

An Extension of the r^p Method for Wave Equations with Scale-Critical Potentials and First-Order Terms

Maxime Van de Moortel*

*Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Hill Center, New Brunswick,
NJ 08854, USA*

Received 8 January 2025; Accepted (in revised version) 31 March 2025

Dedicated to the celebration of the 70th birthday of Professor Avy Soffer

Abstract. The r^p method, first introduced in [9], has become a robust strategy to prove decay for wave equations in the context of black holes and beyond. In this note, we propose an extension of this method, which is particularly suitable for proving decay for a general class of wave equations featuring a scale-critical time-dependent potential and/ or first-order terms of small amplitude. Our approach consists of absorbing error terms in the r^p -weighted energy using a novel Grönwall argument, which allows a larger range of p than the standard method. A spherically symmetric version of our strategy first appeared in [22] in the context of a weakly charged scalar field on a black hole whose equations also involve a scale-critical potential.

AMS subject classifications: to be provided by the author

Key words: to be provided by the author

1 Introduction

The r^p method of Dafermos–Rodnianski [9] is a versatile tool to prove decay in time for solutions ϕ to waves equations of the form $\square_g \phi = 0$, which is sufficiently robust for applications to nonlinear wave equations (see, e.g., [7, 8, 19, 24]). The

*Corresponding author.

Email: maxime.vandemoortel@rutgers.edu (M. Moortel)

method is carried out in physical space and relies on the radiative structure of wave equations on an asymptotically flat spacetime g , for which $r\phi$ (usually) admits a finite limit—the Friedlander radiation field $\psi_{\mathcal{I}}(u, \omega)$ —defined, for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$ as

$$\psi_{\mathcal{I}}(u, \omega) := \lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} r\phi(u, v, \omega), \quad (1.1)$$

where u and v are respectively retarded-time and advanced-time coordinates, corresponding to $u = t - r$ and $v = t + r$ on the usual Minkowski spacetime. In its simplest expression, the key idea of the r^p method is to exploit the boundedness of a r^p weighted energy of the following form, for $0 \leq p \leq 2$:

$$\sup_u \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \int_{v=v_0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} r^p |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \partial_v(r\phi)|^2(u, v, \omega) dv d\omega, \quad (1.2)$$

16 to obtain pointwise decay in time of ϕ at the rate $u^{-\frac{p}{2}}$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, under the
17 additional conditions that

- 18 I. Energy boundedness (in the style of (1.8) below) holds.
19 II. An integrated local decay estimate (in the style of (1.9)), also known as a
20 Morawetz estimate, is valid.

In this paper, our goal is to apply the r^p method and obtain decay in time estimates for the following class of linear wave equations with scale-critical potential and/or scale-critical first-order terms of small amplitude.

$$\square_g \phi = \frac{1}{r^2(u, v)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 [\epsilon w_i(u, v) + W_i(u, v)] \cdot \partial_u^i \phi + [\epsilon q(u, v) + Q(u, v)] \cdot r \partial_v \phi \right), \quad (1.3a)$$

$$g = -\Omega^2(u, v) du dv + r^2(u, v) d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \quad (1.3b)$$

where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is a small constant, and g is a spherically-symmetric and asymptotically flat[†] Lorentzian metric in the mild sense that

$$\begin{aligned} & |1 + \partial_u r(u, v)|, |1 + \partial_v r(u, v)|, |\Omega^2(u, v) - 4|, r |\partial_v \Omega^2|(u, v) \\ & \lesssim r^{-1}(u, v) \quad \text{as } v \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_v^2 r|(u, v), |\partial_u \partial_v r|(u, v), |\partial_u \partial_v^2 r|(u, v) \\ & \lesssim r^{-2}(u, v) \quad \text{as } v \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4b)$$

[†]As we will discuss in Section 1.3, the usual Minkowski metric $m = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$, associated to $\square_m = -\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2$, satisfies (1.4), together with many other usual spacetime metrics g , such as the Schwarzschild spacetime.

Finally, the potentials (terms involving $w_0(u, v)$ and $W_0(u, v)$) and first-order terms (terms involving $w_1(u, v)$, $W_1(u, v)$, $q(u, v)$ and $Q(u, v)$) are scale-critical in the sense that

$$|w_i|(u, v), |q|(u, v), r|\partial_v w_0|(u, v), r^2|\partial_v q|(u, v) \lesssim 1, \quad (1.5a)$$

$$|W_i|(u, v), |Q|(u, v), r|\partial_v W_0|(u, v), r^2|\partial_v W_1|(u, v), r^2|\partial_v Q|(u, v) = o(1) \quad \text{as } v \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.5b)$$

Note that the inverse-square power r^{-2} in the potential of (1.3) is called scale-critical because on flat spacetime (i.e., if $g = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ the Minkowski metric) and if $w_1 = W_1 = 0$, while w_0, W_0, q, Q are constants, then the rescaling $u \rightarrow \lambda u, v \rightarrow \lambda v$ leaves (1.3) unchanged. The class of potentials satisfying (1.5) are allowed to oscillate in u and mildly oscillate in v , such as the following example (assuming (1.4) holds)

$$w_0(u, v) = \sin(u + \log(r(u, v))), \quad (1.6a)$$

$$w_1(u, v) = q(u, v) = W_0(u, v) = W_1(u, v) = Q(u, v) = 0. \quad (1.6b)$$

21 In particular, we allow for a large class of *time-dependent potentials*, see Section 1.3
22 for more general examples.

23 In our main theorem below, we take ϵ to be sufficiently small, and we condition-
24 ally assume that the energy boundedness (condition I) and an integrated local decay
25 estimate (condition II) are satisfied, in the traditional spirit of the r^p method [9].
26 We then deduce time-decay of the energy ((1.10)) on a foliation that reaches null
27 infinity (see Fig. 1) and point-wise decay ((1.11), (1.14)) at rates that are arbitrarily
28 close to the optimal ones as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (see Section 1.4 for further discussions on the
29 sharpness of our estimates).

Theorem 1.1. *Let ϕ be a solution of (1.3) where g and the potential terms satisfy (1.4) and (1.5) with (characteristic) initial data on the bifurcate null cones $([u_0, u_F] \times \mathbb{S}^2) \cup ([v_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ with $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}, u_F \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ as depicted in Fig. 1, satisfying the following assumptions for all $0 \leq p < 3$*

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \int_{v_0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} r^{p+|\beta|}(u_0, v) |\partial_v(r \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi)|^2(u_0, v, \omega) dv d\omega, \quad (1.7a)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^1 \int_{v_0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} r^{p+2k}(u_0, v) |\partial_v^{1+k}(r\phi)|^2(u_0, v, \omega) dv d\omega < \infty. \quad (1.7b)$$

Defining $E[\phi](u)$ to be the standard (unweighted) energy on a foliation radiating to infinity of Fig. 1, defined in Section 2, we assume that for all solutions ϕ as above,

the boundedness of the energy $E[\phi](u)$, in the sense that there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that all $u_1 < u_2$

$$E[\phi](u_2) \leq DE[\phi](u_1). \quad (1.8)$$

Additionally, we make the following assumption of integrated local decay: for some $\sigma > 1$, there exists a constant $C_\sigma > 0$ such that for all $u_1 \geq u_0$, and defining the spacetime region $\mathcal{D}_{u_1, \infty} = \{u_1 \leq u, v \geq v_0\}$

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u_1, \infty}} \frac{|\phi|^2(u, v, \omega) + r^2 |\partial_u \phi|^2(u, v, \omega) + r^2 |\partial_v \phi|^2(u, v, \omega)}{(1+r)^\sigma} dudvd\omega \leq C_\sigma E[\phi](u_1). \quad (1.9)$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_0$, there exists $\eta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $\eta(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and

$$E[\phi](u) \lesssim u^{-3+\eta(\epsilon)}. \quad (1.10)$$

The following limit exists

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} r\phi(u, v, \omega) = \psi_{\mathcal{I}}(u, \omega)$$

and the following estimate holds in the region $v - u \geq R$, assuming R is sufficiently large

$$r|\phi|(u, v, \omega), |\psi_{\mathcal{I}}|(u, \omega) \lesssim u^{-1+\frac{\eta(\epsilon)}{2}}. \quad (1.11)$$

Moreover, if analogues of the energy boundedness (1.8) and integrated local decay (1.9) hold for $T\phi$, where $T = \partial_u + \partial_v$, in the sense that there exists $\sigma' > 1$ and $\eta'(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $\eta'(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that for all $u_1 < u_2$

$$E[T\phi](u_2) \leq D' \left(E[T\phi](u_1) + u_1^{-2+\eta'(\epsilon)} E[\phi](u_1) \right), \quad (1.12a)$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u_1, \infty}} \frac{|T\phi|^2(u, v, \omega)}{(1+r)^{\sigma'}} dudvd\omega \leq C_{\sigma'} \left(E[T\phi](u_1) + u_1^{-2+\eta'(\epsilon)} E[\phi](u_1) \right), \quad (1.12b)$$

for $C_{\sigma'} > 0$, $D' > 0$ independent of ϵ , and additionally the following assumption is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_u w_i|(u, v), |\partial_u q|(u, v) &\lesssim r^{-1}(u, v), \quad r|\partial_u W_i|(u, v), \quad r|\partial_u Q|(u, v) \\ &= o(1) \quad \text{as } v \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Then, we also have the following (sharper) pointwise decay estimate: for all $v \geq u + R$, $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$:

$$|\phi|(u, v, \omega) \lesssim u^{-2+\frac{\eta(\epsilon)}{2}}. \quad (1.14)$$




Figure 1:

30 We want to emphasize that, despite initial data being given on characteristic
 31 bifurcate hypersurfaces $([u_0, u_F] \times \mathbb{S}^2) \cup ([v_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2)$, it is equivalent to start from
 32 a spacelike hypersurface (Cauchy problem) and evolve the solution up to $([u_0, u_F] \times$
 33 $\mathbb{S}^2) \cup ([v_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ with no difficulty, see e.g., [22], Section 4.

34 We finally remark that it is also possible to propagate the point-wise estimates
 35 (1.11), (1.14) of Theorem 1.1 in the region $\{v - u \leq R\}$ (see [2, 14]). We will however
 36 omit the relevant estimates for brevity. On a black hole spacetime, (1.11), (1.14)
 37 are also valid up to the black hole event horizon, providing so-called red-shift esti-
 38 mates are satisfied, see for instance [11, 22] for such examples and the discussion in
 39 Section 1.3.

40 1.1 The principles of the r^p method

On Minkowski spacetime, the wave equation $-\partial_t^2 \phi + \partial_x^2 \phi + \partial_y^2 \phi + \partial_z^2 \phi = 0$ takes the following form, where $u = t - r$, $v = t + r$, $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$, $\psi = r\phi$ (radiation field) and $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{S}^2$:

$$\partial_u \partial_v \psi = r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi. \quad (1.15)$$

The key idea of the r^p method of Dafermos and Rodnianski [9] is to prove decay-in-time for ϕ using energy methods, namely L^2 -based estimates, which can typically be carried out on more general spacetimes, with a potential or a nonlinearity. However, the standard energy $E(T)$ computed on the time-slice $\{t = T\}$ is constant and does

not decay. Instead, one can compute the energy on a V -shaped foliation (indexed by u) as depicted in Fig. 1 and the goal is to prove that this energy $\tilde{E}(u)$ ends up decaying in u . To capture this decay, Dafermos and Rodnianski use the multiplier $r^p \partial_v \psi$ and, upon integration on a spacetime region $\{u_1 \leq u \leq u_2\}$, obtain

$$\int_{u=u_2} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' \lesssim \int_{u=u_1} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \tilde{E}(u_1), \quad (1.16)$$

for all $u_1 < u_2$, where $0 < p \leq 2$, and (1.9) is used to absorb various boundary terms at the curve $\{r = R\}$ into the energy $\tilde{E}(u_1)$. (1.16) consists of two statements: the boundedness of the r^p -weighted energy for $0 \leq p \leq 2$, and the (integrated) decay of the r^{p-1} weighted energy. Using (1.16) as a hierarchy of estimates in p (see [9] for details), Dafermos and Rodnianski obtain the time-decay of the energy at the rate u^{-p} , where here $p=2$:

$$\tilde{E}(u) \lesssim u^{-2}. \quad (1.17)$$

41 We emphasize that the proof of (1.17) relies heavily on the validity of the energy
42 boundedness (1.8) and integrated local decay (1.9) estimates, used as a black box.
43 The argument of the r^p method can be also be carried out on the Schwarzschild
44 or (sub-extremal) Reissner–Nordström spacetime (1.28) and in higher dimensions,
45 see [20] and [17] for the more general setting in which it can be applied.

In [1, 2], Angelopoulos, Aretakis and Gajic introduced a novel viewpoint on the r^p method allowing to prove sharp decay and late-time tails for a large class of wave equations

$$\square_g \phi = V(r) \phi, \quad \text{where } |V|(r) \lesssim r^{-2-\epsilon} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.18)$$

46 on a stationary and spherically-symmetric asymptotically flat metric g and a scale
47 sub-critical potential $|V|(r) \lesssim r^{-2-\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon > 0$. See also [3, 16, 18] for follow-up
48 work and extensions of these methods. We also mention the recent [14] establishing
49 late-time tails for a large class of equations which is even more general than (1.18)
50 (for instance also allowing for first-order terms and nonlinearities) using a novel
51 approach.

52 1.2 Our new strategy to address scale-critical potentials

Following the spirit of [9], we make the assumptions of energy boundedness (1.8) and integrated local decay (1.9) that we use as a black box. Using the multiplier

$r^p \partial_v$ for (1.3) gives schematically (compare with (1.16))

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u=u_2} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' \\ & \lesssim \int_{u=u_1} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \tilde{E}(u_1) + \epsilon \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-2} |\psi| |\partial_v \psi| \right] du', \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

where we have taken $w_1 = W_1 = q = Q = 0$ for now to simplify and $\epsilon \int_{u_1}^{u_2} [\int_{u=u'} r^{p-2} |\psi| |\partial_v \psi|] du$ is an integrated (so-called “bulk”) error term. The standard approach of the r^p method (see [2]) is to absorb the bulk error term into the $\int_{u_1}^{u_2} [\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2]$ term in the left-hand-side, which works well for a sub-critical potential satisfying (1.18), with the use of the Hardy inequality (see Proposition 3.2). Here, the Hardy inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-2} |\psi| |\partial_v \psi| \right] du' & \lesssim \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' + \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-3} |\psi|^2 \right] du' \\ & \lesssim \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' + \tilde{E}(u_1), \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

where $0 \leq p < 2$. To absorb the error term $\epsilon \int_{u_1}^{u_2} [\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\psi| |\partial_v \psi|] du'$ into the left-hand-side with the help of (1.20), we must further restrict $p < 2 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$, which gives the r^p -hierarchy in a range $0 < p < 2 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$

$$\int_{u=u_2} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' \lesssim \int_{u=u_1} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \tilde{E}(u_1), \quad (1.21)$$

which is sufficient to obtain (1.17), up to an arbitrarily small loss $\mathcal{O}(u^{O(\sqrt{\epsilon})})$. (1.17) is one power of u away from sharpness, however (compare with (1.10)). To go up to $p < 3$ and obtain sharper estimates, we must decompose the solution ϕ into its spherical average and higher angular modes, following the original idea of [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u, v, \omega) &= \underbrace{\phi_0(u, v)}_{\text{spherical average}} + \phi_{\geq 1}(u, v, \omega). \\ &:= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi(u, v, \omega) d\omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

It turns out that $r^2 \partial_v(r\phi_{\geq 1})$ also obeys the hierarchy (1.21), translating[‡] into faster energy decay for $\phi_{\geq 1}$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{E}[\phi_{\geq 1}](u) \lesssim u^{-4+O(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \quad (1.23)$$

[‡]We note that this step requires to commute (1.3) with ∂_v , which is why (1.5) requires assumptions on the ∂_v derivatives of the potential terms. These assumptions, however, are not necessary for spherically-symmetric solutions of (1.3).

and (1.23) is essentially sufficient to show (1.10), (1.11), (1.14) for $\phi_{\geq 1}$. Therefore, our next objective is to prove (1.21) for the spherical average ψ_0 and $2 < p < 3$. The novelty of our approach is to absorb the bulk error term into $\int_{u=u_2} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2$ instead of $\int_{u_1}^{u_2} [\int_{u=u'} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2] du'$ using a Grönwall argument. Starting from (1.19), we proceed, using the Hardy inequality differently from (1.20) to get schematically, exploiting (1.21) with $p-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-2} |\psi| |\partial_v \psi| \right] du' \\
& \lesssim \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-4} |\psi|^2 \right] du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^{p-2} |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \lesssim \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \left[\int_{u=u'} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 \right] du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{u=u_1} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \tilde{E}(u_1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.24}
\end{aligned}$$

To conclude the proof of (1.10), we close the r^p -weighted hierarchy (1.21) for $0 < p < 3 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ using an induction argument, where u_1 and u_2 take values on a dyadic sequence. The proof of (1.11) follows from (1.10) by a standard argument. To obtain the sharp point-wise decay on a constant- r curve (1.14), we must retrieve faster decay for the time-derivative $T\phi_0$, i.e.,

$$E[T\phi_0](u) \lesssim u^{-5+\eta(\epsilon)}, \tag{1.25}$$

which is done considering a r^p -weighted hierarchy for $r\partial_v(r\phi_0)$ (see [11] where this hierarchy was introduced).

We finally note that we additionally allow for first-order linear terms in (1.3). The ingoing derivative terms in (1.3) are handled with the use of a novel “Hardy inequality” ((3.10) in Proposition 3.2) which only works for solutions to the wave equation (1.3).

1.3 Examples of spacetimes and potentials satisfying the assumptions

1.3.1 Decay rates in the assumptions

For the sake of comparison with the Minkowski metric, let us define a time-variable $t = v + u$, and we keep in mind that r is comparable to $v - u$ (for large r). We are interested in a region where $u \geq u_0$, $v - u \geq R$, thus

$$r(u, v) \lesssim u \lesssim t(u, v) \lesssim v. \tag{1.26}$$

Thus, the r -decay assumptions in Theorem 1.1 represent the weakest possible form of decay. In the presence of nonlinearities, where Theorem 1.1 can be adapted, the decay of some coefficients may be obtained in terms of inverse powers of t , which is stronger than the inverse powers of r required in (1.4), (1.5), and (1.13).

Finally, note that the scaling in (1.3) is arranged to respect the radiative structure of the wave equation which gives a finite limit for $r\phi$ and $r^2\partial_v(r\phi)$ towards $v=+\infty$ so that, for fixed u , the three terms ϕ , $\partial_u\phi$, $r\partial_v\phi$ share the same scaling, i.e.,

$$|\phi|(u,v,\omega) \lesssim r^{-1}, \quad |\partial_u\phi|(u,v,\omega) \lesssim r^{-1}, \quad r|\partial_v\phi|(u,v,\omega) \lesssim r^{-1} \quad \text{as } v \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.27)$$

1.3.2 Metric assumptions

We first note that there exist many examples of Lorentzian metrics g satisfying (1.4), such as the (sub-extremal) Reissner–Nordström metric, modeling the exterior of a charged star/black hole for $r \geq r_+(M,e)$

$$g_{RN} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}, \quad (1.28)$$

where $0 \leq |e| \leq M$ and $r_+(M,e) := M + \sqrt{M^2 - e^2}$. Indeed, introducing the standard coordinates

$$u = t - r^*, \quad v = t + r^*, \quad \frac{dr^*}{dr} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2}\right)^{-1}, \quad (1.29)$$

shows that (1.28) assumes the form of g given in (1.3) with

$$\Omega^2(u,v) = 4\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2}\right), \quad \partial_v r = -\partial_u r = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2}\right). \quad (1.30)$$

Note that in the case $e=0$, (1.28) reduces to the well-known Schwarzschild metric, which itself reduces to the (trivial) Minkowski metric $g = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ for $M=0$, under which $\square_g = -\partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2$.

Lastly, we note that a large class of non-stationary spacetimes will also satisfy (1.4), in particular spacetimes which converge to, or remain close to the Reissner–Nordström metric (1.28) at large-time.

1.3.3 Integrated local decay and energy boundedness

It is well-known [10] that the energy boundedness (1.8) and integrated local energy estimate (1.9) hold on the Schwarzschild/(sub-extremal) Reissner–Nordström metric in the absence of a potential, i.e., for $\square_{g_{RN}}\phi = 0$.

⁷⁷ In [22], (1.8) and (1.9) are established [§] for spherically-symmetric solutions of
⁷⁸ (1.3) on a (sub-extremal) Reissner–Nordström metric, i.e., for $g = g_{RN}$ and with
⁷⁹ $w_1 = W_1 = 0$, under the smallness condition of ϵ .

In [11], Gajic established (1.8) and (1.9) for (1.3) on the sub-extremal Reissner–Nordström metric with $w_1 = W_1 = q = Q = 0$, and $\epsilon = 1$ (no smallness condition), under the assumption that

$$V(r) = r^{-2}(w_0(r) + W_0(r))$$

is time-independent and $w_0(r) + W_0(r)$ admits a limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., there exists $\alpha > -\frac{1}{4}$ such that

$$V(r) \sim \alpha r^{-2} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.31a)$$

$$V(r) \geq -\frac{1}{4r^2} \quad \text{for all } r \geq r_+(M, e) = M + \sqrt{M^2 - e^2}. \quad (1.31b)$$

⁸⁰ It is also possible to replace (1.31b) with a no-resonance condition on $V(r)$, see [11].

Coming back to more general considerations, we discuss the T -commuted energy boundedness (1.12a) and integrated local decay (1.12b). Note that, if the metric and the potentials are stationary, i.e.,

$$Tr = 0, \quad T\Omega^2 = 0, \quad Tw_i = Tq = TW_i = Tq = 0, \quad (1.32)$$

then (1.12a), (1.12b) immediately follow from (1.8) and (1.9), in fact, we have the stronger estimate:

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_{u_1, \infty}} \frac{|T\phi|^2(u, v, \omega)}{(1+r)^{\sigma'}} du dv d\omega \leq C_{\sigma'} E[T\phi](u_1), \quad (1.33a)$$

$$E[\phi](u_2) \leq DE[\phi](u_1). \quad (1.33b)$$

⁸¹ More generally, it is possible to retrieve (1.12a), (1.12b) if the quantities involved
⁸² in (1.32) decay in time at a rate $\mathcal{O}(u^{-1+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})})$. Such a result should, however, be
⁸³ obtained on a case-by-case basis.

⁸⁴ 1.3.4 Potential assumptions for sharp energy and radiation field decay

We now discuss (1.5), the potential assumptions used to obtain the first conclusions of Theorem 1.1, i.e., (1.10), (1.11). We note that (1.5) allows for a large class of time-dependent potentials. The most general form for w_0 to respect (1.5) allows

[§]While the proof of [22] is, strictly speaking, only for the charged scalar field equation, it is easy to generalize it to (1.3).

for linear oscillations in u and logarithmic oscillations in v or r . q and w_1 are also allowed to oscillate linearly in u , however, they cannot oscillate in r . In other words,

$$w_0(u, v) = f_0(u, \log(r(u, v))), \quad (1.34a)$$

$$w_1(u, v) = f_1(u, r^{-1}(u, v)), \quad (1.34b)$$

$$q(u, v) = f_q(u, r^{-1}(u, v)), \quad (1.34c)$$

where $f_0(X, Y)$, $f_1(X, Y)$, $f_q(X, Y)$ are bounded functions and $\partial_Y f_0$, $\partial_Y f_q$, $\partial_Y f_1$ are bounded. For W_0 , W_1 and Q , we can take, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $F_0(X, Y)$, $F_1(X, Y)$, $F_Q(X, Y)$ bounded with $\partial_Y F_0$, $\partial_Y F_1$, $\partial_Y F_Q$ bounded

$$W_0(u, v) = (1+r)^{-\epsilon} F_0(u, \log(r(u, v))), \quad (1.35a)$$

$$W_1(u, v) = F_1(u, r^{-1-\epsilon}(u, v)), \quad (1.35b)$$

$$Q(u, v) = F_Q(u, r^{-1-\epsilon}(u, v)). \quad (1.35c)$$

85 1.3.5 Potential assumptions for sharp point-wise scalar field decay

To obtain the stronger conclusion of Theorem 1.1, i.e., (1.14), we require (1.13), which we now discuss. The key difference, compared to the less demanding assumptions (1.5), is that the potentials are no longer allowed to feature linear oscillations in u , only logarithmic ones, i.e.,

$$w_0(u, v) = g_0(\log(u), \log(r(u, v))), \quad (1.36a)$$

$$w_1(u, v) = g_1(\log(u), r^{-1}(u, v)), \quad (1.36b)$$

$$q(u, v) = g_q(u, r^{-1}(u, v)), \quad (1.36c)$$

86 where $g_0(X, Y)$, $g_1(X, Y)$, $g_Q(X, Y)$ are bounded functions with bounded deriva-
87 tive (compared with (1.34)). W_0 , W_1 and Q obey similarly stronger assumptions
88 compared to (1.35), which we omit to state.

89 1.4 Previous works on scale-critical potentials and 90 sharpness of the decay

91 The literature on decay estimates for scale-critical potentials is vast, we refer to the
92 review [21].

For the wave equation (1.3) with an exact inverse-square potential on Minkowski spacetime, i.e.,

$$g = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2, \quad w_0(u, v) = 1, \quad w_1 = W_0 = W_1 = q = Q = 0, \quad (1.37)$$

it is known [4, 12] that the following asymptotics hold

$$r|\phi|(u, v, \omega) \approx \left(\frac{r}{uv}\right)^{\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\epsilon}}{2}}. \quad (1.38)$$

Moreover, (1.38) also holds on the sub-extremal Reissner–Nordström metric [11] for a large class of time-independent asymptotically inverse-square potentials satisfying (1.31a), (1.31b), see [6] for previous works involving sharp upper bounds. Lastly, precise late-time tails for an even more general class of stationary spacetimes and time-independent scale-critical potentials were proved by Hintz [13].

In comparison, Theorem 1.1 allows for a class of time-dependent potentials that are allowed to oscillate, as discussed earlier in this section; however, we do not derive precise late-time tails. Our approach moreover relies on the smallness of ϵ , and the estimates (1.10), (1.11), (1.14) are not, strictly speaking, sharp in terms of decay rate, because they feature an arbitrarily small loss $\mathcal{O}(u^{\eta(\epsilon)})$, where $\eta(\epsilon) = o(1)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. However, the above examples satisfying the estimates (1.38) show that our estimates (1.10), (1.11), (1.14) in Theorem 1.1 are sharp, up to this arbitrarily small loss. Note, in particular, that on a constant- r curve, (1.38) gives

$$|\phi|(u, v, \omega) \lesssim u^{-2+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)}. \quad (1.39)$$

Finally, we notice that the Maxwell-charged-scalar-field equations are modeled after a wave equation with a scale-critical of the form (1.3), where ϵ represents the asymptotic charge of the spacetime. This system was studied by the author [22] in spherical symmetry on a Reissner–Nordström black hole assuming ϵ is small. On the other hand, for the Maxwell-charged-scalar-field equations on Minkowski spacetime, ϵ is schematically a time-dependent function $\epsilon = Q(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$; in this context, Yang and Yu proved global existence with no smallness assumption on the initial data [24]; see also [5, 15, 23] for previous works.

2 Preliminary

We start by re-writing (1.3) in terms of the radiation field $\psi := r\phi$: we find the formula

$$\partial_u \partial_v \psi = \frac{\Omega^2}{4} r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi + \frac{\epsilon}{r^2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \tilde{w}_i(u, v) \cdot \partial_u^i \psi + \tilde{q}(u, v) \cdot r \partial_v \psi \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where we defined

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_i(u, v) &= \check{w}_i(u, v) + \epsilon^{-1} \check{W}_i(u, v), \\ \tilde{q}(u, v) &= \check{q}(u, v) + \epsilon^{-1} \check{Q}(u, v), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\check{w}_0(u,v) &= -\frac{\Omega^2}{4}w_0(u,v) + \frac{[\partial_u r]\Omega^2}{4}w_1(u,v) + \frac{[\partial_v r]\Omega^2}{4}q(u,v), \\ \check{w}_1(u,v) &= -\frac{\Omega^2}{4}w_1(u,v), \\ \check{W}_0(u,v) &= -\frac{\Omega^2}{4}W_0(u,v) + \frac{[\partial_u r]\Omega^2}{4}W_1(u,v) + \frac{[\partial_v r]\Omega^2}{4} + r\partial_u\partial_v r, \\ \check{W}_1(u,v) &= -\frac{\Omega^2}{4}W_1(u,v), \\ \check{q}(u,v) &= -\frac{\Omega^2}{4}q(u,v), \quad \check{Q}(u,v) = -\frac{\Omega^2}{4}Q(u,v).\end{aligned}$$

Note that, under the assumptions (1.4), (1.5), we have

$$|\check{w}_i|(u,v), |\check{q}|(u,v), r|\partial_v\check{w}_0|(u,v), r|\partial_v\check{q}|(u,v), r^2|\partial_v\check{w}_1|(u,v) \lesssim 1, \quad (2.2)$$

and under the assumption (1.13),

$$|\partial_u\check{w}_i|(u,v), |\partial_u\check{q}|(u,v), \lesssim r^{-1}(u,v), \quad (2.3)$$

in the region where $r \geq R(\epsilon)$, where we assume $R(\epsilon) > 0$ to be a large constant. We then define the null outgoing cone

$$C_u = \{u' = u, v \geq v_R(u), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $v_R(u) = u + R$ is such that $\rho(u, v_R(u)) = R$, where $\rho = v - u$. We will use the notation $\gamma_R = \{(u, v_R(u), \omega), u \geq u_0, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\} = \{(u_R(v), v, \omega), v \geq v_0, \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$. We also define global V-shaped foliation Σ_u

$$\Sigma_u = C_u \cup \underbrace{\{u' \leq u, v' = v_R(u), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\}}_{\underline{C}_u}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $u_R(v)$ is such that $r(u_R(v), v) = R$; and for any $u_1 < u_2$, the spacetime domain

$$\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2) = \{u_1 \leq u \leq u_2, v \geq v_R(u), \omega \in \mathbb{S}^2\} \quad (2.6)$$

and the unweighted energy on Σ_u defined as

$$E[\phi](u) = \int_{C_u} (r^2|\partial_v\phi|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\phi|^2) dv d\omega + \int_{\underline{C}_u} (r^2|\partial_u\phi|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\phi|^2) du' d\omega, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$E_p[\psi](u) = \int_{C_u} r^p |\partial_v\psi|^2 dv d\omega, \quad (2.7b)$$

$$\tilde{E}_p[\phi](u) = E_p[r\phi](u) + E(u). \quad (2.7c)$$

Our convention is that the volume form that we use is always $du dv d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^2}$.

For any function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$, we write its decomposition in terms of spherical harmonics $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow Y_L(\omega)$

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{L=0}^{+\infty} f_L Y_L(\omega), \quad (2.8a)$$

$$f_{\leq L_0}(\omega) = \sum_{L=0}^{L_0} f_L Y_L(\omega), \quad (2.8b)$$

$$f_{\geq L_0}(\omega) = \sum_{L=L_0}^{+\infty} f_L Y_L(\omega), \quad (2.8c)$$

for any $L_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and, of course, the notation extends to $f(u, v, \omega)$ for which $f_L(u, v)$ now also depends on (u, v) .

We will also always adopt the convention $A \lesssim B$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ that it independent of ϵ such that

$$A \leq C \cdot B. \quad (2.9)$$

We will also write $A \pm \mathcal{O}(f(\epsilon))$ as a replacement for $A \pm f(\epsilon)$, where $f(x) \geq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$.

Finally, with no loss of generality, we will always assume that $u_0 > 1$.

Boundedness of the r^p -weighted energy and energy decay

3.1 r^p -weighted energy and black box decay results

In this section, we provide preliminary calculus results that will be useful in the sequel. The key idea is to succeed in converting the boundedness of the r^p -weighted energy into the time-decay of the unweighted energy.

Lemma 3.1 ([22, Lemma 6.3]). *Suppose that there exists $1 < p < 2$, such that for all $u_0 \leq u_1 < u_2$*

$$\int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[\psi](u) du + \tilde{E}_p(u_2) \lesssim \tilde{E}_p(u_1). \quad (3.1)$$

Then for all $0 \leq q \leq p$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tilde{E}_q(u) \lesssim u^{-k(1-\frac{q}{p})} + \frac{\sup_{2^{-2k-1}u \leq u' \leq u} \tilde{E}_p(u')}{u^{p-q}} \lesssim u^{-p+q} \cdot \tilde{E}_p(u_0). \quad (3.2)$$

Now, we move to a point-wise decay result taking advantage of the decay of the weighted energy.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and $v \geq v_R(u)$, the following estimate holds:*

$$r^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma}(u, v) \|\phi(u, v, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} \lesssim \left[\tilde{E}_{2\gamma}(u) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. This is a standard integration argument, see for instance [22, Lemma 7.1]. $\square$

Then, we include a standard consequence of the Integrated Local Decay Estimate (1.9) to control the energy on a timelike curve, using an averaging argument in r , see e.g., [22, Proposition 6.4].

Lemma 3.2. *The following estimates hold true for all $u_1 < u_2$, and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$:*

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq k} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u, v_R(u), \omega) du d\omega \lesssim \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} E[\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi](u_1). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. While the argument is already contained in the proof of Proposition 6.4 in [22], we briefly sketch it for the reader's convenience. We will always assume R is large enough. By (1.9), we have, recalling $\rho(u, v) = v - u$,

$$\int_{\frac{R}{2} \leq \rho \leq 2R, u_1 \leq u \leq u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2 \leq C_R E(u_1).$$

So by the mean-value theorem applied to the function ρ , there exists $R^* \in (\frac{R}{2}, 2R)$ such that

$$\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2(u, v_{R^*}(u)) du \leq 2RC_R E(u_1).$$

With no loss of generality, we can then replace R by R^* and thus (3.4) is proved for $k=0$. Similarly, after commuting (1.3) with $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta$, $|\beta| \leq k$ and applying (1.9) to this new solution, we obtain (3.4) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

3.2 r^p -weighted multipliers

In this section, we assume sufficient regularity of all the functions involved so that integration by part makes sense. Our goal is to derive various integrated identities using $r^p \partial_v$ multipliers for (2.1) or commuted versions.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that*

$$\partial_u \partial_v \psi = \frac{\Omega^2}{4} r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi + F. \quad (3.5)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[\partial_u \left(\frac{r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2}{2} \right) + \partial_v \left(\frac{\Omega^2 r^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2}{8} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Omega^2 \left(\frac{p}{2} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{8} ([2-p] \partial_v r - r \partial_v \log(\Omega^2)) r^{p-3} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} r^p \partial_v \psi F. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Multiply (3.5) with $r^p \partial_v \psi$ and integrate on $\mathbb{S}^2$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that ψ satisfies (3.5). Then, defining $\Psi_1 := \Omega^{-2} r^2 \partial_v \psi$, we have*

$$\partial_u \Psi_1 + 2r^{-1} [-\partial_u r] \Psi_1 = \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi + \frac{r^2 F}{\Omega^2}, \quad (3.7a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_u \partial_v \Psi_1 + 2r^{-1} [-\partial_u r] \partial_v \Psi_1 - 2r^{-2} [-\partial_v r \partial_u r + r \partial_{uv}^2 r] \Psi_1 \\ &= \frac{\Omega^2}{4} r^{-2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1 + \partial_v \left(\frac{r^2 F}{\Omega^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7b)$$

Therefore, the use of the $r^p \partial_v$ multiplier provides the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left((-\partial_u r) \left[2 + \frac{p}{2} \right] r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \partial_u \left(\frac{r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2}{2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\Omega^2}{8} ([2-p] \partial_v r - r \partial_v \log(\Omega^2)) r^{p-3} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 \right) \\ & \quad + r^{p-3} |\Psi_1|^2 [(p-2) \partial_v r [-\partial_v r \partial_u r + r \partial_u \partial_v r] - (\partial_{vv}^2 r)(\partial_u r) + r(\partial_u \partial_v \partial_v r)] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} r^p \partial_v \Psi_1 \partial_v \left(\frac{r^2 F}{\Omega^2} \right) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \partial_v \left(r^{p-2} (-\partial_u r \partial_v r + r \partial_u \partial_v r) |\Psi_1|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\Omega^2 r^{p-2}}{8} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.3. □

3.3 Hardy and Poincaré inequalities

In this section, we recall the standard Hardy and Poincaré inequalities (that do not require (1.3) to be satisfied), as expressed in the language we will be using. We also include a less trivial and novel result, involving a Hardy-type inequality for the ingoing derivative taking advantage of (1.3).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $q \neq 2$ and assume that for all $u \geq u_0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$*

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} r^{q-2}(u, v) f(u, v, \omega) = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{q-3} |f|^2 du dv \\ & \lesssim |2-q|^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{q-1} |\partial_v f|^2 du dv + \frac{R^{q-2}}{|2-q|} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} |f|^2(u, v_R(u)) du. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Let $q < 2$ and ϕ a solution of (1.3), with $\psi = r\phi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{q-3} |\partial_u \psi|^2 \\ & \lesssim \epsilon^2 (2-q)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} [r^{q-3} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + r^{q-5} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2] + (2-q)^{-1} \left(\int_{C_{u_1}} r^{q-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + R^{p-4} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} (R^2 |\partial_u \psi|^2 + |\psi|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2)(u, v_R(u)) du \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. The proof of the Hardy inequality (3.9) is standard, see for instance [22, Lemma 2.2]. For (3.10), we use a similar strategy and write:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\partial_u \psi|^2 \\ & = -\frac{1}{2-p} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \partial_v (r^{p-2}) \frac{|\partial_u \psi|^2}{\partial_v r} \\ & = \frac{1}{2-p} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} R^{p-2} \frac{|\partial_u \psi|^2}{\partial_v r} (u, v_R(u)) du + \frac{1}{2-p} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} [r^2 \partial_v^2 r] \frac{|\partial_u \psi|^2}{[\partial_v r]^2} \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{2-p} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \frac{\Omega^2}{4 \partial_v r} r^{p-4} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \partial_u \psi + \epsilon r^{p-4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{\tilde{w}_i(u, v)}{\partial_v r} \cdot \partial_u^i \psi + \frac{\tilde{q}(u, v)}{\partial_v r} \cdot r \partial_v \psi \right) \partial_u \psi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (1.4) and (2.2), we can absorb some of the terms using the largeness of R and smallness of ϵ to write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\partial_u \psi|^2 - \frac{2}{2-p} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \frac{\Omega^2}{4\partial_v r} r^{p-4} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \partial_u \psi \right] \right| \\ & \lesssim [2-p]^{-1} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} R^{p-2} |\partial_u \psi|^2(u, v_R(u)) du + \epsilon^2 [2-p]^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\partial_v \psi|^2 \\ & \quad + \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-5} |\psi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Now, by (3.9) with $q=p-2$, the last term can be controlled in the following fashion:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\partial_u \psi|^2 - \frac{2}{2-p} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \frac{\Omega^2}{4\partial_v r} r^{p-4} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \partial_u \psi \right| \\ & \lesssim R^{p-4} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} (R^2 [2-p]^{-1} |\partial_u \psi|^2 + |\psi|^2)(u, v_R(u)) du \\ & \quad + \epsilon^2 [2-p]^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\partial_v \psi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Note that integrating by parts on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and then in u gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \frac{\Omega^2}{\partial_v r} r^{p-4} [\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \partial_u \psi] \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \frac{\Omega^2}{\partial_v r} r^{p-4} \partial_u [|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2] \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{C_{u_2}} \frac{\Omega^2}{\partial_v r} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_{u_1}} \frac{\Omega^2}{\partial_v r} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{v_R(u_1)}^{v_R(u_2)} \frac{\Omega^2}{\partial_v r} R^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2(u_R(v'), v') dv' + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \partial_u \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{\partial_v r} r^{p-4} \right) |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, combining to (1.4) and (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 + [2-p] \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\partial_u \psi|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 + R^{p-4} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} (R^2 |\partial_u \psi|^2 + |\psi|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2)(u, v_R(u)) du \\ & \quad + \epsilon^2 [2-p]^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\partial_v \psi|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

139 which is completing the proof of (3.10). $\square$

140 The next proposition records the well-known Poincaré inequality.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} f_{\geq L}|^2 \geq L(L+1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |f_{\geq L}|^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} f_L|^2 = L(L+1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |f_L|^2. \quad (3.15)$$

141 *Proof.* This is very standard, see for instance [12, Lemma 2.1], □

142 3.4 Lower-weighted energy estimates

143 We start with a first boundedness statement for r^p -weighted estimates for $0 \leq p < 2$.

144 The argument relies on the Hardy inequalities of Proposition 3.2 and provides (non-
145 optimal) decay results in time for the unweighted energy.

Proposition 3.4. *There exists $p_{\text{low}}(\epsilon) < 2$ with $2 - p_{\text{low}}(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ such that for all $0 < p \leq p_{\text{low}}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + p \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + (2-p) r^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} [r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2] + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. By (2.1), ψ satisfies (3.5) with

$$F = \epsilon r^{-2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \tilde{w}_i(u, v) \cdot \partial_u^i \psi + \tilde{q}(u, v) \cdot r \partial_v \psi \right),$$

so we can apply Lemma 3.3 and integrate (3.6) on $\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + p \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + (2-p) r^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \epsilon \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} [r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + r^{p-3} (|\psi|^2 + |\partial_u \psi|^2)] \\ & \quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \epsilon \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} (|\psi|^2 + |\partial_u \psi|^2) + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above, we have used the bound on F coming from (2.2) giving

$$\begin{aligned} r^p |\partial_v \psi F| &\lesssim \epsilon (r^{p-2} (|\psi| + |\partial_u \psi|) |\partial_v \psi| + r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2) \\ &\lesssim \epsilon (r^{p-3} (|\psi|^2 + |\partial_u \psi|^2) + r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Now, we apply Proposition 3.2 and by (3.9), (3.10) with $q=p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} [|\psi|^2 + |\partial_u \psi|^2] \\ &\lesssim [2-p]^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{R^3 [2-p]} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \\ &\quad + [2-p]^{-1} \int_{C_{u_1}} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du', \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

146 hence, using the smallness of ϵ , on condition that $(2-p) \gg \sqrt{\epsilon}$, we get (3.16). $\square$

Corollary 3.1. For $0 \leq p \leq p_{low}(\epsilon)$ and $u_1 < u_2$:

$$p \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[\psi](u) du + \tilde{E}_p(u_2) \lesssim \tilde{E}_p(u_1). \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. Note that, since $p_{low} < 2$, we have $r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \lesssim |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi|^2$, hence

$$\int_{C_u} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \lesssim E(u). \quad (3.20)$$

Using this, together with Proposition 3.4 and (1.8) immediately proves

$$p \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[\psi](u) du + \tilde{E}_p(u_2) \lesssim \tilde{E}_p(u_1) + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \quad (3.21)$$

147 Then (3.19) follows from an application of Lemma 3.2. $\square$

148 We finally obtain a first (non-optimal) decay result of the energy.

Corollary 3.2.

$$E[\phi](u) \lesssim u^{-p_{low}} \lesssim u^{-2+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (3.22)$$

149 *Proof.* Immediate from the combination of Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.1. $\square$

150 3.5 Faster energy decay for higher spherical harmonics

In this section, we prove that the higher spherical harmonics decay faster than the spherical average. Our goal is to reproduce the $0 \leq p \leq 2$ hierarchy for the commuted quantity $\Psi_1 = r^2 \partial_v \psi$ (see [2] where this idea was first introduced). First, we define

$$\phi_{\geq 1}(u, v, \omega) := \phi(u, v, \omega) - \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi(u, v, \omega) d\omega \quad (3.23)$$

and $\psi_{\geq 1}(u, v, \omega) = r \phi_{\geq 1}(u, v, \omega)$. We will also denote

$$\Psi_1(u, v, \omega) = r^2 \partial_v \psi_{\geq 1}(u, v, \omega).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Recall $F = \epsilon r^{-2} (\sum_{i=0}^1 \tilde{w}_i(u, v) \cdot \partial_u^i \psi + \tilde{q}(u, v) \cdot r \partial_v \psi)$. Then, if ϕ is a solution of (1.3):*

$$\left| \partial_v \left(\frac{r^2 F}{\Omega^2} \right) - \epsilon \cdot \frac{\tilde{w}_1(u, v)}{4r^2} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \lesssim \epsilon r^{-2} (|\psi| + |\partial_u \psi| + |\Psi_1| + r |\partial_v \Psi_1|). \quad (3.24)$$

151 Eq. (3.24) is also true replacing F by $F_{\geq 1}$, mutatis mutandis.

152 *Proof.* Immediate calculation, using (2.1), (1.4) and (2.2). $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *For all $u_1 < u_2$, we have for all $0 < p \leq p_{low}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} (r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + r^{p+1} |\partial_v \psi|^2) \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Proof. Fix $0 < p < 2$. We make use of Lemma 3.4 and integrate (3.8) on $\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)$. By the fact that for $p < 2$, the boundary terms in v of

$$\partial_v \left(r^{p-2} (-\partial_u r \partial_v r + r \partial_u \partial_v r) |\Psi_1|^2 - \frac{\Omega^2 r^{p-2}}{8} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 \right)$$

on $\mathcal{I}^+ = \{v = \infty\}$ are 0 and taking advantage of Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \left[2 + \frac{p}{2} \right] r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{8} ([2-p] \partial_v r - r \partial_v \log(\Omega^2)) |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left((p-2) \partial_v r [-\partial_v r \partial_u r + r \partial_{uv}^2 r] - (\partial_{vv}^2 r)(\partial_u r) + r \partial_u \partial_v \partial_v r \right) |\Psi_1|^2 \Big) \\
& \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \epsilon \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} |\partial_v \Psi_1| (|\psi| + |\partial_u \psi| + |\Psi_1| + r |\partial_v \Psi_1|) \\
& \quad + \epsilon \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \tilde{w}_1 [\partial_v \Psi_1] \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
& \quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \tag{3.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the smallness of ϵ to absorb the

$$\epsilon \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} |\partial_v \Psi_1| (|\psi| + |\partial_u \psi| + |\Psi_1| + r |\partial_v \Psi_1|)$$

term into the left-hand-side from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \left[2 + \frac{p}{2} \right] r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{8} ([2-p] \partial_v r - r \partial_v \log(\Omega^2)) |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + ((p-2) \partial_v r [-\partial_v r \partial_u r + r \partial_{uv}^2 r] - (\partial_{vv}^2 r)(\partial_u r) + r \partial_u \partial_v \partial_v r) |\Psi_1|^2 \right) \\
& \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \epsilon \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} (|\psi|^2 + |\partial_u \psi|^2 + |\Psi_1|^2) \\
& \quad + \epsilon \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \tilde{w}_1 [\partial_v \Psi_1] \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
& \quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \tag{3.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Apply Proposition 3.2 with $q=p$ gives, also exploiting the fact that $\int_{C_{u_1}} r^{q-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2 \lesssim E(u_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} (|\psi|^2 + |\partial_u \psi|^2) \\
& \lesssim [2-p]^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} [r^{p-3} |\partial_v \psi|^2 + r^{p-5} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi|^2] \\
& \quad + (2-p)^{-1} (E(u_1) + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'). \tag{3.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can apply Proposition 3.2 again to $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi$ this time with $q=p-2$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-5} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\psi|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\partial_v\psi|^2 + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 1, |\beta|\leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Combining (3.28), (3.29), and recalling that $r^2|\partial_v\psi| \lesssim |\Psi_1|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} (|\psi|^2 + |\partial_u\psi|^2) \\ & \lesssim [2-p]^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-7} [|\Psi_1|^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Psi_1|^2] \\ & \quad + (2-p)^{-1} \left(E(u_1) + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 1, |\beta|\leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We now return to (3.27), in particular its third term. By (3.14) (Poincaré's inequality) in Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\Psi_1)_{\geq 2}|^2 \geq 6 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |(\Psi_1)_{\geq 2}|^2,$$

and of course

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\Psi_1)_{=1}|^2 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |(\Psi_1)_{=1}|^2,$$

hence, as a consequence of (1.4), we have a coercive estimate of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{8} ([2-p]\partial_v r - r\partial_v \log(\Omega^2)) |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Psi_1|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + ((p-2)\partial_v r [-\partial_v r \partial_u r + r\partial_{uv}^2 r] - (\partial_{vv}^2 r)(\partial_u r) + r\partial_u \partial_v \partial_v r) |\Psi_1|^2 \right) \\ & \gtrsim [2-p] \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} (r^{p-3} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\Psi_1)_{\geq 2}|^2 - r^{p-4} |(\Psi_1)_{=1}|^2) \\ & \gtrsim [2-p] \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} (r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Psi_1|^2 - r^{p-4} |\Psi_1|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Thus, combining this estimate with (3.27), (3.30) (we took $R(\epsilon)$ to be large enough

in order to absorb various terms into the left-hand-side) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \left[2 + \frac{p}{2} \right] r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + [2-p] \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi|^2 \\
& \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \epsilon \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\Psi_1|^2 + \epsilon \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \tilde{w}_1 [\partial_v \Psi_1] \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
& \quad + E(u_1) + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \tag{3.32}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality) applying to Ψ_1 with $q=p$, we get, using the fact that $\epsilon[2-p]^{-1} = o(1)$ since $p \leq p_{low}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \left[2 + \frac{p}{2} \right] r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + [2-p] \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi|^2 \\
& \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \epsilon \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \tilde{w}_1 [\partial_v \Psi_1] \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
& \quad + E(u_1) + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \tag{3.33}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we handle the term $\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \tilde{w}_1 [\partial_v \Psi_1] \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi$. Integrating by parts on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and in v gives, using (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \partial_v \Psi_1 \tilde{w}_1(u, v) \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
& = \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \tilde{w}_1(u, v) \partial_v \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
& = \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \tilde{w}_1(u, v) \partial_v \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1 \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 + \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\psi| |\partial_v \psi| \right| \\
& \quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 + r^{p-3} |\psi|^2 + r^{p-3} |\Psi_1|^2 \\
& \quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 + r^{p-3} |\Psi_1|^2 \\
&\quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du', \tag{3.34}
\end{aligned}$$

153 where in the last inequality, we have applied Proposition 3.2 (Hardy's inequality) to
 154 ψ for $q=p$.

Now, using Proposition 3.2, this time on Ψ_1 with $q=p$ finally gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} \partial_v \Psi_1 \tilde{w}_1(u, v) \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 + r^{p-3} |\psi|^2 + r^{p-3} |\Psi_1|^2 \\
&\quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi_1|^2 + r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 \\
&\quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'. \tag{3.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.33), (3.34) and using the smallness of ϵ (recall that $\epsilon = o(2-p)$ by choice of p_{low}) to absorb the right-hand-side of (3.34) into the left-hand-side of (3.33) finally leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} \left[2 + \frac{p}{2} \right] r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + [2-p] \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Psi|^2 \\
&\lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 + E(u_1) + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1, |\beta| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi|^2(u', v_R(u')) du', \tag{3.36}
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of (3.25), noting again that Proposition 3.2 provides the control of

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\Psi_1|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p+1} |\psi|^2.$$

155 This completes the proof. □

156 We deduce a r^p -weighted-hierarchy analogous to that of Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. For $0 \leq p \leq p_{low}(\epsilon)$ and $u_1 < u_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{u_2} (E_{p-1}[\Psi_1](u) + E_{p+1}[\psi](u)) du + E_p[\Psi_1](u_2) \\ & \lesssim E_p[\Psi_1](u_1) + \sum_{|\beta| \leq 1} E[\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi](u_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.5, following the same proof as Corollary 3.1. $\square$

Finally, we apply the hierarchy of Corollary 3.3 to deduce the faster energy-decay of higher-order spherical harmonics.

Corollary 3.4. The following estimate holds for all $u \geq u_0$:

$$E[\phi_{\geq 1}](u) \lesssim u^{-2p_{low}}. \quad (3.38)$$

Proof. First, we choose a dyadic sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and apply Corollary 3.37 to $u_1 = u_n$, $u_2 = u_{n+1}$; then, by the mean-value theorem, there exists $u_n^* \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p-1}[\Psi_1](u_n^*) & \lesssim u_n^{-1} \left(E_p[\Psi_1](u_n) + \sum_{|\beta| \leq 1} E[\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi_{\geq 1}](u_n) \right) \\ & \lesssim u_n^{-1} \left(E_p[\Psi_1](u_0) + \sum_{|\beta| \leq 1} E[\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi_{\geq 1}](u_0) \right) \lesssim u_n^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have also used (1.8) applied to $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^\beta \phi_{\geq 1}$. Then by using Corollary 3.37 again, we obtain that for all $u \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$

$$E_{p-1}[\Psi_1](u) \lesssim u^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$E_0[\Psi_1](u) = \int_{C_u} |\partial_v \Psi_1|^2 \lesssim u^{-p_{low}}.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality), we obtain

$$\int_{C_u} r^{-2} |\Psi_1|^2 = \int_{C_u} r^2 |\partial_v \psi_{\geq 1}|^2 = E_2[\psi_{\geq 1}](u) \lesssim u^{-p_{low}}.$$

In particular, we have

$$E_{p_{low}}[\psi_{\geq 1}](u) \lesssim u^{-p_{low}}.$$

Then, we can apply Lemma 3.1 again to $\psi_{\geq 1}$, this time invoking Corollary 3.1 applied to $\phi_{\geq 1}$ to finally obtain (3.38) as desired. $\square$

163 We conclude this section by proving point-wise decay estimates corresponding
164 to (1.11), (1.14), but for $\phi_{\geq 1}$ instead of the full solution.

Corollary 3.5. *The following estimates hold: for all $\eta > 0$, $u \geq u_0$*

$$r|\phi_{\geq 1}|(u, v, \omega) \lesssim_{\eta} u^{\frac{1}{2} - p_{low} + \eta} \lesssim u^{-\frac{3}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \quad (3.39a)$$

$$r^{\frac{1}{2}}|\phi_{\geq 1}|(u, v, \omega) \lesssim_{\eta} u^{-p_{low} + \eta} \lesssim u^{-2 + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (3.39b)$$

Proof. We write, combining the Sobolev embedding on $\mathbb{S}^2$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in v : for any $\eta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{\geq 1}|(u, v, \omega) &\lesssim \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\beta} \psi_{\geq 1}(u, v, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left(R \|\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\beta} \phi_{\geq 1}(u, v_R(u), \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)} + \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} r^{1+2\eta} |\partial_v \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\beta} \psi_{\geq 1}|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

165 Eq. (3.39a) then follows immediately from the application of Proposition 3.1 with
166 $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \eta$, and Proposition 3.5 applied to $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^2}^{\beta} \phi$ for $|\beta| \leq 2$. Eq. (3.39b) is obtained
167 similarly as a consequence of Proposition 3.1 with $\gamma = \eta$. $\square$

168 3.6 Higher-weighted energy estimates for the spherical 169 average

In Section 3.4, we managed to prove the boundedness of r^p -weighted estimates for $p \leq p_{low} = 2 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. In this section, we want to obtain a similar result for $2 \leq p < 3 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$, which comes arbitrarily close to the sharp exponents $p < 3$. To do this, we will restrict to our attention to the spherical-average of the solution

$$\phi_0 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \phi(u, v, \omega) d\omega. \quad (3.41)$$

170 This section generalizes the treatment of [22] in Section 6.3, whose main idea is to
171 absorb the error terms inside the boundary term $E_p[\psi](u_2)$ instead of absorbing them
172 into the bulk term $\int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[\psi](u) du$ with the help of a Grönwall argument. We point
173 out, however, that the argument has been extended and significantly streamlined
174 compared to its previous version present in [22].

175 We start by quoting an easy calculus lemma, on which the Grönwall argument
176 will be eventually based.

Lemma 3.6. *The following identity holds for all $u_1 < u_2$:*

$$\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{du}{a\sqrt{u}+b} = \frac{2}{a} \left[\sqrt{u} - \frac{b}{a} \log \left(\sqrt{u} + \frac{b}{a} \right) \right]_{u_1}^{u_2}, \quad (3.42)$$

where we defined $[F(u)]_{u_1}^{u_2} := F(u_2) - F(u_1)$.

Proof. The proof is elementary, using a change of variable $x = \sqrt{u}$. $\square$

Then, we move to the main result of this section, which quantifies faster energy decay for the spherical average than what was obtained in Section 3.4.

Proposition 3.6. *There exists $2 < p_{\text{high}}(\epsilon) \leq 1 + p_{\text{low}} < 3$ such that $p_{\text{high}} = 3 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ and $\delta(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ such that for all $u \geq u_0$, $0 \leq q \leq p_{\text{high}} - 1$*

$$\tilde{E}_q[\phi_0](u) \lesssim u^{-p_{\text{high}}+2\delta+q}, \quad (3.43a)$$

$$\tilde{E}_{p_{\text{high}}}[\phi_0](u) \lesssim u^{2\delta}. \quad (3.43b)$$

Proof. Let $2 < p \leq 1 + p_{\text{low}} < 3$. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.4, we use the multiplier $r^p \partial_v \psi$, and we apply Lemma 3.3 to obtain, using (1.4), (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 - \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right| + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' + \epsilon \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \\ & \quad + \epsilon \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^p |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} (|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Proposition 3.2 applying with $q = p - 1$, and the fact that $p < 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 - \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right| + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \\ & \quad + \epsilon [3 - p]^{-1} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^p |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by an averaging argument in R similar to the one used in Corollary 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[\psi_0](u) du + |E_p[\psi_0](u_2) - E_p[\psi_0](u_1)| \\ & \lesssim |E_p[\psi_0](u_2) - E_p[\psi_0](u_1)| \\ & \lesssim \epsilon [3-p]^{-1} \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_p[\psi_0](u) du \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-2}[\psi_0] du \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + E(u_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Then, applying Corollary 3.1, in view of the fact that $p-1 \leq p_{low}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[\psi_0](u) du + |E_p[\psi_0](u_2) - E_p[\psi_0](u_1)| \\ & \lesssim |E_p[\psi_0](u_2) - E_p[\psi_0](u_1)| \\ & \lesssim \epsilon [3-p]^{-1} \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_p[\psi_0](u) du \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\tilde{E}_{p-1}(u_1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + E(u_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

In what follows, we take $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, a dyadic sequence, and $u_2 = u \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$ and $u_1 = u_n$. For some large $\Delta > 0$, $D > 0$ and small $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ to be determined later, we introduce the induction hypotheses

$$\tilde{E}_{p-1}(u_k) \leq D^2 \cdot \Delta^2 u_k^{-1+2\delta}, \quad (3.46a)$$

$$\tilde{E}_p(u_k) \leq \Delta^2 u_k^{2\delta}. \quad (3.46b)$$

Eqs. (3.46a), (3.46b) are obviously satisfied for $k=0$ (providing Δ is large enough, depending on u_0 , where we recall $u_0 > 1$) and we will assume it holds for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then, combining (3.46a) and (3.45) shows that there exists $C > 0$ (independent of ϵ and p) and $\eta(\epsilon, p) = C\epsilon[3-p]^{-1}$ such that

$$E_p[\psi_0](u) \leq \underbrace{\Delta \cdot D \cdot \eta(\epsilon) \cdot u_n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}_{=a(u_n, \Delta, \epsilon)} \left[\int_{u_n}^u E_p[\psi_0](u') du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{E_p[\psi_0](u_n) + C E(u_n)}_{=b(u_n)}. \quad (3.47)$$

Therefore, as an application of Lemma 3.6

$$\left(\int_{u_n}^u E_p[\psi_0](u') du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{a}{2} (u - u_n) + \frac{b}{a} \log \left(1 + \frac{a}{b} \left(\int_{u_n}^u E_p[\psi_0](u') du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (3.48)$$

Denoting

$$X = \frac{a}{b} \left(\int_{u_n}^u E_p[\psi_0](u') du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad F(x) = x - \ln(1+x),$$

a monotonically increasing function of (positive) inverse $F^{-1}(y)$. Thus, we have

$$F(X) \leq \frac{a^2}{2b} [u - u_n] \leq \frac{\Delta^2 D^2 \eta^2}{2} \frac{u_n^{2\delta}}{b(u_n)}, \quad (3.49)$$

from which we get

$$X \leq F^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta^2 \eta^2}{2} \frac{u_n^{2\delta}}{b(u_n)} \right),$$

which translates into

$$a \left(\int_{u_n}^u E_p[\psi_0](u') du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq b \cdot F^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta^2 D^2 \eta^2}{2} \frac{u_n^{2\delta}}{b(u_n)} \right). \quad (3.50)$$

Note that $F^{-1}(y) \leq 2\sqrt{y}(1+\sqrt{y})$ for all $y \geq 0$ (this follows easily from the inequality $z \leq 2\sqrt{z}(1+\sqrt{z}) - \ln(1+2\sqrt{z}(1+\sqrt{z}))$ for all $z \geq 0$), hence (3.50), combined with (3.47) gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_p[\psi_0](u) &\leq a \left(\int_{u_n}^u E_p[\psi_0](u') du' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + b \\ &\leq u_n^\delta \cdot \Delta \cdot D \cdot \eta(\epsilon) \cdot \left[b^{\frac{1}{2}}(u_n) \sqrt{2} + \Delta \cdot D \cdot \eta(\epsilon) \cdot u_n^\delta \right] + b(u_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Now, note that, as a consequence of (3.46b) and Corollary 3.2, there exists $C' > 0$ independent of ϵ such that

$$b(u_n) \leq \Delta^2 u_n^{2\delta} + C' \cdot u_n^{-p_{low}}. \quad (3.52)$$

So, combining (3.51) and (3.52) gives, for u large enough and $D\eta(\epsilon)$ small enough

$$E_p[\psi_0](u) \leq u_n^{2\delta} \cdot \Delta^2 \cdot (1 + 2\Delta\eta(\epsilon)) \leq u_{n+1}^{2\delta} \cdot \Delta^2 \cdot \eta(\epsilon) \cdot \frac{1 + 2\Delta\eta(\epsilon)}{2^{2\delta}}. \quad (3.53)$$

To achieve a small $D\eta(\epsilon)$, we take D to be independent of ϵ and $3-p \gtrsim \sqrt{\epsilon}$, so that $\eta(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. We will also take Δ to be independent of ϵ and choose $\delta = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ so that

$$2^{2\delta} = [1 + 2\Delta\eta(\epsilon)]^2.$$

In view of Corollary 3.2, the left-hand-side of (3.53) also controls $\tilde{E}_p$ and, therefore, (3.46b) is satisfied for $k = n+1$, after taking ϵ (hence $\eta(\epsilon)$) small enough. Now, to prove (3.46a) for $k = n+1$, we come back to (3.45), which we combine with (3.53) to obtain

$$\int_{u_n}^u E_{p-1}[\psi_0](u') du' + E_p[\psi_0](u) \lesssim \Delta^2 \cdot \eta(\epsilon) \cdot u_n^{2\delta}. \quad (3.54)$$

Then, by the mean-value theorem, there exists $u_n^* \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$ such that

$$E_{p-1}[\psi_0](u_n^*) \lesssim \Delta^2 \cdot \eta(\epsilon) \cdot u_n^{-1+2\delta}, \quad (3.55)$$

and by Corollary 3.1, we deduce that for all $u \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$,

$$E_{p-1}[\psi_0](u) \lesssim \Delta^2 \cdot \eta(\epsilon) \cdot u^{-1+2\delta}, \quad (3.56)$$

181 thus, (3.46b) is satisfied for $k=n+1$ if ϵ (hence $\eta(\epsilon)$) is small enough and D is large
 182 enough. Thus, (3.43b) and (3.43a) for $q=p_{high}-1$ are proved. In view of the fact
 183 that $p_{high}-1 \in (1,2)$, we can finally apply Lemma 3.1 and deduce (3.43a) for any
 184 $0 \leq q \leq p_{high}-1$. $\square$

185 Then, we deduce a corollary From Proposition 3.6 that will end-up being useful
 186 in the next section.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $0 \leq q \leq 3+\eta_0$, for some $\eta_0 \in (0,1)$. Then for all $u \geq u_0$,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u,\infty)} r^{q-6} [|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2 + r^2 |\partial_v \psi_0|^2] \lesssim u^{-p_{high}+2\delta+\eta_0}. \quad (3.57)$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u,\infty)} r^{q-6} [|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2 + r^2 |\partial_v \psi_0|^2] \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u,\infty)} r^{q-4} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 + \int_u^{+\infty} [|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2] (u', v_R(u')) du' \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u,\infty)} r^{-1+\eta_0} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 + E(u) \\ & \lesssim \int_u^{+\infty} E_{\eta_0-1}[\psi_0](u') du' + E(u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

Now by Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\int_u^{+\infty} E_{\eta_0-1}[\psi_0](u') du' \lesssim \tilde{E}_{\eta_0}(u) \lesssim u^{-p_{high}+2\delta+\eta_0},$$

187 which concludes the proof. $\square$

188 Finally, from Proposition 3.6, we deduce the proof of (1.11) when restrict to ϕ_0 ,
 189 the spherical average.

Corollary 3.7. *The following estimate holds: for all $u \geq u_0$, $v \geq v_R(u)$*

$$r|\phi_0|(u, v) \lesssim u^{\frac{1-p_{high}}{2} + \frac{\delta(\epsilon)}{2}}. \quad (3.59)$$

Proof. We write, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for any $\eta > 0$

$$|\psi_0|(u, v) \lesssim_\eta R|\phi_0|(u, v_R(u)) + \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1+\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (3.60)$$

Then, combining Proposition 3.1 with $\gamma = \eta$ and Proposition 3.6, to

$$|\phi_0|(u, v_R(u)) \lesssim u^{-\frac{p_{high}}{2} + \delta + \eta} \lesssim u^{-\frac{3}{2} + \eta + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (3.61)$$

By Proposition 3.6, we also obtain

$$\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1+\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v) dv \lesssim u^{1+\eta-p_{high}} \lesssim u^{-2+\eta+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} \quad (3.62)$$

190 Combining the two concludes the proof of (3.59), choosing $\eta = \delta = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. $\square$

191 **3.7 Energy and radiation field pointwise decay statements** 192 **of Theorem 1.1**

Finally, we obtain the proof of (1.10) and (1.11) in Theorem 1.1. First, (1.10) results from an immediate application of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, noting that

$$E[\phi](u) = E[\phi_0](u) + E[\phi_{\geq 1}](u) \lesssim u^{-2p_{low}} + u^{-p_{high}+2\delta} \lesssim u^{-3+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (3.63)$$

193 Then, (1.11) is obtained as an immediate application of Corollary 3.7 and Corol-
194 lary 3.5.

195 **4 Commuted energy and point-wise decay under** 196 **extra assumptions**

197 In this section, we will utilize (1.12a), (1.12b), (2.3) to derive faster decay for the T -
198 commuted energy (1.25) and sharp point-wise decay in the bounded- r region (1.14).
199 We generalize the approach of Gajic in [11], that consists in establishing a new
200 hierarchy for the quantity $\Theta_0 = r\partial_v \psi$.

4.1 An additional hierarchy

We start to derive a r^p weighted hierarchy for Θ_0 and $2 < p < 3$.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists $C > 0$ independent of ϵ so that for all $2 + C\epsilon \leq p \leq p_{high}$:*

$$\int_{C_u} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \lesssim u^{-p_{high} + p + 2\delta(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a dyadic sequence and $u_n \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq u_{n+1}$. Then

$$\int_{u_1}^{u_2} \int_{C_{u'}} [r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + r^{p-3} |\Theta_0|^2] du' \lesssim u_n^{-p_{high} + p + 2\delta(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We define $\Theta_0 = r \partial_v \psi_0$, and assuming $\partial_u \partial_v \psi_0 = F$, we find that

$$\partial_u \partial_v \Theta_0 + \frac{-\partial_u r}{r} \partial_v \Theta_0 + (-r^{-1} \partial_u \partial_v r + r^{-2} \partial_u r \partial_v r) \Theta_0 = \partial_v (r F). \quad (4.3)$$

By (3.5) and (1.4), we have, choosing $R(\epsilon)$ large enough:

$$\left| \partial_u \partial_v \Theta_0 + \frac{1}{r} \partial_v \Theta_0 - r^{-2} \Theta_0 \right| \lesssim \frac{\epsilon}{r^2} (|\psi_0| + |\partial_u \psi_0| + |\Theta_0| + r |\partial_v \Theta_0|). \quad (4.4)$$

Now, multiply (4.4) by $r^p \partial_v \Theta_0$ and integrate on $\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)$, in the same fashion as in Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, with additionally an integration by parts in v of the $r^{p-2} [\partial_v \Theta_0] \Theta_0$ term to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + (p+1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + (p-2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\Theta_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \epsilon \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-4} [|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2] \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du', \end{aligned}$$

after absorbing various terms into the left-hand-side. In what follows, we will take $2 + C\epsilon < p < 3$ for some $C > 0$ independent of ϵ arranged so that all the terms in the left-hand-side are coercive. We also use Proposition 3.2 applied with $q = p-1$ to proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.6 to obtain, noting the fact that $r^{p-2} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 = r^{p-4} \Theta_0^2$ and we also use Lemma 3.2 to control the term $C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du'$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + (p+1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + (p-2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\Theta_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \epsilon [3-p]^{-1} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-2} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \tilde{E}(u_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where in the last line, we have used the fact that $r^{p-4}|\Theta_0|^2 = r^{p-2}|\partial_v\psi_0|^2$. Then, we can apply Proposition 3.6 and take $p = p_{high}$ in (4.5), resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^{p_{high}} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p_{high}-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p_{high}-3} |\Theta_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^{p_{high}} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \epsilon [3 - p_{high}]^{-1} u_1^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p_{high}} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{E}(u_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We can then repeat the proof of Proposition 3.6 to obtain

$$\int_{C_{u_2}} r^{p_{high}} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p_{high}-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p_{high}-3} |\Theta_0|^2 \lesssim u_2^{2\delta(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Now let $2 + C\epsilon < p < p_{high}$. We write, using (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\Theta_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \epsilon \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{2p-3-p_{high}} [|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2] \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p_{high}-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + E(u_1) \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \epsilon \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{2p-3-p_{high}} [|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2] \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} u_2^\delta + E(u_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, note that $2p-3-p_{high} < -1$ so we can still apply Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_{u_2}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p-3} |\Theta_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + \epsilon \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{2p-1-p_{high}} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} u_2^\delta + E(u_1) \\ & \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + u_2^\delta \cdot u_1^{-p_{high}+p}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where in the last line, we have used Proposition 3.6. Now, applying (4.8) if $u_1 < u_2 = u \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$, where $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a dyadic sequence easily gives

$$\int_{C_u} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \lesssim \int_{C_{u_1}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + u_n^{-p_{high}+p+\delta}. \quad (4.9)$$

Note that by (4.7) applied to $u_1 = u_n$ and $u_2 = u_{n+1}$, there exists $u_n^* \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$ such that

$$\int_{C_{u_n^*}} r^{p_{high}-1} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \lesssim u_n^{-1+2\delta}. \quad (4.10)$$

By interpolation between (4.7) with $u_2 = u_n^*$ and (4.10), we obtain

$$\int_{C_{u_n^*}} r^p |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \lesssim u_n^{-p_{high}+p+2\delta}. \quad (4.11)$$

203 Taking $u_1 = u_n^*$ in (4.9) and combining with (4.11) then concludes the proof of the
204 proposition. $\square$

205 4.2 Improved decay for the time-derivative

In this section, we take advantage of Proposition 4.1 to derive improved decay for the T derivative of ψ_0 , in order to prove (1.25) in Theorem 1.1. To fix the notations, recall $T\psi_0 = \partial_u \psi_0 + \partial_v \psi_0$. Assuming $\partial_u \partial_v \psi_0 = F$, we obtain

$$\partial_v T\psi_0 = F + \partial_v^2 \psi_0 = F + \partial_v(r^{-1} \Theta_0) = F + r^{-1} \partial_v \Theta_0 - r^{-2} [\partial_v r] \Theta_0. \quad (4.12)$$

206 We start by showing improved decay for the r^p -weighted energy of $T\phi_0$ along a
207 dyadic sequence. The following result—Proposition 4.2 below—does not require the
208 use of the extra assumptions (1.12a), (1.12b), (2.3).

Proposition 4.2. *There exists $p_T(\epsilon) \in (2, p_{high})$, $\eta_T(\epsilon) \in (0, 1)$, with $p_T(\epsilon) = 3 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ and $\eta_T(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, such that for all dyadic sequences $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exists $u_n^* \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$ such that*

$$\tilde{E}_{p_T}[T\phi_0](u_n^*) \lesssim u_n^{-2+\eta_T(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.13)$$

Moreover, the following estimate holds for all dyadic sequences $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_n, u_{n+1})} r^{p_T} |\partial_v T\psi_0|^2 = \int_{u_n}^{u_{n+1}} \tilde{E}_{p_T}[T\phi_0](u) du \lesssim u_n^{-1+2\eta_T(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. Let $p' = 3 - \nu$, where $\nu \in (0, 1)$. We write, using (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} r^{p'} |\partial_v T\psi_0| &\lesssim r^{p'} F^2 + r^{p'-4} |\Theta_0|^2 + r^{p'-2} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^2 r^{p'-4} (|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2) + r^{p'-4} |\Theta_0|^2 + r^{p'-2} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

for $u_1 < u_2$, we integrate (4.15) on $\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)$, and, making use of Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality) with $q = p' - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p'} |\partial_v T \psi_0|^2 \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p'-4} |\Theta_0|^2 + r^{p'-2} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + C_R \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} |\partial_\alpha \phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du', \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

which turns into the following estimate after applying Lemma 3.2

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p'} |\partial_v T \psi_0|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p'-4} |\Theta_0|^2 + r^{p'-2} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 + E(u_1). \quad (4.17)$$

Now, if $\nu \geq C\epsilon$, then $p' - 1 + 2\nu \in (2 + C\epsilon, p_{high})$ and thus we have by Proposition 4.1 applied to $p = p' - 1 + 2\nu$ that, assuming as in its statement that $u_n \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq u_{n+1}$, where $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a dyadic sequence

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p'-4+2\eta} |\Theta_0|^2 + r^{p'-2+2\eta} |\partial_v \Theta_0|^2 \lesssim u_n^{p'-p_{high}-1+2\delta(\epsilon)+2\eta(\epsilon)} \lesssim u_n^{-1+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (4.18)$$

Thus, combining with (4.17) and (1.10), we end up with

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{p'} |\partial_v T \psi_0|^2 \lesssim u_n^{-1+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (4.19)$$

Thus, by the mean-value theorem, there exists $u_n^* \in [u_n, u_{n+1}]$ such that

$$\int_{C_{u_n^*}} r^{p'} |\partial_v T \psi_0|^2 \lesssim u_n^{-2+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \quad (4.20)$$

209 which concludes the proof. $\square$

Now, we move on to using the extra assumptions (1.12a), (1.12b), (2.3) to show that the improved decay proved in Proposition 4.2 on a dyadic sequence in fact holds for all u . Let us denote

$$\partial_u \partial_v T \psi_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{r^2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 \tilde{w}_i(u, v) \cdot \partial_u^i T \psi_0 + \tilde{q}(u, v) \cdot r \partial_v T \psi_0 \right) + \epsilon F_0. \quad (4.21)$$

As a consequence of the assumptions (1.4), (2.2), (2.3) note that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_0| & \lesssim r^{-3} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 (|Tr| |\tilde{w}_i| + r |T \tilde{w}_i|) \cdot |\partial_u^i \psi_0| + (|Tr| |q| + r |Tq|) \cdot r |\partial_v \psi_0| \right) \\ & \lesssim r^{-3} \left(\sum_{i=0}^1 |\partial_u^i \psi_0| + r |\partial_v \psi_0| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Proposition 4.3. *Assume (1.12a), (1.12b), (2.3) are satisfied. Then, there exists $p_T(\epsilon) \in (2, p_{high})$, $\eta_T(\epsilon) \in (0, 1)$, with $p_T(\epsilon) = 3 - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ and $\eta_T(\epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, such that*

$$\tilde{E}_{p_T}[T\phi_0](u) \lesssim u^{-2+\eta_T(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.23)$$

Moreover, for all $0 \leq q \leq p_T - 1$,

$$\tilde{E}_q[T\phi_0](u) \lesssim u^{-2-p_T+\eta_T(\epsilon)+q}. \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. Take $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, a dyadic sequence and $u_n \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq u_{n+1}$. We will start to show that the analogue of Proposition 3.4 holds for $T\phi_0$. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.4, take $0 \leq q \leq p_{low}$ and apply the multiplier $r^q T\psi_0$ to get, as in Corollary 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{q-1}[T\psi_0](u) du + \tilde{E}_q[T\psi_0](u_2) \\ & \lesssim \tilde{E}_q[T\psi_0](u_1) + \epsilon^2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^{q+1} |F_0|^2 + u^{-5+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where we have also used (1.12a) and Proposition 3.6. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we apply the multiplier $r^p T\psi_0$ with $p = p_T$, and integrate to get, using (4.25)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u) du + E_p[T\psi_0](u_2) \\ & \lesssim E_p[T\psi_0](u_1) + \epsilon(E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u_1) + \int_{\mathcal{D}_R(u_1, u_2)} r^p |F_0|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_p[T\psi_0](u) du \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Now, we can combine (4.22) and Corollary 3.6 with $\eta_0 = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u) du + E_p[T\psi_0](u_2) \\ & \lesssim E_p[T\psi_0](u_1) + \epsilon(E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u_1) + u_n^{-3+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_p[T\psi_0](u) du \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

By (4.14) in Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{u_1}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u) du + E_p[T\psi_0](u_2) \\ & \lesssim E_p[T\psi_0](u_1) + \epsilon(E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u_1) + u_n^{-3+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})})^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\eta_T(\epsilon)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Then, take $u_1 = u_n^*$ so that (4.13) in Proposition 4.2 is valid, thus for all $u_2 \in [u_n^*, u_{n+1}]$

$$\int_{u_n^*}^{u_2} E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u) du + E_p[T\psi_0](u_2) \lesssim u_n^{-2+\eta_T} + \epsilon (E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u_n^*))^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\eta_T(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.29)$$

Now, by the mean-value theorem again, there exists $u_n^{**} \in [u_n^*, u_{n+1}]$ such that

$$E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u_n^{**}) \lesssim u_n^{-3+\eta_T} + \epsilon (E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u_n^*))^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\eta_T(\epsilon)}. \quad (4.30)$$

But then, by the boundedness result of Corollary 3.1, we in fact have

$$\sup_{u \in [u_n^*, u_{n+1}]} E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u) \lesssim u_n^{-3+\eta_T} + \epsilon (E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u_n^*))^{\frac{1}{2}} u_n^{-\frac{3}{2}+\eta_T(\epsilon)}, \quad (4.31)$$

hence, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\sup_{u \in [u_n^*, u_{n+1}]} E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u) \lesssim u_n^{-3+2\eta_T}. \quad (4.32)$$

Hence, for all $u \geq u_0$

$$E_{p-1}[T\psi_0](u) \lesssim u^{-3+2\eta_T}. \quad (4.33)$$

Finally we conclude with the proof of (4.24), using Lemma 3.1.

210
211

□

212 4.3 Finishing the proof of point-wise decay

213 We now turn to the completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1, where (1.11) remains
214 the only estimate to be established. We will assume the extra assumptions (1.12a),
215 (1.12b), (2.3) hold throughout this section. First, the point-wise decay of $\phi_{\geq 1}$ is
216 obtained by Corollary 3.5 so in the rest of the proof we focus on ϕ_0 , the spherical
217 average. We start by establishing the optimal point-wise decay result of ϕ_0 on the
218 constant r -curve $r = R$.

Lemma 4.1. *The following estimate holds:*

$$|\phi_0|(u, v_R(u)) \lesssim u^{-2+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (4.34)$$

Proof. We use (1.12b) repeating the argument of Lemma 3.2 we find that, combining with Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 3.6

$$\int_u^{+\infty} |\phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \lesssim E[\phi_0](u) \lesssim u^{-p_{high}+2\delta(\epsilon)} \lesssim u^{-3+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \quad (4.35a)$$

$$\int_u^{+\infty} |T\phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \lesssim E[T\phi_0](u) \lesssim u^{-2-p_T+\eta_T} \lesssim u^{-5+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (4.35b)$$

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) &\lesssim \left| \int_u^{+\infty} \phi_0 \cdot T\phi_0(u', v_R(u')) du' \right| \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_u^{+\infty} |\phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_u^{+\infty} |T\phi_0|^2(u', v_R(u')) du' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

219 which gives (4.34). □

220 Next, we prove similar bounds for $\partial\phi$ on the constant r curve $r=R$.

Lemma 4.2. *The following estimate holds:*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=1} |\partial_\alpha \phi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) \lesssim u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (4.37)$$

Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ independent of ϵ , such that for all $\eta \in (-1, 1)$ such that $|\eta| > C\epsilon$,

$$\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} (r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2 + r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2) dv' \lesssim u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})+\eta}. \quad (4.38)$$

Proof. We take η with $0 < |\eta| \leq 1$, and write, invoking Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \\ &\lesssim \eta^{-1} |\psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) + \eta^{-2} \int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \\ &\lesssim |\eta|^{-1} \left(u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Next, we write, using (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \\ &\lesssim \int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1-\eta} (|\partial_v T\psi_0|^2 + \epsilon^2 r^{-4} (|\psi_0|^2 + |\partial_u \psi_0|^2 + r^2 |\partial_v \psi_0|^2)) (u, v') dv'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Then, applying Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality) to the $|\psi_0|^2$ and $|\partial_u \psi_0|^2$ terms, we end up with

$$\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \lesssim \int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} (r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v T \psi_0|^2 + \epsilon^2 r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2)(u, v') dv' + \epsilon^2 (|\psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) + |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u))). \quad (4.41)$$

Now, we apply Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.1 to obtain (denoting x_+ the positive part of x)

$$\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \lesssim u^{-4+[-\eta]_++\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \epsilon^2 \int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' + \epsilon^2 |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)). \quad (4.42)$$

Combining (4.39) and (4.42) gives

$$\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \lesssim u^{-4+[-\eta]_++\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \eta^{-2} \epsilon^2 \int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' + \eta^{-2} \epsilon^2 |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)). \quad (4.43)$$

Now, choosing $\eta^{-2} \epsilon^2 = \delta$ small enough (this requires the claimed condition on η that $|\eta| > C\epsilon$), we get

$$\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \lesssim u^{-4+[-\eta]_++\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \delta |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)), \quad (4.44)$$

as well as

$$\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \lesssim u^{-4+[-\eta]_++\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \epsilon^2 |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)). \quad (4.45)$$

To close the estimate, we then try to bound $|\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u))$. We write, for any $v = v_R(u)$, and taking advantage of the fact that $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \partial_v \psi_0(u, v) = 0$ and Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality) and Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) &\lesssim \int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} |\psi_0| |\partial_v \psi_0|(u, v') dv' \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{-1-\eta'} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1+\eta'} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim [u^{-4+|\eta'|+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \delta |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u))]^{\frac{1}{2}} [u^{-4+|\eta'|+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \epsilon^2 |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \delta |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

where in the last two lines, we have applied (4.44) with $\eta=\eta'$ and (4.45) with $\eta=-\eta'$, respectively and chosen $\eta'=\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. Choosing η so that δ is sufficiently small, we have established (4.37) with $\alpha=v$, i.e.,

$$|\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) \lesssim u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \quad (4.47)$$

and thus, combining with (4.44), (4.45) also gives (4.38). To show (4.37) with $\alpha=u$, we invoke Proposition 3.1 applied to $T\phi_0$ and Proposition 4.3 to obtain

$$|T\phi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) \lesssim u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \quad (4.48)$$

221 which gives (4.37) with $\alpha=u$ after combining with and noticing that $|\partial_u \phi_0| \lesssim |\partial_v \phi_0| +$
 222 $|T\phi_0|$. $\square$

223 The proof of the following proposition will conclude the proof of (1.14), in view
 224 of the above remarks.

Proposition 4.4. *The following estimate holds for all $u \geq u_0$, $v \geq v_R(u)$*

$$|\phi_0|(u, v) \lesssim u^{-2+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}. \quad (4.49)$$

225 *Proof.* To complete the proof of (4.49), we propagate (4.34) of Lemma 4.1 in the
 226 region where $v \geq v_R(u)$.

We start writing, using Proposition 3.2 (Hardy inequality), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2: for all $u \geq u_0$, $v \geq v_R(u)$, and any $\eta \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_0|^2(u, v) &\lesssim |\phi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) + \int_{v_R(u)}^v r^{-2} |\psi_0| |\partial_v \psi_0|(u, v') dv' \\ &\lesssim |\phi_0|^2(u, v_R(u)) + \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^v r^{-3-\eta} |\psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^v r^{-1+\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{-1-\eta} |\partial_v \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' + u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{v_R(u)}^{+\infty} r^{1+\eta} |\partial_v^2 \psi_0|^2(u, v') dv' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})} + [u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})-\eta} + u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}]^{\frac{1}{2}} [u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})+\eta} + u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim u^{-4+\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

227 where, in the last line, we have applied Lemma 4.2 with $\eta=\pm\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. This concludes
 228 the proof. $\square$

229 Acknowledgements

230 The author gratefully acknowledges support from the NSF Grant DMS-2247376.
 231 Special thanks go to Haydée Pacheco for the figure.

References

- [1] Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic, Late-time asymptotics for the wave equation on spherically symmetric, stationary spacetimes, *Adv. Math.*, **323** (2018), 529–621.
- [2] Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic, A vector field approach to almost-sharp decay for the wave equation on spherically symmetric, stationary spacetimes, *Ann. PDE*, **4**(2) (2018), Paper No. 15, 120.
- [3] Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic, Price’s law and precise late-time asymptotics for subextremal Reissner–Nordström black holes, *Annales Henri Poincaré*, **24**(9) (2023), 3215–3287.
- [4] Dean Baskin, Jesse Gell-Redman, and Jeremy L. Marzuola, Price’s law on Minkowski space in the presence of an inverse square potential, *arXiv Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2207.06513>*, 2022.
- [5] Lydia Bieri, Shuang Miao, and Sohrab Shahshahani, Asymptotic properties of solutions of the Maxwell Klein Gordon equation with small data, *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, **25**(1) (2017), 25–96.
- [6] Ovidiu Costin, Wilhelm Schlag, Wolfgang Staubach, and Saleh Tanveer, Semiclassical analysis of low and zero energy scattering for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with inverse square potentials, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **255**(9) (2008), 2321–2362.
- [7] Mihalis Dafermos, Gustav Holzegel, Igor Rodnianski, and Martin Taylor, Quasilinear wave equations on asymptotically flat spacetimes with applications to Kerr black holes, *arXiv preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.14093>*, 2022.
- [8] Mihalis Dafermos, Gustav Holzegel, Igor Rodnianski, and Martin Taylor, Quasilinear wave equations on Kerr black holes in the full subextremal range $|a| < M$, *arXiv preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.03639>*, 2024.
- [9] Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski, A new physical-space approach to decay for the wave equation with applications to black hole spacetimes, in *XVIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, pages 421–432. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.
- [10] Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski, Lectures on black holes and linear waves, in *Evolution Equations*, volume 17 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 97–205. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [11] Dejan Gajic, Late-time asymptotics for geometric wave equations with inverse-square potentials, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **285**(7) (2023), Paper No. 110058, 114.
- [12] Dejan Gajic and Maxime Van de Moortel, Late-time tails for scale-invariant wave equations with a potential and the near-horizon geometry of null infinity, *ArXiv preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.13047>*, 2024.
- [13] Peter Hintz, Linear waves on asymptotically flat spacetimes. I, *arXiv Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.14647>*, 2023.
- [14] Jonathan Luk and Sung-Jin Oh, Late time tail of waves on dynamic asymptotically flat spacetimes of odd space dimensions, *ArXiv preprint:*

- 273 <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02220>, 2024.
- 274 [15] Hans Lindblad and Jacob Sterbenz, Global stability for charged-scalar fields on
275 Minkowski space, *Int. Math. Res. Papers*, 2006 (2006), 52976.
- 276 [16] Siyuan Ma, Almost Price's law in Schwarzschild and decay estimates in Kerr for
277 Maxwell field, *J. Differential Equations*, 339 (2022), 1–89.
- 278 [17] Georgios Moschidis, The r^p -weighted energy method of Dafermos and Rodnianski
279 in general asymptotically flat spacetimes and applications, *Ann. PDE*, 2(1) (2016),
280 6, 194.
- 281 [18] Siyuan Ma and Lin Zhang, Price's law for spin fields on a Schwarzschild background,
282 *Ann. PDE*, 8(25) (2022).
- 283 [19] Federico Pasqualotto, Nonlinear stability for the Maxwell-Born-Infeld system on a
284 Schwarzschild background, *Ann. PDE*, 5(2) (2019), Paper No. 19, 172.
- 285 [20] Volker Schlue, Decay of linear waves on higher-dimensional Schwarzschild black
286 holes, *Anal. PDE*, 6(3) (2013), 515–600.
- 287 [21] Wilhelm Schlag, On pointwise decay of waves, *J. Math. Phys.*, 62(6) (2021), Paper
288 No. 061509, 27.
- 289 [22] Maxime Van de Moortel, Decay of weakly charged solutions for the spherically
290 symmetric Maxwell-charged-scalar-field equations on a Reissner-Nordström exterior
291 space-time, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 55(2) (2022), 283–404.
- 292 [23] Shiwu Yang, Decay of solutions of Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equations with arbitrary
293 Maxwell field, *Anal. PDE*, 9(8) (2016), 1829–1902.
- 294 [24] Shiwu Yang and Pin Yu, On global dynamics of the Maxwell-Klein-Gordon equa-
295 tions, *Camb. J. Math.*, 7(4) (2019), 365–467.