

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 19

2025

No. 1

Picard rank jumps for K3 surfaces with bad reduction

Salim Tayou

Picard rank jumps for K3 surfaces with bad reduction

Salim Tayou

Let X be a K3 surface over a number field. We prove that X has infinitely many specializations where its Picard rank jumps, hence extending our previous work with Shankar, Shankar and Tang to the case where X has bad reduction. We prove a similar result for generically ordinary nonisotrivial families of K3 surfaces over curves over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ which extends previous work of Maulik, Shankar and Tang. As a consequence, we give a new proof of the ordinary Hecke orbit conjecture for orthogonal and unitary Shimura varieties.

1. Introduction	77
2. GSpin Shimura varieties: integral models and their compactifications	80
3. Arithmetic intersection theory and modularity	92
4. The main estimates and proof of the main theorems	94
5. Bounding the contribution from bad reduction places	104
6. Applications	109
Acknowledgments	111
References	111

1. Introduction

Let X be a K3 surface over a number field K . Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a smooth projective model, where $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is an open subset of the spectrum of the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . For every place \mathfrak{P} of \mathcal{O}_K with finite residual field $k(\mathfrak{P})$, we have an injective specialization map

$$\text{Pic}(X_{\bar{K}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k(\mathfrak{P})}}),$$

and both groups have finite rank, the *Picard rank*, denoted $\rho(X_{\bar{K}})$ and $\rho(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k(\mathfrak{P})}})$ respectively.

Inspired by the classical density result of Noether–Lefschetz loci for weight-2 polarized variations of Hodge structures, see [Voisin 2002; Oguiso 2003], Charles [2014] asked what can be said about the *arithmetic Noether–Lefschetz locus*

$$\text{NL} = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{S} \mid \rho(X_{\bar{K}}) < \rho(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{k(\mathfrak{P})}})\}.$$

In a prior work [Shankar et al. 2022, Theorem 1.1], we proved that the set NL is infinite under the additional assumption that X has potentially everywhere good reduction, i.e., up to taking a finite extension

MSC2020: 11G18, 14G40, 14J28.

Keywords: K3 surfaces, GSpin Shimura varieties, Arakelov theory.

of K , we assumed that $\mathcal{S} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. The first main result of this paper is the following unconditional result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a K3 surface over a number field K . Then the set NL is infinite.*

This theorem is a particular instance of [Theorem 4.1](#) which is formulated for GSpin Shimura varieties and which has many other applications. As a consequence, Theorems 1.4, 1.6, and Corollary 1.7 in [\[Shankar et al. 2022\]](#) hold with no assumptions on the reduction type. In particular, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a number field and A an abelian surface over K . Then there exist infinitely many places where A has good reduction, and the reduction is geometrically nonsimple.*

1A. Picard rank jumps over function fields. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime number. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a family of K3 surfaces over a curve \mathcal{S} over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let η be the generic point of \mathcal{S} . For every $s \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, we have similarly an inequality of Picard ranks

$$\rho(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{X}_s),$$

and one can introduce similarly the Noether–Lefschetz locus as the subset of \mathcal{S} where the above inequality is strict:

$$\text{NL} = \{s \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \mid \rho(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}) < \rho(\mathcal{X}_s)\}.$$

Maulik, Shankar and Tang [\[Maulik et al. 2022a, Theorem 1.1\]](#) proved that if \mathcal{S} is proper and the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is generically ordinary and not isotrivial then the set NL is infinite. Our second main theorem in this paper is to remove the properness assumption in their result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a generically ordinary nonisotrivial family of K3 surfaces over a smooth curve \mathcal{S} over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $p \geq 5$. Suppose that the discriminant of the generic geometric Picard lattice is prime to p . Then the locus NL is infinite.*

The theorem is also a particular instance of [Theorem 4.8](#) for GSpin Shimura varieties, which has several other applications and also has an analogue for unitary Shimura varieties, see [Theorem 6.1](#). In particular, we have the following theorem which extends [\[Maulik et al. 2022b, Theorem 1\(1\)\]](#) to the quasiprojective case.

Theorem 1.4. *Let A be a nonisotrivial ordinary abelian surface over the function field of a curve over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Then A has infinitely many smooth and nonsimple specializations.*

Both [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Theorem 1.3](#) are motivated by the density of Hodge loci in polarized variations of Hodge structure of weight 2 of K3 type; see for example [\[Voisin 2002; Oguiso 2003; Tayou 2020\]](#). Recent density results for general polarized variations of Hodge structures of level less than 2 as in [\[Tayou and Tholozan 2023; Baldi et al. 2024\]](#) suggest that density of Hodge loci in arithmetic and function field settings are natural problems to investigate, and we hope to address these questions in future work.

1B. Hecke orbit conjecture. As an application of [Theorem 1.3](#), we give a new proof of the Hecke orbit conjecture for orthogonal and certain unitary Shimura varieties. We refer to [\[Maulik et al. 2022a, Section 1.2\]](#) for the context and prior results on this conjecture.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the reduction at $p \geq 5$ of the integral model of a Shimura variety of either:*

- (1) *Orthogonal type associated to a lattice of signature $(b, 2)$ having discriminant prime to p .*
- (2) *Unitary type associated to an imaginary quadratic field K split at p and to a Hermitian lattice over \mathcal{O}_K of signature $(n, 1)$ with discriminant prime to p .*

Then the prime-to- p Hecke orbit of an ordinary point is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

The density of Hecke orbits in characteristic zero is a consequence of the work of Clozel and Ullmo [\[2005\]](#), see also [\[Eskin and Oh 2006\]](#) for a dynamical approach using Ratner theory. Chai [\[1995\]](#) first proved the Hecke orbit conjecture for the ordinary locus of the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties. For orthogonal and some unitary Shimura varieties, a first proof of the Hecke orbit conjecture in the ordinary case has been obtained by Maulik, Shankar and Tang [\[2022a\]](#) and our approach is inspired from theirs. Very recently, Pol Van Hoften [\[2024\]](#) proved this conjecture for the ordinary locus of Shimura varieties of abelian type under certain conditions on the reflex field and using completely different methods.

1C. Strategy of the proof. [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Theorem 1.3](#) are proved using a strategy initiated by Chai and Oort [\[2006\]](#) and Charles [\[2018\]](#) for the product of two modular curves and subsequently used in [\[Maulik et al. 2022b; Shankar and Tang 2020\]](#) for Hilbert modular surfaces over number fields and Siegel threefolds over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Here we follow the set-up in [\[Shankar et al. 2022\]](#) and [\[Maulik et al. 2022a\]](#) to which we refer for more details. For [Theorem 1.1](#), we first translate it into an intersection-theory-type statement between a curve and a sequence of divisors in the integral model of a toroidal compactification of a Shimura variety of GSpin type. For this matter, we use the Arakelov intersection theory with prelog forms developed in [\[Bruinier et al. 2007\]](#). We follow a similar approach for [Theorem 1.3](#), using the usual intersection theory on the reduction modulo p of the aforementioned compactification of the integral model of a GSpin Shimura variety. The new ingredients which were missing in both [\[Shankar et al. 2022\]](#) and [\[Maulik et al. 2022a\]](#) are the local estimates on multiplicities of intersection with special divisors at points of bad reduction and the estimates of extra terms coming from the boundary divisors in the global intersection numbers coming from the work of [\[Bruinier and Zemel 2022\]](#); see also [\[Engel et al. 2023\]](#) for a recent approach. These are the main contributions of this paper. To obtain the first estimates, we use an explicit description of the special divisors in the formal completions along toroidal boundary components. This allows us to define in each case a decreasing sequence of positive definite lattices (L_n, Q) which computes the local intersection number. We give an estimate on the growth of the successive minima of these lattices, then a geometry-of-numbers-type argument allows us to derive the desired estimates. To obtain the bounds on the extra terms in the global intersection number, we use the explicit expressions

from [Bruinier and Zemel 2022] and [Bruinier 2002] combined with an equidistribution result from [Duke 1988; Eskin and Oh 2006].

1D. Organization of the paper. The key input of this paper is the description of the special divisors in terms of local coordinates of integral models of toroidal compactifications of Shimura varieties of GSpin type. In Section 2, we explain these constructions following [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020] and [Madapusi Pera 2016], and the section culminates with a description of the special divisors in formal completions along locally closed boundary divisors. In Section 3, we recall briefly Arakelov arithmetic intersection theory with prelog forms following [Bruinier et al. 2007], and we assemble different ingredients from the literature [Bruinier and Zemel 2022; Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020; Borcherds 1999] to state the modularity of the generating series of special divisors in the integral models of toroidal compactifications of Shimura varieties of GSpin type. In Section 4, we state the archimedean and finite place estimates needed to prove our main theorems, and then we prove the archimedean estimates. Section 5 is devoted to estimating contributions from bad reduction places. Finally, we prove the application to Hecke orbit conjecture in Section 6.

2. GSpin Shimura varieties: integral models and their compactifications

This section summarizes the construction of the GSpin Shimura variety, its toroidal compactifications and their integral models following [Bruinier and Zemel 2022; Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020; Madapusi Pera 2019; Andreatta et al. 2018], see also [Kisin 2010; Madapusi Pera 2016; Pink 1989] for earlier work. The ultimate goal is to describe the special divisors in formal completions along the toroidal boundary strata. The familiar reader may wish to skip directly to Section 2D for these results.

2A. The GSpin Shimura variety. Let (L, Q) be an even quadratic lattice of signature $(b, 2)$ with $b \geq 1$ and with associated even bilinear form

$$(.) : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

such that $Q(x) = (x \cdot x)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in L$.

Let $G = \mathrm{GSpin}(L_{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the algebraic group over \mathbb{Q} of spinor similitudes defined as in [Madapusi Pera 2016, Section 1.2]. The group $G(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the Hermitian symmetric space

$$\mathcal{D} =: \{z \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid (z \cdot z) = 0, (z \cdot \bar{z}) < 0\}.$$

The pair (G, \mathcal{D}) is the *GSpin Shimura datum*. Its reflex field is \mathbb{Q} by [Madapusi Pera 2016, Section 3.1].

For $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ a compact open subgroup, the *GSpin Shimura variety*

$$M(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{D} \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) / K$$

is the set of complex points of a Deligne–Mumford stack M defined over \mathbb{Q} . In what follows, we choose the compact open group $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ as in [Andreatta et al. 2018, Equation (4.1.2)]. Its image in

$\mathrm{SO}(L_{\mathbb{Q}})(\mathbb{A}_f)$ stabilizes $L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f$ and is equal to the subgroup that acts trivially on the quotient $\widehat{L}^\vee/\widehat{L} = L^\vee/L$, where the dual lattice L^\vee is defined as

$$L^\vee = \{x \in L_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \forall y \in L, (x.y) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The Shimura variety M carries a line bundle of weight-1 modular forms that we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and we refer to [Andreatta et al. 2018, Section 4.1] for a definition. The Shimura datum (G, \mathcal{D}) is of Hodge type by [Andreatta et al. 2017, Section 2.2]: there exists a Shimura datum of Siegel type $(G^{\mathrm{sg}}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{sg}})$ and a compact open subgroup $K^{\mathrm{sg}} \subset G^{\mathrm{sg}}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that we have an embedding of Shimura varieties over \mathbb{Q}

$$M \hookrightarrow M^{\mathrm{sg}}.$$

This is the *Kuga–Satake embedding*. The pull-back of the universal abelian scheme on M^{sg} yields the *Kuga–Satake* abelian scheme $A \rightarrow M$.

2B. Toroidal compactifications over \mathbb{C} . In this section, we describe the toroidal compactifications of M as well as the structure of the boundary components following [Bruinier and Zemel 2022] and [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020]. See also [Ash et al. 1975] for the general theory of toroidal compactifications over \mathbb{C} .

Recall from [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Section 2.2] that an admissible parabolic subgroup $P \subseteq G$ is either a maximal proper parabolic subgroup of G or G itself.¹ A *cusp label representative* $\Phi = (P, \mathcal{D}^\circ, h)$ is a triple constituted from an admissible parabolic subgroup P , a connected component $\mathcal{D}^\circ \subset \mathcal{D}$ and an element $h \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

Attached to a cusp label representative $\Phi = (P, \mathcal{D}^\circ, h)$, there exists a mixed Shimura variety that we now describe. Let U_Φ be the unipotent radical of P and let W_Φ be the center of U_Φ .² Let Q_Φ be the normal subgroup of P defined as in [Pink 1989, Sectoin 4.7], see also [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, 2.2]. Define as in [loc. cit.] $\mathcal{D}_\Phi = Q_\Phi(\mathbb{R})W_\Phi(\mathbb{C})\mathcal{D}^\circ$ and let $K_\Phi = hKh^{-1} \cap Q_\Phi(\mathbb{A}_f)$. We define then the mixed Shimura variety

$$M_\Phi(\mathbb{C}) = Q_\Phi(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_\Phi \times Q_\Phi(\mathbb{A}_f) / K_\Phi. \quad (2B.1)$$

By [Pink 1989, Proposition 12.1], $M^\Phi(\mathbb{C})$ has a canonical model M^Φ also defined over \mathbb{Q} . Let $\bar{Q}_\Phi = Q_\Phi / W_\Phi$ and $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\Phi = W_\Phi(\mathbb{C}) \backslash \mathcal{D}_\Phi$. Let \bar{K}_Φ be the image of K_Φ under the quotient map $Q_\Phi(\mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow \bar{Q}_\Phi(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Then from the data $(\bar{Q}_\Phi, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\Phi, \bar{K}_\Phi)$ we define similarly to (2B.1) a mixed Shimura variety \bar{M}_Φ and we have a canonical morphism

$$M_\Phi \rightarrow \bar{M}_\Phi. \quad (2B.2)$$

This map has a torsor structure that we now describe. Let $\Gamma_\Phi = K_\Phi \cap W_\Phi(\mathbb{Q})$. It is a \mathbb{Z} -lattice in $W_\Phi(\mathbb{Q})$. By [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Proposition 2.3.1], the map (2B.2) is canonically a torsor under the torus $T_{\Phi, \mathbb{Q}}$ whose cocharacter group is Γ_Φ .

¹ G^{ad} is simple in our case.

²We follow the notation of [Madapusi Pera 2019] which differs from other references.

The mixed Shimura variety \bar{M}_Φ has itself a fibration structure over a pure Shimura variety constructed as follows; see [Madapusi Pera 2019, 2.1.7] for more details.

Let $G_\Phi^h = Q_\Phi/U_\Phi$ be the Levi quotient of Q_Φ , $V_\Phi = U_\Phi/W_\Phi$ the unipotent radical of \bar{Q}_Φ and let $\mathcal{D}_\Phi^h = V_\Phi(\mathbb{R})\backslash\bar{D}_\Phi$. Then the pair $(G_\Phi^h, \mathcal{D}_\Phi^h)$ is a pure Shimura datum with reflex field equal to \mathbb{Q} . Let $K_\Phi^h \subset G_\Phi^h(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be the image of K_Φ . Then the quotient

$$M_\Phi^h(\mathbb{C}) = G_\Phi^h(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (\mathcal{D}_\Phi^h \times G_\Phi^h(\mathbb{A}_f)) / K_\Phi^h$$

is the set of complex points of a Shimura variety which admits a canonical model M_Φ^h defined over \mathbb{Q} and we have a canonical map

$$\bar{M}_\Phi \rightarrow M_\Phi^h. \quad (2B.3)$$

By [Madapusi Pera 2019, 2.1.12], there exists a natural abelian scheme $A_K(\Phi) \rightarrow M_\Phi^h$ such that the map (2B.3) is a torsor under $A_K(\Phi)$.

In what follows, we will describe the above data for the GSpin Shimura variety introduced in Section 2A following [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Section 4] and [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Section 3]. Let Φ be a cusp label representative. The admissible parabolic subgroup P is the stabilizer of a totally isotropic subspace I_Φ of $L_\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension at most 2. The dimension-0 case corresponds to $P = G$. If P is the stabilizer of a primitive isotropic line $I_\mathbb{Q} \subset L_\mathbb{Q}$, then the cusp label representative is said to be of type III. If P is the stabilizer of a primitive isotropic plane $J_\mathbb{Q} \subset L_\mathbb{Q}$, then Φ is said to be of type III. We will follow the notation of [Bruinier and Zemel 2022] and denote by Υ , resp. Ξ , a cusp label representative of type II, resp. of type III.

Given two cusp label representatives Φ_1 and Φ_2 , there is a notion of a K -morphism $\Phi_1 \xrightarrow{(\gamma, q_2)_K} \Phi_2$ given by $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ and $q_2 \in Q_{\Phi_2}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ which we don't define here and refer to [Madapusi Pera 2019, 2.1.14] for the definition, see also [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Definition 2.4.1].

Let Φ be a cusp label representative. By the general theory of toroidal compactifications, see [Pink 1989, 4.15] or [Ash et al. 1975, Chapter II, Section 1.1] for the definitions, there exists a canonical open nondegenerate self-adjoint convex cone $C_\Phi \subset W_\Phi(\mathbb{R})$ homogeneous under $P(\mathbb{R})$ and which allows to realize \mathcal{D}° as a tube domain inside an affine space, see [Madapusi Pera 2019, 2.1.5]. We define the *extended cone* C_Φ^* as in [Madapusi Pera 2019, 2.1.22]: for any map $\Phi' \xrightarrow{(\gamma, q)_K} \Phi$, the conjugation by γ^{-1} induces an embedding

$$\text{int}(\gamma^{-1}) : W_{\Phi'}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow W_\Phi(\mathbb{R})$$

and we define then

$$C_\Phi^* = \bigcup_{\Phi' \rightarrow \Phi} \text{int}(C_{\Phi'}).$$

This cone lies between C_Φ and its topological closure in $W_\Phi(\mathbb{R})$ but in general, it is neither open nor closed. See also [Pink 1989, Definition-Proposition 4.22] for more details.

Recall from [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Definition 2.4.3] that a *rational polyhedral cone decomposition* (rpcd for short) of C_Φ^* is a collection $\Sigma_\Phi = \{\sigma\}$ of rational polyhedral cones $\sigma \subset W_\Phi(\mathbb{R})$

satisfying natural compatibility conditions (we don't recall these conditions here and invite the reader to consult the reference above for more information). The rcpd Σ_Φ is said to be smooth if it is smooth in the sense of [Pink 1989, Section 5.2] with respect to the lattice Γ_Φ . It is complete if

$$C_\Phi^* = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\Phi} \sigma.$$

2B1. Boundary components of type II. Let Υ be a cusp label representative of type II. Then P is the stabilizer of a primitive isotropic plane $J_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $J = J_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap h.L$, where $h \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ acts on L via the map $G(\mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(L_{\mathbb{Q}})(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Then by [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, page 31], the group W_Υ is identified with $\bigwedge^2 J_{\mathbb{Q}}$; hence it is one-dimensional. The lattice $\Gamma_\Upsilon \subset W_\Upsilon$ is also of rank 1. The open convex cone C_Υ is given by a half line $\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and the extended cone is $C_\Upsilon^* = \{0\} \cup C_\Upsilon$.

Let M_Υ and \bar{M}_Υ be the mixed Shimura varieties associated to Υ . Then $M_\Upsilon \rightarrow \bar{M}_\Upsilon$ is a torsor under the one-dimensional torus T_Υ with cocharacter group Γ_Υ . The group G_Υ^h is equal to SL_2 and \mathcal{D}_Υ^h is equal to the Poincaré upper half-plane. The Shimura variety M_Υ^h is a modular curve and the abelian scheme A_Υ is equal to the Kuga–Sato variety $D \otimes E$ where $E \rightarrow M_\Upsilon^h$ is the universal elliptic curve over M_Υ^h and D is the positive definite plane J^\perp/J ; see [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Corollary 3.17] and [Zemel 2020, Proposition 4.3] for details and proofs. Notice that our choice for the compact open subgroup K gives exactly the stable orthogonal group used in [Bruinier and Zemel 2022] and [Zemel 2020].

The only possible cone decomposition of C_Υ^* in this situation is $\Sigma_\Upsilon = \{\{0\}, C_\Upsilon \cup \{0\}\}$ and this determines a partial compactification $M_\Upsilon \hookrightarrow M_{\Upsilon, \Sigma}$ which is a fibration by $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ over \bar{M}_Υ . Finally, there is only one boundary divisor denoted by B_Υ associated to the ray C_Υ .

2B2. Boundary components of type III. Let Ξ be a cusp label representative of type III. Then P is the stabilizer of a primitive isotropic line $I_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $I = I_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap h.L$. Set $K_I = I^\perp/I$. Then by [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Equation (4.4.2)], we have $U_\Xi = W_\Xi$ and we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$K_{I, \mathbb{Q}} \otimes I_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq W_\Xi(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The lattice (K_I, Q) is a Lorentzian lattice of signature $(b-1, 1)$. Under the above isomorphism, and assuming we have chosen a primitive generator of I , the open convex cone $C_\Xi \subset W_\Xi(\mathbb{R})$, see [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Section 2.4] is identified with a connected component of the light cone

$$\{x \in K_{I, \mathbb{R}}, Q(x) < 0\}.$$

The spaces M_Ξ^h and \bar{M}_Ξ are equal and are Shimura varieties of dimension zero that we can describe as follows. Let $(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)$ be the Shimura data given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0 := \{2\pi\epsilon : \epsilon^2 = -1\},$$

on which \mathbb{R}^\times acts naturally through the quotient $\mathbb{R}^\times/\mathbb{R}_+^\times$. There is a morphism of mixed Shimura data $(Q_\Xi, \mathcal{D}_\Xi) \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)$ given by a canonical character $\nu_\Xi : Q_\Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ defined as in [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Equation (4.4.1)] and a map $\mathcal{D}_\Xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0$ given as in [loc. cit., Equation (4.6.3)]. Then the

Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{v_{\Xi}(K_{\Xi})}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is zero-dimensional and the canonical map $M_{\Xi} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{v_{\Xi}(K_{\Xi})}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a torsor under the torus $T_{\Xi} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[q_{\alpha}]_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\Xi}^{\vee}})$ with cocharacter group $\Gamma_{\Xi} = K_I$ by [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Proposition 3.7].

The intermediate cone C_{Φ}^* can be described explicitly as follows, see also [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, page 23]: for any type-II boundary component Υ with corresponding isotropic plane J containing I , the quotient J/I has a generator $\omega_{\Xi, \Upsilon}$ lying on the boundary of the C_{Ξ} . Hence

$$C_{\Xi}^* = C_{\Xi} \cup \bigcup_{\Upsilon} \mathbb{R}\omega_{\Xi, \Upsilon}.$$

The rays $\mathbb{R}\omega_{\Xi, \Upsilon}$ will be referred to as the external rays and the rays in C_{Ξ} are the *inner rays*.

2B3. Toroidal compactifications. Recall from [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Definition 2.4.4] that a K -admissible rational polyhedral cone decomposition for (G, \mathcal{D}) is a collection $\Sigma = \{\Sigma_{\Xi}, \Sigma_{\Upsilon}\}$ such that Σ_{Ξ} and Σ_{Υ} are rpcd for any cusp label representative Ξ and Υ respectively satisfying the compatibility conditions of [loc. cit., Definitions 2.4.3, 2.4.4]. It is said smooth (resp. complete) if every Σ_{Φ} is smooth (resp. complete).

A toroidal stratum representative is a pair (Φ, σ) where Φ is a cusp label representative and $\sigma \subset C_{\Phi}^*$ is a rational polyhedral cone whose interior is contained in C_{Φ} . There is similarly a notion of K -morphism between stratum representatives, see [loc. cit., Definition 2.4.6] and the set of K -isomorphism classes of toroidal stratum representatives will be denoted $\mathrm{Start}_K(G, \mathcal{D}, \Sigma)$. We say that Σ is finite if

$$|\mathrm{Start}_K(G, \mathcal{D}, \Sigma)| < \infty.$$

Let Σ be a finite K -admissible complete cone decomposition. The main result of [Pink 1989, Section 12], see also [Madapusi Pera 2019, Theorem 2.1.27], ensures that there exists a proper toroidal compactification

$$M \hookrightarrow M^{\Sigma}$$

in the category of Deligne–Mumford stacks over \mathbb{Q} such that M^{Σ} is proper over \mathbb{Q} and has a stratification

$$M^{\Sigma} = \bigsqcup_{(\Phi, \sigma) \in \mathrm{Start}_K(G, \mathcal{D}, \Sigma)} B_{\Phi, \sigma}^{\Phi, \sigma} \tag{2B.4}$$

by locally closed subspaces indexed by the finite set of strata $\mathrm{Start}_K(G, \mathcal{D}, \Sigma)$. The stratum indexed by (Φ, σ) lies in the closure of the stratum indexed by (Φ', σ') if and only if there is a K -morphism of strata representatives $(\Phi, \sigma) \rightarrow (\Phi', \sigma')$. Then the closure of the stratum $B_{\Phi, \sigma}^{\Phi, \sigma}$ is given by

$$\overline{B_{\Phi, \sigma}^{\Phi, \sigma}} = \bigcup_{(\Phi', \sigma') \rightarrow (\Phi, \sigma)} B_{\Phi', \sigma'}^{\Phi', \sigma'}.$$

Moreover, by [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Theorem 3.4.1] following the work of Harris and Zucker [2001], the line bundle of weight-1 modular forms \mathcal{L} extends to a line bundle on M^{Σ} which we still denote \mathcal{L} by abuse of notation.

Let (Φ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative. Then (Φ, σ) determines a partial compactification of the mixed Shimura variety $M_\Phi \hookrightarrow M_\Phi(\sigma)$ with boundary component index by σ denoted by $Z^\Phi(\sigma)$. Pink proved that there is a canonical isomorphism [Pink 1989, Corollary 7.17, Theorem 12.4], see also [Madapusi Pera 2019, Theorem 2.1.27], of Deligne–Mumford stacks

$$\Delta_K(\Phi, \sigma) \setminus Z^\sigma(\sigma) \simeq B^{\Phi, \sigma},$$

where $\Delta_K(\Phi, \sigma)$ is the finite group defined in [Madapusi Pera 2019, 2.1.19]. The latter induces an isomorphism of formal Deligne–Mumford stacks

$$\Delta_K(\Phi, \sigma) \setminus \widehat{M}_\Phi(\sigma) \simeq \widehat{M}^\Sigma, \quad (2B.5)$$

where $\widehat{M}_\Phi(\sigma)$ is the completion of $M_\Phi(\sigma)$ along the locally closed subspace $Z^\Phi(\sigma)$ and \widehat{M}^Σ is the formal completion of M^Σ along the locally closed stratum $B^{\Phi, \sigma}$.

Our goal in the next two sections is to make the above isomorphisms explicit for type-II and type-III boundary strata.

2B4. *Formal completion along type-II boundary strata.* Let Υ be a cusp label representative of type II. By the discussion in Section 2B1, there is a unique choice of a one-dimensional ray σ and hence a unique choice of boundary stratum representative (Υ, σ) which corresponds to a locally closed divisor $B^{\Upsilon, \sigma}$.

The morphism $M_\Upsilon \rightarrow \overline{M}_\Upsilon$ is then a torsor under a one-dimensional torus T_Υ with cocharacter group $\Gamma_\Upsilon \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $T_\Upsilon \simeq \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}])$. The partial compactification $T_\Upsilon(\sigma)$ is then isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[q])$ and the partial toroidal compactification of M_Υ is given as a twisted torus embedding over \overline{M}_Υ with fiber $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[q])$. Hence we have the following description of $\widehat{M}_\Upsilon(\sigma)$

$$\widehat{M}_\Upsilon(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{Spf}(\mathbb{Q}[[X]])} \overline{M}_\Upsilon \xrightarrow{D \otimes E} M_\Upsilon^h.$$

2B5. *Formal completion along type-III boundary strata.* Let (Ξ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative of type III such that σ is a one-dimensional inner ray. The corresponding boundary component is denoted by $B^{\Phi, \sigma}$ and is a locally closed divisor. Write $\sigma = \mathbb{R}\omega$, where $\omega \in C_\Xi \cap K$ is an integral primitive generator that satisfies $(\omega, \omega) < 0$.

The morphism $M_\Xi \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{v_\Xi(K_\Xi)}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a torsor under the torus

$$T_\Xi = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[q_\alpha]_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee}).$$

The partial compactification $T_\Xi(\sigma)$ is equal to

$$T_\Xi(\sigma) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[q_\alpha]_{(\alpha, \omega) \geq 0, \alpha \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee})$$

and the ideal defining the boundary divisor is given by $I_\sigma = (q_\alpha, (\alpha, \omega) > 0)$. It is generated by q_ω for any $\omega' \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee$ for which $(\omega, \omega') = 1$. We fix such ω' .

The formal completion along the boundary divisor is then given by

$$\widehat{T}_\Xi(\sigma) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[q_\alpha, \alpha \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee \cap \omega^\perp] \llbracket q_\omega \rrbracket),$$

and the map $M_{\Xi}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\nu_{\Xi}(K_{\Xi})}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a twisted torus embedding with fibers $\widehat{T}_{\Xi}(\sigma)$. We will trivialize this fibration following an approach similar to [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, page 34].

First choose an auxiliary isotropic line $I_* \subset L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $(I_* I_*) \neq 0$. Then by [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Equation (4.6.6)] and the discussion that follows, this determines a section

$$(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0) \xrightarrow{s} (Q_{\Xi}, \mathcal{D}_{\Xi}).$$

The section s determines a Levi decomposition $Q_{\Xi} = \mathbb{G}_m \ltimes U_{\Xi}$. Let $K_0 \subset \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be a compact open subgroup small enough such that the image under the section s is contained in K_{Ξ} and let

$$K_{\Xi,0} = K_0 \ltimes (U_{\Xi}(\mathbb{A}_f) \cap K_{\Xi}) \subset K_{\Xi}.$$

Then by reasoning similarly to [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Proposition 4.6.2], we have the following.

Proposition 2.1. *We have an isomorphism of formal algebraic spaces*

$$\bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_f^{\times} / K_0} \widehat{T}_{\Xi}(\sigma)_{/\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{M}_{K_{\Xi,0}}(\sigma)_{/\mathbb{C}},$$

and the map

$$\widehat{M}_{K_{\Xi,0}}(\sigma)_{/\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{M}_{K_{\Xi}}(\sigma)_{/\mathbb{C}}$$

is a formally étale map of formal Deligne–Mumford stacks given by the quotient by $K_{\Xi}/K_{\Xi,0}$. In particular, if K is neat, then the above map is a formally étale surjection of algebraic spaces.

Proof. The same proof as in [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Proposition 4.6.2] works with no change in our setting. \square

2C. Integral models. We recall in this section the construction of integral models of GSpin Shimura varieties and their compactifications following [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020; Andreatta et al. 2018; Madapusi Pera 2019]. We assume henceforth that the lattice (L, Q) is a maximal lattice, i.e., there is no strict superlattice in $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ containing L over which Q is \mathbb{Z} -valued.

By [Andreatta et al. 2018, Section 4.4], there exists a flat and normal integral model $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ which is a Deligne–Mumford stack of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . It enjoys the following properties:

- (1) If the lattice (L, Q) is almost self dual at a prime p then the restriction of the integral model to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is smooth.³
- (2) If p is odd and p^2 does not divide the discriminant of (L, Q) , the restriction of \mathcal{M} to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is regular.
- (3) If $n \geq 6$, the reduction mod p is geometrically normal.
- (4) The line bundle of modular forms of weight 1 extends to a line bundle on \mathcal{M} that we denote by \mathcal{L} .

³See [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Definition 6.1.1].

Furthermore, given a K -admissible polyhedral complete cone decomposition, \mathcal{M} admits by [Madapusi Pera 2019, Theorem 4.1.5] a toroidal compactification \mathcal{M}^Σ proper over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and which extends the compactification M^Σ previously defined over \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, it has a stratification

$$\mathcal{M}^\Sigma = \bigsqcup_{(\Phi, \sigma) \in \text{Start}_K(G, \mathcal{D}, \Sigma)} \mathcal{B}^{\Phi, \sigma} \quad (2C.1)$$

which extends the stratification in (2B.4) and such every stratum is flat over \mathbb{Z} . The unique open stratum is \mathcal{M} and its complement is a Cartier divisor. Moreover, for any cusp label representative (Φ, σ) , the tower of maps

$$M_\Phi(\sigma) \rightarrow \bar{M}_\phi \rightarrow M_\Phi^h$$

has an integral model

$$\mathcal{M}_\Phi(\sigma) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^h$$

which satisfies the following: the abelian scheme A_Φ has an extension $\mathcal{A}_\Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^h$ such that the map $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi^h$ is a torsor under \mathcal{A}_Φ and the map $\mathcal{M}_\Phi(\sigma) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_\phi$ is a twisted torus embedding with structure group the torsor \mathcal{T}_Ξ extending T_Ξ . Finally, the boundary component $Z_\Phi(\sigma)$ has a flat extension $\mathcal{Z}_\Phi(\sigma)$ such that we have an isomorphism of completions:

$$\Delta_K(\Phi, \sigma) \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi(\sigma) \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma \quad (2C.2)$$

extending the isomorphism in (2B.5). See [Madapusi Pera 2019, Theorem 4.1.5] and [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Section 8.1] for more details.

Fix a prime p . The goal of the next two subsections is to describe the formal completions of \mathcal{M}^Σ along the boundary divisors of these compactifications explicitly over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in the type-II and the type-III case.

2C1. Type II. Let (Υ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative of type II where σ is the unique one-dimensional ray.

Let $\mathcal{T}_\Upsilon = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q, q^{-1}])$ with partial compactification $\mathcal{T}_\Upsilon(\sigma) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q])$. By (2C.1) and [Madapusi Pera 2019, Theorem 4.1.5(2–4)], the morphism $\mathcal{M}_\Upsilon \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon$ is a torsor under \mathcal{T}_Υ and the morphism $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Xi^h$ is a torsor under $D \otimes \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Xi^h$ is the universal elliptic curve. Moreover, the partial toroidal compactification of \mathcal{M}_Ξ is given as a twisted torus embedding over $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon$ with fibers isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_\Upsilon(\sigma)$. In particular, the formal completion of \mathcal{M}_Υ along the boundary component is described by the following diagram:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon(\sigma) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Upsilon(\sigma)} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon \xrightarrow{D \otimes \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M}_\Upsilon^h, \quad (2C.3)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Upsilon(\sigma) = \text{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[q]])$.

2C2. Type III. Let (Ξ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative of type III such that σ is one-dimensional and generated by a primitive integral element $\omega \in C_\Xi$ with $(\omega \cdot \omega) = -2N$. Let $\mathcal{T}_\Xi = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q_\alpha]_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee})$ and recall that we have a T_Ξ torsor structure

$$M_\Xi \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\nu_\Xi(K_\Xi)}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0).$$

The cone σ determines a partial compactification $\mathcal{T}_\Xi(\sigma) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q_\alpha]_{(\alpha, \omega) \geq 0, \alpha \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee})$ and also a partial compactification $\mathcal{M}_\Xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Xi(\sigma)$ which is a twisted torus embedding with fibers $\mathcal{T}_\Xi(\sigma)$.

The boundary divisor in $\mathcal{T}_\Xi(\sigma)$ is defined by the ideal $I_\sigma = (q_\alpha, (\alpha, \omega) > 0)$. If $\omega' \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee$ is as before an element such that $(\omega' \cdot \omega) = 1$, then $I_\sigma = (q_{\omega'})$. The formal completion of $\mathcal{T}_\Xi(\sigma)$ along I_σ is then given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Xi = \text{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q_\alpha, \alpha \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee \cap \omega^\perp][[q_{\omega'}]]).$$

Recall that we have a morphism of Shimura data

$$(\mathcal{Q}_\Xi, \mathcal{D}_\Xi) \xrightarrow{v_\Xi} (\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0),$$

and let s be the section of v_Ξ defined in [Section 2B5](#). Let $K_0 \subset \mathbb{A}_f^\times$ be a compact open subgroup such that $s(K_0) \subset K_\Xi$. We can furthermore assume that K_0 factors as

$$K_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cdot K_0^p.$$

Let F be the abelian extension of \mathbb{Q} determined by the reciprocity morphism in global class field theory:

$$\text{rec} : \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^\times / K_0 \simeq \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}).$$

Fix a prime $\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ above p and let R be the localization of \mathcal{O}_F at \mathfrak{P} . Then using similar arguments as in [\[Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Proposition 8.2.3\]](#), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\bigsqcup_{\mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^\times / K_0} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Xi(\sigma)_{/R} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\Xi,0}(\sigma)_{/R}$$

of formal Deligne–Mumford stacks over R whose base change to \mathbb{C} agrees with [Proposition 2.1](#). Moreover, the map

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\Xi,0}(\sigma)_{/R} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Xi(\sigma)_{/R}$$

is an étale map of Deligne–Mumford stacks given as the quotient by $K_\Xi / K_{\Xi,0}$.

The proof follows from the description given over \mathbb{C} [Proposition 2.1](#), the flatness of both sides over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and the fact the normalization of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ in $\text{Sh}_{K_0}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is isomorphic to $\bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^\times / K_0} \text{Spec}(R)$, see [\[Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Proposition 8.2.3\]](#) for a proof and more details.

2D. Special divisors. We continue to assume in this section that the lattice (L, Q) is maximal and let Σ be a smooth K -admissible cone decomposition.

For every $\beta \in L^\vee / L$, $m \in Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m > 0$, one can define a *special divisor* $\mathcal{Z}(\beta, m) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ following [\[Andreatta et al. 2018, Definition 4.5.6\]](#). We recall briefly the definition and refer to [\[loc. cit.\]](#) for more details.

The Shimura variety \mathcal{M} carries the family of Kuga–Satake abelian varieties $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. For any scheme $S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, a group of special quasiendomorphisms $V_\beta(\mathcal{A}_S)$ is defined in [\[Andreatta et al. 2018, Section 4.5\]](#).

Then the functor sending a scheme S to

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta, m)(S) = \{x \in V_\beta(\mathcal{A}_S) \mid Q(x) = m\}$$

is representable by a Deligne–Mumford stack which is étale locally an effective Cartier divisor on \mathcal{M} . We will rather consider its image in \mathcal{M} by a procedure described in [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020] after Proposition 6.5.2. By abuse of notation, we also denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\beta, m)$ its closure in \mathcal{M}^Σ , which is again a Cartier divisor.

In what follows, we will give an explicit description of $\mathcal{Z}(\beta, m)$ in the formal completions of \mathcal{M}^Σ along its boundary components. Since for our purposes we only need $\beta = 0$ and m coprime to p , we will only describe what happens in this situation and we abbreviate for short $\mathcal{Z}(\beta, m) = \mathcal{Z}(m)$. We assume that $m \geq 1$ is coprime to p for the rest of this section.

By [Andreatta et al. 2018, page 434], $\mathcal{Z}(m)(\mathbb{C})$ has a complex uniformization as follows: for any $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, let $L_g = g \cdot \widehat{L} \cap L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and consider the sub-Hermitian domain of \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{D}^\circ(\lambda) = \{x \in \mathcal{D}^\circ \mid (x, \lambda) = 0\},$$

where $\lambda \in L_g$, $Q(\lambda) = m$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(m)(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to the union of $\mathcal{D}^\circ(\lambda)$ for $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and $\lambda \in L_g$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$.

For any $\lambda \in L_g$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$, let G_λ be the fixator of λ , L_λ the orthogonal lattice to λ in $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and let $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{D}$ be the orthogonal to λ . Notice that \mathcal{D}_λ does not depend on g but only on $\lambda \in L_{\mathbb{C}}$. Notice that since m is coprime to p , the lattice L_λ is also maximal at p . Then (G_λ, D_λ) is again a Shimura datum of GSpin associated to the lattice (L_λ, Q) which is of signature $(b-1, 2)$ and has reflex field equal to \mathbb{Q} . If we choose $K_\lambda \subset G_\lambda(\mathbb{A}_f)$ a compact open subgroup as in [Andreatta et al. 2018, Equation (4.1.2)], then $K_\lambda \subset K \cap G_\lambda(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and we obtain a morphism of complex Shimura varieties

$$M_\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{C}).$$

By the description [loc. cit., Equation (2.4)], the union over $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, $\lambda \in L_g$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ of the images of $M_\lambda(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to $\mathcal{Z}(m)(\mathbb{C})$.

Now since (G_λ, D_λ) is again a Shimura variety of GSpin type associated to a lattice maximal at p , the discussion in the previous sections applies verbatim to the Shimura variety M_λ and yields similar description for the compactification and the integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. In particular, we have a map between integral models $\mathcal{M}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ which factors through $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ by [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, page 82].

$$\mathcal{M}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(m) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

and the union over of images of such maps for $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and $\lambda \in L_g$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ is equal to $\mathcal{Z}(m)$.⁴

Let (Φ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative for \mathcal{M} . From the description of the parabolic subgroups of $\text{GSpin}(b, 2)$, we have the following lemma.

⁴This union is in fact finite.

Lemma 2.3. *The group $P \cap G_\lambda$ is an admissible parabolic subgroup of G_λ if and only if $\lambda \in I_\Phi^\perp$.*

Notice also that if $\lambda \notin I_\Phi^\perp$, then the image of \mathcal{D}_λ in $M^\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ will not intersect the boundary components parametrized by Φ , as its projection to the Baily–Borel compactification will not do so. Hence they will not appear in the formal completions of \mathcal{M}^Σ along these boundary components.

We can write $\Phi = (P, \mathcal{D}^\circ, h)$ and let $\lambda \in L_g$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ such that $\lambda \in I_\Phi^\perp$. [Lemma 2.3](#) shows that (Φ, σ) can also be seen as a toroidal stratum representative with respect to $(G_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_\lambda)$ by considering $P \cap G_\lambda$; see [\[Madapusi Pera 2016, Section 2.1.28\]](#) for more details. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Upsilon}$ be the integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of the mixed Shimura variety associated to Φ . We get then a morphism of mixed Shimura varieties

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Phi} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi,$$

as well as a morphism of partial compactifications respecting the strata

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Phi}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Phi(\sigma).$$

By [\[Madapusi Pera 2019, Proposition 2.1.29\]](#), the morphism induced at the level of formal completions along the boundary strata given by σ is compatible with the toroidal compactifications of \mathcal{M}_λ and \mathcal{M} . In particular, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, \Phi}(\sigma) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi(\sigma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is an étale cover of Deligne–Mumford stacks, the left vertical map is an étale cover of an open and closed subset by [\[Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, page 82\]](#). Finally, the union over $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, $\lambda \in L_g$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ of the images of the left map covers the whole $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m)$.

2D1. *Special divisors along type-II boundary components.* Let (Υ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative of type II.

Let $\lambda \in L$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ such that $\lambda \in I_\Upsilon^\perp$ and m is coprime to p . We have a morphism of formal completions of the partial compactifications of mixed Shimura varieties

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, \Upsilon}(\sigma) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon(\sigma).$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{B}^{\Upsilon, \sigma}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \subset \mathcal{M}_\Upsilon(\sigma)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\Upsilon(\sigma), x}$ be the local ring at x . Let \bar{x} be the image of x in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and let z the image in $\mathcal{M}_\Upsilon^h(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. It follows from [\(2C.3\)](#) that the formal completion $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_\Upsilon(\sigma), x}$ is isomorphic to

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_\Upsilon(\sigma), x} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi, \bar{x}}.$$

Moreover, the pull-back of the torsor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Upsilon^h$ to $\mathrm{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_\Upsilon^h, z})$ is trivial, as it is trivial by reduction to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and we can lift formally any section. Hence

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Phi, \bar{x}} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D \otimes \mathcal{E}, \bar{x}}.$$

For $\lambda \in D$, consider the map over \mathcal{M}_γ^h

$$D \otimes \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{(\lambda \cdot) \otimes \text{Id}} \mathcal{E}. \quad (2D.1)$$

Its kernel is flat over \mathcal{M}_γ^h . Let $I_\lambda \subset \lambda$ be the ideal defining it. Then $\hat{I}_\lambda \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D \otimes \mathcal{E}, \bar{x}}$ is flat over $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_\gamma^h, z}$.

Proposition 2.4. *The formal completion $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m)$ along x is the union over $\lambda \in D$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ of the vanishing loci inside $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_\gamma(\sigma), x}$ of the ideals $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!] \widehat{\otimes} \hat{I}_\lambda$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in L$ such that $\lambda \in J^\perp$ and $Q(\lambda) = m$. Then we have a description of the mixed Shimura variety $M_{\gamma, \lambda}$ similar to (2C.3), namely, it has a fibration structure which fits into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, \gamma}(\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\tau}_\gamma(\sigma)} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, \gamma} & \xrightarrow{D_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{E}} & \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \gamma}^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\gamma(\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\tau}_\gamma(\sigma)} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_\gamma & \xrightarrow{D \otimes \mathcal{E}} & \mathcal{M}_\gamma^h \end{array}$$

One can check that $D_\lambda = \bar{\lambda}^\perp$, where $\bar{\lambda}$ is the image of λ in $D = J^\perp/J$. Moreover, the right vertical map in the above diagram is an étale cover and the vertical middle map is equivariant with respect to the inclusion

$$\bar{\lambda}^\perp \otimes \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow D \otimes \mathcal{E},$$

and the left vertical map has image given by an open and closed subset of $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m)$.

Let $z' \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \gamma}^h(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a point mapping to z , then $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \gamma, z'}^h} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\gamma, z}^h}$. Hence the above diagram becomes at the level of completed local rings

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!] \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\lambda}^\perp \otimes \mathcal{E}, \bar{x}'}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\lambda}^\perp \otimes \mathcal{E}, \bar{x}'}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \gamma, z'}^h}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \text{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!] \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D \otimes \mathcal{E}, \bar{x}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{D \otimes \mathcal{E}, \bar{x}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\gamma, z}^h}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is contained in the kernel of the map (2D.1). By considering all the $\lambda \in J^\perp$ that map to a given class $\bar{\lambda} \in D$, we get that the image is exactly the kernel of the map (2D.1) and hence the image of left vertical map is defined by the ideal $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!] \widehat{\otimes} \hat{I}_\lambda$, see [Zemel 2020, Equation (26)] for a description over \mathbb{C} . Finally, since $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m)$ is equal to the union of such images, the conclusion follows. \square

2D2. Special divisors along type-III boundary components. Let (Ξ, σ) be a stratum representative of type III. Let $K_I = I^\perp/I$ be the Lorentzian lattice as introduced in Section 2B2 and we continue to assume that σ is a one-dimensional inner ray. Let $\omega \in K_I \cap C_\Xi$ be a generator of σ with $(\omega \cdot \omega) = -2N$, $N \geq 1$. Let $\omega' \in K_I^\vee$ be an element such that $(\omega \cdot \omega') = 1$.

Let $\lambda \in L$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ and such that $\lambda \in I^\perp$. The projection $\bar{\lambda} \in K_I$ defines a divisor in the torus $\mathcal{T}_\Xi = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[q^\alpha]_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\Xi^\vee})$ given by the equation $q^{\bar{\lambda}} = 1$.

In the partial compactification $\mathcal{T}_\Xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_\Xi(\sigma)$, the equation of this divisor becomes $q^{\bar{\lambda}} - 1 = 0$ if $(\bar{\lambda} \cdot \omega) \geq 0$ or $q^{-\bar{\lambda}} - 1 = 0$ otherwise. Notice also that this divisor intersects the toric boundary divisor defined by σ if and only if $(\omega \cdot \bar{\lambda}) = 0$. We will hence restrict ourselves to this latter situation and we denote by

$$\mathcal{T}_\Xi(\lambda, \sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_\Xi(\sigma)$$

the divisor defined by λ . By construction, it only depends on the class of λ in K_I .

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m)$ be the formal completion of $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ along the boundary component of \mathcal{M}^Ξ index by (Ξ, σ) . Then the following diagram is commutative and compatible with Proposition 2.1.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_f^\times / K_0} \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in K, Q(\lambda) = m, (\lambda \cdot \omega) = 0} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\Xi, 0}(\lambda, \sigma) / \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_f^\times / K_0} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\Xi, 0}(\sigma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are étale coverings of formal Deligne–Mumford stacks and the union over $\lambda \in I^\perp$ covers $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in L \cap I^\perp$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$ and such that projection $\bar{\lambda} \in I^\perp / I$ is orthogonal to ω . Then we have similarly a description of the mixed Shimura variety $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \Xi}$ associated to the Shimura datum $(G_\lambda, \mathcal{D}_\lambda)$ as a torus fibration and such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda, \Xi}(\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\Xi, 0}(\lambda, \sigma)} & \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)_R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Xi(\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\Xi, 0}(\sigma)} & \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{H}_0)_R \end{array}$$

The left vertical map is equivariant with respect to the inclusion $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Xi(\lambda, \sigma) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Xi(\sigma)$ and its image only depends on $\bar{\lambda} \in I^\perp / I$. Since the formal completion $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(m)$ is the union over $\lambda \in L$ of the images of the left vertical maps, we get the desired result. \square

3. Arithmetic intersection theory and modularity

We recall in this section the Arakelov arithmetic intersection theory on \mathcal{M}^Σ following [Bruinier et al. 2007], the modularity results of the special divisors from [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020; Borcherds 1999] and its extension to complex toroidal compactification by [Bruinier and Zemel 2022]. Then we derive a further extension to the integral model of the toroidal compactifications of GSpin Shimura varieties.

3A. Modularity of special divisors. Let (L, Q) be a maximal quadratic lattice with signature $(b, 3)$ and assume that $b \geq 3$.

Let $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be the compact open subgroup from [Section 2A](#) and let Σ be a K -admissible smooth polyhedral cone decomposition. Denote by \mathcal{M}^Σ the toroidal compactification of the integral model of the GSpin Shimura variety constructed in [Section 2C](#). Let $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{M}^\Sigma, \mathcal{D}_{\text{pre}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the first Chow group of prelog forms as defined in [\[Bruinier et al. 2007, Definition 1.15\]](#).

Let Υ be a cusp label representative of type II. Then there is a unique one-dimensional ray in the cone decomposition associated to Υ and we denote by abuse of notation \mathcal{B}^Υ the closure of the boundary divisor associated to Υ .

Consider now (Ξ, σ) a toroidal stratum representative of type III such that σ is a one-dimensional inner ray in the cone decomposition Σ . Then we denote by $\mathcal{B}^{\Xi, \sigma}$ the closed boundary divisor in \mathcal{M}^Σ associated to (Ξ, σ) .

Let $\beta \in L^\vee/L$ and $m \in Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}$ with $m > 0$. For every toroidal stratum representative Υ and (Ξ, σ) , let $\mu_\Upsilon(\beta, m)$ and $\mu_{\Xi, \sigma}(\beta, m)$ be the real numbers defined by [\(4E.1\)](#) and [\(4F.1\)](#), see also [\[Bruinier and Zemel 2022\]](#). Consider then the following divisor on \mathcal{M}^Σ :

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m) = \mathcal{Z}(\beta, m) + \sum_{\Upsilon} \mu_\Upsilon(\beta, m) \cdot \mathcal{B}^\Upsilon + \sum_{(\Xi, \sigma)} \mu_{\Xi, \sigma}(\beta, m) \cdot \mathcal{B}^{\Xi, \sigma}, \quad (3A.1)$$

where the two last sums are over toroidal stratum representatives of type II and type III respectively. Then by [\[Bruinier and Zemel 2022\]](#), the Cartier divisor $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m)$ can be endowed with a Green function $\Phi_{\beta, m}$ such that the resulting pair

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m) = (\mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m), \Phi_{\beta, m})$$

is an element of the first Chow group of prelog forms $\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{M}^\Sigma, \mathcal{D}_{\text{pre}})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. For $m = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, we define $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(0, 0)$ to be any arithmetic divisor whose class is the dual of the hermitian line bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{pet}})$ endowed with the Petersson metric $\|z\|^2 = [z, \bar{z}]$.

Consider then the following generating series

$$\Phi_L := \sum_{\beta \in L^\vee/L} \sum_{m \in Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m) q^m e_\beta \in \mathbb{C}[L^\vee/L][q^{1/D_L}] \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{M}^\Sigma, \mathcal{D}_{\text{pre}})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $(e_\beta)_{\beta \in L^\vee/L}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\mathbb{C}[L^\vee/L]$, D_L is the discriminant of L , and $q = e^{2i\pi\tau}$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ is in the upper-half plane.

Let

$$\rho_L : \text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[L^\vee/L])$$

be the Weil representation associated to the quadratic lattice (L, Q) , where $\text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the metaplectic double cover of $\text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{R})$. For $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let $\text{Mod}_k(\rho_L)$ denote the vector space of vector valued modular forms of weight k with respect to ρ_L . We then have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The generating series Φ_L is the Fourier development of a vector-valued modular forms of weight $1 + \frac{b}{2}$ and representation ρ_L , i.e.,*

$$\Phi_L \in \text{Mod}_{1+b/2}(\rho_L) \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{M}^\Sigma, \mathcal{D}_{\text{pre}})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Proof. Let $F \in M_{1-b/2}^!(\overline{\rho_L})$ be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $1 - \frac{b}{2}$ with respect to the complex conjugate Weil representation of ρ_L such that F has integral principal part, and let Ψ be the associated Borcherds product. Then by [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Theorem 5.5], the divisor in $\mathcal{M}^\Sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of $\Psi(F)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\beta \in L^\vee/L} \sum_{m \in Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}} c_\beta(-m) \mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m)(\mathbb{C}).$$

Since Borcherds products are defined rationally by [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Theorem A], we only need to check that the divisor of the Borcherds products has the expected form over \mathbb{Z} and this will be automatic if all the special divisors and the boundary divisors are flat. By [Madapusi Pera 2019, Theorem 4.1.5], the boundary divisors are flat and by [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Proposition 7.2.2], the special divisors are flat over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ and over \mathbb{Z} if $b \geq 4$. For $b = 3$, one can use the algebraic version of the Borcherds embedding trick as in [Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020, Section 9.2] to prove that no further components appear at 2 and hence the divisor of the Borcherds product has the correct form. Hence we conclude by the criterion in [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Proposition 5.4]. \square

4. The main estimates and proof of the main theorems

We state in this section the local and global estimates that will allow us to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. Then we will prove the global estimates and we postpone the proof of local estimates to the next section.

4A. Number field setting. Let X be K3 surface over a number field K . Given an embedding $\tau : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let (L, Q) be a maximal lattice containing the transcendental lattice of $X^\tau(\mathbb{C})$. It is an even lattice of signature $(b, 2)$ whose genus is independent from τ . We can assume furthermore that $b \geq 3$, as the case $b \leq 2$ has already been treated, see [Charles 2018; Shankar and Tang 2020].

Let \mathcal{M} be the integral model of the GSpin Shimura variety associated to the lattice (L, Q) and, given an admissible polyhedral cone decomposition Σ , let \mathcal{M}^Σ be its toroidal compactifications as in Section 2. By [Madapusi Pera 2015], the K3 surface has an associated Kuga–Satake abelian variety which we can also assume to be defined over the number field K , up to taking a finite extension. Hence it defines a K -point of \mathcal{M}^Σ . By the extension property of the integral model, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that, up to taking a finite extension of K , we have a flat morphism over \mathbb{Z} :

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{N}]) \rightarrow \mathcal{M},$$

and by properness, this map extends to

$$\rho : \mathcal{Y} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\Sigma.$$

By construction, the image of this map is not contained in any special divisor. A prime over N is said to be a prime of bad reduction and otherwise of good reduction.

As in [Shankar et al. 2022, Theorem 2.4], we will rather prove the following more general version, which is easily seen to imply [Theorem 1.1](#).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{M}^\Sigma(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with smooth reduction outside N . Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a fixed integer represented by (L, Q) and coprime to N . Assume that $\mathcal{Y}_K \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ is not contained in any special divisor $\mathcal{Z}(m)(K)$. Then there are infinitely many places \mathfrak{P} of K of good reduction such that $\mathcal{Y}_{\overline{\mathfrak{P}}}$ lies in the image of $\mathcal{Z}(Dm^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ coprime to N .*

Let $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\Sigma$ be as in the previous theorem. We first begin by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a refinement of the cone decomposition Σ , such that the map $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\Sigma$ satisfies the following property: for any prime \mathfrak{P} of bad reduction, the image of the closed point $\{\mathfrak{P}\}$ under ρ is contained in a stratum which is a locally closed divisor of \mathcal{M}^Σ .*

Proof. Let $s_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ be the closed point \mathfrak{P} of \mathcal{Y} . By (2C.1), the image of $s_{\mathfrak{P}}$ lies in a stratum indexed either by either a type-II boundary component Υ or a type-III (Ξ, σ) toroidal stratum representative. In the type-II case, the boundary is already a divisor and there is nothing to prove. In the type-III case, let r be the dimension of the cone σ . Then we get a morphism

$$\mathrm{Spf}(W(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma, \quad (4A.1)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma$ is the formal completion along the boundary component defined by (Ξ, σ) . By a similar analysis to [Section 2C2](#), we have an étale cover of formal Deligne–Mumford stacks

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Xi(\sigma) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma.$$

Hence the map (4A.1) lifts to a morphism

$$\mathrm{Spf}(W(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Xi(\sigma), \quad (4A.2)$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\Xi(\sigma) = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q^\alpha \mid (\alpha, \sigma) = 0]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[[q^\alpha \mid (\alpha, \sigma) > 0]]).$$

Hence this corresponds to a map

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[q^\alpha \mid (\alpha, C) > 0]] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[[q^\alpha \mid (\alpha, C) = 0]] \rightarrow W(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p).$$

The linear form on Γ_Ξ^\vee given by sending an element α to the p -adic valuation of the image of q^α under the above map is represented by an element $\omega \in \Gamma_\Xi$ which satisfies $(\omega \cdot \alpha) > 0$ whenever $(\alpha \cdot \sigma) > 0$; hence ω is in σ . The cocharacter defined by ω is in fact tangent to the map given in (4A.2). Let σ' in σ be the ray defined by ω and let Σ' be the new cone decomposition obtained by refining Σ and which contains σ' as a one-dimensional ray. Then $\mathcal{M}^{\Sigma'}$ is a blow-up of \mathcal{M}^Σ and by the preceding discussion, the point $s_{\mathfrak{P}}$ belongs to the boundary divisor parametrized by (Ξ, σ') . Since there are only finitely many primes of bad reduction, then by repeating this procedure finitely many times, we get the desired cone decomposition. \square

We will work from now on with the toroidal compactification given by the above proposition. For $m \geq 1$ an integer, let $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ be the closed special divisor $\mathcal{Z}(0, m) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\Sigma$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m)$ the arithmetic divisor associated to $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(m)$ by (3A.1). The pullback via the period map $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\Sigma$ allows us to define the height $h_{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m)}(\mathcal{Y})$ of \mathcal{Y} with respect to the arithmetic divisor $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m)$ as its image under the composition

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{M}^\Sigma, \mathcal{D}_{\text{pre}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{pre}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} \mathbb{R}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m) \rightarrow h_{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m)}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

By choice of the lattice (L, Q) , the arithmetic curve \mathcal{Y} intersects properly the divisors $\mathcal{Z}(m)$, $\mathcal{B}^{\Xi, \omega}$ and \mathcal{B}^Υ for every Υ and (Ξ, ω) . Hence we have

$$h_{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m)}(\mathcal{Y}) = \sum_{\tau: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \Phi_m(\mathcal{Y}^\tau) + \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} \log |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}|, \quad (4A.3)$$

where for $\tau : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we use \mathcal{Y}^τ to denote the point in $M(\mathbb{C})$ induced by

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\Sigma.$$

We have

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} + \sum_{\Upsilon} \mu_{\Upsilon}(m) (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{B}^\Upsilon)_{\mathfrak{P}} + \sum_{(\Xi, \omega)} \mu_{\Xi, \omega}(m) \cdot (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{B}^{\Xi, \omega})_{\mathfrak{P}}. \quad (4A.4)$$

Let us denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{M}^\Sigma} \mathcal{Z}(m), v}$ the étale local ring of $\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{M}^\Sigma} \mathcal{Z}(m)$ at v . Then

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = \sum_{v \in \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{M}^\Sigma} \mathcal{Z}(m)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{P}})} \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{M}^\Sigma} \mathcal{Z}(m), v}), \quad (4A.5)$$

where $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ denotes the residue field of \mathfrak{P} .

Let

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m)) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} \log |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}|.$$

The first new contribution of this paper is to prove the following estimate which results from Borcherds modularity and ad hoc bounds on the multiplicities $\mu_{\Upsilon}(m)$ and $\mu_{\Xi, \omega}(m)$.

Proposition 4.3. *As $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m)) + \sum_{\tau: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \Phi_m(\mathcal{Y}^\tau) = O(m^{b/2}).$$

As a corollary, we get the following bound, which is referred to as the diophantine bound in [Shankar et al. 2022, Equation (5.2)].

Corollary 4.4. *For any finite place \mathfrak{P} , we have the following bound:*

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = O(m^{b/2} \log m), \quad \Phi_m(\mathcal{Y}^\tau) = O(m^{b/2} \log m).$$

For our next estimate, we recall the notion of asymptotic density from [Shankar et al. 2022]: for a subset $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the *logarithmic asymptotic density* of S is defined to be

$$\limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log|S_X|}{\log X},$$

where $S_X := \{a \in S \mid X \leq a < 2X\}$.

Recall from Theorems 5.7 and 6.1 in [loc. cit.] that we have the following estimate:

Proposition 4.5. *There exists a subset $S_{\text{bad}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of zero logarithmic asymptotic density such that*

$$\sum_{\tau: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \Phi_m(\mathcal{Y}^\tau) = c(m) \log(m) + o(m^{b/2} \log(m)),$$

where $-c(m) \asymp m^{b/2}$ and is defined in [loc. cit., Section 3.3].

For a prime \mathfrak{P} of good reduction, i.e., where the intersection of \mathcal{Y} and $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ above \mathfrak{P} is supported in \mathcal{M} , we have the following estimate which follows easily from [loc. cit., Theorem 7.1].

Proposition 4.6. *Let \mathfrak{P} be a finite place of good reduction. Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ coprime to N . For $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $S_{D,X}$ denote the set*

$$\left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid X \leq m < 2X, \frac{m}{D} \in \mathbb{Z} \cap (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^2, (m, N) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = o(X^{(b+1)/2} \log X).$$

Finally, for a prime \mathfrak{P} of bad reduction, we prove the following proposition which is the second new contribution of this paper.

Proposition 4.7. *Let \mathfrak{P} a finite place of bad reduction. Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ coprime to N . For $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $S_{D,X}$ be the set defined in the previous proposition. Then we have*

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = o(X^{(b+1)/2} \log X).$$

4B. Function field setting. We assume in this section that the lattice (L, Q) is self-dual at p . Then the Shimura variety \mathcal{M} has smooth reduction at p and we denote its reduction by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Given an admissible cone decomposition Σ , we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\Sigma$ the reduction of the toroidal compactification \mathcal{M}^Σ . We first give a new formulation of Theorem 1.3, see Theorem 4.8, then we will give the main estimates that will allow us to prove the latter.

Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a generically ordinary nonisotrivial family of K3 surfaces over a smooth curve \mathcal{S} over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. The quadratic lattice (L, Q) in this case corresponds to a maximal quadratic lattice orthogonal to the generic geometric Picard group in the K3 lattice. Hence (L, Q) has discriminant coprime to p by assumption and we get a period map by [Madapusi Pera 2015, section 4]

$$\rho: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p},$$

which is a finite map and the image of the generic point is in the ordinary locus. The locus in \mathcal{S} where the Picard rank jumps corresponds then exactly to the union over $m \geq 1$ of the intersections $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Hence [Theorem 1.3](#) follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be a finite map with generically ordinary image and not contained in any special divisor. Then there exists infinitely many closed points in \mathcal{S} in the union of $\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ for integers m coprime with p .*

Let \mathcal{S} be a smooth curve as in the theorem above. By properness, we can extend the map

$$\rho : \bar{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Sigma},$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ is the smooth compactification of \mathcal{S} . We have the following proposition whose proof is similar to [Proposition 4.2](#) and hence we omit it.

Proposition 4.9. *There exists a refinement of the cone decomposition Σ such that the image of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ intersects the boundary only in strata corresponding to locally closed divisors.*

Let Σ be a polyhedral cone decomposition which satisfies the conditions of the previous proposition. By abuse of notation, if $D \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Sigma}$ is a Cartier divisor, we write

$$(D \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \deg_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} \rho^* D.$$

We have then the following global estimate.

Proposition 4.10. *As $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) = |c(m)|(\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) + o(m^{b/2}).$$

For any integer m , we have the decomposition

$$(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \sum_{P \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p} m_P(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \bar{\mathcal{S}}),$$

where $m_P(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \bar{\mathcal{S}})$ is the multiplicity of intersection at P . Our next goal is to estimate in average these local multiplicities and we start by the good reduction case already treated in [\[Maulik et al. 2022a, Proposition 7.11, Theorem 7.18\]](#).

Let S be as in [\[loc. cit., Section 7.1\]](#), i.e., a set of integers of positive density such that every $m \in S$ is coprime to p and is representable by the quadratic lattice (L, Q) .

For $P \in (\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{M})(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we define as in [\[loc. cit., Definition 7.6\]](#)

$$g_P(m) = \frac{h_p}{p-1} |c(m)|,$$

where h_p is the order of vanishing of the Hasse invariant at P , see [\[loc. cit.\]](#) The following proposition is the combination of [Proposition 7.11](#) and [Theorem 7.18](#) from [\[loc. cit.\]](#).

Proposition 4.11. *Let $P \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Then:*

(1) *If P is not supersingular then*

$$\sum_{m \in S_X} m_P(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \mathcal{S}) = O(X^{b/2} \log X).$$

(2) *There exists an absolute constant $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for any supersingular point P we have*

$$\sum_{m \in S_X} m_P(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \mathcal{S}) = \alpha \sum_{m \in S_X} g_p(m) + O(X^{(b+1)/2}).$$

Our new contribution in this setting is the following theorem which gives an estimate on intersection multiplicities at points where \bar{S} intersects the boundary of \mathcal{M}_p^Σ .

Proposition 4.12. *Let $P \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ a point mapping to the boundary of \mathcal{M}_p^Σ . Then we have the following estimate:*

$$\sum_{m \in S_X} m_P(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) = O(X^{b/2} \log X).$$

4C. Proof of the main theorems. Assuming the estimates in the previous section we now indicate how to prove [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Theorem 1.3](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is enough to prove [Theorem 4.1](#) in a similar way to [Shankar et al. 2022, Section 8]. For convenience of the reader, we will sketch the proof. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there are only finitely many primes of good reduction such that \mathcal{Y} intersects a special divisor of the form $\mathcal{Z}(Dm^2)$ where Dm^2 is coprime with N and is represented by (L, Q) . By [Proposition 4.3](#) and [Proposition 4.5](#), there exists a subset $S_{\text{bad}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of logarithmic asymptotic density zero such that

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m)) = -c(m) \log(m) + o(m^{b/2} \log(m)) \asymp m^{b/2} \log(m).$$

Let $S_{D,X}^{\text{good}} = \{m \in S_{D,X}, m \notin S_{\text{bad}}, (m, N) = 1\}$, then one can easily check that $|S_{D,X}^{\text{good}}| \asymp X^{1/2}$ and $c(m) \gg X^{b/2} \log X$ for $m \in S_{D,X}^{\text{good}}$. Hence we get

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}^{\text{good}}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m)) \asymp X^{(b+1)/2} \log X. \quad (4C.1)$$

On the other hand, by [Propositions 4.5](#) and [4.7](#), we get by summing over the finitely many places where either \mathcal{Y} intersects a $\mathcal{Z}(Dm^2)$ or which are of bad reduction

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}^{\text{good}}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m)) = o(X^{(b+1)/2} \log X),$$

which contradicts (4C.1). □

Proof Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar: assume that there are only finitely many points in the union $(\bigcup_{m,m \wedge p=1} \mathcal{Z}(m) \cap \mathcal{S})(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and let S be a set as in [Section 4B](#). Then by [Proposition 4.10](#), we have

$$\sum_{m \in S_X} (\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) = \sum_{m \in S_X} |c(m)|(\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) + o(X^{b/2+1}).$$

On the other hand, by Propositions 4.11 and 4.12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in S_X} (\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) &= \sum_{m \in S_X} \sum_{P \in \left(\bigcup_{m, m \wedge p=1} \mathcal{Z}(m) \cap \mathcal{S} \right) (\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} m_P (\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) \\ &= \alpha \sum_{m \in S_X} |g_P(m)| + O(X^{(b+1)/2}). \\ &\leq \alpha \sum_{m \in S_X} |c(m)| (\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) + O(X^{(b+1)/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality results from the fact that the Hasse invariant is a section of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\otimes p-1}$. These two estimates contradict each other, hence the result. \square

4D. Global estimate. We prove in this section simultaneously Propositions 4.3 and 4.10.

By Theorem 3.1, the generating series

$$\sum_{\beta \in L^\vee / L} \sum_{m \in Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}} h_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m)}(\mathcal{Y}) q^m e_\beta$$

and

$$\sum_{\beta \in L^\vee / L} \sum_{m \in Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(\beta, m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) q^m e_\beta$$

are elements of $\text{Mod}_{1+b/2}(\rho_L)$. Classical estimates on the growth of coefficients of modular forms imply that (see [Tayou 2020, Example 2.3] for more details)

$$h_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m)}(\mathcal{Y}) = O(m^{b/2})$$

and

$$(\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{tor}} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) = |c(m)| (\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) + o(m^{b/2}).$$

By (4A.3) and (4A.4), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m)) + \sum_{\tau: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}} \Phi_m(\mathcal{Y}^\tau) \\ = h_{\bar{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{tor}}(m)}(\mathcal{Y}) - \sum_{\Upsilon} \mu_\Upsilon(m) (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{B}^\Upsilon)_{\mathfrak{P}} \log |\mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{P}| - \sum_{\Xi} \mu_{\Xi, \sigma}(m) \cdot (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{B}^{\Xi, \sigma})_{\mathfrak{P}} \log |\mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{P}| \end{aligned} \quad (4D.1)$$

and similarly, we can write

$$(\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) = (\mathcal{Z}^{\text{tor}}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{S}}) - \sum_{\Upsilon} \mu_\Upsilon(m) (\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \mathcal{B}^{\Upsilon, \mathbb{F}_p}) - \sum_{\Xi, \sigma} \mu_{\Xi, \omega}(m) \cdot (\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Xi, \sigma}). \quad (4D.2)$$

Hence we only have to bound the growth of the multiplicities $\mu_\Upsilon(m)$ and $\mu_{\Xi, \omega}(m)$.⁵ This is given by the following lemma.

Proposition 4.13. *As $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following estimates:*

(1) *For any type-II cusp label representative Υ , we have*

$$\mu_\Upsilon(m) \ll_\epsilon m^{b/2-1+\epsilon}.$$

⁵ ω is the unique integral generator of σ .

(2) For any type-III toroidal stratum representative (Ξ, σ) such that σ is a ray, we have

$$\mu_{\Xi, \omega}(m) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{(b-1)/2+\epsilon}.$$

This proposition will be proved in the following two sections.

4E. Estimates on type-II multiplicities. The goal of this section is to prove the type-II estimate in [Proposition 4.13](#). First we recall some notation associated to isotropic planes introduced in [\[Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Section 3.2\]](#).

Let $\Upsilon = (P, D^\circ, h)$ be a cusp label representative corresponding to a boundary component of type II. Recall from [Section 2B1](#) that P is the stabilizer of an isotropic plane $J_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $J = J_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap h.L$ is a primitive isotropic plane of $h.L \cap L_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

To simplify the notation, assume that $h.L \cap L_{\mathbb{Q}} = L$, the reader may otherwise replace L by $L_h = h.L \cap L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in what follows. Define then

$$J_{L^\vee} = J_{\mathbb{R}} \cap L^\vee, \quad J_L^\perp = J^\perp \cap L, \quad J_{L^\vee}^\perp = J^\perp \cap L^\vee, \quad \text{and} \quad D = J_L^\perp / J.$$

The lattice D is positive definite lattice of rank $b - 2$. Its dual lattice can be described as

$$D^\vee = J_{L^\vee}^\perp / J_{L^\vee}.$$

and the discriminant lattice is given by

$$\Delta_D = D^\vee / D = J_{L^\vee}^\perp / (J_L^\perp + J_{L^\vee} = L_J^\vee / (L + J_{L^\vee})),$$

where L_J^\vee is the subgroup of L^\vee

$$L + J_{L^\vee}^\perp = \{\mu \in L^\vee \mid \exists v \in L \text{ such that } (\mu, \lambda) = (v, \lambda) \forall \lambda \in J\}.$$

Let Θ_D denote the vector-valued Theta function associated to D defined by

$$\Theta_D(\tau) = \sum_{\beta \in D^\vee} q^{Q(\beta)} e_{\beta+D} \in \mathbb{C}[\Delta_D][[q^{1/|\Delta_D|}]].$$

It is an element of $M_{b/2-1}(\rho_D)$, which is the space of vector-valued modular forms of weight $\frac{b}{2} - 1$ with respect to the Weil representation ρ_D associated to the positive definite lattice (D, Q) . We can also write

$$\Theta_D(\tau) = \sum_{\beta \in D^\vee / D} \sum_{m \geq 0} c(D, \beta, m) q^m e_\beta,$$

where for $\beta \in D^\vee / D$, $m \in Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 0$, we have

$$c(D, \beta, m) = |\{\lambda \in \beta + D, Q(\lambda) = m\}|.$$

Following Bruinier and Zemel's notation [\[2022, Section 4.4\]](#), define

$$\uparrow_D^L (\Theta_D)(\tau) = \sum_{\beta \in J_{L^\vee}^\perp / J} q^{Q(\beta)/2} e_{\beta+L} = \sum_{\beta \in L^\vee / L} \sum_{m \in Q(\beta) + L} c(D, \beta, m) q^m e_\beta \in M_{b/2-1}(\rho_L),$$

where $c(D, \beta, m) = 0$ if $\beta \notin J_{L^\vee}^\perp / J^\perp$ or $m \notin Q(\beta) + \mathbb{Z}$, and otherwise $c(D, \beta, m) = c(D, \bar{\beta}, m)$ where $\bar{\beta}$ is the image of β under the reduction map $J_{L^\vee}^\perp \rightarrow D^\vee / D$.

In particular, we have

$$q \frac{d}{dq} \uparrow_D^L (\Theta_D)(\tau) = \sum_{\beta \in L^\vee / L} \sum_{m \in Q(\beta) + L} m c(D, \beta, m) q^m e_\beta,$$

which is a quasimodular form in the sense of [Imamoğlu et al. 2014, Definition 1].

Then by [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Definition 4.18, Proposition 4.21 4.15], we can define

$$\mu_\Upsilon(m) = \frac{1}{b-2} \text{CT} \left(\left\langle q \frac{d}{dq} \uparrow_D^L (\Theta_D), F_m^+ \right\rangle_L \right), \quad (4E.1)$$

where F_m^+ is the holomorphic part of the harmonic mass form $F_{m,0}$ from [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Proposition 4.2]. A direct computation shows then (see also the second formula in [Bruinier 2002, Theorem 2.14])

$$\mu_\Upsilon(m) = \frac{2}{b-2} m c(D, 0, m).$$

Classical estimates on coefficients of modular forms, see for example [Sarnak 1990, Proposition 1.5.5], show that

$$|c(D, \beta, m)| \ll_\epsilon m^{b/2-2+\epsilon} \quad (4E.2)$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Hence we get that

$$|\mu_\Upsilon(m)| \ll_\epsilon m^{b/2-1+\epsilon},$$

which proves the first part of [Proposition 4.13](#).

4F. Estimates on type-III multiplicities. In this section, we prove the estimates on the type-III multiplicities in [Proposition 4.13](#).

Let (Ξ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative of type III such that σ is a ray. Keeping the notation from [Section 2B2](#), let $I_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the isotropic line of $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ whose stabilizer is the parabolic subgroup attached to Ξ and let $I = I_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap h.L$. To simplify notation, we assume that $h.L = L$, the reader may notice that this is harmless, up to replacing L by $h.L$ in what follows.

The line I is an isotropic line of L and the lattice $K_I = I^\perp / I$ is Lorentzian. Let $C_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the cone of negative elements of the Lorentzian space $K_{I,\mathbb{R}}$ and let $C = C_{\mathbb{R}} \cap K$. As is explained in [Section 2B2](#), the ray ω is generated by an element $\omega \in K_I \cap C$ which is primitive and such that $Q(\omega) = -N$. Following [Bruinier and Zemel 2022, Definition 4.18], we define

$$\mu_{\Xi, \omega}(m) = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi} \Phi_m^K \left(\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{N}} \right). \quad (4F.1)$$

Let $v = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{N}}$. By [Bruinier 2002, Proposition 2.11 and Theorem 2.14], we have

$$\Phi_m^K(v) = \Phi_m^K \left(v, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{4} \right) = \frac{2\Gamma \left(\frac{b-1}{2} \right) (4\pi m)^{b/2}}{1 + \frac{b}{2}} \sum_{\lambda \in K_I, Q(\lambda)=m} \frac{F \left(\frac{b-1}{2}, 1, 1 + \frac{b}{2}; \frac{m}{(Q(\lambda_{v^\perp}))^{(b-1)/2}} \right)}{(4\pi |Q(\lambda_{v^\perp})^{(b-1)/2}|)},$$

where $F(a, b, c; z)$ is the usual Gauss hypergeometric function given by

$$F(a, b, c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

and $(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$. Recall that the above series has 1 as a radius of convergence and converges absolutely in the unit circle $|z| = 1$ if $\mathcal{R}(c - a - b) > 0$. In our situation, the latter quantity is equal to $1 + b/2 - 1 - (b-1)/2 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$. Hence the series $F((b-1)/2, 1, 1+b/2; z)$ is globally bounded over the unit disc. For $\lambda \in K$ such that $Q(\lambda) = m$, we have $m = Q(\lambda_v) + Q(\lambda_{v^\perp})$ and $Q(\lambda_v) \leq 0$, hence $0 < m \leq Q(\lambda_{v^\perp})$. Hence we get

$$|\Phi_m^K(v)| \ll \sqrt{m} \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{m}\lambda \in K_I, Q(\lambda)=1}} \frac{1}{Q(\lambda_{v^\perp})^{(b-1)/2}} \ll \sqrt{m} \sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{Q(\lambda_v^\perp) \in [N, N+1[\\ \sqrt{m}\lambda \in K_I \\ Q(\lambda)=1}} \frac{1}{N^{(b-1)/2}}.$$

By [Proposition 4.14](#) below, we have

$$|\{\lambda \in K_{I,\mathbb{R}}, Q(\lambda) = 1, \sqrt{m}\lambda \in K, Q(\lambda_v^\perp) \in [N, N+1[\}| \ll_\epsilon m^{b/2-1+\epsilon} N^{b/2-2}.$$

Hence

$$|\Phi_m^K(v)| \ll_\epsilon m^{(b-1)/2+\epsilon} \sum_{N \geq 1} \frac{N^{b/2-2}}{N^{(b-1)/2}} \ll_\epsilon m^{(b-1)/2+\epsilon} \sum_{N \geq 1} \frac{1}{N^{3/2}} \ll_\epsilon m^{(b-1)/2+\epsilon},$$

which proves the second part of [Proposition 4.13](#).

Proposition 4.14. *Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and $X > 0$ a positive real number. Then*

$$|\{\lambda \in K_{I,\mathbb{R}}, Q(\lambda) = 1, \sqrt{m}\lambda \in K_I, Q(\lambda_v^\perp) \in [N, N+1[\}| \ll_\epsilon m^{b/2-1+\epsilon} N^{b/2-2}.$$

Proof. Recall that (K_I, Q) is a quadratic lattice of signature $(b-1, 1)$ and we have a canonical measure μ_∞ on the quadric $K_1 := \{x \in K_{I,\mathbb{R}} \mid Q(x) = 1\}$ defined as follows: for W an open subset of $K_\mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mu_\infty(W \cap K_1) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Leb}(\{x \in W, |Q(x) - 1| < \epsilon\})}{2\epsilon}.$$

Here Leb is the Lebesgue measure on $K_\mathbb{R}$ for which the lattice K is of covolume 1. One can then prove that (see for example the proof of [\[Shankar et al. 2022, Corollary 4.12\]](#)):

$$\mu_\infty(\{\lambda \in K_1, Q(\lambda_{v^\perp}) \in [X, X+1[\}) \ll X^{b/2-2}.$$

On the other hand, by the equidistribution of integral points in quadrics, see [\[Eskin and Oh 2006; Duke 1988\]](#),⁶ we have

$$|\{\lambda \in K_1, \sqrt{m}\lambda \in K, Q(\lambda_v^\perp) \in [N, N+1[\}| \ll_\epsilon m^{b/2-1+\epsilon} \mu_\infty(\{\lambda \in K_1, Q(\lambda_{v^\perp}) \in [X, X+1[\}),$$

which yields the desired result. \square

⁶Or the circle method.

5. Bounding the contribution from bad reduction places

In this section we prove Propositions 4.7 and 4.12. Let \mathcal{M}^Σ be as before the toroidal compactification of the GSpin Shimura variety associated to a quadratic lattice (L, Q) and a K -admissible polyhedral cone decomposition Σ . The lattice (L, Q) is assumed to be maximal in the number field case and moreover self-dual at p in the function field case.

5A. Bad reduction in the number field setting. In this section, we prove Proposition 4.7. We assume hence that the lattice (L, Q) is maximal and that the polyhedral cone decomposition Σ is chosen in such way that Proposition 4.2 is satisfied.

By the choice of the cone decomposition Σ , the intersection points of \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{M}^Σ lie either in a boundary divisor of type II or a boundary divisor of type III associated to a toroidal stratum representative (Ξ, σ) of type III where σ is a ray.

Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime of bad reduction, i.e., where \mathcal{Y} intersects the boundary of \mathcal{M}^Σ . Let $K_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the completion at \mathfrak{P} of the number field K and $v_{\mathfrak{P}}$ its normalized valuation. Let $k_{\mathfrak{P}}$ be the residue field of \mathfrak{P} and $\bar{k}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ an algebraic closure.

5A1. Type-II degeneration. Assume in this section that the boundary point lies in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^\Upsilon$ where Υ is a cusp label representative of type II.

Let J be the primitive isotropic plane associated to Υ and let $D = J_L^\perp / J$; see Section 4E for notation.

Recall from (2B.5) and (2C.3) that the completion of \mathcal{M}^Σ along the boundary divisor \mathcal{B}^Υ fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma \\ \downarrow = & & \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]])} & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon \xrightarrow{D \otimes \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M}_\Upsilon^h \end{array}$$

where the map π is an étale map of formal Deligne–Mumford stacks.

The formal completion of \mathcal{Y} along \mathfrak{P} induces a map

$$\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma,$$

which lifts by étaleness of π to a map

$$\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon.$$

Denoting by x the image of the closed point $s_{\mathfrak{P}}$, then we get a map of local rings

$$\Psi : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\Upsilon, x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}.$$

Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer coprime to N . By Proposition 2.4, the formal completion of the divisor $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ is described as the union over $\lambda \in D$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$, of the vanishing set of the ideals $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \otimes \hat{I}_\lambda$. If f_λ

is a generator of \hat{I}_λ ,⁷ then the multiplicity of intersection of the branch parametrized by λ at \mathfrak{P} is equal to

$$v(\lambda) = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\Psi(f_\lambda)).$$

Hence the multiplicity of intersection of \mathcal{Y} and $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ at \mathfrak{P} is given by

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda \in D, Q(\lambda)=m} v(\lambda),$$

where d is the degree of π at $\rho(s_{\mathfrak{P}})$.

For an integer n , define the set

$$L_n = \{\lambda \in D \mid v(\lambda) \geq n\}, \quad (5A.1)$$

and notice that (L_n) is a decreasing chain of sets. It follows then

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} \leq \sum_{\lambda \in D, Q(\lambda)=m} v(\lambda) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda)=m\}|. \quad (5A.2)$$

The proposition below should be compared to what happens in the good reduction case in [Shankar et al. 2022, Section 7]. For a definition of the successive minima used, we refer to [Eskin and Katznelson 1995, Definition 2.2].

Proposition 5.1. *The sequence $(L_n, Q)_n$ is a decreasing sequence of positive definite lattices which all have the same rank $r \leq b - 2$. Moreover, the following holds:*

- (1) $\bigcap_n L_n = \{0\}$.
- (2) For every $n \geq 1$, $pL_n \subseteq L_{n+1}$.
- (3) For $1 \leq r \leq b - 2$, let $\mu_i(L_n)$ be the i -th successive minima of L_n and let $a_i(L_n) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq i} \mu_i(L_n)$. Then we have

$$a_i(L_n) \gg_{\epsilon} n^{i/(b+\epsilon)}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in L_n$. From (2D.1), we see that $\ker(p_\lambda) \cap \ker(p_{\lambda'})$ and thus

$$\hat{I}_{\lambda+\lambda'} \subset \hat{I}_\lambda + \hat{I}_{\lambda'}.$$

It follows that

$$v(\lambda + \lambda') \geq \min\{v(\lambda), v(\lambda')\} \geq n.$$

We conclude that $L_n \subseteq D$ is a subgroup and (L_n, Q) is obviously positive definite. Moreover, since the curve \mathcal{Y} is not contained in any special divisor, (1) follows immediately.

For (2), let $\lambda \in L_n$ with $v(\lambda) \geq n \geq 1$. Then $\hat{I}_{p\lambda}$ is the ideal defining the kernel of the composition

$$D \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}},$$

over $\mathrm{Spf}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}^h, z})$.

⁷Recall that $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ is Cartier.

Since the multiplication by p map is ramified at 0 with ramification degree equal to p , we conclude that

$$v(p\lambda) \geq pv(\lambda) \geq n+1.$$

This also proves that the lattices L_n have the same rank.

For (3), let $n \geq 1$ and let w_0 be a vector in L_n such that $Q(w_0) = \mu_1(L_n)^2$. By choosing $m_0 = \mu_1(L_n)^2$, the height bound [Corollary 4.4](#) implies

$$n \leq (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m_0))_{\mathfrak{P}} \ll_{\epsilon} m^{(b+\epsilon)/2}.$$

Hence $\mu_1(L_n) \gg_{\epsilon} n^{1/(b+\epsilon)}$. Since $a_i(n) \geq \mu_1(n)^r$, this concludes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. For $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $S_{D,X}$ denote the set*

$$\left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid X \leq m < 2X, \frac{m}{D} \in \mathbb{Z} \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2, (m, N) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = o(X^{(b+1)/2} \log X).$$

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} \leq \sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} \sum_{n \geq 1} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) = m\}| = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) = m\}|.$$

By [\[Eskin and Katznelson 1995, Lemma 2.4\]](#), we have the following estimate which only depends on the rank r of the lattices L_n and hence not on n

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) = m\}| \ll \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{X^j}{a_j(L_n)}.$$

On the other hand, if $\lambda \in L_n$ with $Q(\lambda) = m \in S_{D,X}$, then $\mu_1(L_n)^2 \leq m \leq X$; hence $n \ll X^{(b+\epsilon)/2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} &\ll \sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} \sum_{n \geq 1}^{O_{\epsilon}(X^{(b+\epsilon)/2})} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) = m\}| \\ &\ll \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{n \geq 1}^{X^{(b+\epsilon)/2}} \frac{X^{j/2}}{n^{j/(b+\epsilon)}} \\ &\ll \sum_{j=0}^r X^{j/2 + (1-j/(b+\epsilon))(b+\epsilon)/2} = O(X^{(b+\epsilon)/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. \square

5A2. Type-III degeneration. Let (Ξ, σ) be a toroidal stratum representative of type III such that σ is a ray. We use notation from [Section 2B2](#).

By our choice of Σ , the curve \mathcal{Y} touches the boundary of \mathcal{M}^Σ at a locally closed boundary divisor $\mathcal{B}^{\Sigma, \sigma}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma$ be the formal completion of \mathcal{M}^Σ along $\mathcal{B}^{\Sigma, \sigma}$ and hence we get a map

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma. \quad (5A.3)$$

By [Section 2B5](#), the following maps of formal Deligne–Mumford stacks are finite étale:

$$\bigsqcup_{\mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_f^\times / K_0} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\Sigma/R} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\Sigma, \sigma} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^\Sigma.$$

Hence map [\(5A.3\)](#) lifts to map

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[q_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Gamma_\Sigma^\vee \cap \omega^\perp]] [[q_{\omega'}]]).$$

This corresponds to a morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[q_{\omega'}]] [[q_\alpha]_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\Sigma^\vee, (\alpha, \omega) = 0}] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}. \quad (5A.4)$$

Let $\lambda \in K_I = \Gamma_\Sigma$ with $Q(\lambda) = m$. By [Section 2D2](#) the branch of the special divisor $\mathcal{Z}(m)$ parametrized by λ intersects the boundary only if $(\lambda, \omega) = 0$. In the latter case, by [Proposition 2.5](#), its equation is given by $q^\lambda - 1$ and the multiplicity of intersection of \mathcal{Y} with the branch given by λ is the p -adic valuation of the element $q^\lambda - 1$ under the map [\(5A.4\)](#).

Let $x \in \mathcal{B}^{\Sigma, \sigma}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be the image of \mathfrak{P} . Then by the previous discussion, we conclude that

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda \in K_I \cap \omega^\perp, Q(\lambda) = m} v_p(q^\lambda - 1),$$

where d is the degree of the map [\(5A.3\)](#) at x .

For $n \geq 1$, let

$$L_n = \{\lambda \in K_I \cap \omega^\perp \mid v_p(q^\lambda - 1) \geq n\}.$$

Then we can rewrite the multiplicity intersection at \mathfrak{P} as

$$(\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{n \geq 1} \{ \lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) = m \}.$$

Proposition 5.3. *The lattices (L_n, Q) are positive definite lattices of rank $r \leq b - 1$ independent from n and they satisfy the following properties:*

- (1) $\bigcap_n L_n = \{0\}$.
- (2) *For every $n \geq 1$, $pL_n \subseteq L_{n+1}$.*
- (3) *For $1 \leq r \leq b - 1$, let $\mu_i(L_n)$ be the i -th successive minima and let $a_i(L_n) = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq i} \mu_i(L_n)$. Then we have*

$$a_i(L_n) \gg_\epsilon n^{i/(b+\epsilon)}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [Proposition 5.1](#). Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in K \cap \omega^\perp$. By writing

$$q^{\lambda+\lambda'} - 1 = q^\lambda(q^{\lambda'} - 1) + q^\lambda - 1,$$

we get that L_n is a lattice and it is obviously positive definite as K_I is Lorentzian and ω is a negative normed vector.

Let π be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ and let $\lambda \in L_n$. Then $q^{\lambda} = 1 + \pi^n \cdot u$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{P}}}$. Hence

$$q^{p\lambda} - 1 = (1 + \pi^n \cdot u)^p - 1 = \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{p}{i} \pi^{ni} u^i = \pi^{n+1} u'.$$

Hence (2). The rest of the proof is similar to [Proposition 5.1](#). \square

As a consequence, we get the following proposition, whose proof is identical to that of [Proposition 5.2](#) and we omit it.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be coprime to N . For $X \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $S_{D,X}$ denote the set*

$$\left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid X \leq m < 2X, \frac{m}{D} \in \mathbb{Z} \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^2, (m, N) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{m \in S_{D,X}} (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \mathcal{Z}(m))_{\mathfrak{P}} = o(X^{(b+1)/2} \log X).$$

5B. Function field setting. In this section, we prove [Proposition 4.11](#). We assume here that the lattice (L, Q) is self-dual at p and we let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the mod p GSpin Shimura variety associated to (L, Q) . Let Σ be a polyhedral cone decomposition which satisfies [Proposition 4.9](#).

Let $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Sigma}$ be a finite map as before and let $P \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a point mapping to the boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Sigma}$. Let denote $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. The point P lies either in a boundary stratum of type II or type III. We treat each case separately.

5B1. Type-II degeneration. Assume that the image of P is in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Upsilon}(k)$ where Υ is a cusp label representative of type II.

Let $\widehat{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} \simeq \text{Spf}(k[[t]])$ be the formal completion of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ along s . Then by reasoning similarly to [Section 5A1](#), specifically using the reduction mod p of [\(2C.3\)](#), we get for every $\lambda \in D$ with $Q(\lambda) = m \geq 1$, m coprime to N a map

$$\Phi_p : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\Upsilon, \mathbb{F}_p, x}} \rightarrow k[[t]],$$

Let $v(\lambda)$ denote the t -adic valuation of the generator f_{λ} of $I_{\lambda, p}$. Then similarly to the number field case, we have:

Lemma 5.5. *The multiplicity of intersection of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ at P satisfies*

$$m_P(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \ll \sum_{n \geq 1} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) = m\}|.$$

Now we are ready to prove [Proposition 4.12](#).

Proposition 5.6. *The sequence of lattices (L_n, Q) satisfy the same properties as in Proposition 5.1 and letting S be as in Section 4B, we have the following estimate for $X > 0$:*

$$\sum_{m \in S_X} m_P(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) = O_{\epsilon}(X^{(b+\epsilon)/2})$$

Proof. The same proof as in Proposition 5.1 shows that the lattices (L_n, Q) enjoy the same properties of the aforementioned proposition. For the second part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in S_X} m_P(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) &\ll \sum_{m \in S_X} \sum_{n \geq 1} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) = m\}| \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{O(X^{(b+\epsilon)/2})} |\{\lambda \in L_n \mid Q(\lambda) \leq m\}| \\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{O(X^{(b+\epsilon)/2})} \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{X^{j/2}}{a_j(L_n)} \\ &\ll \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{n=1}^{O(X^{(b+\epsilon)/2})} \frac{X^{j/2}}{n^{j/(b+\epsilon)}} = O(X^{(b+\epsilon)/2}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5B2. Type-III degeneration. Assume now that there exists a toroidal stratum representative (Ξ, σ) such that σ is a ray and such that P lies in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\Xi, \sigma}(k)$. Using a similar approach to Section 5A2 by taking reduction mod p , we get a map

$$k[q_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Gamma_{\Xi}^{\vee} \cap \omega^{\perp}] \llbracket q_{\omega'} \rrbracket \rightarrow k \llbracket t \rrbracket,$$

sending $q_{\omega'}$ to an element of the ideal (t) . Let v denote the t -adic valuation on $k \llbracket t \rrbracket$. Then, for m coprime to N , the multiplicity of intersection of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ at P satisfies

$$m_P(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \leq \sum_{\lambda \in K_I \cap \omega^{\perp}, Q(\lambda)=m} v(q^{\lambda} - 1).$$

If we define the sequence lattices L_n as

$$L_n = \{\lambda \in K \cap \omega^{\perp} \mid v(q^{\lambda} - 1) \geq n\},$$

then

$$m_P(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |\{\lambda \in L_n, Q(\lambda) = m\}|.$$

Now the rest of the proof is similar to Section 5A2. This proves Proposition 4.12 in the remaining type-III case.

6. Applications

In this section, we present a proof of Theorem 1.5. This approach is inspired from [Maulik et al. 2022a].

6A. Hecke orbit conjecture.

6A1. The orthogonal case. Since GSpin Shimura varieties are finite covers of orthogonal ones, it is enough to prove the result for GSpin Shimura varieties.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the reduction mod $p \geq 5$ of a GSpin-type Shimura variety with hyperspecial level at p associated to a lattice (L, Q) , which is assumed to be self-dual at p and of signature $(b, 2)$. We will prove [Theorem 1.5](#) by induction on b , which is also the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$.

The case $b = 1$ is immediate: the prime-to- p Hecke orbit of x is infinite, hence Zariski dense.

Assume now that $n \geq 2$ and the result of [Theorem 1.5](#) holds for all ordinary points in GSpin Shimura varieties of dimension less than $b - 1$ with hyperspecial level at p . Let x be an ordinary point in $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and let \bar{T}_x be the Zariski closure of its prime-to- p Hecke orbit. Then \bar{T}_x has positive dimension and intersects the ordinary locus nontrivially. Hence we can find a smooth quasiprojective curve \mathcal{S} and a finite map

$$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$$

whose image is contained in \bar{T}_x and which is contained in the ordinary locus. Moreover, we can assume that this image is not contained in any special divisor. Indeed, the same argument used for proper curves in [\[Maulik et al. 2022a, Lemma 8.11\]](#) works in our setting with no change. By [Theorem 1.3](#), the curve \mathcal{S} intersects infinitely many divisors $\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ with $(m, p) = 1$. The special divisors $\mathcal{Z}(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ are themselves the union of GSpin Shimura varieties of dimension $b - 1$ with hyperspecial level at p since m is coprime to p . Let $y \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \cap \mathcal{Z}'(m)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for some irreducible component $\mathcal{Z}'(m)$ of $\mathcal{Z}(m)$. Then y is ordinary and the prime-to- p Hecke orbit of y in $\mathcal{Z}'(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is Zariski dense by the induction hypothesis. Since this orbit is a suborbit of the Hecke orbit in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{Z}'(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \subset \bar{T}_x$. Furthermore, it is straightforward to check that the collection of the divisors $\mathcal{Z}'(m)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ must be infinite by [Theorem 1.3](#). Hence we conclude that $\bar{T}_x = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ which is the desired result.

6A2. The unitary case. We prove in this section the Hecke orbit conjecture in the unitary case using the reduction to the orthogonal case already used in [\[Maulik et al. 2022a, Remark 8.12\]](#) and in [\[Shankar et al. 2022, Section 9.3\]](#).

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be the mod p points of the canonical model of a unitary Shimura variety associated to an imaginary quadratic field \mathbf{k} , a unitary group of signature $(r, 1)$ with hyperspecial level at p as described in [\[Bruinier et al. 2020, Section 2.1\]](#) such that p is split in \mathbf{k} . Consider the family of special divisors $\mathcal{Z}_{Kra}(m)$ as described in [\[loc. cit., Section 2.5\]](#) which are themselves unitary Shimura varieties associated to unitary groups of signature $(r - 1, 1)$ and hyperspecial at p when p does not divide m . Then using a similar argument to [\[Shankar et al. 2022, Section 9.3\]](#) and further explained in [\[Maulik et al. 2022a, Remark 8.12\]](#), we have the following theorem which is a consequence of [Theorem 1.3](#).

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that $p \geq 5$ and let $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be a finite map from a smooth quasiprojective curve \mathcal{S} over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and with generically ordinary image. Then the union over m prime to p of the intersections $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{Z}_{Kra}(m)$ is infinite.*

Now the Hecke orbit conjecture in the unitary case is an easy consequence of the above theorem and the induction method explained in the previous paragraph.

Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to François Charles, François Greer, Keerthi Madapusi Pera, Ananth Shankar and Yunqing Tang for many helpful discussions and insights. This project started while I was invited by Yunqing Tang to Princeton University, and I also benefited from support from the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton. I thank both institutions for their hospitality. I thank the referee for the very valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [Andreatta et al. 2017] F. Andreatta, E. Z. Goren, B. Howard, and K. Madapusi Pera, “Height pairings on orthogonal Shimura varieties”, *Compos. Math.* **153**:3 (2017), 474–534. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Andreatta et al. 2018] F. Andreatta, E. Z. Goren, B. Howard, and K. Madapusi Pera, “Faltings heights of abelian varieties with complex multiplication”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **187**:2 (2018), 391–531. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ash et al. 1975] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport, and Y. Tai, *Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties*, Lie Groups History Frontiers Appl. **4**, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, MA, 1975. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Baldi et al. 2024] G. Baldi, B. Klingler, and E. Ullmo, “On the distribution of the Hodge locus”, *Invent. Math.* **235**:2 (2024), 441–487. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Borcherds 1999] R. E. Borcherds, “The Gross–Kohnen–Zagier theorem in higher dimensions”, *Duke Math. J.* **97**:2 (1999), 219–233. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bruinier 2002] J. H. Bruinier, *Borcherds products on $O(2, l)$ and Chern classes of Heegner divisors*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1780**, Springer, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bruinier and Zemel 2022] J. H. Bruinier and S. Zemel, “Special cycles on toroidal compactifications of orthogonal Shimura varieties”, *Math. Ann.* **384**:1-2 (2022), 309–371. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bruinier et al. 2007] J. H. Bruinier, J. I. Burgos Gil, and U. Kühn, “Borcherds products and arithmetic intersection theory on Hilbert modular surfaces”, *Duke Math. J.* **139**:1 (2007), 1–88. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Bruinier et al. 2020] J. H. Bruinier, B. Howard, S. S. Kudla, M. Rapoport, and T. Yang, “Modularity of generating series of divisors on unitary Shimura varieties”, pp. 7–125 in *Arithmetic divisors on orthogonal and unitary Shimura varieties*, Astérisque **421**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2020. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chai 1995] C.-L. Chai, “Every ordinary symplectic isogeny class in positive characteristic is dense in the moduli”, *Invent. Math.* **121**:3 (1995), 439–479. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chai and Oort 2006] C.-L. Chai and F. Oort, “Hypersymmetric abelian varieties”, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **2**:1 (2006), 1–27. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Charles 2014] F. Charles, “On the Picard number of K3 surfaces over number fields”, *Algebra Number Theory* **8**:1 (2014), 1–17. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Charles 2018] F. Charles, “Exceptional isogenies between reductions of pairs of elliptic curves”, *Duke Math. J.* **167**:11 (2018), 2039–2072. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Clozel and Ullmo 2005] L. Clozel and E. Ullmo, “Équidistribution de sous-variétés spéciales”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **161**:3 (2005), 1571–1588. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Duke 1988] W. Duke, “Hyperbolic distribution problems and half-integral weight Maass forms”, *Invent. Math.* **92**:1 (1988), 73–90. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Engel et al. 2023] P. Engel, F. Greer, and S. Tayou, “Mixed mock modularity of special divisors”, preprint, 2023. [arXiv 2301.05982](#)

[Eskin and Katznelson 1995] A. Eskin and Y. R. Katznelson, “Singular symmetric matrices”, *Duke Math. J.* **79**:2 (1995), 515–547. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Eskin and Oh 2006] A. Eskin and H. Oh, “Representations of integers by an invariant polynomial and unipotent flows”, *Duke Math. J.* **135**:3 (2006), 481–506. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Harris and Zucker 2001] M. Harris and S. Zucker, *Boundary cohomology of Shimura varieties, III: Coherent cohomology on higher-rank boundary strata and applications to Hodge theory*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) **85**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2001. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[van Hoften 2024] P. van Hoften, “On the ordinary Hecke orbit conjecture”, *Algebra Number Theory* **18**:5 (2024), 847–898. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Howard and Madapusi Pera 2020] B. Howard and K. Madapusi Pera, “Arithmetic of Borcherds products”, pp. 187–297 in *Arithmetic divisors on orthogonal and unitary Shimura varieties*, Astérisque **421**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2020. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Imamoğlu et al. 2014] Ö. Imamoğlu, M. Raum, and O. K. Richter, “Holomorphic projections and Ramanujan’s mock theta functions”, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111**:11 (2014), 3961–3967. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Kisin 2010] M. Kisin, “Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**:4 (2010), 967–1012. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Madapusi Pera 2015] K. Madapusi Pera, “The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces in odd characteristic”, *Invent. Math.* **201**:2 (2015), 625–668. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Madapusi Pera 2016] K. Madapusi Pera, “Integral canonical models for spin Shimura varieties”, *Compos. Math.* **152**:4 (2016), 769–824. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Madapusi Pera 2019] K. Madapusi Pera, “Toroidal compactifications of integral models of Shimura varieties of Hodge type”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **52**:2 (2019), 393–514. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Maulik et al. 2022a] D. Maulik, A. N. Shankar, and Y. Tang, “Picard ranks of K3 surfaces over function fields and the Hecke orbit conjecture”, *Invent. Math.* **228**:3 (2022), 1075–1143. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Maulik et al. 2022b] D. Maulik, A. N. Shankar, and Y. Tang, “Reductions of abelian surfaces over global function fields”, *Compos. Math.* **158**:4 (2022), 893–950. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Oguiso 2003] K. Oguiso, “Local families of K3 surfaces and applications”, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **12**:3 (2003), 405–433. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Pink 1989] R. Pink, *Arithmetical compactification of mixed Shimura varieties*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Bonn, 1989, available at <https://people.math.ethz.ch/~pink/ftp/phd/PinkDissertation.pdf>.

[Sarnak 1990] P. Sarnak, *Some applications of modular forms*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **99**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Shankar and Tang 2020] A. N. Shankar and Y. Tang, “Exceptional splitting of reductions of abelian surfaces”, *Duke Math. J.* **169**:3 (2020), 397–434. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Shankar et al. 2022] A. N. Shankar, A. Shankar, Y. Tang, and S. Tayou, “Exceptional jumps of Picard ranks of reductions of K3 surfaces over number fields”, *Forum Math. Pi* **10** (2022), art. id. e21. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Tayou 2020] S. Tayou, “On the equidistribution of some Hodge loci”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **762** (2020), 167–194. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Tayou and Tholozan 2023] S. Tayou and N. Tholozan, “Equidistribution of Hodge loci, II”, *Compos. Math.* **159**:1 (2023), 1–52. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Voisin 2002] C. Voisin, *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe*, Cours Spécialisés **10**, Soc. Math. France, 2002. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Zemel 2020] S. Zemel, “The structure of integral parabolic subgroups of orthogonal groups”, *J. Algebra* **559** (2020), 95–128. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Communicated by Martin Olsson

Received 2022-06-27

Revised 2023-07-31

Accepted 2024-01-09

tayou@math.harvard.edu

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States

Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Antoine Chambert-Loir
Université Paris-Diderot
France

EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR

David Eisenbud
University of California
Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jason P. Bell	University of Waterloo, Canada	Philippe Michel	École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Bhargav Bhatt	University of Michigan, USA	Martin Olsson	University of California, Berkeley, USA
Frank Calegari	University of Chicago, USA	Irena Peeva	Cornell University, USA
J.-L. Colliot-Thélène	CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France	Jonathan Pila	University of Oxford, UK
Brian D. Conrad	Stanford University, USA	Anand Pillay	University of Notre Dame, USA
Samit Dasgupta	Duke University, USA	Bjorn Poonen	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
Hélène Esnault	Freie Universität Berlin, Germany	Victor Reiner	University of Minnesota, USA
Gavril Farkas	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany	Peter Sarnak	Princeton University, USA
Sergey Fomin	University of Michigan, USA	Michael Singer	North Carolina State University, USA
Edward Frenkel	University of California, Berkeley, USA	Vasudevan Srinivas	SUNY Buffalo, USA
Wee Teck Gan	National University of Singapore	Shunsuke Takagi	University of Tokyo, Japan
Andrew Granville	Université de Montréal, Canada	Pham Huu Tiep	Rutgers University, USA
Ben J. Green	University of Oxford, UK	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Christopher Hacon	University of Utah, USA	Akshay Venkatesh	Institute for Advanced Study, USA
Roger Heath-Brown	Oxford University, UK	Melanie Matchett Wood	Harvard University, USA
János Kollár	Princeton University, USA	Shou-Wu Zhang	Princeton University, USA
Michael J. Larsen	Indiana University Bloomington, USA		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$565/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**

nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 19 No. 1 2025

A modification of the linear sieve, and the count of twin primes	1
JARED DUKER LICHTMAN	
Ranks of abelian varieties in cyclotomic twist families	39
ARI SHNIDMAN and ARIEL WEISS	
Picard rank jumps for K3 surfaces with bad reduction	77
SALIM TAYOU	
Curves with few bad primes over cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -extensions	113
SAMIR SIKSEK and ROBIN VISSER	
Vanishing results for the coherent cohomology of automorphic vector bundles over the Siegel variety in positive characteristic	143
THIBAULT ALEXANDRE	
Super-Hölder vectors and the field of norms	195
LAURENT BERGER and SANDRA ROZENSZTAJN	