# All-set-homogeneous spaces

### Nina Lebedeva and Anton Petrunin

#### Abstract

A metric space is said to be all-set-homogeneous if any isometry between its subsets can be extended to an isometry of the whole space. We give a classification of a certain subclass of all-set-homogeneous length spaces.

### 1 Main result

The distance between two points x and y in a metric space M will be denoted by  $|x-y|_M$ . Recall that M is called length (or geodesic) space if any two points  $x,y\in M$  can be connected by a path  $\gamma$  such that  $|x-y|_M$  is arbitrarily close to the length of  $\gamma$  (or  $|x-y|_M = \text{length } \gamma$  respectively). Evidently, any geodesic space is a length space, but not the other way around.

A metric space M is said to be *all-set-homogeneous* if any isometry  $A \to A'$  between its subsets can be extended to an isometry of the whole space  $M \to M$ .

Examples of geodesic all-set-homogeneous spaces include complete simply-connected Riemannian manifolds with constant curvature and the circle equipped with length metrics. These will be referred further as *classical spaces*; they are closely related to classical Euclidean/non-Euclidean geometry.

It is worth mentioning that an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is *not* all-set-homogeneous; indeed, it is isometric to its proper subset. Also, for  $n \ge 2$ , the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  with canonical metric is not all-set-homogeneous. Indeed, it contains two isometric but noncongruent triples of points with pairwise distance  $\frac{\pi}{3}$  (we assume that a closed geodesic on  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  has length  $\pi$ ); one triple lies on a closed geodesic and another does not.

Nonclassical examples include the universal metric trees of finite valence; these are discussed in the next section.

Given a metric space M and a positive integer n, consider all pseudometrics induced on n points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in M$ . Any such metric is completely described by  $N = \frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$  distances  $|x_i - x_j|_M$  for i < j, so it can be encoded by a point in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The set of all these points  $F_n(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  will be called  $n^{th}$  fingerprint of M

**Theorem.** Let M be a complete all-set-homogeneous length space. Suppose that all fingerprints of M are closed. Then M is classical.

The following two results are closely related to our theorem.

- ♦ Any complete all-set-homogeneous geodesic space with locally unique nonbifurcating geodesics is classical; it was proved by Garrett Birkhoff [2].
- ♦ Any locally compact three-point-homogeneous length space is classical. This result was proved by Herbert Busemann [4]; it also follows from the more

general result of Jacques Tits [8] about two-point-homogeneous spaces. (A space is called n-point homogeneous if any isometry between its subsets with at most n points in each can be extended to an isometry of the whole space.)

For more related results, see the survey by Semeon Bogatyi [3] and the references therein.

*Proof.* If M is locally compact, then the statement follows from the Busemann–Tits result stated above. Therefore, we can assume that M is not locally compact.

In this case, there is an infinite sequence of points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  such that  $\varepsilon < |x_i - x_j|_M < 1$  for some fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $i \neq j$ . Applying the Ramsey theorem, we get that for arbitrary positive integer n there is a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  such that all the distances  $|x_i - x_j|_M$  lie in an arbitrarily small subinterval of  $(\varepsilon, 1)$ . Since the fingerprints are closed, there is an arbitrarily long sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $|x_i - x_j|_M = r$  for some fixed r > 0.

Choose a maximal (with respect to inclusion) set of points A with distance r between any pair. Since M is all-set-homogeneous, we get that A has to be infinite. In particular, there is a map  $f \colon A \to A$  that is injective, but not surjective.

Note that f is distance-preserving. Since A is maximal, for any  $y \notin A$  we have that  $|y - x|_M \neq r$  for some  $x \in A$ . It follows that a distance-preserving map  $M \to M$  that agrees with f cannot have points of  $A \setminus f(A)$  in its image. In particular, no isometry  $M \to M$  agrees with the map f — a contradiction.  $\square$ 

## 2 Example

Recall that geodesic space T is called a *metric tree* if any pair of points  $x, y \in T$  are connected by a unique geodesic  $[xy]_T$ , and the union of any two geodesics  $[xy]_T$ , and  $[yz]_T$  contains  $[xz]_T$ . The *valence* of  $x \in T$  is defined as the cardinality of connected components in  $T \setminus \{x\}$ .

It is known that for any cardinality  $n \ge 2$ , there is a space  $\mathbb{T}_n$  that satisfies the following properties:

- $\diamond$  The space  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is a complete metric tree with valence n at any point.
- $\diamond \mathbb{T}_n$  is homogeneous; that is, its group of isometries acts transitively. Moreover, this space is uniquely defined up to isometry and n-universal; the latter means that  $\mathbb{T}_n$  includes an isometric copy of any metric tree of maximal valence at most n.

The space  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is called a universal metric tree of valence n. An explicit construction of  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is given by Anna Dyubina and Iosif Polterovich [5]. Their proof of the universality of  $\mathbb{T}_n$  admits a straightforward modification that proves the following claim.

**Claim.** If n is finite, then  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is all-set-homogeneous.

Note that the claim implies that the condition on fingerprints in our theorem is necessary. In fact, if  $n \ge 3$ , then the  $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$  fingerprint of  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is not closed —  $\mathbb{T}_n$  does not contain n+1 points on distance 1 from each other, but it contains an arbitrarily large set with pairwise distances arbitrarily close to 1.

*Proof.* Let  $A, A' \subset \mathbb{T}_n$  and  $x \mapsto x'$  be an isometry  $A \to A'$ . Applying the Zorn lemma, we can assume that A is maximal; that is, the domain A cannot be extended by a single point. It remains to show that  $A = \mathbb{T}_n$  and  $A' = \mathbb{T}_n$ .

Note that A is closed.

Further, suppose  $x,y,z\in A$  and  $s\in [yz]_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Since  $\mathbb{T}_n$  is a metric tree, the distance  $|x-s|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$  is completely determined by four values  $|x-y|_{\mathbb{T}_n},\,|x-z|_{\mathbb{T}_n},\,|s-y|_{\mathbb{T}_n},\,|s-z|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ .



Denote by s' the point on the geodesic  $[y'z']_{\mathbb{T}_n}$  such that  $\forall z | y'-s'|_{\mathbb{T}_n} = |y-s|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$  and therefore  $|z'-s'|_{\mathbb{T}_n} = |z-s|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Since the map preserves distances  $|x-y|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$  and  $|x-z|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ , we get  $|s'-x'|_{\mathbb{T}_n} = |s-x|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ ; that is, the extension of the map by  $s \mapsto s'$  is still distance-preserving.

Since A is maximal,  $s \in A$ . In other words, A is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{T}_n$ ; in particular, A is a metric tree with maximal valence at most n.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose  $A \neq \mathbb{T}_n$ , choose  $a \in A$  and  $b \notin A$ . Let  $c \in A$  be the last point on the geodesic  $[ab]_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Note that the valence of c in A is smaller than n.

Since n is finite, at least one of the connected components in  $\mathbb{T}_n \setminus \{c'\}$  does not intersect A'. Choose a point b' in this component such that  $|c'-b'|_{\mathbb{T}_n} = |c-b|_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Observe that the map can be extended by  $b \mapsto b'$  — a contradiction. It follows that  $A = \mathbb{T}_n$ .

It remains to show that  $A' = \mathbb{T}_n$ . Note that A' is a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{T}_n$  that is isometric to  $\mathbb{T}_n$ . In particular valence of any point in A' is n.

Assume A' is a proper subset of  $\mathbb{T}_n$ . Choose  $a' \in A'$  and  $b' \notin A'$ . Let  $c' \in A'$  be the last point on the geodesic  $[a'b']_{\mathbb{T}_n}$ . Observe that the valence of c' in A' is smaller than n— a contradiction.

## 3 Remarks

Let us list examples for related classification problems. We would like to see any other example or a proof of the corresponding classification.

First of all, we do not see other examples of complete all-set-homogeneous length spaces except those listed in the theorem and the claim.

Without length-metric assumption, we have a vast amount of examples. It includes finite discrete spaces, Cantor sets with natural ultrametrics; also note that snowflaking  $(X, |-|^{\theta})$  of any all-set-homogeneous spaces (X, |-|) is all-set-homogeneous.

The definition of all-set-homogeneous spaces can be restricted to small subsets A and A'; for example, finite or compact. In these cases, we say that the space is finite-set-homogeneous or compact-set-homogeneous respectively.

Examples of complete separable compact-set-homogeneous length spaces include the spaces listed in the theorem, plus the Urysohn spaces  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $\mathbb{U}_d$  (the space  $\mathbb{U}_d$  is isometric to a sphere of radius  $\frac{d}{2}$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ ). Without the separability condition, we get in addition the metric trees from the claim.

The finite-set-homogeneous spaces include, in addition, infinite-dimensional analogs of the classical spaces; in particular the Hilbert space.

Let us also mention that finite-set homogeneity is closely related to the metric version of Fraïssé limit introduced by Itay Ben Yaacov [1].

**Acknowledgments.** This note is inspired by the question of Joseph O'Rourke [7]. We want to thank James Hanson for his interesting and detailed comments on our question [6]. The second author wants to thank Rostislav Matveyev for an interesting discussion on Rubinstein Street.

The first author was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant 20-01-00070; the second author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-2005279 and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, grant 075-15-2022-289.

## References

- I. Ben Yaacov. "Fraïssé limits of metric structures". J. Symb. Log. 80.1 (2015), 100–115.
- [2] G. Birkhoff. "Metric foundations of geometry. I". Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1944), 465–492.
- [3] S. A. Bogatyi. "Metrically homogeneous spaces". Russian Math. Surveys 57.2 (2002), 221–240.
- [4] H. Busemann. Metric methods in Finsler spaces and in the foundations of geometry. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 8, 1942.
- [5] A. Dyubina and I. Polterovich. "Explicit constructions of universal ℝ-trees and asymptotic geometry of hyperbolic spaces". Bull. London Math. Soc. 33.6 (2001), 727–734.
- [6] J. Hanson. All-set-homogeneous spaces. MathOverflow. eprint: https://mathoverflow.net/q/430738.
- [7] J. O'Rourke. Which metric spaces have this superposition property? MathOver-flow.eprint: https://mathoverflow.net/q/118008.
- [8] J. Tits. "Sur certaines classes d'espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie". Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci. Mém. Coll. in 8° 29.3 (1955), 268.