

Perspective

Toward Computational Accuracy in Realistic Systems to Aid Understanding of Field-Level Water Quality Issues

William A. Alexander 

Department of Chemistry, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, USA; w.alexander@memphis.edu

Abstract: Contemplating what will unfold in this new decade and those after, it is not difficult to imagine the increasing importance of conservation and protection of clean water supplies. A worrying but predictable offshoot of humanity's technological advances is the seemingly ever-increasing chemical load burdening our waterways. In this perspective are presented a few modest areas where computational chemistry modelling could provide benefit to these efforts by harnessing the continually improving computational power available to the field. In the acute event of a chemical spill incident, true quantum-chemistry-based predictions of physicochemical properties and surface-binding behaviors can be used to help decision making in remediating the spill threat. The chronic burdens of microplastics and perfluorinated "forever chemicals" can also be addressed with computational modelling to fill the gap between feasible laboratory experiment timescales and the much-longer material lifetimes. For all of these systems, field-level accuracy models will avail themselves as the model computational systems are able to incorporate more realistic features that are relevant to water quality issues.

Keywords: water resource protection; computational chemistry; chemical spill; amorphous surfaces; microplastics; PFAS



Citation: Alexander, W.A. Toward Computational Accuracy in Realistic Systems to Aid Understanding of Field-Level Water Quality Issues. *Physchem* **2021**, *1*, 243–249. <https://doi.org/10.3390/physchem1030018>

Academic Editors: Jacinto Sá and Sergei Manzhos

Received: 30 September 2021
Accepted: 29 October 2021
Published: 31 October 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The increasing importance of the conservation and protection of clean water supplies [1] presents a pressing opportunity for the use and development of computational physical chemistry methods and modelling over the next decade. Technological advances continue to impact our waterways in ways that derive acute and chronic risk to human health. In this perspective, I pose a few modest areas where computational chemistry modelling should prove beneficial in understanding these impacts. For obvious reasons, quantum chemistry has historically only been able to be applied to the simplest *model* systems. The complexity needed to accurately model "real" systems is often treated approximately—or not at all—in deference to feasible and efficient models. To provide the best computational predictions, the models must move toward more realism. This includes a full accounting of stereochemistry, conformation, and solvation effects in physicochemical property prediction, realistic and dynamic amorphous models, and treatment of the interfacial chemistry of perfluorocarbon molecules and polymers. Each of these areas requires an additional level of computational expense that simpler models generally do not consider.

Computing power, however, is catching up with the imagination of the computational physical chemist to the point that further advances require new software paradigms [2]. A new age of computing is on the horizon, with the prospect of quantum computing edging ever closer [3]. Recent decades have seen remarkable advances in computer infrastructure, which have made possible the execution of ever-larger molecular simulations, and ever-more-accurate electronic structure calculations. Over the past decade, graphic processing unit (GPU) processors, in particular, have provided platforms to massively parallelize computational efforts. Even before the widespread use of GPUs, parallelization alone allowed

decreases in real-world execution times to the point where jobs that would likely never be attempted if executed serially were feasible. Cloud computing infrastructure facilitates access to such high-performance computing for almost any researcher [4]. With an eye toward environmental water quality applications, the physical chemistry community will be able to reap the rewards of these current and future speed-ups over the next decade to increase the computational accuracy in simulations of realistic systems, which will translate to further understanding of field-level water quality issues involving acute chemical spill incident responses, and to understanding and potential remediation of persistent environmental pollutants such as microplastics and perfluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS).

2. When Horses Are Actually Zebras: Physicochemical Properties of Novel Molecules

An oft-cited adage from medical schools goes something like “When in Tennessee, if you hear hoofbeats, think horses, not zebras”. The idea is to train doctors to focus on the most common/most probable explanation of patient symptoms, and not on the exotic. An analogy can be made to chemicals in commerce and how we deal with spill incidents. An overwhelming majority of spilled chemicals into waterways are things such as petroleum products (i.e., diesel, gasoline), natural gas, and sewage [5,6]; none of these are things we *want* in our water, but we know how to deal with their cleanup and removal. Due to their common and heavy usage, comprehensive Safety Data Sheets are available with important information such as toxicity, chemical compatibility, and cleanup and disposal procedures; much of this information exists because it is mandated by the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) in the United States, and by other such legislation around the developed world [7,8]. What happens, however, when a novel chemical is spilled into a waterway? A vast number of compounds in commerce are not used in amounts large enough to be considered “high volume”, are not used in food or cosmetics, and, in general, are never intended for human exposure. Producers of these low volume industrial chemicals are, therefore, not bound by the TSCA and other guiding rules [5,7]. When these types of substances are involved in spill incidents, the Safety Data Sheets are often sparsely populated, best practices are not established, and detection methods may not exist. Zebras have arrived, but we only know how to round up the horses.

Computational physical chemistry can play an important role in responding to chemical spill incidents involving novel or poorly characterized materials. High-level models have been developed that can provide responders with guidance through predicted information about such things as environmental fate and transport, bioaccumulation, and acute toxicity; however, the high-level models all need regular physicochemical information as input. When these properties are unknown, they can in turn be predicted with various chemistry-based methods. Such programs as the US Environmental Protection Agency’s (USEPA) EPISuite uses group additivity methods applied to 2D stick figure representations of molecules [9]. These models are built on training sets of existing properties, and thus, they work well for molecules that “look like” the training data. However, it cannot be expected for such empirically based models to be able to describe all conceivable molecules [5]. Additionally, the 2D static nature of such models fails to incorporate the important effects of stereochemical isomers, conformational diversity, or solvation effects [10–12]. All three of these aspects can largely affect the behavior of species in waterways.

To provide a simple example of how a simple statistical mechanical treatment can qualitatively affect results, consider 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), a classic example from organic chemistry class. One could desire to use the dipole moment, a very good measure of a molecule’s polarity, to aid predictions of aqueous solubility. If we are to draw 1,2-dichloroethane using concepts from organic chemistry class, our chemistry training and intuition tell us that the global minimum energy conformation will position the bulky chlorine atoms farthest apart; the top panel of Figure 1 shows the Newman projection and 3D structure for DCE. It is straightforward to argue based on the vector addition of identical bond dipoles that this global minimum (*anti* form) of DCE has a dipole moment of 0 Debye (D). At this point, perhaps full credit has been earned on an organic chemistry quiz, but only considering the

global minimum structure will provide a poor comparison to the tabulated, experimentally known dipole value for DCE of 1.8 D! Examining the Newman projection in the bottom panel of Figure 1, the Cl atom in the foreground has rotated 120° from its original position, to be in a *gauche* conformation. This skewed conformation will have a substantial molecular dipole moment. Density Functional Theory computations (B3LYP/6-31G*) predict 2.91 D for the dipole moment of the *gauche* conformer, with an energy 1.7 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than the *anti* conformer. (All calculations mentioned in this section were performed with Gaussian 09 [13].) With this energy difference, Boltzmann analysis shows that the *gauche* conformer will not contribute significantly to the overall average dipole. At the MP2 level of theory with a larger basis set (MP2/aug-cc-pwCVDZ), the *gauche* DCE conformer is only 1.4 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy, which translates to 9% relative population and still does not rationalize the experimental dipole moment. Adding solvation effects (MP2/aug-cc-pwCVDZ + SMD model) to the MP2 calculation brings the energy difference between the conformers down to 0.17 kcal mol⁻¹. This corresponds to a 57/43% population ratio between the *anti* and *gauche* conformers, resulting in a Boltzmann-averaged dipole moment of 1.88 D. Clearly, accounting for the effects of both conformation and implicit solvation are required to properly determine molecular dipoles.




Figure 1. 1,2-Dichloroethane in the (top panel) *anti* and (bottom panel) *gauche* conformation.

It was found that a full accounting of conformational, stereochemical, and solvation effects was required to properly predict the aqueous behavior of MCHM (methyl cyclohexane methanol), the principal contaminant involved in the 2014 Elk River chemical spill [10–12]; conformational averaging and high-level electronic structure calculations were also applied in other systems to good effect [14]. However, even for the smallest of molecules, properly accounting for conformational, stereochemical, and solvation effects requires roughly an order-of-magnitude increase in raw computational power, and this scales as the size and “floppiness” of the molecule increases. Because of this, it has historically been common to only interrogate properties of the global minimum structure for compounds. With the ever-increasing computational power available, the community should more fully embrace the realistic picture of how properties arise from dynamic, rather than static, molecular structures.

3. Will It Stay or Will It Go? Contaminant Binding Affinities on Water-Adjacent Surfaces

Once a contaminant is in the water system, where will it go? Will it be deposited into oils and clays in the riverbed? Is it able to be removed with carbon or sand filters at the treatment plant? Will it absorb into the polymer piping and linings in premise plumbing? The fate and transport behavior of such contaminants can be predicted based on partitioning behavior at surfaces throughout the system. Binding energies calculated at different infrastructure and environmental surfaces/matrices can aid in the selection of filter materials and or predict where the molecules will “stick” and concentrate in the water system infrastructure. If information about surface-binding properties can be computed quickly—for instance, the time it would take for the flow of a spill plume down

a waterway to a facility intake—this information can help make decisions on remediation, and ultimately (and most importantly), whether or not to even allow the plume into the facility.

One problem with computing binding properties to the relevant interfaces is that they mostly comprise amorphous surfaces. While one may only require a single or a few “poses” of a contaminant molecule on a crystalline surface to obtain a good representative binding energy, accurately computing binding behavior to amorphous surfaces is more complicated. To gain a full picture requires averaging over multiple absorption sites on a simulated surface, and this simulated surface should be one of many sampled from the overall equilibrium ensemble to provide a sufficient site- and time-averaged binding estimate. A realistic simulation of an amorphous surface requires generally many thousands of atoms, and the dynamic interface configuration should be allowed to evolve at equilibrium in time for as long as needed to fully capture the fluctuational behavior. This timescale is generally not known *a priori*, but it is safe to say that, in real-world minutes, this requires “a long time” relative to the travel time mentioned of a plume drifting toward a water intake. Efforts are underway to build libraries of amorphous surface “snapshots” to alleviate this long simulation time [15]. With banked surface trajectory snapshots at the ready, it becomes a much quicker prospect to obtain binding estimates. The contaminant can be immediately posed at multiple sites on each snapshot and the needed computations for each of these poses can be executed simultaneously in a “ridiculously parallel” scheme. In this way, the time required to obtain accurate binding estimates on the amorphous surface is now analogous (or better) than that to obtain the few poses needed for similar information on a crystal surface.

Conformational complexity in the contaminant molecule should be accounted for in the initial posing (i.e., the overall ensemble includes multiple conformers posed at the same site). The optimized structure and binding energy of the adsorbed contaminant can be calculated and refined in turn with increasing levels of accurate theory (i.e., general molecular mechanics forcefield → HF → DFT and/or MP2; basis set sizes can also be increased). The higher levels of theory cannot be applied to full surface slab models, so these are achieved through QM/MM approaches. A simple example of this can be seen in Figure 2, which depicts an MCHM molecule adsorbed on an amorphous carbon model [12].




Figure 2. QM/MM partitioning of an MCHM molecule adsorbed on an amorphous carbon surface model. The green mesh indicates the QM boundary; atoms (colored) within the mesh are subject to higher-level quantum mechanical methods, while those outside the boundary are treated with molecular mechanics forcefields. Adapted with permission from Alexander, W.A., *Molecules*, MDPI, 2021 [12].

Finally, the true adsorption behavior of contaminants on water infrastructure surfaces is mediated/alterd by whatever species are already adsorbed to the surface before the new molecule comes along. For instance, a contaminant molecule may interact much differently with a pristine model PVC surface than it would on the surface of a bio-film

coated pipe in premise plumbing. To describe these matrix effects, more sophisticated and detailed models may require the inclusion of co-adsorbates, bio-films, and surface aging considerations, to name a few. It is not currently clear how to best account for these effects, and this is a frontier where increased research is needed to build realistic and accurate computational models to aid our understanding, and ultimately prediction, of the contaminant behavior at surfaces within the water system infrastructure.

4. Cheap and Disposable (and in Fourteen Years Decomposable?): Aging of Microplastics

The heading of this section references a song lyric by sometimes controversial country musician Toby Keith [16]. Keith's non-scientific prediction about the breakdown of plastic party cups within 14 years is unfortunately far from accurate. Instead, the usual polystyrene party cups require hundreds of years to fully degrade in the environment [17]. As such, the vast majority of all the plastic humans have ever produced still exists. What does happen more quickly is that through physical and partial degradation processes, plastic goods are broken down to microplastics (particles smaller than 5 mm); these microplastics may enter our waterways and pose a variety of threats to freshwater and marine wildlife, and can significantly impact drinking water and sewage treatment processing [18–21]. Due to their size, their collective relative active surface areas are large, and these surfaces may act in ways that are important for the environmental fate and transport of chemicals in our waters. An array of processes can be conceived that may be impacted by these ubiquitous microplastics. For instance, bisphenol A, a hormone-active compound, has been a frequent additive to plastics, and the leeching of bisphenol A from microplastics into waterways may have important health implications [21,22]. Microplastics may also be thought of as a vast distributed "catalytic surface" for environmentally relevant surface chemistry. Aged plastics, with even higher surface areas, may participate in chemistry at rates much different than for bulky plastics. Heavy metal adsorption and uptake, for instance, is significantly different on new and aged polymer surfaces [23,24]. Computational modelling of these microparticulate systems is needed to understand the surface chemistry of these small particles. Improving our knowledge of microplastic processes can be of great importance to understand and potentially remediate these microplastic pollutants in the environment. Accurate polymer models (as mentioned earlier) plus reaction modelling on such surfaces could provide insights for timescales that experiments may not allow. Just as mentioned in the previous section, environmental matrix effects may alter the physical and chemical processes involved on these plastic surfaces.

5. I'll Be Here 'til the End of Time: Dealing New Fates to Forever Chemicals

If it seems as though hydrocarbon-based plastics will never degrade, let us now turn to "forever chemicals"—a moniker given to perfluorinated chemical species (PFAS; per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances). PFAS have likely environmental degradation lifetimes in the *thousands* of years. They have come under increasing scrutiny and are of rising concern in the environmental, economic, social equity, and health sectors [25,26]. Species such as C8 (PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid) and GenX (a six-carbon perfluorinated ether-containing carboxylic acid) gain much of the attention, but many different species are of concern. The USEPA recently listed 160 PFAS as reportable to the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) under EPCRA (Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act) guidance [27]. Due to the highly persistent and bioaccumulative nature of PFAS species, it is imperative to understand these species' behavior in the water system. The conversion or removal of such species may be some of the only ways to keep such chemicals from causing deleterious health effects in peoples around the world. High-throughput reaction modelling can aid in the identification of potentially useful chemistries to try for these species. Perfluorocarbon species have been the subject of markedly fewer quantum chemical computational studies than their hydrocarbon counterparts, as applying quantum chemical methods to fluorocarbons may have been prohibitively expensive. The net increase of eight electrons for each H→F substitution requires large increases in computational power (e.g., it is ~70× more

expensive to compute energies for PFOA than the hydrocarbon octanoic acid counterpart at the Hartree-Fock (HF) level; including basic electron correlation with MP2 theory raises this scaling factor to $\sim 200\times$). However, advances in computational algorithms, such as linear scaling approaches [28], and the continual increases in raw computational power should ameliorate these difficulties. As new information emerges on new endemic PFAS species, computational modelling can aid in a complete understanding of their behaviors.

6. Concluding Remarks

This perspective includes four (somewhat overlapping) frontier areas that can be tackled through the application of physical chemistry over the next decade. The focus here is on the computational investigation of issues related to water resource protection, one of humanity's most pressing and essential areas. Of course, important computational work will also play heavily in the realms of materials science, infectious disease, biomolecular structure and function, climate and atmospheric processes, and others. Regardless of the focus area, advances in computing infrastructure have transformed our ability to harness the Schrodinger equation in ever-increasing and useful ways for each of the past decades since the advent of the home computer; we should anticipate the further expansion of this seemingly exponential growth of power over the next decade, facilitating a new wave of previously near-impossible-to-compute methods and models. Execute!

Funding: Research works by the author described in this article were supported by the National Science Foundation through grant numbers CBET-1435289, CBET-1523448, and CHE-1905207.

Acknowledgments: The High Performance Computing Center and the Computational Research on Materials Institute at The University of Memphis (CROMIUM) also provided generous resources for aspects of the research described in this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Salehi, M. Global water shortage and potable water safety; Today's concern and tomorrow's crisis. *Environ. Int.* **2022**, *158*, 106936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Krylov, A.; Windus, T.L.; Barnes, T.; Marin-Rimoldi, E.; Nash, J.A.; Pritchard, B.; Smith, D.G.A.; Altarawy, D.; Saxe, P.; Clementi, C.; et al. Perspective: Computational chemistry software and its advancement as illustrated through three grand challenge cases for molecular science. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *149*, 180901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Cao, Y.; Jonathan Romero, J.; Olson, J.P.; Degroote, M.; Johnson, P.D.; Kieferová, M.; Kivlichan, I.D.; Menke, T.; Peropadre, B.; Sawaya, N.P.D.; et al. Quantum Chemistry in the Age of Quantum Computing. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 10856–10915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Thackston, R.; Fortenberry, R.C. The performance of low-cost commercial cloud computing as an alternative in computational chemistry. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2015**, *36*, 926–933. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Weidhaas, J.L.; Dietrich, A.M.; DeYonker, N.J.; Ryan Dupont, R.; Foreman, W.T.; Gallagher, D.; Gallagher, J.E.; Whelton, A.J.; Alexander, W.A. Enabling Science Support for Better Decision-Making when Responding to Chemical Spills. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2006**, *45*, 1490–1500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. US EPA. *Final 2015 Workplan: National Water Program, Response to Climate Change*; United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water: Washington, DC, USA, 2015. Available online: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-07/documents/final_2015_nwp_climate_change_workplan.pdf (accessed on 30 September 2021).
7. Wilson, M.P.; Schwarzman, M.R. Toward a new U.S. chemicals policy: Rebuilding the foundation to advance new science, green chemistry, and environmental health. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2009**, *117*, 1202–1209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. US EPA. *List of Lists: Consolidated List of Chemicals Subject to the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) and Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act*. EPA 550-B-15-001; United States Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 2015. Available online: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-03/documents/list_of_lists.pdf (accessed on 30 September 2021).
9. US EPA. *2017 EPI Suite™—Estimation Program Interface*; United States Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, USA, 2021. Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/tsc-screening-tools/epi-suite-estimation-program-interface> (accessed on 30 September 2021).
10. DeYonker, N.J.; Charbonnet, K.A.; Alexander, W.A. Dipole moments of *trans*- and *cis*-(4-methylcyclohexyl)methanol (4-MCHM): Obtaining the right conformer for the right reason. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 17856–17867. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Dietrich, A.M.; Thomas, A.; Zhao, Y.; Smiley, E.; Shanaiah, N.; Ahart, M.; Charbonnet, K.A.; De Yonker, N.J.; Alexander, W.A.; Gallagher, D.L. Partitioning, Aqueous Solubility, and Dipole Moment Data for *cis*- and *trans*-(4-Methylcyclohexyl)methanol, Principal Contaminants of the West Virginia Chemical Spill. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2015**, *2*, 123–127. [CrossRef]
12. Alexander, W.A. Methyl-Cyclohexane Methanol (MCHM) Isomer-Dependent Binding on Amorphous Carbon Surfaces. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 3411. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G.A.; Nakatsuji, H.; et al. *Gaussian 09, Revision D.01*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2016.
14. Osmont, A.; Chetehouna, K.; Chaumeix, N.; DeYonker, N.J.; Catoire, L.J. Thermodynamic data of known volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in *Rosmarinus officinalis*: Implications for forest fire modeling. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* **2015**, *1073*, 27–33. [CrossRef]
15. Alexander, W.A. CDS&E: Development of Computational Library for Accurate Binding Energies of Emerging Organic Contaminants on Environmental Interfaces. US National Science Foundation Award Abstract #1905207. 2019. Available online: https://www.nsf.gov/awardsearch/showAward?AWD_ID=1905207 (accessed on 30 September 2021).
16. Metro Waste Authority. Toby Keith's Red Solo Cups Debunked. *Metro Waste Authority Today*, 26 June 2019. Available online: <https://www.mwatoday.com/waste-recycling/recycling/news/red-solo-cups/> (accessed on 30 September 2021).
17. New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services. Time It Takes for Garbage to Decompose in the Environment. Available online: https://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/coastal/trash/documents/marine_debris.pdf (accessed on 30 September 2021).
18. NOAA. *Microplastics | OR&R's Marine Debris Program*; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United State Department of Commerce: Washington, DC, USA, 2021. Available online: <https://marinedebris.noaa.gov/what-marine-debris/microplastics> (accessed on 30 September 2021).
19. Novotna, K.; Cermakova, L.; Pivokonska, L.; Cajthaml, T.; Pivokonsky, M. Microplastics in drinking water treatment—Current knowledge and research needs. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *667*, 730–740. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
20. Auta, H.S.; Emenike, C.U.; Fauziah, S.H. Distribution and importance of microplastics in the marine environment: A review of the sources, fate, effects, and potential solutions. *Environ. Int.* **2017**, *102*, 165–176. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Zhang, Z.; Chen, Y. Effects of microplastics on wastewater and sewage sludge treatment and their removal: A review. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *382*, 122955. [CrossRef]
22. Wang, J.; Tan, Z.; Peng, J.; Qiu, Q.; Li, M. The behaviors of microplastics in the marine environment. *Mar. Environ. Res.* **2016**, *113*, 7–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Huang, X.; Zemlyanov, D.Y.; Diaz-Amaya, S.; Salehi, M.; Stanciu, L.; Whelton, A.J. Competitive heavy metal adsorption onto new and aged polyethylene under various drinking water conditions. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *385*, 121585. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Aghilinasrollahabadi, K.; Salehi, M.; Fujiwara, T. Investigate the influence of microplastics weathering on their heavy metals uptake in stormwater. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, *408*, 124439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Kempisty, D.M.; Racz, L. *Forever Chemicals: Environmental, Economic, and Social Equity Concerns with PFAS in the Environment*, 1st ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2021.
26. Beans, C. News Feature: How “forever chemicals” might impair the immune system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2105018118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. US EPA. List of PFAS Added to the TRI by the NDAA United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. 2021. Available online: <https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program/list-pfas-added-tri-ndaa> (accessed on 30 September 2021).
28. Zaleśny, R.; Papadopoulos, M.G.; Mezey, P.G.; Leszczynski, J. (Eds.) *Linear-Scaling Techniques in Computational Chemistry and Physics*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2011.