spencer map and identification of bases for the spaces involved. Before we get into that, we would like to outline the proof of Theorem 1. Consider the following short exact sequence (SES) of sheaves over $C_{0,n,0}$, by restricting to the NS divisor:

$$0 \to \omega^3 \to \omega^3(NS) \to \omega^3(NS)|_{NS} \to 0.$$
 (4.2)

This is the analog for a divisor with multiple components of the case k=3 of the SES described in section 3. This SES gives a canonical isomorphism of the Berezinian line bundles:

$$B(\omega^3) \otimes B(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS}) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\omega^3(NS))$$
,

which we are going to identify explicitly. Since $H^0(\omega^3) = 0$, and $H^1(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS}) = 0$, as the relative (topological) dimension of the NS divisor over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ is 0 and $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ is affine, the associated exact sequence in cohomology is simply

$$0 \to H^0(\omega^3(NS)) \to H^0(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS}) \to H^1(\omega^3) \to 0.$$
 (4.3)

The choice of any even generating section of the line bundle $\omega^2(NS)$ in an affine open neighborhood of the divisor NS yields an isomorphism

$$B(\omega|_{\rm NS}) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\omega^3({\rm NS})|_{\rm NS}),$$
 (4.4)

which does not depend on the choice of the section and is thereby canonical, see [1, 12]. provided none of the NS punctures is at ∞ , and the Berezinian line bundle $B(\omega^3(\text{NS})|_{\text{NS}})$. Thus, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\varphi: B(\omega^3) \otimes B(\omega|_{\rm NS}) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\omega^3({\rm NS})).$$

In appendix A we provide further details and compute the image of the super Mumford form $\mu \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, B(\omega^3))$, given by (3.1), tensored with a global section of $B(\omega|_{NS})$ arising from vertex-operator insertions, under the isomorphism φ , see Proposition 6:

$$\varphi\left(\mu \otimes \left\langle \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} V_{j}(z_{j}|\zeta_{j}) \left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \left| [dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \right] \right\rangle \right) \\
= \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{n} V_{j}(z_{j}|\zeta_{j}) \right\rangle \operatorname{Ber}\left(\left\{ \zeta, \zeta z, \dots, \zeta z^{n-4} \, | \, 1, \dots, z^{n-3} \right\} \cdot \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^{3}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - z_{j} - \zeta \zeta_{j})} \right),$$

where $(z_1|\zeta_1)$, ..., $(z_1|\zeta_1)$ are the NS punctures. In section 5 we explain how vertexoperator insertions make up a section of $B(\omega|_{NS})$. The Berezinian of the dual super Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism, computed in the remaining part of section 4, with detailed linear algebra computations given in appendix B, naturally identifies $B(\omega^3(NS))$ with the space $H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0},\omega_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}) = H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0},\operatorname{Ber}(\mathcal{T}^*_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}))$ of holomorphic volume forms on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$:

Ber(KS*):
$$B(\omega^3(NS)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, \omega_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}).$$

Proposition 4 below gives an explicit computation,

$$d\nu := -\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}(z_3 - z_1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3\zeta_1)(z_3 - z_2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3\zeta_2)[dz_4 \dots dz_n \,|\, d\zeta_3 \dots d\zeta_n]\,,$$

of the image

$$\operatorname{Ber}(\operatorname{KS}^*) \left(\operatorname{Ber} \left(\{ \zeta, \zeta z, \dots, \zeta z^{n-4} \, | \, 1, \dots, z^{n-3} \} \cdot \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j)} \right) \right)$$

under the isomorphism Ber(KS*), when all the NS punctures are finite, and yields Theorem 1. The case $z_1 = \infty$ is treated in appendix C.

4.3 The Čech cohomology computation

First, note that for computations with supermanifolds, it is enough to take an acyclic (Leray) cover of the underlying bosonic manifold. Below is a precise statement, which is surely known to seasoned supergeometeres, though we could not find it in the literature.

For a topological space X and a sheaf \mathcal{F} on it, recall that an open cover $\{U_i\}$ is called Leray with respect to \mathcal{F} if for the derived-functor cohomology, we have $H^q(U_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_p}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all finite sets $\{i_1, \ldots, i_p\}$ of indices and all q > 0. In this case, the derived-functor cohomology $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ will agree with the Čech coholomogy of \mathcal{F} with respect to this cover, justifying using the same notation for both.

Lemma 2 (Folklore).

- 1) If X is a separated superscheme of finite type and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X, then any cover of the underlying scheme X_{red} by affine open schemes is Leray with respect to \mathcal{F} .
- 2) If X is a complex supermanifold and \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf on X, then any cover of the underlying manifold X_{red} by open Stein manifolds is Leray with respect to \mathcal{F} .

This lemma follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 3 (Folklore).

- 1) If X is a superscheme of finite type whose reduction X_{red} is affine and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X, then $H^q(X,\mathcal{F})=0$ for all q>0.
- 2) If X is a complex supermanifold whose reduction X_{red} is Stein and \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf on X, then $H^q(X,\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all q > 0.
- Proof. (1) Let $J_X = ((\mathcal{O}_X)_1)$ be the ideal sheaf of odd nilpotents on the superscheme X. If X is of finite type and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on it, then \mathcal{F} is a finite iterated extension by quasi-coherent sheaves $J_X^n \mathcal{F}/J_X^{n+1} \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{red}}} = \mathcal{O}_X/J_X$ -modules, whose higher cohomology vanishes by the algebraic version of Cartan's theorem B, see [40, Theorem III.3.7]. Therefore, by downward induction on n, the higher cohomology of \mathcal{F} on X will also vanish.
- (2) The same argument works, except that Cartan's theorem B itself is applied to the coherent sheaves $J_X^n \mathcal{F}/J_X^{n+1} \mathcal{F}$ on X_{red} .

Remark 2. By a straightforward super generalization of Serre's theorem on affineness, it follows that if X is a superscheme of finite type whose reduction X_{red} is affine, then X is affine as a superscheme.

To make formulas more symmetric, we will perform the computation below while setting the points z_1^0, z_2^0, z_3^0 to be finite. (The case $z_1^0 = \infty$ is treated in appendix C.) Recall that while all these 3 bosonic coordinates along with the corresponding fermionic coordinates ζ_1^0 and ζ_2^0 can be fixed by the action of $\mathrm{OSp}(1|2)^0$, the ζ_3 remains free, and in anticipation of this we will be taking one "unnecessary" open set, W_3 , to handle this.

We will compute the super Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\mathrm{KS}: H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0},\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}) \to H^1(\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0},\mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-\operatorname{NS}))$$

in Čech cohomology. Since the super Kodaira-Spencer map is a morphism of sheaves over $(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0})_{\text{red}} = M_{0,n}$, we may compute it locally on $M_{0,n}$, which may be identified with an

open subset of \mathbb{C}^{n-3} . For any point $(z_4^0,\ldots,z_n^0)\in M_{0,n}$, we can choose $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small so that the ball $B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(z_4^0,\ldots,z_n^0)$ in \mathbb{C}^{n-3} of radius $\varepsilon/2$ around this point is contained in $M_{0,n}$, which is to say that within this ball all the n-4 bosonic points stay distinct, and also distinct from $z_1=z_1^0,\ z_2=z_2^0$ and $z_3=z_3^0$. Then, working over this ball in the universal family $p\colon \mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}\to \mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ of SRSs, we cover the preimage $p_{\mathrm{red}}^{-1}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(z_4^0,\ldots,z_n^0))\subset (\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0})_{\mathrm{red}}$ by the following open subsets.

First recall that the fibers of $p_{\rm red}$ are simply copies of \mathbb{P}^1 , since in the universal curve the point is allowed to coincide with one of z_1^0,\ldots,z_n^0 . We denote by $U_j\subset\mathbb{C},\ j=3,\ldots,n$, the open radius- ε disk around z_j^0 (adjusting ε , if necessary, to make sure that the disks are all disjoint), and denote by U_0 the complement in \mathbb{P}^1 of the union of closed radius- $\varepsilon/2$ disks around these points. Then $\mathbb{P}^1=U_0\cup U_3\cup\cdots\cup U_n$, and we now construct a suitable cover of $p_{\rm red}^{-1}(B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(z_4^0,\ldots,z_n^0))$ by the open sets $W_{\bullet}:=U_{\bullet}\times B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(z_4^0,\ldots,z_n^0)$. We see that the open cover $\{W_0,W_3,\ldots,W_n\}$ is such that the only nonempty intersections are the pairwise intersections between W_0 and W_j , since ε was sufficiently small $(\varepsilon<\min\{|z_j-z_k|,\ j< k\})$. Moreover, these pairwise intersections are simply open annuli, and thus Stein, and thereby acyclic for coherent sheaves. We further take the open subsupermanifolds of $\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}$ based on each of these open subsets.

We utilize the same coordinates $(z_4, \ldots, z_n | \zeta_3, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ on the superdomain in $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ over the common factor $B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(z_4^0, \ldots, z_n^0)$ of all W_j 's and augment them with coordinates built on the standard affine coordinates $(z|\zeta)$ on the superdomains in $\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$ over the factors U_j , $3 \leq j \leq n$. More precisely, we put over W_j the coordinates

$$(z_4,\ldots,z_n|\zeta_3,\ldots,\zeta_n;w_j|\eta_j),$$

where

$$(w_3|\eta_3) = (z - z_3^0 - \zeta \zeta_3|\zeta - \zeta_3), (w_i|\eta_i) = (z - z_i - \zeta \zeta_i|\zeta - \zeta_i), \quad j \ge 4.$$
(4.5)

Since our open subsets and their intersections (pairwise, as triple are all empty) are Stein manifolds, they are acyclic for cohomology with coefficients in coherent sheaves of \mathcal{O} -modules on the corresponding open subsupermanifolds. Therefore this atlas can be used to compute Čech cohomology. We thus compute the super Kodaira-Spencer map from (4.5) in this atlas as

$$\partial_{z_{j}} \stackrel{\mathrm{KS}}{\longmapsto} \left\{ -\delta_{kj} \partial_{w_{j}} \in \Gamma(W_{0} \cap W_{k}, \mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}(-\operatorname{NS})) \right\}_{k=3}^{n}, \qquad j=4,\ldots,n,$$

$$\partial_{\zeta_{a}} \stackrel{\mathrm{KS}}{\longmapsto} \left\{ -\delta_{ka} (\partial_{\eta_{a}} - \zeta \partial_{w_{a}}) = \delta_{ka} ((2\eta_{a} + \zeta_{a}) \partial_{w_{a}} - D_{\eta_{a}}) \in \Gamma(W_{0} \cap W_{k}, \mathcal{T}^{sc}_{\mathcal{C}_{0,n,0}/\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}}(-\operatorname{NS})) \right\}_{k=3}^{n},$$

$$a = 3,\ldots,n.$$

As in the classical case of families of complex manifolds, see [41, section 4.2(a)] and [42, eq. (1.33)], the above super Kodaira-Spencer map is given by the *infinitesimal deformations* of the fiber of the universal SRS $C_{0,n,0}$ in the z_j and ζ_a directions on the supermoduli space $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$. Composing with the isomorphism (4.1), we get

$$\partial_{z_{j}} \stackrel{\text{KS}}{\longmapsto} \left\{ -\delta_{kj} D_{\eta_{j}} \otimes D_{\eta_{j}} \in \Gamma(W_{0} \cap W_{k}, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-NS)) \right\}_{k=3}^{n}, \qquad j = 4, \dots, n, \\
\partial_{\zeta_{a}} \stackrel{\text{KS}}{\longmapsto} \left\{ \delta_{ka} ((2\eta_{a} + \zeta_{a}) D_{\eta_{a}} \otimes D_{\eta_{a}}) \in \Gamma(W_{0} \cap W_{k}, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-NS)) \right\}_{k=3}^{n}, \qquad a = 3, \dots, n.$$
(4.6)

4.4 The dual map

While we have an explicit basis for $H^0(\omega^3(NS))$, we want to compute the super Kodaira-Spencer map in coordinates $(z_4, \ldots, z_n | \zeta_3, \ldots, \zeta_n)$ on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$. Equivalently, we can make explicit the dual map isomorphism

KS*:
$$H^0(\omega^3(NS)) \to H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, \mathcal{T}^*_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}})$$

obtained by combining the super Kodaira-Spencer map with Serre duality and applying linear duality. The Serre duality isomorphism

$$\sigma: H^1(X, \mathcal{D}^{\otimes 2}(-\operatorname{NS})) \longrightarrow H^0(\omega^{\otimes 3}(\operatorname{NS}))^*$$

is given by

$$\sigma(fD_{\zeta}\otimes D_{\zeta})(\lambda) = \operatorname{Res}\left[\iota_{fD_{\zeta}\otimes D_{\zeta}}\lambda\right].$$

The contraction can be easily computed once we notice that

$$\iota_{D_{\zeta}}[dz|d\zeta] = -1. \tag{4.7}$$

A nice way to prove (4.7) is by using the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D} \to 0$$

and the isomorphism $\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{D}$. Indeed, note that $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right]$ is a local basis of Ber \mathcal{T} , and compute

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\right] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mid D_{\zeta}\right] = \left[D_{\zeta}^{2} \mid D_{\zeta}\right] = D_{\zeta} \in \operatorname{Ber} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}.$$

Therefore, $[dz|d\zeta] = D_{\zeta}^* \in \mathcal{D}^* = \text{Ber } \mathcal{T}^* = \omega_X$, which means $[dz|d\zeta](D_{\zeta}) = 1$ and implies (4.7). So, the composition of Serre duality with the super Kodaira-Spencer map (4.6) gives

$$\sigma \circ \text{KS: } \partial_{z_j} \longmapsto \sigma(-D_{\zeta} \otimes D_{\zeta}|_{W_0 \cap W_j}), \quad j = 4, \dots, n,$$

$$\sigma \circ \text{KS: } \partial_{\zeta_a} \longmapsto \sigma((2\eta_a + \zeta_a)D_{\zeta} \otimes D_{\zeta}|_{W_0 \cap W_a}), \quad a = 3, \dots, n,$$

$$(4.8)$$

where we take into account that $D_{\eta_j} = D_{\zeta}|_{W_0 \cap W_j}$, which follows from (4.5). We want to evaluate σ explicitly on the basis (A.6) for $H^0(\omega^3(NS))$, described in Proposition 6. For convenience, we rewrite that basis in the form

$$\left\{ \zeta z^a \middle| z^b \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\zeta_k \zeta}{z - z_k} \right) \right\} \cdot \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j)},\tag{4.9}$$

where b = 0, ..., n-3 and a = 0, ..., n-4. In this formula, and until the end of this section, to simplify notation, we will simply write z_1, z_2, z_3 instead of z_1^0, z_2^0, z_3^0 and ζ_1, ζ_2 instead of ζ_1^0, ζ_2^0 . We stress that these are fixed points in \mathbb{C} , not free bosonic coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$ like $z_4, ..., z_n$ (and we still do not allow them to take infinite value, except in appendix \mathbb{C}). However, as they appear symmetrically in the formulas, we will avoid using the superscript 0 here and in the appendices.

We compute

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(-D_{\zeta}\otimes D_{\zeta}|_{W_0\cap W_i}\right) \left(\frac{z^a\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n(z-z_j)}\right) &= \operatorname{Res}|_{z=z_i} \left[\frac{-z^a\zeta[dz|d\zeta]}{\prod_{j=1}^n(z-z_j)}\right] = \frac{-z_i^a}{\prod_{k\neq i}(z_i-z_k)},\\ \sigma\left(-D_{\zeta}\otimes D_{\zeta}|_{W_0\cap W_i}\right) \left(\frac{z^b[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n(z-z_j)}\left(1-\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{\zeta_k\zeta}{z-z_k}\right)\right) &= \operatorname{Res}|_{z=z_i} \left[\frac{z^b[dz|d\zeta]}{\prod_{j=1}^n(z-z_j)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{\zeta_k\zeta}{z-z_k}\right)\right]\\ &= \frac{z_i^b}{\prod_{j\neq i}(z_i-z_j)}\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\zeta_k}{z_i-z_k} + \zeta_i\frac{d}{dz}_{z=z_i}\frac{z^b}{\prod_{j\neq i}(z-z_j)}\\ &= \frac{z_i^b}{\prod_{j\neq i}(z_i-z_j)}\sum_{k\neq i}\frac{\zeta_k-\zeta_i}{z_i-z_k} + \frac{b\zeta_iz_i^{b-1}}{\prod_{j\neq i}(z_i-z_j)} \end{split}$$

for i = 4, ..., n and, similarly,

$$\sigma\left((2\eta_c + \zeta_c)D_\zeta \otimes D_\zeta|_{W_0 \cap W_c}\right) \left(\frac{z^a \zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n (z-z_j)}\right) = \frac{-z_c^a \zeta_c}{\prod_{k \neq c} (z_c - z_k)},$$

$$\sigma\left((2\eta_c + \zeta_c)D_\zeta \otimes D_\zeta|_{W_0 \cap W_c}\right) \left(\frac{z^b[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n (z-z_j)} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\zeta_k \zeta}{z-z_k}\right)\right) = \frac{2z_c^b}{\prod_{j \neq c} (z_c - z_j)} + \frac{z_c^b}{\prod_{j \neq c} (z_c - z_j)} \sum_{k \neq c} \frac{\zeta_c \zeta_k}{z_c - z_k}$$

for $c = 3, \ldots, n$.

4.5 The Berezinian of the dual map

Proposition 4. The isomorphism Ber(KS*) maps Ber $(\{\zeta, \zeta z, ..., \zeta z^{n-4} | 1, ..., z^{n-3}\})$ $\frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n (z-z_j-\zeta\zeta_j)}$ to $-\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}(z_3-z_1-\frac{1}{2}\zeta_3\zeta_1)(z_3-z_2-\frac{1}{2}\zeta_3\zeta_2)[dz_4, ..., dz_n | d\zeta_3, ..., d\zeta_n].$

Proof. We compute the dual super Kodaira-Spencer map KS* explicitly to be given by the following matrix in the dual bases (A.6) of $H^0(\omega^3(NS))$ and $\{dz_4, \ldots, dz_n \mid d\zeta_3, \ldots, d\zeta_n\}$ of $H^0(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, \mathcal{T}^*_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}})$:

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

where A is an $(n-3) \times (n-3)$ matrix with entries

$$A_{jk} = \frac{-z_{j+3}^{k-1}}{\prod_{l \neq j+3} (z_{j+3} - z_l)}$$

with $1 \le l \le n$ and $1 \le j, k \le n-3$; B is an $(n-3) \times (n-2)$ matrix with entries

$$B_{jb} = \frac{z_{j+3}^{b-1}}{\prod_{l \neq j+3} (z_{j+3} - z_l)} \left(-\sum_{i \neq j+3} \frac{\zeta_{j+3} - \zeta_i}{z_{j+3} - z_i} + (b-1) \frac{\zeta_{j+3}}{z_{j+3}} \right)$$

with $1 \le l \le n$ and $1 \le j \le n-3$, $1 \le b \le n-2$; C is an $(n-2) \times (n-3)$ matrix with entries

$$C_{ak} = \frac{\zeta_{a+2} z_{a+2}^{k-1}}{\prod_{l \neq a+2} (z_{a+2} - z_l)}$$

with $1 \le l \le n$ and $1 \le a \le n-2$, $1 \le k \le n-3$; and D is an $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ matrix with entries

$$D_{ab} = -\frac{z_{a+2}^{b-1}}{\prod_{l \neq a+2} (z_{a+2} - z_l)} \left(2 + \sum_{i \neq a+2} \frac{\zeta_{a+2}\zeta_i}{z_{a+2} - z_i} \right)$$

with $1 \le l \le n$ and $1 \le a \le n-2$, $1 \le b \le n-2$. In the end, it is the Berezinian of M_n what we need, and we determine it by a direct computation.

Lemma 5. The Berezinian of the map M_n is given by

Ber
$$M_n = \frac{\det(A)}{\det(D - CA^{-1}B)} = -\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}(z_3 - z_1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3\zeta_1)(z_3 - z_2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3\zeta_2).$$

Appendix B is dedicated to the proof of this lemma. The proposition follows. \Box

5 Vertex-operator insertions

Here we discuss vertex-operator insertions and argue that they define a section of the line bundle $B(\omega|_{NS})$. Our construction in principle follows the lines suggested by Witten [43, appendix A] for the moduli space $M_{q,1}$ of ordinary Riemann surfaces with one puncture, but the case of Neveu-Schwarz punctures on a super Riemann surfaces seems to be considerably subtler. A vertex operator is usually understood as representing the asymptotic state of an incoming (at time $t=-\infty$), or outgoing (at time $t=+\infty$) particle, with given spacetime momentum, spin, and other possible quantum numbers. After integration over spacetime, the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the vertex operator becomes a section of the contangent bundle of the worldsheet (a super Riemann surface) of the propagating superstring, which presents itself as a boundary component of the SRS. The assumed superconformal invariance of the vertex operator means that the vertex operator is a cotangent vector to the super Riemann surface X at the puncture replacing the boundary component. This puncture can be of Ramond or Neveu-Schwarz type, but in this paper, we focus on NS punctures. Let us also consider only conformal, i.e., left-moving, vertex operators, as a start, to keep doing complex analytic geometry as long as possible. The holomorphic cotangent bundle \mathcal{T}_X^* on X is a rank-(1|1) super vector bundle, which fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathcal{D}^*)^{\otimes 2} \to \mathcal{T}_X^* \to \mathcal{D}^* \to 0,$$

dual to (1.1). Recall that $\mathcal{D}^* = \omega_X$ and restrict this sequence to the NS puncture $p \in X$:

$$0 \to \omega_X^{\otimes 2} \mid_p \to \mathcal{T}_X^* \mid_p \to \omega_X \mid_p \to 0.$$

If two cotangent vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{T}_X^*|_p$ form a basis such that v_1 generates $\omega_X^{\otimes 2}|_p$ and v_2 projects to a generator of $\omega_X|_p$, then their Berezinian $[v_1|v_2]$ is a vector in Ber $\mathcal{T}_X^*|_p = \omega_X|_p$.

This vector is a *vertex-operator insertion*, which is meant to have been integrated over the field configurations (to produce a VEV) and is usually denoted with extra brackets: $\langle [v_1|v_2]\rangle$.

We claim the vertex-operator insertion $[v_1|v_2] \in \omega_X|_p$ gives rise canonically to a vector in the odd line Ber $H^0(\mathrm{NS}_p, \omega_X|_{\mathrm{NS}_p})$. Let NS_p be the NS divisor corresponding to the NS puncture p. The NS divisor is determined by its algebra of functions $\mathcal{O}_X|_{\mathrm{NS}_p} = S(\mathcal{D}^*|_p) = \mathcal{O}_X|_p \oplus \mathcal{D}^*|_p$, see section 2. Tensoring this algebra with $\omega_X|_{\mathrm{NS}_p}$ over $\mathcal{O}_X|_{\mathrm{NS}_p} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{NS}_p}$, we get

$$\omega_X|_{\mathrm{NS}_p} = \omega_X|_p \oplus \omega_X^{\otimes 2}|_p$$

whence we see that $\operatorname{Ber} H^0(\operatorname{NS}_p, \omega_X|_{\operatorname{NS}_p}) = \omega_X^{\otimes 2}|_p \otimes \omega_X^{-1}|_p = \omega_X|_p$. Thus, the vertex-operator insertion $[v_1|v_2] \in \omega_X|_p$ can naturally be regarded as a vector in $B(\omega_X|_{\operatorname{NS}_p}) = \operatorname{Ber} H^0(\operatorname{NS}_p, \omega_X|_{\operatorname{NS}_p})$. In the above, we have made sure to avoid splitting super vector spaces and sheaves into even and odd components, which allows the argument to easily generalize to families of super Riemann surfaces. For the universal family $\mathcal{C}_{g,1,0} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,1,0}$, a vertex-operator insertion becomes a section $V(p) = [v_1|v_2] \in H^0(\mathcal{M}_{g,1,0}, B(\omega|_{\operatorname{NS}_p}))$, where p is understood as a section $p: \mathcal{M}_{g,1,0} \to \mathcal{C}_{g,1,0}$ of the universal family.

When we have n NS punctures $p_1, \ldots p_n$, the corresponding total NS divisor is the sum NS = NS $_{p_1} + \ldots + NS_{p_n}$, and the vertex-operator insertions $V_1(p_1), \ldots, V_n(p_n)$ multiply to produce a section $\bigotimes_{j=1}^n V_j(p_j)$ of $\operatorname{Ber}(\omega|_{\operatorname{NS}}) = \operatorname{Ber}(\omega|_{\operatorname{NS}}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Ber}(\omega|_{\operatorname{NS}})$. If $(z|\zeta)$ are holomorphic coordinates near the j'th NS puncture $p_j = (z_j|\zeta_j)$, where $\left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\operatorname{NS}_j} |[dz|d\zeta]|_{\operatorname{NS}_j}\right]$ gives a basis of $\operatorname{Ber}(\omega|_{\operatorname{NS}_j})$, then $V_j(p_j)$ may be expressed as $V_j(z_j|\zeta_j) \left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\operatorname{NS}_j} |[dz|d\zeta]|_{\operatorname{Vert}_{\operatorname{NS}_j}}\right]$, whence the product of these gives a section

$$\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} V_{j}(z_{j}|\zeta_{j}) \Big[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \mid [dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \Big] \in H^{0}(\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}, \mathrm{Ber}(\omega|_{\mathrm{NS}})),$$

as claimed in (1.6), where we used the brackets $\langle \ \rangle$ there to stress that it includes integration over the spacetime configurations.

6 Tree-level scattering amplitudes in the physics literature

To better understand the physics context of our results and match them with the well-known computations, we review how the holomorphic measure $d\nu$ arises in the determination the superstring scattering amplitudes in the physics literature for the type IIB superstring theory. The matter superfields in terms of the holomorphic coordinates $(z|\zeta)$ on a SRS and the antiholomorphic coordinates $(\bar{z}|\bar{\zeta})$ on the complex conjugate SRS are given by

$$\mathbf{X}^{\mu}(z|\zeta;\bar{z}|\bar{\zeta}) = X_{L}^{\mu}(z) + X_{R}^{\mu}(\bar{z}) + \zeta\psi^{\mu}(z) + \bar{\zeta}\bar{\psi}^{\mu}(\bar{z}). \tag{6.1}$$

In the usual approach to superstring scattering amplitudes one also introduces the superghost fields

$$B(z|\zeta) = b(z) + \zeta \beta(z), \qquad C(z|\zeta) = c(z) + \zeta \gamma(z),$$

$$\bar{B}(\bar{z}|\bar{\zeta}) = \bar{b}(\bar{z}) + \bar{\zeta}\bar{\beta}(\bar{z}), \qquad \bar{C}(\bar{z}|\bar{\zeta}) = \bar{c}(\bar{z}) + \bar{\zeta}\bar{\gamma}(\bar{z}).$$

In order to compute the scattering amplitude among n physical states, one inserts and then integrates superconformal vertex operators of conformal weight (1|1) at the punctures. However, to eliminate the zero modes of the superghosts and get sensible path integrals, one must then also introduce picture changing operators (PCO), necessary to get a sensible nontrivial measure (allowing for nonvanishing result). It turns out that the vertex operators can then be taken in the form

$$\mathcal{V}(z|\zeta;\bar{z}|\bar{\zeta}) = c(z)\bar{c}(\bar{z})\delta(\gamma(z))\delta(\bar{\gamma}(\bar{z}))V(z|\zeta;\bar{z}|\bar{\zeta})\,,$$

where V is a superconformal field of conformal weight (1|1), and the delta distributions are meant to ensure that the γ components vanish at the puncture. When computing the amplitudes, for $n \geq 3$, one has also to introduce the insertion of $\delta(\beta)\delta(\bar{\beta})$, conventionally chosen at $(z_3|\zeta_3,\bar{z}_3|\bar{\zeta}_3)$, which can be used to eliminate $\delta(\gamma(z_3))\delta(\bar{\gamma}(\bar{z}_3))$. For $n \geq 4$, n-3 vertices can be replaced by integrated vertices

$$K_{V_j} = \int_{\Sigma} d\zeta_j d\bar{\zeta}_j dz_j d\bar{z}_j \ V_j(z_j|\zeta_j;\bar{z}_j|\bar{\zeta}_j) \,,$$

where Σ is the genus zero super Riemann surface underlying the scattering representation, so that we can write for the amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_{n} = \left\langle c\bar{c}\delta(\gamma)V_{1}(z_{1}^{0}|0;\bar{z}_{1}^{0}|0)c\bar{c}\delta(\gamma)V_{2}(z_{2}^{0}|0;\bar{z}_{2}^{0}|0)c\bar{c}\delta(\gamma)D_{\zeta_{3}}D_{\bar{\zeta}_{3}}V_{3}(z_{3}^{0}|0;\bar{z}_{3}^{0}|0)\prod_{j=4}^{n}K_{V_{j}}\right\rangle,$$

where $\langle ... \rangle$ means the VEV. In particular, the first three bosonic coordinates are fixed at the arbitrary values z_1^0, z_2^0, z_3^0 , while the first two odd variables are conventionally fixed at $\zeta_a = 0$, a = 1, 2. Notice that the ghosts disappeared from the integrated vertices so they factor in the VEV as

$$k_{gh} = \left\langle c\bar{c}\delta(\gamma)(z_1^0; \bar{z}_1^0)c\bar{c}\delta(\gamma)(z_2^0; \bar{z}_2^0)c\bar{c}\delta(\gamma)(z_3^0; \bar{z}_3^0) \right\rangle.$$

A simple physics calculation using bosonization and operator product expansion (OPE) then yields

$$k_{gh} = (z_3^0 - z_1^0)(z_3^0 - z_2^0)(\bar{z}_3^0 - \bar{z}_1^0)(\bar{z}_3^0 - \bar{z}_2^0),$$

and we remark that the amplitude can then be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{A}_{n} = g_{c}^{n-2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}} \left\langle V_{1}(z_{1}^{0}|0; \bar{z}_{1}^{0}|0) V_{2}(z_{2}^{0}|0; \bar{z}_{2}^{0}|0) V_{3}(z_{3}^{0}|\zeta_{3}; \bar{z}_{3}^{0}|\bar{\zeta}_{3}) \prod_{j=4}^{n} V_{j}(z_{j}|\zeta_{j}; \bar{z}_{j}|\bar{\zeta}_{j}) \right\rangle Dm,$$

$$(6.2)$$

where g_c denotes the closed string coupling and the integration measure is

$$Dm = k_{ah}[dz_4 \dots dz_n|d\zeta_3 \dots d\zeta_n][d\bar{z}_4 \dots d\bar{z}_n|d\bar{\zeta}_3 \dots d\bar{\zeta}_n].$$

Direct comparison with (1.9) allows us to identify $g_c = 1/4$ (when neglecting the dilaton field).

7 Conclusion

We can now compare our results with the standard derivation of tree-level NS amplitudes available in the literature. Instead of deducing the amplitude formula (6.2) by constructing a measure through the introduction of ghosts and PCOs, we have suggested the slightly more general formula (1.9), containing only the physical fields and the canonical measure, as determined by the super Mumford isomorphism over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$. By comparing the two formulas, we see that they coincide after replacing g_c by $\frac{\langle e^{\phi} \rangle}{4}$, if we include the dilaton contribution in (1.9).

Acknowledgments

The second author is grateful to Luis Álvarez-Gaumé for sharing his knowledge of the subject and for literature references. The third author thanks Mikhail Kapranov and Katherine Maxwell for useful discussions and patience during the work on parallel projects. The first and third authors would like to thank the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics for hospitality in Spring 2023 during the "Supergeometry and supermoduli" program, where all the authors started their attempt to reach a complete mathematical understanding of genus zero superstring scattering amplitudes.

Research of the second author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-21-01631. Research of the third author is supported in part by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan, and Collaboration grant #585720 from the Simons Foundation.

A The super Mumford form over $\mathcal{M}_{0,n,0}$

Here we compute the image of the super Mumford form

$$\mu = \left[\frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2}, \frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^3} \mid \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z}, \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^2} \right] \in B(\omega^3), \tag{A.1}$$

see (3.1), tensored with a global section

$$\left\langle \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} V_{j}(z_{j}|\zeta_{j}) \left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta] vert_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \middle| [dz|d\zeta] \middle|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \right] \right\rangle \in B(\omega|_{\mathrm{NS}})$$

arising from vertex-operator insertions, under the isomorphism

$$\varphi \colon B(\omega^3) \otimes B(\omega|_{NS}) \to B(\omega^3(NS)),$$
 (A.2)

which comes from the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega^3 \xrightarrow{f} \omega^3(\text{NS}) \xrightarrow{g} \omega^3(\text{NS})|_{\text{NS}} \to 0$$
 (A.3)

and the canonical isomorphism (4.4):

$$B(\omega|_{\rm NS}) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\omega^3({\rm NS})|_{\rm NS}).$$
 (A.4)

Even though all the isomorphisms are defined in a canonical, coordinate-independent way, since we want to perform explicit computations, we work with the standard affine coordinates $(z|\zeta)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1|1}$. Note that the isomorphisms are linear over holomorphic functions, such as $\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{n} V_j(z_j|\zeta_j) \right\rangle$, on the supermoduli space and, therefore, it is enough to compute the image of

$$\mu \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \mid [dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \right]$$
(A.5)

under φ .

Proposition 6. The image of (A.5) under the isomorphism φ of (A.2) is the Berezinian of the following basis of $H^0(\omega^3(NS))$:

$$\{\zeta, \zeta z, \dots, \zeta z^{n-4} \mid 1, \dots, z^{n-3}\} \cdot \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{\prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j)}.$$
 (A.6)

Proof. For each j = 1, ..., n, multiplication by the generator $\frac{[dz|d\zeta]^2}{(z-z_j-\zeta\zeta_j)}$ of $\omega^2(NS)$ (in a small enough open neighborhood of the jth NS puncture) maps the basis

$$\left\{ \zeta[dz|d\zeta] \left|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \right| \left[dz|d\zeta] \right|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \right\}$$

of $H^0(\omega|_{\mathrm{NS}_i})$ to the basis

$$\left\{ \frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j} \bigg|_{\text{NS}_i} \left| \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j} \bigg|_{\text{NS}_i} \right\}$$
(A.7)

of $H^0(\omega^3(\mathrm{NS})|_{\mathrm{NS}_j})$, where the "restriction" $|_{\mathrm{NS}_j}$ means considering a local section of $\omega^3(\mathrm{NS})$ modulo the subsheaf $(z-z_j-\zeta\zeta_j)\,\omega^3(\mathrm{NS})$. This maps the Berezinian

$$\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \middle| [dz|d\zeta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_{j}} \right]$$
(A.8)

of the basis of $H^0(\omega_{\rm NS})$ to the Berezinian

$$\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\zeta [dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j} \Big|_{\text{NS}_i} \right| \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j} \Big|_{\text{NS}_i} \right]$$
(A.9)

of the basis of $H^0(\omega^3(NS)_{NS})$ and induces the canonical isomorphism (A.4) on the Berezinians of the cohomology. It remains to show that the canonical isomorphism

$$B(\omega^3) \otimes B(\omega^3(\mathrm{NS})|_{\mathrm{NS}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\omega^3(\mathrm{NS}))$$
 (A.10)

induced by (A.3) matches the super Mumford form μ , the Berezinian (A.9), and the Berezinian of the basis (A.6):

$$\mu \otimes (A.9) \mapsto [(A.6)].$$
 (A.11)

We will work with the long exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \to H^0(\omega^3(NS)) \xrightarrow{g} H^0(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\omega^3) \to 0$$
(A.12)

associated with the short exact sequence (A.3) of sheaves. In terms of the sequence (A.12), the isomorphism (A.10) rewrites as

$$\operatorname{Ber}(H^0(\omega^3(\operatorname{NS})|_{\operatorname{NS}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Ber}(H^1(\omega^3)) \otimes \operatorname{Ber}(H^0(\omega^3(\operatorname{NS}))).$$

We will describe the relation between the bases of the three terms of (A.12) and the resulting relation between their Berezinians.

The map g in (A.12) is obtained by restricting the elements of the basis (A.6) to the divisor NS. This gives the following elements of $H^0(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS})$:

$$\bigoplus_{j} \frac{\zeta z_j^a}{\prod_{k \neq j} (z_j - z_k)} \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j} \bigg|_{NS_j}, \qquad 0 \le a \le n - 4,$$

$$\bigoplus_{j} \left[\frac{z_j^a}{\prod_{k \neq j} (z_j - z_k)} - \frac{\zeta z_j^a}{\prod_{k \neq j} (z_j - z_k)} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\zeta_j - \zeta_k}{z_j - z_k} - a \frac{\zeta_j}{z_j} \right) \right] \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta \zeta_j} \, \bigg|_{\mathrm{NS}_j}, \qquad 0 \leq a \leq n - 3.$$

To determine the map δ in (A.12) explicitly, we first note that

$$\left\{ \zeta z^2, \zeta z, \zeta \mid z^2, z \right\} \cdot \frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z^3} \tag{A.13}$$

is a basis of $H^1(\omega^3)$ whose Berezinian is the super Mumford form μ , see (A.1). On the other hand, by Serre duality $H^1(\omega^3) = H^0(\omega^{-2})^*$, and

$$\left\{1,z,z^2\,|\, -\zeta,-\zeta z\right\}\cdot [dz|d\zeta]^{-2}$$

is the dual basis of $H^0(\omega^{-2})$. The map δ sends $\lambda \in H^0(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS})$ to $\delta(\lambda) \in H^1(\omega^3) = H^0(\omega^{-2})^*$ such that for any $\alpha \in H^0(\omega^{-2})$, we have

$$\delta(\lambda)(\alpha) = \text{Res}_{NS}(\lambda\alpha).$$

This map δ is given explicitly on the basis (A.7) by

$$\frac{\zeta[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta\zeta_j} \Big|_{NS_j} \longmapsto \left(\frac{\zeta}{z} + z_j \frac{\zeta}{z^2} + z_j^2 \frac{\zeta}{z^2}\right) [dz|d\zeta]^3,$$

$$\frac{[dz|d\zeta]^3}{z - z_j - \zeta\zeta_j} \Big|_{NS_z} \longmapsto \left(\frac{1}{z} + z_j \frac{1}{z^2}\right) [dz|d\zeta]^3$$

for j = 1, ..., n.

Thus, in matrix form with respect to the chosen bases, we can write

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_1 - z_k)} (1, z_1, \dots, z_1^{n-4}) \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 2} (z_2 - z_k)} (1, z_2, \dots, z_2^{n-4}) \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq n} (z_n - z_k)} (1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-4}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_1 - z_k)} \left(\sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{\zeta_1 - \zeta_k}{z_1 - z_k}; \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_k) z_1}{z_1 - z_k} - \zeta_1; \dots; \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_k) z_1^{n-3}}{z_1 - z_k} - (n-3) z_1^{n-4} \zeta_1 \right) \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 2} (z_2 - z_k)} \left(\sum_{k \neq 2} \frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_k}{z_2 - z_k}; \sum_{k \neq 2} \frac{(\zeta_2 - \zeta_k) z_2}{z_2 - z_k} - \zeta_2; \dots; \sum_{k \neq 2} \frac{(\zeta_2 - \zeta_k) z_2^{n-3}}{z_2 - z_k} - (n-3) z_2^{n-4} \zeta_2 \right) \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_n - z_k)} \left(\sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\zeta_n - \zeta_k}{z_n - z_k}; \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{(\zeta_n - \zeta_k) z_n}{z_n - z_k} - \zeta_n; \dots; \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{(\zeta_n - \zeta_k) z_n^{n-3}}{z_n - z_k} - (n-3) z_n^{n-4} \zeta_n \right) \right),$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_1 - z_k)} (1, z_1, \dots, z_1^{n-3}) \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 2} (z_2 - z_k)} (1, z_2, \dots, z_2^{n-3}) \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 2} (z_2 - z_k)} (1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3}) \right),$$

and

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_1 & z_2 & \dots & z_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ z_1^2 & z_2^2 & \dots & z_n^2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & z_1 & z_2 & \dots & z_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us pick a splitting of the short exact sequence (A.12), that is to say, a map δ' : $H^1(\omega^3) \to H^0(\omega^3(\text{NS})|_{\text{NS}})$ such that $\delta \delta' = \text{id}$. A priori there are many choices, but the relation between the Berezinians will not depend on the choice. Since we have fixed the coordinates $\{z_4, \ldots z_n \mid \zeta_3, \ldots, \zeta_n\}$, we are left to work with the matrix elements associated to the remaining ones, which renders an invertible 5×5 matrix. We get

$$\delta' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z_2z_3}{(z_2-z_1)(z_3-z_1)} & \frac{-(z_2+z_3)}{(z_2-z_1)(z_3-z_1)} & \frac{1}{(z_2-z_1)(z_3-z_1)} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{z_1z_3}{(z_1-z_2)(z_3-z_2)} & \frac{-(z_1+z_3)}{(z_1-z_2)(z_3-z_2)} & \frac{1}{(z_1-z_2)(z_3-z_2)} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{z_2z_1}{(z_2-z_3)(z_1-z_3)} & \frac{-(z_2+z_1)}{(z_2-z_3)(z_1-z_3)} & \frac{1}{(z_2-z_3)(z_1-z_3)} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{z_2}{z_2-z_1} & \frac{-1}{z_2-z_1}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{z_2}{z_1-z_2} & \frac{-1}{z_1-z_2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The transition matrix M from the basis of $H^0(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS})$ formed by the image of the basis (A.6) under g and the image of the basis (A.13) under δ' to the basis (A.7) over all $j = 1, \ldots, n$ is thus represented by a $2n \times 2n$ block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B} \\ 0 & \tilde{C} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

where
$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_1 - z_k)} (1, z_1, \dots, z_1^{n-4}), & \frac{(z_2 z_3, -z_2 - z_3, 1)}{(z_2 - z_1)(z_3 - z_1)} \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 2} (z_2 - z_k)} (1, z_2, \dots, z_2^{n-4}), & \frac{(z_1 z_3, -z_1 - z_3, 1)}{(z_1 - z_2)(z_3 - z_2)} \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 3} (z_3 - z_k)} (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-4}), & \frac{(z_1 z_2, -z_1 - z_2, 1)}{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_3)} \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 4} (z_4 - z_k)} (1, z_4, \dots, z_4^{n-4}), & (0, 0, 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_1 - z_k)} \left(\sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{\zeta_1 - \zeta_k}{\zeta_1 - z_k}; \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_k)z_1}{z_1 - z_k} - \zeta_1; \dots; \sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_k)z_1^{n-3}}{z_1 - z_k} - (n-3)z_1^{n-4}\zeta_1; 0; 0 \right) \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_1 - z_k)} \left(\sum_{k \neq 2} \frac{\zeta_2 - \zeta_k}{z_2 - z_k}; \sum_{k \neq 2} \frac{(\zeta_2 - \zeta_k)z_2}{z_2 - z_k} - \zeta_2; \dots; \sum_{k \neq 2} \frac{(\zeta_2 - \zeta_k)z_2^{n-3}}{z_2 - z_k} - (n-3)z_2^{n-4}\zeta_2; 0; 0 \right) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq n} (z_n - z_k)} \left(\sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\zeta_n - \zeta_k}{z_n - z_k}; \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{(\zeta_n - \zeta_k)z_n}{z_n - z_k} - \zeta_n; \dots; \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{(\zeta_n - \zeta_k)z_n^{n-3}}{z_n - z_k} - (n-3)z_n^{n-4}\zeta_n; 0; 0 \right) \right) \\ \tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 1} (z_1 - z_k)} (1, z_1, \dots, z_1^{n-3}), & \frac{(z_2, -1)}{z_2 - z_1} \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 2} (z_2 - z_k)} (1, z_2, \dots, z_2^{n-3}), & \frac{(z_2, -1)}{z_1 - z_2} \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 2} (z_2 - z_k)} (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-3}), & (0, 0, 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq n} (z_n - z_k)} (1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3}), & (0, 0, 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

Accordingly, the Berezinians of the two bases of $H^0(\omega^3(NS)|_{NS})$ are related by Ber M, which the following lemma claims to be simply equal to 1, proving (A.11) and thereby the proposition.

Lemma 7. Ber M=1.

Proof. We have Ber $M = \det \tilde{A} \det \tilde{C}^{-1}$. In order to compute these determinants, let us notice that

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_2 is the 3×3 matrix

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \\ z_1^2 & z_2^2 & z_3^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

and A_3 is the $(n-3) \times (n-3)$ matrix

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 4} (z_4 - z_k)} (1, z_4, \dots, z_4^{n-4}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq n} (z_n - z_k)} (1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-4}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\det A_2 = \prod_{1 \le j < k \le 3} \frac{1}{z_k - z_j},$$

$$\det A_3 = \prod_{l=4}^n \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \ne l} (z_l - z_k)} \right) \det \begin{pmatrix} 1, z_4, \dots, z_4^{n-4} \\ \vdots \\ 1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-4} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{l=4}^n \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \ne l} (z_l - z_k)} \right) \prod_{4 \le r < m \le n} (z_m - z_r),$$

 and^{2}

$$\det \tilde{A} = (-1)^{n-3} \det A_2 \det A_3 = (-1)^{n-3} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le 3} \frac{1}{z_k - z_j} \prod_{l=4}^n \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \ne l} (z_l - z_k)} \right) \prod_{4 \le r < m \le n} (z_m - z_r).$$

In the same way, we have

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where C_2 is the 2×2 matrix

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ z_1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

and C_3 is the $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ matrix

$$C_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq 3} (z_3 - z_k)} (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-3}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq n} (z_n - z_k)} (1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\det C_2 = \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1},$$

$$\det C_3 = \prod_{l=3}^n \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq l} (z_l - z_k)} \right) \det \begin{pmatrix} 1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-3} \\ \vdots \\ 1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{l=3}^n \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq l} (z_l - z_k)} \right) \prod_{3 \leq r < m \leq n} (z_m - z_r),$$

²In bringing each of the far right columns to the left, in order to get a block-diagonal matrix, the determinant changes sign 3(n-3) times.

and

$$\det \tilde{C} = \det C_2 \det C_3 = \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1} \prod_{l=3}^n \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq l} (z_l - z_k)} \right) \prod_{3 \le r \le m \le n} (z_m - z_r).$$

By taking the quotient we get

Ber
$$M = \frac{\det \tilde{A}}{\det \tilde{C}} = \frac{(-1)^{n-3}}{(z_3 - z_2)(z_3 - z_1)(z_2 - z_1)} \prod_{l=4}^n \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq l} (z_l - z_k)}\right) \prod_{4 \leq r < m \leq n} (z_m - z_r)$$

$$\times (z_2 - z_1) \prod_{l=3}^n \left(\prod_{k \neq l} (z_l - z_k)\right) \prod_{3 \leq r < m \leq n} \frac{1}{z_m - z_r}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n-3}}{(z_3 - z_2)(z_3 - z_1)} \left(\prod_{k \neq 3} (z_3 - z_k)\right) \prod_{3 < m < n} \frac{1}{z_m - z_3}.$$

The first factor times the last n-3 give $\prod_{k\neq 3} \frac{1}{z_3-z_k}$, so we get Ber M=1.

B Proof of lemma 5: the Berezinian of the super Kodaira-Spencer map

We first notice that, for $N = D - CA^{-1}B$, in terms of rows, we can write

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq 3}(z_3 - z_j)} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq 3} \frac{\zeta_3 \zeta_k}{z_3 - z_k} \right) (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-3}) + \frac{\zeta_3}{2} (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-4}) A^{-1} B \right) \\ -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq 4}(z_4 - z_j)} \left(1, z_4, \dots, z_4^{n-3} \right) \\ & \dots \\ -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq n}(z_n - z_j)} \left(1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

so that writing the first row as a sum, we can write $\det N = \det N' + \det N''$, where

$$N' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq 3} (z_3 - z_j)} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{\zeta_3 \zeta_k}{z_3 - z_k} \right) (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-3}) \right) \\ -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq 4} (z_4 - z_j)} \left(1, z_4, \dots, z_4^{n-3} \right) \\ & \cdots \\ -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq n} (z_n - z_j)} \left(1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N'' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\prod_{j \neq 3} (z_3 - z_j)} \left(\left(\sum_{k=4}^n \frac{\zeta_3 \zeta_k}{z_3 - z_k} \right) (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-3}) + \zeta_3 (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-4}) A^{-1} B \right) \\ -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq 4} (z_4 - z_j)} \left(1, z_4, \dots, z_4^{n-3} \right) \\ & \dots \\ -\frac{2}{\prod_{j \neq n} (z_n - z_j)} \left(1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

It is immediate to see that $\det A/\det N'$ gives the expression (5). So we just need to prove that $\det N''=0$. This is equivalent to say that $\det \tilde{N}=0$, where

$$\tilde{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\sum_{k=4}^{n} \frac{\zeta_3 \zeta_k}{z_3 - z_k}\right) (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-3}) + \zeta_3 (1, z_3, \dots, z_3^{n-4}) A^{-1} B \\ (1, z_4, \dots, z_4^{n-3}) \\ & \dots \\ (1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-3}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, we observe that the first line has the form $\sum_{k=4}^{n} \chi_k \zeta_3 \zeta_k$, where χ_k is a row. So, it is sufficient to prove that $\det \tilde{N}_k = 0$ for each k, where \tilde{N}_k is obtained by replacing χ_k in place of the first row of \tilde{N} . By the structure of the element of the matrix \tilde{N} , we see that its determinant is cyclically symmetric in the z_k , k > 3, so it is sufficient to prove the vanishing result just for one given k, say k = 4. We also notice that in $A^{-1}B$ the common denominators in A and B cancel out. It is also convenient to notice that of the rows of B only the last terms in the parenthesis of B_{jb} contribute to the determinant, since the first terms give rows that are combinations of the remaining rows. Therefore, we can replace the matrix $A^{-1}B$ with $\tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{B}_4$, where

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_4 & z_4^2 & \dots & z_4^{n-4} \\ 1 & z_5 & z_5^2 & \dots & z_5^{n-4} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & z_n & z_n^2 & \dots & z_n^{n-4} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Vandermonde matrix (up to the change of sign in the second column), and

$$\tilde{B}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\zeta_4 & -2\zeta_4 z_4 & \dots & -(n-3)\zeta_4 z_4^{n-4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, we see that

$$\det \tilde{N}_4 = \det \tilde{N}_4' + \det \tilde{N}_4'',$$

where

$$\tilde{N}_{4}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4}}{z_{3}-z_{4}}(1, z_{3}, \dots, z_{3}^{n-3}) \\ 1, z_{4}, \dots, z_{4}^{n-3} \\ & \dots \\ 1, z_{n}, \dots, z_{n}^{n-3} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{N}_{4}'' = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{3}(1, z_{3}, \dots, z_{3}^{n-4}) \tilde{A}^{-1} \tilde{B}_{4} \\ 1, z_{4}, \dots, z_{4}^{n-3} \\ \dots \\ 1, z_{n}, \dots, z_{n}^{n-3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now prove that $\det \tilde{N}_4'' = -\det \tilde{N}_4'$. Factoring out $\frac{\zeta_3\zeta_4}{z_3-z_4}$ from the first row of \tilde{N}_4' gives a Vandermonde matrix, so that the determinant is equal to

$$\det \tilde{N}_{4}' = \frac{\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4}}{z_{3} - z_{4}} \prod_{3 \le j < k \le n} (z_{k} - z_{j}) = -\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4} \prod_{l=5}^{n} (z_{l} - z_{3}) \prod_{4 \le j < k \le n} (z_{k} - z_{j}).$$
(B.1)

We can now compute $\det \tilde{N}_4''$. To this end, we notice that the entry number k of the first row of \tilde{N}_4'' is $\sum_{a=1}^{n-3} z_3^{a-1} \tilde{A}_{a1}^{-1} (\tilde{B}_4)_{1k}$. This can be computed as follows. Since $\tilde{A}_{a1}^{-1} \det \tilde{A}$ is the

co-factor of the matrix \tilde{A} in position a1, we see that $\sum_{a=1}^{n-3} z_3^{a-1} \tilde{A}_{a1}^{-1} \det \tilde{A}$ is the determinant of the matrix \bar{A} obtained by replacing z_4 with z_3 in the first row of \tilde{A} . Since both \tilde{A} and \tilde{A}' are Vandermonde matrices, we get

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n-3} z_3^{a-1} \tilde{A}_{a1}^{-1} = \frac{\det \tilde{A}'}{\det \tilde{A}} = \prod_{j=5}^{n} \frac{z_j - z_3}{z_j - z_4},$$

and so

$$\det \tilde{N}_{4}'' = -\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4} \frac{\prod_{l=5}^{n} (z_{l} - z_{3})}{\prod_{j=5}^{n} (z_{j} - z_{4})} \det \begin{pmatrix} (0, 1, 2z_{4}, \dots, (n-3)z_{4}^{n-4}) \\ (1, z_{4}, \dots, z_{4}^{n-3}) \\ \dots \\ (1, z_{n}, \dots, z_{n}^{n-3}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(B.2)

Now, all co-factors of the first line of the last matrix are of Vandermonde type and, in particular, are thus divisible by $\prod_{j=5}^n (z_j-z_4)$. It follows that $\det \tilde{N}_4''$ is a polynomial in the z_j of the same degree as $\det \tilde{N}_4'$, which vanishes when $z_j=z_3$ (for some $j\geq 5$) or when $z_j=z_k$ (for some $5\leq j< k\leq n$). Since the determinant $\det \tilde{N}_4'$ is simply the product of these linear factors, and $\det \tilde{N}_4''$ is a polynomial of the same degree divisible by the same degree, it follows that $\det \tilde{N}_4''$ det \tilde{N}_4' is just a constant, which we can compute by evaluating for any specific values of z_j . For $z_4=0$ we get

$$\det \tilde{N}_{4}'' = -\zeta_{3}\zeta_{4} \frac{\prod_{l=5}^{n}(z_{l}-z_{3})}{\prod_{j=5}^{n}z_{j}} \det \begin{pmatrix} (0,1,0,\ldots,0) \\ (1,0,\ldots,0) \\ (1,z_{5},\ldots,z_{5}^{n-3}) \\ \ldots \\ (1,z_{n},\ldots,z_{n}^{n-3}) \end{pmatrix} = \zeta_{3}\zeta_{4} \frac{\prod_{l=5}^{n}(z_{l}-z_{3})}{\prod_{j=5}^{n}z_{j}} \det \begin{pmatrix} (z_{n}^{2},\ldots,z_{5}^{n-3}) \\ \ldots \\ (z_{n}^{2},\ldots,z_{n}^{n-3}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \zeta_{3}\zeta_{4} \frac{\prod_{l=5}^{n}(z_{l}-z_{3})}{\prod_{j=5}^{n}z_{j}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq n} (z_{k}-z_{j}),$$

(B.3)

which coincides with the evaluation of $-\det \tilde{N}'_4$, computed in (B.1), for $z_4=0$.

C The $z_1 = \infty$ case

Here we consider the $z_1 = \infty$ case, or, equivalently, of $(w \mid \eta) = (0 \mid \eta_1)$ in the coordinates $(w \mid \eta) = \left(\frac{1}{z}, \mid \frac{\zeta}{z}\right)$ in the chart on $\mathbb{P}^{1\mid 1}$ centered at $z = \infty$. We will deduce the resulting expression (1.8) in that case of Theorem 1 by letting $z_1 \to \infty$ in formula (1.7), which gives the expression of the measure for a finite value of z_1 . More precisely, we will let $(w_1 \mid \eta_1) \to (0 \mid \eta_1)$ (or simply $w_1 \to 0$) for $(w_1 \mid \eta_1) = \left(\frac{1}{z_1} \mid \frac{\zeta_1}{z_1}\right)$.

There is one subtlety, though. We want the vertex-operator insertion

$$V_1(z_1|\zeta_1) \left[\zeta[dz|d\zeta] \right]_{\text{NS}_1} \left[dz|d\zeta] \right]_{\text{NS}_1} = V_1 \left(\frac{1}{w_1} \left| \frac{\zeta_1}{w_1} \right| \frac{1}{w_1} \left[\eta[dw|d\eta] \right]_{\text{NS}_1} \right] \left[dw|d\eta] \right]_{\text{NS}_1}$$
(C.1)

at $NS_1 = \{w - w_1 + \eta \eta_1 = 0\}$ in (1.6) to converge to a finite vertex operator

$$\widetilde{V}_1(0|\eta_1) \left[\eta[dw|d\eta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_1} \left| [dw|d\eta]|_{\mathrm{NS}_1} \right] \right]$$

as $w_1 \to 0$, i.e., we want

$$\lim_{w_1 \to 0} V_1\left(\frac{1}{w_1} \middle| \frac{\zeta_1}{w_1}\right) \frac{1}{w_1} = \widetilde{V}_1(0|\eta_1).$$

Here we again drop the vacuum expectation value brackets $\langle \rangle$ from the notation. On the other hand,

$$d\nu = -\frac{1}{2^{n-2}w_1} \left(z_3 w_1 - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \eta_1 \right) \left(z_3 - z_2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) \left[dz_4 \dots dz_n \, | \, d\zeta_3 \dots d\zeta_n \right]$$

and hence

$$V_{1}(z_{1}|\zeta_{1})d\nu = -\frac{V_{1}(\frac{1}{w_{1}}|\frac{\zeta_{1}}{w_{1}})}{2^{n-2}w_{1}}\left(z_{3}w_{1} - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{3}\eta_{1}\right)\left(z_{3} - z_{2} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right)\left[dz_{4}\dots dz_{n}|d\zeta_{3}\dots d\zeta_{n}\right]$$

$$\rightarrow \widetilde{V}_{1}(0|\eta_{1})\frac{1}{2^{n-2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{3}\eta_{1}\right)\left(z_{3} - z_{2} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right)\left[dz_{4}\dots dz_{n}|d\zeta_{3}\dots d\zeta_{n}\right]$$

as $w_1 \to 0$. In Theorem 1, we use V_1 in lieu of \widetilde{V}_1 as well as slightly abuse notation and denote the above limit by $V_1(0|\eta_1)d\nu$.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] A. A. Voronov, A formula for the Mumford measure in superstring theory, Funk. Anal. Prilozh. **22** (1988) 67 [Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** (1988) 139].
- [2] A.A. Belavin and V.G. Knizhnik, Algebraic Geometry and the Geometry of Quantum Strings, Phys. Lett. B 168 (1986) 201 [INSPIRE].
- [3] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Multiloop Amplitudes for the Bosonic Polyakov String, Nucl. Phys. B 269 (1986) 205 [INSPIRE].
- [4] J. Jost, Bosonic Strings: A Mathematical Treatment, AMS (2001) [INSPIRE].
- [5] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, Superstring Theory Vol. 1: 25th Anniversary Edition, Cambridge University Press (2012) [DOI:10.1017/CB09781139248563] [INSPIRE].
- [6] J. Polchinski, String theory. Volume 1: An introduction to the bosonic string, Cambridge University Press (2007) [DOI:10.1017/CB09780511816079] [INSPIRE].
- [7] E. Witten, Perturbative superstring theory revisited, Pure Appl. Math. Quart. 15 (2019) 213 [INSPIRE].
- [8] G. Felder, D. Kazhdan and A. Polishchuk, Regularity of the superstring supermeasure and the superperiod map, Selecta Math. 28 (2022) 17 [arXiv:1905.12805] [INSPIRE].
- [9] G. Felder, D. Kazhdan and A. Polishchuk, The moduli space of stable supercurves and its canonical line bundle, Am. J. Math. 145 (2023) 1777 [arXiv:2006.13271] [INSPIRE].

- [10] U. Bruzzo, D.H. Ruiperez and A. Polishchuk, Notes on fundamental algebraic supergeometry.

 Hilbert and Picard superschemes, Adv. Math. 415 (2023) 108890 [arXiv:2008.00700] [INSPIRE].
- [11] Yu. I. Manin, Critical dimensions of the string theories and the dualizing sheaf on the moduli space of (super) curves, Funk. Anal. Prilozh. 20 (1986) 88 [Funct. Anal. Appl. 20 (1986) 244].
- [12] D. Diroff, On the super Mumford form in the presence of Ramond and Neveu-Schwarz punctures, J. Geom. Phys. 144 (2019) 273 [arXiv:1802.07865] [INSPIRE].
- [13] N. Ott and A.A. Voronov, The supermoduli space of genus zero super Riemann surfaces with Ramond punctures, J. Geom. Phys. 185 (2023) 104726 [arXiv:1910.05655] [INSPIRE].
- [14] R. Donagi and N. Ott, Supermoduli Space with Ramond punctures is not projected, arXiv:2308.07957 [INSPIRE].
- [15] R. Donagi and E. Witten, Supermoduli Space Is Not Projected, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 90 (2015) 19 [arXiv:1304.7798] [INSPIRE].
- [16] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, Superstring Theory Volume 2: 25th Anniversary Edition, Cambridge University Press (2012) [DOI:10.1017/CB09781139248570] [INSPIRE].
- [17] J. Polchinski, String theory. Volume 2: Superstring theory and beyond, Cambridge University Press (2007) [DOI:10.1017/CB09780511618123] [INSPIRE].
- [18] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Two loop superstrings. I. Main formulas, Phys. Lett. B 529 (2002) 241 [hep-th/0110247] [INSPIRE].
- [19] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Two loop superstrings. II. The chiral measure on moduli space, Nucl. Phys. B 636 (2002) 3 [hep-th/0110283] [INSPIRE].
- [20] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Two loop superstrings. III. Slice independence and absence of ambiguities, Nucl. Phys. B 636 (2002) 61 [hep-th/0111016] [INSPIRE].
- [21] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Two loop superstrings IV. The cosmological constant and modular forms, Nucl. Phys. B 639 (2002) 129 [hep-th/0111040] [INSPIRE].
- [22] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Two-loop superstrings. V. Gauge slice independence of the N-point function, Nucl. Phys. B 715 (2005) 91 [hep-th/0501196] [INSPIRE].
- [23] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Two-loop superstrings VI. Non-renormalization theorems and the 4-point function, Nucl. Phys. B 715 (2005) 3 [hep-th/0501197] [INSPIRE].
- [24] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Two-Loop Superstrings. VII. Cohomology of Chiral Amplitudes, Nucl. Phys. B 804 (2008) 421 [arXiv:0711.4314] [INSPIRE].
- [25] Y. Manin, Topics in Non-Commutative Geometry, Princeton University Press (1991) [DOI:10.1515/9781400862511].
- [26] E. Witten, Notes On Super Riemann Surfaces And Their Moduli, Pure Appl. Math. Quart. 15 (2019) 57 [arXiv:1209.2459] [INSPIRE].
- [27] D. Friedan, S.H. Shenker and E.J. Martinec, Covariant Quantization of Superstrings, Phys. Lett. B 160 (1985) 55 [INSPIRE].
- [28] D. Friedan, E.J. Martinec and S.H. Shenker, Conformal invariance, supersymmetry and string theory, Nucl. Phys. B 271 (1986) 93 [INSPIRE].
- [29] V.G. Knizhnik, Covariant Superstring Fermion Amplitudes From the Sum Over Fermionic Surfaces, Phys. Lett. B 178 (1986) 21 [INSPIRE].
- [30] J.H. Schwarz, Superstring Theory, Phys. Rept. 89 (1982) 223 [INSPIRE].

- [31] M.D. Freeman and P.C. West, Ramond String Scattering in the Group Theoretic Approach to String Theory, Phys. Lett. B 217 (1989) 259 [INSPIRE].
- [32] F. Knudsen and D. Mumford, The Projectivity of the Moduli Space of Stable Curves. I: Preliminaries on 'Det' and 'Div.', Math. Scand. 39 (1976) 19.
- [33] P. Deligne, Le déterminant de la cohomologie, in Current Trends in Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry, American Mathematical Society (1987), p. 93 [DOI:https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/067/902592].
- [34] P. Deligne, Letter to Y.I. Manin, (1988).
- [35] C. Lebrun and M. Rothstein, Moduli of Superriemann Surfaces, Commun. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 159 [INSPIRE].
- [36] K.A. Maxwell, The super Mumford form and Sato Grassmannian, J. Geom. Phys. 180 (2022) 104604 [arXiv:2002.06625] [INSPIRE].
- [37] A.A. Beilinson, Y.I. Manin and V.V. Schechtman, Sheaves of the Virasoro and Neveu-Schwarz algebras, in K-Theory, Arithmetic and Geometry, Y.I. Manin eds., Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1987), p. 52–66 [DOI:10.1007/bfb0078367].
- [38] Y.I. Manin, Neveu-Schwarz sheaves and differential equations for Mumford superforms, J. Geom. Phys. 5 (1988) 161 [INSPIRE].
- [39] A.A. Voronov, A.A. Roslyi and A.S. Schwarz, Geometry of Superconformal Manifolds, Commun. Math. Phys. 119 (1988) 129 [INSPIRE].
- [40] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer New York (1977) [DOI:10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0].
- [41] K. Kodaira, Complex Manifolds and Deformation of Complex Structures, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2005) [DOI:10.1007/b138372].
- [42] M. Manetti, Lectures on deformations of complex manifolds (deformations from differential graded viewpoint), Rend. Mat. Appl. 7 (2004) 1.
- [43] E. Witten, Notes On Holomorphic String And Superstring Theory Measures Of Low Genus, Contemp. Math. 644 307 [arXiv:1306.3621] [INSPIRE].