



GENERIC PROPERTIES OF CONJUGATE POINTS IN OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS

ALBERTO BRESSAN^{✉1}, MARCO MAZZOLA^{✉*2} AND KHAI T. NGUYEN^{✉3}

¹Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

²Sorbonne Université and Université Paris Cité, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, F-75005 Paris, France

³Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Dedicated to H el ene Frankowska

ABSTRACT. The first part of the paper studies a class of optimal control problems in Bolza form, where the dynamics is linear w.r.t. the control function. A necessary condition is derived, for the optimality of a trajectory which starts at a conjugate point. The second part is concerned with a classical problem in the Calculus of Variations, with free terminal point. For a generic terminal cost $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$, applying the previous necessary condition we show that the set of conjugate points is contained in the image of an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional manifold and has locally bounded $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

1. Introduction. Conjugate points play a key role in the study of necessary conditions, for problems in the Calculus of Variations and optimal control [5, 6, 7, 13]. The present paper intends to be a contribution to the analysis of conjugate points, from the point of view of generic theory. Given a family of optimal control problems, with various terminal costs, we seek properties of the set of conjugate points which are true for nearly all terminal costs $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here “nearly all” is meant in the topological sense of Baire category: these properties should be true on a \mathcal{G}_δ set, i.e., on the intersection of countably many open dense subsets. As usual, $\mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded functions with bounded, continuous partial derivatives up to order k , see for example [1, 8].

Our basic setting is as follows. Consider an optimal control problem of the form

$$\text{minimize: } J^{\tau,y}[u] \doteq \int_{\tau}^T L(x(t), u(t)) dt + \psi(x(T)), \quad (1)$$

where $t \mapsto x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the solution to the Cauchy problem with dynamics linear w.r.t. the control:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)) = f_0(x(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x(t)) u_i(t), \quad (2)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 49K05; Secondary: 49L12.

Key words and phrases. Optimal control problem, necessary optimality condition, conjugate point, generic property, Baire category.

The research of Khai T. Nguyen was partially supported by National Science Foundation with grant DMS-2154201.

*Corresponding author: Marco Mazzola.

and initial data

$$x(\tau) = y. \quad (3)$$

Here and in the sequel, the upper dot denotes a derivative w.r.t. time. In (1), the minimum cost is sought among all measurable functions $u : [\tau, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$. For $(\tau, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, the associated value function V is defined as

$$V(\tau, y) \doteq \inf_{u(\cdot)} J^{\tau, y}[u]. \quad (4)$$

To fix ideas, we shall consider a couple (f, L) satisfying the following hypotheses.

(A1) In (2) the vector fields f_i , $i = 0, \dots, m$, are three times continuously differentiable and satisfy the sublinear growth condition

$$|f_i(x)| \leq c_1 (|x| + 1) \quad (5)$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(A2) The running cost $L : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is three times continuously differentiable and uniformly convex w.r.t. u . Namely, for some $\delta_L > 0$, the $m \times m$ matrix of second derivatives w.r.t. u satisfies

$$L_{uu}(x, u) - \delta_L \cdot \mathbb{I}_m \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x, u. \quad (6)$$

Here \mathbb{I}_m denotes the $m \times m$ identity matrix.

The Pontryagin necessary conditions [2, 6, 10] take the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= f(x, u(x, p)), \\ \dot{p} &= -p \cdot f_x(x, u(x, p)) - L_x(x, u(x, p)), \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $u(x, p)$ is determined as the pointwise minimizer

$$u(x, p) = \arg \min_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ L(x, \omega) + p \cdot f(x, \omega) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

The assumptions in **(A1)**-**(A2)** guarantee that the minimizer in (8) is unique and solves

$$p \cdot f_u(x, \omega) + L_u(x, \omega) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Therefore the map $(x, p) \mapsto u(x, p)$ is well defined and continuously differentiable, and the system of ODEs (7) has continuously differentiable right hand side. In particular, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the system (7) with terminal conditions

$$x(T) = z, \quad p(T) = \nabla \psi(z), \quad (10)$$

admits a unique solution $t \mapsto (x, p)(t, z)$ defined on $[0, T]$. In turn, this uniquely determines the control

$$t \mapsto u(t, z) = u(x(t, z), p(t, z)). \quad (11)$$

In the following we mainly focus on the case $\tau = 0$.

Definition 1.1. Given an initial point $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that a control $u^* : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$ is a **weak local minimizer** of the cost functional

$$J^{\bar{x}}[u] \doteq \int_0^T L(x(t), u(t)) dt + \psi(x(T)), \quad (12)$$

subject to

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \quad x(0) = \bar{x}, \quad (13)$$

if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $J^{\bar{x}}[u^*] \leq J^{\bar{x}}[u]$ for every measurable control $u(\cdot)$ such that $\|u - u^*\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} < \delta$.

Consider again the maps

$$z \mapsto x(\cdot, z), \quad z \mapsto p(\cdot, z), \quad z \mapsto u(\cdot, z)$$

as in (11), obtained by solving the backward Cauchy problem (7)-(8). Following [3, 4] we shall adopt

Definition 1.2. For the optimization problem (12)-(13) a point $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **conjugate point** if there exists $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\bar{x} = x(0, \bar{z})$, the control $u(\cdot, \bar{z})$ is a weak local minimizer of (12)-(13), and moreover

$$\det(x_z(0, \bar{z})) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Here x_z denotes the $n \times n$ Jacobian matrix of partial derivatives of the map $z \mapsto x(0, z)$.

Our main goal is to understand the structure of the set of conjugate points, for a generic terminal cost $\psi \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in (12). The present paper provides two results in this direction. In Section 2 we prove a necessary condition for the optimality of a trajectory starting at a conjugate point. We recall that, by classical results [6, 7], a trajectory $t \mapsto x(t)$ is not optimal if it contains a conjugate point $x(\tau)$ for some $0 < \tau < T$. However, the case $\tau = 0$ is more delicate. A necessary condition that covers this case is given in Theorem 2.2. Relying on this more precise result, in Section 3 we study a classical problem in the Calculus of Variations:

$$\text{Minimize: } \int_0^T L(\dot{x}(t)) dt + \psi(x(T)) \quad \text{subject to } x(0) = \bar{x}.$$

Assuming that the Lagrangian function $L = L(u)$ is smooth and uniformly convex, we study the structure of the set of conjugate points, for a generic terminal cost $\psi \in C^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, we show that its $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure is locally finite. In the 1-dimensional case, the set of conjugate points is empty.

2. Necessary conditions for conjugate points. In this section we derive a necessary condition for conjugate points. For a given $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the map $z \mapsto g(z, \bar{z})$, defined by

$$g(z, \bar{z}) \doteq \int_0^T L(\tilde{x}(t, z), u(t, z)) dt + \psi(\tilde{x}(T, z)), \tag{15}$$

where $u(t, z) \doteq u(x(t, z), p(t, z))$ is the control corresponding to the solution of the backward Cauchy problem (7)-(10), while $\tilde{x}(\cdot, z)$ is the solution of

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t, z)), \quad x(0) = x(0, \bar{z}). \tag{16}$$

In other words, $g(z, \bar{z})$ is the cost of the trajectory $\tilde{x}(\cdot, z)$ which

- (i) starts at the initial point $x(0, \bar{z})$ of the solution to the Pontryagin equations (7) ending at \bar{z} ,
- (ii) but uses the control $u(\cdot, z)$, corresponding to the solution of (7) ending at z .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unit vector such that $x_z(0, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} = 0$. Then the map $g_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by*

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}(\theta) \doteq g(\bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v}, \bar{z}),$$

has first and second derivatives which vanish at $\theta = 0$:

$$g'_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = 0, \quad g''_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = 0. \tag{17}$$

Proof. **1.** For a given solution to (7)–(10), we denote by

$$x_z, p_z : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad u_z : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{m \times n},$$

the matrix representations of the differentials w.r.t. the terminal point z . Differentiating (7) and (16) one obtains

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}x_z(t, z) &= f_x(x, u)x_z + f_u(x, u)u_z, \\ \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{x}_z(t, z) &= f_x(\tilde{x}, u)\tilde{x}_z + f_u(\tilde{x}, u)u_z, \\ \frac{d}{dt}p_z(t, z) &= -p_z f_x - p(f_{xx}x_z + f_{xu}u_z) - L_{xx}x_z - L_{xu}u_z. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Moreover, set $\Gamma(t, z) \doteq L(x(t, z), u(t, z))$ for all $(t, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$. By (7) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[p(t, z) \cdot x_z(t, z)] &= [-pf_x - L_x]x_z + p[f_x x_z + f_u u_z] \\ &= -L_x x_z - L_u u_z = -\Gamma_z(t, z). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Observing that

$$x(\cdot, \bar{z}) = \tilde{x}(\cdot, \bar{z}), \quad x_z(0, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} = 0, \tag{20}$$

we have

$$\tilde{x}_z(t, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} = x_z(t, \bar{z})\mathbf{v}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{21}$$

Recalling (15), we now compute

$$\begin{aligned} g'_\mathbf{v}(\theta) &= \int_0^T \frac{d}{d\theta}L(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v}), u(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) dt + \nabla\psi(\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) \cdot \frac{d}{d\theta}\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v}) \\ &= \int_0^T \Gamma_z(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})\mathbf{v} dt + \nabla\psi(\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) \cdot \tilde{x}_z(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Therefore, (19)–(21) yield

$$\begin{aligned} g'_\mathbf{v}(0) &= \int_0^T \Gamma_z(t, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} dt + \nabla\psi(\bar{z}) \cdot x_z(T, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} \\ &= - \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt}[p(t, \bar{z})x_z(t, \bar{z})]\mathbf{v} dt + p(T, \bar{z})x_z(T, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} = p(0, \bar{z})x_z(0, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

2. To prove the second identity in (17) one needs to differentiate (22) once more. In the following, second order differentials such as $\psi_{zz} = D_z^2\psi$ and \tilde{x}_{zz} are regarded as symmetric bilinear maps, sending a couple of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ into \mathbb{R} and into \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} g''_\mathbf{v}(\theta) &= \int_0^T \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}L(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v}), u(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) dt \\ &\quad + \psi_{zz}(\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v}))(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\theta(T) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\theta(T)) \\ &\quad + \nabla\psi(\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) \cdot \left(\tilde{x}_{zz}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\theta(t) \doteq \tilde{x}_z(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Differentiating the first equation in (18) once again w.r.t. z , one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt}x_{zz} = f_{xx}(x_z \otimes x_z) + 2f_{xu}(x_z \otimes u_z) + f_{uu}(u_z \otimes u_z) + f_x x_{zz} + f_u u_{zz}. \quad (24)$$

Setting

$$\mathbf{w}^\theta(t) \doteq x_z(t, \bar{z} + \theta \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathbf{b}^\theta(t) \doteq u_z(t, \bar{z} + \theta \mathbf{v}), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

from (24) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}x_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) &= f_{xx}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{w}^0(t)) \\ &\quad + 2f_{xu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)) + f_{uu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{b}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)) \\ &\quad + f_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))x_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + f_u(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))u_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{x}_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) &= f_{xx}(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^0(t) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^0(t)) \\ &\quad + 2f_{xu}(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)) + f_{uu}(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{b}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)) \\ &\quad + f_x(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))\tilde{x}_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + f_u(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))u_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

By (21) one has

$$\mathbf{w}^0(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^0(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Comparing the two equations (25)-(26), we see that by (20) the only difference between the right hand sides is the term involving x_{zz} . Therefore we can write

$$\tilde{x}_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = x_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + w(t, \bar{z}), \quad (27)$$

where $w(\cdot, \bar{z}) : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ is the solution to the linear ODE

$$\dot{w}(t) = f_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z})) \cdot w(t), \quad w(0) = -x_{zz}(0, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}). \quad (28)$$

Using (27), we now compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} L(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z} + \theta \mathbf{v}), u(t, \bar{z} + \theta \mathbf{v})) \right]_{\theta=0} \\ &= \Gamma_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + L_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z})) w(t, \bar{z}). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

By (28) and the second equation in (7) it follows

$$\frac{d}{dt}[p(t, \bar{z})w(t, \bar{z})] = \dot{p}w + pf_x w = (-pf_x - L_x + pf_x)w = -L_x w.$$

Hence

$$L_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z})) w(t, \bar{z}) = -\frac{d}{dt}[p(t, \bar{z})w(t, \bar{z})]. \quad (30)$$

From (23), using (19), (28) and (30), and recalling that $\mathbf{w}^0(T) = \mathbf{v}$ while $\psi_z(\bar{z}) = p(T, \bar{z})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\mathbf{v}}''(0) &= \int_0^T \Gamma_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) dt + \int_0^T L_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))w(t, \bar{z})dt \\
&\quad + \psi_{zz}(\bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \psi_z(\bar{z}) \cdot [x_{zz}(T, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + w(T, \bar{z})] \\
&= - \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d}{dz} [p(t, \bar{z}) x_z(t, \bar{z})] \right) (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) dt - \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} [p(t, \bar{z})w(t, \bar{z})] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{d}{dz} [p(T, \bar{z})x_z(T, \bar{z})](\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + p(T, \bar{z})w(T, \bar{z}) \\
&= \frac{d}{dz} [p(0, \bar{z}) \cdot x_z(0, \bar{z})](\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + p(0, \bar{z})w(0, \bar{z}) \\
&= p(0, \bar{z}) [x_{zz}(0, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + w(0, \bar{z})] + (p_z(0, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot (x_z(0, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

In view of (17), if $\bar{x} = x(0, \bar{z})$ is a conjugate point the optimality assumption implies the vanishing of the third derivative:

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}'''(0) = 0. \quad (31)$$

This yields the following necessary condition:

Theorem 2.2. *Given a conjugate point $\bar{x} = x(0, \bar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ associated to a weak local minimizer $u(\cdot, \bar{z})$ of the optimization problem (12)-(13), let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unit vector such that $x_z(0, \bar{z})\mathbf{v} = 0$. Then one has*

$$(p_z(0, \bar{z})\mathbf{v}) \cdot x_{zz}(0, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = 0. \quad (32)$$

Proof. Differentiating (23), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\mathbf{v}}'''(\theta) &= \int_0^T \frac{d^3}{d\theta^3} L(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v}), u(t, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) dt \\
&\quad + \psi_{zzz}(\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\theta(T) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\theta(T) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\theta(T)) \\
&\quad + 3\psi_{zz}(\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) (\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\theta(T) \otimes \tilde{x}_{zz}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})) \\
&\quad + \psi_z(\tilde{x}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})) \cdot \left(\tilde{x}_{zzz}(T, \bar{z} + \theta\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \right).
\end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The third order differentials ψ_{zzz} and \tilde{x}_{zzz} are here regarded as tri-linear maps, sending a triple of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \mathbf{v}_2 \otimes \mathbf{v}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ into \mathbb{R} and into \mathbb{R}^n ,

respectively. Differentiating the identities (25)-(26) once more w.r.t. z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt}x_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\
 &= f_{xxx}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_0(t)) + 3f_{xxu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_1(t)) \\
 & \quad + 3f_{xuu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_2(t)) + f_{uuu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_3(t)) \\
 & \quad + 3f_{xx}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{x}(t)) + 3f_{uu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{u}(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)) \\
 & \quad + 3f_{xu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{x}(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t) + \mathbf{u}(t) \otimes \mathbf{w}^0(t)) \\
 & \quad + f_u(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))\mathbf{U}(t) + f_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))\mathbf{X}(t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{x}_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\
 &= f_{xxx}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_0(t)) + 3f_{xxu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_1(t)) \\
 & \quad + 3f_{xuu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_2(t)) + f_{uuu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{W}_3(t)) \\
 & \quad + 3f_{xx}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)) + 3f_{uu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{u}(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)) \\
 & \quad + 3f_{xu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t) + \mathbf{u}(t) \otimes \mathbf{w}^0(t)) \\
 & \quad + f_u(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))\mathbf{U}(t) + f_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
 \mathbf{W}_0(t) \doteq \mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{w}^0(t), & \mathbf{W}_1(t) \doteq \mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t), \\
 \mathbf{W}_2(t) \doteq \mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t), & \mathbf{W}_3(t) \doteq \mathbf{b}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t), \\
 \mathbf{X}(t) \doteq x_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}), & \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) \doteq \tilde{x}_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}), \\
 \mathbf{x}(t) \doteq x_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}), & \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) \doteq \tilde{x}_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}), \\
 \mathbf{u}(t) \doteq u_{zz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}), & \mathbf{U}(t) \doteq u_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}).
 \end{array} \right.$$

Comparing the results, we eventually obtain

$$\tilde{x}_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = x_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + W(t, \bar{z}), \tag{36}$$

where $w(\cdot)$ is the function constructed at (28), while $W(\cdot, \bar{z}) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the solution to the linear ODE

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{W}(t) &= f_x(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z})) \cdot W(t) + 3f_{xx}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes w(t, \bar{z})) \\
 & \quad + 3f_{xu}(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))(w(t, \bar{z}) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

with initial data

$$W(0, \bar{z}) = -x_{zzz}(0, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}). \tag{38}$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\frac{d^3}{d\theta^3} L(\tilde{x}(t, \bar{z} + \theta \mathbf{v}), u(t, \bar{z} + \theta \mathbf{v})) \right]_{\theta=0} \\
 &= \Gamma_{zzz}(t, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + L_x W(t, \bar{z}) \\
 &\quad + 3L_{xu}(w(t, \bar{z}) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)) + 3L_{xx}(w(t, \bar{z}) \otimes \mathbf{w}^0(t)), \\
 & \frac{d}{dt} [p(t, \bar{z}) W(t, \bar{z})] \\
 &= -L_x W(t, \bar{z}) + 3p(t, \bar{z}) f_{xx}(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes w(t, \bar{z})) \\
 &\quad + 3p(t, \bar{z}) f_{xu}(w(t, \bar{z}) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)), \\
 & \frac{d}{dt} [(p_z(t, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot w(t, \bar{z})] \\
 &= -p(t, \bar{z}) f_{xx}(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes w(t, \bar{z})) - p(t, \bar{z}) f_{xu}(\mathbf{b}^0(t) \otimes w(t, \bar{z})) \\
 &\quad - L_{xx}(\mathbf{w}^0(t) \otimes w(t, \bar{z})) - L_{xu}(w(t, \bar{z}) \otimes \mathbf{b}^0(t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the above formulas, it is understood that the functions f, L and all their partial derivatives are computed at the point $(x(t, \bar{z}), u(t, \bar{z}))$.

Using the above identities together with (19) and (28), from (33) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_{\mathbf{v}}'''(0) \\
 &= \left[-\int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} [p(t, \bar{z}) \cdot x_z(t, \bar{z})] (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \right) dt + \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [p(T, \bar{z}) \cdot x_z(T, \bar{z})] (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \right] \\
 &\quad - \left[\int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} [pW](t, \bar{z}) dt - [pW](T, \bar{z}) \right] \\
 &\quad + 3 \left[(p_z(T, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot w(T, \bar{z}) - \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} [(p_z(t, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot w(t, \bar{z})] dt \right] \\
 &= \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [p(0, \bar{z}) \cdot x_z(0, \bar{z})] (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + [pW](0, \bar{z}) + 3(p_z(0, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot w(0, \bar{z}) \\
 &= 2(p_z(0, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot x_{zz}(0, \bar{z}) (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + 3(p_z(0, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot w(0, \bar{z}) \\
 &= -(p_z(0, \bar{z}) \mathbf{v}) \cdot x_{zz}(0, \bar{z}) (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_{\mathbf{v}}$ attains a local minimum at $\theta = 0$ and $g'_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = g''_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = 0$, this yields (32). □

3. Conjugate points for a generic problem in the Calculus of Variations.

In this section, the necessary condition stated in Theorem 2.2 will be used to study a generic property of the set of conjugate points for a classical problem in the Calculus of Variations. Namely, we seek to minimize (12) in the special case where

$$\dot{x} = u, \quad L(x, u) = L(u). \tag{39}$$

In this case (see for example [2]), the value function V is the unique viscosity solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\begin{cases} -V_t(t, x) - H(\nabla V(t, x)) = 0, & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ V(T, x) = \psi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

with

$$H(p) = \min_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{L(\omega) + p \cdot \omega\}. \tag{41}$$

By (7) and (10) it follows

$$x(0, z) = z - T \cdot DH(\nabla\psi(z)), \quad p(0, z) = \nabla\psi(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{42}$$

By Theorem 2.2, the conjugate points are thus contained in the set $\{x(0, z); (z, \bar{v}) \in \Omega_\psi\}$, with

$$\Omega_\psi \doteq \left\{ (z, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}; x_z(0, z)\mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (D^2\psi(z)\mathbf{v}) \cdot x_{zz}(0, z)(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = 0 \right\}, \tag{43}$$

where S^{n-1} denotes the set of unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 3.1. *Let the function $L = L(u)$ be smooth and uniformly convex. Then there exists a \mathcal{G}_δ subset $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$, the set Ω_ψ at (43) is an embedded manifold of dimension $n - 2$.*

Proof. **1.** Given a terminal cost $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$, defining (x, p) as in (42), we have

$$x_z(0, z) = \mathbb{I}_n - T \cdot D^2H(\nabla\psi(z))D^2\psi(z), \quad p_z(0, z) = D^2\psi(z). \tag{44}$$

Thus, if $x_z(0, z)\mathbf{v} = 0$ then $\mathbf{v} = T \cdot D^2H(\nabla\psi(z))D^2\psi(z)\mathbf{v}$ and

$$p_z(0, z)\mathbf{v} = D^2\psi(z)\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{T} \cdot [D^2H(\nabla\psi(z))]^{-1}(\mathbf{v}). \tag{45}$$

This implies

$$\Omega_\psi = \left\{ (z, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}; x_z(0, z)\mathbf{v} = 0, \quad [D^2H(\nabla\psi(z))]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \cdot x_{zz}(0, z)(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = 0 \right\}. \tag{46}$$

Define the \mathcal{C}^1 map $\Phi^\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\Phi^\psi(z, \mathbf{v}) \doteq \left(x_z(0, z)\mathbf{v}, \quad [D^2H(\nabla\psi(z))]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \cdot x_{zz}(0, z)(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \right). \tag{47}$$

For $k \geq 1$, let $\bar{B}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the closed ball centered at the origin with radius k , and consider the open subset of $\mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\mathcal{M}_k \doteq \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n) : \Phi^\psi|_{\bar{B}_k \times S^{n-1}} \text{ is transversal to } \{\mathbf{0}\} \right\}. \tag{48}$$

Here $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ denotes the zero-dimensional manifold containing the single point $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

If \mathcal{M}_k is dense in $\mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then the set $\mathcal{M} \doteq \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_k$ is a \mathcal{G}_δ subset of $\mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$, Φ^ψ is transverse to $\{\mathbf{0}\}$. By the implicit function theorem, the set Ω_ψ is an embedded manifold of dimension $n - 2$.

2. Next, we show that \mathcal{M}_k is dense in $\mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For this purpose, fix any $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we first approximate $\tilde{\psi}$ by a smooth function ψ with $\|\tilde{\psi} - \psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^4} < \varepsilon$. Then we need to construct a perturbed function ψ^θ arbitrarily close to ψ in the \mathcal{C}^4 norm, which lies in \mathcal{M}_k . Toward this goal, for any point $(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}) \in \bar{B}_k \times S^{n-1}$, we consider the family of perturbed functions of the form

$$\psi^\theta(z) \doteq \psi(z) + \eta(z - \bar{z}) \cdot \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \theta_{ij}(z_i - \bar{z}_i)(z_j - \bar{z}_j) + \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k(z_k - \bar{z}_k)^3 \right]. \tag{49}$$

Here $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto [0, 1]$ is a smooth cutoff function, such that

$$\eta(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |y| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |y| \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

Moreover, $\theta = (\theta_{ij}, \theta_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2+n}$. We claim that the map

$$(z, \mathbf{v}, \theta) \mapsto \Phi^{\psi^\theta} = \left(x_z^\theta(0, z)\mathbf{v}, [D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(z))]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \cdot x_{zz}^\theta(0, z)(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \right)$$

is transversal to $\{\mathbf{0}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ at the point $(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}, 0)$. This will certainly be true if the Jacobian matrix $D_\theta\Phi^{\psi^\theta}$ of partial derivatives w.r.t. θ_{ij}, θ_k has maximum rank $n + 1$.

Writing $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and recalling (49), for $|z - \bar{z}| < 1$ we compute the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\psi^\theta(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\psi(z) + \sum_{j=1}^n(\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ji})(z_j - \bar{z}_j) + 3\theta_i(z_i - \bar{z}_i)^2, \tag{51}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}\psi^\theta(z) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}\psi(z) + \begin{cases} 2\theta_{ii} + 6\theta_i(z_i - \bar{z}_i) & \text{if } i = j, \\ \theta_{ij} + \theta_{ji} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

Calling $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$D^2\psi^\theta(z)\mathbf{v} = D^2\psi(z)\mathbf{v} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ji})\mathbf{v}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_i + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i(z_i - \bar{z}_i)\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{ij}}D^2\psi^\theta(z)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j.$$

Thus, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the matrix $D_\theta[D^2\psi^\theta(\bar{z})\mathbf{v}]$ contains the $n \times n$ submatrix

$$S_i \doteq \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{ij}}D^2\psi^\theta(\bar{z})\mathbf{v} \right]_{j=1}^n = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_i \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{v}_i & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \mathbf{v}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_{i-1} & 2\mathbf{v}_i & \mathbf{v}_{i+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_n \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{v}_i & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that this implies

$$\det(S_i) = 2\mathbf{v}_i^n. \tag{53}$$

Recalling (44) and (51), we have

$$D_\theta[x_z^\theta(0, \bar{z})\mathbf{v}] = -T \cdot D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))D_\theta[D^2\psi^\theta(\bar{z})\mathbf{v}], \tag{54}$$

and (47) implies that the matrix $D_\theta[\Phi^{\psi^\theta}(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}, \theta)]$ contains the $(n+1) \times n$ submatrix

$$\tilde{S}_i \doteq -T \cdot \begin{bmatrix} D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))S_i \\ 0_{1 \times n} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

Furthermore, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} x_{zz}^\theta(0, z)(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) &= -TD^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(z))D^3\psi^\theta(z)(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\ &\quad -TD^3H(\nabla\psi^\theta(z))(D^2\psi^\theta(z)\mathbf{v} \otimes D^2\psi^\theta(z)\mathbf{v}), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} D\psi^\theta(z) = 3(z_i - \bar{z}_i)^2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_i, & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} D^2\psi^\theta(z)\mathbf{v} = 6(z_i - \bar{z}_i)\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} D^3\psi^\theta(z)(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = 6\mathbf{v}_i^2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_i, & \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} x_{zz}^\theta(\bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) = -6T\mathbf{v}_k^2 D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))\mathbf{e}_k,$$

and this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} ([D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \cdot x_{zz}^\theta(0, \bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})) \\ &= [D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} x_{zz}^\theta(\bar{z})(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \\ &= -6T\mathbf{v}_k^2 \cdot [D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))]^{-1}\mathbf{v} \cdot D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))\mathbf{e}_k \\ &= -6T\mathbf{v}_k^2 \cdot \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{e}_k = -6T\mathbf{v}_k^3. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

By the previous analysis we conclude that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the Jacobian matrix $D_\theta\Phi^{\psi^\theta}$ of partial derivatives w.r.t. θ_{ij}, θ_i contains $n + 1$ columns which form the $(n + 1) \times n$ submatrix

$$\Lambda_i \doteq [\tilde{S}_i, b_i] \quad \text{with} \quad b_i = (*, \dots, *, -6T\mathbf{v}_i^3)^T. \tag{57}$$

By (53) and (55), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\Lambda_i) &= -6T\mathbf{v}_i^3 \cdot \det(-T \cdot D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))S_i) \\ &= -12T\mathbf{v}_i^{n+3} \cdot \det(-T \cdot D^2H(\nabla\psi^\theta(\bar{z}))). \end{aligned}$$

By the strict convexity of H and since $\mathbf{v} \in S^{n-1}$, we have that $\text{rank}(\Lambda_i) = n + 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and this yields

$$\text{rank } D_\theta\Phi^{\psi^\theta}(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}, 0) = n + 1. \tag{58}$$

3. By continuity, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}}$ of (\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}) such that

$$\text{rank } D_\theta\Phi^{\psi^\theta}(\bar{z}', \mathbf{v}', 0) = n + 1 \quad \text{for all } (\bar{z}', \mathbf{v}') \in \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}}.$$

Covering the compact set $\bar{B}_k \times S^{n-1}$ with finitely many open neighborhoods $\mathcal{N}_\ell = \mathcal{N}_{\bar{z}^\ell, \mathbf{v}^\ell}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, N$, we consider the family of combined perturbations

$$\psi^\theta(z) = \psi(z) + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left\{ \eta(z - \bar{z}^\ell) \cdot \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \theta_{ij}^\ell (z_i - \bar{z}_i^\ell)(z_j - \bar{z}_j^\ell) + \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k^\ell (z_k - \bar{z}_k^\ell)^3 \right] \right\}. \tag{59}$$

By construction, the matrix of partial derivatives w.r.t. all combined variables $\theta = (\theta_{ij}^\ell, \theta_k^\ell)$ satisfies (58) at every point $(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}) \in \bar{B}_k \times S^{n-1}$.

Again by continuity, we still have

$$\text{rank } D_\theta\Phi^{\psi^\theta}(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}, \theta) = n + 1$$

for all $(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}, \theta) \in \bar{B}_k \times S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N(n^2+n)}$ with $|\theta| < \varepsilon$ sufficiently small. By the transversality theorem [11, Lemma II.4.6], this implies that, for a dense set of values θ , the smooth map Φ^{ψ^θ} at (47) is transversal to $\{\mathbf{0}\}$, restricted to the domain $(\bar{z}, \mathbf{v}) \in \bar{B}_k \times S^{n-1}$. We conclude that the set \mathcal{M}_k is dense and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.2. *In the same setting of Theorem 3.1, there exists a \mathcal{G}_δ subset $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the following property. For every $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$, the set $\Gamma_\psi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of all conjugate points has locally bounded $(n-2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.*

Proof. Call $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the projection on the first component, so that $\pi(z, \mathbf{v}) = z$. Then the set of all conjugate points satisfies the inclusion

$$\Gamma_\psi \subseteq \left\{ x(0, \pi(z, \mathbf{v})) \doteq z - T \cdot DH(\nabla\psi(z)); (z, \mathbf{v}) \in \Omega_\psi \right\}.$$

By Theorem 3.1, there exists a \mathcal{G}_δ set $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{C}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$, the set Ω_ψ is an embedded manifold of dimension $n-2$.

We now observe that the map $(z, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto x(0, \pi(z, \mathbf{v}))$ is Lipschitz continuous. Moreover, for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has

$$|z| \leq |x(0, z)| + LT, \quad \text{with} \quad L \doteq \max_{|p| \leq \|\nabla\psi\|_\infty} |DH(p)|,$$

and this implies

$$\Gamma_\psi \cap \bar{B}_r \subseteq \left\{ x(0, z); (z, \mathbf{v}) \in \Omega_\psi, z \in \bar{B}_{r+LT} \right\} \quad \text{for all } r > 0.$$

Since Ω_ψ is an embedded manifold, and the map $(z, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto x(0, z)$ is Lipschitz continuous, by the properties of Hausdorff measures [9] we conclude that the set Γ_ψ has locally bounded $(n-2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. \square

Remark 3.3. Using the original version of Sard's theorem [12], the smoothness assumption on L can be somewhat relaxed. Indeed, one can check that both Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 still hold for a uniformly convex Lagrangian function $L \in \mathcal{C}^{n+2}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bressan, *Lecture Notes on Functional Analysis, with Applications to Linear Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 143, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [2] A. Bressan and B. Piccoli, *Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Control*, AIMS Series in Applied Mathematics, Springfield Mo., 2007.
- [3] P. Cannarsa and H. Frankowska, [From pointwise to local regularity for solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations](#), *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **49** (2014), 1061-1074.
- [4] P. Cannarsa, A. Mennucci and C. Sinestrari, [Regularity results for solutions of a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations](#), *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **140** (1997), 197-223.
- [5] N. Caroff and H. Frankowska, [Conjugate points and shocks in nonlinear optimal control](#), *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **348** (1996), 3133-3153.
- [6] L. Cesari, *Optimization - Theory and Applications. Problems with Ordinary Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [7] F. Clarke, *Functional Analysis, Calculus of Variations and Optimal Control*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 264. Springer, London, 2013.
- [8] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd edition, Grad. Stud. Math., 19, AMS, Providence, R.I., 2010.
- [9] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, Stud. Adv. Math., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.

- [10] W. Fleming and R. Rishel, *Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [11] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable Mappings and their Singularities*, Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [12] A. Sard, [The measure of the critical values of differentiable maps](#), *Bull. American Math. Soc.*, **48** (1942), 883-890.
- [13] V. Zeidan and P. Zezza, [The conjugate point condition for smooth control sets](#), *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **132** (1988), 572-589.

Received for publication April 2024; early access September 2024.