A Vision for Transformative Intersections

Yuankai He and **Weisong Shi**, University of Delaware

To support the rapid development, validation, and deployment of adaptive intersection systems that meet the complex demands of CAVs, and to optimize both traffic efficiency and road safety, we introduce OpenIntersection.

The rapid evolution of connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs) is ushering in a transformative era for transportation, challenging the adequacy of traditional intersection designs. Conventional intersections, which rely heavily on static traffic signals and human input, are ill equipped to meet the demands of CAV technologies that require dynamic real-time communication and coordination to enhance traffic flow and improve safety significantly. This article introduces OpenIntersection, an active framework that reimagines intersection design by modulating the workload, integrating sensor systems, such as camera and lidar sensors, vehicle-to-everything (V2X) communication, and heterogeneous computing platforms.

OpenIntersection is designed to support the rapid development, validation, and deployment of adaptive intersection systems that meet the complex demands of CAVs, thereby optimizing both traffic efficiency and road safety.

THE EMERGENCE OF CAVs

The emergence of CAVs marks a transformative period in transportation, compelling a reevaluation of existing intersection designs. Traditional intersections, primarily governed by automated traffic signals, are proving inadequate in the era of CAVs that demand dynamic real-time communication and coordination. As vehicles evolve to become more interconnected and autonomous, the existing infrastructure, which facilitates static and predetermined traffic management, falls short of supporting the sophisticated needs of modern transportation technologies.

Existing intersections are designed with dual objectives: regulating traffic flow and ensuring the safety of all road users. They control the movement of vehicles and pedestrians through intersecting paths, optimizing for efficiency and safety. However, in the context of rapid technological advancements where software-defined capabilities are prevalent, traditional intersections remain largely hardware dependent, limiting their adaptability and the ease with which they can integrate technological upgrades.

This limitation is critical as emerging technologies that could significantly enhance safety and operational efficiency continue to develop. These advancements often depend on the capabilities of connected intersections, which are integral to intelligent transportation systems (ITSs). ITSs employ cellular V2X technology to facilitate robust wireless communication between vehicles, infrastructure, and other road users, supporting functionalities such as signal phase and timing, collision avoidance, and cooperative autonomous driving.

Despite these advancements, current intersection systems remain passive and nonprogrammable, failing to fully meet the evolving demands for transportation efficiency and safety. This article introduces OpenIntersection, a transformative framework that reenvisions intersection design by incorporating and modularizing advanced technologies such as camera systems, lidar sensors, V2X communication, and a heterogeneous computing platform. OpenIntersection is designed to support the rapid development, validation, and deployment of adaptive software-defined intersection (SDI) systems to optimize traffic flow and enhance road safety effectively. It takes into account the entire architecture of connected intersection systems, including their components, functions, interfaces, and performance requirements, thus offering a comprehensive and visionary approach to future intersection designs.

Furthermore, this article aims to interest original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), researchers, and policymakers in the development and deployment of these advanced intersection systems. It validates the proposed design through prototype and simulation test results, explores the inherent limitations of current designs, and proposes future directions for the evolution of intersection technologies.

This article is presented as follows. The "Background Information" section offers important background information on CAV technologies, the design of intersections and state-of-the-art technologies for intersections, and related works. The "Motivation" section summarizes our motivation to create the OpenIntersection reference design. The "System Design" section takes a deep dive into the details of OpenIntersection. The "SDI" section demonstrates a prototype and how OpenIntersection opens up the door to SDI, and finally, the article concludes and discusses future works.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The intersection is dangerous

According to the U.S. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration,[1] almost one-third of annual traffic fatalities involve an intersection, averaging 10,389 in the past five years. The number is even more significant for nonfatal traffic accidents. This statistic not only underscores the inherent risk associated with intersections but also highlights the urgent need for innovative solutions to mitigate such risks.

Emerging technologies like connected vehicles and smart intersection systems present a viable avenue for enhancing road safety. Connected vehicles, equipped with advanced communication capabilities, facilitate real-time information exchange between vehicles and the surrounding infrastructure, augmenting situational awareness and enabling preemptive responses to potential hazards.

Background on CAVs

CAVs have increased safety levels when compared to nonconnected vehicles. This is because connected vehicles can also leverage information from surrounding vehicles and infrastructure. Information such as the traffic light signal phase and the time until the next phase can help the driver decide whether to speed up or slow down. Pedestrian detection information helps drivers avoid vulnerable road users when they are in the blind spot zone. Other information, such as the vehicles' heading, speed, and turn signal status, can help surrounding vehicles make driving decisions.

Talebpour and Mahmassani's work[14] in 2016 argues that connected vehicles can improve traffic stability and throughput. Ran and Hossain's survey work[12] discusses the impact of CAVs on transportation performance. The article lists road safety as the most important benefit among highway capacity, mobility, environmental, and economic benefits. The work of Deng et al.[4] in 2019 and the work of Dong et al.[6] on the vision and challenge for collaborative autonomous driving in 2020 demonstrated that the V2X-based cooperative collision avoidance scheme performs better than traditional global navigation

satellite systems-based collision avoidance. The work of Yu et al.[16] in 2022 demonstrated that autonomous driving vehicles that share sensing or perception data via broadcast-based V2X technologies have improved safety. Talebpour, Dong, and Yu all support the idea that connected vehicles can increase road safety.

Recent advancements in ITSs

In addition to recent advancements in CAVs, the work of Ran et al.[11] in 2019 is one of the first to propose CAVH ITS to work cooperatively with automated

vehicles. Aldakkhelallah and Simic[2] in 2021 investigated the role of autonomous vehicles in ITSs. The work of Wu et al.[15] in 2022 proposes an ITS to manage unprotected left-turn vehicles when the driver's view is blocked. In the work of Zhang et al.,[17] the authors proposed a perception system in the most dangerous roundabout in the state of Michigan. He et al.[7] push V2X beyond its intended design to guide connected vehicles to stay in their lanes with infrastructure cameras. Companies, such as Ouster,[9] have developed their own ITS systems to support CAVs. In addition, many others have reviewed and proposed many designs for CAVs and ITS.[3,5,8,10,13] However, despite years of research and government pilot studies, due to the high barrier to entry and inconsistent

standards, CAVs and ITS are far from widespread.

MOTIVATION

The emergence of CAVs necessitates a profound transformation in intersection infrastructure design to support their advanced technological and communication capabilities. Traditional intersection infrastructure, often slow to evolve, struggles to keep pace with the rapid advancements in CAV technologies. This mismatch highlights the need for intersection designs that are not only adaptable but can also be

> ## OPENINTERSECTION INTRODUCES A SOFTWARE-DEFINED REFERENCE DESIGN AIMED AT REVOLUTIONIZING INTERSECTION MANAGEMENT.

rapidly implemented and upgraded as technology evolves.

OpenIntersection introduces a software-defined reference design aimed at revolutionizing intersection management. This framework is designed to be highly adaptive, allowing for quick updates and the flexibility to integrate with new technologies as they emerge. It is structured to ensure that intersections can efficiently respond to the dynamic demands of modern transportation, facilitating smoother traffic flow and enhanced safety. By proposing a model that emphasizes proactive adaptability and technological integration, OpenIntersection seeks to lead the transformation toward smarter, safer, and more efficient traffic systems.

SYSTEM DESIGN

OpenIntersection introduces a novel software-defined framework for intersection management, specifically designed to integrate with and enhance modern traffic systems by actively adapting to real-time conditions. This section details the system's architecture, highlighting its responsiveness, flexibility, and ability to deploy and validate technological advancements quickly. OpenIntersection is crafted to meet the diverse needs of stakeholders within different traffic ecosystems.

❭ OEMs benefit from standardized V2X communication protocols, ensuring seamless vehicle-system compatibility and easier integration of new automotive technologies.

❭ Autonomous mobile robots (AMRs) leverage customized communication channels and real-time data processing for optimized operational efficiency.

❭ Vulnerable road users benefit from an active intersection infrastructure in terms of increased safety and reliability.

❭ City traffic authorities utilize the system's flexible architecture to tailor traffic management solutions to their specific needs, enhancing traffic flow and safety across diverse environments.

To accomplish this, OpenIntersection approaches intersection management from three different perspectives:

1. intersection and transportation
2. CAVs
3. other connected vulnerable road users.

Intersection and transportation

Intersections are critical nodes within transportation networks where multiple roads meet. Traditionally managed by static signals like traffic lights and stop signs, these intersections have primarily focused on minimizing accidents and regulating flow. However, with the surge in vehicle ownership over recent decades, these traditional systems are proving inadequate, struggling to adapt to the dynamic and increasingly complex traffic patterns of modern traffic environments. To address these challenges, some intersections now incorporate technologies like ground activation circuits that adjust signal timing based on real-time traffic conditions. Despite such advancements, the need for a more adaptable solution remains pressing. OpenIntersection introduces a software-defined approach that transforms intersection management. Converting traditional traffic control challenges into software programming opportunities enables a flexible and scalable platform that can adapt to future traffic behaviors and technologies. This system not only manages current traffic efficiently but also integrates advancements in vehicle technology and traffic management strategies, enhancing the safety and efficiency of urban transportation.

CAVs

CAVs represent a significant evolution in automotive technology, often described by OEMs as the key to extending vehicle functionality and life span through ongoing updates. These advancements facilitate the rise of autonomous driving technologies. At intersections, CAVs leverage V2X technologies, transforming intersections into dynamic systems that enhance safety through extensive data sharing. This connectivity overcomes the limitations of traditional single-agent systems by augmenting a vehicle's sensory information with broader situational awareness provided by V2X, which enhances safety features traditionally managed by advanced driver-assistance systems (ADASs). The deployment of V2X has been slow due to its reliance on specific hardware, leading to interoperability issues and high costs associated with varying manufacturer systems. OpenIntersection proposes a software-defined approach, advocating for standardized application programming interfaces (APIs) that ensure hardware compatibility and reduce installation complexities. By adhering to protocols like J2735 V2X standards, OpenIntersection facilitates a more integrated and efficient transportation network, minimizing hardware constraints.

Other connected vulnerable road users

While V2X communications have traditionally focused on vehicle-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-infrastructure interactions, OpenIntersection extends this connectivity to encompass all road users, including pedestrians, cyclists, and even pets. This inclusive approach ensures that not just vehicles but any participant in traffic can be integrated into the safety network. Pedestrians often carry smartphones that can provide precise movement data through built-in gyroscopes, while bicycles and motorcycles can be connected through associated mobile devices. OpenIntersection harnesses these connections, allowing for a comprehensive flow of situational data among all road users. This system uses cameras and other sensors to augment data accuracy further, enhancing real-time responsiveness.

Design overview

Figure 1 depicts an example scenario at an intersection where a pedestrian is running a red light, but the drivers fail to observe the pedestrian. Existing solutions involve the vehicle taking over to ensure road user safety. Infrastructure-based solutions for improving road user safety are hard to deploy

FIGURE 1. Example communication between OpenIntersection users. In this scenario, a pedestrian is running a red light and the driver fails to see the pedestrian, leaving the ultimate decision to the vehicle's ADAS system to detect and stop the vehicle.

en masse using current infrastructure design paradigms. This creates issues with development, validation, and deployment.

OpenIntersection consists of five layers. The Computing layer combines high-performance processors (CPUs and GPUs) for intensive tasks such as object detection and tracking with low-power embedded systems designed for high efficiency and low-latency operations, allowing for different applications to be developed. The Containerization layer allows different applications developed on different computing platforms and software versions to coexist. The Communication layer handles V2X communications and communications between

the submodules, allowing for data synchronization. The Interface layer establishes a universal language, ensuring that devices from different manufacturers and with varying capabilities can communicate effectively, making interoperability possible. It also makes developing new safety-critical applications much easier. Last, the Application layer invites all stakeholders to develop, deploy, and validate their safety-critical applications.

The rest of this section breaks down and explains OpenIntersection's system design into the following:

1. hardware requirements
2. software requirements
3. intersection programming interface
4. scalability
5. data processing and analysis
6. push and pull services
7. security-enhanced V2X message format
8. coverage requirements
9. safety requirements.

Hardware design requirements

The Sensor hardware. The sensor hardware needs to see and perceive the scene to identify potential accidents and reduce risks. Most of the existing technologies revolve around cameras, and some also revolve around lidars. Camera and imaging technologies have matured to satisfy the need for reliability and a long life span. While lidars do not have a long life span, some technologies require precise locations. With a reliable range of 100 m, OpenIntersection includes a camera and a lidar as two must-have sensors. Additional functionalities may require additional sensors, such as an audio receiver, to detect noise levels.

Computing platform. To effectively handle the diverse computational demands of a modern intersection, OpenIntersection proposes a heterogeneous computing platform. This platform combines high-performance processors (CPUs and GPUs) for intensive tasks such as object detection and tracking, with low-power embedded systems designed for high efficiency and low-latency operations. Embedded systems are particularly suited for managing routine tasks like traffic signal control and emergency interventions, ensuring rapid response capabilities that are critical in traffic management.

The communication hardware. The communication hardware needs to conform to a set of design standards, including operating temperature range, deterministic latency, and coverage. It also needs to conform to a set of commonly accepted software programming APIs—critical for maintaining continuous system improvements and compatibility with new technologies.

The traffic control actuator. At the core of the hardware suite is the traffic control actuator, a vital component that serves as the ultimate fail-safe mechanism. This actuator ensures that even in the event of software anomalies or calculation errors, no conflicting traffic signals are issued, thereby preventing accidents. This design principle underscores OpenIntersection's commitment to safety and reliability, ensuring that intersection controls remain secure and effective, even under unexpected conditions.

Software design requirements

OpenIntersection embodies the principles of an SDI, focusing on flexibility and rapid deployment across different hardware setups. This software-centric approach ensures that

> **[TO EFFECTIVELY HANDLE THE DIVERSE COMPUTATIONAL DEMANDS OF A MODERN INTERSECTION, OPENINTERSECTION PROPOSES A HETEROGENEOUS COMPUTING PLATFORM.]**

OpenIntersection remains adaptable and scalable regardless of the specific hardware in use. The key aspects of this design are as follows:

- *Hardware-software decoupling:* By separating hardware dependencies from software operations, OpenIntersection ensures that its software can be deployed across various hardware platforms. This flexibility allows for greater innovation in hardware choices without compromising the functionality or efficiency of the system.
- *Rapid deployment and validation:* The software design is engineered to facilitate quick updates and improvements, enabling the faster validation and deployment of new safety applications. This capability is crucial for responding to emerging traffic management challenges and integrating the latest advancements in safety technology effectively.

Infrastructure programming interface

The infrastructure programming interface at OpenIntersection facilitates communication across diverse vehicle types and traffic management systems, enhancing scalability and interoperability. By adopting software-defined network (SDN) principles, these interfaces allow for dynamic network management and configuration, ensuring that devices from various manufacturers can interact effectively. This setup fosters a collaborative environment among stakeholders such as vehicle manufacturers, software developers, and urban planners, which is crucial for refining API standards that accommodate a broad spectrum of needs, from enhancing vehicle safety to optimizing traffic flow. The iterative process of testing, feedback, and updates inherent to SDN is crucial for adapting to challenges like data privacy and the integration of increasingly autonomous vehicles, ultimately crafting a resilient and adaptable transportation ecosystem.

Scalability

The SDI concept emphasizes infrastructure compatibility, customization, and extensibility. Its design incorporates SDN capabilities to facilitate smooth integration with existing road infrastructure and allows for tailored solutions that meet specific local needs without extensive modifications. This extensible framework supports current traffic management technologies and is poised to incorporate future innovations, maintaining relevance as traffic dynamics evolve. Additionally, OpenIntersection is designed to accommodate new participants in traffic, such as AMRs, ensuring long-term viability and adaptability.

Data processing and analysis

Effective data handling and analysis are crucial for managing the complex dynamics of modern intersections. The system's software-defined architecture enables it to seamlessly process and analyze diverse data streams from CAVs, sensors, and other infrastructure elements. This capability allows real-time traffic management and decision making, adapting to changing traffic conditions. The scalable data architecture efficiently handles increasing data volumes, facilitating predictive analytics for traffic flow optimization and safety enhancements. By integrating advanced data analysis tools, the system can continually learn and adapt, supporting smarter, safer, and more efficient traffic management.

Furthermore, OpenIntersection can be viewed as an extensible edge. It harnesses edge computing to process data near

> **[OPENINTERSECTION IS DESIGNED TO ACCOMMODATE NEW PARTICIPANTS IN TRAFFIC, SUCH AS AMRS, ENSURING LONG-TERM VIABILITY AND ADAPTABILITY.]**

traffic intersections, reducing latency and bandwidth use, crucial for real-time traffic management. Its scalability allows for easy expansion to meet increasing demands, while its extensibility supports integrating new technologies, making it a dynamic and adaptive solution for mobility challenges.

Push and pull services

The integration of push and pull services enriches the communication framework established by existing V2X technologies. While V2X technologies facilitate foundational real-time interactions between vehicles and their surrounding infrastructure, the nuanced application of push and pull strategies introduces a higher level of information

customization and efficiency. Push services preemptively disseminate vital information, ensuring a broad-based situational awareness essential for preemptive traffic management and safety interventions. In contrast, pull services cater to the bespoke information needs of individual users or systems, enabling a more granular level of interaction where data are solicited based on specific real-time requirements. This dual-faceted approach not only amplifies the utility of the extant V2X communications but also cultivates a more adaptable and user-centric ITS ecosystem. The synergistic operation of push and pull services within such a framework underscores a paradigm shift toward more dynamic, responsive, and personalized ITSs, thereby augmenting the overall efficacy and safety of mobility networks.

Security-enhanced V2X message format

V2X messages need to ensure security and latency. Existing V2X implementation solely relies on manufacturers for security. Transitioning from ASN.1 to a TCP/IP-inspired V2X message format may address the dynamic needs of vehicular communications with enhanced efficiency, security, and adaptability. The ASN.1 format, while established, faces challenges in high-density vehicular environments due to its rigidity and limited quality of service differentiation. The proposed structure introduces *Version* and *Header Length* for protocol compatibility and precise payload parsing, which is crucial in fast-changing vehicular scenarios. A redefined *Type of Service* caters to V2X-specific priorities like latency sensitivity, overcoming ASN.1's limitations in service differentiation. *Total Length* and *Message Validity* ensure message integrity

and temporal relevance, aligning with the urgent nature of vehicular communications. The *Protocol* field, along with *Source* and *Destination Addresses*, ensures the efficient routing of diverse V2X services. *Header Checksum* enhances data integrity at high speeds, and *Security & Data* options provide flexibility for advanced security and application-specific needs, addressing ASN.1's constraints in supporting a wide array of V2X applications.

Latency requirements

The OpenIntersection reference design divides latency into three sections: computational, communication, and actuation. Computational latency is derived from processing sensor data and outputting correct traffic control commands; communication latency stems from V2X communications; and actuation latency is inherently small in embedded systems and simple controllers.

Deterministic latency is crucial when providing real-time safety applications. OpenIntersection views latency as a two-step problem. The first step is to determine whether or not an application can provide the correct response within the acceptable time frame; the second step is to determine whether or not an application can provide the correct response within the acceptable time frame when the resource is scarce. Passing both tests ensures that safety-critical applications are useful in times of crisis.

Coverage requirements

Coverage should also be considered alongside latency requirements. This is especially true for communication. Coverage requirements help answer the question of when communication network resources become so scarce that safety-critical applications can

no longer complete their assigned task within the allotted time frame.

Safety requirements

Last but not least, connecting safety-critical infrastructure with other devices may lead to catastrophic outcomes if data safety is ignored. OpenIntersection considers safety from the following scopes:

1. Ensure that the sensor data are reliable.
2. Ensure that the V2X messages are legitimate and not ill intended.
3. Protect user data privacy.

Reliability. Reliability ensures that data can be used for safety-critical applications. Effects such as glaring or low light may render them useless for camera data. These "broken" raw data should not be sent to safety-critical applications. For lidar sensors, the distance-measuring algorithm needs to be calibrated. Unreliable lidar data may lead to incorrect output, causing catastrophic accidents.

The legitimacy of V2X messages. This needs to be verified by the broadcaster and the receiver. If messages are not verified, attackers or ill-intentioned individuals can exploit this deficiency to create fatal accidents.

User data privacy. User data privacy needs to be protected. Intersections collect hundreds of millions of camera captures per day. The captures may include plate information and facial information. If not protected, this information may be used to track individuals.

Limitations

Life expectancy is another reason existing intersections have not all

switched to ITS systems proposed by Ouster and others. Because intersections are permanent, once construction is completed, the intersection is expected to operate for decades without major failures. Camera solutions are well adopted because camera imaging and manufacturing technologies have matured. They are inexpensive to manufacture, easy to install, robust, and easy to replace. This cannot be said for lidars. The proposed hardware stack in OpenIntersection does not consider the life expectancy of existing technologies because we expect hardware manufacturing to mature over the next decade.

SDI

OpenIntersection's implementation as an SDI incorporates both theoretical frameworks and practical applications demonstrated through indoor prototype testing (Figure 2). This section details our prototype's development, the scope of indoor testing, and our plans for extending these tests to outdoor environments.

Prototype

Hardware. Table 1 lists the hardware setup used in the prototype. For the high-performance computing platform, we chose to use the NVIDIA AGX. The ARM architecture is integrated with the SOAFEE architecture, enabling the vision for the software-defined infrastructure. As for a low-computing device and traffic light actuator, we used a Raspberry Pi 4B. This is specially chosen due to its cost, robustness, and programmability. The traffic light is a commercial off-the-shelf traffic light. It is controlled using the general-purpose input/output pins on the Raspberry Pi. A Velodyne VLP-16 lidar and a RealSense RGB-D camera cover most object detection and object tracking use cases within 50 m, about twice the size of an average urban intersection. Last but not least, we deployed an iSmartWay roadside unit onto the prototype. Compared to other ITS systems proposed by Ouster and others, our prototype leverages the heterogeneous computing platform to combine the fast- and low-overhead

benefits of embedded systems and the power of machine learning from high-power computing systems.

Table 1 also showcases the software and framework deployed in the prototype. The ARM SOAFEE framework enables the easy addition, modification, and removal of applications. The V2X hub enables the creation and modification of V2X messages. These messages are sent to the RSU to be broadcast. Object detection, classification, localization, and tracking applications support safety-critical functions such as pedestrian detection and vulnerable road user detection and tracking. Last but not least, a basic traffic rule control algorithm is installed onto the Raspberry Pi.

Adding applications. This can easily be done with docker containers. Users define the software requirements,


(a) **(b)**

FIGURE 2. (a) The OpenIntersection prototype. (b): The management console for SDI, including RGB camera, depth camera, lidar, object detection, and vector map viewer.

TABLE 1. Hardware and software setup.	
Computing unit	**NVIDIA AGX**
Lidar sensor	Ouster VLP-16
Camera sensor	RealSense RGB-D camera
V2X	iSmartWay roadside unit
Traffic light	Standard traffic light
Controller	Raspberry Pi 4B
Framework	ARM SOAFEE
Application 1	V2X hub
Application 2	Object detection and classification
Application 3	Object localization and tracking
Traffic light	Standard traffic light

create the container, and run their applications.

Modifying applications. Modifying applications is also risk free. Containerization ensures that modifying software version dependencies in one container does not affect the software versions in another container. This allows users to freely modify software without worrying about breaking other applications.

Removing applications. Removing applications is hassle free. Because each application is executed only in its container, removing an application does not affect other applications.

Prototype development and indoor testing

The OpenIntersection prototype was tested in an indoor controlled environment that simulates urban traffic

scenarios. This setting allowed us to closely monitor system performance and accurately measure crucial metrics such as latency—the time taken from sensor data capture to action execution. Latency was specifically measured to ensure the system's capability for real-time response, with our tests showing a response time well within the necessary thresholds for traffic management applications. The indoor environment provided a stable platform to debug initial system setups, optimize sensor integration, and refine communication protocols without the variables in an outdoor setting.

Several tests were designed and carried out on OpenIntersection to evaluate some key functionalities:

❱ *Offload testing:* We conducted tests where path-planning tasks, traditionally handled by

individual autonomous vehicles, were offloaded to the infrastructure. OpenIntersection plans a new path for the indoor vehicle to follow. This demonstrates the system's ability to reduce on-vehicle computational loads and improve overall traffic efficiency. The communication latency between OpenIntersection and the indoor vehicle averages up to 30 ms. With this latency, we can plan new routes in real time.

❱ *Shared perception:* The prototype showcased shared perception capabilities, where data collected by individual vehicles or sensors were shared across the network, enhancing situational awareness across all system participants. In this test, OpenIntersection uses V2X messages defined in the J2735 to broadcast


FIGURE 3. Future work at the University of Delaware STAR campus. The D–STAR campus is an open–environment proving ground for CAVs.

detected stop signs and vehicle information via the Wi-Fi network.

› *V2X latency modeling:* We employed a network model to simulate V2X communication latency, providing insights into the real-world performance of the system in transmitting critical safety and operational data between vehicles and infrastructure.

› *Cloud integration test:* The system's ability to update and synchronize with cloud infrastructure was also tested, ensuring that data could be stored and accessed remotely, which is crucial for scalability and data management.

› *Infrastructure to cloud testing:* This test evaluated the efficiency and reliability of data transmission from the infrastructure to the cloud, validating the system's capability to handle large-scale data processing and analysis, crucial for future real-time applications. The average latency between OpenIntersection and the cloud is 10 ms, enabling real-time monitoring and teleoperation.

The advancement of CAV technologies presents significant challenges and opportunities for intersection design. OpenIntersection, through its innovative software-defined approach, aims to revolutionize intersection management by integrating these technologies into traffic systems. This approach not only enhances traffic efficiency and safety but also ensures scalability and adaptability to future technological advancements.

OpenIntersection has demonstrated substantial potential in indoor environments, where it successfully managed path-planning tasks and facilitated shared perception and V2X communication. Specifically, the offload tests showed that computational tasks could be effectively transferred from vehicles to the infrastructure, reducing vehicle computational loads and potentially enhancing traffic flow and safety. The integration of cloud services was tested, proving the system's capability to handle large-scale data processing, which is vital for future expansions.

The next phase of development involves implementing OpenIntersection in an outdoor environment at the University of Delaware STAR campus shown in Figure 3. This deployment will allow us to conduct extensive real-world testing and integration with existing traffic systems, offering a more robust evaluation of the system's effectiveness in live conditions. These tests will focus on the following:

1. *Real-world integration:* This involves evaluating how Open-Intersection interfaces with current traffic infrastructure to manage dynamic traffic conditions.

2. *User feedback collection:* This involves engaging with real system users, including drivers, pedestrians, and city traffic managers, to gather actionable feedback for further system enhancements.

3. *Safety and efficiency metrics:* This involves detailed tracking of safety improvements and traffic efficiency changes brought by OpenIntersection, focusing on reducing congestion and accidents.

Looking beyond immediate future works, we are committed to expanding the scope of our SDIs to broader applications, potentially leading to a paradigm shift toward software-defined cities. This vision includes developing more adaptive traffic management systems that can dynamically respond to varying traffic conditions and support the increasing autonomy of vehicles. ▣

REFERENCES

1. "About intersection safety." Federal Highway Adminstration. Accessed: Jul. 21, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/about/index.cfm

2. A. Aldakkhelallah and M. Simic, "Autonomous vehicles in intelligent transportation systems," in *Human Centred Intelligent Systems*, A. Zimmermann, R. J. Howlett, L. C. Jain, and R. Schmidt, Eds., Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2021, pp. 185–198.

3. P. Arthurs, L. Gillam, P. Krause, N. Wang, K. Halder, and A. Mouzakitis, "A taxonomy and survey of edge cloud computing for intelligent transportation systems and connected vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 6206–6221, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TITS.2021.3084396.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

YUANKAI HE is a Ph.D. student at the University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19711 USA, where he is a member of the Connected and Autonomous Research Laboratory. His research interests include computer vision, simulation, cellular vehicle-to-everything, and connected autonomous vehicles. He received his master's degree in robotics from Wayne State University. Contact him at willhe@udel.edu.

WEISONG SHI is an Alumni Distinguished Professor and the chair of the Department of Computer and Information Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19711 USA, where he leads the Connected and Autonomous Research Laboratory. His research interests include edge computing, autonomous driving, and connected health. He is a Fellow of IEEE. Contact him at weisong@udel.edu.

4. R. Deng, B. Di, and L. Song, "Cooperative collision avoidance for overtaking Maneuvers in cellular v2x-based autonomous driving," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 4434–4446, May 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2019.2906509.

5. G. Dimitrakopoulos, "Intelligent transportation systems based on internet-connected vehicles: Fundamental research areas and challenges," in *Proc. 11th Int. Conf. ITS Telecommun.*, Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE Press, 2011, pp. 145–151, doi: 10.1109/ITST.2011.6060042.

6. Z. Dong, W. Shi, G. Tong, and K. Yang, "Collaborative autonomous driving: Vision and challenges," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Connected Auton. Driving (MetroCAD)*, Detroit, MI, USA, 2020, pp. 17–26, doi: 10.1109/MetroCAD48866.2020.00010.

7. Y. He, B. Wu, Z. Dong, J. Wan, and W. Shi, "Towards c-v2x enabled collaborative autonomous driving," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 15,450–15,462, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2023.3299844.

8. Y. Lin, P. Wang, and M. Ma, "Intelligent transportation system (ITS): Concept, challenge and opportunity," in *Proc. IEEE 3rd Int. Conf. Big Data Secur. Cloud (Bigdatasecur.), IEEE Int. Conf. High Perform. Smart Comput. (hpsc), and IEEE Int. Conf. Intell. Data Secur. (ids)*, Piscataway, NJ, USA: IEEE Press, 2017, pp. 167–172.

9. "Ouster Lidar for smart infrastructure: Intelligent transportation systems." Ouster. Accessed: Dec. 25, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ouster.com/insights/webinars/ouster-lidar-for-smart-infrastructure-intelligent-transportation-systems

10. P. Patil, "A review of connected and automated vehicle traffic flow models for next-generation intelligent transportation systems," *Appl. Res. Artif. Intell. Cloud Comput.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 10–22, 2018.

11. B. Ran, Y. Cheng, S. Leight, and S. Parker, "Development of an integrated transportation system of connected automated vehicles and highways," *Inst. Transp. Eng. J.*, vol. 89, no. 11, pp. 37–42, Nov. 2019.

12. M. Masud Rana and K. Hossain, "Connected and autonomous vehicles and infrastructures: A literature review," *Int. J. Pavement Res. Technol.*, vol. 16, pp. 264–284, Nov. 2021.

13. S. E. Shladover, "Connected and automated vehicle systems: Introduction and overview," *J. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 190–200, 2018, doi: 10.1080/15472450.2017.1336053.

14. A. Talebpour and H. S. Mahmassani, "Influence of connected and autonomous vehicles on traffic flow stability and throughput," *Transp. Res. C, Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 71, pp. 143–163, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.trc.2016.07.007.

15. B. Wu, Y. He, Z. Dong, J. Wan, J. Zhang, and W. Shi, "To turn or not to turn, safecross is the answer," in *Proc. IEEE 42nd Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst. (ICDCS)*, Bologna, Italy, 2022, pp. 414–424, doi: 10.1109/ICDCS54860.2022.00047.

16. R. Yu, D. Yang, and H. Zhang, "Edge-assisted collaborative perception in autonomous driving: A reflection on communication design," in *Proc. IEEE/ACM Symp. Edge Comput. (SEC)*, San Jose, CA, USA, 2021, pp. 371–375, doi: 10.1145/3453142.3491413.

17. R. Zhang, Z. Zou, S. Shen, and H. X. Liu, "Design, implementation, and evaluation of a roadside cooperative perception system," *Transp. Res. Rec.*, vol. 2676, no. 11, pp. 273–284, 2022, doi: 10.1177/03611981221092402.