

# Cyclic Products of Higher-Genus Szegő Kernels, Modular Tensors, and Polylogarithms

Eric D'Hoker,<sup>1</sup> Martijn Hidding<sup>1b</sup>,<sup>2</sup> and Oliver Schlotterer<sup>1b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Mani L. Bhaumik Institute for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy,  
University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, 75120 Uppsala, Sweden*

 (Received 1 October 2023; revised 25 February 2024; accepted 23 May 2024; published 9 July 2024)

A wealth of information on multiloop string amplitudes is encoded in fermionic two-point functions known as Szegő kernels. Here we show that cyclic products of any number of Szegő kernels on a Riemann surface of arbitrary genus may be decomposed into linear combinations of modular tensors on moduli space that carry all the dependence on the spin structure  $\delta$ . The  $\delta$ -independent coefficients in these combinations carry all the dependence on the marked points and are composed of the integration kernels of higher-genus polylogarithms. We determine the antiholomorphic moduli derivatives of the  $\delta$ -dependent modular tensors.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021602)

**Introduction.**—In the Ramond-Neveu-Schwarz (RNS) formulation of superstring theory, space-time supersymmetry is implemented via the Gliozzi-Scherk-Olive projection. On a Riemann surface world sheet of genus  $h$  the Gliozzi-Scherk-Olive projection is realized by summing over the  $2^{2h}$  different spin structures of the world sheet fermions, consistently with modular invariance. At genus one, the Riemann relations between Jacobi  $\vartheta$  functions and properties of modular forms [1,2] provide systematic tools for evaluating these spin structure sums explicitly [3–10]. At higher genus, however, carrying out spin structure sums and thus exposing the simplifications due to space-time supersymmetry presents a significant challenge which is often regarded as a drawback of the Ramond-Neveu-Schwarz formulation for evaluating superstring amplitudes.

In a recent paper, the authors made progress toward solving the problem of spin structure summations for the special case of even spin structures at genus two [11]; see also [7,10,12–15] for earlier work on this subject. It was shown in [11] that all the dependence on the spin structure of the cyclic product of an arbitrary number of world sheet fermion propagators, also known as Szegő kernels, may be reduced to the spin structure dependence of certain modular tensors which are locally holomorphic on Torelli space (the moduli space of Riemann surfaces endowed with a choice of canonical homology basis). Thanks to certain *trilinear relations* between these modular tensors, all spin structure dependence was further reduced to that of the well-known

four-point functions. The restriction to genus two stems from the fact that the results of [11], including the existence of the trilinear relations, rely heavily on the fact that every genus-two Riemann surface is hyperelliptic which is generically not the case at higher genus.

In the present Letter, we shall consider cyclic products  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z}) = C_\delta(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  of  $n$  Szegő kernels,

$$C_\delta(z_1, \dots, z_n) = S_\delta(z_1, z_2)S_\delta(z_2, z_3) \cdots S_\delta(z_n, z_1), \quad (1)$$

on a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  of arbitrary genus  $h$  and even spin structure  $\delta$  (encoding the parity-even part of string amplitudes), and an arbitrary number  $n \geq 2$  of points  $z_i \in \Sigma$ . Generalizations of (1) to open chain products of Szegő kernels may be handled by similar methods and their study is deferred to future work. Throughout, the dependence on the moduli of  $\Sigma$  will be suppressed. The Szegő kernel  $S_\delta(y, z)$  is a differential  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  form in both  $y$  and  $z$  which, for even spin structure  $\delta$  and generic moduli, obeys the chiral Dirac equation [16,17],

$$\partial_{\bar{y}} S_\delta(y, z) = \pi \delta(y, z). \quad (2)$$

As the main result of this Letter, we completely disentangle the dependence of  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  on the points  $z_i \in \Sigma$  from the dependence on the spin structure  $\delta$  for arbitrary genus  $h$  and multiplicity  $n$ . Specifically,  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  is decomposed into the following linear combination:

$$C_\delta(\mathbf{z}) = F^{(0)}(\mathbf{z}) + \sum_{r=2}^n F_{I_1 \dots I_r}^{(r)}(\mathbf{z}) C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r}. \quad (3)$$

(i)  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r}$  are  $\delta$ -dependent but  $z_i$ -independent modular tensors of rank  $r$  on Torelli space. (ii)  $F_{I_1 \dots I_r}^{(r)}(\mathbf{z})$  are

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

$\delta$ -independent functions that carry all the dependence of  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  on the points  $z_i$ . Their explicit form will be derived here and related to the construction of higher-genus polylogarithms of [18]. Therefore, any spin structure sum over  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  simplifies to a sum over the  $z_i$ -independent modular tensors  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r}$ .

We calculate the moduli variations of  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r}$ , identify components that are locally holomorphic in moduli, and thereby pave the way for their systematic evaluation for arbitrary genus  $h \geq 3$  in future work.

The functions  $F_{I_1 \dots I_r}^{(r)}(\mathbf{z})$  provide the natural mathematical setting in terms of which the integrands of higher-genus superstring amplitudes and their low-energy expansions may be organized. As such, they generalize the Parke-Taylor factors familiar at genus zero [19] and the Kronecker-Eisenstein kernels at genus one [8].

*Abelian differentials and the Arakelov Green function.*—The basic ingredients in our construction are convolutions of Abelian differentials and their complex conjugates as well as the Arakelov Green function to be reviewed below. Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $h$  without boundary. Its first homology group  $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$  supports an intersection pairing  $\mathfrak{F}$  for which we choose a canonical basis of cycles  $\mathfrak{A}_I$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_J$  with  $I, J = 1, \dots, h$  with intersection pairing  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_I, \mathfrak{B}_J) = \delta_{IJ} = -\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{B}_J, \mathfrak{A}_I)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_I, \mathfrak{A}_J) = \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{B}_I, \mathfrak{B}_J) = 0$ . A canonical basis of holomorphic Abelian differentials  $\omega_I$  is normalized on  $\mathfrak{A}_J$  cycles and provides the periods  $\Omega_{IJ}$  on the  $\mathfrak{B}_J$  cycles:

$$\oint_{\mathfrak{A}_J} \omega_I = \delta_{IJ}, \quad \oint_{\mathfrak{B}_J} \omega_I = \Omega_{IJ}. \quad (4)$$

The period matrix  $\Omega$  is symmetric  $\Omega' = \Omega$  while its imaginary part  $Y = \text{Im}(\Omega)$  is positive definite. The matrices  $Y$  and  $Y^{-1}$  with components  $Y_{IJ}$  and  $Y^{IJ}$ , respectively, may be used to raise and lower indices  $I, J$  so that, adopting the Einstein summation convention, we denote  $\omega^I = Y^{IJ} \omega_J$  and  $\bar{\omega}^I = Y^{IJ} \bar{\omega}_J$ . In terms of these differentials, and their expression  $\omega_I = \omega_I(z) dz$  in local complex coordinates  $z, \bar{z}$ , we may define a canonically normalized volume form  $\kappa$  on  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\kappa = \frac{i}{2h} \omega_I \wedge \bar{\omega}^I = \kappa(z) d^2 z, \quad \int_{\Sigma} \kappa = 1, \quad (5)$$

with coordinate volume form  $d^2 z = (i/2) dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ . The Arakelov Green function  $\mathcal{G}(x, y) = \mathcal{G}(y, x)$  is a single-valued function  $\mathcal{G}: \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  uniquely defined by [20] [the Dirac  $\delta$  function is normalized by  $\int d^2 z \delta(z, y) f(z) = f(y)$ ],

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{x}} \partial_x \mathcal{G}(x, y) &= -\pi \delta(x, y) + \pi \kappa(x), \\ \int_{\Sigma} \kappa(x) \mathcal{G}(x, y) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

whose explicit construction via the prime form  $E(x, y)$  may be found in [21]. Besides, its defining equations,  $\mathcal{G}(x, y)$  also

satisfies the following useful relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{x}} \partial_y \mathcal{G}(x, y) &= \pi \delta(x, y) - \pi \omega_I(x) \bar{\omega}^I(y), \\ \partial_x \partial_y \mathcal{G}(x, y) &= -\partial_x \partial_y \ln E(x, y) + \pi \omega_I(x) \omega^I(y). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

*Modular tensors.*—Linear transformations with integer coefficients that act on  $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$  by preserving the intersection pairing  $\mathfrak{F}$  form the modular group  $\text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$ . An element  $M \in \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$  transforms the homology cycles  $\mathfrak{A}_I, \mathfrak{B}_J$  by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{B} \\ \mathfrak{A} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow M \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{B} \\ \mathfrak{A} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The modular transformation  $M$  acts on the period matrix by  $\Omega \rightarrow (A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1}$  and on the Abelian differentials by its nonlinear  $GL(h, \mathbb{C})$  representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_J &\rightarrow \omega_{J'} R_J^{J'}, & R &= (C\Omega + D)^{-1}, \\ \bar{\omega}^I &\rightarrow Q_I^I \bar{\omega}^{I'}, & Q &= C\Omega + D. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Modular tensors  $\mathcal{T}$  of arbitrary rank were defined in [22] (see also [23,24]) to transform as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}^{I_1 \dots I_r}_{J_1 \dots J_s} \rightarrow Q^{I_1}_{J'_1} \dots Q^{I_r}_{J'_r} \mathcal{T}^{I'_1 \dots I'_r}_{J'_1 \dots J'_s} R^{J'_1}_{J_1} \dots R^{J'_s}_{J_s}. \quad (10)$$

While the volume form  $\kappa$  and the Arakelov Green function  $\mathcal{G}$  are invariant under the full modular group  $\text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$ , the Szegő kernel and its cyclic products transform via  $S_\delta(x, y) \rightarrow S_{\tilde{\delta}}(x, y)$  and  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z}) \rightarrow C_{\tilde{\delta}}(\mathbf{z})$ , where the spin structure  $\delta = [\delta', \delta'']$  maps to  $\tilde{\delta} = [\tilde{\delta}', \tilde{\delta}'']$  with

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\delta}'' \\ \tilde{\delta}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ -C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta'' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} AB' \\ CD' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Accordingly,  $S_\delta(x, y)$  and  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  are invariant under the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_h(2) = \{M \in \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z}) | M \equiv I_{2h \times 2h} \pmod{2}\}$  that preserves each spin structure. The  $z_i$ -independent building blocks  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r}$  of  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$ , to be introduced below, furnish modular tensors of  $\text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$  for which  $\delta \rightarrow \tilde{\delta}$  according to (11),

$$C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r} \rightarrow Q^{I_1}_{J'_1} \dots Q^{I_r}_{J'_r} C_{\tilde{\delta}}^{J'_1 \dots J'_r}, \quad (12)$$

or modular tensors of  $\Gamma_h(2)$  for which  $\tilde{\delta} = \delta$ .

*Descent procedure for  $n = 2, 3$ .*—In this section, we shall introduce a simple and systematic procedure by which  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  may be decomposed into a linear combination of modular tensors  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r}$  on Torelli space. As a major simplification of the spin-structure summation in string amplitudes, the coefficients of these tensors containing all the dependence on the points  $z_i$  no longer depend on  $\delta$ . The

method is constructive and recursive and will be referred to as the *descent procedure*.

The two-point function, namely the case  $n = 2$  of (1), offers the simplest such relation [25]:

$$C_\delta(x, y) = C_\delta^{IJ} \omega_I(x) \omega_J(y) + \partial_x \partial_y \mathcal{G}(x, y). \quad (13)$$

This equation may be deduced by using the Fay identities [2] along with the second relation of (7) resulting in the symmetric modular tensor,

$$C_\delta^{IJ} = -\frac{\partial^I \partial^J \vartheta[\delta](0)}{\vartheta[\delta](0)} - \pi Y^{IJ}, \quad (14)$$

of  $\Gamma_h(2)$  with derivatives  $\partial^I \vartheta[\delta](0) = (\partial/\partial \zeta_J) \vartheta[\delta](\zeta)|_{\zeta=0}$  in the Jacobian variety  $\mathbb{C}^h/(\mathbb{Z}^h + \Omega \mathbb{Z}^h)$  of the genus- $h$  surface [1,2]. We note that the tensorial transformation law  $C_\delta^{IJ} \rightarrow Q_K^I Q_L^J C_\delta^{KL}$  with  $Q$  and  $\tilde{\delta}$  given in (9) and (11), respectively, emerges only upon combining the transformations of the two terms on the right-hand side of (14).

The three-point function offers the lowest-order case solved by using the descent equations. We consider the problem at a generic point in moduli space, where the Szegő kernel satisfies Eq. (2), so that  $C_\delta(1, 2, 3)$  satisfies the following Cauchy-Riemann equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_1 C_\delta(1, 2, 3) &= \pi(\delta(1, 2) - \delta(1, 3)) C_\delta(2, 3), \\ \bar{\partial}_2 C_\delta(1, 2, 3) &= \pi(\delta(2, 3) - \delta(2, 1)) C_\delta(1, 3), \\ \bar{\partial}_3 C_\delta(1, 2, 3) &= \pi(\delta(3, 1) - \delta(3, 2)) C_\delta(1, 2), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with  $\bar{\partial}_j = \partial_{\bar{z}_j}$ . The descent proceeds by solving the first equation as a function of  $z_1$  with the help of the Arakelov Green function defined by (6). The solution is, however, not unique as the  $\bar{\partial}_1$  operator acting on  $(1,0)$  differentials has a nontrivial kernel spanned by the holomorphic Abelian differentials of  $\Sigma$ . Thus, the general solution may be expressed as follows,

$$C_\delta(1, 2, 3) = \omega_I(1) C_\delta^I(2, 3) - (\partial_1 \mathcal{G}(1, 2) - \partial_1 \mathcal{G}(1, 3)) C_\delta(2, 3), \quad (16)$$

where  $C_\delta^I(2, 3)$  is independent of  $z_1$ . In view of the modular invariance of  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  and  $\mathcal{G}(1, a)$ , the coefficient  $C_\delta^I(2, 3)$  transforms as a modular tensor of  $\Gamma_h(2)$ , i.e., according to (12) with  $r = 1$ . The descent proceeds by evaluating the Cauchy-Riemann operators  $\bar{\partial}_2$  and  $\bar{\partial}_3$  on (16), using the second equation of (15), the relation  $\bar{\partial}_2 C_\delta(2, 3) = \pi \partial_2 \delta(2, 3)$ , and the second relation in (7), and we obtain after some simplifications:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_2 C_\delta^I(2, 3) &= \pi \delta(2, 3) C_\delta^{IJ} \omega_J(3) - \pi \bar{\omega}^I(2) C_\delta(2, 3), \\ \bar{\partial}_3 C_\delta^I(2, 3) &= -\pi \delta(2, 3) C_\delta^{IJ} \omega_J(2) + \pi \bar{\omega}^I(3) C_\delta(2, 3). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

To solve the first equation of (17) in  $z_2$  we first decompose  $C_\delta(2, 3)$  using (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_2 C_\delta^I(2, 3) &= \pi C_\delta^{JK} (\delta(2, 3) \delta_J^I - \bar{\omega}^I(2) \omega_J(3)) \omega_K(3) \\ &\quad - \pi \bar{\omega}^I(2) \partial_2 \partial_3 \mathcal{G}(2, 3). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Both lines of the right-hand side are separately integrable since their respective integrals over  $\Sigma$  vanish. The following convolutions involving Abelian differentials and the Arakelov Green function,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^I_J(x) &= \int_\Sigma d^2 z \mathcal{G}(x, z) \bar{\omega}^I(z) \omega_J(z), \\ \mathcal{G}^I(x, y) &= \int_\Sigma d^2 z \mathcal{G}(x, z) \bar{\omega}^I(z) \partial_z \mathcal{G}(z, y), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

solve the corresponding differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{x}} \partial_x \Phi^I_J(x) &= \pi \kappa(x) \delta^I_J - \pi \bar{\omega}^I(x) \omega_J(x), \\ \partial_{\bar{x}} \partial_x \mathcal{G}^I(x, y) &= -\pi \bar{\omega}^I(x) \partial_x \mathcal{G}(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

More succinctly, the special combination defined by

$$f^I_J(x, y) = \partial_x \Phi^I_J(x) - \partial_x \mathcal{G}(x, y) \delta^I_J, \quad (21)$$

solves the differential equation,

$$\partial_{\bar{x}} f^I_J(x, y) = \pi \delta^I_J \delta(x, y) - \pi \bar{\omega}^I(x) \omega_J(y), \quad (22)$$

so that the general solution to (18) is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_\delta^I(2, 3) &= \omega_J(2) C_\delta^{IJ}(3) + f^I_J(2, 3) C_\delta^{JK} \omega_K(3) \\ &\quad + \partial_2 \partial_3 \mathcal{G}^I(2, 3). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Finally, we determine the modular tensor  $C_\delta^{IJ}(3)$  from the  $\bar{\partial}_3$  derivative of (23) using the second equation in (17), and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{y}} f^I_J(x, y) &= -\pi \delta^I_J (\delta(x, y) - \omega_K(x) \bar{\omega}^K(y)), \\ \partial_{\bar{y}} \partial_x \mathcal{G}^I(x, y) &= -\pi f^I_J(x, y) \bar{\omega}^J(y). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We obtain an integrable differential equation,

$$\bar{\partial}_3 C_\delta^{IJ}(3) = \pi (\bar{\omega}^I(3) C_\delta^{JK} - \bar{\omega}^J(3) C_\delta^{IK}) \omega_K(3), \quad (25)$$

whose integral may be obtained in terms of  $\Phi$  in (19),

$$\begin{aligned} C_\delta^{IJ}(3) &= \omega_K(3) C_\delta^{IJK} \\ &\quad - C_\delta^{JK} \partial_3 \Phi^I_K(3) + C_\delta^{IK} \partial_3 \Phi^J_K(3), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where  $C_\delta^{IJK}$  is a  $z_i$ -independent modular tensor. The relations (16), (23), and (26) give a formula for  $C_\delta^{IJK}$ ,

$$C_{\delta}^{I_1 I_2 I_3} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z_i \bar{\omega}^{I_i}(z_i) \right) C_{\delta}(z_1, z_2, z_3), \quad (27)$$

which proves that  $C_{\delta}^{IJK}$  inherits the total antisymmetry in  $I, J, K$  from total antisymmetry of  $C_{\delta}(x, y, z)$  in  $x, y, z$ :

$$C_{\delta}^{IJK} = C_{\delta}^{[IJK]}. \quad (28)$$

Eliminating  $C_{\delta}^I(2, 3)$  and  $C_{\delta}^{IJ}(3)$  from (16), (23), and (26) expresses  $C_{\delta}(1, 2, 3)$  in the general form (3), where

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(0)}(\mathbf{z}) &= -(\partial_1 \mathcal{G}(1, 2) - \partial_1 \mathcal{G}(1, 3)) \partial_2 \partial_3 \mathcal{G}(2, 3) \\ &\quad + \omega_I(1) \partial_2 \partial_3 \mathcal{G}^I(2, 3), \\ F_{JK}^{(2)}(\mathbf{z}) &= (\omega_J(1) \omega_I(2) - \omega_I(1) \omega_J(2)) \partial_3 \Phi_K^I(3) \\ &\quad - (\partial_1 \mathcal{G}(1, 2) - \partial_1 \mathcal{G}(1, 3)) \omega_J(2) \omega_K(3) \\ &\quad + \omega_I(1) f_J^I(2, 3) \omega_K(3), \\ F_{IJK}^{(3)}(\mathbf{z}) &= \omega_I(1) \omega_J(2) \omega_K(3). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Clearly, all spin-structure dependence has been reduced to the modular tensors  $C_{\delta}^{IJK}$  and  $C_{\delta}^{IJ}$ , while all the dependence on the points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  enters via the  $\delta$ -independent single-valued functions  $\Phi, \mathcal{G}$ , and  $f$ .

*Convolutions and modular tensors.*—The descent procedure for higher  $n$  necessitates higher-rank generalizations of the tensors  $\mathcal{G}^I(x, y)$  and  $\Phi_J^I(x)$  in (19) obtained from the following convolutions of Arakelov Green functions and Abelian differentials with  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{I_1 \dots I_r}_J(x) &= \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z \mathcal{G}(x, z) \bar{\omega}^{I_1}(z) \partial_z \Phi^{I_2 \dots I_r}_J(z), \\ \mathcal{G}^{I_1 \dots I_r}(x, y) &= \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z \mathcal{G}(x, z) \bar{\omega}^{I_1}(z) \partial_z \mathcal{G}^{I_2 \dots I_r}(z, y), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which frequently occur in the combination,

$$f^{I_1 \dots I_r}_J(x, y) = \partial_x \Phi^{I_1 \dots I_r}_J(x) - \partial_x \mathcal{G}^{I_1 \dots I_{r-1}}(x, y) \delta_J^{I_r}. \quad (31)$$

Conversely,  $\partial \mathcal{G}$  and  $\partial \Phi$  may be obtained as the trace and traceless parts of  $f$ . The functions  $\Phi, \mathcal{G}$ , and  $f$  transform as modular tensors under  $\text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$  and furnish the integration kernels in the recent construction of higher-genus polylogarithms [18] (see also [26–28] for different approaches to higher-genus polylogarithms in the mathematics literature). More specifically, the higher-genus polylogarithms in [18] are defined through iterated integrals over a flat connection whose entire dependence on marked points on  $\Sigma$  is expressible in terms of  $\omega_I(x)$  and the tensor functions (31). One may recast the convolutions used to define  $\Phi, \mathcal{G}$ , and  $f$  given in (30) in terms of recursive differential equations obtained for  $r \geq 2$  from the trace or traceless part of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{x}} f^{I_1 \dots I_r}_J(x, y) &= -\pi \bar{\omega}^{I_1}(x) f^{I_2 \dots I_r}_J(x, y), \\ \partial_{\bar{y}} f^{I_1 \dots I_r}_J(x, y) &= \pi \delta_J^{I_r} f^{I_1 \dots I_{r-1}}_K(x, y) \bar{\omega}^K(y). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Based on the tensor functions in this section, the descent procedure introduced for  $n \leq 3$  may be extended to arbitrary  $n$ ; see Appendix B in the Supplemental Material [17] for the case  $n = 4$ .

*Descent procedure for any  $n$ .*—In this section, we apply the descent procedure to the case of arbitrary  $n \geq 3$ . Inspection of the results (29) for the special case  $n = 3$  (and Appendix B in the Supplemental Material [17] for  $n = 4$ ) shows that both the differential relations between the various intermediate tensor functions  $C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_j}(j + 1, \dots, n)$  and the recursive decomposition of  $C_{\delta}(1, \dots, n)$  expose a simple and important pattern that may be extended and proven for arbitrary  $n$ . While the differential relations can be found in Appendix C in the Supplemental Material [17], the integrated relations for  $j = 1, \dots, n - 2$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_{j-1}}(j, \dots, n) &= \omega_J(j) C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_{j-1} J}(j + 1, \dots, n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f^{I_{j-1} I_{j-2} \dots I_i}_J(j, j + 1) C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_{i-1} J}(j + 1, \dots, n) \\ &\quad - \partial_j (\mathcal{G}^{I_{j-1} \dots I_1}(j, j + 1) - \mathcal{G}^{I_{j-1} \dots I_1}(j, n)) C_{\delta}(j + 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

which successively express the dependence of  $C_{\delta}(1, \dots, n)$  on  $z_j$  in terms of  $\omega_J(j)$  and the convolutions of the previous section. The cases  $j = n$  and  $j = n - 1$  require separate formulas,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_{n-2}}(n - 1, n) &= \omega_J(n - 1) C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_{n-2} J}(n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} f^{I_{n-2} I_{n-3} \dots I_i}_J(n - 1, n) C_{\delta}^{I_1 I_2 \dots I_{i-1} J}(n) \\ &\quad + f^{I_{n-2} I_{n-3} \dots I_1}_J(n - 1, n) C_{\delta}^{JK} \omega_K(n) \\ &\quad + \partial_{n-1} \partial_n \mathcal{G}^{I_{n-2} \dots I_2 I_1}(n - 1, n), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_{n-1}}(n) &= \omega_J(n) C_{\delta}^{I_1 \dots I_{n-1} J} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \\ (i, j) \neq (1, n-1)}}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \\ &\quad \times \partial_n \Phi^{I_1 I_2 \dots I_{i-1} I_i I_{i+1} \dots I_{j-1} I_j}_M(n) C_{\delta}^{I_{i+1} \dots I_j M}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where the shuffle of multi-indices  $\vec{I}, \vec{J}$  is understood as



$$\Phi^{\vec{I}\vec{m}\vec{J}}_M(n) = \sum_{\vec{K} \in \vec{I}\vec{m}\vec{J}} \Phi^{\vec{K}}_M(n). \quad (36)$$

Combining (33) and (35) determines the  $z_i$ -dependent constituents  $F_{I_1 \dots I_r}^{(r)}(\mathbf{z})$  of  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  in the notation of (3).

We conclude this section with integral representations of the modular tensors  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$  in terms of  $C_\delta(1, \dots, n)$ ,

$$C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z_i \bar{\omega}^{I_i}(z_i) \right) C_\delta(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad (37)$$

which generalize (27) to arbitrary  $n$  and imply dihedral (anti)symmetry  $C_\delta^{I_1 I_2 \dots I_n} = C_\delta^{I_2 \dots I_n I_1} = (-1)^n C_\delta^{I_n \dots I_2 I_1}$ .

*Variations in moduli.*—While the modular tensor  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$  enters a term in the descent of  $C_\delta(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  that is meromorphic in the points  $z_i \in \Sigma$ , it is not, in general, meromorphic in moduli. We will study antiholomorphic derivatives in moduli through complex structure variations  $\delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}$  which, in a conformal field theory setting, amount to an insertion of the stress tensor at a point  $w \in \Sigma$  [29–32]. The antiholomorphic  $\delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}$  variation of  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$  may be constructed via the relations  $\delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \Omega_{IJ} = \delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \omega_I(z) = \delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} S_\delta(x, y) = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \bar{\Omega}_{IJ} &= -2\pi i \bar{\omega}_I(w) \bar{\omega}_J(w), \\ \delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} \bar{\omega}^I(x) &= -\bar{\omega}^I(w) \partial_{\bar{x}} \partial_{\bar{w}} \mathcal{G}(x, w), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

so that we have  $\delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} C_\delta(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ . While the variation of the two-point function  $\delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} C_\delta^{IJ} = \pi^2 \bar{\omega}^I(w) \bar{\omega}^J(w)$  readily follows from (14), the variation  $\delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$  at  $n \geq 3$  may be derived from the integral representation (37),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n} &= \pi \bar{\omega}^{I_1}(w) \partial_{\bar{w}} (F_\delta^{I_2 I_3 \dots I_n}(w) - F_\delta^{I_n I_2 \dots I_{n-1}}(w)) \\ &\quad + \text{cycl}(1, \dots, n), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

in terms of  $w$ -dependent modular tensors  $F_\delta$  of  $\Gamma_h(2)$ :

$$F_\delta^{I_2 I_3 \dots I_n}(w) = \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z_2 \mathcal{G}(w, 2) \bar{\omega}^{I_2}(2) C_\delta^{I_3 \dots I_n}(2). \quad (40)$$

Total symmetrization in  $n \geq 3$  indices  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  cancels the right-hand side of (39), implying that the left-hand side obeys

$$\delta_{\bar{w}\bar{w}} C_\delta^{(I_1 \dots I_n)} = 0, \quad (41)$$

and the totally symmetric modular tensor  $C_\delta^{(I_1 \dots I_n)}$  is actually a holomorphic modular tensor on Torelli space.

*Specialization to genus  $\leq 2$ .*—At genus one, each tensor  $C_\delta^{I_1 I_2 \dots I_n} \rightarrow C_\delta^{11 \dots 1}$  has a single component (which vanishes at odd rank  $n$ ) and is a degree-two polynomial in  $e_\delta \in \{\wp(\frac{1}{2}), \wp(\tau/2), \wp[(1+\tau)/2]\}$  at even  $n$  [7,10], where

we set  $\Omega_{11} = \tau$ . The Weierstrass  $\wp$  function at genus one is evaluated at the half-periods associated with the three even spin structures, and the coefficients of  $e_\delta^2, e_\delta^1, e_\delta^0$  are combinations of holomorphic Eisenstein series; see Appendix D in the Supplemental Material [17] for examples at  $n \leq 8$ .

At genus two, the results of [11], translated into the language of  $\vartheta$  functions, again organize the entire spin-structure dependence of  $C_\delta(1, \dots, n)$  into degree-two polynomials in the components of the  $z_i$ -independent, symmetric modular tensor of  $\Gamma_{h=2}(2)$ ,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{IJ} = \frac{2\pi i}{10} \partial^{IJ} \ln \left( \frac{\Psi_{10}}{\vartheta[\delta](0)^{20}} \right), \quad (42)$$

where  $\Psi_{10}$  denotes the Igusa cusp form (a Siegel modular form of weight ten), and  $\partial^{IJ} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta^{IJ})(\partial/\partial \Omega_{IJ})$  are the moduli derivatives. In the two-point function,

$$\begin{aligned} C_\delta(x, y) &= \omega_I(x) \omega_J(y) \mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{IJ} - \wp(x, y), \\ \wp(x, y) &= \partial_x \partial_y \ln E(x, y) + \frac{2\pi i}{10} \partial^{IJ} \ln \Psi_{10}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

the modular tensor  $\mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{IJ}$  in (42) offers an alternative to capturing the  $\delta$  dependence through the modular tensor  $C_\delta^{IJ}$  in (14), and  $\wp$  denotes the  $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ -invariant genus-two generalization of the Weierstrass function.

A first key result of [11] is that all spin-structure dependence of  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  at genus two and any multiplicity  $n$  may be reduced to a linear combination of tensor powers of  $\mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{IJ}$ . A second result of [11] is that the tensor  $\mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{IJ}$  satisfies the *trilinear relations*, eliminating any tensor power higher than two and leading to the major simplification:

$$\begin{aligned} C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n} &= (\mathfrak{Z}_n^{(2)})_{J_1 J_2 J_3 J_4}^{I_1 \dots I_n} \mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{J_1 J_2} \mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{J_3 J_4} \\ &\quad + (\mathfrak{Z}_n^{(1)})_{J_1 J_2}^{I_1 \dots I_n} \mathfrak{Z}_\delta^{J_1 J_2} + (\mathfrak{Z}_n^{(0)})^{I_1 \dots I_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The modular tensors  $\mathfrak{Z}_n^{(i)}$  of  $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$  are independent of  $z_i$  and  $\delta$  but, just as  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$ , they are not necessarily locally holomorphic in moduli. Since spin-structure independent modular tensors of odd rank must vanish at genus two, the modular tensors  $\mathfrak{Z}_n^{(i)}$  and therefore  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$  itself must vanish at genus two for odd values of  $n$ . Examples up to  $n = 4$  can be found in Appendix E in the Supplemental Material [17].

*Conclusion and outlook.*—The descent procedure described in this work reorganizes cyclic products of Szegő kernels such that their dependences on the points  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and on the even spin structure  $\delta$  are completely disentangled. The key results apply to Riemann surfaces of arbitrary genus which find an increasingly universal appearance in different areas of theoretical physics and mathematics.

First, the function space identified through the  $\delta$ -independent building blocks of this work plays a crucial role in the explicit evaluation of multiparticle string amplitudes beyond genus one, as well as in bootstrap approaches to the construction of such amplitudes [33]. Their intimate connection with the higher-genus polylogarithms of [18] will strengthen the symbiosis between algebraic geometry, string perturbation theory, and particle physics, stimulating, for instance, the application to higher-genus surfaces in Feynman integrals [34–37].

Second, the descent procedure introduced here sheds further light on the cohomology structure of chiral blocks investigated in [38] as the cyclic products  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  are automatically part of the chiral-block structure [31,39]. Accordingly, the field-theory limit will translate our simplifications of  $C_\delta(\mathbf{z})$  into new double-copy representations of multiloop amplitudes in supergravity theories, expressed via bilinears of gauge-theory building blocks [40,41].

Among the numerous directions of follow-up research, the results of this work suggest future investigations of the following problems: (a) to simplify the spin-structure sums in the proposal of [42] for the genus-three four-point superstring amplitude by means of the four-point results in Appendix B in the Supplemental Material [17]; (b) to explore generalizations of the structure obtained in (44) to higher genus, i.e., whether the  $\delta$  dependence of  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$  at arbitrary  $n$  and fixed  $h \geq 3$  can still be reduced to *finitely* many tensor products of lower-rank tensors; (c) to explicitly compute the modular tensors  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_n}$  of  $\Gamma_h(2)$ , starting with  $C_\delta^{IJK}$  at genus  $h = 3$  and the components of  $C_\delta^{IJKL}$  at  $h = 2$  beyond the symmetrized ones in Appendix E in the Supplemental Material [17].

The research of E. D. is supported in part by NSF Grant No. PHY-22-09700. The research of M. H. is supported in part by the European Research Council under ERC-STG-804286 UNISCAMP and in part by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation under Grant No. KAW 2018.0116. The research of O. S. is supported by the European Research Council under ERC-STG-804286 UNISCAMP.

---

[1] D. Mumford, *Prog. Math.* **28** (2007).  
 [2] J. D. Fay, *Lect. Notes Math.* **352** (1973).  
 [3] A. G. Tsuchiya, *Phys. Rev. D* **39**, 1626 (1989).  
 [4] S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, *Nucl. Phys.* **B648**, 3 (2003).  
 [5] M. Bianchi and A. V. Santini, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2006) 010.  
 [6] L. Dolan and P. Goddard, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **285**, 219 (2009).  
 [7] A. G. Tsuchiya, *arXiv:1209.6117*.  
 [8] J. Broedel, C. R. Mafra, N. Matthes, and O. Schlotterer, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2015) 112.

[9] M. Berg, I. Buchberger, and O. Schlotterer, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2017) 163.  
 [10] A. G. Tsuchiya, *arXiv:1710.00206*.  
 [11] E. D'Hoker, M. Hidding, and O. Schlotterer, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2023) 073.  
 [12] E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, *Nucl. Phys.* **B639**, 129 (2002).  
 [13] E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, *Nucl. Phys.* **B715**, 3 (2005).  
 [14] E. D'Hoker and O. Schlotterer, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2021) 063.  
 [15] A. G. Tsuchiya, *Nucl. Phys.* **B997**, 116383 (2023).  
 [16] Equation (2) holds for even spin structure  $\delta$  throughout moduli space for genus two and at generic moduli for genus  $h \geq 3$ , in which cases its expression in terms of the prime form and the Riemann  $\vartheta$  functions is given in Appendix A. For odd spin structures at all genera, and for even spin structures at genus  $h \geq 3$  on the hyperelliptic divisor where  $\vartheta[\delta](0) = 0$ , the presence of Dirac zero modes requires modifying the right-hand side of (2) into a projector transverse to the zero modes. Here, we shall restrict to the case where no zero modes are present, deferring the study of the cases with zero modes to future work.  
 [17] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021602> for basic definitions, four-point examples, intermediate steps in the derivation of the main results and examples of modular tensors  $C_\delta^{I_1 \dots I_r}$  at genus  $h \leq 2$ .  
 [18] E. D'Hoker, M. Hidding, and O. Schlotterer, *arXiv:2306.08644*.  
 [19] C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, *Phys. Rep.* **1020**, 1 (2023).  
 [20] G. Faltings, *Ann. Math.* **119**, 387 (1984).  
 [21] E. D'Hoker, M. B. Green, and B. Pioline, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **366**, 927 (2019).  
 [22] E. D'Hoker and O. Schlotterer, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **16**, 35 (2022).  
 [23] N. Kawazumi, Lecture at MCM2016, OIST (2016), [https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~kawazumi/OIST1610\\_v1.pdf](https://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~kawazumi/OIST1610_v1.pdf).  
 [24] N. Kawazumi, *arXiv:2210.00532*.  
 [25] When no confusion is expected to arise we shall use the abbreviation  $z_i \rightarrow i$  as arguments of  $S_\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  $C_\delta$ . The cyclic product  $C_\delta(1, \dots, n) = C_\delta(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is a (1,0) form in each point  $z_i$  and is stripped here of its  $dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$  factor.  
 [26] B. Enriquez, *Adv. Math.* **252**, 204 (2014).  
 [27] B. Enriquez and F. Zerbini, *arXiv:2110.09341*.  
 [28] B. Enriquez and F. Zerbini, *arXiv:2212.03119*.  
 [29] E. P. Verlinde and H. L. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys.* **B288**, 357 (1987).  
 [30] E. P. Verlinde and H. L. Verlinde, *Phys. Lett. B* **192**, 95 (1987).  
 [31] E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60**, 917 (1988).  
 [32] E. D'Hoker, M. B. Green, B. Pioline, and R. Russo, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2015) 031.  
 [33] N. Berkovits, E. D'Hoker, M. B. Green, H. Johansson, and O. Schlotterer, *arXiv:2203.09099*.  
 [34] R. Huang and Y. Zhang, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2013) 080.  
 [35] A. Georgoudis and Y. Zhang, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2015) 086.

- [36] C.F. Doran, A. Harder, E. Pichon-Pharabod, and P. Vanhove, [arXiv:2302.14840](#).
- [37] R. Marzucca, A.J. McLeod, B. Page, S. Pögel, and S. Weinzierl, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, L031901 (2024).
- [38] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *Nucl. Phys.* **B804**, 421 (2008).
- [39] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **125**, 469 (1989).
- [40] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban, [arXiv:1909.01358](#).
- [41] T. Adamo, J. J. M. Carrasco, M. Carrillo-González, M. Chiodaroli, H. Elvang, H. Johansson, D. O'Connell, R. Roiban, and O. Schlotterer, [arXiv:2204.06547](#).
- [42] Y. Geyer, R. Monteiro, and R. Stark-Muchão, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 211603 (2021).