

RECEIVED: August 21, 2022

REVISED: October 19, 2022

ACCEPTED: October 30, 2022

PUBLISHED: November 21, 2022

Linearized off-shell 4+7 supergeometry of 11D supergravity

Katrin Becker, Daniel Butter and Anindya Sengupta

*George P. and Cynthia Woods Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy,
Texas A&M University,
College Station, TX 77843, U.S.A.*

E-mail: kbecker@physics.tamu.edu, dbutter@tamu.edu,
anindya.sengupta@tamu.edu

ABSTRACT: We describe the linearized supergeometry of eleven dimensional supergravity with four off-shell local supersymmetries. We start with a background Minkowski 11D, $N=1$ superspace, and an additional ingredient of a global, constant, G_2 -structure which facilitates the definition of a $4|4+7$ background superspace. A bottom-up construction of linear fluctuations of the geometric constituents (such as supervielbein, spin connection, and the super 3-form of 11D supergravity) is given in terms of 4D, $N=1$ prepotential superfields. This is complemented by a top-down description of the linearized supergeometry of the $4|4+7$ superspace dealing directly with torsion, curvature, and Bianchi identities. Torsion constraints that (combined with the Bianchi identities) lead to the preceding prepotential expressions of the gauge fields are identified. All irreducible consequences of the torsion and 4-form Bianchi identities are systematically derived except for dimension 2 Bianchi identities of the 4-form, and dimension $\frac{5}{2}$ Bianchi identities of torsion, which set bosonic curls of components of one lower dimension to zero.

KEYWORDS: Space-Time Symmetries, Superspaces

ARXIV EPRINT: [2207.14327](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.14327)

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Linearized 4D $N = 1$ prepotentials	4
2.1	Prepotentials and their transformations	5
2.2	Partial invariants	7
2.3	$\Omega_{\underline{m}}$ transformations	9
3	Linearized supergeometry of $4 4 + 7$ superspace	9
3.1	Review: on-shell 11D supergravity in superspace	10
3.2	Linearizing around a background	12
3.3	Reduction to $4 4 + 7$ superspace	13
3.4	Components of the 4D supervielbein and spin connection	15
3.5	Extending the supervielbein	16
3.6	Extra gravitini	18
3.7	Extending the linearized spin connection	19
3.8	Evaluating the remaining torsion components	21
3.9	Components of the 3-form gauge field and its 4-form curvature	22
4	Solving the Bianchi identities	23
4.1	Restriction of linearized Bianchi identities to $4 4+7$	24
4.2	Constraints	26
4.3	Results	26
4.3.1	Dimension $\leq \frac{1}{2}$	27
4.3.2	Dimension 1	27
4.3.3	Dimension $\frac{3}{2}$	32
4.3.4	Dimension 2	36
4.3.5	Remaining Bianchi identities	43
5	Discussion and possible extensions	43
A	Γ matrices in 4, 7, and 11 dimensions	44
A.1	4D gamma matrices	45
A.2	7D gamma matrices	45
A.3	Explicit 11D gamma matrices	46
B	Engineering dimensions	46
C	Decomposing 11D spinor indices	47
D	Background torsion and curvature tensors	48

1 Introduction

When a supersymmetric system possesses an off-shell formulation, this can offer crucial insight into its structure. Such formulations incorporate, in addition to the usual physical bosonic and fermionic fields, new auxiliary fields that do not typically propagate but exist to close the supersymmetry algebra off-shell — that is, without imposing equations of motion. In the context of finding higher derivative corrections, this is especially useful because the problem of finding the supersymmetric action and the supersymmetry transformations are divorced: the transformations are fixed and only an invariant action must be sought.

For systems with four supercharges, such as the familiar 4D $N = 1$ supersymmetry, off-shell approaches are straightforward. Even for systems with eight supercharges (e.g. 4D $N = 2$), where the number of auxiliaries become infinite, techniques are available involving harmonic or projective superspace to tame this zoo. For 11D supergravity, the situation is quite different. While there exists an off-shell approach in pure spinor superspace [1, 2], it is even more technically challenging. Such a superspace has non-minimal pure spinor coordinates on which superfields have explicit dependence. It is not a trivial exercise to show that solutions to the equations of motion in this non-minimal pure spinor superspace correctly describe the usual superfields of eleven-dimensional supergravity that depend only on ordinary superspace coordinates $(x^{\hat{m}}, \theta^{\hat{\alpha}})$ (see, e.g. [3] where the connection to 11D supergravity was first directly shown). Meanwhile, other techniques to construct higher derivative terms — either via deformations of on-shell superspace [4] or by working directly at the component level [5] — have not been completely successful.

An alternative approach is to maintain only some fraction of the full supersymmetry by rewriting 11D supergravity in a lower dimensional superspace, specifically 4D $N = 1$ superspace, while keeping additional parametric dependence on the other seven bosonic coordinates, which are spectators from the point of view of $N = 1$ supersymmetry. We denote such a framework as a $4|4 + 7$ superspace for brevity; it is also convenient to think of the seven dimensional space as an “internal space” and the 4D $N = 1$ superspace as “external”, although we will neither truncate the theory nor expand on the internal space in harmonic functions.¹

In recent years, two of us, along with numerous collaborators, have been exploring just how this works. The key point of departure is the 3-form in 11D; it descends to an abelian tensor hierarchy in 4D language, and the structure of such a hierarchy in 4D $N = 1$ superspace is quite rigid [7, 8] and *just by itself* already correctly reproduces the internal sector of 11D supergravity [9]. A key missing feature is the additional gravitini supermultiplets, and these were taken into account in [10]. An action (to quadratic order in fields) was presented in [10] that consists of the linearization of a superspace volume term, and a Chern-Simons term for the gauged (by “internal” diffeomorphisms) tensor hierarchy coupled to the 4D supergravity and extra gravitino superfields. The full structure of the action is dictated by superconformal and gauge symmetries, a complete list of which was also provided. When projected to components, this action was explicitly shown to reproduce the full linearized action of 11D supergravity. The conformal graviton propagator, and

¹This approach is inspired by the early rewriting of 10D super Yang-Mills in 4D $N = 1$ superspace [6].

all other superspace Feynman rules needed for perturbative calculations can be deduced already at this level. Furthermore, a first step towards a non-linear completion was taken in [11] and the appropriate framework for this eventual completion was constructed in [12].

While this line of approach is promising, the resulting framework is cumbersome. Operationally, this is due to the additional gravitino superfields, which are encoded in curvature superfields of vanishing mass dimension. This fact means non-polynomial functions of this superfield play a role when constructing invariant superspace actions. Even more, the non-manifest supersymmetry is joined with a large number of other local symmetries. The upshot is that a larger number of component fields exist than one would normally expect, and the extra ones (aside from the auxiliary fields) turn out to be pure gauge degrees of freedom, set to zero by a Wess-Zumino condition or otherwise eaten by a gauge field. The framework is also more abstractly cumbersome because no trace of the higher Lorentz group remains; internal indices are purely world (curved) indices carried by various p -forms and even the internal metric is entirely encoded in a 3-form identifiable as a G_2 structure. While all of this leads to extremely natural $N = 1$ multiplets fitting together into two elegant hierarchies, when taken together it deeply obfuscates the connection to the original 11D theory.

An alternative approach is to build on our earlier linearized work [10], maintaining (at least some of) the additional Lorentz symmetry and identifiable elements of the 11D theory. Indeed, this is the path we recently followed in [13], where employing the $N = 1$ superfield constituents uncovered in [10], we identified the linearized components of 11D supergravity along with the auxiliary fields implied by $N = 1$ supersymmetry. We worked purely at the component level, putting the various components of the $N = 1$ superfields together into fields with the right transformation rules, until we recovered (on-shell) the known linearized transformations of 11D supergravity. The goal of the present paper is to explore this from a complementary perspective: that of a $4|4 + 7$ superspace for which those component fields are the natural geometric constituents.

We emphasize that although we do not give an explicit action in this paper, the off-shell linearized geometry we are discussing should be thought of as the geometry underlying the off-shell action in [10]. Put another way, that action, written in terms of prepotentials is not intrinsically geometric (aside from the Chern-Simons term). When taken to components, it can be reorganized in a way that reflects the underlying 11D geometry, as shown in [13]. What we accomplish here is the superspace analogue: what is the off-shell linearized superspace geometry for which the action in terms of prepotentials is that of [10].

Before delving more into that, we should remark on a surprising feature of the superspace we will be discussing (and the corresponding component theory given in [13]): it involves the full 11D Lorentz group $SO(10, 1)$. At first blush, in a Kaluza-Klein-like $4 + 7$ reformulation, one would expect the full Lorentz group to be broken to $SO(3, 1) \times SO(7)$, say after fixing an upper triangular gauge for the vielbein. Moreover, since we are keeping only $1/8$ of the supersymmetry, one might further expect that the $SO(7)$ should be broken to G_2 , the subgroup respecting the selection of an $N = 1$ subsector of the natural $N = 8$ supersymmetry expected in 4D. The crux of the matter is that we are discussing a linearized supergeometry and must distinguish between background Lorentz transformations (which are manifestly broken when the background becomes rigid) and ones associated with the

linearized fluctuation, which retain their 11D character. Naturally, this means that the formulation we are presenting is rather special to the linearized setting. Undoubtedly in trying to construct a non-linear version, we would need to specialize to an $\text{SO}(3,1) \times G_2$ Lorentz group, and this would presumably arise as some sort of gauge-fixing of the supergeometry discussed in [12]. We leave that question for future work.

The body of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give a review of the prepotential superfields that encode dynamical fields of 11D supergravity along with auxiliary fields required for off-shell closure of four supersymmetries. We also construct partial invariants under the linearized transformations of these prepotentials. Section 3 gives an explicit, “bottom up”, construction of the $4|4+7$ superspace. There are two key steps in this construction — linearization around a background, and reduction to $4|4+7$ superspace — both of which are explained in detail. The $4|4+7$ superspace is equipped with a frame, a spin connection, a super three form gauge field and its associated field strength, and seven extra gravitini. Components of all these constituents are defined in terms of the partial invariants introduced in section 2. At this point, we change our perspective to ask the following supergeometric questions. Any generic superspace equipped with the same geometric ingredients as above is constrained to satisfy Bianchi identities. What additional data should be specified so that it is further constrained to match the superspace we built explicitly? In other words, what additional torsion constraints should be imposed so that a solution of the resulting Bianchi identities in terms of unconstrained prepotentials is given by our construction in section 3? These questions are addressed in section 4. We go all the way in analyzing the Bianchi identities to show which torsion or curvature components are determined fully in terms of lower dimensional components, and which components do not get constrained by Bianchi identities at all. We systematically derive derivative relations between these various torsion and curvature components as well. In the final discussion section, we summarize what we have accomplished and discuss possible elaborations, including the connection to exceptional field theory.

2 Linearized 4D $N = 1$ prepotentials

The spectrum of 11D supergravity consists of a frame field $e_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{a}}$, a 32-component gravitino $\psi_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{\alpha}}$, and a 3-form gauge field $C_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$. The fields provide a realization of the 11D $N = 1$ supersymmetry algebra provided they are on-shell. The superspace formulation of this theory has also been long known [14, 15], which suffers from the same on-shell problem — the combination of torsion constraints and superspace Bianchi identities imply equations of motion. A brief review of this on-shell $11|32$ superspace will be given in subsection 3.1. We summarize the various index types we employ in table 1.

In a series of recent papers [7–11], a formulation of linearized 11D supergravity with four off-shell supersymmetries was presented. In this approach, fields are linear fluctuations around a rigid Minkowski background $\mathbb{R}^{11|32}$. On the bosonic manifold, a global, constant 3-form φ is chosen which, in some bosonic Cartesian coordinates $(x^m, y^{\underline{m}})$, takes the form

$$\varphi = -(e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} - e^{356}), \quad (2.1)$$

index	range	description
\hat{m}, \hat{n}, \dots	$0, \dots, 10$	11D coordinate
\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \dots	$0, \dots, 10$	11D tangent
$\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \dots$	$1, \dots, 32$	11D spinor
m, n, \dots	$0, 1, 2, 3$	4D coordinate
a, b, \dots	$0, 1, 2, 3$	4D tangent
$\alpha, \beta, \dots, \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}, \dots$	$1, 2$	4D spinor
$\underline{m}, \underline{n}, \dots$	$1, \dots, 7$	7-component label
\hat{M}, \hat{N}, \dots	$(\hat{m}, \hat{\mu})$	11 32 coordinate
\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \dots	$(\hat{a}, \hat{\alpha})$	11 32 tangent
$\underline{\alpha}$	$(\alpha, \dot{\alpha})$	compound notation à la [16]
A	$(a, \underline{\alpha})$	4 4 tangent

Table 1. Legend of indices. Indices of various **7**-dimensional representations (GL(7) coordinate, SO(7) tangent, G_2 representation, and label for seven extra gravitini) have all been identified to avoid proliferation of notation.

where $e^{\underline{mnp}} := dy^{\underline{m}} \wedge dy^{\underline{n}} \wedge dy^{\underline{p}}$. The submanifold obtained by setting $x^m = 0$ then define the “internal” 7-manifold $Y = \mathbb{R}^7$ with coordinates $(y^{\underline{m}})$, and the remaining 4 dimensions are external. φ satisfies the properties of being a G_2 structure, and hence reduces the structure group of Y from GL(7) to G_2 . Using the real commuting spinor (C.2) associated with the G_2 structure (more details on how this works later), one can naturally identify 4 special Grassmann coordinates out of the 32 in $\mathbb{R}^{11|32}$, which combine with the external bosonic coordinates to give a 4D, $N = 1$ superspace. Component fields of 11D supergravity are then embedded in “prepotential” superfield representations of the 4D, $N = 1$ superconformal algebra. In this section we sketch a lightning review of these prepotentials and their transformations.

2.1 Prepotentials and their transformations

Under the decomposition of 11D spacetime coordinates into four external coordinates x^m , and seven internal coordinates $y^{\underline{m}}$, the components of the 3-form break up into an abelian tensor hierarchy of forms in external spacetime of degrees 0 through 3:

$$C_3 \rightarrow C_{\underline{mnp}}, C_{m\underline{np}}, C_{mn\underline{p}}, C_{mnp} \quad (2.2)$$

It is known [7] how to embed these bosonic p -forms into 4D $N = 1$ superfields. One needs a chiral superfield $\Phi_{\underline{mnp}}$, a real vector superfield $V_{\underline{mn}}$, a chiral spinor superfield $\Sigma_{\alpha\underline{m}}$, and a real superfield X . Their abelian gauge transformation is derived by decomposing the

2-form abelian gauge transformations of C_3 in 11D:

$$\delta\Phi_{\underline{mnp}} = 3\partial_{[\underline{m}}\Lambda_{\underline{n}p]}, \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\delta V_{\underline{mn}} = \frac{1}{2i} \left(\Lambda_{\underline{mn}} - \bar{\Lambda}_{\underline{mn}} \right) - 2\partial_{[\underline{m}}U_{\underline{n}]}, \quad (2.3b)$$

$$\delta\Sigma_{\alpha\underline{m}} = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha U_{\underline{m}} + \partial_{\underline{m}}\Upsilon_\alpha, \quad (2.3c)$$

$$\delta X = \frac{1}{2i} \left(D^\alpha \Upsilon_\alpha - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Upsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right), \quad (2.3d)$$

where the gauge parameters are a chiral superfield $\Lambda_{\underline{mn}}$, a real superfield $U_{\underline{m}}$, and a chiral spinor superfield Υ_α . Moreover, internal diffeomorphisms appear as a non-abelian gauge symmetry from a 4D perspective, the gauge field being the Kaluza-Klein vector of the 11D vielbein. The abelian tensor hierarchy, when gauged by internal diffeomorphisms in this way, is called a non-abelian tensor hierarchy. The Kaluza-Klein vector is embedded in the prepotential $\mathcal{V}^{\underline{m}}$, a real vector superfield. The only prepotentials that transform under linearized non-abelian gauge transformations are $V_{\underline{mn}}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\underline{m}}$:

$$\delta\mathcal{V}^{\underline{m}} = \lambda^{\underline{m}} + \bar{\lambda}^{\underline{m}}, \quad \delta V_{\underline{mn}} = -i\varphi_{\underline{mnp}} \left(\lambda^{\underline{p}} - \bar{\lambda}^{\underline{p}} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where the non-abelian gauge parameter $\lambda^{\underline{m}}$ is chiral, $\bar{\lambda}^{\underline{m}}$ is antichiral, and $\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}$ is the G_2 structure on the background Minkowski superspace $\mathbb{R}^{4|4} \times \mathbb{R}^7$. One can construct field strength superfields invariant under transformations² (2.3) and (2.4), and from them construct the Chern-Simons action. The Chern-Simons action, at the component level, contains kinetic terms for the 4D vector fields, but not those of the scalars and 2-forms. For that, one needs to add a Kähler-type term.

This embedding of spin ≤ 1 component fields of 11D supergravity has a surfeit of component fields. In addition to the 11D components with spin ≤ 1 , there are 16 extra scalars, and 15 extra fermions. Moreover, the purely external graviton and spin $\frac{3}{2}$ part of the gravitino are still missing. The 4D $N = 1$ gravitino and graviton belong together in a real superfield $H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} H_a$. This is the linearized prepotential of 4D $N = 1$ conformal supergravity, transforming under linearized local superconformal transformation as

$$\delta H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D_\alpha \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} L_\alpha, \quad (2.5)$$

where the gauge parameter L_α is unconstrained. The original 11D $N = 1$ gravitino has 32 components, and $H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ encodes four of them. For the remaining 28, we have seven additional gravitini which are embedded in spinor superfields $\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$. These are called “matter” gravitini. The basic matter gravitino model subjects the prepotentials to gauge transformations [17]

$$\delta\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha} = \Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha} + D_\alpha \Omega_{\underline{m}} \quad (2.6)$$

²One can fully non-linearize the non-abelian transformations by modifying the 4D $N = 1$ derivatives to include a Kaluza-Klein connection, both when the 4D superspace is curved (but y -independent) [11] and in the fully general case [12]. Field strengths and Chern-Simons action can be constructed in both scenarios.

where $\Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ is chiral, and $\Omega_{\underline{m}}$ is an unconstrained complex superfield. These transformations contain the non-manifest supersymmetry. With the introduction of $H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$, all 11D supergravity component fields have been embedded into 4D $N = 1$ prepotentials. The only problem remaining is that these prepotentials have more components in them than just the physical fields in 11D supergravity plus auxiliary fields necessary for four off-shell supersymmetries. These extra fields are accompanied by additional local gauge symmetries (lying within $\Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ and $\Omega_{\underline{m}}$) that can remove them. The prepotentials of the tensor hierarchy turn out to transform under these additional transformations as

$$\delta\Phi_{\underline{mnp}} = -\frac{i}{2}\psi_{\underline{mnpq}}\bar{D}^2\bar{\Omega}^q \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\delta V_{\underline{mn}} = \frac{1}{2i}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}\left(\Omega^p - \bar{\Omega}^p\right) \quad (2.7b)$$

$$\delta\Sigma_{\alpha\bar{m}} = -\Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha} \quad (2.7c)$$

$$\delta X = D^\alpha L_\alpha + \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{L}^{\dot{\alpha}} \quad (2.7d)$$

$$\delta\mathcal{V}_{\underline{m}} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_{\underline{m}} + \bar{\Omega}_{\underline{m}}\right) \quad (2.7e)$$

and the matter gravitini are given local superconformal transformations with parameters L_α :

$$\delta\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha} = 2i\partial_{\underline{m}}L_\alpha. \quad (2.8)$$

For instance, the superfield $G = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2X$ plays the role of chiral compensator in 4D $N = 1$ conformal supergravity.

The prepotentials introduced so far can be used to construct superfields which have as the leading terms in their θ -expansion the physical 11D gauge connections (i.e. the frame, 3-form, and gravitini), and auxiliary fields added to the spectrum to achieve 4D $N = 1$ off-shell supersymmetry. This explicit construction was performed in [13], and will also be reviewed in sections 3.4 to 3.9. Counting the degrees of freedom encoded in the prepotentials, one finds that the real superdimension of the auxiliary field space adds up to 201|56, rendering the total spectrum 376|376 dimensional.

2.2 Partial invariants

The explicit construction of [13] was given at the component level. Our goal in this article is to describe the supergeometry of the 4|4 + 7 superspace, whose underlying prepotential structure and component field content is precisely that of [13]. An obvious problem to overcome is that the local gauge symmetries underlying our prepotentials are significantly larger than those of 11D supergravity. As a prelude towards building that supergeometry, we will introduce a number of building blocks by sequentially eliminating gauge symmetries by trading prepotentials for composite curvatures. Once these basic ingredients are determined we will turn to the construction of the supergeometry in section 3.

Invariants of the abelian tensor hierarchy. We start by trading prepotentials of the abelian tensor hierarchy for their curvatures:

$$E_{\underline{mnpq}} := 4\partial_{[\underline{m}}\Phi_{\underline{npq}]} \quad (2.9a)$$

$$F_{\underline{mnp}} := \frac{1}{2i} \left(\Phi_{\underline{mnp}} - \bar{\Phi}_{\underline{mnp}} \right) - 3\partial_{[\underline{m}}V_{\underline{np}]} \quad (2.9b)$$

$$W_{\underline{mn}\alpha} := -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 D_\alpha V_{\underline{mn}} + 2\partial_{[\underline{m}}\Sigma_{|\alpha|\underline{n}]} \quad (2.9c)$$

$$H_{\underline{m}} := \frac{1}{2i} \left(D^\alpha \Sigma_{\alpha\underline{m}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\underline{m}} \right) - \partial_{\underline{m}} X \quad (2.9d)$$

$$G := -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 X \quad (2.9e)$$

These superfields are invariant under the abelian tensor hierarchy transformations (2.3).

L_α invariants. Now let us introduce superfields that are L_α invariant. Since only $H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, $\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$, and X (and thus G and $H_{\underline{m}}$) transform at the linearized level, we trade these for the following curvatures:

$$W_{\gamma\beta\alpha} := \frac{i}{16} \bar{D}^2 \partial_{(\gamma} \dot{\gamma} D_{\beta} H_{\alpha)\dot{\gamma}} \quad (2.10a)$$

$$R := -\frac{1}{24} \bar{D}^2 \bar{G} + \frac{i}{24} \bar{D}^2 \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \quad (2.10b)$$

$$G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} := -\frac{i}{6} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} (G - \bar{G}) + \left[\frac{1}{2} \square - \frac{1}{32} \{D^2, \bar{D}^2\} \right] H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \left[\frac{1}{4} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial^{\beta\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{12} \Delta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Delta^{\beta\dot{\beta}} \right] H_{\dot{\beta}\beta} \quad (2.10c)$$

$$X_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} := \frac{1}{2i} \left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha} + D_\alpha \bar{\Psi}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} \right) + \partial_{\underline{m}} H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \quad (2.10d)$$

$$\Psi_{\underline{mn}\alpha} := 2\partial_{[\underline{m}} \Psi_{\underline{n}]\alpha} \quad (2.10e)$$

$$\hat{H}_{\underline{m}} := H_{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{2i} \left(D^\alpha \Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Psi}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \quad (2.10f)$$

where $\Delta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} := -\frac{1}{2}[D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]$. The first three above are familiar from conventional $N = 1$ superspace [16], while the last three covariantize $H_{\underline{m}}$ and $\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$.

$\Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ invariants. The only prepotentials suffering $\Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ transformations are $\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ and $\Sigma_{\alpha\underline{m}}$. So we investigate $W_{\underline{mn}\alpha}$, $X_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, $\Psi_{\underline{mn}\alpha}$, and $\hat{H}_{\underline{m}}$. We observe that $\hat{H}_{\underline{m}}$, $X_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ are already $\Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ -invariant, and we can easily trade $W_{\underline{mn}\alpha}$ and $\Psi_{\underline{mn}\alpha}$ for their invariant sum,

$$\hat{W}_{\underline{mn}\alpha} := W_{\underline{mn}\alpha} + \Psi_{\underline{mn}\alpha} . \quad (2.11)$$

$\lambda_{\underline{m}}$ invariants. Prepotentials transforming under internal diffeomorphisms are $\mathcal{V}_{\underline{m}}$ and $V_{\underline{mn}}$. The Kaluza-Klein field strength

$$\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\underline{m}} := -\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 D_\alpha \mathcal{V}_{\underline{m}} \quad (2.12)$$

is invariant under $\lambda_{\underline{m}}$ (as well as L_α and $\Xi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$). Similarly, $E_{\underline{mnp}}$ and $\hat{W}_{\underline{mn}\alpha}$ are $\lambda_{\underline{m}}$ -invariant. $F_{\underline{mnp}}$ transforms, but its spinor derivative can be made $\lambda_{\underline{m}}$ invariant by defining

$$F_{\alpha\underline{mnp}} := D_\alpha F_{\underline{mnp}} - 3i\varphi_{q[\underline{mn}} \partial_{\underline{p}]} D_\alpha \mathcal{V}^q \quad (2.13)$$

Its complex conjugate is denoted by $F_{\dot{\alpha}\underline{mnp}}$.

2.3 $\Omega_{\underline{m}}$ transformations

The only transformation left is $\Omega_{\underline{m}}$, which contains the extended supersymmetry. The partial invariants that we have defined each transform under this symmetry as

$$\delta_{\Omega} X_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2i} \left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} D_{\alpha} \Omega_{\underline{m}} + D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Omega}_{\underline{m}} \right) \quad (2.14a)$$

$$\delta_{\Omega} \hat{H}_{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{2i} \left(D^2 \Omega_{\underline{m}} - \bar{D}^2 \bar{\Omega}_{\underline{m}} \right) \quad (2.14b)$$

$$\delta_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha\bar{m}} = \frac{1}{8} \bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} \left(\Omega_{\underline{m}} + \bar{\Omega}_{\underline{m}} \right) \quad (2.14c)$$

$$\delta_{\Omega} \hat{W}_{\underline{m}\alpha} = \frac{i}{8} \varphi_{\underline{m}\alpha\bar{p}} \bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} \left(\Omega^{\bar{p}} - \bar{\Omega}^{\bar{p}} \right) + 2\partial_{[\underline{m}} D_{|\alpha|} \Omega_{\underline{n}]} \quad (2.14d)$$

$$\delta_{\Omega} F_{\alpha\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}} = -\frac{1}{4} \psi_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}q} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}^2 \bar{\Omega}^q + 3i\varphi_{q[\bar{m}\bar{n}} \partial_{\bar{p}]} D_{\alpha} \Omega^q \quad (2.14e)$$

$$\delta_{\Omega} E_{\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}\bar{q}} = 2i\psi_{[\bar{m}\bar{n}\bar{p}|\bar{r}]} \partial_{\bar{q}]} \bar{D}^2 \bar{\Omega}^{\bar{r}} \quad (2.14f)$$

The conventional $N = 1$ superfields $W_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$, R , and $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ are $\Omega_{\underline{m}}$ invariant. These will serve as the building blocks in subsequent sections.

As a starting point, we note that the first $\Omega_{\underline{m}}$ -invariant that we can build out of the fields in (2.14) lies at dimension $\frac{1}{2}$:

$$\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} = \varphi_{\underline{m}}^{\bar{n}\bar{p}} \hat{W}_{\alpha\bar{n}\bar{p}} - \frac{i}{6} \psi_{\underline{m}}^{\bar{n}\bar{p}q} F_{\alpha\bar{n}\bar{p}q} + D_{\alpha} \hat{H}_{\underline{m}} + 2i\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\bar{m}} - 2\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} X_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (2.15)$$

This is proportional to the equation of motion of the matter gravitino superfield $\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ and matches the dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ auxiliary field identified in [13].

3 Linearized supergeometry of 4|4 + 7 superspace

In this section, we will describe how a linearized 4|4 + 7 superspace is constructed out of the prepotential constituents given in the previous section.

The fermionic coordinates of 11D $N = 1$ superspace are a 32-component Majorana fermion. The 11|32 superspace coordinates are combined in $z^{\hat{M}} = (x^{\hat{m}}, \theta^{\hat{\mu}})$, where $x^{\hat{m}}$ are 11 bosonic coordinates, and $\theta^{\hat{\mu}}$ are 32 fermionic ones. We introduce a vielbein $\hat{E}_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{A}}$, the corresponding frame $\hat{E}^{\hat{A}} = dz^{\hat{M}} \hat{E}_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{A}}$, and a spin connection one form $\hat{\Omega}$ valued in $\text{SO}(10, 1)$. The torsion is the covariant derivative of the vielbein

$$\hat{T}^{\hat{A}} = \mathcal{D}\hat{E}^{\hat{A}} = d\hat{E}^{\hat{A}} + \hat{E}^{\hat{B}} \wedge \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{E}^{\hat{C}} \wedge \hat{E}^{\hat{B}} \hat{T}_{\hat{B}\hat{C}}^{\hat{A}}, \quad (3.1)$$

and the curvature is defined in terms of the spin connection $\hat{\Omega}$

$$\hat{R}_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}} = d\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}} + \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{C}} \wedge \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{C}}^{\hat{B}}. \quad (3.2)$$

The torsion and the Riemann tensors satisfy the Bianchi identities

$$\mathcal{D}\hat{T}^{\hat{A}} = \hat{E}^{\hat{B}} \wedge \hat{R}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}, \quad \mathcal{D}\hat{R}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

For convenience, we quote the components of these tensors

$$\hat{T}_{\hat{N}\hat{M}}^{\hat{A}} = 2\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{[\hat{N}}\hat{E}_{\hat{M}]}^{\hat{A}} = 2\partial_{[\hat{N}}\hat{E}_{\hat{M}]}^{\hat{A}} - 2\hat{E}_{[\hat{N}}^{\hat{B}}\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{M}]\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}(-)^{mb}, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\hat{R}_{\hat{N}\hat{M}\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}} = 2\partial_{[\hat{N}}\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{M}]\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}} - 2\hat{\Omega}_{[\hat{N}|\hat{A}}^{\hat{C}}\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{M}]\hat{C}}^{\hat{B}}, \quad (3.4b)$$

and their Bianchi identities

$$\mathcal{D}_{[\hat{D}}\hat{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}} + \hat{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{F}}\hat{T}_{\hat{F}|\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}} = \hat{R}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}}, \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{[\hat{E}}\hat{R}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}} + \hat{T}_{[\hat{E}\hat{D}}^{\hat{F}}\hat{R}_{\hat{F}|\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}} = 0. \quad (3.5b)$$

We suppress gradings above, and in all following component expressions. The spin connection and Riemann tensor are both $\text{SO}(10,1)$ valued, so that they obey

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} = -\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{b}\hat{a}}, \quad \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4}\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}})_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}}, \quad (3.6)$$

with other components vanishing (similarly for $\hat{R}_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}}$). The spectrum of 11D supergravity also contains a 3-form, so we introduce one in superspace,

$$\hat{C}_3 = \frac{1}{3!}dz^{\hat{M}} \wedge dz^{\hat{N}} \wedge dz^{\hat{P}} \hat{C}_{\hat{P}\hat{N}\hat{M}}. \quad (3.7)$$

The associated 4-form field strength $\hat{G}_4 = d\hat{C}_3$ satisfies the Bianchi identity

$$d\hat{G}_4 = 0 = \frac{1}{4!}\mathcal{D}_{[\hat{E}}\hat{G}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}]} + \frac{1}{3!2!}\hat{T}_{[\hat{E}\hat{D}}^{\hat{F}}\hat{G}_{\hat{F}|\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}]} . \quad (3.8)$$

3.1 Review: on-shell 11D supergravity in superspace

The Lagrangian of 11D supergravity is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{e}^{-1}\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathcal{R}} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{\alpha}}(\Gamma^{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}})_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}\mathcal{D}_{\hat{n}}\hat{\psi}_{\hat{p}}^{\hat{\beta}} - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 4!}\hat{G}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}\hat{q}}\hat{G}^{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}\hat{q}} \\ & - \frac{1}{12}\varepsilon^{\hat{m}_1\cdots\hat{m}_{11}}\hat{C}_{\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_2\hat{m}_3}\hat{G}_{\hat{m}_4\cdots\hat{m}_7}\hat{G}_{\hat{m}_8\cdots\hat{m}_{11}} + \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we have omitted higher order fermionic terms. An 11D superspace is said to be on-shell if its component projection satisfies equations of motion derived from the above Lagrangian. It is called off-shell otherwise.

We give a quick review of the on-shell 11|32 superspace, initially constructed in [14, 15], in our notations and conventions. In the process, we point out why this superspace is necessarily on-shell, and motivate our construction of a partially off-shell, albeit linearized around a background, 4|4 + 7 superspace.

Suppose we augment the superspace data (following [14, 15]) by the constraints for \hat{G}_4

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}} = 0 = \hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}} = \hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{\delta}}, \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}} = 2(\hat{\Gamma}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}})_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}}, \quad (3.10b)$$

and the constraints for the torsion

$$\hat{T}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{a}} = 2(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{a}})_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}, \quad \hat{T}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{a}} = 0 = \hat{T}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}}, \quad (3.11a)$$

$$\hat{T}_{\hat{c}\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}} = 0. \quad (3.11b)$$

The \hat{G}_4 Bianchi identities then determine the following non-zero components of the torsion:

$$\hat{T}_{\hat{a}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\gamma}} = -\frac{1}{36}\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}}(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}})_{\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{288}(\hat{\Gamma}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}\hat{e}})_{\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\gamma}}\hat{G}^{\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}\hat{e}}, \quad (3.12a)$$

$$\hat{T}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}^{\hat{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{84}(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{c}\hat{d}})^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\beta}}\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}}. \quad (3.12b)$$

From (3.12b), it follows that the gravitino field strength $\hat{T}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}^{\hat{\alpha}}$ satisfies the Rarita-Schwinger equation of motion

$$(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}})_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}}\hat{T}_{\hat{b}\hat{c},\hat{\beta}} = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

One then imposes the torsion Bianchi identities to find that the equations of motion for the vielbein, and the 4-form field strength are also satisfied. This establishes that the superspace of refs. [14, 15] is on-shell.

At the component level, this has the following consequence. If we normalize the 11D gravitino conventionally as $\hat{\psi}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{\alpha}} = 2\hat{E}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{\alpha}}|_{\theta=0}$, then the 11D SUSY transformations of the component fields can be written

$$\delta\hat{e}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{a}} = -\varepsilon^{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{a}})_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}\Psi_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{\beta}}, \quad (3.14a)$$

$$\delta\hat{\psi}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{\alpha}} = 2\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\hat{m}}\varepsilon^{\hat{\alpha}} + 2\hat{e}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{a}}\varepsilon^{\hat{\beta}}\left(\frac{1}{36}\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}}(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}})_{\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{288}(\hat{\Gamma}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}\hat{e}})_{\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}}\hat{G}^{\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}\hat{e}}\right), \quad (3.14b)$$

$$\delta\hat{C}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}} = -3\varepsilon^{\hat{\alpha}}(\hat{\Gamma}_{[\hat{m}\hat{n}])_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}}\hat{\Psi}_{\hat{p}}^{\hat{\beta}}, \quad (3.14c)$$

and these supersymmetry transformations close only up to the equations of motion of 11D supergravity.

The root cause of the on-shell nature of the superspace lies in the choice of constraints (3.10) and (3.11). One may be able to throw the superspace off-shell by cleverly relaxing these. In this scenario, auxiliary fields would be present in the spectrum, playing their usual important role in off-shell closure of the SUSY algebra, and superspace Bianchi identities would not imply field equations. However, the new set of constraints must also have the property that we get back the on-shell theory upon imposing field equations. So far it has not been possible to achieve this in the fully non-linear setting. We present a partial solution to the problem by constructing a linearized superspace which is also truncated, resulting in partially off-shell SUSY. In the next two sections, we sequentially describe these two steps — linearization around a background and restriction to a subspace that keeps only 4 fermionic coordinates and throws away the other 28.

3.2 Linearizing around a background

We expand to linear order about a background superspace which satisfies the superspace Bianchi identities. All background quantities are denoted by placing a circle \circ on top, and linear fluctuations are denoted by bold letters. We will compute components of the torsion and curvature (and associated Bianchi identities), and four-form (and associated Bianchi identity) in terms of the linear fluctuations. Our choice of background is the flat 11|32 superspace. In Minkowski coordinate system, we can choose the background superframe (up to rigid Lorentz transformations)

$$\mathring{E}^{\hat{a}} = dx^{\hat{a}} - \theta^{\hat{\alpha}}(\Gamma^{\hat{a}})_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} d\theta^{\hat{\beta}}, \quad \mathring{E}^{\hat{\alpha}} = d\theta^{\hat{\alpha}}. \quad (3.15)$$

The linearized supervielbein is given by

$$\hat{E}_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{A}} = \mathring{E}_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{A}} + \mathring{E}_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{B}} \mathbf{H}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}$ is the linearized fluctuation. The spin connection has no background value, so $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{M}\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\hat{M}\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}}$.

We will shortly give an explicit construction of the linear fluctuations of the supervielbein (restricted to 4|4 + 7 superspace) in terms of the prepotential constituents introduced in section 2. A guiding principle in this construction is the transformation properties of these objects under diffeomorphisms and local Lorentz transformations. Linearizing the diffeomorphism parameter, we take $\hat{\xi}^{\hat{M}} = \mathring{\xi}^{\hat{M}} + \mathbf{\xi}^{\hat{M}}$. The indices can be flattened with the background vielbein. We ignore background diffeomorphisms $\mathring{\xi}^{\hat{M}}$, as these will reduce to the isometries that preserve (3.15). Consequently, the linearized transformation rules of \mathbf{H} and $\mathbf{\Omega}$ are

$$\delta \mathbf{H}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = -\mathbf{L}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} + D_{\hat{B}} \mathbf{\xi}^{\hat{A}} + \mathbf{\xi}^{\hat{C}} \mathring{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}, \quad \delta \mathbf{\Omega}_{\hat{M}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = \partial_{\hat{M}} \mathbf{L}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Here, $\mathbf{L}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}$ are Lorentz parameters.

Next, we denote by $\mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}$ the linearized fluctuations of the tangent space components of torsion, i.e.

$$\hat{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = \mathring{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} + \mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}. \quad (3.18)$$

From the definition of torsion, it follows that

$$\mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = 2 \hat{D}_{[\hat{C}} \mathbf{H}_{\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}} + 2 \mathbf{\Omega}_{[\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}} + \mathring{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{D}} \mathbf{H}_{\hat{D}}^{\hat{A}} - 2 \mathbf{H}_{[\hat{C}}^{\hat{D}} \mathring{T}_{|\hat{D}|\hat{B}]}^{\hat{A}}, \quad (3.19)$$

which is invariant under the linearized transformations (3.17). The linearized curvature tensor is

$$\mathbf{R}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = d\mathbf{\Omega}_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} \quad (3.20)$$

which in components becomes

$$\mathbf{R}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} = 2 D_{[\hat{D}} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\hat{C}]\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}} + \mathring{T}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{F}} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\hat{F}\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}. \quad (3.21)$$

The linearized torsion and curvature Bianchi identities read

$$\hat{D}_{[\hat{D}} \mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}]^{\hat{A}} + \hat{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{F}} \mathbf{T}_{\hat{F}|\hat{B}}]^{\hat{A}} + \mathbf{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{F}} \hat{T}_{\hat{F}|\hat{B}}]^{\hat{A}} = \mathbf{R}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}}]^{\hat{A}}, \quad \hat{D}_{[\hat{E}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}}]^{\hat{A}}_{\hat{B}} = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

We will also need similar results for the linearized 3-form and its 4-form field strength. For the background 4-form, we take

$$\mathring{G}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{c}\hat{d}} = 2(\Gamma_{\hat{c}\hat{d}})_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}, \quad (3.23)$$

and all other components of \mathring{G} are set to zero. We denote by \mathbf{C} the linearized fluctuation of cotangent space components of the 3-form, i.e. $\hat{C} = \mathring{C} + \mathbf{C}$. We expand this fluctuation in the background frames,

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{3!} \mathring{E}^{\hat{A}} \wedge \mathring{E}^{\hat{B}} \wedge \mathring{E}^{\hat{C}} \mathbf{C}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}} \quad (3.24)$$

The linearized fluctuation to the cotangent space components of G_4 is denoted by $\mathbf{G}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}}$, so that

$$\mathbf{G}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}} = 4D_{[\hat{D}} \mathbf{C}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}}] + 6\hat{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{F}} \mathbf{C}_{\hat{F}|\hat{B}\hat{A}}] - 4\mathbf{H}_{[\hat{D}}^{\hat{F}} \mathring{G}_{\hat{F}|\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}}] . \quad (3.25)$$

This is invariant under the linearized diffeomorphisms and gauge transformations

$$\delta \mathbf{C}_{\hat{M}\hat{N}\hat{P}} = 3\partial_{[\hat{M}} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\hat{N}\hat{P}}] + \mathbf{\xi}^{\hat{R}} \mathring{G}_{\hat{R}\hat{M}\hat{N}\hat{P}} . \quad (3.26)$$

The Bianchi identity that \mathbf{G} obeys can be shown to be

$$\frac{1}{4!} D_{[\hat{E}} \mathbf{G}_{\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}}] + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{3!} \hat{T}_{[\hat{E}\hat{D}}^{\hat{F}} \mathbf{G}_{\hat{F}|\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}}] + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{3!} \mathbf{T}_{[\hat{E}\hat{D}}^{\hat{F}} \mathring{G}_{\hat{F}|\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}}] = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

The fact that the background solves the superspace Bianchi identities can be explicitly checked. The only non-trivial check is the G_4 Bianchi identity involving $\mathring{G}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{c}\hat{d}}$, equivalent to

$$(\hat{\Gamma}_{\hat{a}})_{(\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} (\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}})_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{d}}) = 0, \quad (3.28)$$

which is the fundamental 11D gamma matrix identity.

3.3 Reduction to 4|4 + 7 superspace

Having described linearization around a background, we now move on to the second key step — reduction to a 4|4 + 7 superspace. Choosing a global 3-form (2.1) splits the bosonic background into a 4 dimensional “external” space \mathbb{R}^4 and a 7 dimensional “internal” space \mathbb{R}^7 . We can use this structure to pick out four special fermionic directions in the following manner. The background now has a reduced structure group $\text{SO}(3,1) \times G_2$. A spinor $\psi^{\hat{\alpha}}$ of $\text{SO}(10,1)$ decomposes under a reduction to $\text{SO}(3,1) \times \text{SO}(7)$ as

$$\psi^{\hat{\alpha}} = (\psi^{\alpha I}, \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha} I}), \quad (3.29)$$

where $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}$ are indices of Weyl spinors of $\text{SO}(3, 1)$, and I is the index of an 8-component spinor of $\text{SO}(7)$. Breaking $\text{SO}(7)$ further to its G_2 subgroup results in $\mathbf{8}_{\text{SO}(7)} = \mathbf{1}_{G_2} + \mathbf{7}_{G_2}$ in the following way:

$$\psi^{\alpha I} = \eta^I \psi^\alpha + i(\Gamma_{\underline{m}} \eta)^I \psi^{\underline{m}\alpha} \quad (3.30a)$$

$$\psi_{\dot{\alpha} I} = \eta_I \psi_{\dot{\alpha}} + i(\Gamma^{\underline{m}} \eta)_I \psi_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (3.30b)$$

The constant real spinor η^I of unit norm defines the embedding of G_2 into $\text{SO}(7)$ and $\Gamma^{\underline{m}}$ are the $\text{SO}(7)$ gamma matrices. Our conventions for these are discussed in appendix A and the G_2 embedding is elaborated a bit in appendix C. The real G_2 structure $\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}$ is given in terms of η by

$$\varphi_{\underline{mnp}} = i\eta^T \Gamma_{\underline{mnp}} \eta, \quad \psi_{\underline{mnpq}} = \eta^T \Gamma_{\underline{mnpq}} \eta. \quad (3.31)$$

Applying this decomposition to the fermionic coordinates $\theta^{\hat{\mu}}$ of 11D $N = 1$ superspace gives

$$\theta^{\hat{\mu}} = (\theta^\mu, \theta^{\underline{m}\mu}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\mu}}, \bar{\theta}_{\underline{m}\dot{\mu}}). \quad (3.32)$$

Now we are in a position to define our $4|4 + 7$ superspace. It is the hypersurface

$$\theta^{\underline{m}\mu} = 0 = \bar{\theta}_{\underline{m}\dot{\mu}}. \quad (3.33)$$

For consistency, the following one forms also get set to zero:

$$d\theta^{\underline{m}\mu} = 0 = d\bar{\theta}_{\underline{m}\dot{\mu}}. \quad (3.34)$$

The bosonic coordinates undergo the simple split $x^{\hat{m}} = (x^m, y^{\underline{m}})$. Together with $\theta^\mu, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\mu}}$, they form the coordinates of our $4|4 + 7$ superspace: $z^{\hat{M}} \rightarrow z^{\hat{M}}|_{4|4+7} = (z^M, y^{\underline{m}})$, where $z^M = (x^m, \theta^\mu, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\mu}})$ are the usual 4D $N = 1$ superspace coordinates. The background superframe becomes

$$\mathring{E}^a = dx^a + \theta^\alpha (\gamma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} d\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} + \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} (\gamma^a)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} d\theta_\beta, \quad (3.35a)$$

$$\mathring{E}^{\underline{m}} = dy^{\underline{m}}, \quad (3.35b)$$

$$\mathring{E}^\alpha = d\theta^\alpha, \quad (3.35c)$$

$$\mathring{E}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = 0. \quad (3.35d)$$

We emphasize that the projection to $4|4 + 7$ superspace means that form indices of all geometric objects will run through the range of $4|4 + 7$. In particular, forms will not have legs along the “extra” fermionic directions. However, we still keep the full (background + linear fluctuation) “superframe” $\hat{E}^{\hat{A}} = (\hat{E}^{\hat{a}}, \hat{E}^{\hat{\alpha}}, \hat{E}_{\hat{\alpha}})$ as a collection of $11 + 32$ one forms:

$$\hat{E}^{\hat{A}} = (\hat{E}^a, \hat{E}^{\underline{a}}, \hat{E}^\alpha, \hat{E}^{\underline{a}\alpha}, \hat{E}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \hat{E}_{\underline{a}\dot{\alpha}}). \quad (3.36)$$

Here $\hat{E}^A = (\hat{E}^a, \hat{E}^\alpha, \hat{E}_{\dot{\alpha}})$ is the $4|4$ supervielbein, $\hat{E}^{\underline{a}}$ is a Kaluza-Klein photon, and $\hat{E}^{\underline{a}\alpha}, \hat{E}_{\underline{a}\dot{\alpha}}$ are seven additional gravitini. All of these have form legs only along the $4|4 + 7$ superspace. This is not a vielbein in the traditional sense since it is rectangular.

An important comment about the internal bosonic indices is in order. There are three different indices that take seven values in the non-linear theory — the internal coordinate index \underline{m} , the $\text{SO}(7)$ vector index \underline{a} , and a G_2 index, say i . We have already implicitly set $\underline{a} = i$ by convention. The remaining two indices \underline{m} and \underline{a} are related by the vielbein $\hat{E}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{a}}$. In the linearized theory, the background value for this internal component being $\delta_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{a}}$, we can identify \underline{a} with \underline{m} .

3.4 Components of the 4D supervielbein and spin connection

In the remainder of section 3, we will give explicit expressions for the linear fluctuations of the vielbein, spin connection, 3- and 4-form etc. in terms of the off-shell prepotentials described in section 2. These definitions will be guided by how these linear fluctuations transform under different symmetries, and by torsion constraints.

In this subsection, we consider the purely 4D part \mathbf{H}_B^A . This should be built out of $H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and G and \bar{G} . There are actually a number of ways of doing this, and different choices are related to how one defines the various transformation parameters. A simple choice is [18]

$$\mathbf{H}_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}\beta} := iD_\alpha H^{\dot{\beta}\beta}, \quad \mathbf{H}_\alpha^\beta := \delta_\alpha^\beta H, \quad \mathbf{H}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} := 0, \quad (3.37)$$

where

$$H = \frac{1}{12} D_\alpha \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} - \frac{i}{6} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} - \frac{1}{6} G + \frac{1}{3} \bar{G}. \quad (3.38)$$

These transform consistently as

$$\delta \mathbf{H}_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = D_\alpha \xi^{\dot{\beta}\beta} + 4i \delta_\alpha^\beta \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (3.39a)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{H}_\alpha^\beta = D_\alpha \epsilon^\beta - \mathbf{L}_\alpha^\beta = \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha^\beta D_\gamma \epsilon^\gamma, \quad (3.39b)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{H}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = D_\alpha \bar{\epsilon}_{\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad (3.39c)$$

where we identify the linearized parameters

$$\xi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} := -i(D_\alpha \bar{L}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} L_\alpha), \quad (3.40a)$$

$$\epsilon_\alpha := -\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 L_\alpha, \quad (3.40b)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\alpha\beta} := D_{(\alpha} \epsilon_{\beta)}. \quad (3.40c)$$

The other components of \mathbf{H}_B^A , and the linearized spin connection, are obtained by solving the expression for the purely 4D part of the linearized torsion,

$$\mathbf{T}_{CB}^A = 2D_{[C} \mathbf{H}_{B]}^A + 2\Omega_{[CB]}^A + \mathring{T}_{CB}^D \mathbf{H}_D^A - 2\mathbf{H}_{[C}^D \mathring{T}_{D|B]}^A, \quad (3.41)$$

subject to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dimension } 0 : \quad & \mathbf{T}_{\alpha\beta}^c = 0, \\ \text{dimension } \frac{1}{2} : \quad & \mathbf{T}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = 0, \quad \mathbf{T}_{\alpha b}^c = \mathbf{T}_{a\beta}^c = 0, \\ \text{dimension } 1 : \quad & \mathbf{T}_{ab}^c = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

which correspond to the linearized version of eq. (14.25) of ref. [16].

First, let us consider the \mathbf{T}^a conditions. From $\mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}{}^a = 0$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}[D_\beta, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}]H^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} - \delta_\beta{}^\alpha \delta_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \left[\frac{1}{3}(G + \bar{G}) + \frac{1}{6}[D_\gamma, \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}]H^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} \right]. \quad (3.43)$$

This transforms consistently as

$$\delta \mathbf{H}_b{}^a = \partial_b \xi^a - \mathbf{L}_b{}^a, \quad (3.44)$$

with $\mathbf{L}_{ba} = -(\sigma_{ba})^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{L}_{\alpha\beta} + (\bar{\sigma}_{ba})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$. The condition $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma\beta}{}^a = 0$ is already satisfied. Alternatively, this condition would have told us $\mathbf{H}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = 0$ if we hadn't already fixed it. From $\mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma}b}{}^a = 0 = \mathbf{T}_{\gamma b}{}^a$, one finds that

$$\Omega_{\dot{\gamma}b}{}^a = -\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{H}_b{}^a + \partial_b \mathbf{H}_{\dot{\gamma}}{}^a - 2i \mathbf{H}_b{}^\beta (\sigma^a)_{\beta\dot{\gamma}}. \quad (3.45a)$$

$$\Omega_{\gamma b}{}^a = -D_\gamma \mathbf{H}_b{}^a + \partial_b \mathbf{H}_\gamma{}^a + 2i \mathbf{H}_b{}^{\dot{\beta}} (\sigma^a)_{\dot{\beta}\gamma}. \quad (3.45b)$$

The components $\mathbf{H}_b{}^\beta$ on the right hand side of the above equations have not been defined yet. We note that, by virtue of (3.6), $\Omega_{\underline{\gamma},\beta\alpha}$ and $\Omega_{\underline{\gamma},\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}$ are derived from $\Omega_{\underline{\gamma},ba}$. From $\mathbf{T}_{cb}{}^a = 0$, one determines $\Omega_{cb}{}^a$ in the usual manner.

Next, we consider the \mathbf{T}^α conditions. $\mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}{}^\alpha = 0$ holds identically. $\mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma}\beta}{}^\alpha = 0$ leads to

$$\Omega_{\dot{\gamma}\beta}{}^\alpha = -\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{H}_\beta{}^\alpha - 2i \mathbf{H}_{\beta\dot{\gamma}}{}^\alpha. \quad (3.46)$$

The two equations for this component of the spin connection imply

$$\mathbf{H}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}{}^\alpha = \frac{i}{8} \bar{D}^2 D_\beta \mathbf{H}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\alpha - i \delta_\beta{}^\alpha \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{H}, \quad (3.47a)$$

$$\Omega_{\dot{\gamma},\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 D_{(\beta} \mathbf{H}_{\alpha)\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (3.47b)$$

$$\Omega_{\dot{\gamma},\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = -2\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\beta}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha})} \bar{H}, \quad (3.47c)$$

and these transform as

$$\delta \mathbf{H}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}{}^\alpha = \partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \epsilon^\alpha, \quad \delta \Omega_{\gamma,\beta\alpha} = D_\gamma \mathbf{L}_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \delta \Omega_{\dot{\gamma},\beta\alpha} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{L}_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (3.48)$$

The remaining conditions on the torsion tensor are solved very similarly to chapter XV of ref. [16], and using the definitions for R , $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, and $W_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$ in section 2.3 all conditions are satisfied in a lengthy computation we do not repeat here.

3.5 Extending the supervielbein

Let us now compute the following components of the fluctuations of the supervielbein

$$(\mathbf{H}_{\hat{B}}{}^{\hat{A}})|_{4|4+7} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_B{}^A & \mathbf{H}_B{}^m \\ \mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}{}^A & \mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}{}^m \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_B{}^A & \mathcal{A}_B{}^m \\ \chi_{\underline{n}}{}^A & \mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}{}^m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.49)$$

Typically, in a Kaluza-Klein setting, the bosonic component $\chi_{\underline{n}}{}^a$ in the lower left block would vanish. This would involve the gauge-fixing of $\text{SO}(10,1)$ to its $\text{SO}(3,1) \times \text{SO}(7)$ subgroup. It turns out that, in the 4D $N = 1$ superfield setting that we are employing, this

gauge choice is extremely inconvenient while maintaining the irreducible superfield content we have identified. That is, we find

$$\mathbf{H}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \chi_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Psi_{\underline{m}}^{\alpha} - D^{\alpha}\bar{\Psi}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}}) \quad (3.50)$$

and setting this to vanish would impose an awkward constraint on $\Psi_{\underline{m}}^{\alpha}$. This transforms as

$$\delta\chi_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \partial_{\underline{m}}\xi^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} - \mathbf{L}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, \quad (3.51)$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}D^{\alpha}\Omega_{\underline{m}} - \frac{1}{2}D^{\alpha}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Omega}_{\underline{m}} \quad (3.52)$$

has the obvious interpretation of being the higher-dimensional $\text{SO}(10,1)$ transformation that has not been gauge-fixed. We will sometimes refer to this as the mixed Lorentz parameter. Next, we define

$$2\chi_{\underline{m},\alpha} = \psi_{\underline{m},\alpha} = \frac{i}{4}\left[\bar{D}^2\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha} + \frac{2i}{3}\left(D_{\alpha}\hat{H}_{\underline{m}} + 2D^{\dot{\alpha}}X_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\right) - \frac{8}{3}\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\underline{m}}\right] + d_1\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha}, \quad (3.53)$$

where d_1 is a constant. Since $\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ has the same engineering dimension and index structure as the other terms, its addition corresponds merely to a field redefinition of the gravitino by a covariant piece. We will leverage this arbitrariness of d_1 to simplify certain things later in this section. $\chi_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}$ transforms simply as

$$\delta\chi_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \partial_{\underline{m}}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \quad (3.54)$$

This completes the construction of \mathbf{H}_B^A and $\mathbf{H}_{\underline{m}}^A$, leaving $\mathbf{H}_B^{\underline{m}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}}$. A natural choice for $\mathbf{H}_{\alpha}^{\underline{m}}$ would seem to be

$$\mathbf{H}_{\alpha}^{\underline{m}} = iD_{\alpha}\mathcal{V}^{\underline{m}}. \quad (3.55)$$

This transforms as

$$\delta\mathbf{H}_{\alpha}^{\underline{m}} = iD_{\alpha}\lambda^{\underline{m}} - \frac{i}{2}D_{\alpha}(\Omega^{\underline{m}} + \bar{\Omega}^{\underline{m}}) = D_{\alpha}\xi^{\underline{m}} + 2i\epsilon_{\underline{m}\alpha} \quad (3.56)$$

where we define

$$\xi^{\underline{m}} := \frac{i}{2}(\Omega^{\underline{m}} - \bar{\Omega}^{\underline{m}}) + i(\lambda^{\underline{m}} - \bar{\lambda}^{\underline{m}}), \quad \epsilon_{\underline{m}\alpha} := -\frac{1}{2}D_{\alpha}\Omega_{\underline{m}} \quad (3.57)$$

The parameter $\epsilon_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ describes the additional 28 supersymmetries present in 11D supergravity. We also choose

$$\mathbf{H}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{2}[D_{\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}]\mathcal{V}^{\underline{m}} = -\Delta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{V}^{\underline{m}} \quad (3.58)$$

which transforms as

$$\delta\mathbf{H}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}} = i\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\lambda^{\underline{m}} - \bar{\lambda}^{\underline{m}}) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\Omega^{\underline{m}} + \bar{\Omega}^{\underline{m}}) = \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\xi^{\underline{m}} - \mathbf{L}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^{\underline{m}} \quad (3.59)$$

where $\mathbf{L}_a^{\underline{m}} = -\mathbf{L}^{\underline{m}}_a$ correctly accounts for the Lorentz transformation of this component with a mixed Lorentz parameter.

Finally, we consider $\mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}}$. We choose a gauge where this is symmetric, implying that it can be identified with the internal metric.

$$\mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{4}\varphi_{rs(\underline{n}}F^{\underline{m})rs} - \frac{1}{36}\delta_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}}\varphi^{pqr}F_{pqr} \quad (3.60)$$

This transforms as

$$\delta\mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}} = \partial_{\underline{n}}\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\underline{m}} - \mathbf{L}_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}}, \quad \mathbf{L}_{\underline{nm}} := \partial_{[\underline{n}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\underline{m}]} \quad (3.61)$$

This completes the construction of the linearized supervielbein with all indices restricted to $4|4+7$. The extra gravitini in $\mathbf{H}^{\underline{m}\alpha}$ will be constructed in the next subsection. Symmetrization of the bosonic components of the vielbein defines the linearized 11D metric. We don't give a detailed account of this here, except to introduce

$$g_{\alpha\overline{mn}} := D_{\alpha} \left[g_{\overline{mn}} - 2i\partial_{(\underline{m}}\mathcal{V}_{\underline{n})} \right] \quad (3.62)$$

which is a $\lambda^{\underline{m}}$ -invariant quantity constructed from the spinor derivative of $g_{\overline{mn}}$. In fact, it is the $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27}$ G_2 projection of $F_{\alpha\overline{mnp}}$ defined in (2.13). Its complex conjugate is denoted by $g_{\dot{\alpha}\overline{mn}}$. The $\mathbf{7}$ piece $F_{\alpha\overline{m}}$ of $F_{\alpha\overline{mnp}}$ descends from the $\mathbf{7}$ piece $F_{\underline{m}}$ of $F_{\overline{mnp}}$:

$$F_{\underline{m}} := -\frac{1}{12}\psi_{\overline{mnpq}}F^{\overline{npq}}, \quad F_{\alpha\overline{m}} := -\frac{1}{12}\psi_{\overline{mnpq}}F_{\alpha}^{\overline{npq}} \quad (3.63)$$

The complex conjugate of $F_{\alpha\overline{m}}$ is denoted by $F_{\dot{\alpha}\overline{m}}$. The quantities $g_{\alpha\overline{mn}}$ and $F_{\underline{m}}$ will be useful in the construction of components of the extra gravitini below.

3.6 Extra gravitini

Now we focus on the so far undefined seven extra gravitini $\psi^{\underline{m}\alpha}$. We define components of $\psi^{\underline{m}\alpha}$ with bosonic form legs,

$$\mathbf{H}_b^{\underline{n}\alpha} := \frac{1}{2}\psi_b^{\underline{n}\alpha}, \quad (3.64a)$$

$$\psi_{\beta\dot{\beta},\underline{m}\alpha} := D_{(\alpha}X_{|\underline{m}|\beta)\dot{\beta}} + \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{3}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\hat{H}_{\underline{m}} + \frac{2i}{3}\bar{W}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{6}D^{\gamma}X_{\underline{m}\gamma\dot{\beta}} \right) + d_2\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}} \quad (3.64b)$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{n}\alpha} := \frac{1}{2}\psi_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{n}\alpha}, \quad (3.65a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\underline{m},\underline{n}\alpha} &:= \frac{i}{2}g_{\alpha\overline{mn}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{W}_{\alpha\overline{mn}} - \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{\overline{mnp}}\varphi^{\overline{prs}}\hat{W}_{\alpha\overline{rs}} \right) - \frac{i}{72}\varphi_{\overline{mnp}}\psi^{\overline{pqrs}}F_{\alpha\overline{qrs}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{\overline{mnp}}D_{\alpha}\hat{H}^{\underline{p}} + d_3\varphi_{\overline{mnp}}\lambda^{\underline{p}}_{\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (3.65b)$$

Again, the $\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ terms come with (as of now) arbitrary constants d_2 and d_3 . These components of the gravitini transform as

$$\delta\psi_{\beta\dot{\beta},\underline{m}\alpha} = 2\partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\underline{m}\alpha}, \quad \delta\psi_{\underline{m},\underline{n}\alpha} = 2\partial_{\underline{m}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\underline{n}\alpha} \quad (3.66)$$

The remaining components are $\psi_\alpha^{n\beta}$ and $\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{n\beta}$. These are given by

$$\mathbf{H}_\alpha^{n\beta} := \frac{1}{2}\psi_\alpha^{n\beta} := -\frac{1}{4}\delta_\alpha^\beta \left(F^n - i\hat{H}^n \right), \quad \mathbf{H}^{\dot{\alpha},n\beta} := \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\dot{\alpha},n\beta} := -\frac{i}{2}X^{n\dot{\alpha}\beta}, \quad (3.67a)$$

$$\delta\mathbf{H}_\alpha^{n\beta} = D_\alpha \epsilon^{n\beta} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_\alpha^\beta \varphi^{npq} \mathbf{L}_{pq}, \quad \delta\mathbf{H}^{\dot{\alpha},n\beta} = \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon^{n\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{L}_n^{\beta\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (3.67b)$$

In addition to linearized SUSY transformations, these components also undergo internal Lorentz transformations. These higher Lorentz transformations naturally descend from 11D superspace in the following manner. Recall that the linearized torsion on the extended space is of the form (3.19). The linearized spin connection Ω is valued over all of $\text{SO}(10,1)$. Reducing this to $4|4+7$, the form indices \hat{C} and \hat{B} will run over $4|4+7$ superspace, while \hat{A} will include the extra gravitini as well. Let us look at the cases when \hat{A} is a spinor index. Looking only at the spin connection contributions, we find

$$\mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^\alpha \sim \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\hat{B}}^\beta \Omega_{\hat{C}de}(\gamma^{de})_\beta^\alpha - \hat{C} \leftrightarrow \hat{B}, \quad (3.68)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}^{m\alpha} \sim +\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\hat{B}\dot{\beta}} \Omega_{\hat{C}d}^m(\sigma_d)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\hat{B}}^\beta \varphi^{mnp} \Omega_{\hat{C}np} - \hat{C} \leftrightarrow \hat{B}. \quad (3.69)$$

Here, we have used 11D gamma matrices outlined in appendix A. The first equation with $\hat{A} = \alpha$ just means that the torsion tensor \mathbf{T}^α gets no contribution from spin connections with either one or both Lie algebra indices along the internal manifold. This is consistent with the fact that the vielbeins involved in this torsion do not suffer Lorentz transformations with $\mathbf{L}_{a\bar{m}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{m\bar{n}}$. However, $\mathbf{T}^{m\alpha}$ gets spin connection contributions of these kinds to negate the $\mathbf{L}_{a\bar{m}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{m\bar{n}}$ transformations of $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{B}}^{m\alpha}$

$$\delta\mathbf{H}_{\hat{B}}^{m\alpha}|_{\text{Lorentz}} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\hat{B}\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{L}_d^m(\sigma_d)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\hat{B}}^\beta \varphi^{mnp} \mathbf{L}_{np}. \quad (3.70)$$

This concludes the construction of the entire supervielbein.

3.7 Extending the linearized spin connection

The purely 4D components of Ω were determined in section 3.4. The remaining spin connection components will be given now. Being valued in $\text{SO}(10,1)$, the only independent spin connections are $\Omega^{\hat{b}\hat{a}}$, and the rest are determined in terms of them: $\Omega^{\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_{\hat{b}\hat{a}})^{\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}}\Omega^{\hat{b}\hat{a}}$, $\Omega^{\hat{b}\hat{\alpha}} = 0$. One guiding principle in their definitions can be their transformation rules under local $\text{SO}(10,1)$: $\delta\Omega_{\hat{C}}^{\hat{b}\hat{a}} = D_{\hat{C}}\mathbf{L}^{\hat{b}\hat{a}}$, with the form index \hat{C} restricted to $4|4+7$. Another approach is to give definitions of torsion components, which are covariant quantities, and derive from them the spin connections. We will take the second approach.

As in ordinary general relativity, setting the bosonic components $\mathbf{T}_{\hat{c}\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}} = 0$ lets one determine the purely bosonic part of the spin connection as

$$\Omega_{\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{a}} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{a}} + K_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} + K_{\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{b}}\right), \quad (3.71)$$

where $K_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} = \partial_{\hat{a}}\mathbf{H}_{\hat{b}\hat{c}} - \partial_{\hat{b}}\mathbf{H}_{\hat{a}\hat{c}}$ are the anholonomy coefficients.

The rest of $\mathbf{T}^{\hat{a}}$ is going to involve parts with fermionic legs. The purely 4D components $\Omega_{\gamma, \underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}$ are in (3.47). Now we look at the cases where at least one Lie algebra index is internal. Consider \mathbf{T}^a , with one fermionic form leg and one internal leg. This is

$$\mathbf{T}_{\beta\bar{m}}^a = D_\beta \mathbf{H}_{\bar{m}}^a - \partial_{\bar{m}} \mathbf{H}_\beta^a + \Omega_{\beta\bar{m}}^a + 2i \mathbf{H}_{\bar{m},\dot{\gamma}}(\sigma^a)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}. \quad (3.72)$$

This component of the torsion tensor is dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ and can include $\lambda_{\bar{m}}^a$. We rewrite

$$\Omega_{\beta\bar{m}}^a = -\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\beta}^a + \partial_{\bar{m}} \mathbf{H}_\beta^a - D_\beta \mathbf{H}_{\bar{m}}^a - 2i \mathbf{H}_{\bar{m},\dot{\gamma}}(\sigma^a)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}. \quad (3.73)$$

We also notice that, from the definition of $\mathbf{T}_{\beta a}^{\bar{m}}$,

$$\Omega_{\beta a}^{\bar{m}} = -\mathbf{T}_{a\beta}^{\bar{m}} + \partial_a \mathbf{H}_{\beta}^{\bar{m}} - D_\beta \mathbf{H}_a^{\bar{m}} - 2i \delta^{mn} \mathbf{H}_{a,\underline{n}\beta}. \quad (3.74)$$

This must be opposite in sign from the previous expression (3.73), so we get

$$\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\beta,a} + \mathbf{T}_{a\beta,\bar{m}} = \partial_a \mathbf{H}_{\beta,\bar{m}} - D_\beta \mathbf{H}_{a,\bar{m}} + \partial_{\bar{m}} \mathbf{H}_{\beta,a} - D_\beta \mathbf{H}_{\bar{m},a} - 2i \mathbf{H}_{\bar{m},\dot{\gamma}}(\sigma_a)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\gamma}} - 2i \mathbf{H}_{a,\underline{m}\beta}, \quad (3.75)$$

which is independent of the spin connection. We can write out the right hand side explicitly from the given definitions of the supervielbein,

$$(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\beta,a} + \mathbf{T}_{a\beta,\bar{m}}) = i \epsilon_{\beta\alpha}(2d_1 + d_2)\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (3.76)$$

We choose to populate $\mathbf{T}_{a\beta}^{\bar{m}}$ by $\lambda_{\bar{m}\alpha}$, with an undetermined proportionality factor d_4 , and consequently

$$\mathbf{T}_{a\beta}^{\bar{m}} = i d_4 (\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}\bar{m}}, \quad (3.77)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\beta}^a = i (d_1 + \frac{1}{2}d_2 - d_4)(\sigma^a)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}\bar{m}}, \quad (3.78)$$

$$\Omega_{\beta a}^{\bar{m}} = (\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\dot{\beta}\bar{m}} + i d_4 \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}\bar{m}}) - 2i \mathbf{H}_{a,\beta}^{\bar{m}}. \quad (3.79)$$

The definition of $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{n}\beta}^{\bar{m}}$ gives

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{n}\beta}^{\bar{m}} = \partial_{\underline{n}} \mathbf{H}_\beta^{\bar{m}} - D_\beta \mathbf{H}_{\underline{n}}^{\bar{m}} - \Omega_{\beta\underline{n}}^{\bar{m}} - 2i \delta^{mp} \mathbf{H}_{\underline{n},p\beta}. \quad (3.80)$$

The symmetric part of this in \underline{nm} gives the SUSY transformation of $g_{\underline{nm}}$ with extra SUSY parameters $\varepsilon_{m\alpha}$. Because there are no such terms in (3.61), this symmetric part is zero. The antisymmetric part amounts to a choice of $\Omega_{\beta\underline{n}}^{\bar{m}}$, and its **7** part can be chosen to have a λ piece. We find

$$\mathbf{T}_{\beta\underline{n}}^{\bar{m}} = -\mathbf{T}_{\underline{n}\beta}^{\bar{m}} = i d_5 \varphi_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{mp}} \lambda_{p\beta}, \quad (3.81)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\beta\underline{mn}} &= i(d_5 - d_3)\varphi_{\underline{mn}}^{\underline{p}} \lambda_{p\beta} + i D_\beta \partial_{[\underline{m}} \mathcal{V}_{\underline{n}]} + \frac{i}{2}(\hat{W}_{\beta\underline{mn}} - \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}\varphi^{\underline{pqr}}\hat{W}_{\beta\underline{qr}}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{72}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}\psi^{\underline{pqrs}}F_{\beta\underline{qrs}} - \frac{i}{6}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}D_\beta \hat{H}_{\underline{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

Again, d_5 is undetermined. For the dimension zero components, we find as expected

$$0 = \mathbf{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\bar{m}} = 2D_{(\alpha} \mathbf{H}_{\beta)}^{\bar{m}}, \quad (3.83)$$

$$0 = \mathbf{T}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^{\bar{m}} = D_\alpha \mathbf{H}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\bar{m}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{H}_\alpha^{\bar{m}} + 2i \delta^{mn} \mathbf{H}_{\alpha,\underline{n}\dot{\beta}}. \quad (3.84)$$

At this point, we have chosen all components of the spin connection.

3.8 Evaluating the remaining torsion components

Torsion components for which explicit prepotential expressions have not yet been given can now be computed. The purely external parts of \mathbf{T}^α work out in the standard way. The parts with at least one internal leg, such as

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\beta}{}^\alpha = \partial_{\underline{m}}\mathbf{H}_\beta{}^\alpha - D_\beta\mathbf{H}_{\underline{m}}{}^\alpha + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\underline{m}\beta}{}^\alpha, \quad (3.85)$$

are more complicated, and presumably do not vanish because there are invariants with that dimension and representation. We decompose this into irreps of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\beta}{}^\alpha =: i\delta_\beta{}^\alpha S_{\underline{m}} + S_{\underline{m}\beta}{}^\alpha \quad (3.86)$$

where the second term is traceless. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} iS_{\underline{m}} &= \partial_{\underline{m}}H + \frac{1}{2}D^\gamma H_{\underline{m}\gamma} \\ &= -\frac{i}{6}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}X_{\underline{m}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} - \frac{1}{24}\bar{D}^2 H_{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{24}D^2 H_{\underline{m}} - \frac{i}{6}D^\alpha \mathcal{W}_{\alpha\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{4}d_1 D^\alpha \lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} \\ &= \frac{i}{6}J(\mathcal{V})_{\underline{m}} - \frac{i}{36}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{mnpq}}\varphi^{\underline{npq}} + \frac{1}{4}d_1 D^\alpha \lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (3.87)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\underline{m}\beta\alpha} &= -D_{(\beta}\mathbf{H}_{|\underline{m}|\alpha)} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\underline{m}\beta\alpha} \\ &= -\frac{1}{12}D_{(\beta}\bar{D}^{\dot{\gamma}}X_{|\underline{m}|\alpha)\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{i}{4}\partial_{(\beta}\dot{\gamma}X_{\underline{m}\alpha)\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{i}{12}D_{(\beta}\mathcal{W}_{\alpha)\underline{m}} - \frac{1}{2}d_1 D_{(\beta}\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha)} \\ &= -\frac{i}{12}\varphi_{\underline{m}}{}^{\underline{np}}\mathbf{G}_{\alpha\beta\underline{np}} + \left(\frac{1}{24} - \frac{d_1}{2}\right)D_{(\beta}\lambda_{|\underline{m}|\alpha)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

Above, $J(\mathcal{V})_{\underline{m}}$ denotes the equation of motion of $\mathcal{V}_{\underline{m}}$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}}{}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} &\equiv -iS_{\underline{m}c}(\bar{\sigma}^c)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} = -\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{H}_{\underline{m}}{}^\alpha \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}d_1\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\lambda_{\underline{m}}{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{12}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}D^\alpha\hat{H}_{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{12}\bar{D}^2 X_{\underline{m}}{}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}d_1\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\lambda_{\underline{m}}{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{12}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\lambda_{\underline{m}}{}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}D^\alpha\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} + 2\tilde{G}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}{}_{\underline{m}} + \frac{i}{6}\psi_{\underline{m}}{}^{\underline{npq}}\mathbf{G}^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}{}_{\underline{npq}}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.89)$$

The lowest dimension components of $\mathbf{T}^{m\alpha}$ are with dimension=1/2:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\gamma\beta}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = 2D_{(\gamma}\mathbf{H}_{\beta)}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{\underline{mnp}}\delta_{(\beta}{}^\alpha\mathbf{\Omega}_{\gamma)\underline{np}}, \quad (3.90)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = D_\gamma\mathbf{H}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{H}_\gamma{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} + 2i\mathbf{H}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_\gamma{}^{\underline{dm}}(\sigma_d)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{4}\varphi^{\underline{mnp}}\delta_\gamma{}^\alpha\mathbf{\Omega}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{np}}, \quad (3.91)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = 2\bar{D}_{(\dot{\gamma}}\mathbf{H}_{\dot{\beta})}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} - \mathbf{\Omega}_{(\dot{\gamma}}{}^{\underline{dm}}(\sigma_{|\underline{d}|})^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta})}. \quad (3.92)$$

These must be invariants, and may involve the gravitino equation of motion. The last one then must vanish. The other two can be chosen to vanish by choosing the spinor spin connections appropriately, but this means there may be tension with other components of

the torsion. We stick with our previous choices of spin connections instead. Explicitly, we find

$$\mathbf{T}_{\gamma\beta}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = 3i(d_5 - d_3)\delta_{(\gamma}{}^{\alpha}\lambda_{\beta)}^{\underline{m}}, \quad (3.93)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = i\delta_{\gamma}{}^{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\underline{m}}\left(-\frac{3}{2}(d_2 - d_3 + d_5) - d_4\right), \quad (3.94)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = 0 \quad (3.95)$$

Remarkably, we can turn off all dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ torsion components by setting all of the d_i to zero. We make this convenient choice. Explicit expressions for the remaining dimension 1 components can be similarly obtained by expanding the right hand sides of

$$\mathbf{T}_{b\gamma}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = \partial_b \mathbf{H}_{\gamma}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} - D_{\gamma} \mathbf{H}_b{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} + \Omega_{b,\gamma}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha}, \quad (3.96a)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{b\dot{\gamma}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} = \partial_b \mathbf{H}_{\dot{\gamma}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{H}_b{}^{\underline{m}\alpha} + \Omega_{b,\dot{\gamma}}{}^{\underline{m}\alpha}, \quad (3.96b)$$

and their complex conjugate equations where $\underline{m}\alpha \rightarrow \underline{m}\dot{\alpha}$. These components of the torsion can be decomposed into $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ irreducible pieces. It turns out that, as a consequence of Bianchi identities, some of these irreducible pieces are related to the S superfields introduced above, and spinor derivatives of $\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha}$. The explicit prepotential expressions of the torsion components, although useful in their own right, do not immediately make it transparent that such relationships exist. Without the knowledge of Bianchi identities, one could still discover these relationships by computing various derivatives of the torsion components explicitly, and linearly combining them (respecting dimension and representation theory, of course). Obviously, a more systematic approach would be to use the Bianchi identities to extract the exhaustive list of relations, identify which pieces of the torsion components do not participate in Bianchi identities and, hence, are new/independent superfields (as opposed to being derivatives of superfields that are in lower dimensional torsion components). This approach will be presented in section 4.

The dimension $\frac{3}{2}$ components are gravitino curls $\mathbf{T}_{\dot{b}\dot{c}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}$, and the same comment applies to them. Instead of giving explicit prepotential expressions for these, we will use Bianchi identities in section 4 to find which parts of these are independent, and which parts get determined in terms of lower dimensional stuff.

3.9 Components of the 3-form gauge field and its 4-form curvature

Before going to the Bianchi identities, let us not forget the super 3-form gauge field and its field strength. The highest dimensional components of G_4 are fully bosonic components with dimension 1. We start with its purely internal part, $\mathbf{G}_{\underline{mnpq}}$. Under abelian tensor hierarchy transformations alone, this is encoded by $E_{\underline{mnpq}}$, which no longer is invariant under Ω transformations. So we covariantize it:

$$\mathbf{G}_{\underline{mnpq}} = \text{Re} E_{\underline{mnpq}} + 2\psi_{r[\underline{mnp}}\partial_{q]}\hat{H}^r \quad (3.97)$$

which is an invariant quantity, a dimension 1 curvature. Similar exercise of covariantization gives the rest of the components as follows:

$$(\sigma^a)^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{G}_{amnp} = \frac{1}{2} \left[D_\alpha F_{\dot{\alpha}mnp} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} F_{\alpha mnp} - 6\varphi_{q[mn} \partial_{\underline{p}} X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^q + \psi_{mnpq} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \hat{H}^q \right] \quad (3.98a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{abmn} &= -(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} G_{\alpha\beta mn} + \text{h.c.} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \left[D_{(\alpha} \hat{W}_{\beta)mn} + i\varphi_{mn}^{\underline{p}} \partial_{(\alpha} X_{\underline{p}|\beta)\dot{\gamma}} \right] + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.98b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{abc\bar{m}} &= \epsilon_{abcd} \tilde{G}^d_{\bar{m}} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{abcd} (\bar{\sigma}^d)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \left([D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}] \hat{H}_{\bar{m}} - D^2 X_{m\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{D}^2 X_{\bar{m}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.98c)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{abcd} = 3i\epsilon_{abcd}(R - \bar{R}) . \quad (3.98d)$$

It is going to be useful to decompose \mathbf{G}_{amnp} into its **1** + **27** and **7** bits in the canonical way. We find

$$(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} G_{am\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha g_{\dot{\alpha}m\bar{n}} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} g_{\alpha m\bar{n}} - 2 \partial_{(\underline{m}} X_{\underline{n})\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \quad (3.99a)$$

$$(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} G_{a\bar{m}} = \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha F_{\dot{\alpha}\bar{m}} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} F_{\alpha\bar{m}} - \varphi_{\bar{m}}^{\underline{np}} \partial_{\underline{n}} X_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \hat{H}_{\bar{m}} . \quad (3.99b)$$

We also choose to define the dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ component

$$\mathbf{G}_{\alpha bmn} = -\frac{1}{6} (\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \varphi_{mnp} \bar{\lambda}^{\underline{p}\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (3.100)$$

and set all remaining components of \mathbf{G} to zero. From the above expressions for the curvature components, we can derive components of the three-form gauge field up to exact pieces. These are:

$$\mathbf{C}_{mnp} = \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{mnp} + \bar{\Phi}_{mnp}) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{mnpq} \hat{H}^q \quad (3.101a)$$

$$(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{C}_{am\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{2} [D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}] V_{m\bar{n}} - \varphi_{mnp} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{V}^{\underline{p}} + \varphi_{mnp} X_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \quad (3.101b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{ab\bar{m}} &= -(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha\beta\bar{m}} + \text{h.c.}, \\ &= -(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \left[-\frac{i}{2} \left(D_{(\alpha} \Sigma_{\beta)\bar{m}} + D_{(\alpha} \Psi_{\bar{m}|\beta)} \right) \right] + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.101c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}_{abc} &= \epsilon_{abcd} \tilde{C}^d \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} (\bar{\sigma}^d)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \left[-\frac{1}{4} ([D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}] X + D^2 H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{D}^2 H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.101d)$$

4 Solving the Bianchi identities

In the previous sections, we have constructed a 4|4 + 7 superspace (with extra gravitini and gauge fields) from prepotential ingredients. Now we change gears to take a “first principles” supergeometric approach in which we deal with torsions, curvatures, and their Bianchi identities. The linearized Bianchi identities in 11|32 superspace are (3.5), (3.8).

We will project these to $4|4+7$ by restricting all form indices and solve them in order of their engineering dimensions starting from the lowest dimension, which is $\frac{1}{2}$. When we say we solve Bianchi identities, we mean that we write down an exhaustive list of derivative relations satisfied by various torsion and curvature components, and identify components that are not constrained by Bianchi identities at all. We turn a blind eye to all the information presented in section 3 except, crucially, to pose a set of torsion constraints that are inspired by the explicit construction. These constraints will be the analogue of eq. (14.25) in [16]. Together with the Bianchi identities, they define the supergeometry of a linearized $4|4+7$ superspace, a solution to which (in terms of unconstrained prepotentials) is the one we presented in section 3.

4.1 Restriction of linearized Bianchi identities to $4|4+7$

Recall that the spinorial superframes $\hat{E}^{\hat{\alpha}}$ decompose as

$$\hat{E}^{\alpha I} = \eta^I \hat{E}^\alpha + i(\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\eta)^I \hat{E}^{\underline{m}\alpha} \quad (4.1a)$$

$$\hat{E}_{\dot{\alpha} I} = \eta_I \hat{E}_{\dot{\alpha}} + i(\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\eta)_I \hat{E}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.1b)$$

The dual superspace derivatives become

$$D_{\alpha I} = \eta_I D_\alpha + i(\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\eta)_I D_{\underline{m}\alpha} \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} I} = \eta^I \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} + i(\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\eta)^I \bar{D}^{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.2b)$$

The derivatives $D_{\underline{m}\alpha}$, $\bar{D}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}$ do not appear in the Bianchi identities restricted to $4|4+7$.

Bianchi identities satisfied by \mathbf{T}^A in $11|32$ are:

$$D_{[\hat{D}} \mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}]^A + \hat{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{f}} \mathbf{T}_{|\hat{f}|\hat{B}}]^A + \mathbf{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{T}_{|\hat{\gamma}|\hat{B}}]^A = \mathbf{R}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^A. \quad (4.3)$$

Restricted to $4|4+7$, this gives rise to the following:

$$D_{[D} \mathbf{T}_{CB]}^A + \hat{T}_{[DC}^f \mathbf{T}_{|f|B]}^A + \mathbf{T}_{[DC}^F \hat{T}_{|F|B]}^A = \mathbf{R}_{[DCB]}^A \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\partial_{\underline{m}} \mathbf{T}_{CB}^A + 2D_{[C} \mathbf{T}_{B]\underline{m}}^A + \hat{T}_{CB}^f \mathbf{T}_{f\underline{m}}^A + 2\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}[C}^F \hat{T}_{|F|B]}^A = 2\mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}[CB]}^A + \mathbf{R}_{CB\underline{m}}^A \quad (4.4b)$$

$$2\partial_{[\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}]B}^A + D_B \mathbf{T}_{\underline{nm}}^A + \mathbf{T}_{\underline{nm}}^F \hat{T}_{FB}^A = \mathbf{R}_{\underline{nm}B}^A + 2\mathbf{R}_{B[\underline{nm}]}^A \quad (4.4c)$$

$$\partial_{[\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}p]}^A = \mathbf{R}_{[\underline{nm}p]}^A. \quad (4.4d)$$

For \mathbf{T}^a , we have

$$D_{[\hat{D}} \mathbf{T}_{\hat{C}\hat{B}}]^a + \hat{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{f}} \mathbf{T}_{|\hat{f}|\hat{B}}]^a + \mathbf{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{T}_{|\hat{\gamma}|\hat{B}}]^a = \mathbf{R}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^a. \quad (4.5)$$

Restricted to $4|4+7$, this gives rise to

$$D_{[D} \mathbf{T}_{CB]}^a + \hat{T}_{[DC}^f \mathbf{T}_{|f|B]}^a + \mathbf{T}_{[DC}^{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{T}_{|\hat{\gamma}|B]}^a = \mathbf{R}_{[DCB]}^a \quad (4.6a)$$

$$\partial_{\underline{m}} \mathbf{T}_{CB}^a + 2D_{[C} \mathbf{T}_{B]\underline{m}}^a + \hat{T}_{CB}^f \mathbf{T}_{f\underline{m}}^a + 2\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}[C}^{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{T}_{|B]}^a = 2\mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}[CB]}^a + \mathbf{R}_{CB\underline{m}}^a \quad (4.6b)$$

$$2\partial_{[\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}]B}^a + D_B \mathbf{T}_{\underline{nm}}^a + \mathbf{T}_{\underline{nm}}^{\hat{\gamma}} \hat{T}_{\hat{\gamma}B}^a = \mathbf{R}_{\underline{nm}B}^a + 2\mathbf{R}_{B[\underline{nm}]}^a \quad (4.6c)$$

$$\partial_{[\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}p]}^a = \mathbf{R}_{[\underline{nm}p]}^a. \quad (4.6d)$$

For $\mathbf{T}^{m\alpha}$, we have

$$D_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}]} \mathbf{T}^{m\alpha} + \hat{T}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}}^{\hat{f}} \mathbf{T}_{|\hat{f}|\hat{B}]}^{m\alpha} = \mathbf{R}_{[\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}]}^{m\alpha}. \quad (4.7)$$

Restricted to $4|4+7$,

$$D_{[D\mathbf{T}_{CB}]}^{m\alpha} + \hat{T}_{[DC}^f \mathbf{T}_{|f|B]}^{m\alpha} = \mathbf{R}_{[DCB]}^{m\alpha} \quad (4.8a)$$

$$\partial_{\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{CB}^{m\alpha} + 2D_{[C\mathbf{T}_B]}^{m\alpha} + \hat{T}_{CB}^f \mathbf{T}_{f\underline{n}}^{m\alpha} = 2\mathbf{R}_{\underline{n}[CB]}^{m\alpha} + \mathbf{R}_{CB\underline{n}}^{m\alpha} \quad (4.8b)$$

$$2\partial_{[\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{p]B}^{m\alpha} + D_B \mathbf{T}_{\underline{n}p}^{m\alpha} = \mathbf{R}_{\underline{n}pB}^{m\alpha} + 2\mathbf{R}_{B\underline{n}p}^{m\alpha} \quad (4.8c)$$

$$\partial_{[\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{pq]}^{m\alpha} = \mathbf{R}_{[\underline{n}pq]}^{m\alpha}. \quad (4.8d)$$

The linearized G_4 Bianchi identities in $11|32$ superspace are

$$D_{[\hat{E}\hat{D}\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}]} + 2\hat{T}_{[\hat{E}\hat{D}}^{\hat{F}} \mathbf{G}_{|\hat{F}|\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}]} + 2\mathbf{T}_{[\hat{E}\hat{D}}^{\hat{F}} \hat{G}_{|\hat{F}|\hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}]} = 0 \quad (4.9)$$

Restricted to $4|4+7$,

$$D_{[E\mathbf{G}_{DCBA}]} + 2\hat{T}_{[ED}^f \mathbf{G}_{|f|CBA]} + 2\mathbf{T}_{[ED}^{\hat{F}} \hat{G}_{|\hat{F}|CBA]} = 0 \quad (4.10a)$$

$$D_{[\underline{m}\mathbf{G}_{DCBA}]} + 2\hat{T}_{[\underline{m}D}^f \mathbf{G}_{|f|CBA]} + 2\mathbf{T}_{[\underline{m}D}^{\hat{F}} \hat{G}_{|\hat{F}|CBA]} = 0 \quad (4.10b)$$

$$D_{[\underline{m}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{n}CBA}]} + 2\hat{T}_{[\underline{m}\underline{n}}^f \mathbf{G}_{|f|CBA]} + 2\mathbf{T}_{[\underline{m}\underline{n}}^{\hat{F}} \hat{G}_{|\hat{F}|CBA]} = 0 \quad (4.10c)$$

$$D_{[\underline{m}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{n}pBA}]} + 2\hat{T}_{[\underline{m}\underline{n}}^f \mathbf{G}_{|f|pBA]} + 2\mathbf{T}_{[\underline{m}\underline{n}}^{\hat{F}} \hat{G}_{|\hat{F}|pBA]} = 0 \quad (4.10d)$$

$$D_{[\underline{m}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{n}pqA}]} + 2\mathbf{T}_{[\underline{m}\underline{n}}^{\hat{F}} \hat{G}_{|\hat{F}|pqA]} = 0 \quad (4.10e)$$

$$\partial_{[\underline{m}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{n}pqr}]} = 0 \quad (4.10f)$$

One can further decompose the $4|4$ indices A, B, C into bosonic and fermionic indices, but we don't show it explicitly here. The curvature two forms $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha}^{m\beta}$ appear in the torsion Bianchi identities. From $\hat{R}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4}\hat{R}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{a}\hat{b}})_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\beta}}$, we deduce that the only non-zero $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}}$'s are

$$\mathbf{R}_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{R}_{ab}(\gamma^{ab})_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}\beta}^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{4}\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}\mathbf{R}^{\underline{np}}, \quad (4.11b)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\beta}^{m\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}\varphi^{\underline{mnp}}\mathbf{R}_{\underline{np}}, \quad (4.11c)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{n}\beta}^{m\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}}\mathbf{R}_{cd}(\gamma^{cd})_{\beta}^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left[\psi_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{mpq}}\mathbf{R}_{\underline{pq}} + \mathbf{R}_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}} - \mathbf{R}_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}}\right], \quad (4.11d)$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{\underline{n}\dot{\beta},\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^a)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}\mathbf{R}_a^{\underline{n}}, \quad (4.11e)$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{\dot{\beta},\underline{m}\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^b)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}\mathbf{R}_b^{\underline{m}}, \quad (4.11f)$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{\underline{n}\dot{\beta},\underline{m}\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^d)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}\varphi^{\underline{mnp}}\mathbf{R}_{\underline{dp}}, \quad (4.11g)$$

and their complex conjugates. Of these, the ones with the first index along the extra θ directions do not appear in the restricted Bianchi identities.

4.2 Constraints

We postulate the following conventional constraints for the torsion and the 4-form. All dimension 0 components of the torsion vanish:

$$\underline{T}_{\alpha\beta}{}^c = \underline{T}_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\underline{m}} = 0 . \quad (4.12)$$

All dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ components of \mathbf{T} vanish:

$$\underline{T}_{a\beta}{}^c = \underline{T}_{\underline{m}\beta}{}^c = \underline{T}_{a\beta}{}^{\underline{m}} = \underline{T}_{\underline{n}\beta}{}^{\underline{m}} = 0 , \quad \underline{T}_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\underline{\gamma}} = \underline{T}_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\underline{m}\underline{\gamma}} = \underline{T}_{\alpha\beta,\underline{m}\underline{\gamma}} = 0 . \quad (4.13)$$

All dimension 1 components of \mathbf{T} determining bosonic spin connections vanish:

$$\underline{T}_{ab}{}^c = \underline{T}_{a\underline{m}}{}^c = \underline{T}_{\underline{mn}}{}^c = \underline{T}_{ab}{}^{\underline{m}} = \underline{T}_{a\underline{n}}{}^{\underline{m}} = \underline{T}_{\underline{np}}{}^{\underline{m}} = 0 . \quad (4.14)$$

All components of \mathbf{G} with dimensions -1 , $-\frac{1}{2}$, and 0 vanish:

$$\underline{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 , \quad \underline{G}_{a\beta\gamma\delta} = \underline{G}_{\underline{m}\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 , \quad \underline{G}_{ab\gamma\delta} = \underline{G}_{a\underline{m}\gamma\delta} = \underline{G}_{\underline{mn}\gamma\delta} = 0 . \quad (4.15)$$

The 4-form components at dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ are $\underline{G}_{\alpha\underline{mnp}}$, $\underline{G}_{\alpha\underline{abc}}$, $\underline{G}_{\alpha\underline{abm}}$, and $\underline{G}_{\alpha\underline{bm\underline{n}}}$. The first one is set to zero by fiat:

$$\underline{G}_{\alpha\underline{mnp}} = 0 . \quad (4.16)$$

The next two will be found to vanish as consequence of dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ Bianchi identities. The last one is non-zero, and, in general, a 2-form of G_2 . We impose the constraint that it belongs in the $\mathbf{7}$ of G_2 , and is proportional to $\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha}$. Choosing a normalization:

$$\underline{G}_{\alpha\underline{bm\underline{n}}} = -\frac{1}{6}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}\bar{\lambda}^{\underline{p}\dot{\alpha}} . \quad (4.17)$$

The above constraints are informed by the explicit construction of section 3. In the next subsection, we state the consequences of the Bianchi identities subject to these constraints.

4.3 Results

We present our results organized by dimension. At each dimension, we list all components of \mathbf{T} , \mathbf{R} , and \mathbf{G} , and decompose them into their $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and G_2 irreducible pieces. Then we state which of the irreducible pieces are determined by Bianchi identities to be derivatives of lower dimensional components, and which ones are new/independent superfields. We end the discussion at each dimension by reiterating important relations/properties (such as reality or chirality of various pieces of the components) implied by the Bianchi identities.

The purely 4D part of the analysis follows in the standard way [16]. We do not explain their derivations but merely quote the results.

4.3.1 Dimension $\leq \frac{1}{2}$

All dimension -1 components (namely $\mathbf{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$), all dimension $-\frac{1}{2}$ components (namely $\mathbf{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\gamma\delta}$), all dimension 0 components (namely $\mathbf{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\gamma\delta}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha\beta,\hat{c}}$), and all dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ torsion components (namely $\mathbf{T}_{\hat{a}\hat{b},\hat{c}}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha\beta,\hat{\gamma}}$) vanish by fiat. The dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ components $\mathbf{G}_{\alpha m n p}$ are chosen to vanish by fiat. The lowest dimensional Bianchi identities are a set of G_4 equations at dimension $\frac{1}{2}$:

$$(\sigma^c)_{(\delta|\dot{\beta}|} \mathbf{G}_{\gamma)cba} = 0 = (\sigma^c)_{\delta(\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\gamma})cba} \quad (4.18a)$$

$$(\sigma^b)_{(\delta|\dot{\beta}|} \mathbf{G}_{\gamma)abm} = 0 = (\sigma^b)_{\delta(\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\gamma})abm} \quad (4.18b)$$

$$(\sigma^b)_{(\delta|\dot{\beta}|} \mathbf{G}_{\gamma)bmn} = 0 = (\sigma^b)_{\delta(\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{G}_{\dot{\gamma})bmn} . \quad (4.18c)$$

Decomposing all external indices under $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, these imply

$$\mathbf{G}_{\hat{\delta}abc} = \mathbf{G}_{\hat{\delta}abm} = 0, \quad \mathbf{G}_{\alpha bmn} = -\frac{1}{6}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{mn}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha mn}$ is an arbitrary internal 2-form. It decomposes under G_2 as $\mathbf{21}_{\text{SO}(7)} = \mathbf{7}_{G_2} + \mathbf{14}_{G_2}$. Our constraint (4.17) then restricts it to its $\mathbf{7}$ piece

$$\mathbf{G}_{\alpha bmn} = -\frac{1}{6}\varphi_{mnp}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{p\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.20)$$

This is the only non-zero component field up to dimension $\frac{1}{2}$.

4.3.2 Dimension 1

Torsion. Torsion components at dimension 1 are

- $\mathbf{T}_{\hat{b}\hat{c},\hat{a}}$: these vanish by constraint via a choice of spin connection.
- $\mathbf{T}_{\hat{b}\hat{\gamma},\hat{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{4|4+7} \mathbf{T}_{b\gamma,\alpha}$: we decompose these into irreps of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and G_2 .

The purely external components, namely $\mathbf{T}_{b\gamma,\alpha}$, follow from [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{b\gamma,\alpha} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\gamma,\alpha} \\ \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\gamma,\alpha} &= -\frac{i}{4}(\epsilon_{\beta\alpha} G_{\gamma\dot{\beta}} - 3\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} - 3\epsilon_{\gamma\alpha} G_{\beta\dot{\beta}}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.21a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{b\dot{\gamma},\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\dot{\gamma},\dot{\alpha}} \\ \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\dot{\gamma},\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{i}{4}(\epsilon_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} G_{\dot{\gamma}\beta} - 3\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\beta} G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} - 3\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} G_{\beta\dot{\beta}}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.21b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{b\gamma,\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\gamma,\dot{\alpha}} \\ \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\gamma,\dot{\alpha}} &= 2i\epsilon_{\gamma\beta}\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} R^\dagger, \quad R^\dagger = \bar{R} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{b\dot{\gamma},\alpha} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\dot{\gamma},\alpha} \\ \mathbf{T}_{\beta\dot{\beta},\dot{\gamma},\alpha} &= 2i\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}\epsilon_{\beta\alpha} R. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21d)$$

The independent superfields here are $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and R . It is implied by a Bianchi identity that $G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ is real.

The internal pieces of $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{\alpha}}$ are decomposed as

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\beta,\alpha} = -i\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}S_{\underline{m}} + S_{\underline{m}\beta\alpha} \quad (4.22a)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta},\alpha} = -i(\sigma^c)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}S_{\underline{m}c} = -iS_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} \quad (4.22b)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta},\dot{\alpha}} = -i\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}S_{\underline{m}} + \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.22c)$$

Here, $S_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ are symmetric in the two spinor indices. From $\mathbf{T}^{\dot{\alpha}} = (\mathbf{T}^{\alpha})^*$ and the above definitions, the following complex conjugation relations follow

$$(S_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta})^* = -\bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{S}_{\underline{m}c} := (S_{\underline{m}c})^*. \quad (4.23)$$

A dimension 1 Bianchi identity (4.10b) for G_4 implies that $S_{\underline{m}}$ is real

$$\bar{S}_{\underline{m}} := (S_{\underline{m}})^* = S_{\underline{m}}, \quad (4.24)$$

which we have already taken into account in (4.22). The independent superfields here are $S_{\underline{m}}$, $S_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta} = -(\bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})^*$, and $S_{\underline{m}c} = (\bar{S}_{\underline{m}c})^*$.

Next we have the pieces of $\mathbf{T}^{\underline{m}\alpha}$. These are $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{n},\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{m}\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{b}\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{m}\alpha}$. We start with the first of these. When the two spinor indices are both dotted (or both undotted), a dimension 1 torsion Bianchi identity (4.6b) implies that the ϵ -traceless (spin 1) piece is (proportional to) a curvature component. The trace piece (spin 0) does not participate in (4.6b), and hence it is proportional to an independent superfield $Z_{\underline{m},\underline{n}}$. The comma between indices denotes that it belongs to $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7}$ of G_2 and should be decomposed into irreps of G_2 . When one spinor index is undotted, and the other is dotted, we split the corresponding torsion component into its real and imaginary parts. The real part is set equal to a curvature component (times i , since the curvature component in question is imaginary), and the imaginary part is new, denoted by $X_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{m},\underline{n}}$. Explicitly, we have

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\gamma,\underline{n}\beta} = -\frac{i}{4}\mathbf{R}_{\gamma\beta\underline{m}\underline{n}} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\gamma\beta}Z_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} \quad (4.25a)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma},\underline{n}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{i}{4}\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}\underline{m}\underline{n}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}\bar{Z}_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} \quad (4.25b)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\gamma,\underline{n}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{i}{4}\mathbf{R}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}\underline{m}\underline{n}} - iX_{\gamma\dot{\beta}\underline{m},\underline{n}} \quad (4.25c)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma},\underline{n}\beta} = -\frac{i}{4}\mathbf{R}_{\beta\dot{\gamma}\underline{m}\underline{n}} - iX_{\beta\dot{\gamma}\underline{m},\underline{n}}, \quad (4.25d)$$

where

$$\bar{Z}_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} := (Z_{\underline{m},\underline{n}})^*, \quad X_{\beta\dot{\gamma}\underline{m},\underline{n}} = (X_{\gamma\dot{\beta}\underline{m},\underline{n}})^* \quad (4.26)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}\underline{m}\underline{n}} = -(\mathbf{R}_{\gamma\beta\underline{m}\underline{n}})^*, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}\underline{m}\underline{n}} = -(\mathbf{R}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}\underline{m}\underline{n}})^* \quad (4.27)$$

More information about $X_{\underline{a}\underline{m},\underline{n}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\underline{m},\underline{n}}$ is hidden in the dimension 1 G_4 Bianchi identities (4.10c) and (4.10d). The first implies that $X_{\underline{a}[\underline{m},\underline{n}]}$ lies only in $\mathbf{7}$ of G_2 , which

means that the full $X_{\underline{am},\underline{n}}$ is in **35** of $\text{SO}(7)$, an internal 3-form. The second implies that this internal 3-form is nothing but $\mathbf{G}_{\underline{amnp}}$. We G_2 -decompose $X_{\underline{am},\underline{n}}$ (alternatively $\mathbf{G}_{\underline{amnp}}$). Its G_2 singlet is denoted X_a , its traceless symmetric piece (**27**) is denoted by $\tilde{X}_{\underline{amn}}$, and its antisymmetric piece (**7**) is encoded in $X_{\underline{am}}$. Their complex conjugates are \bar{X}_a , $\tilde{\bar{X}}_{\underline{amn}}$, and $\bar{X}_{\underline{am}}$ respectively:

$$X_{\underline{am},\underline{n}} =: \tilde{X}_{\underline{amn}} + \frac{1}{7}\delta_{\underline{mn}}X_a + \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{\underline{mn}}^p X_{\underline{ap}} \quad (4.28a)$$

$$\delta^{\underline{mn}}X_{\underline{am},\underline{n}} = X_a, \quad \tilde{X}_{\underline{amn}} = \tilde{X}_{\underline{anm}}, \quad X_{\underline{a[m,n]}} = \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}X_a^p. \quad (4.28b)$$

The identification of this superfield with $\mathbf{G}_{\underline{amnp}}$ is through the following

$$\mathbf{G}_{\underline{amnp}} = -6X_{\underline{a[m},\frac{q}{2}\varphi_{\underline{np}]q}}, \quad (4.29)$$

which implies

$$X_a = -\frac{1}{36}\varphi^{\underline{mnp}}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{amnp}} \quad (4.30a)$$

$$\tilde{X}_{\underline{amn}} = -\frac{1}{8}\varphi_{(\underline{m}}^{\underline{pq}}\mathbf{G}_{|\underline{a}|\underline{n]}pq} + \frac{1}{56}\delta_{\underline{mn}}\phi^{\underline{pqr}}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{apqr}} \quad (4.30b)$$

$$X_{\underline{am}} = \frac{1}{48}\psi_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{npq}}\mathbf{G}_{\underline{anpq}}. \quad (4.30c)$$

Equations (4.30) can be easily inverted to express irreducible pieces of $\mathbf{G}_{\underline{amnp}}$ in terms of those of $X_{\underline{am},\underline{n}}$. This is not all. Bianchi identity (4.10c) determines completely the **7** piece $X_{\underline{am}}$, and the curvature component $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}}$ in terms of previously introduced independent superfields:

$$iX_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{16}(D_\alpha\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha}) - \frac{3i}{4}(S_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}}) \quad (4.31a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}} = \varphi_{\underline{mn}}^p \left[\frac{i}{12}(D_\alpha\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{p}\dot{\beta}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\lambda_{\underline{p}\alpha}) - (S_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \bar{S}_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}}) \right] \quad (4.31b)$$

Notice that $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}}$ is forced to lie in the **7**. The components $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta\underline{mn}}$ are not fully determined by dimension 1 Bianchi identities. Their **7** pieces are forced (by (4.8a)) to vanish

$$\varphi^{\underline{pmn}}\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}} = 0 = \varphi^{\underline{pmn}}\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta\underline{mn}}, \quad (4.32)$$

while their **14** pieces are left unconstrained.

We will now G_2 decompose $Z_{\underline{m},\underline{n}}$. For its symmetric part, we denote the **1** piece by $\tilde{\bar{R}}$, and the **27** piece by $\tilde{\bar{R}}_{\underline{mn}}$. For the anti-symmetric part, we denote the **7** piece by $\tilde{\bar{R}}_{\underline{m}}$, and the **14** piece by $L_{[\underline{mn}]14}$. Their complex conjugates are \tilde{R} , $\tilde{R}_{\underline{mn}}$, $R_{\underline{m}}$, and $\bar{L}_{[\underline{mn}]14}$ respectively:

$$Z_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} =: \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\bar{R}}_{\underline{mn}} + \frac{1}{14}\delta_{\underline{mn}}\tilde{\bar{R}} + \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}\bar{R}^p + L_{[\underline{mn}]14} \quad (4.33a)$$

$$\delta^{\underline{mn}}Z_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\bar{R}}, \quad \tilde{\bar{R}}_{\underline{mn}} = \tilde{\bar{R}}_{\underline{nm}}, \quad Z_{[\underline{m},\underline{n}]} = \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}\bar{R}^p + L_{[\underline{mn}]14}. \quad (4.33b)$$

The dimension 1 Bianchi identity (4.10c) for G_4 implies

$$L_{[mn]14} = \bar{L}_{[mn]14} \quad (4.34a)$$

$$R_{\underline{m}} - \bar{R}_{\underline{m}} = 6iS_{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{4}D^\alpha \lambda_{\alpha\bar{m}} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\underline{m}} \quad (4.34b)$$

Thus, new/independent superfields in the components (4.25) are $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta\bar{m}\underline{n}} = -(\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\bar{m}\underline{n}})^*$, $\tilde{X}_{a\bar{m}\underline{n}}$, X_a , $L_{[mn]14}$ (which is real), $\text{Re}(R_{\underline{m}})$, $\tilde{\tilde{R}}_{mn}$, and $\tilde{\tilde{R}}$.

The remaining torsion components at this dimension are fully determined by Bianchi identities in the following way (we skip the details of the derivation):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma b, \underline{m}\alpha} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma, \beta\dot{\beta}, \underline{m}\alpha} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \left[2i\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \epsilon_{\gamma\alpha} \left[\frac{i}{4} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\beta}} + \frac{3i}{4} S_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{16} (D_{\beta} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{m}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\beta\underline{m}}) \right] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.35a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma} b, \underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma}, \beta\dot{\beta}, \underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \left[2i\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} S_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\alpha}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} \left[\frac{i}{4} S_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\beta}} + \frac{3i}{4} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{16} (\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\beta\underline{m}} - D_{\beta} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{m}}) \right] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.35b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma b, \underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma, \beta\dot{\beta}, \underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \left[i\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} S_{\underline{m}} + 3\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} - \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} S_{\underline{m}\gamma\beta} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.35c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma} b, \underline{m}\alpha} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\dot{\gamma}, \beta\dot{\beta}, \underline{m}\alpha} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \left[i\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} S_{\underline{m}} + 3\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} S_{\underline{m}\beta\alpha} - \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.35d)$$

There are no new superfields here.

Curvature. The curvature components at dimension 1 are

$$\bullet \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{b}\hat{a}} \xrightarrow{4|4+7} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{\delta}\underline{\gamma}\underline{b}\underline{a}}$$

Remember we do not need to separately consider $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}}$ since these get determined through (4.11). Purely external components follow in the standard way as in [16]. The Lie algebra indices on $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{b}\hat{a}}$ are decomposed into self-dual and anti-self-dual pieces,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma}\hat{b}\hat{a}} = -(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma}\beta\alpha} + (\bar{\sigma}_{ba})^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (4.36)$$

and similarly for $\mathbf{R}_{\delta\gamma\hat{b}\hat{a}}$, $\mathbf{R}_{\delta\gamma\hat{b}\hat{a}}$, and $\mathbf{R}_{\delta\gamma\hat{b}\hat{a}}$. A Bianchi identity forces

$$\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma}\beta\alpha} = 0 = \mathbf{R}_{\delta\gamma\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (4.37)$$

and the rest of the components are determined in terms of the superfields $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ and R :

$$\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = 4 \left(\epsilon_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon_{\dot{\delta}\dot{\beta}} \right) R, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha} = 4 \left(\epsilon_{\delta\alpha} \epsilon_{\gamma\beta} + \epsilon_{\gamma\alpha} \epsilon_{\delta\beta} \right) R^\dagger \quad (4.38a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\delta\gamma\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = - \left(\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} G_{\delta\dot{\alpha}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} G_{\delta\dot{\beta}} \right), \quad \mathbf{R}_{\delta\gamma\beta\alpha} = - \left(\epsilon_{\delta\beta} G_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} + \epsilon_{\delta\alpha} G_{\beta\dot{\gamma}} \right). \quad (4.38b)$$

Similarly, components with internal indices are given to be

$$\mathbf{R}_{\gamma\beta,\underline{ma}} = -8(\sigma_{ac})_{\beta\gamma}\bar{S}_{\underline{m}}^c, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta},\underline{ma}} = -8(\bar{\sigma}_{ac})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}S_{\underline{m}}^c \quad (4.39a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\gamma\dot{\beta},\underline{ma}} = 2i \left[(\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} S_{\underline{m}}^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} - (\sigma_a)_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\gamma}} \right] \quad (4.39b)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}} = \varphi_{\underline{mn}}^{\underline{p}} \left[\frac{i}{12} (D_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{p}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\alpha\underline{p}}) - (S_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \bar{S}_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}}) \right]. \quad (4.39c)$$

The components $\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta\underline{mn}}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}}$ appear in the irrep. decomposition of torsion components $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{m},\alpha}^{\underline{n}\beta}$ (and c.c.). They lie in the **14**. We have already catalogued these as new/independent superfields in the above paragraph for torsion.

4-form. 4-form components at dimension 1 are

$$\bullet \mathbf{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}} \xrightarrow{4|4+7} \mathbf{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}}$$

Most of these are fully determined by Bianchi identities:

$$\mathbf{G}_{abcd} = 3i\epsilon_{abcd} (R - \bar{R}) \quad (4.40a)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{abcm} = 3i\epsilon_{abcd} (\bar{S}_{\underline{m}}^d - S_{\underline{m}}^d) \quad (4.40b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{ab\underline{mn}} = & -(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \left[\varphi_{\underline{mn}}^{\underline{p}} \left(-\frac{i}{12} D_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta\underline{p}} + 2i S_{\underline{p}\alpha\beta} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta\underline{mn}} \right] \\ & + (\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \left[\varphi_{\underline{mn}}^{\underline{p}} \left(-\frac{i}{12} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{p}} + 2i \bar{S}_{\underline{p}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.40c)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{amnp} = -6X_{a[m,\underline{q}} \varphi_{np]\underline{q}}. \quad (4.40d)$$

The only 4-form component at dimension 1 completely undetermined/unconstrained by dimension 1 Bianchi identities is $\mathbf{G}_{\underline{mnpq}}$, which we G_2 decompose:

$$\mathbf{G}_{\underline{mnpq}} = \frac{1}{24 \times 7} \psi_{\underline{mnpq}} \mathcal{G} + \frac{1}{42} \varphi_{[\underline{mnp}} \mathcal{G}_{\underline{q}]} + \psi_{[\underline{mnp}}{}^r \mathcal{G}_{\underline{q}]}{}_r \quad (4.41a)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\underline{mn}} = \mathcal{G}_{\underline{nm}}; \quad \delta^{\underline{mn}} \mathcal{G}_{\underline{mn}} = 0. \quad (4.41b)$$

The above is $\mathbf{35}_{\text{SO}(7)} = \mathbf{1}_{G_2} + \mathbf{7}_{G_2} + \mathbf{27}_{G_2}$.

Relations. We reiterate some of the properties of the independent superfields implied by Bianchi identities:

$$(G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}})^* = G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.42a)$$

$$\bar{S}_{\underline{m}} = (S_{\underline{m}})^* = S_{\underline{m}} \quad (4.42b)$$

$$\varphi^{\underline{mnp}} \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta\underline{np}} = 0 = \varphi^{\underline{mnp}} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\underline{np}} \quad (4.42c)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}} = \varphi_{\underline{mn}}^{\underline{p}} \left[\frac{i}{12} (D_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{p}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\alpha\underline{p}}) - (S_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \bar{S}_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}}) \right] \quad (4.42d)$$

$$iX_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{16} (D_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{m}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\alpha\underline{m}}) - \frac{3i}{4} (S_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}}) \quad (4.42e)$$

$$R_{\underline{m}} - \bar{R}_{\underline{m}} = 6iS_{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{4} D^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha\underline{m}} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\underline{m}} \quad (4.42f)$$

4.3.3 Dimension $\frac{3}{2}$

Torsion. Torsion components at this dimension are

$$\bullet \quad T_{\hat{b}\hat{c},\hat{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{4|4+7} T_{\hat{b}\hat{c},\underline{\alpha}}, T_{\hat{b}\hat{c},\underline{m\alpha}}.$$

Purely external components follow from [16]. We have $T_{cb,\underline{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma}(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} T_{\gamma\dot{\gamma},\beta\dot{\beta},\underline{\alpha}}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\gamma\dot{\gamma},\beta\dot{\beta},\underline{\alpha}} &= -2\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} W_{\gamma\beta\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} \left(\epsilon_{\gamma\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} G_{\beta}^{\dot{\delta}} + \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} G_{\gamma}^{\dot{\delta}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} \left(\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} G_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.43a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\gamma\dot{\gamma},\beta\dot{\beta},\dot{\alpha}} &= -2\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} \bar{W}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\gamma\beta} \left(\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} D_{\delta} G_{\beta}^{\dot{\delta}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} D_{\delta} G_{\gamma}^{\dot{\delta}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} (D_{\gamma} G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} + D_{\beta} G_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}}) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.43b)$$

Now we deal with components with internal indices one by one. Decomposing $T_{am,\beta}$ with respect to $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$T_{am,\beta} = -i(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} X_{m\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}} + i(\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} X_{m\dot{\alpha}}^{\alpha}, \quad (4.44)$$

where $X_{m\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}}$ is symmetric in $\alpha\beta$, and $X_{m\dot{\alpha}}$ is the ϵ -trace piece. We use the following notation for their complex conjugates

$$(X_{m\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}})^* = -\bar{X}_{m\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\gamma}, \quad (X_{m\dot{\alpha}})^* = \bar{X}_{m\alpha}, \quad (4.45)$$

in terms of which

$$T_{am,\dot{\beta}} = (T_{am,\beta})^* = -i(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \bar{X}_{m\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\alpha} - i(\sigma_a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{X}_m^{\alpha}. \quad (4.46)$$

Bianchi identities of dimension $\frac{3}{2}$ fully determine these superfields in terms of the lower dimensional ones:

$$X_{m\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{i}{4} D_{(\alpha} S_{|\underline{m}|\beta)\dot{\gamma}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} S_{m\alpha\beta}, \quad \bar{X}_{m\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\gamma} = \frac{i}{4} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{S}_{|\underline{m}|\dot{\beta})\gamma} - D_{\gamma} \bar{S}_{m\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \quad (4.47a)$$

$$X_{m\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{i}{4} \left[\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} S_{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{2} D^{\beta} S_{m\beta\dot{\alpha}} \right], \quad \bar{X}_{m\alpha} = \frac{i}{4} \left[D_{\alpha} S_{\underline{m}} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{m\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}} \right]. \quad (4.47b)$$

Here we mention that, as a consequence of the Bianchi identity (4.4b), the $\alpha\beta$ symmetric part of the derivative $D_{\alpha} \bar{S}_{m\beta\dot{\gamma}}$ vanishes. We give a name to the remaining anti-symmetric piece:

$$D_{\alpha} \bar{S}_{mb} = \frac{i}{4} (\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{\rho}_{m\dot{\alpha}} = (\rho_{m\alpha})^*. \quad (4.48)$$

Remaining components of T^{α} at dimension $\frac{3}{2}$ are T_{mn}^{α} . This is an internal 2-form, and decomposes under G_2 as $\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14}$.

$$T_{mn}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{mnp} U^{\underline{p}\alpha} + T_{[mn]14}^{\alpha} \quad (4.49a)$$

$$T_{mn}^{\dot{\alpha}} = (T_{mn}^{\alpha})^* = \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{mnp} \bar{U}^{\underline{p}\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{T}_{[mn]14}^{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.49b)$$

The complex conjugate notations are, obviously,

$$(U_{\underline{m}\alpha})^* = \bar{U}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (T_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\alpha})^* = \bar{T}_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.50)$$

The superfield $U_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ is fully determined by Bianchi identities,

$$U_{\underline{m}\alpha} = -\frac{3i}{2}\rho_{\underline{m}\alpha} - D_{\alpha}S_{\underline{m}} + \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{48}[D^2 - 2\bar{D}^2]\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} - \frac{i}{24}[D_{\alpha}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} + 2\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}D_{\alpha}]\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (4.51)$$

while $T_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\alpha}$ is left unconstrained. It is an independent superfield.

Next, we consider

$$\mathbf{T}_{ab,\underline{m}\gamma} = -(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} [T_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta\gamma} + \epsilon_{\gamma\alpha}T_{\underline{m}\beta}] - (\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}T_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\gamma\underline{m}} \quad (4.52a)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{ab,\underline{m}\dot{\gamma}} = (\mathbf{T}_{ab,\underline{m}\gamma})^* = (\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} [-\bar{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}}] - (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\bar{T}_{\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}\underline{m}}, \quad (4.52b)$$

where notations adopted for complex conjugation are

$$(T_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta\gamma})^* = -\bar{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (T_{\underline{m}\alpha})^* = \bar{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (T_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\gamma\underline{m}})^* = -\bar{T}_{\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}\underline{m}}. \quad (4.53)$$

These superfields are all fully determined by Bianchi identities,

$$T_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta\gamma} = iD_{(\alpha}S_{|\underline{m}|\beta\gamma)}, \quad \bar{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} = -i\bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{S}_{|\underline{m}|\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})}. \quad (4.54a)$$

$$T_{\underline{m}\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left[D_{\alpha}S_{\underline{m}} - \frac{i}{2}\rho_{\underline{m}\alpha} \right], \quad \bar{T}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}S_{\underline{m}} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\rho}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} \right]. \quad (4.54b)$$

$$T_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\gamma\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{S}_{|\underline{m}|\dot{\beta}\gamma)} - iD_{\gamma}\bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{T}_{\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}\underline{m}} = -\frac{1}{2}D_{(\alpha}\bar{S}_{|\underline{m}|\beta\dot{\gamma})} + i\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}S_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.54c)$$

Next, we move on to components with two internal indices, belonging to $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7} = (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27}) + (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14})$ of G_2 . These are $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{am}}^{\underline{n}\beta}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{am}}^{\underline{n}\dot{\beta}} = (\mathbf{T}_{\underline{am}}^{\underline{n}\beta})^*$. We decompose these components with respect to $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ first, and then with respect to G_2 :

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{am},\underline{n}\beta} = -i(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}\alpha}Y_{\underline{m},\underline{n}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} + i(\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}Y_{\underline{m},\underline{n}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.55a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -i(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{Q}_{\underline{mn}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{14}\delta_{\underline{mn}}\tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}Q^{\underline{p}}_{\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} + K_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} \right] \\ &\quad + i(\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_{\underline{mn}}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{14}\delta_{\underline{mn}}\tilde{P}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{6}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}P^{\underline{p}\dot{\alpha}} + M_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.55b)$$

Here, $Y_{\underline{m},\underline{n}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}}$ is symmetric in $\alpha\beta$, and belongs in $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7}$ of G_2 . Its symmetric part decomposes into a G_2 singlet $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}}$ and a $\mathbf{27}$ (traceless, symmetric) of G_2 denoted $\tilde{Q}_{\underline{mn}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}}$, while its anti-symmetric part decomposes into a $\mathbf{7}$ of G_2 denoted $Q_{\underline{p}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}}$, and a $\mathbf{27}$ of G_2 denoted $K_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}}$. The $\alpha\beta$ anti-symmetric part of $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}\underline{m},\underline{n}\beta}$ is encoded in $Y_{\underline{m},\underline{n}}^{\dot{\alpha}}$, which is in $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7}$ of G_2 and gets similarly decomposed. $\tilde{P}_{\underline{mn}\dot{\alpha}}$ is in $\mathbf{27}$, $\tilde{P}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ in $\mathbf{1}$, $P_{\underline{p}\dot{\alpha}}$ in $\mathbf{7}$, and $M_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\dot{\alpha}}$ in $\mathbf{14}$. All these irreducible parts are fully determined (by Bianchi identities) in

terms of previously introduced superfields:

$$\tilde{Q}_{\underline{mn}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} = iD_{(\alpha}\tilde{X}_{\beta)\dot{\gamma}\underline{mn}}, \quad \tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} = iD_{(\alpha}X_{\beta)\dot{\gamma}}. \quad (4.56a)$$

$$Q_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{16}D_{(\alpha}\bar{D}_{|\dot{\gamma}}\lambda_{\underline{m}|\beta)} - \frac{3i}{4}D_{(\alpha}S_{|\underline{m}|\beta)}\dot{\gamma}, \quad K_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{i}{16}\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\beta\underline{mn}}. \quad (4.56b)$$

$$\tilde{P}_{\underline{mn}\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{12}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}[\tilde{\bar{R}}_{\underline{mn}} - \bar{R}_{\underline{mn}}] - \frac{i}{6}D^{\beta}\tilde{X}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}\underline{mn}}, \quad \tilde{P}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{12}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}[\tilde{\bar{R}} - \bar{R}] - iD^{\beta}X_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.56c)$$

$$P_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{3}{8}\bar{\rho}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{i}{2}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}S_{\underline{m}} - \frac{i}{8}D^{\beta}S_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{96}[D^2 + \bar{D}^2]\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{96}[D^{\beta}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}D^{\beta}]\lambda_{\underline{m}\beta},$$

$$M_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{i}{48}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}\underline{mn}} - \frac{i}{4}T_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (4.56d)$$

Finally, we have the torsion components $\mathbf{T}_{\underline{mn},p\alpha}$ with three internal indices. The internal indices we decompose step by step, first under $\text{SL}(7)$, then under $\text{SO}(7)$, and finally under G_2 . The $\text{SL}(7)$ decomposition results in a totally anti-symmetric piece V and a mixed symmetric piece W

$$\mathbf{T}_{\underline{mn},p\alpha} \stackrel{\text{SL7}}{=} V_{[\underline{mnp}],\alpha} + W_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha} \quad (4.57)$$

We use $\underline{mn}|p$ to denote the tableaux $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \underline{m} & \underline{p} \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$. This is $\mathbf{21} \times \mathbf{7} = \mathbf{35} + \mathbf{112}$ for $\text{SL}(7)$. Under G_2 , the $\mathbf{35}$ decomposes into $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{27}$ in the following manner:

$$V_{[\underline{mnp}],\alpha} = \frac{1}{42}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}V_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{24}\psi_{\underline{mnp}q}V^q_{\alpha} + \frac{3}{4}\varphi^q_{[\underline{mn}}V_{p]q,\alpha}, \quad (4.58)$$

where V_{α} is in $\mathbf{1}$, $V_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ is in $\mathbf{7}$, and $V_{\underline{mn}\alpha}$ is a traceless symmetric $\mathbf{27}$:

$$V_{\underline{mn}\alpha} = V_{\underline{nm}\alpha}, \quad \delta^{\underline{mn}}V_{\underline{mn}\alpha} = 0. \quad (4.59)$$

Under $\text{SO}(7)$, W decomposes into $\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{105}$:

$$W_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha} = J_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha} + \delta_{\underline{pm}}\Upsilon_{n\alpha} - \delta_{\underline{pn}}\Upsilon_{m\alpha} \quad (4.60a)$$

$$J_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha} = -J_{\underline{nm}|p\alpha}, \quad J_{[\underline{mn}]|p\alpha} = 0, \quad \delta^{\underline{np}}J_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha} = 0. \quad (4.60b)$$

Under G_2 , the $\mathbf{105}$ decomposes further into $\mathbf{14} + \mathbf{27} + \mathbf{64}$:

$$J_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha} = J_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha}^{14} + J_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha}^{27} + J_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha}^{64} \quad (4.61a)$$

where $\underline{mn}|p$ now denotes the irreducible hook representation of $\text{SO}(7)$. Let us parameterize J^{14} by a 2-form $J_{\underline{mn},\alpha}$ in the $\mathbf{14}$ and J^{27} by a rank 2 symmetric traceless tensor $I_{\underline{mn},\alpha}$ as

$$J_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha}^{14} = \varphi_{\underline{mn}}^q J_{pq,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{np}^q J_{qm,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{mp}^q J_{qn,\alpha} \quad (4.62a)$$

$$J_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha}^{27} = \varphi_{\underline{mn}}^q I_{qp,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{np}^q I_{qm,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{mp}^q I_{qn,\alpha}, \quad (4.62b)$$

which can be inverted as

$$\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{pq} J_{pq|n,\alpha}^{14} = 9J_{\underline{mn},\alpha}, \quad \varphi_{\underline{m}}^{pq} J_{np|q,\alpha}^{14} = -\frac{9}{2}J_{\underline{mn},\alpha} \quad (4.63a)$$

$$\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{pq} J_{pq|n,\alpha}^{27} = 7I_{\underline{mn},\alpha}, \quad \varphi_{\underline{m}}^{pq} J_{np|q,\alpha}^{27} = -\frac{7}{2}I_{\underline{mn},\alpha}. \quad (4.63b)$$

We do not give an explicit parameterization of J^{64} , since it is just the remaining piece of $J_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha}$, and denote it instead by $Z_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha}$. From the fact that J , J^{14} , J^{27} have the same mixed-symmetry, $Z_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha}$ must satisfy

$$Z_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha} = -Z_{nm|p,\alpha}, \quad \delta^{np}Z_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha} = 0, \quad Z_{[\underline{mn}|p],\alpha} = 0, \quad (4.64)$$

in addition to the irreducibility conditions³

$$\varphi_{\underline{q}}^{\underline{mn}}Z_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha} = 0, \quad \varphi_{\underline{q}}^{\underline{np}}Z_{\underline{mn}|p,\alpha} = 0. \quad (4.65)$$

Combining everything, we have the following equations:

$$\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{pq}}\mathbf{T}_{\underline{np},\underline{q},\alpha} = \frac{1}{7}\delta_{\underline{mn}}V_{\alpha} + \left(V_{\underline{mn},\alpha} - \frac{7}{2}I_{\underline{mn},\alpha}\right) - \frac{9}{2}J_{\underline{mn},\alpha} + \varphi_{\underline{mn}}^{\underline{p}}\left(\frac{1}{6}V_{\underline{p}\alpha} - \Upsilon_{\underline{p}\alpha}\right) \quad (4.66a)$$

$$\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{pq}}\mathbf{T}_{\underline{pq},\underline{n},\alpha} = \frac{1}{7}\delta_{\underline{mn}}V_{\alpha} + (V_{\underline{mn},\alpha} + 7I_{\underline{mn},\alpha}) + 9J_{\underline{mn},\alpha} + \varphi_{\underline{mn}}^{\underline{p}}\left(-\frac{1}{6}V_{\underline{p}\alpha} + 2\Upsilon_{\underline{p}\alpha}\right). \quad (4.66b)$$

Plugging these irrep decompositions into the Bianchi identities, one finds

$$V_{\alpha} = iD_{\alpha}\tilde{\tilde{R}} \quad (4.67a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\underline{m}\alpha} = & -\frac{3i}{2}\rho_{\underline{m}\alpha} - \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\left[\frac{3}{2}S_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right] + 2D_{\alpha}S_{\underline{m}} \\ & + \frac{i}{24}[D^2 + 4\bar{D}^2]\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} + \frac{1}{12}[D_{\alpha}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} + 2\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}D_{\alpha}]\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{np}}\partial_{\underline{n}}\lambda_{\underline{p}\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (4.67b)$$

$$V_{\underline{mn}\alpha} = \frac{i}{18}D_{\alpha}(\tilde{\tilde{R}}_{\underline{mn}} - \tilde{R}_{\underline{mn}}) + \frac{4}{9}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\tilde{X}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}} + \frac{1}{9}\partial_{(\underline{m}}\lambda_{\underline{n})\text{traceless},\alpha} \quad (4.67c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{\underline{m}\alpha} = & \frac{i}{12}D_{\alpha}\bar{R}_{\underline{m}} - \frac{3i}{16}\rho_{\underline{m}\alpha} - \frac{1}{8}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\left[\frac{3}{2}S_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right] + \frac{1}{4}D_{\alpha}S_{\underline{m}} \\ & + \frac{i}{192}[D^2 + 4\bar{D}^2]\lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} + \frac{i}{96}[D_{\alpha}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} + 2\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}D_{\alpha}]\bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{24}\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{np}}\partial_{\underline{n}}\lambda_{\underline{p}\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (4.67d)$$

$$J_{\underline{mn}\alpha} = \frac{i}{9}D_{\alpha}L_{[\underline{mn}]14} + \frac{1}{54}D^{\beta}R_{\beta\alpha mn} \quad (4.67e)$$

$$I_{\underline{mn}\alpha} = -\frac{i}{14}D_{\alpha}\left[\frac{17}{18}\tilde{\tilde{R}}_{\underline{mn}} + \frac{1}{18}\tilde{R}_{\underline{mn}}\right] + \frac{2}{63}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\tilde{X}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{mn}} + \frac{1}{126}\partial_{(\underline{m}}\lambda_{\underline{n})\text{traceless},\alpha}. \quad (4.67f)$$

$Z_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha}$ is unconstrained by Bianchi identities at this dimension. Therefore, the new/independent superfields in the torsion components at dimension $\frac{3}{2}$ are $T_{[\underline{mn}]14\alpha}$ and $Z_{\underline{mn}|p\alpha}$.

Curvature. Curvature components at dimension $\frac{3}{2}$ are

$$\bullet \quad \mathbf{R}_{\hat{d}\hat{\gamma} \hat{b}\hat{a}} \xrightarrow{4|4+7} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{d}\hat{\gamma} \hat{b}\hat{a}}$$

Bianchi identities at this dimension fully determine these curvature components. The purely 4D components are as in [16],

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha b \ c d} = i \left[(\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{T}_{cd}^{\dot{\beta}} - (\sigma_c)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{T}_{db}^{\dot{\beta}} - (\sigma_d)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{T}_{bc}^{\dot{\beta}} \right] \quad (4.68a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha} b \ c d} = -i \left[(\sigma_b)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{T}_{cd}^{\beta} - (\sigma_c)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{T}_{db}^{\beta} - (\sigma_d)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{T}_{bc}^{\beta} \right]. \quad (4.68b)$$

³Both contractions belong to the $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7}$ of G_2 which decomposes as $\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14} + \mathbf{27}$, with no $\mathbf{64}$.

Similarly, components with at least one internal index are as follows:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\bar{m},ab} = -i\mathbf{T}_{ab,\bar{m}\alpha} - 2i(\sigma_{[a})_{|\alpha\dot{\beta}}|\mathbf{T}_{b]}\bar{m}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\bar{m},ab} = i\mathbf{T}_{ab,\bar{m}\dot{\alpha}} + 2i(\sigma_{[a})_{|\beta\dot{\alpha}}|\mathbf{T}_{b]}\bar{m}^{\dot{\beta}} \quad (4.69a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha a,b\bar{m}} = i\mathbf{T}_{ab,\bar{m}\alpha} + 2i(\sigma_{(a})_{|\alpha\dot{\beta}}|\mathbf{T}_{b)}\bar{m}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha} a,b\bar{m}} = -i\mathbf{T}_{ab,\bar{m}\dot{\alpha}} - 2i(\sigma_{(a})_{|\beta\dot{\alpha}}|\mathbf{T}_{b)}\bar{m}^{\dot{\beta}} \quad (4.69b)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha a,\bar{m}\bar{n}} = i(\sigma_a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}^{\dot{\beta}} + 2i\mathbf{T}_{a[\bar{m},\bar{n}]\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha} a,\bar{m}\bar{n}} = -i(\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}^{\dot{\beta}} - 2i\mathbf{T}_{a[\bar{m},\bar{n}]\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.69c)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\bar{m},a\bar{n}} = i(\sigma_a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}^{\dot{\beta}} - 2i\mathbf{T}_{a(\bar{m},\bar{n})\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\bar{m},a\bar{n}} = -i(\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}^{\dot{\beta}} + 2i\mathbf{T}_{a(\bar{m},\bar{n})\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.69d)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\bar{m},np} = i[\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}n,p\alpha} - \mathbf{T}_{np,\bar{m}\alpha} + \mathbf{T}_{pm,n\alpha}], \quad \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\alpha}\bar{m},np} = -i[\mathbf{T}_{\bar{m}n,p\dot{\alpha}} - \mathbf{T}_{np,\bar{m}\dot{\alpha}} + \mathbf{T}_{pm,n\dot{\alpha}}] \quad (4.69e)$$

4-form. There are no 4-form components beyond dimension 1.

Relations. We repeat (and in some cases state for the first time) some of the important relations implied by the Bianchi identities at dimension $\frac{3}{2}$:

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}R = 0 = D_{\alpha}\bar{R} \quad (4.70a)$$

$$D^{\beta}G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{R} \quad (4.70b)$$

$$D_{\alpha}\bar{S}_{mb} = \frac{i}{4}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_{\bar{m}}^{\dot{\beta}} \iff D_{\alpha}\bar{S}_{m\beta\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\rho}_{\bar{m}}^{\dot{\gamma}} \quad (4.70c)$$

$$D_{\alpha}(R_{\bar{m}} - \bar{R}_{\bar{m}}) = 6iD_{\alpha}S_{\bar{m}} + 4i\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{S}_{\bar{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{8}D^2\lambda_{\bar{m}\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}D_{\alpha}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}}^{\dot{\beta}} \quad (4.70d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{42}D_{\alpha}\mathcal{G}_{\bar{m}} = V_{\bar{m}\alpha} = & -\frac{3i}{2}\rho_{\bar{m}\alpha} - \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\left[\frac{3}{2}S_{\bar{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \bar{S}_{\bar{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}}\right] + 2D_{\alpha}S_{\bar{m}} + \frac{i}{24}[D^2 + 4\bar{D}^2]\lambda_{\bar{m}\alpha} \\ & + \frac{1}{12}[D_{\alpha}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} + 2\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}D_{\alpha}]\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{3}\varphi_{\bar{m}}^{np}\partial_{\bar{n}}\lambda_{p\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (4.70e)$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{R} + \frac{1}{6}\tilde{\bar{R}}\right) = \frac{i}{3}\partial^{\bar{m}}\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}\dot{\alpha}} + 3iD^{\beta}X_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.70f)$$

$$D_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{\bar{R}} - \frac{i}{48}\mathcal{G}\right) = 0 \quad (4.70g)$$

$$D_{\alpha}\mathcal{G}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}} = -\frac{2i}{21}D_{\alpha}\left(4\tilde{\bar{R}}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}} - \tilde{R}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}\right) - \frac{16}{21}\bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}}\tilde{X}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\bar{m}\bar{n}} - \frac{4}{21}\partial_{(\bar{m}}\lambda_{\bar{n})\text{traceless},\alpha} \quad (4.70h)$$

4.3.4 Dimension 2

There are no torsion components beyond dimension $\frac{3}{2}$, and no 4-form components beyond dimension 1. Dimension 2 Bianchi identities either determine various components of the curvature tensor, or imply the algebraic Bianchi identities satisfied by it.

Curvature. The curvature component at dimension 2 is

$$\bullet \mathbf{R}_{\hat{d}\hat{c} \hat{b}\hat{a}}$$

Some Bianchi identities at dimension 2 imply the familiar algebraic identities satisfied by the bosonic Riemann tensor, namely

$$\mathbf{R}_{[\hat{d}\hat{c},\hat{b}]\hat{a}} = 0, \quad (4.71)$$

which, in turn, imply the pair-exchange symmetry $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{d}\hat{c} \hat{b}\hat{a}} = \mathbf{R}_{\hat{b}\hat{a} \hat{d}\hat{c}}$.

The purely 4D torsion Bianchi identities of dimension 2 are

$$\mathbf{R}_{[dc,b]a} = 0 \quad (4.72a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{dc,\beta\alpha} = D_\beta \mathbf{T}_{dc,\alpha} + \partial_d \mathbf{T}_{c\beta,\alpha} - \partial_c \mathbf{T}_{d\beta,\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{R}_{dc,\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{T}_{dc,\dot{\alpha}} + \partial_d \mathbf{T}_{c\dot{\beta},\dot{\alpha}} - \partial_c \mathbf{T}_{d\dot{\beta},\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.72b)$$

$$0 = D_\beta \mathbf{T}_{dc,\dot{\alpha}} + \partial_d \mathbf{T}_{c\beta,\dot{\alpha}} - \partial_c \mathbf{T}_{d\beta,\dot{\alpha}}, \quad 0 = \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{T}_{dc,\alpha} + \partial_d \mathbf{T}_{c\dot{\beta},\alpha} - \partial_c \mathbf{T}_{d\dot{\beta},\alpha} \quad (4.72c)$$

The component $\mathbf{R}_{dc,ba}$ can be decomposed into $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ irreducible pieces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\delta\dot{\delta},\gamma\dot{\gamma},\beta\dot{\beta},\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= (\sigma^d)_{\delta\dot{\delta}}(\sigma^c)_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}(\sigma^b)_{\beta\dot{\beta}}(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{R}_{dc,ba} \\ &= 4 \left[\epsilon_{\delta\dot{\gamma}} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} X_{(\delta\gamma)(\beta\alpha)} + \epsilon_{\delta\gamma} \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \bar{X}_{(\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma})(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})} - \epsilon_{\delta\dot{\gamma}} \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \Psi_{(\delta\gamma)(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})} - \epsilon_{\delta\gamma} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Psi}_{(\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma})(\beta\alpha)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

where $\bar{\Psi}$ and \bar{X} denote complex conjugates of Ψ and X respectively. The algebraic Bianchi identity is satisfied if and only if the following conditions are met:

$$\Psi_{(\delta\gamma)(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})} = \bar{\Psi}_{(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})(\delta\dot{\gamma})}, \quad \epsilon^{\beta\delta} X_{(\alpha\beta)(\gamma\delta)} = \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} \Lambda, \quad \bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda \quad (4.74)$$

The other two Bianchi identities determine completely the irreducible pieces X and Ψ in terms of lower dimensional superfields ($W_{\gamma\beta\alpha}$, $G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$, R), and imply some derivative relations between the said lower dimensional superfields. We have,

$$X_{(\delta\gamma)(\beta\alpha)} = -D_{(\alpha} W_{\beta)\delta\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\delta|(\beta} D_{\alpha)} \bar{D}^{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\gamma)\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\beta|(\delta} (\partial_{\gamma)} \dot{\gamma} G_{\alpha)\dot{\gamma}} \quad (4.75a)$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_{(\dot{\delta}\dot{\gamma})}^{(\beta\alpha)} = -\frac{1}{4} \left[D^{(\beta} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\delta}} G^{\alpha)}_{\dot{\gamma})} - \bar{D}_{(\dot{\delta}} D^{(\beta} G^{\alpha)}_{\dot{\gamma})} \right]. \quad (4.75b)$$

Now we move on to the following components with one internal index.

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}c,ba} = -(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}c,\beta\alpha} - (\bar{\sigma}_{ba})^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}c,\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.76a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\underline{m},\gamma\dot{\gamma},\beta\alpha} &= (\sigma^c)_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}c,\beta\alpha} = (\sigma^c)_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} (\partial_{\underline{m}} \mathbf{T}_{c\beta,\alpha} + \partial_c \mathbf{T}_{\beta\underline{m},\alpha} - D_\beta \mathbf{T}_{c\underline{m},\alpha}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{4} [\epsilon_{\gamma\alpha} \partial_{\underline{m}} G_{\beta\dot{\gamma}} - 3\epsilon_{\beta\gamma} \partial_{\underline{m}} G_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} - 3\epsilon_{\beta\alpha} \partial_{\underline{m}} G_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}] + \partial_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} (i\epsilon_{\beta\alpha} S_{\underline{m}} - S_{\underline{m}\beta\alpha}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\beta(\gamma} D^2 S_{|\underline{m}|\alpha)\dot{\gamma}} + 2i D_{\beta} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} S_{\underline{m}\gamma\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\gamma\alpha} \left[D_{\beta} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} S_{\underline{m}} - \frac{1}{4} D^2 S_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\gamma}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.76b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\underline{m},\gamma\dot{\gamma},\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} &= (\sigma^c)_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}c,\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = (\sigma^c)_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} (\partial_{\underline{m}} \mathbf{T}_{c\dot{\beta},\dot{\alpha}} + \partial_c \mathbf{T}_{\dot{\beta}\underline{m},\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{T}_{c\underline{m},\dot{\alpha}}) \\ &= \frac{i}{4} [\epsilon_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\underline{m}} G_{\gamma\dot{\beta}} - 3\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \partial_{\underline{m}} G_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}} - 3\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\underline{m}} G_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}] + \partial_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} (-i\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} S_{\underline{m}} + \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}(\dot{\gamma}} \bar{D}^2 \bar{S}_{|\underline{m}\gamma|\dot{\alpha})} - 2i \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D_{\gamma} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\gamma\dot{\alpha}} \left[-\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D_{\gamma} S_{\underline{m}} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}^2 \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\gamma\dot{\beta}} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.76c)$$

This clearly means that the $\alpha\beta$ or $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}$ antisymmetric pieces above will vanish, yielding relations between derivatives of the superfields involved, and the expressions for $R_{\underline{m}n,\beta\alpha}$ and $R_{\underline{m}n,\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}$ will contain only the symmetric pieces of the right hand sides. Also, from pair exchange symmetry, we conclude that $\mathbf{R}_{ba,\underline{m}c} = \mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}c,ba}$.

The rest of the components of the Riemann tensor have more than one internal index and are to be G_2 decomposed. First, we consider this component with two internal indices:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},ba} = -(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},\beta\alpha} - (\bar{\sigma}_{ba})^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.77a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},\beta\alpha} = 2\partial_{[\underline{m}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{n}]\beta,\alpha} + D_\beta \mathbf{T}_{\underline{mn},\alpha} \quad (4.77b)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = 2\partial_{[\underline{m}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{n}]\dot{\beta},\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{mn},\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.77c)$$

This again has consequences similar to (4.76) which we do not spell out in detail. We note that $\mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},ba}$ is an internal 2-form, and hence decomposes into a **7** and a **14** of G_2 .

The component $\mathbf{R}_{dc,\underline{np}}$ is antisymmetric in the two internal indices, decomposing into a **7** and a **14** of G_2 . We have

$$\mathbf{R}_{dc,\underline{np}} = \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{\underline{np}}^q \mathcal{R}_{dcq} + R_{dc,[\underline{np}]_{14}} \quad (4.78)$$

Each of these irreducible components get fully determined by Bianchi identities:

$$\mathcal{R}_{dc\underline{m}} = 6i\partial_{[d} \left(S_{|\underline{m}|c]} + \bar{S}_{|\underline{m}|c]} \right) - \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\sigma}_{[c})^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} \partial_{d]} \left(D_\gamma \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \lambda_{\underline{m}\gamma} \right) \quad (4.79a)$$

$$R_{dc,[\underline{mn}]_{14}} = R_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},dc} \quad (\text{by pair exchange}) \quad (4.79b)$$

$$= -(\sigma_{dc})^{\beta\alpha} \left[2\partial_{[\underline{m}} S_{\underline{n}]_{14}\beta,\alpha} + D_\beta T_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},\alpha} \right] - (\bar{\sigma}_{dc})^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \left[2\partial_{[\underline{m}} \bar{S}_{\underline{n}]_{14}\dot{\beta},\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{T}_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},\dot{\alpha}} \right] \quad (4.79c)$$

The other component with two internal indices is $\mathbf{R}_{\underline{na},\underline{bm}}$ belonging in $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7}$ of G_2 . First, we G_2 decompose it,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{na},\underline{bm}} = \frac{1}{7} \delta_{\underline{nm}} \mathcal{S}_{ab} + \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ab\underline{nm}} + \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{\underline{nm}}^p \mathcal{S}_{abp} + \mathcal{S}_{ab[\underline{nm}]_{14}} \quad (4.80a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ab\underline{nm}} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ab\underline{mn}}, \quad \delta^{\underline{nm}} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{na},\underline{bm}} = \mathcal{S}_{ab} \quad (4.80b)$$

The two 4D vector indices a and b on each G_2 -irreducible piece above can be decomposed into symmetric and antisymmetric parts. We choose not to do this explicitly. Instead, we give the full expressions for \mathcal{S}_{ab} , $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ab\underline{nm}}$, $\mathcal{S}_{ab\underline{m}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{ab[\underline{nm}]_{14}}$ as determined by Bianchi identities of dimension 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{ab} = & i\eta_{ab} \partial^n S_{\underline{n}} - 3(\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} \partial^n \bar{S}_{\underline{n}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} + (\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\gamma} \partial^n S_{\underline{n}\beta\gamma} \\ & - 2i\partial_a X_b - \frac{i}{24} \eta_{ab} D^2 (\tilde{R} - \bar{\tilde{R}}) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ab} D^\rho \bar{D}^\rho X_{\rho\dot{\rho}} - (\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\gamma} D_\beta \bar{D}^\rho X_{\gamma\dot{\rho}} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} (\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} D_\beta \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}} X_{|\gamma|\dot{\gamma})} \end{aligned} \quad (4.81a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{ab\underline{nm}} = & \partial_{[\underline{n}} \left[i\eta_{|ab|} S_{\underline{m}]} - 3(\bar{\sigma}_{|ab|})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}]\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + (\sigma_{|ab|})^{\alpha\beta} S_{\underline{m}]\alpha\beta} \right]_{(\underline{nm})\text{traceless}} \\ & - 2i\partial_a \tilde{X}_{b\underline{nm}} - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} (\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} D_\beta \bar{D}_{(\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{X}_{|\gamma|\dot{\gamma})\underline{nm}} - \frac{1}{12} \eta_{ab} D^\rho \bar{D}^\rho \tilde{X}_{\rho\dot{\rho}\underline{nm}} \\ & + \frac{1}{6} (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha \bar{D}^\rho \tilde{X}_{\beta\dot{\rho}\underline{nm}} + \frac{i}{24} \eta_{ab} D^2 (\tilde{R}_{\underline{nm}} - \bar{\tilde{R}}_{\underline{nm}}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.81b)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S}_{ab\bar{m}} &= \varphi_{\bar{m}}^{np} \partial_{\bar{n}} \left[i\eta_{ab} S_{\bar{p}} - 3(\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{\bar{p}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} S_{p\alpha\beta} \right] - \eta_{ab} D^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{\bar{m}} - \frac{i}{48} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}\dot{\beta}} \right] \\
 &+ (\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \partial_a \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(D_{\beta} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}\dot{\beta}} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\bar{m}\beta} \right) - \frac{3i}{2} \left(S_{\bar{m}\beta\dot{\beta}} + \bar{S}_{\bar{m}\beta\dot{\beta}} \right) \right] \\
 &+ (\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} (\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} D_{\beta} \left[\frac{i}{16} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\beta}} D_{|\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}|\dot{\alpha})} + \frac{3}{4} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{|\bar{m}\alpha|\dot{\alpha})} \right] \\
 &- \frac{1}{8} (\sigma_a \bar{\sigma}_b)^{\alpha\beta} D_{\beta} \left[3i\rho_{\bar{m}\alpha} - \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{\bar{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{12} \bar{D}^2 \lambda_{\bar{m}\alpha} + \frac{i}{12} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} D_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}\dot{\beta}} \right] \quad (4.81c)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S}_{ab[nm]_{14}} &= \partial_{[\bar{n}} \left[i\eta_{ab|} S_{\bar{m}]_{14}} + \frac{3}{2} (\bar{\sigma}_{|b} \sigma_{a|})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} \bar{S}_{\bar{m}]_{14}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} - 3(\bar{\sigma}_{|ab|})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} \bar{S}_{\bar{m}]_{14}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} + (\sigma_{|ab|})^{\beta\gamma} S_{\bar{m}]_{14}\beta\gamma} \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{16} D^2 \left((\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\bar{nm}} - \frac{1}{3} (\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\gamma} \mathbf{R}_{\beta\gamma nm} \right) + \frac{1}{4} (\sigma_a \bar{\sigma}_b)^{\gamma\beta} D_{\beta} T_{[nm]_{14},\gamma} . \quad (4.81d)
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that the component $\mathbf{R}_{ab,\bar{m}n} = \mathbf{R}_{\bar{m}n,ab}$ is related through the algebraic Bianchi identity to the antisymmetric part in ab of $\mathbf{R}_{\bar{n}a,b\bar{m}}$ given above. This leads to another relation which we quote in the next paragraph (4.96f).

Next, we consider $\mathbf{R}_{\bar{n}c,pq}$, a curvature component with three internal indices. As a first step, we decompose the last two (antisymmetric) internal indices into a **7** and a **14**,

$$\mathbf{R}_{\bar{n}c,pq} = \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{pq}^r \mathcal{R}_{\bar{n}c,r} + R_{\bar{n}c,[pq]_{14}} , \quad (4.82)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{n}c,\bar{m}}$ is in $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27} + \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14}$ of G_2 :

$$\mathcal{R}_{\bar{n}c,\bar{m}} =: \frac{1}{7} \delta_{\bar{n}\bar{m}} \mathcal{R}_c + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{c\bar{n}\bar{m}} + \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{\bar{n}\bar{m}}^p \mathcal{R}_{c\bar{p}} + \mathcal{R}_{c[nm]_{14}} \quad (4.83a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{n}\bar{m}} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}} , \quad \delta^{\bar{n}\bar{m}} \mathcal{R}_{\bar{n}c,\bar{m}} = \mathcal{R}_c . \quad (4.83b)$$

These superfields are determined completely by Bianchi identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{R}_c &= -\partial_c \tilde{\bar{R}} + \frac{i}{12} (\sigma_c)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} D^{\alpha} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} \left(\tilde{\bar{R}} - \tilde{R} \right) - 2D^2 X_c + i\partial^{\bar{n}} \left(5\bar{S}_{\bar{n}c} + 3S_{\bar{n}c} \right) \\
 &- \frac{1}{8} (\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} \partial_{\bar{n}} \left(D_{\gamma} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{n}\dot{\gamma}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \lambda_{\bar{n}\gamma} \right) \quad (4.84a)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{c\bar{n}\bar{m}} &= -\partial_c \tilde{\bar{R}}_{\bar{n}\bar{m}} + \frac{i}{12} (\sigma_c)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} D^{\alpha} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} \left(\tilde{\bar{R}}_{\bar{n}\bar{m}} - \tilde{R}_{\bar{n}\bar{m}} \right) - \frac{4}{3} D^2 \tilde{X}_{c\bar{n}\bar{m}} \\
 &+ \left[i\partial_{\bar{n}} \left(5\bar{S}_{\bar{m}c} + 3S_{\bar{m}c} \right) - \frac{1}{8} (\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} \partial_{\bar{n}} \left(D_{\gamma} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}\dot{\gamma}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \lambda_{\bar{m}\gamma} \right) \right]_{(\bar{n}\bar{m})\text{traceless}} \quad (4.84b)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\bar{m}} &= (\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \mathcal{R}_{a\bar{m}} \\
 &= 2\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{R}_{\bar{m}} - \frac{3i}{2} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{R}_{\bar{m}} - 2D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} S_{\bar{m}} + \frac{5}{2} D^2 S_{\bar{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} \\
 &+ \frac{i}{24} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}^2 \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}\dot{\beta}} + \frac{i}{6} D^2 \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\bar{m}\alpha} + \frac{i}{12} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D^{\beta} \lambda_{\bar{m}\beta} \\
 &+ \varphi_{\bar{m}}^{np} \partial_{\bar{n}} \left[5i\bar{S}_{\bar{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + 3iS_{\bar{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{4} \left(D_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{p}\dot{\beta}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\bar{p}\alpha} \right) \right] \quad (4.84c)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{R}_{c[nm]_{14}} &= 2\partial_c L_{[nm]_{14}} + \partial_{[\bar{n}} \left(5i\bar{S} + 3iS \right)_{\bar{m}]_{14}c} + (\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} D_{\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{2} T_{[nm]_{14},\dot{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{24} \bar{D}^{\dot{\rho}} \mathbf{R}_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\gamma}\bar{nm}} \right] \\
 &+ (\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\gamma}\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{8} D^{\rho} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{R}_{\rho\gamma\bar{nm}} - \frac{1}{8} \partial_{[\bar{n}} \left(D_{|\gamma|} \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{m}]_{14}\dot{\gamma}} - \bar{D}_{|\dot{\gamma}|} \lambda_{\bar{m}]_{14}\gamma} \right) \right] \quad (4.84d)
 \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of $\mathbf{R}_{\underline{nc},\underline{pq}}$, namely $R_{\underline{nc},[\underline{pq}]_{14}}$, is in $\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{14} = \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14} + \mathbf{64}$ of G_2 . A separate dimension 2 Bianchi identity determines the component $\mathbf{R}_{\underline{pq},\underline{nc}}$ fully, from which, using pair exchange symmetry, one can determine $R_{\underline{nc},[\underline{pq}]_{14}}$, and an additional derivative relation.⁴ We give the expression for $R_{\underline{nc},[\underline{pq}]_{14}}$ here, and quote the relation in the next paragraph (4.96e).

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\underline{nc},[\underline{pq}]_{14}} &= R_{[\underline{pq}]_{14},\underline{nc}} \\ &= -(\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \left[D_{\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{pq},\underline{n}\dot{\alpha}} - 2i\partial_{[\underline{p}} \left(\tilde{X}_{|\beta\dot{\alpha}\underline{n}|\underline{q}]} + \frac{1}{7}\delta_{\underline{q}]\underline{n}} \tilde{X}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i\partial_{[\underline{p}} \varphi_{\underline{q}]\underline{n}}{}^r \left(\frac{i}{12} D_{\beta} \bar{\lambda}_{r\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{S}_{r\beta\dot{\alpha}} \right) \right]_{[\underline{pq}]_{14}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.85)$$

Finally, we consider the purely internal component $\mathbf{R}_{\underline{pq},\underline{mn}}$. Pair exchange symmetry implies that

$$\mathbf{R}_{[\underline{mn}]_7, [\underline{pq}]_7} = \mathbf{R}_{[\underline{pq}]_7, [\underline{mn}]_7} \in (\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7})_{\text{symmetric}} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27} \quad (4.86a)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{[\underline{mn}]_7, [\underline{pq}]_{14}} = \mathbf{R}_{[\underline{pq}]_{14}, [\underline{mn}]_7} \in \mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{14} = \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{27} + \mathbf{64} \quad (4.86b)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{[\underline{mn}]_{14}, [\underline{pq}]_{14}} = \mathbf{R}_{[\underline{pq}]_{14}, [\underline{mn}]_{14}} \in (\mathbf{14} \times \mathbf{14})_{\text{symmetric}} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27} + \mathbf{77}' \quad (4.86c)$$

We find that everything except the $\mathbf{77}'$ piece of $\mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},\underline{pq}}$ gets determined by dimension 2 Bianchi identities. To illustrate this, we first decompose the last pair of antisymmetric indices into $\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14}$:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\underline{pq},\underline{mn}} = \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{\underline{mn}}{}^r \mathcal{R}_{\underline{pq},r} + R_{\underline{pq},[\underline{mn}]_{14}} \quad (4.87)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{np},\underline{m}} \in (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14}) \times \mathbf{7}$, so it can be further G_2 decomposed. The same goes for $R_{\underline{mn},[\underline{pq}]_{14}} \in (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14}) \times \mathbf{14}$. Even without doing these decompositions explicitly, we find that the entire $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{np},\underline{m}}$ is determined by a dimension 2 Bianchi identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{np},\underline{m}} &= 4\partial_{[\underline{n}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\bar{R}}_{\underline{p}]\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{7} \delta_{\underline{p}]\underline{m}} \tilde{\bar{R}} + \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{\underline{p}]\underline{mq}} \tilde{\bar{R}}^q + L_{\underline{p}]\underline{m}_{14}} \right] \\ &\quad - 2D^{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{42} \varphi_{\underline{npm}} + \frac{1}{24} \psi_{\underline{npmq}} V^q{}_{\alpha} + \frac{3}{4} \varphi^q_{[\underline{np}} V_{\underline{m}]\underline{q}\alpha} \right. \\ &\quad + \varphi_{\underline{np}}{}^q J_{\underline{qm}\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\underline{pm}}{}^q J_{\underline{qn}\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\underline{nm}}{}^q J_{\underline{qp}\alpha} \\ &\quad + \varphi_{\underline{np}}{}^q I_{\underline{qm}\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\underline{pm}}{}^q I_{\underline{qn}\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\underline{nm}}{}^q I_{\underline{qp}\alpha} \\ &\quad \left. + Z_{\underline{np}|\underline{m}\alpha} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.88)$$

from which the irreducible pieces in $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{np},\underline{m}}$ can be extracted. The remaining piece $R_{\underline{pq},[\underline{mn}]_{14}}$ does not participate in any Bianchi identities in $4|4+7$ except for the algebraic one, namely

⁴Since $R_{\underline{nc},[\underline{pq}]_7}$ gets determined in two different ways from the Bianchi identities, they must be set equal.

$R_{[mn,p]q} = 0$. Hence, it can only be (possibly partially) determined in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{np,m}$ by using the algebraic identity. Its first two (form) indices can again be split into a **7** and a **14**,

$$R_{\underline{pq},[\underline{mn}]_{14}} = R_{[\underline{pq}]_7,[\underline{mn}]_{14}} + R_{[\underline{pq}]_{14},[\underline{mn}]_{14}} \quad (4.89)$$

The first term $R_{[\underline{pq}]_7,[\underline{mn}]_{14}}$ is determined in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{np,m}$ using pair exchange:

$$R_{[\underline{pq}]_7,[\underline{mn}]_{14}} = R_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},[\underline{pq}]_7} = \frac{1}{6} \varphi_{\underline{pq}}^{\underline{r}} \mathcal{R}_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},\underline{r}}. \quad (4.90)$$

The second term is $R_{[\underline{pq}]_{14},[\underline{mn}]_{14}} \in (\mathbf{14} \times \mathbf{14})_{\text{symmetric}} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27} + \mathbf{77}'$. We can use the algebraic Bianchi identity to determine the **1** and the **27** pieces in the following way. Projecting the identity onto **1**,

$$\psi^{\underline{mnpq}} R_{\underline{mn},\underline{pq}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},[\underline{mn}]_{14}} = \frac{1}{3} \varphi^{\underline{mnp}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{mn},\underline{p}}, \quad (4.91)$$

meaning that the singlet in $R_{[\underline{pq}]_{14},[\underline{mn}]_{14}}$ is proportional to $\varphi^{\underline{mnp}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{mn},\underline{p}}$. Next, projecting the algebraic Bianchi identity onto $(\mathbf{7} \times \mathbf{7})_{\text{symmetric}} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{27}$ (and subtracting the **1**) gives the **27** piece:

$$\psi_{(\underline{r}}^{\underline{mnp}} R_{[\underline{mn},\underline{p}]\underline{q})} = 0 \quad (4.92a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \left(R_{(14 \times 14)|27} \right)_{\underline{qr}} &= -\frac{1}{21} \delta_{\underline{rq}} \varphi^{\underline{mnp}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{mn},\underline{p}} + \frac{1}{6} \psi_{(\underline{r}}^{\underline{mnp}} \varphi_{\underline{q}]\underline{p}}^{\underline{s}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{mn},\underline{s}} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{3} \varphi_{(\underline{r}}^{\underline{ps}} \Pi^{\mathbf{14}}_{\underline{q}]\underline{p}}^{\underline{ij}} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{ij},\underline{s}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.92b)$$

It is not possible to determine the **77'** piece in terms of $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{mn},\underline{p}}$ using the algebraic Bianchi identity simply because $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{mn},\underline{p}} \in (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{14} + \mathbf{27}) + (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{27} + \mathbf{64})$. It is a piece of the curvature component that is completely unconstrained.

Relations. We again state some derivative relations implied by Bianchi identities. Some of these arise from the fact that certain components of the Riemann tensor that are equal by pair exchange symmetry are determined by different Bianchi identities to be different stuff. So these different stuff must be set equal. Other relations arise from projecting Bianchi identities into their symmetric/antisymmetric pieces with respect to two dotted/undotted spinor indices. The symmetric pieces determine Riemann components (which went in the paragraph above) and the anti-symmetric pieces give rise to derivative relations between torsion components.

$$D_{\delta} \bar{W}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = 0 = \bar{D}_{\dot{\delta}} W_{\gamma\beta\alpha} \quad (4.93a)$$

$$D^{\alpha} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} D_{(\beta} G_{\gamma)}^{\dot{\gamma}} \quad (4.93b)$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} D_{(\gamma} \bar{S}^{\underline{m}}_{\beta)\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \quad (4.94a)$$

$$2iD^2 \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} = -D^{\beta} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\gamma}} \bar{S}_{|\underline{m}\beta|\dot{\alpha})} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_{(\dot{\gamma}} D^{\beta} \bar{S}_{|\underline{m}\beta|\dot{\alpha})} \quad (4.94b)$$

$$8i\partial_{\underline{m}} R^{\dagger} = -D^2 S_{\underline{m}} - \left(D^{\beta} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} D^{\beta} \right) \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\beta\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.94c)$$

$$4i\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{np} \partial_{\underline{n}} S_{\underline{p}} = -\frac{3i}{2} D^{\alpha} \rho_{\underline{m}\alpha} - D^2 S_{\underline{m}} + D^{\alpha} \bar{D}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{i}{24} (D^{\alpha} \bar{D}^2 \lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D^2 \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\beta}}) \quad (4.94d)$$

$$D^{\alpha} T_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},\alpha} = 4i\partial_{[\underline{m}} S_{\underline{n}]_{14}} \quad (4.94e)$$

$$2i\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{np} \partial_{\underline{n}} S_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{3i}{2} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \rho_{\underline{m}\alpha} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D_{\alpha} S_{\underline{m}} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}^2 \bar{S}_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{48} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D^2 \lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} + \frac{i}{48} \bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{12} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \partial_{\alpha} \dot{\gamma} \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma}} \quad (4.94f)$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} T_{[\underline{mn}]_{14},\alpha} = 2i\partial_{[\underline{m}} S_{\underline{n}]_{14}\alpha\dot{\beta}} \quad (4.94g)$$

$$3iD_{(\alpha} \rho_{|\underline{m}|\beta)} + 3i\partial_{(\alpha} \dot{\gamma} S_{|\underline{m}|\beta)\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(7D_{(\alpha} \bar{D}^{\dot{\gamma}} + 3\bar{D}^{\dot{\gamma}} D_{(\alpha} \right) \bar{S}_{|\underline{m}|\beta)\dot{\gamma}} - 4\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{np} \partial_{\underline{n}} S_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{24} D_{(\alpha} \bar{D}^{\dot{\gamma}} D_{\beta)} \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{i}{12} \left(2D_{(\alpha} \bar{D}^2 - 3\bar{D}^2 D_{(\alpha} \right) \lambda_{|\underline{m}|\beta)} \quad (4.95a)$$

$$(\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \left(2\partial_{[b} \mathbf{T}_{a]\beta,\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} + D_{\beta} \mathbf{T}_{ba,\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} \right) = (\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{mc},\beta\alpha} + (\bar{\sigma}_{ba})^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{mc},\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \Rightarrow \partial_{(\alpha} \dot{\beta} \left[\epsilon_{\beta)\gamma} \left(i\epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} S_{\underline{m}} + 3\bar{S}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \right) + S_{|\underline{m}|\beta)\gamma} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\gamma(\alpha} D^2 \bar{S}_{|\underline{m}|\beta)\dot{\gamma}} + 2iD_{\gamma} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} S_{\underline{m}\alpha\beta} = R_{\underline{m},\gamma\dot{\gamma},\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{use (4.76c) here.} \quad (4.95b)$$

$$0 = i\partial^{\underline{n}} \bar{S}_{\underline{n}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \frac{3}{8} D^2 X_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{i}{24} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \left(\tilde{R} - \tilde{R} \right) \quad (4.96a)$$

$$0 = i\partial_{(\underline{n}} \bar{S}_{\underline{m})\text{traceless}\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{6} D^2 \tilde{X}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}\underline{nm}} + \frac{i}{24} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \left(\tilde{R}_{\underline{nm}} - \tilde{R}_{\underline{nm}} \right) \quad (4.96b)$$

$$0 = \varphi_{\underline{m}}^{np} \partial_{\underline{n}} \bar{S}_{\underline{p}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{3}{8} D_{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_{\underline{m}\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{2} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} S_{\underline{m}} - \frac{i}{8} D^2 S_{\underline{m}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{48} \left[D^2 \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\underline{m}\alpha} - D_{\alpha} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D^{\beta} \lambda_{\underline{m}\beta} - \frac{1}{2} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}^2 \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{m}}^{\dot{\beta}} \right] \quad (4.96c)$$

$$0 = 3i\partial_{[\underline{n}} \bar{S}_{\underline{m}]_{14}\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{3}{4} D_{\alpha} T_{[\underline{nm}]_{14},\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{16} \left[3D^{\gamma} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} + 2\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} D^{\gamma} \right] \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\gamma\underline{nm}} + \frac{1}{16} D_{\alpha} \bar{D}^{\dot{\gamma}} \mathbf{R}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}\underline{nm}} \quad (4.96d)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\underline{m},\underline{cn}} = -(\bar{\sigma}_c)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \varphi_{\underline{n}}^{pq} \left[D_{\beta} \mathbf{T}_{pq,\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} - 2i\partial_{\underline{p}} \left(\tilde{X}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}\underline{mq}} + \frac{1}{7} \delta_{\underline{mq}} \tilde{X}_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} \right) + i\varphi_{qm}^{\underline{r}} \partial_{\underline{p}} \left(\frac{i}{12} D_{\beta} \bar{\lambda}_{\underline{r}\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{S}_{\underline{r}\beta\dot{\alpha}} \right) \right] \quad (4.96e)$$

$$2\mathbf{R}_{\underline{m}[a,b]\underline{n}} = (\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},\beta\alpha} + (\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{R}_{\underline{mn},\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \quad (4.96f)$$

$$\left[2\partial_{[\underline{n}} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{p}]\beta,\underline{m}\alpha} + D_{\beta} \mathbf{T}_{\underline{np},\underline{m}\alpha} \right]_{(\alpha\beta)} = 0 \quad (4.97)$$

4.3.5 Remaining Bianchi identities

We mention for completeness that there are dimension 2 Bianchi identities satisfied by the 4-form field strength:

$$\partial_{[\hat{e}} G_{\hat{d}\hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{a}]} = 0. \quad (4.98)$$

There are also dimension $\frac{5}{2}$ torsion Bianchi identities, only containing bosonic curls like the one above:

$$\partial_{[\underline{m}} T_{cb]}^{\alpha} = \partial_{[\underline{n}} T_{\underline{m}b]}^{\alpha} = \partial_{[\underline{n}} T_{mp]}^{\alpha} = 0 \quad (4.99a)$$

$$\partial_{[\underline{d}} T_{cb]}^{m\alpha} = \partial_{[\underline{n}} T_{cb]}^{m\alpha} = \partial_{[\underline{n}} T_{pb]}^{m\alpha} = \partial_{[\underline{n}} T_{pq]}^{m\alpha} = 0 \quad (4.99b)$$

5 Discussion and possible extensions

The main goal in this paper has been to describe the 4|4 + 7 superspace geometry underlying linearized 11D supergravity when 4D $N = 1$ supersymmetry is manifest. This supergeometry underlies the linearized action given in [10]. It is an off-shell supergeometry (just as the linearized action is off-shell) and involves linearized fields organized in terms of representations of $SO(3,1) \times G_2$, which is the symmetry respected by the Minkowski background. A crucial feature is that while the background itself is $SO(3,1) \times G_2$, the linearized fluctuations involve the full 11D super-Poincaré group, and this feature lets us identify the actual linearized 11D component fields without going through a cumbersome Wess-Zumino-type gauge-fixing procedure. Even taking this into account, the off-shell geometry is rather involved, with quite a number of superfields and interlocking Bianchi identities.

A natural question is whether this can be pushed to a nonlinear level. In principle there is no obstruction, but it would naturally grow increasingly cumbersome, for the same reasons that general relativity written as a fluctuation about (say) a Minkowski background becomes cumbersome: the underlying geometric principle is hidden and only emerges when the infinite sum of terms is considered.

As discussed in the introduction, there are other approaches that would not exploit this asymmetry between background and fluctuation. Our approach in [11, 12] is along these lines, with the balance between natural 4D $N = 1$ ingredients and underlying 11D geometry fully tilted toward the former: the framework is a generic 4|4 + 7 supergeometry presuming only the $GL(7)$ structure of internal diffeomorphisms on the internal manifold. The superfields appropriate for 11D supergravity are chosen to admit a network of hidden, non-manifest symmetries. Another approach would be to take $SO(3,1) \times G_2$ as an organizing principle from the beginning; that would involve treating the extra components of the linearized spin connection we have discussed as contributions instead to the torsion tensor. Then even more off-shell superfields would be involved with a hidden 11D Lorentz symmetry. (Such an approach would undoubtedly be directly related to [11, 12] after degauging the G_2 symmetry.) Such tradeoffs are perhaps inevitable.

Another approach that would take us even further afield involves building a 4D $N = 1$ superspace version of E_7 exceptional field theory (ExFT) [20]. Here the internal manifold

involves 56 coordinates filling out the fundamental representation of E_7 , with a section condition implying that only a small set are physical; both 11D and type IIB supergravities are encoded in a duality-covariant way, and the connection to 4D $N = 8$ supergravity (arising after a consistent truncation) is extremely transparent. The fully $N = 8$ supersymmetric version of E_7 ExFT has been given at the component [21] and superfield [22] levels, but are both purely on-shell. At first glance, a 4D $N = 1$ superspace formulation would seem impossible because the 70 scalars of E_7 ExFT (like $N = 8$ supergravity) live in a coset of $E_7/\text{SU}(8)$, whereas only a subgroup of the $\text{SU}(8)$ R-symmetry group is respected by $N = 1$ supersymmetry. However, progress along these lines has been made recently [23], where so-called generalized $N = 1$ structures have been identified within the context of E_7 generalized geometry. It's plausible that this approach could be applied at the superspace level. If possible, it would yield a partly off-shell duality-covariant framework. We leave such interesting questions to future work.

Acknowledgments

We thank William Linch for discussions, and Artem Bolshov and Nathan Brady for collaboration at an early stage of this work. This work is partially supported by the NSF under grants NSF-2112859, and the Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy at Texas A&M University.

A Γ matrices in 4, 7, and 11 dimensions

The defining postulates for matrices B and C which relate a representation of the Clifford algebra with its complex conjugate, and transpose representations respectively, are

$$\Gamma_\mu^* = -\eta(-1)^t B \Gamma_\mu B^{-1}, \quad \Gamma_\mu^T = -\eta C \Gamma_\mu C^{-1} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where t is the number of time-like directions. All Γ 's are chosen to be unitary. The B and C matrices can be related as $C = B^T A$, where A is the product of all time-like Γ matrices. This implies

$$B^T = \epsilon \eta^t (-1)^{\frac{t(t-1)}{2}} B, \quad C^T = -\epsilon C, \quad \epsilon = -\sqrt{2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(1 + \eta D)\right) \quad (\text{A.2a})$$

In even dimensions, both $\eta = 1$ and $\eta = -1$ are allowed. In odd dimensions, the first $(D-1)$ Γ 's are borrowed from the preceding even dimension, and the last one is obtained by choosing one from two possibilities: $\Gamma_D = \pm i^{t+\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_{D-1}$. This last Γ satisfies the same complex conjugation rule as the first $D-1$ Γ 's only for one of the two values of η in $(D-1)$ dimensions. The rule is $-\eta = (-1)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}}$. Further details may be found e.g. in [19].

For example, in $1+10$ dimensions, we must have $\eta = 1$, $\epsilon = 1$. Therefore, any set of 11D gamma matrices, which for later convenience we denote $\hat{\Gamma}_a$, must satisfy

$$\hat{\Gamma}_a^* = \hat{B} \hat{\Gamma}_a \hat{B}^{-1}, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_a^T = -\hat{C} \hat{\Gamma}_a \hat{C}^{-1} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\hat{B}^\dagger \hat{B} = \mathbf{1} = \hat{B}^* \hat{B} \Rightarrow \hat{B}^T = \hat{B}, \quad \hat{C}^T = -\hat{C}, \quad \hat{B} = -\hat{C} \hat{\Gamma}_0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The index structures are $\hat{C} \sim \hat{C}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}$, and $\hat{C}^{-1} \sim \hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}$. Spinor indices are raised and lowered following the conventions

$$A_{\hat{\alpha}} = -\hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} A^{\hat{\beta}}, \quad A^{\hat{\alpha}} = -\hat{C}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} A_{\hat{\beta}}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In practice, we will build our 11D gamma matrices by taking tensor products of 4D and 7D gamma matrices.

A.1 4D gamma matrices

Our conventions are similar to [16]. We introduce the σ^a matrices

$$\sigma^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.6a})$$

$$(\bar{\sigma}^a)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^a)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \quad (\text{A.6b})$$

and use these to build a Weyl representation for 4D γ matrices:

$$\gamma^a = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_2 & i\sigma^a \\ i\bar{\sigma}^a & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

As matrices, $\gamma^0 = i\sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^0$, and $\gamma^{1,2,3} = -\sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^{1,2,3}$. We choose $\eta = \epsilon = 1$ with

$$C_{4D} = -i\sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & -\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$B_{4D} = \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_2 & -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \\ -\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We take the chiral γ_5 matrix to be

$$\gamma_5 := i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = -\sigma^3 \otimes \sigma^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & -\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

A.2 7D gamma matrices

Euclidean SO(7) Γ matrices obey $\{\Gamma^a, \Gamma^b\} = 2\delta^{ab}\mathbf{1}_8$. Let $\Gamma^1, \dots, \Gamma^6$ supply the (unique up to similarity transformations) unitary irrep of dimension 8 of SO(6). We choose $\Gamma^7 = i\Gamma^1\Gamma^2\dots\Gamma^6$. The dimension being odd, we have only one option for η and ϵ , in this case $\eta = 1$ and $\epsilon = -1$. Unitarity of the gamma matrices and Euclidean signature implies Γ^a are Hermitian. It also follows that

$$\Gamma^{[a_1 \dots a_7]} =: \Gamma^{a_1 \dots a_7} = -i\epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_7}, \quad \epsilon^{12\dots 7} = 1. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The C and B matrices obey $C_{7D}^T = C_{7D}$, $B_{7D}^T = B_{7D}$, and since all Γ 's are spacelike, $C_{7D} = B_{7D}$. A Majorana basis can be chosen in which $B_{7D} = C_{7D}$ is the identity matrix. The proof goes as follows. Under a unitary change of basis, $\Gamma'^a = U^{-1}\Gamma^a U$, C_{7D} transforms as $C'_{7D} = U^T C_{7D} U$. We invoke the Autonne-Takagi factorization theorem which states that, since C_{7D} is a complex symmetric matrix, then there exists a unitary matrix U such

that C'_{7D} is a real diagonal matrix with non-negative entries. Being unitary, the eigenvalues of C'_{7D} must be pure phases. These two facts mean we can choose $C'_{7D} = B'_{7D} = \mathbf{1}_8$ (and henceforth dropping the primes). This means that Γ^a are antisymmetric and purely imaginary,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma^a)^T &= -\Gamma^a, \quad (\Gamma^a)^* = -\Gamma^a \\ \Rightarrow (\Gamma^a)_I{}^J &= -(\Gamma^a)_J{}^I = (\Gamma^a)_{IJ} = -(\Gamma^a)_{JI} = -(\Gamma^a)^*_{IJ} \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

A.3 Explicit 11D gamma matrices

We choose the following 11D Γ matrices:

$$\hat{\Gamma}^a := \gamma^a \otimes \mathbf{1}_8 \Rightarrow (\hat{\Gamma}^a)_{\hat{\alpha}}{}^{\hat{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{16} & i(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\delta^{IJ} \\ i(\bar{\sigma}^a)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}\delta^{IJ} & \mathbf{0}_{16} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.13a})$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}^a := -\gamma_5 \otimes \Gamma^a \Rightarrow (\hat{\Gamma}^a)_{\hat{\alpha}}{}^{\hat{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}(\Gamma^a)_{IJ} & \mathbf{0}_{16} \\ \mathbf{0}_{16} & \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\Gamma^a)^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.13b})$$

The charge conjugation matrix is

$$\hat{C} := C_{4D} \otimes C_{7D} \Rightarrow \hat{C}^{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{IJ} & \mathbf{0}_{16} \\ \mathbf{0}_{16} & -\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\delta_{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.14a})$$

$$\hat{C}^{-1} = -\hat{C} \Rightarrow \hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{IJ} & \mathbf{0}_{16} \\ \mathbf{0}_{16} & -\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\delta^{IJ} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.14b})$$

The matrices $\hat{C}\hat{\Gamma}$, for $\hat{\Gamma}$ of any rank, have both spinor indices upstairs, and $\Gamma\hat{C}^{-1}$ have both indices downstairs, and have the following symmetry properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Symmetric ranks: } &1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10 \\ \text{Antisymmetric ranks: } &3, 4, 7, 8 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Other useful results include

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{ab} = \gamma^{ab} \otimes \mathbf{1}_8 = -2 \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^{ab} & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & \bar{\sigma}^{ab} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_8 \quad (\text{A.16a})$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_5, \gamma^a] \otimes \Gamma^b = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_2 & i\sigma^a \\ -i\bar{\sigma}^a & \mathbf{0}_2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \Gamma^b \quad (\text{A.16b})$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}^{ab} = \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes \Gamma^{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} & \mathbf{0}_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_2 & \delta^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \Gamma^{ab} \quad (\text{A.16c})$$

where $\sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma^a\bar{\sigma}^b - \sigma^b\bar{\sigma}^a)$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{ab} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\sigma}^a\sigma^b - \bar{\sigma}^b\sigma^a)$.

B Engineering dimensions

We define the mass (engineering) dimensions of various components of connections and curvatures. Superspace coordinates, and coordinate differentials have

$$[x^{\hat{m}}] = [d\hat{x}^{\hat{m}}] = -1, \quad [\theta^{\hat{\mu}}] = [d\theta^{\hat{\mu}}] = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{B.1a})$$

The frame basis has the same mass dimension as the coordinate basis:

$$[\hat{E}^{\hat{a}}] = -1 \quad \implies \quad [\hat{E}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{a}}] = 0, \quad [\hat{E}_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{a}}] = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{B.2a})$$

$$[\hat{E}^{\hat{\alpha}}] = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \implies \quad [\hat{E}_{\hat{m}}^{\hat{\alpha}}] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad [\hat{E}_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{\alpha}}] = 0 \quad (\text{B.2b})$$

Exterior differentiation doesn't change mass dimension. The mass dimensions of an arbitrary (p, q) super-tensor, and those of its components in any basis $\{\hat{e}_{\hat{M}_i}\}, \{\hat{e}^{\hat{N}_j}\}$,

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{e}_{\hat{M}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{e}_{\hat{M}_p} \otimes \hat{e}^{\hat{N}_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{e}^{\hat{N}_q} \quad \hat{\Sigma}^{\hat{M}_1 \dots \hat{M}_p}_{\hat{N}_1 \dots \hat{N}_q} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

are therefore related as

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\Sigma}] &= [\hat{\Sigma}^{\hat{M}_1 \dots \hat{M}_p}_{\hat{N}_1 \dots \hat{N}_q}] - n_v - \frac{1}{2}n_s + m_v + \frac{1}{2}m_s \\ n_v &= \text{number of vector } \hat{N}'\text{'s}, \quad n_s = \text{number of spinor } \hat{N}'\text{'s} \\ m_v &= \text{number of vector } \hat{M}'\text{'s}, \quad m_s = \text{number of spinor } \hat{M}'\text{'s} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The engineering dimension of the spin connection, torsion, and Riemann tensors are

$$[\Omega_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}] = 0 \quad \implies \quad [\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{c}\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}] = 1, \quad [\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\text{B.5a})$$

$$[\hat{T}^{\hat{a}}] = -1 \quad \implies \quad [\hat{T}_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}^{\hat{a}}] = 1, \quad [\hat{T}_{\hat{b}\hat{\gamma}}^{\hat{a}}] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad [\hat{T}_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}}^{\hat{a}}] = 0, \quad (\text{B.5b})$$

$$[\hat{T}^{\hat{\alpha}}] = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \implies \quad [\hat{T}_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}^{\hat{\alpha}}] = \frac{3}{2}, \quad [\hat{T}_{\hat{b}\hat{\gamma}}^{\hat{\alpha}}] = 1, \quad [\hat{T}_{\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}}^{\hat{\alpha}}] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\text{B.5c})$$

$$[\hat{R}_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}] = 0 \quad \implies \quad [\hat{R}_{\hat{d}\hat{c}\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}] = 2, \quad [\hat{R}_{\hat{d}\hat{\gamma}\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}] = \frac{3}{2}, \quad [\hat{R}_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}] = 1. \quad (\text{B.5d})$$

From the component action of 11D supergravity, the mass dimension of 3-form must be

$$[\hat{C}] = -3 \quad \implies \quad [\hat{C}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}] = 0, \quad [\hat{C}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{\gamma}}] = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad [\hat{C}_{\hat{a}\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}}] = -1, \quad [\hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}}] = -\frac{3}{2} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$[\hat{G}] = -3 \quad \implies \quad [\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}}] = 1, \quad [\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{\delta}}] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad [\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}}] = 0, \quad [\hat{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}}] = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad [\hat{G}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}}] = -1.$$

The prepotential superfields necessarily have dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} [X] &= -1, \quad [\Sigma_{\alpha\bar{m}}] = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad [V_{mn}] = -1, \quad [\Phi_{mnp}] = 0, \quad [\mathcal{V}^m] = -1, \\ [H_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}] &= -1, \quad [\Psi_{\bar{m}\alpha}] = -\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

C Decomposing 11D spinor indices

When restricting the 11|32 superspace to 4|4 + 7, the 32-component spinor index \hat{a} can be decomposed by expanding a generic 11D: spinor Ψ as

$$\psi \otimes \eta, \quad \psi_{\bar{m}} \otimes (i\Gamma^{\bar{m}}\eta) \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where ψ is a spinor of $\text{SO}(3,1)$ and η is a real commuting spinor of $\text{SO}(7)$, which we normalize as $\eta^T \eta = 1$. The spinors η and $i\Gamma^{\bar{m}}\eta$ are linearly independent and provide a

basis for 8-component real spinors of $\text{SO}(7)$. The spinor η is the spinor associated to the G_2 -structure,

$$\varphi_{\underline{mnp}} = i\eta^T \Gamma_{\underline{mnp}} \eta. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Therefore, η and $i\Gamma^{\underline{m}}\eta$ are singlets under G_2 and parametrize the decomposition of a generic $\text{SO}(7)$ spinor $\mathbf{8}_{\text{SO}(7)} = \mathbf{1}_{G_2} \oplus \mathbf{7}_{G_2}$. An 11D spinor Ψ can then be explicitly decomposed as

$$\Psi_{\hat{\alpha}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{\alpha I} \\ \Psi^{\dot{\alpha} I} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{\alpha I} = \eta_I \Psi_{\alpha} + i(\Gamma^{\underline{m}}\eta)_I \Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}, \quad \Psi^{\dot{\alpha} I} = \eta^I \Psi^{\dot{\alpha}} + i(\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\eta)^I \Psi^{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Such a decomposition makes it transparent how our 11D gamma matrices act.

At this stage, let us record a few useful results for the G_2 spinors:

$$\eta^T \Gamma^{\underline{m}} \eta = 0 \quad (\text{C.4a})$$

$$\eta^T \Gamma^{\underline{m}} \Gamma^{\underline{n}} \eta = \delta^{\underline{mn}} \quad (\text{C.4b})$$

$$\eta^T \Gamma^{\underline{m}} \Gamma^{\underline{n}} \Gamma^{\underline{p}} \eta = \eta^T \Gamma^{\underline{mnp}} \eta = -i\varphi^{\underline{mnp}} \quad (\text{C.4c})$$

$$\eta^T \Gamma^{\underline{mnpq}} \eta = \psi^{\underline{mnpq}} = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{\underline{mnpqrst}} \varphi_{\underline{rst}} = (\star\varphi)^{\underline{mnpq}} \quad (\text{C.4d})$$

$$\eta^T \Gamma^{\underline{m}} \Gamma^{\underline{n}} \Gamma^{\underline{p}} \Gamma^{\underline{q}} \eta = \psi^{\underline{mnpq}} + \delta^{\underline{mn}} \delta^{\underline{pq}} - \delta^{\underline{mp}} \delta^{\underline{nq}} + \delta^{\underline{mq}} \delta^{\underline{np}} \quad (\text{C.4e})$$

In order to extract Ψ_{α} , $\Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha}$ etc. from $\Psi_{\alpha I}$, one can use the projection relations:

$$\Psi_{\alpha} = \eta^I \Psi_{\alpha I}, \quad \Psi_{\underline{m}\alpha} = i(\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\eta)^I \Psi_{\alpha I}, \quad (\text{C.5a})$$

$$\Psi^{\dot{\alpha}} = \eta_I \Psi^{\dot{\alpha} I}, \quad \Psi^{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} = i(\Gamma^{\underline{m}}\eta)_I \Psi^{\dot{\alpha} I}. \quad (\text{C.5b})$$

Contractions of 11D spinors decompose in the following way:

$$A^{\hat{\alpha}} B_{\hat{\alpha}} := -A^{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{C}_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} B^{\hat{\beta}} = A^{\alpha} B_{\alpha} + A_{\dot{\alpha}} B^{\dot{\alpha}} + A^{\underline{m}\alpha} B_{\underline{m}\alpha} + A_{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}} B^{\underline{m}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

D Background torsion and curvature tensors

In a Minkowski background, the only non-zero components of the torsion are $\mathring{T}_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}^{\hat{\alpha}} = 2(\hat{\Gamma}^{\hat{\alpha}})_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\beta}}$, which decompose as

$$\mathring{T}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^a = 2i(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad \mathring{T}_{\underline{m}\alpha, \underline{n}\dot{\beta}}^a = 2i\delta_{\underline{mn}}(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

$$\mathring{T}_{\alpha, \underline{n}\dot{\beta}}^{\underline{m}} = 2i\delta_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{m}} \epsilon_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad \mathring{T}^{\dot{\alpha}, \underline{n}\dot{\beta}, \underline{m}} = -2i\delta^{\underline{mn}} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\text{D.2})$$

$$\mathring{T}_{\underline{n}\dot{\beta}, \underline{p}\dot{\gamma}}^{\underline{m}} = 2i\varphi_{\underline{np}}^{\underline{m}} \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}, \quad \mathring{T}^{\underline{n}\dot{\beta}, \underline{p}\dot{\gamma}, \underline{m}} = -2i\varphi^{\underline{mnp}} \epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \quad (\text{D.3})$$

In particular, all components of $\mathring{T}^{\hat{\alpha}}$ vanish. All components of the Riemann tensor vanish. The only non-zero components of the four-form flux are $\mathring{G}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}} = 2(\hat{\Gamma}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}})_{\hat{\gamma}\hat{\delta}}$, which

decompose as

$$\dot{G}_{ab\gamma}{}^\delta = -4(\sigma_{ab})_\gamma{}^\delta, \quad \dot{G}_{ab}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} = -4(\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} \quad (\text{D.4a})$$

$$\dot{G}_{ab,\underline{n}\gamma}{}^{m\delta} = -4\delta_{\underline{n}}^m(\sigma_{ab})_\gamma{}^\delta, \quad \dot{G}_{ab}{}^{\underline{n}\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\underline{m}\dot{\delta}} = -4\delta_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{n}}(\bar{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}}, \quad (\text{D.4b})$$

$$\dot{G}_{am,\gamma,\underline{n}\delta} = -2(\sigma_a)_{\gamma\delta}\delta_{\underline{m}\underline{n}}, \quad \dot{G}_{am,\underline{n}\gamma,\dot{\delta}} = 2(\sigma_a)_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}\delta_{\underline{m}\underline{n}} \quad (\text{D.4c})$$

$$\dot{G}_{am}{}^{\dot{\gamma},\underline{n}\delta} = 2(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}\delta}\delta_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{n}}, \quad \dot{G}_{am}{}^{\underline{n}\dot{\gamma},\dot{\delta}} = -2(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\delta_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{n}} \quad (\text{D.4d})$$

$$\dot{G}_{am,\underline{n}\gamma,\underline{p}\dot{\delta}} = -2(\sigma_a)_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}, \quad \dot{G}_{am}{}^{\underline{n}\dot{\gamma},\underline{p}\dot{\delta}} = 2(\bar{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\varphi_{\underline{m}}^{\underline{np}}, \quad (\text{D.4e})$$

$$\dot{G}_{mn,\gamma}{}^{p\delta} = 2\delta_\gamma{}^\delta\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}, \quad \dot{G}_{mn,\underline{p}\gamma}{}^\delta = -2\delta_\gamma{}^\delta\varphi_{\underline{mnp}} \quad (\text{D.4f})$$

$$\dot{G}_{mn}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\underline{p}\dot{\delta}} = 2\delta_{\dot{\delta}}^{\dot{\gamma}}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}}, \quad \dot{G}_{mn}{}^{\underline{p}\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\delta}} = -2\delta_{\dot{\delta}}^{\dot{\gamma}}\varphi_{\underline{mnp}} \quad (\text{D.4g})$$

$$\dot{G}_{mn,\underline{p}\gamma}{}^{q\delta} = 2\delta_\gamma{}^\delta\left[\psi_{\underline{mnp}}{}^q + 2\delta_{\underline{p}[\underline{m}}\delta_{\underline{n}]}^q\right], \quad \dot{G}_{mn}{}^{\underline{p}\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\underline{q}\dot{\delta}} = 2\delta_{\dot{\delta}}^{\dot{\gamma}}\left[\psi_{\underline{mnp}}{}^{\underline{p}}{}_{\underline{q}} + 2\delta_{[\underline{m}}^{\underline{p}}\delta_{\underline{n}]}^{\underline{q}}\right] \quad (\text{D.4h})$$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. SCOAP³ supports the goals of the International Year of Basic Sciences for Sustainable Development.

References

- [1] N. Berkovits, *Super Poincaré covariant quantization of the superstring*, *JHEP* **04** (2000) 018 [[hep-th/0001035](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] M. Cederwall, *D = 11 supergravity with manifest supersymmetry*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **25** (2010) 3201 [[arXiv:1001.0112](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] N. Berkovits and M. Guillen, *Equations of motion from Cederwall's pure spinor superspace actions*, *JHEP* **08** (2018) 033 [[arXiv:1804.06979](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] M. Cederwall, U. Gran, B.E.W. Nilsson and D. Tsimpis, *Supersymmetric corrections to eleven-dimensional supergravity*, *JHEP* **05** (2005) 052 [[hep-th/0409107](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] Y. Hyakutake and S. Ogushi, *Higher derivative corrections to eleven dimensional supergravity via local supersymmetry*, *JHEP* **02** (2006) 068 [[hep-th/0601092](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] N. Marcus, A. Sagnotti and W. Siegel, *Ten-dimensional Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory in Terms of Four-dimensional Superfields*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **224** (1983) 159 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] K. Becker, M. Becker, W.D. Linch and D. Robbins, *Abelian tensor hierarchy in 4D, N = 1 superspace*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 052 [[arXiv:1601.03066](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] K. Becker, M. Becker, W.D. Linch and D. Robbins, *Chern-Simons actions and their gaugings in 4D, N = 1 superspace*, *JHEP* **06** (2016) 097 [[arXiv:1603.07362](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] K. Becker, M. Becker, S. Guha, W.D. Linch and D. Robbins, *M-theory potential from the G₂ Hitchin functional in superspace*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 085 [[arXiv:1611.03098](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] K. Becker, M. Becker, D. Butter, S. Guha, W.D. Linch and D. Robbins, *Eleven-dimensional supergravity in 4D, N = 1 superspace*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 199 [[arXiv:1709.07024](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [11] K. Becker, M. Becker, D. Butter and W.D. Linch, *$N = 1$ supercurrents of eleven-dimensional supergravity*, *JHEP* **05** (2018) 128 [[arXiv:1803.00050](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] K. Becker and D. Butter, *$4D$ $N = 1$ Kaluza-Klein superspace*, *JHEP* **09** (2020) 091 [[arXiv:2003.01790](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] K. Becker, D. Butter, W.D. Linch and A. Sengupta, *Components of eleven-dimensional supergravity with four off-shell supersymmetries*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 032 [[arXiv:2101.11671](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] L. Brink and P.S. Howe, *Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity on the Mass-Shell in Superspace*, *Phys. Lett. B* **91** (1980) 384 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] E. Cremmer and S. Ferrara, *Formulation of Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity in Superspace*, *Phys. Lett. B* **91** (1980) 61 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and supergravity*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, U.S.A. (1992).
- [17] S.J. Gates, Jr. and W. Siegel, *$(3/2, 1)$ Superfield of $O(2)$ Supergravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **164** (1980) 484 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] P. Binetruy, G. Girardi and R. Grimm, *Supergravity couplings: A Geometric formulation*, *Phys. Rept.* **343** (2001) 255 [[hep-th/0005225](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] A. Van Proeyen, *Tools for supersymmetry*, *Ann. U. Craiova Phys.* **9** (1999) 1 [[hep-th/9910030](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, *Exceptional field theory. II. $E_{7(7)}$* , *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 066017 [[arXiv:1312.4542](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar, O. Hohm, H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, *Supersymmetric $E_{7(7)}$ Exceptional Field Theory*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 044 [[arXiv:1406.3235](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] D. Butter, H. Samtleben and E. Sezgin, *$E_{7(7)}$ Exceptional Field Theory in Superspace*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 087 [[arXiv:1811.00038](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] A. Ashmore, C. Strickland-Constable, D. Tennyson and D. Waldram, *Generalising G_2 geometry: involutivity, moment maps and moduli*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 158 [[arXiv:1910.04795](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].